Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System

A Pension
Trust Fund of
Fairfax County
Virginia



Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Section	Page
Letter of Transmittal	3
Board of Trustees	6
Administrative Organization	7
Organizational Chart	8
Summary of Plan Provisions	9
Financial Section	
Independent Auditors' Report	11
Management's Discussion and Analysis	
Financial Statements	
Statements of Plan Net Assets	15
Statements of Changes in Plan Net Assets	
Notes to Financial Statements	
Required Supplementary Information	
Schedule of Funding Progress	21
Schedule of Employer Contributions	
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	22
Investment Section	
Overview	23
Capital Markets and Economic Conditions	
Asset Allocation By Category and Investment Manager	27
List of Largest Holdings for Active (Non-Pooled) Accounts	28
Actuarial Section	
Actuary's Certification Letter	31
Summary of Valuation Results	
Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	
Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	
Analysis of Financial Experience	
Schedule of Retirees and Beneficiaries Added To and Removed From Rolls	43
Solvency Test	43
Statistical Section	
Schedule of Additions by Source	45
Schedule of Deductions by Type	
Schedule of Benefit Payments by Type	
Schedule of Retired Members by Benefit Type	
Schedule of Average Monthly Benefit Amounts	



BOARD OF TRUSTEES POLICE OFFICERS RETIREMENT SYSTEM

10680 Main Street, Suite 280 Fairfax, Virginia 22030-3812

Telephone: (703) 279-8200 (800) 333-1633 FAX: (703) 273-3185

VIRGINIA

November 15, 2003

Dear Members of the Board of Trustees:

I am pleased to submit to you the annual report of the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System ("System") for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. This annual report is provided as an aid to understanding the structure and evaluating the status of the System. The System's management is responsible for the accuracy of financial information contained herein.

The annual report for fiscal year 2003 consists of five sections: (1) an Introductory Section that contains this transmittal letter along with the organization structure and review of plan provisions; (2) a Financial Section that contains the opinion of the independent auditors, management's discussion and analysis, the financial statements of the System and required supplementary information; (3) an Investment Section that contains investment results; (4) an Actuarial Section that includes the independent actuary's certification letter, a summary of the results of the actuarial valuation, and actuarial procedures and assumptions; and (5) a Statistical Section that contains information regarding the System membership.

History

The Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System was created under the authority granted by Chapter 303 of the Acts of Assembly (law of the Commonwealth of Virginia) on March 29, 1944 to provide defined benefit pension plan coverage for sworn full-time law enforcement officers of the Fairfax County Police Department. In 1981, Fairfax County enacted Article 7 of the Fairfax County Code, which adopted and continued Chapter 303 as amended. There were 1,229 active members and 683 retirees participating in the System as of June 30, 2003.

Benefit Provisions

The benefit provisions of the System are established by County Ordinance. The System provides normal service retirement and early service retirement benefits for members who attain age or service requirements. Coverage for service-connected disability benefits is immediate upon membership in the System. Ordinary (non-service related) disability benefits are provided after the attainment of five years of service. Members are vested after five years of service and are eligible for benefits at the early or normal service retirement date.

Capital Markets and Economic Conditions

Fiscal 2003 was characterized by the continuation of the bear market through mid-March and much more favorable market conditions during the final quarter of the year. Even with the market rebound, the S&P 500 index returned 0.26% for the year. Fortunately, the bond market was strong as evidenced by the Lehman Aggregate Bond Index return of 10.40%. With the market rally, the Police

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Officers Retirement System fund returned 11.8% in the quarter ending June 30, 2003 and finished with a fiscal year return of 4.6%, before management fees. The full year return placed the fund in the 24th percentile of the Russell/Mellon public fund universe and the fund's three year returns placed in the 30th percentile. The returns for the total fund exceeded the policy benchmark for the year. The returns of large-cap domestic and international stocks exceeded their respective indexes while returns for small stocks, real estate investment trusts and fixed income trailed their benchmarks.

After accounting for all cash flows, including contributions, expenses, and benefit payments, the market value of the System's net assets increased 3.2%, from \$573.3 million on June 30, 2002 to \$591.9 million on June 30, 2003.

