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# Mifwaukee County 

July 26, 2013

Retirement System Members:

We are pleased to present the 2012 Annual Report of your Pension Board. The Employees' Retirement System ("ERS") experienced a positive investment return for the year of 11.0\%. Net plan position held in trust for pension benefits increased \$26.3 million. This increase was mainly due to investments gains and a reduction in pension benefit payments. Total net position at the end of the year was $\$ 1.768$ billion. For further detailed information regarding ERS' performance, please see the management's discussion and analysis, the financial statements, and the footnotes that follow.

The description of ERS included in this report highlights major plan provisions. County Ordinances, labor agreements, Pension Board Rules, and Governmental Accounting Standards Board pronouncements prevail over the contents of this report. If you have any questions, please call (414) 278-4145.

Several options are available to members who retire or otherwise leave County service with respect to their pension benefits. Before terminating employment, you should become fully informed of the various opportunities available to you, so you can make the best choice for your situation.

Members considering retirement within the next few years are reminded to watch for announcements from the County for retirement information programs. Please call the ERS office at (414) 278-4207 for further information regarding these programs. If you are interested in meeting with an Administrative Specialist to discuss retirement, please schedule an appointment by calling (414) 278-4207.

Each year, Milwaukee County distributes pension statements reflecting balances as of the end of the previous year. Remember to keep your beneficiary designations current by informing the Retirement System office of any changes. Retired members should also notify the ERS office in writing of any changes in residence or address to insure benefit payments and year-end Form 1099-R statements are properly mailed.

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Members of the Pension
Board of the Employees' Retirement
System of the County of Milwaukee

## Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Employees' Retirement System of the County of Milwaukee (the "Retirement System"), as of and for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Retirement System's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control over financial reporting relevant to the Retirement System's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Retirement System's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the plan net position of the Retirement System as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the changes in the plan net position of the Retirement System for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

## Other Matters

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis, and the Schedule of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions as listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information
Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise of the Retirement System's basic financial statements. The Letter from the Pension Board, Ten-year Historical Trend, and related information on pages 23 through 25 have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.


Milwaukee, Wisconsin
July 26, 2013

# Management's Discussion \& Analysis <br> (Unaudited) <br> (In Thousands of Dollars) 

Management is pleased to provide this overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Employees' Retirement System of the County of Milwaukee ("ERS", or the "Retirement System") for the year ended December 31, 2012. Readers are encouraged to consider the information presented in conjunction with the Financial Statements.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

## PLAN NET POSITION

- Plan net position for ERS increased $\$ 26,328$ as of $12 / 31 / 12$ vs. $12 / 31 / 11$ following a decrease of $(\$ 153,060)$ as of $12 / 31 / 11$ vs. 12/31/10. Domestic and International Equities, Fixed Income, Long/Short, Real Estate, Infrastructure, and Private Equity experienced positive returns in 2012. For the year, the pension fund experienced a positive return of 11.0\%.
- The rate of return on total assets of the pension fund, net of fees, was $11.0 \%, 0.2 \%$, and $12.1 \%$ for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.
- Receivables decreased $(\$ 18,510)$ as of $12 / 31 / 12$ vs. $12 / 31 / 11$ due primarily to a decrease in receivables from sales of investments and a lower pension contribution from the County of Milwaukee. Receivables decreased $(\$ 4,447)$ as of $12 / 31 / 11$ vs. 12/31/10, due primarily to a decrease in receivables from sales of investments.
- Other assets decreased $(\$ 41,416)$ as of $12 / 31 / 12$ vs. $12 / 31 / 11$ and decreased $(\$ 57,074)$ as of $12 / 31 / 11$ vs. $12 / 31 / 10$ due largely to changes in securities lending - collateral of $(\$ 38,479)$ and $(\$ 57,033)$, respectively.
- Liabilities decreased $(\$ 37,379)$ from 2012 to 2011 . This decrease was due to the reduction in securities lending - collateral. Liabilities decreased $(\$ 58,056)$ from 2011 to 2010.
- ERS buys and sells financial futures contracts to improve the performance of the fund. ERS purchases contracts that approximate the amount of cash held by US equity managers and cash used to pay benefits and expenses.


## ADDITIONS AND DEDUCTIONS TO PLAN NET POSITION

- Total additions increased $\$ 170,722$ in 2012 vs. 2011 and decreased ( $\$ 200,645$ ) in 2011 vs. 2010. The 2012 increase was primarily the result of an increase in investment income. The 2011 decrease was mainly due to a reduction in investment income.
- Benefits payments decreased $(\$ 8,903)$ in 2012 and increased $\$ 24,796$ in 2011 . The decrease in 2012 was due to a decrease in lump sum payments of $\$ 17.1$ million and an increase in monthly benefits of $\$ 8.2$ million. The increase in 2011 was due to an increase in monthly benefits of $\$ 6.3$ million and an increase of $\$ 18.5$ million in lump sum payments.
- 2012 saw a $44.0 \%$ decrease in retirements from 2011. The large decrease was due mainly to the County of Milwaukee eliminating the Medicare part B reimbursement for most new retirees during 2011.
- As of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, the funding ratio (actuarial value of the assets divided by the actuarial accrued liability) for the plan was $87.3 \%, 89.2 \%$, and $92.2 \%$, respectively. The funding ratio gives an indication of how well the liabilities of the pension plan are funded. The higher the funding ratio, the better the plan is funded. The ratio increases due to investment gains and pension contributions, and declines due to investment losses, increases in the plan benefits, large pension payouts, and underpayment of pension annual required contributions.

The Board of Trustees of ERS ("The Board") has the responsibility for the overall performance of the Retirement System. The Board's principal means to achieve this goal is by (a) determining an asset allocation policy which is expected to provide the longterm rate of return sufficient to fund benefits while minimizing the risk of loss through diversification, (b) selecting an appropriate number of investment managers to manage the assets within an asset class and monitoring the performance of such investment managers relative to specified benchmarks, and (c) implementing cost containment measures intended to reduce the investment fees and costs associated with investing the Retirement System's assets. The Board is the fiduciary of the Retirement System and is responsible for carrying out the investment functions solely in the interest of the members and benefit recipients.

## OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Retirement System's financial statements. The financial section is comprised of four components: (1) financial statements, (2) notes to the financial statements, (3) required supplementary information, and (4) other supplementary schedules.

Financial Statements - There are two financial statements presented for the plan. The Statement of Plan Net Position as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 indicates the plan net position available to pay future benefits and gives a snapshot of the financial assets available for pension benefits at a particular point in time. The Statement of Changes in Plan Net Position for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 provides a view of the additions and deductions to the plan for the years presented.

Notes to financial statements - The notes provide additional information that is essential for a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements.

Required supplementary information - The required supplementary information consists of a Schedule of Funding Progress and a Schedule of Employer and Other Contributions and related notes concerning the funding status of the plan. These schedules provide historical trend information, that contribute to understanding the changes in the funded status of the plan over time.
(See independent auditors' report)

## Management's Discussion \& Analysis <br> (Unaudited) <br> (In Thousands of Dollars)

Other supplementary schedules - The additional schedules (Ten-Year Historical Trend Information, Plan Net Position, Actual County and Participant Contributions, Active Membership Statistics, Retirements and Survivors) are presented for the purpose of additional analysis.

## COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| Retirement System's Plan Net Position | 12/31/2012 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | Difference | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$56,934 | \$84,108 | \$63,139 | $(\$ 6,205)$ | (9.8\%) |
| Receivables | 23,842 | 42,352 | 46,799 | $(22,957)$ | (49.1\%) |
| Investments, at fair value | 1,691,695 | 1,618,148 | 1,788,712 | $(97,017)$ | (5.4\%) |
| Other assets | 25,573 | 64,488 | 121,562 | $(95,989)$ | (79.0\%) |
| Total Assets | 1,798,044 | 1,809,096 | 2,020,212 | $(222,168)$ | (11.0\%) |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security lending obligations | 20,020 | 58,499 | 115,532 | $(95,512)$ | (82.7\%) |
| Other liabilities | 9,589 | 8,490 | 9,513 | 76 | 0.8\% |
| Total Liabilities | 29,609 | 66,989 | 125,045 | $(95,436)$ | (76.3\%) |
| Plan net position held in trust for pension benefits | \$1,768,435 | \$1,742,107 | \$1,895,167 | (\$126,732) | (6.7\%) |


| Retirement System's Changes in Plan Net Position | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Difference | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer contributions | \$18,411 | \$28,276 | \$32,894 | $(\$ 14,484)$ | (44.0\%) |
| Member contributions | 9,041 | 3,314 | 76 | 8,965 | 11796.1\% |
| Investment income | 182,241 | 7,381 | 206,646 | $(24,405)$ | (11.8\%) |
| Total Additions | 209,693 | 38,971 | 239,616 | $(29,924)$ | (12.5\%) |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments | $(178,557)$ | $(187,460)$ | $(162,664)$ | $(15,893)$ | 9.8\% |
| Administrative expenses | $(4,596)$ | $(4,501)$ | $(4,186)$ | (410) | 9.8\% |
| Withdrawals | (212) | (70) | (139) | (73) | 52.5\% |
| Total Deductions | $(183,365)$ | $(192,031)$ | $(166,989)$ | $(16,376)$ | 9.8\% |
| Changes in plan net position available for benefits | 26,328 | $(153,060)$ | 72,627 | $(46,299)$ | (63.7\%) |

Plan net position held in trust for pension benefits:
Beginning of year
End of year

$$
\xlongequal{\frac{1,742,107}{\$ 1,768,435}} \xlongequal{\underline{\$ 1,895,167}} \begin{aligned}
& \frac{1,822,540}{} \frac{(80,433)}{(\$ 1,895,167}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Requests for financial information:

The financial report is designed to provide the Board, our membership, taxpayers, investment managers, and creditors with a general overview of ERS' finances and to demonstrate ERS' accountability for the funds under its stewardship. Please address any questions about this report or requests for additional financial information to:

> Milwaukee County ERS
> 901 N. $9^{\text {th }}$ Street Room 210 C
> Milwaukee, WI 53233

## ASSETS:

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS
$\$ \quad 56,933,710 \quad \$ \quad 84,107,639$

## RECEIVABLES

| Due from sale of investments | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 0 , 0 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 7 5 2 , 7 1 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Accrued interest and dividends | $\mathbf{2 , 2 8 4 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 2 7 , 5 1 1}$ |
| County of Milwaukee | $\mathbf{1 9 , 6 4 7 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 2 5 2 , 3 8 8}$ |
| Miscellaneous receivables | $\mathbf{5 7 9 , 3 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 9 , 4 4 4}$ |
| TOTAL RECEIVABLES | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 4 1 , 7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 3 5 2 , 0 5 8}$ |

## INVESTMENTS AT FAIR VALUE

Domestic common and preferred stocks
Long/Short hedge funds
Fixed income
International common and preferred stocks
Real estate and REIT's
Infrastructure
Private equity
TOTAL INVESTMENTS

## OTHER ASSETS

Software development costs, net (See Note 2)
Securities lending - collateral (See Note 5)
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS

TOTAL ASSETS

| $5,552,525$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20,020,522$ |  |  |
| $25,573,047$ |  |  |
|  |  | $5,988,807$ |
|  |  | $64,498,948$ |

## LIABILITIES

Securities lending - collateral (see Note 5)
Miscellaneous payables
Payable for securities purchased
Payable to OBRA retirement plan
TOTAL LIABILITIES

| $20,020,522$ | $58,498,948$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3,689,753$ | $6,707,712$ |  |
| $4,267,276$ | 238,929 |  |
| $1,631,303$ | $1,543,452$ |  |
|  |  | $66,989,041$ |

PLAN NET POSITION HELD IN TRUST FOR PENSION BENEFITS $\xlongequal{\$} \quad 1,768,434,628 \quad$ 1,742,106,887
(A schedule of funding progress is presented on page 20)

|  | December 31, 2012 |  | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADDITIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| CONTRIBUTIONS |  |  |  |  |
| County of Milwaukee | \$ | 18,410,496 | \$ | 28,275,594 |
| Plan participants |  | 9,040,652 |  | 3,313,807 |
| TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS |  | 27,451,148 |  | 31,589,401 |
| INVESTMENT INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value |  | 155,309,701 |  | $(18,672,797)$ |
| Interest and dividends |  | 22,147,022 |  | 24,069,225 |
| Securities lending income |  | 70,904 |  | 222,054 |
| Other income |  | 8,513,437 |  | 5,636,028 |
| Total investment income |  | 186,041,063 |  | 11,254,510 |
| Less: Securities lending rebates and fees |  | 50,314 |  | $(67,730)$ |
| Investment expense |  | $(3,849,959)$ |  | $(3,805,527)$ |
| Net investment income |  | 182,241,418 |  | 7,381,253 |
| TOTAL ADDITIONS |  | 209,692,566 |  | 38,970,654 |
| DEDUCTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits paid to retirees \& beneficiaries |  | $(178,557,030)$ |  | $(187,460,031)$ |
| Administrative expenses |  | $(4,595,550)$ |  | $(4,500,457)$ |
| Withdrawal of membership accounts |  | $(212,245)$ |  | $(70,123)$ |
| TOTAL DEDUCTIONS |  | $(183,364,825)$ |  | $(192,030,610)$ |
| NET CHANGE IN PLAN POSITION |  | 26,327,741 |  | $(153,059,956)$ |
| PLAN NET POSITION HELD IN TRUST FOR PENSION BENEFITS |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of year |  | 1,742,106,887 |  | 1,895,166,843 |
| End of year | \$ | 1,768,434,628 | \$ | 1,742,106,887 |

## Notes to the Financial Statements

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

## (1) Description of Retirement System -

The following brief description of the provisions of the Employees' Retirement System of the County of Milwaukee ("ERS" or the "Retirement System") is provided for financial statement purposes only. Members should refer to Section 201.24 of the General Ordinances of Milwaukee County and their respective bargaining agreements for more complete information

The Retirement System is a single-employer defined benefit plan that was created to encourage qualified personnel to enter and remain in the service of the County of Milwaukee (the "County") by providing for a system of retirement, disability and death benefits to or on behalf of its employees. Under Chapter 201 of the Laws of Wisconsin for 1937, the County was mandated to create the Retirement System as a separate legal entity. The County did so by passing Section 201.24 of the General Ordinances of Milwaukee County. The authority to manage and control the Retirement System is vested in the Pension Board of ERS (the "Board"). The Board consists of ten members - three members appointed by the County Executive (subject to confirmation by the County Board of Supervisors), three employee members elected by active employee members, two members appointed by the County Board chairperson, one employee member appointed by the Milwaukee Deputy Sheriffs' Association, and one retiree member elected by retirees.