Major Initiatives

Following established policy of having a periodic actuarial audit, a parallel valuation of liabilities was completed by an independent actuarial firm. The actuarial audit report certified that the liabilities and costs computed by the plan's actuaries as of July 1, 2001 were computed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles.

After having the plan terms reviewed by outside counsel and obtaining approval of a number of recommended technical amendments, an application was submitted to the Internal Revenue Service to obtain an updated letter confirming the plan continues to qualify as an employee benefit plan under section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Following a review of the provisions for service-connected disability retirements, amendments to the code were approved that extended spousal benefits to those retired on partial service-connected disability.

A restructuring of the plan's investments in the fixed income asset class was completed. A number of changes designed to further diversify the asset class and to obtain improved risk adjusted returns over the long term were implemented. In addition to replacing a core portfolio manager, the fixed income asset class now includes a specialized portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and a portfolio focused on duration management.

Changes were also made in the small-cap U.S. equity portion of the fund. Following separate searches, both a small-cap growth and a small-cap value manager were hired to replace the previous small-cap core portfolio.

Internal and Budgetary Controls

The System's management is responsible for maintaining internal accounting controls to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized and recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The final report and recommendations resulting from the investigation of an embezzlement discovered in fiscal 2002 was received. The report did not identify any control deficiencies other than those already addressed during fiscal 2002. A number of recommendations unrelated to the embezzlement have also been implemented to improve the back-up and disaster recovery capabilities related to computer application systems. Based on the recent intensive audit review, we believe the internal controls in effect adequately safeguard the System's assets and provide a reasonable assurance regarding the proper recording of financial transactions. In addition, the budget for the System is annually presented and approved by the Board of Trustees and the County's Board of Supervisors.

Funded Status

An actuarial valuation of the System to determine funding requirements is performed annually. The System's funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates which will remain relatively level over time as a percentage of payroll and will accumulate sufficient assets to meet the costs of benefit payments when due. The valuation of the System performed as of July 1, 2002 indicated that the ratio of assets accumulated by the System to total actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits decreased from 99.0% to 95.7%. The Actuarial Section contains further information on the results of the July 1, 2002 valuation.

Investment Policies and Strategies

The Board of Trustees has an adopted Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy. This Statement establishes the investment goals, guidelines, constraints and performance standards that the Board of Trustees uses when exercising its fiduciary duties to manage the investment assets of the System. The Board operates in conformity with the standard of care required in making investments as stated in the Code of Virginia §26-45.1.A.

The Board receives quarterly reporting from staff to ensure compliance with its stated objectives and policy. Staff also monitors the performance of the System and its investment managers. Rate of return information is included in the Investment Section.

Securities of the System except for mutual funds and the County's pooled cash and temporary investments are held by Mellon Global Securities Services as agent, in the System's name. Mellon Financial Corporation, the parent company, carries Financial Institution Bond insurance coverage including a Computer Crime Policy. An additional Excess Securities policy covers all risk of physical loss to securities.

Other Information

Independent Audit and Actuarial Certifications

The independent auditors' report and certifications from the actuary are included in this report.

Acknowledgements

The annual report of the Police Officers Retirement System was prepared by the System's staff under the leadership of the Board of Trustees. I would like to thank the staff who have worked hard throughout the year to ensure the successful operation of the System. This report is intended to provide complete and reliable information for determining the financial status of the System. It is being submitted to the Board of Supervisors and other interested parties and is available to the public via the County's website located at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/retbrd/.

Respectfully submitted,

Laurnz'A. Swartz
Executive Director

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Lieutenant Erin F. Schaible

President
Member Trustee
Term Expires: December 31, 2004

Captain Josiah Larry Moser III

Vice President
Member Trustee
Term Expires: December 31, 2006

Brant Baber

Board of Supervisors Appointee *Term Expires: January 31, 2003*

Stuart H. Rakoff

Board of Supervisors Appointee *Term Expires: June 30, 2006*

Robert L. Mears

Treasurer
Fairfax County Director of Finance
Ex officio Trustee

Forrest E. Williams

Board of Supervisors Appointee Term Expires: January 31, 2006

James R. Dooley, Jr.