The Board created two (2) committees to assist in the administration of the Board's duties. The Investment Committee reviews the investment portfolio on a monthly basis, endorses strategies, and submits investment recommendations to the full Board. The Audit Committee reviews legal issues, Ordinance adherence, and submits recommendations to the full Board regarding the annual audit and the Annual Report of the Pension Board.

|  | As of December 31 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members - | $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2011}$ |
| Retiree and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits.......................................... | 7,867 | 7,747 |
| Vested and terminated employees not yet receiving benefits ................................ | 1,196 | 1,341 |
| Current employees | 3,934 | 3,972 |
| Total participants ................................................................................................ | 12,997 | 13,060 |

## Contributions -

The Retirement System had been substantially noncontributory. However, starting in 2011, certain members began making mandatory contributions. Most full-time, regularly-appointed employees were required to make contributions in 2012. The employee contributions varied from $4.7 \%$ of compensation to $6.59 \%$ for 2012. In 2013, the employee contribution percentages will range from $4.4 \%$ to $6.59 \%$ of compensation. These percentages may change from year to year based on an analysis performed by the Retirement System's actuary.

Employees who terminate County employment and are not eligible for an immediate pension payment may request a refund of all accumulated contributions made, with simple interest at $5 \%$ per annum. For employees terminating employment with the County, any refund of accumulated contributions must be requested within sixty (60) days after termination. Any employee receiving this refund will forfeit his or her service credit and no longer be a member of ERS.

Contributions due from the County to the Retirement System consist of amounts sufficient to fund the annual normal cost and interest on and amortization of the unfunded or overfunded actuarial accrued liability less the expected contributions from the participants. A substantial portion of the current year's contribution is paid to the Retirement System in the following year.

In 2012, the County of Milwaukee started receiving contributions from the State of Wisconsin for members who were transferred from Milwaukee County to the State of Wisconsin for future service. As a result of the agreement between the State and the County, non-vested members of the Retirement System were able to continue to accrue pension benefits with ERS, while they were employed with the State. Once the member is vested, they are transferred to the state retirement plan. The state employees were required to contribute $4.7 \%$ of their wages to ERS, and the state contributed the same percentage to the County for 2012.

The County makes contributions to the Retirement System based upon the Annual Required Contribution ("ARC") and legal requirements, at the discretion of the County Board. An actuary hired by the Pension Board establishes the ARC. Data used in the determination of the ARC is based upon the prior fiscal year's demographics. The actual contribution made to the pension plan is set during the County's budget process and may differ from the ARC as a result of changes in plan provisions implemented subsequent to establishment of the ARC and budgetary restraints. During the year, the Retirement System accrues those contributions that the County has included in its current year's budget. The County contribution recorded by the Retirement System was $\$ 2,575,955$ and $\$ 4,781,364$ more than the Funding Contribution Amount for 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Actual Funding Contribution is calculated by the Retirement System's actuary using census data, following plan guidelines, and compared to current net assets. The object is to calculate a contribution that allows the Retirement System to fulfill its obligations to its members.

## Benefits -

The normal retirement benefit is a monthly pension for the life of the member beginning at normal retirement age. The pension amount is determined by the following formula:

## Multiplier x Creditable Service x Final Average Salary

For most members, the normal retirement age is either 60 or 64 depending on ERS enrollment date and collective bargaining agreement. A few labor agreements also require a minimum of 5 years creditable service in addition to the age requirement. For deputy sheriff members, the normal retirement age is 57 or age 55 with 15 years of creditable service. Depending on enrollment date and collective bargaining agreement, some active members are eligible to retire when their age added to their years of creditable service equals 75 (the "Rule of 75 "). The multiplier is determined by Ordinance, collective bargaining agreements, and ERS enrollment date. At this time, the multiplier percentage can be $1.5 \%, 1.6 \%, 2 \%$ or $2.5 \%$. A member's three or five consecutive years of highest earnings are used to calculate their final average salary as defined by the Ordinance and labor agreement. Annually after retirement, the monthly benefit is increased by $2 \%$ of the benefit paid for the first full month of retirement subject to IRS limits. By Ordinance, the maximum benefit (excluding postretirement increases) payable to a member cannot exceed the sum of $80 \%$ of the member's final average monthly salary.

For some members, depending on enrollment date and collective bargaining agreement, the member may elect to receive a backdrop benefit. This benefit permits an employee to receive a lump-sum payment plus a monthly pension benefit upon retirement. The lump-sum payment is the total of the monthly pension amounts, adjusted for post retirement increases that a member would be entitled to from a prior date ("backdrop date") to the date that the member terminates employment plus compounded interest. The backdrop date must be at least one calendar year prior to the termination date and the member must have been eligible to retire as of that date. The member will be entitled to a post retirement increase based on the backdrop date once the member terminates employment.

In 2012, the County Board passed an ordinance limiting the amount of backdrop benefit for most eligible employees who choose a backdrop date after April 1, 2013. If the member chooses a backdrop date after April 1, 2013, then the monthly drop benefit is calculated using the member's final average salary, service credit, and applicable multipliers as of April 1, 2013. This plan change does not apply to Elected Officials, Skilled Trades, Machinists, Federated Nurses, and Firefighters.

A member who meets the requirements for an accidental or ordinary disability retirement benefit is entitled to an amount computed in the same manner as a normal pension but not less than $60 \%$ of the member's final average salary for accidental disability ( $75 \%$ for a represented deputy sheriff). A total of 15 years of creditable service is required to apply for ordinary disability.

Most members are immediately vested upon attaining age 60 or 64 . A vested member is eligible for a deferred pension beginning as of the member's normal retirement date.

A member who is 55 years of age and has 15 years of credited service may elect to receive early reduced retirement benefits. The member would be entitled to a benefit equal to the normal retirement benefit with a lifetime reduction of $5 \%$ for each year prior to the normal retirement date.

Upon the death of a member (generally after 1 year of service and depending on collective bargaining agreements), a spouse with a dependent child as defined by Ordinance will receive $40 \%$ of the deceased member's salary, reduced by Social Security benefits payable to the spouse. An additional $10 \%$ of salary, reduced by Social Security benefits, is paid for each dependent child. Generally, the total benefit, including Social Security benefits, cannot exceed $90 \%$ of the prior salary level of the member. At age 60, the spouse will receive $50 \%$ of the normal retirement benefit based on the member's projected service to age 60. If there is no spouse or child, the death benefit payable to a designated beneficiary is equal to $50 \%$ of the deceased member's final average salary, but not to exceed \$2,000.

A member who becomes eligible for normal retirement, but continues to work may elect a Protective Survivorship Option ("PSO") designating a person to receive a pension ( $100 \%$ or $50 \%$ option) in the event of their death while in active service. The PSO election must be filed in writing on an approved form. In the absence of an election, a surviving spouse will be paid a $100 \%$ survivorship pension.

Currently, members may choose among several benefit payment options when retiring. The available pension options are:

## -Maximum Option

Benefit payable for the member's lifetime and ceases upon member's death.
-Option 1 - Membership Account Refund
This option is an actuarially reduced benefit that ceases upon member's death. This option guarantees that the member will receive the total Membership Account balance as of the retirement date. The Membership Account balance is reduced monthly by an actuarially determined amount. Any balance remaining upon the member's death will be paid to the member's beneficiary.
-Option 2-50\%
This option is an actuarially reduced pension benefit that is payable over the life of the member. Upon the member's death, $50 \%$ of the pension benefit is payable over the life of a named beneficiary, if living;
-Option 3-100\%
This option is an actuarially reduced pension benefit that is payable over the life of the member. Upon the member's death, $100 \%$ of the pension benefit is payable over the life of a named beneficiary, if living;
-Option 4 - 25\%
This option is an actuarially reduced pension benefit that is payable over the life of the member. Upon the member's death, $25 \%$ of the pension benefit is payable over the life of a named beneficiary, if living;

## -Option 5-75\%

This option is an actuarially reduced pension benefit that is payable over the life of the member. Upon the member's death, $75 \%$ of the pension benefit is payable over the life of a named beneficiary, if living;

## -Option 6-10 Year Certain

This option is an actuarially reduced pension benefit payable over the member's life but is guaranteed for a period of 10 years. In the event the member should die within 10 years after the retirement date, the benefit continues to the named beneficiary for the balance of the 10 years.

## -Option 7 - Board Discretion

This option is at the Pension Board's discretion and is a payment of a benefit in a form other than those set forth above. The payment in other form must be the actuarial equivalent of the benefit otherwise payable. A member requesting this option is responsible for all expenses incurred in the application for and calculation of the benefit.

Benefits of $\$ 178.6$ million and $\$ 187.5$ million were paid in 2012 and 2011, respectively, including periodic pension payments of $\$ 156.9$ million and $\$ 148.7$ million, respectively, and backdrop lump sum pension payments of $\$ 21.7$ million and $\$ 38.8$ million in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## (2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## GASB Statement No. 50

The Retirement System follows the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") 50. GASB 50 requires that information about the funded status of the pension plan as of the most recent actuarial valuation be disclosed in notes to the financial statements. Additionally, GASB 50 requires disclosure of information about actuarial methods and assumptions used in valuations on which reported information about the ARC and the funded status and progress are based. The required schedules of funding progress immediately following the notes to the financial statements present multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of the plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

## Basis of Accounting -

The accompanying financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Employee and employer contributions are recognized as revenues in the period in which employee services are performed and expenses are recorded when the corresponding liabilities are incurred. Benefits payments to members are recognized in the period in which the payment was due to the member.

## Investments -

Investments, primarily stocks, bonds, certain government loans and mortgage-backed certificates, are stated at quoted fair value. Temporary cash investments are valued at cost, which approximates fair value. Investments in venture capital partnerships, real estate, long/short hedge and infrastructure are valued at estimated fair value, as provided by the Retirement System's investment managers. Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses are computed based on the average cost method. Unrealized gains and losses in the fair value of investments represent the net change in the fair value of the investments held during the period.

A summary of investments at cost is as follows:

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2012}$ |  | 2011 |  |
| Fixed income. | \$ | 402,014,596 | \$ | 430,833,718 |
| Domestic common and preferred stocks ..................... |  | 325,997,725 |  | 337,378,429 |
| International common and preferred stocks ............... |  | 330,485,161 |  | 384,780,428 |
| Long/Short hedge funds .............................................. |  | 168,928,375 |  | 172,331,502 |
| Infrastructure ......................................................... |  | 106,272,807 |  | 117,296,786 |
| Real estate and REIT's ................................................ |  | 107,788,941 |  | 100,538,400 |
| Private equity ........................................................... |  | 50,130,065 |  | 29,220,641 |
| Cash \& cash equivalents ............................................ |  | 56,933,710 |  | 84,107,638 |
| Total investments at cost .................................... | \$ | 1,548,551,381 | \$ | 1,656,487,542 |

## Valuation of International Securities -

Securities and other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollar amounts on the date of valuation. Purchases and sales of securities and income items denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollar amounts on the respective dates of such transactions.

## Software Development Costs -

Capitalized software development costs represent direct costs related to the development and implementation of software programs utilized in the Retirement System. The amounts are being amortized over ten years using the straight-line method. Amortization expense is included in Administrative Expenses in the accompanying Statement of Changes in Plan Net Position.


## Expenses -

Administrative expenses incurred by the County related to the Retirement System are payable by the Retirement System to the County. Such expenses totaled $\$ 1,187,236$ and $\$ 1,548,921$ in 2012 and 2011 , respectively.

## Use of Estimates -

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Reclassification -

Certain 2011 amounts have been reclassified to conform to classifications adopted in 2012.

## New Accounting Standards -

In June 2011, the Government Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 63 - "Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position." This statement provides financial reporting guidance for deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. Previous financial reporting standards did not included guidance for these elements, which are distinct from assets and liabilities. The Retirement System implemented this standard effective on January 1, 2012.

In June 2012, the GASB approved GASB Statement No. 67 ("GASB 67"), "Financial Reporting for Pension Plans", which revises existing guidance for the financial reports of most pension plans.

GASB 67 replaces the requirements of GASB Statement No. 25, "Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans", and GASB Statement No. 50, "Pension Disclosures", as they relate to pension plans that are administered through trusts or similar arrangements meeting certain criteria. GASB 67 builds upon the existing framework for financial reports of defined benefit pension plans, which includes a statement of fiduciary net position (the amount held in a trust for paying retirement benefits) and a statement of changes in fiduciary net position. GASB 67 enhances note disclosures and required supplementary information for both defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans. GASB 67 also requires the presentation of new information about annual money-weighted rates of return in the notes to the financial statements and in 10-year required supplementary information schedules. The provisions in GASB 67 are effective for financial reporting for periods beginning after June 15, 2013. The Board has not yet determined the impact that GASB 67 will have on the financial statements.

## (3) Income Taxes -

Management has submitted to the Internal Revenue Service, as part of a Voluntary Compliance Program, any compliance issues that have been discovered through a self-administered review where the provisions contained in the Internal Revenue Code, the County Pension Ordinances or Pension Rules differ from actual practice. Management is waiting for a response from the Internal Revenue Service regarding what action will be required to bring the pension system into compliance in all of its practices in order to maintain its tax-qualified status.