Elected Retiree Trustee
Term Expires: June 30, 2006

ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION

Administrative Staff

Laurnz A. Swartz Executive Director

Jeffrey A. Willison Investment Manager Philip R. Langham Retirement Administrator

Professional Services

Actuary

Milliman USA Actuaries Vienna. VA **Auditor**

KPMG LLP Certified Public Accountants Washington, DC

Investment Managers

Capital Guardian Trust Company Los Angeles, CA

Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc.
New York, NY

Dodge & Cox Investment Managers
San Francisco, CA

JANUS Capital Corporation Denver, CO

> Oak Associates, LTD. Akron, OH

Peregrine Capital Management Minneapolis, MN

Attorney

W. McCauley Arnold McCandlish & Lillard Fairfax, VA PIMCO Newport Beach, CA

Standish Mellon Asset Management Pittsburgh, PA

Systematic Financial Management Teaneck, NJ

Robert E. Torray & Co., Inc. Bethesda, MD

Trust Company of the West Los Angeles, CA

Veredus Asset Management Louisville, KY


Custodial Bank

Mellon Global Securities Services Pittsburgh, PA

Investment Consultant

Mercer Investment Consulting, Inc. Atlanta, GA

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Membership in the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System includes full-time sworn law enforcement officers in the Fairfax County Police Department.

The general provisions of the Police Officers Retirement System are as follows:

Contribution Rate: 12% of base salary (including roll call and holiday pay). Police Officers do not contribute to Social Security, except Police Officers hired after April 1, 1986 contribute to Medicare.

Benefit: 2.8% of average final compensation (highest consecutive three years) times creditable service up to a maximum of 84%. The total benefit is then increased by 3%.

Normal Retirement: is either age 55 or at least 25 years of creditable service (20 years if sworn in before July 1, 1981).

Early Retirement: is 20 years of creditable service if sworn in on or after July 1,1981.

Deferred Vested Retirement: is available for vested members (vesting is at 5 years of creditable service) who leave their contributions in the System when they terminate. These members are entitled to their normal retirement benefit based on service with the Police Department at age 55.

Service-Connected Disability Retirement: is available for members, regardless of their length of service, who become disabled as a result of a job-related illness or injury. Benefits for total disability are 66²/₃% of average final compensation if sworn in on or after July 1, 1981. If sworn in before July 1, 1981, the total disability benefit is based on 66²/₃% of the salary the member would have received if he or she had not been disabled. Any member who is still disabled when they reach their normal retirement date will have their benefit reduced to 60% of the salary he or she would have received if he or she had not been disabled.

Non-Service Connected Disability Retirement: is available for vested members who become disabled due to an injury or illness that is not job-related. Normal retirement benefits are paid (minimum is 10% of the member's salary).

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

(Continued)

Death Benefits: *Before Retirement* — An automatic monthly benefit is payable to the member's spouse and children. This benefit equals \$1,563.51 for the spouse (until remarriage before age 60) or surviving handicapped child (if there is no spouse), plus each eligible child receives \$625.40 up to a total family benefit of \$3,127.01 (these benefits are indexed to inflation). If the death occurred in the line of duty, the spouse or surviving handicapped child (if there is no spouse) may elect to receive 66²/₃% of the member's regular salary at the time of death in lieu of the automatic benefits. If no automatic benefit is payable, contributions will be refunded to the member's beneficiary.

After Retirement — For those retiring on a regular service or service-connected disability retirement, an automatic monthly benefit is payable to the member's spouse and children. This benefit equals \$1,563.51 for the spouse (until remarriage before age 60) or surviving handicapped child (if there is no spouse), plus each eligible child receives \$625.40 up to a total family benefit of \$3,127.01 (these benefits are indexed to inflation). In addition, members who retire on a regular service retirement may elect a Joint and Contingent Spouse and Handicapped Child option which provides 100%, 66²/₃%, or 50% of the retiree's reduced benefit to the spouse or eligible handicapped child for life. The member's annuity is reduced by a percentage based on the difference in age between the member and his or her spouse. If the spouse predeceases the member, the annuity is restored to what it would have been if this option had not been elected. If no automatic benefit is payable, contributions not yet paid out in benefits will be refunded to the member's beneficiary.