## (4) Contributions Required and Contributions Made -

The Retirement System's funding policy provides for periodic County contributions at actuarially determined rates that, expressed as percentages of annual covered payroll, are sufficient to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Payroll contribution rates are determined using the Aggregate Entry Age Normal method of funding. The Retirement System also uses the level percentage of payroll method to amortize the unfunded liability over a 30 year period. The significant actuarial assumptions used to compute the contribution requirements are the same as those used to compute the pension benefit obligation.

County contributions totaling \$18,410,496 and \$28,275,594 were recorded in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The 2012 and 2011 contributions were $\$ 2,575,955$ and $\$ 4,781,364$ above the Funding Contribution Amount ("FCA"), respectively. The County contributions do not include contributions made by the members. Member contributions were $\$ 9,040,652$ for the year ended December 31, 2012 and $\$ 3,313,807$ for the year ended December 31, 2011. This large increase was caused by changes in County Ordinances and State Statutes. By the end of 2012, most County employees were required to make pension contributions for the full year. See the Schedule of Employer and Other Contributions in the Required Supplementary Information.

The 2012 and 2011 contributions reflected in the accompanying statements were actuarially determined as of January 1, 2011 and 2010. These amounts were included in the County's 2012 and 2011 budgets. The Retirement System's financial statements as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 reflected the unpaid portion of the 2013 and 2012 contributions as a contribution receivable.

Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of 8.0\%, compounded annually in 2012 and 2011, (b) projected payroll growth increases averaging $3.5 \%$ per year compounded annually in 2012 and 2011, attributed to inflation, seniority and merit, and (c) post-retirement benefit increases of $2.0 \%$ per year for both 2012 and 2011.

## (5) Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosure -

As provided by state legislative act and County Ordinance, the Board has exclusive control and management responsibility of the Retirement System's funds and full power to invest the funds. In exercising its fiduciary responsibility, the Board is governed by the "prudent person" rule in establishing investment policy. The "prudent person" rule, requires the exercise of that degree of judgment, skill and care under the circumstances then prevailing which persons of prudence, discretion and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs, not in regard to speculation, but in regard to permanent disposition of their funds, considering the probable income as well as the probable safety of the principal. The Board has adopted a Statement of Investment Policy to formally document investment objectives and responsibilities. This policy establishes guidelines for permissible investments of the Retirement System. The Retirement System's investments are subject to various risks. Among them are credit risk, concentration of credit risk, custodial credit risk, interest rate risk, and foreign currency risk. Each of these risks is discussed in more detail below.

## Concentration of Credit Risk -

Concentration of credit risk is a risk of loss that may be attributed to the magnitude of the Retirement System's investment in a single issuer, generally investments in any one issuer that represents five (5) percent or more of total investments. Investments issued or explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. Government and investments in mutual funds, external investment pools, and other pooled investments are excluded from this definition. The Retirement System has no investments in one issuer other than U.S. Government securities and mutual funds that exceed five (5) percent of the total investments.

## Credit Risk -

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The credit risk of a debt instrument is measured by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies such as Moody's Investors Services ("Moody's"), Standard and Poor's ("S \& P") and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch's"). With the exception of the Mellon Capital Management Aggregate Bond portfolio, bonds purchased and owned in each portfolio must have a minimum quality rating of "Baa3" (Moody's) or "BBB"( $S$ \& P or Fitch's). The average quality of each portfolio must be " $A$ " or better. The fixed income securities for the Mellon Capital Management Aggregate Bond portfolio should have a minimum quality rating of " $A$ ", with the exception of $15 \%$ of the portfolio which may have a minimum quality rating of "BBB". Of the $\$ 17.0$ million not rated by Moody's as of December 31, $2012, \$ 14.1$ million is rated by $S \& P$ as investment grade ("BBB-" or higher). Moody's quality rating of "BAA3" or above is considered investment grade. As of December 31, 2012, $\$ 2.6$ million was not rated by $S \& P$ or Moody's. Of the $\$ 15.8$ million not rated by Moody's as of December 31, 2011, $\$ 15.0$ million was rated by S \& P as investment grade. $\$ 0.8$ million was also not rated by S \& P as of December 31, 2011. The credit quality ratings of investments in fixed income securities by Moody's as of December 31, 2012, and 2011, are as follows: (amounts are in thousands of dollars)

| Moody's Quality Ratings | $12 / 31 / 2012$ <br> Fair Value | 12/31/2011 <br> Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAA | \$143,959 | \$14,175 |
| AA1 | 1,161 | 1,849 |
| AA2 | 5,049 | 6,179 |
| AA3 | 5,571 | 5,629 |
| A1 | 9,390 | 10,520 |
| A2 | 11,619 | 10,489 |
| A3 | 12,335 | 11,445 |
| BAA1 | 13,321 | 11,355 |
| BAA2 | 13,841 | 9,446 |
| BAA3 | 5,172 | 4,418 |
| BA1 | 527 | 526 |
| BA2 | 1,427 | 993 |
| BA3 | 654 | 388 |
| B1 | 1,212 | 796 |
| B2 | - | 155 |
| B3 | 504 | 703 |
| CAA1 | 128 | - |
| CAA2 | 311 | 224 |
| CAA3 | 193 | 193 |
| CA | 532 | 511 |
| NR | 17,022 | 15,821 |
| Total Credit Risk Fixed Income Securities | \$243,928 | \$105,815 |
| U.S. Government and Agencies | 86,928 | 208,904 |
| Units of Participation (Not Rated) | 114,643 | 158,238 |
| Total Investment in Fixed Income | \$445,499 | \$472,957 |

## Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits and Investments

Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event a financial institution or counterparty fails, the Retirement System will not be able to recover the value of its deposits, investments or securities. Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the Retirement System's name and are held by the counterparty.

No formal policy exists on custodial risk. However, substantially all assets of the Retirement System are held in its name. The Retirement System did not own any repurchase agreements as of December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, all deposits with banks are fully insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation or the State Deposit Guarantee Fund.

The following table presents the Retirement System's total cash, deposits and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2012 and 2011: (amounts are in thousands of dollars)

| Schedule of Cash and Cash Equivalent Investments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12/31/12 |  | 12/31/11 |  |
|  | Carrying Value | Bank <br> Balance | Carrying Value | Bank <br> Balance |
| Cash held by various investment managers.. | \$55,239 | \$55,237 | \$81,712 | \$81,712 |
| Deposits with banks.. | 1,695 | 2,433 | 2,396 | 3,967 |
| Total Deposits....................................... | \$56,934 | \$57,670 | \$84,108 | \$85,679 |

The difference between the carrying value and bank balances are due to outstanding checks and deposits not yet processed by the bank.

## Foreign Currency Risk -

Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in currency exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment or deposit.

This footnote is a required disclosure when the Retirement System directly owns investments denominated in a foreign currency. As of December 31, 2012, and 2011, the Retirement System directly owned less than $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 1,000$ in investments denominated in foreign currencies, respectively.

## Interest Rate Risk -

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair market value of an investment. Duration is a measure of an investment's sensitivity to changes in interest rates. The higher the duration, the greater the changes in fair value when interest rates change. The Option-Adjusted Duration for a security is the percentage price sensitivity to interest rates changes of 100 basis points (or 1.0\%), as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. For example, an Option -Adjusted Duration of 5.20 means that the price of the security should fall approximately $5.20 \%$ for a $1.0 \%$ rise in the level of interest rates. Conversely, the price of a security should rise approximately $5.20 \%$ for a $1.0 \%$ fall in the level of interest rates. Interest rate changes will affect securities with negative durations in the opposite direction. The Option-Adjusted Duration method of measuring duration takes into effect the embedded options on cash flows.

The Retirement System does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing exposure to losses arising from increasing interest rates with the exception of the cash equivalent portfolio. The investment policy limits the duration of individual securities held in the cash equivalent portfolio to 2.5 years. In addition, the duration of the entire cash equivalent portfolio should be between 1 and 2 years.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Retirement System had the following Option-Adjusted Durations for the fixed income investments: (amounts are in thousands of dollars)


## Securities Lending -

Section 201.24 (9.1) of the General Ordinances of Milwaukee County and Board policies permit ERS to lend its securities to broker-dealers and other entities with a simultaneous agreement to return the collateral for the same securities in the future. ERS participates in such a securities lending program through its custodian, the Bank of New York Mellon, acting as ERS's securities lending agent. ERS requires collateral from the borrower in the form of cash or securities. Collateral for domestic issues is set at $102 \%$ of the fair value of the securities loaned at the time of the initial transaction. If the value falls to $100 \%$ of the fair value of the securities loaned, additional collateral is obtained to reestablish collateral at $102 \%$ of the fair value of securities loaned. Collateral for international securities is maintained at a level of $105 \%$ of the fair value of securities loaned at all times. The securities lending program guidelines attempt to preserve capital while earning a moderate rate of return. Earnings from securities lending, after all fees are paid, are split on a percentage basis with the custodian. For 2012 and 2011, the net investment income realized from security lending was $\$ 121,217$ and $\$ 154,234$ respectively.

ERS also invested in several commingled funds managed by Mellon Capital Management that participated in securities lending programs. The earnings and losses attributable to the commingled funds' securities lending programs are combined with the commingled funds' performance and are not reported separately in ERS's financial statements.

Securities loaned and the collateral held were as follows: (amounts are in thousands of dollars)

| Securities Lent for Cash Collateral | As of December 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |
|  | Securities |  | Collateral |  | Securities <br> Lent |  | Collateral |  |
| Fixed income | \$ | 8,582 | \$ | 8,783 | \$ | 10,538 | \$ | 10,740 |
| Domestic stocks |  | 11,127 |  | 11,238 |  | 46,233 |  | 47,759 |
| Subtotal |  | 19,709 |  | 20,021 |  | 56,771 |  | 58,499 |
| Securities Lent for Securities Collateral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed income |  | 32,233 |  | 32,938 |  | 7,828 |  | 7,993 |
| Domestic stocks |  | 2,646 |  | 2,652 |  | - |  | - |
| Subtotal |  | 34,879 |  | 35,590 |  | 7,828 |  | 7,993 |
| Grand Total | \$ | 54,588 | \$ | 55,611 | \$ | 64,599 | \$ | 66,492 |
| Percent Collateral to Securities Loaned |  |  |  | 101.87\% |  |  |  | 102.93\% |

The collateral received from securities lending transactions are recorded as assets at quoted fair value of the financial statement date. The Retirement System records an identical amount as a liability, representing the obligation of the Retirement System to return the collateral at the time the borrower of the Retirement System's securities return those securities.

The collateral received from securities lending transactions includes cash of $\$ 20,021$ and $\$ 58,499$, and U.S. Treasury securities, Domestic stocks, and REIT's of $\$ 35,590$ and $\$ 7,993$ for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Under the terms of the securities lending agreement, the Retirement System has the right to sell or pledge the cash collateral. The non-cash collateral in the amounts of $\$ 35,590$ and $\$ 7,993$ for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is controlled by the custodian and, correspondingly, not reflected in the Statement of Plan Net Position.

At year-end, the Retirement System has no credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amounts the Retirement System owes the borrowers exceed the amounts the borrowers owe the Retirement System. The contract with the Retirement System's custodian requires it to indemnify the Retirement System if a borrower fails to return the securities (and if the collateral is inadequate to replace the securities lent) or fails to pay the Retirement System for income distributions by the securities' issuers while the securities are on loan.

## (6) Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risks -

A currency forward is a contractual agreement between two parties to pay or receive amounts of foreign currency at a future date in exchange for another currency at an agreed-upon exchange rate. Forward commitments are entered into with the foreign exchange department of a bank located in a major money market. These transactions are entered into in order to hedge risks from exposure to foreign currency rate fluctuations. Recognition of realized gain or loss depends on whether the
currency exchange rate has moved favorably or unfavorably to the contract holder upon termination of the contract. Prior to termination of the contract, the Retirement System records the amount receivable or payable at fair value, with the unrealized gain or loss reported as a component of net appreciation in fair value. All contracts are short-term in duration and mature within 90 days.

The Retirement System did not hold any financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

ERS invests in financial futures contracts in order to improve the performance of the fund. The Retirement System purchases contracts that approximate the amount of cash held by US equity investment managers and cash used to pay benefits and expenses. Financial futures contracts are agreements to buy or sell a specified amount at a specified delivery or maturity date for an agreed upon price.

The market values of the futures contracts vary from the original contract price. A gain or loss is recognized and paid to or received from the clearinghouse. Financial futures represent an off balance sheet obligation, as there are no balance sheet assets or liabilities associated with those contracts. The cash or securities to meet these obligations are held in the investment portfolio. All contracts are short-term in duration and mature within 90 days.

ERS is subject to credit risk in the event of non-performance by counter parties to financial futures and forward contracts. ERS generally only enters into transactions with credit-worthy institutions. The Retirement System is exposed to market risk, the risk that future changes in market conditions may make an instrument less valuable. Exposure to market risk is managed in accordance with risk limits set by ERS management and by buying or selling futures or forward contracts. The cash or securities to meet these obligations are held in the investment portfolio.