Cost of Living Benefit: Annual cost of living adjustments are provided to retirees and beneficiaries equal to the lesser of 4 percent or the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for the Washington Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area.



KPMG LLP 2001 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Supervisors County of Fairfax, Virginia

The Board of Trustees of the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System:

We have audited the statements of plan net assets of the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System (the System), a pension trust fund of the County of Fairfax, Virginia, as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the related statements of changes in plan net assets for the years then ended. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the System's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the plan net assets as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the changes in plan net assets for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages 13 through 15 and the required supplementary information on pages 22 and 23 are not required parts of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the System's basic financial statements. The introductory, investment, actuarial, and statistical sections are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The information in these sections has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.



October 17, 2003



MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section presents management's discussion and analysis of the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System's ("System" or "plan") financial performance and provides an overview of the financial activities for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002. The information in this section should be reviewed in conjunction with the letter of transmittal provided in the Introductory Section of this report.

Overview of Financial Statements and Accompanying Information

Basic Financial Statements. The System presents Statements of Plan Net Assets as of June 30, 2003 and 2002 and Statements of Changes in Plan Net Assets for the years then ended. These statements reflect the resources available for the payment of benefits as of year-end and the sources and uses of those funds during the year.

Notes to Financial Statements. The Notes to Financial Statements are an integral part of the financial statements and include additional information and schedules to provide a better understanding of the financial statements. The Notes to Financial Statements immediately follow the basic financial statements.

Required Supplementary Information. The Required Supplementary Information and related notes provide information regarding the System's funding progress and employer contributions. The Required Supplementary Information and related notes are immediately following the Notes to Financial Statements.

Financial Analysis

Summary of Plan Net Assets. As indicated in the following Summary Statement of Plan Net Assets, the net assets held in the System increased \$18.6 million or 3.2% during fiscal 2003 and declined \$32.1 million or 5.3% during fiscal 2002. These changes are primarily due to increases in fair value during fiscal 2003 and declines in fair value during fiscal 2002.

Return on Investments. The System's return on investments net of investment management fees for fiscal 2003 and 2002 were +4.3% and -5.1%, respectively. Domestic equities had a +0.3% total return in fiscal 2003, after losing a cumulative 30.2% during fiscal 2001 and 2002. International equities exhibited mixed results; developed equity markets fell 6.1% while emerging markets increased 7.0%. On the fixed income side, the Lehman Brothers Aggregate Bond Index gained 10.4% for fiscal 2003. The NAREIT Equity Index return for fiscal 2003 was 4.0% and REITs were the best performing sector during fiscal 2002. Additional investment market commentary is provided in the Investment Section of this document.

Summary of Additions and Deductions. As presented in the Summary of Additions and Deductions (also included in this section), fiscal year 2003 experienced an overall increase due to investment income while fiscal 2002 experienced an overall reduction due to investment losses. Investment performance was very good in relative terms during both years. The System's return on investments ranked in the top quartile of public funds during fiscal 2003 and was in the top third of public funds in fiscal 2002.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

(Continued)