The futures contracts held by the Retirement System are as follows: (amounts are in thousands of dollars)

|  | As of December 31 |  |  | As of December 31 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |  | 2012 | 2011 |
| US Equity Managers |  |  | Cash Used to Pay Benefits and Expenses |  |  |
| Cash Held |  |  | Cash Held | \$ 17,750 | \$65,486 |
| US Equity Investment Managers | \$ 14,131 | \$ 13,545 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Futures Purchased |  |  |
|  |  |  | Barclays AGG (Fixed Income) | 7,813 | 26,437 |
| Futures Purchased |  |  | S\&P 500 (US Equity) | 6,745 | 25,115 |
| S\&P 500(US Equity) | 14,343 | 13,278 | MSCI EAFE (International Equity) | 3,160 | 11,909 |
| {Futures Above |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 212 | (267) | TotalFutures Purchased | 17,718 | 63,461 |
|  |  |  | Futures Above |  |  |
| (Below) Cash | (32) | $(2,025)$ |  |  |  |
| Market Value | \$ 68 | \$ 226 | Market Value | 14 | 778 |
|  |  |  | Total Market Value | \$ 82 | \$ 1,004 |

(7) Commitments and Contingencies -

The Retirement System is involved in litigation and certain other disputes arising during the normal course of operations. Management does not believe the settlement of such matters will have a material impact on the Retirement System's financial statements.

## (8) OBRA 1990 Retirement System of the County of Milwaukee -

The County established the OBRA 1990 Retirement System of the County of Milwaukee ("OBRA") to cover seasonal and certain temporary employees who are not enrolled in the Retirement System. Assets of the OBRA system are commingled for investment purposes with the assets of the Retirement System. The assets of the Retirement System are legally available to pay benefits of either the ERS or OBRA and all assets have been commingled. The Retirement System and OBRA are considered a single plan for financial reporting purposes.

Net assets identified for OBRA benefits as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, were as follows:

| Statement of Plan Net Position |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |
| Assets | $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2011}$ |
| Cash | \$ 682 | \$ 60,700 |
| Assets held by Retirement System...................................... | 1,631,303 | 1,482,752 |
| Other Assets | 29,621 | 28,648 |
| Total assets .................................................................. | 1,661,606 | 1,572,100 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Taxes Payable ................................................................... | - | 40,521 |
| Total liabilities .............................................................. | - | 40,521 |
| Net position held in trust for pension benefits............... | \$ 1,661,606 | \$1,531,579 |

Changes in plan net position available for benefits for OBRA for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, were as follows:

| Statement of Change in Plan Ne |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Una | ed |  |
|  |  | $\underline{2012}$ |  | $\underline{2011}$ |
| Contributions from the County .................................... | \$ | 880,000 |  | 2,022,000 |
| Investment income (loss) ........................................... |  | 150,023 |  | $(56,201)$ |
| Investment and administrative expenses ...................... |  | $(504,825)$ |  | $(691,775)$ |
| Benefits paid ........................................................ |  | $(395,170)$ |  | (1,144,670) |
| Net increase in net position available for benefits.............. | \$ | 130,028 | \$ | 129,354 |

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, there were 3,280 and 3,502 participants with vested benefits in OBRA. The actuarial accrued liability of OBRA at December 31, 2012 and 2011, was $\$ 2,868,585$ and $\$ 2,444,436$, respectively, leaving net assets available less than the actuarial accrued liability of $(\$ 1,206,978)$ and $(\$ 1,208,911)$, respectively. These amounts are not reflected in the required supplementary information tables that follow the notes to the financial statements.

## (9) Funded Status and Actuarial Information

The Retirement System engages an independent actuarial firm to perform an annual actuarial valuation. The funded status of the Retirement System as of January 1, 2013, the most recent actuarial valuation date, is as follows: (dollar amounts in thousands)

| Actuarial |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accrued UAAL as a |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial | Liability | Unfunded |  |  | Percentage |
| Value of | (AAL) - | AAL | Funded | Covered | of Covered |
| Assets | Entry Age | (UAAL) | Ratio | Payroll | Payroll |
| (a) | (b) | (b-a) | (a/b) | ( c) | ( $(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{c}$ ) |
| \$1,768,435 | \$2,025,319 | \$256,884 | 87.3\% | \$189,132 | 135.8\% |

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information (RSI) immediately following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial values of the plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the AALs for benefits.

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates about the future. The projection of benefits for financial reporting purposes does not explicitly incorporate the potential effects of legal or contractual funding limitations. These calculations reflect long-term perspectives and use techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility.

Following is a listing of the actuarial method and significant assumptions used to determine the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the current year:

| Valuation date | $1 / 1 / 2013$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial cost method | Aggregate Entry Age Normal |
| Asset valuation method | 10-year smoothed market |
| Amortization methods: |  |
| Contribution variance | Level dollar, closed |
| Administrative expenses | Level dollar, closed |
| All other unfunded liability | Level percent of payroll, closed |
| Remaining amortization periods: <br> Contribution variance | 5 years |
| Administrative expenses | 10 years |
| All other unfunded liability | 30 years |
| Actuarial Assumptions: |  |
| Investment rate of return | $8.00 \%$ |
| Projected salary increases | $3.50 \%$ |
| Post-retirement benefit increases | $2 \%$, simple |

## (10) Subsequent Events

The Retirement System has evaluated subsequent events occurring through July 26, 2013, the date the financial statements were available to be issued for events requiring recording or disclosure in the Retirement System's financial statements. Management feels that no material events occurred that would require disclosure.

| Required Supplementary Information Schedule of Funding Progress (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial valuation date | Actuarial value of assets <br> (a) | Actuarial accrued liabililtyAAL (b) | Funded <br> Ratio <br> (a/b) |  | rfunded) <br> funded <br> - (UAAL) <br> (b-a) | Covered <br> Payroll <br> (c) | UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll ((b-a)/c) |
| 12/31/2012 | \$1,768,435 | \$2,025,319 | 87.3\% | \$ | 256,884 | \$189,132 | 135.8\% |
| 12/31/2011 | 1,836,543 | 2,059,554 | 89.2\% |  | 223,011 | 190,748 | 116.9\% |
| 12/31/2010 | 1,929,428 | 2,091,927 | 92.2\% |  | 162,499 | 221,647 | 73.3\% |
| 12/31/2009 | 1,956,444 | 2,097,332 | 93.3\% |  | 140,888 | 237,040 | 59.4\% |
| 12/31/2008 * | 1,968,518 | 2,057,377 | 95.7\% |  | 88,859 | 233,820 | 38.0\% |
| 12/31/2007 | 1,627,288 | 2,024,923 | 80.4\% |  | 397,635 | 227,364 | 174.9\% |
| *Includes the anticipated impact from the $\$ 397.8$ million in pension obligation bonds that was actually received by the plan during plan year 2009. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Analysis of the dollar amounts of plan net position, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (overfunded) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing plan net position as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability provides one indication of the Retirement System's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage over time indicates whether the Retirement System is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the Retirement System. Trends in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids the analysis of the Retirement System's progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally speaking, the smaller this percentage, (or the larger the percentage if negative), the stronger the Retirement System.

Schedule of Emplover and Other Contributions for the Year Ended December 31,


## Notes to Required Supplementary Information

## (1) Description -

The historical trend information is presented as required supplementary information. This information is intended to help users assess the Retirement System's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other public employee retirement systems.

## (2) Actuarial Assumptions and Methods -

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuations as of January 1, 2013, for the plan year ending December 31, 2012. The actuarial valuations consider the changes effective January 1, 2013. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

| Valuation date | 1/1/2013 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Aggregate Entry Age Normal |
| Amortization Method | Level percent of payroll, closed |
| Asset Valuation Method | 10 year smoothing of difference between total expected return versus actual return |
| Actuarial Assumptions: |  |
| Post retirement benefit increase (COLA) | 2\% of original pension benefits |
| Investment rate of return* | 8.0\% |
| Projected payroll growth* | 3.5\% |
| Mortality | Sex-distinct UP—1994 Mortality Table with projection scale AA to 2012 then fully generational thereafter using Scale AA ( $70 \%$ of the rates application to healthy pensioners) |
|  | RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table (for disabled pensioners) |
| *includes inflation at 3.0\% |  |

## (3) Significant Factors Affecting Trends in Actuarial Information -

The changes regarding the increases in the Annual Compensation limit and the Annual benefit limit for years 2009-2013 are subject to the passage of the Ordinance Amendments by the County Board.

## 2013 Changes in Plan Provisions or Actuarial Assumptions since Prior Year-

- Effective with backdrop dates on or after April 1, 2013, the monthly drop benefit shall be based on the salary, service, and multipliers as of April 1, 2013, except for Elected Officials, Building and Trades, Machinists, Federated Nurses, and Firefighters.
- The asset method used by the actuary was changed from a five-year moving market average to a ten-year moving market, with the actuarial value set to market as of $1 / 1 / 13$.
- The disability assumption used for represented employees was changed to 50\% Ordinary and 50\% Accidental. The disability assumption for non-represented employees was changed to 100\% Ordinary and 0\% Accidental.
- The individual salary increase for general employees was changed to an average of $3.3 \%$ per annum, for elected officials the individual salary increase was changed to $3.0 \%$ per annum and for deputy sheriffs the individual salary increase was changed to $4.3 \%$ per annum.


## 2012 Changes in Plan Provisions or Actuarial Assumptions since Prior Year-

- During 2012, the retirement age for Building and Trades and Nurses members for new hires was increased from 60 to 64.
- During 2012, the multiplier was reduced from $2.0 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ for Building and Trades, and Nurses.
- During 2011, the retirement age for DC 48 members for new hires was increased from 60 to 64 .
- During 2011, the multiplier was reduced from $2.0 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ for DC 48 members.
- Increased annual compensation limit to \$250,000.
- Increased annual benefit limit to $\$ 200,000$.

2011 Changes in Plan Provisions or Actuarial Assumptions since Prior Year -

- During 2010, the multiplier was reduced from $2.0 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ for Elected Officials, Attorneys, Machinists, and TEAMCO members.
- During 2010, the retirement age for attorneys, Machinists and TEAMCO members for new hires was increased from 60 to 64.


## 2010 Changes in Plan Provisions or Actuarial Assumptions since Prior Year -

- Multiplier was reduced from $2.0 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ for non-represented employees, except Elected Officials and Deputy Sheriffs.
- Retirement age for non-represented new hires was increased from 60 to 64.


## 2009 Changes in Plan Provisions or Actuarial Assumptions since Prior Year -

- Increased annual compensation limit to \$245,000.
- Increased annual benefit limit to $\$ 195,000$.

(See independent auditors' report)



| ACTIVE MEMBERSHIP STATISTICS <br> (unaudited) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2012}$ | 2011 |
| Members as of January 1......................................... | 5,313* | 5,941 |
| Changes During the Year: |  |  |
| New enrollments.. | 355 | 473 |
| Rehires. | 0 | 0 |
| Nonvested terminations..................................... | (287) | (512) |
| Retirements.. | (254) | (557) |
| Deaths in active service.. | (6) | (14) |
| New deferred beneficiaries............................. | 0 | 0 |
| Data adjustments..... | 9 | (18) |
| Members as of December 31.......... | 5,130* | $\underline{\text { 5,313 }}$ |
| * The total includes vested inactive members of 1,341 and 1,196 as of beginning of the year and end of the year, respectively. |  |  |

## RETIREMENTS AND SURVIVORS STATISTICS (unaudited)

|  | Retirements Granted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  <br> Beneficiaries | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maximum Pension | Option |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Refund | 100\% | 75\% | 50\% | 25\% | 10-yr. | Other |  |  |
| January 1, 2012. | 3,125 | 398 | 1,345 | 228 | 1,089 | 418 | 180 | 50 | 914 | 7,747 |
| Changes During the Year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjustments (actuary)*.. | 38 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 3 | - | - | 48 |
| Retirements.................. | 207 | - | 66 | 13 | 27 | 18 | 14 | - | 19 | 364 |
| Pensioner deaths.......... | (159) | - | (28) | - | (39) | - | - | (1) | (65) | (292) |
| December 31, 2012. | 3,211 | 398 | 1,383 | 241 | 1,077 | 443 | 197 | 49 | 868 | 7,867 |

*Adjustments as a result of reclassifications made to beginning balances by the actuary

| Legal Advisors | U.S. Equity Investment Managers |
| :---: | :---: |
| Milwaukee County | AQR Capital Management, LLC |
| Corporation Counsel | Greenwich, Connecticut |
| Kimberly Walker |  |
|  | Artisan Partners |
| Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren S.C. | Milwaukee, Wisconsin |
| Steven D. Huff, Secretary of the Pension Board |  |
| Milwaukee, Wisconsin | Fiduciary Management Associates, LLC Chicago, Illinois |
| Actuary |  |
| Buck Consultants Chicago, Illinois | Robeco Investment Management (formerly Boston Partners Asset Management) Boston, Massachusetts |
| Disbursing Agent |  |
| County Treasurer | Mellon Capital Management Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania |
| Custodian/Securities Agent |  |
| BNY Mellon Asset Servicing | Fixed-Income Investment Managers |
| Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania | JP Morgan Investment Management Columbus, Ohio |
| Medical Board |  |
| Columbia St. Mary's Milwaukee, Wisconsin | Mellon Capital Management Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania |
| Investment Consultant | International Investment Managers |
| Marquette Associates, Inc. Chicago, Illinois | Barings Asset Management, Inc. Boston, Massachusetts |
| Cash Equitization Manager | Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo \& Co. |
| BNY Mellon BETA Management <br> Boston, Massachusetts San Francisco, California |  |
|  | Northern Trust Investments |
| Private Equity Managers | Chicago, Illinois |
| Adams Street Partners |  |
| Chicago, Illinois | Real Estate Investment Managers |
|  | American Realty Advisors |
| Progress Investment Management Company San Francisco, California | Glendale, California |
|  | Morgan Stanley Real Estate |
| Siguler Guff \& Company, LLC New York, New York | New York, New York |
|  |  |
|  | UBS Realty Investors, LLC |
| Long/Short Managers | Hartford, Connecticut |
| ABS Investment Management |  |
| Greenwich, Connecticut | Infrastructure Managers |
|  | IFM Investment Advisor |
| K2 Advisors | New York, New York |
| Stamford, Connecticut |  |
|  | JP Morgan Investment Management New York, New York |
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