Additions. Total additions increased \$52.4 million from fiscal 2002 to 2003 primarily due to increases in investment returns. Employer contributions decreased \$2.2 million or 14.3% from fiscal 2002 to 2003 and \$2.1 million or 12.1% from fiscal 2001 to 2002. These decreases are attributable to decreases in the employer contribution rates from 25.69% of covered payroll during fiscal 2001 to 21.79% of covered payroll during fiscal 2002 and to 17.62% of covered payroll during fiscal 2003. These decreases were partially offset by increases in covered payroll during both years. Plan member contributions increased during both years as a result of the increase in covered payroll. The System experienced net investment gains during fiscal 2003. Net appreciation in the fair value of investments was \$6.3 million during fiscal 2003 after a decline of \$48.1 million during fiscal 2002. Interest and dividend income was \$19.5 million during fiscal 2003, a decrease of 0.5% from \$19.6 million during fiscal 2002. Investment activity expense increased \$290 thousand or 12.2%, due to investment management fees computed on the increasing investment values and changes in investment strategies that resulted in higher fees. Net securities lending income decreased \$73 thousand in fiscal 2003 compared with the same period a year earlier. This was due to a combination of factors, including: a lower level of overall interest rates that could be earned on cash collateral, a lessening in demand for securities by borrowers, particularly in international markets, and the change in lending agents that occurred with the custodian change during fiscal 2002.

Deductions. Benefit payments increased 7.4% from fiscal 2002 to 2003 and 10.6% from fiscal 2001 to 2002. The number of retirees and beneficiaries collecting benefits and the amount of the average benefit increased in both years and were responsible for the increase in the expense. Retirees received cost of living increases of 2.67% as of July, 2002 and 3.4% as of July 1, 2001. Refunds and other expenses declined 7.7% from fiscal 2002 to 2003 and increased 31.7% from fiscal 2001 to 2002. The amount of refunds varies from year to year based on changes in employee turnover rates and decisions of former employees.

The actuarial valuation performed as of July 1, 2002 showed the System's funded status at 95.7%, a decrease of 3.3 percentage points from the July 1, 2001 funded percentage of 99.0%.

Major Initiatives

Following policy established by the Board of Trustees, the System engaged an independent actuary to perform an actuarial audit during fiscal 2003. The audit report certified that the liabilities and costs computed by the System's actuary as of July 1, 2001 were computed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles.

The plan document was reviewed by outside counsel and a number of recommended amendments were enacted. An application was submitted to the Internal Revenue Service to obtain an updated letter confirming that the plan continues to qualify as an employee benefit plan under section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The System's fixed income investments were restructured during fiscal 2003. A number of changes were implemented to further diversify the asset class and to obtain improved risk adjusted return. In addition to replacing a core portfolio manager, the fixed income asset class now includes a specialized portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and a portfolio focused on duration management. Changes were also made in the small-cap U.S. equity portion of the fund. Separate small cap growth and small cap value managers were hired to replace the previous small cap core portfolio.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

(Continued)

Contacting the System's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our membership, the Board of Trustees and the County's Board of Supervisors with a general overview of the System's financial condition. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Fairfax County Retirement Administration Agency, 10680 Main Street, Suite 280, Fairfax, Virginia 22030. This report can also be found on the County's website at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/retbrd/.

Summary Statement of Plan Net Assets

	2003	2002	Difference	2001	Difference
Assets					
Total cash and investments	\$641,893,571	\$635,553,946	\$6,339,625	\$662,101,561	\$(26,547,615)
Total receivables	8,474,548	14,815,576	(6,341,028)	10,977,594	3,837,982
Total Assets	650,368,119	650,369,522	(1,403)	673,079,155	(22,709,633)
Liabilities	58,477,391	77,052,867	(18,575,476)	67,692,260	9,360,607
Net Assets	\$591,890,728	\$573,316,655	\$18,574,073	\$605,386,895	\$(32,070,240)

Summary of Additions and Deductions

	2003	2002	Difference	2001	Difference
Additions					
Contributions					
Employer	\$12,923,806	\$15,077,920	\$(2,154,114)	\$17,149,427	\$(2,071,507)
Plan members	8,941,529	8,335,903	605,626	8,038,085	297,818
Net investment income (loss)	23,248,712	(30,661,679)	53,910,391	(19,333,682)	(11,327,997)
Total Additions	45,114,047	(7,247,856)	52,361,903	5,853,830	(13,101,686)
Deductions					
Benefit Payments	25,803,466	24,024,119	1,779,347	21,722,991	2,301,128
Refunds and other	736,508	798,265	(61,757)	605,972	192,293
Total Deductions	26,539,974	24,822,384	1,717,590	22,328,963	2,493,421
Net Change	\$18,574,073	(\$32,070,240)	\$50,644,313	\$(16,475,133)	\$(15,595,107)

STATEMENTS OF PLAN NET ASSETS

as of June 30, 2003 and 2002

Assets	2003	2002
Equity in County's pooled cash and temporary investments	\$ 268,508	\$ 681,342
Contributions receivable	489,173	571,655
Accrued interest and dividends receivable	2,520,099	3,164,553
Receivable from sale of investments	5,465,276	11,079,368
Investments U.S. Government obligations Asset-backed securities Corporate bonds Common and preferred stock Mutual funds Short-term investments Cash collateral received under securities lending agreements Total investments Total assets	28,457,742 99,601,879 46,692,132 311,017,698 74,407,729 27,138,679 54,309,204 641,625,063	15,606,275 86,529,263 82,238,563 237,377,248 78,692,336 100,617,804 33,811,115 634,872,604
Liabilities		
Payable for collateral received under securities lending agreements	54,309,204	33,811,115
Payable for purchase of investments	3,274,097	42,489,012
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	894,090	752,740
Total liabilities	58,477,391	77,052,867
Net assets held in trust for pension benefits	\$ 591,890,728	\$ 573,316,655

(A schedule of funding progress is presented on page 22.)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PLAN NET ASSETS

For the Years Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002

Additions	2003	2002
Contributions Employer Plan members Total contributions	\$ 12,923,806 8,941,529 21,865,335	\$15,077,920 8,335,903 23,413,823
Investment income From investment activities	,,	-, -,
Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments Interest Dividends Total investment income	6,302,740 13,063,079 6,427,567 25,793,386	(48,105,845) 13,040,559 6,586,016 (28,479,270)
Investment activity expenses Management fees Custodial fees Consultant Allocated administration expense Total investment expense	2,391,187 119,622 54,192 100,885 2,665,886	2,033,715 130,371 115,192 96,882 2,376,160
Net income (loss) from investment activities	23,127,500	(30,855,430)
From securities lending activities Securities lending income Securities lending expenses	580,746	928,972
Borrower rebates Management fees Total securities lending activities expenses	408,801 50,733 459,534	631,709 103,512 735,221
Net income from securities lending activities	121,212	193,751
Total net investment income (loss) Total additions	23,248,712 45,114,047	(30,661,679) (7,247,856)
Deductions		04 000 450
Annuity benefits Disability benefits Survivor benefits Refunds Administrative expense Total deductions	23,352,203 1,149,662 1,301,601 525,574 210,934 26,539,974	21,668,153 1,146,266 1,209,700 599,127 199,138 24,822,384
Net increase (decrease)	18,574,073	(32,070,240)
Net assets held in trust for pension benefits Beginning of fiscal year End of fiscal year	573,316,655 \$ 591,890,728	605,386,895 \$ 573,316,655

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

For the Year Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002

The Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System ("System" or "plan") is a legally separate single-employer defined benefit pension plan established under the Code of Virginia to provide defined benefit pension benefits to certain Fairfax County Virginia ("County") police and park police officers. As such, the System is considered part of the County reporting entity and its financial statements are included in the County's basic financial statements as a pension trust fund.

A. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting. The System's financial statements have been prepared under the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles applicable to governmental units in the United States of America. Member and employer contributions to the plan are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due in accordance with the terms of the plan. The cost of administering the plan is paid by the System.

Method Used to Value Investments. Short-term investments are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. All other investments are reported at fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Asset-backed securities are valued on the basis of future principal and interest payments and are discounted at prevailing interest rates for similar instruments. The System records investment purchases and sales as of the trade date. These transactions are not finalized until the settlement date, which occurs approximately three business days after the trade date. The amounts of trade receivables and payables are shown as receivables and payables on the Statements of Plan Net Assets. Cash received as collateral on securities lending transactions and investments made with such cash are reported as assets along with a related liability for collateral received.

Equity in County's pooled cash and temporary investments. The System maintains cash with the County, which invests cash and allocates interest earned, net of a management fee, on a daily basis to the System based on the System's average daily balance of equity in pooled cash. As of June 30, 2003 and 2002 the bank balance of the County's public deposits was either insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or through the State Treasury Board pursuant to the provisions of the Security for Public Deposit Act.

B. Plan Description and Contribution Information

Membership. At July 1, 2002, the date of the latest actuarial valuation, membership in the System consisted of:

Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits	657
Terminated plan members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	9
Active plan members	1,192

Total 1,858

Plan Description. The System is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan. The plan covers County police officers who are not covered by other plans of the County or the Virginia Retirement System and former park police officers who elected to transfer to the System effective January 22, 1983.

(Continued)

Benefit provisions are established and may be amended by County ordinance. All benefits vest at five years of service. To be eligible for normal retirement, an individual must meet the following criteria: (a) if employed before July 1, 1981; attained the age of 55 or completed 20 years of service, or (b) if employed on or after July 1, 1981; attained the age of 55 or completed 25 years of service. The normal retirement benefit is calculated using average final compensation (i.e., the highest consecutive three years) and years (or partial years) of service at date of termination. The plan provides that unused sick leave credit may be used in the calculation of average final compensation by projecting the final salary during the unused sick leave period. To be eligible for early retirement, the employee must have 20 years of service (does not apply if hired before July 1, 1981). Benefits are determined based on the normal retirement benefit calculated using average final compensation and service at early retirement actuarially reduced.

Annual cost-of-living adjustments are provided to retirees and beneficiaries equal to the lesser of 4 percent or the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for the Washington Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Contributions. The contribution requirements of the System members are established and may be amended by County ordinances. Member contributions are based on 12 percent of compensation. The County is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate; the rates for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were 17.30 percent and 21.79 percent of annual covered payroll, respectively.

Deductions. The deductions from the System include the payment of retiree and beneficiary payments, the refund of employee contributions to former members and other expenses.

C. Investments

The authority to establish the System is set forth in chapter 303 of the Acts of Assembly (law of the Commonwealth of Virginia). Section 26-45.1 of the *Code of Virginia* (Code) authorizes fiduciaries of the System to purchase investments (including common and preferred stocks and corporate bonds) that meet the standard of judgment and care set forth in section 26-45.1 of the Code.

The System does not have investments (other than U.S. Government and U.S. Government guaranteed obligations) in any one organization that represent 5 percent or more of net assets available for benefits. All investments, except for the mutual funds, short-term investment fund, and a short-term collateral investment pool, are held by an unaffiliated custodian in the name of the System. Investment decisions for these assets are made by the Board of Trustees or investment managers selected by the Board.

Derivative Financial Instruments. As of June 30, 2003, the System was not a party to derivative financial instruments that are not reported at fair value on the financial statements.

Securities Lending. Board of Trustees' policies permit the System to lend its securities to broker-dealers and other entities (borrowers) for collateral that will be returned for the same securities in the future. The System's custodian is the agent in lending the plan's domestic securities for collateral of 102 percent and international securities for collateral of 105 percent. The System changed custodians during fiscal 2002 and the securities lending program was moved to Mellon Global Securities Services as of the May 1, 2002 conversion date. The custodian receives cash or securities as collateral. All securities loans can be terminated on demand by either the System or the borrower. Cash collateral is

(Continued)

invested in the lending agent's collective collateral investment pool, which at June 30, 2003 had a weighted-average maturity and duration of 18 days. At June 30, 2002 the cash collateral investment pool had a weighted-average maturity and duration of 27 days. The relationship between the maturities of the investment pool and the System's loans is affected by the maturities of securities loans made by other plan entities that invest cash collateral in the investment pool.

The System did not impose any restrictions during fiscal 2003 or 2002 on the amounts of loans the lending agent made on its behalf. The custodian provides full indemnification to the System for any losses that might occur in the program due to the failure of a broker/dealer to return a borrowed security or failure to pay the System for income earned on the securities while on loan. Securities on loan for securities collateral are classified in the following schedule of investments according to the category of the collateral received. Securities on loan at each year-end for cash collateral are unclassified as the cash collateral is invested in a collective collateral investment pool. At each year end, the System had no credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amounts the System owed the borrowers exceeded the amounts the borrowers owed the System.

As of June 30, 2003 and 2002, the market value of securities on loan were \$55,263,240 and \$32,242,219, respectively. Cash received as collateral and the related liabilities of \$54,309,204 as of June 30, 2003 and \$33,811,115 as of June 30, 2002 are shown on the Statements of Plan Net Assets. Securities received as collateral are not reported as assets since the System does not have the ability to pledge or sell the collateral absent borrower default.

Categorization. The System's investments are categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the System at year end. Category 1 includes investments that are insured or registered or for which the securities are held by the System or its agent in the System's name. Category 2 includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by a counterparty or its trust department or agent, but not in the System's name. All of the System's investments meet the criteria of category 1, except investments in mutual funds, a short-term investment fund, a short-term collateral investment pool and those securities on loan for which the cash collateral is invested in the collateral investment pool. These investments by their nature are not required to be categorized.

(Continued)

A schedule of investments as of June 30, 2003 and 2002 follows:

, toolioudic of invocational de of outle co, 2000 and 2002 follows:	2003	2002
Categorized Investments		
Short-term investments		
Time deposits	\$1,000,000	\$7,000,000
Repurchase agreements	8,618,194	72,209,304
Asset-backed securities	6,948,560	-
Corporate bonds	6,002,781	16,878,368
U.S. Government obligations		
Not on securities loan	988,017	6,052,174
On securities loan for other collateral	54,239	44,561
Asset-backed securities		
Not on securities loan	97,940,054	76,151,513
On securities loan for other collateral	-	267,150
Corporate and other bonds	40,294,779	71,437,005
Common and preferred stock		
Not on securities loan	294,419,578	235,868,439
On securities loan for other collateral	4,518,378	-
Total categorized investments	460,784,580	485,908,514
Uncategorized Investments		
Short-term investment fund	1,432,928	4,530,132
Mutual funds	74,407,729	78,692,336
Securities lending short-term collateral investment pool	54,309,204	33,811,115
Investments held by broker dealers under		
securities loans with cash collateral:		
Short-term investments	3,136,216	-
U.S. Government obligations	27,415,486	9,509,540
Corporate bonds	6,397,353	10,801,558
Asset-backed securities	1,661,825	10,110,600
Common and preferred stock	12,079,742	1,508,809
Total uncategorized investments	180,840,483	148,964,090
Total Investments	\$641,625,063	\$634,872,604

D. Income Taxes

The Internal Revenue Service issued a determination letter on February 23, 1995, which stated that the System and its underlying trust qualify under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and therefore are exempt from federal income taxes. The System applied for an update of this ruling in a letter dated Nov. 26, 2002. In the opinion of the plan administrator, the System and its underlying trust have operated within the terms of the System and are qualified under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Six-year historical trend information about the System is presented here as required supplementary information. This information is intended to help users assess the System's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other public employee retirement systems.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability - AAL Entry Age (b)	Unfunded AAL- UAAL (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
7/1/97	\$399,772,825	\$414,534,604	\$14,761,779	96.44%	\$50,307,487	29.34%
7/1/98	434,259,212	442,727,265	8,468,053	98.09%	51,955,916	16.30%
7/1/99	503,649,251	487,951,047	(15,698,204)	103.22%	55,081,103	(28.50%)
7/1/00	568,941,559	586,938,958	17,997,399	96.93%	64,946,049	27.71%
7/1/01	611,514,245	617,510,212	5,995,967	99.03%	66,755,263	8.98%
7/1/02	628,383,173	656,615,241	28,232,068	95.70%	69,196,512	40.80%

Analysis of the dollar amounts of plan net assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing plan net assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability provides one indication of the System's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage over time indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the system. Trends in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the system's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the system.

SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
1998	\$ 11,321,194	100%
1999	10,685,734	100%
2000	11,950,073	100%
2001	17,149,427	100%
2002	15,077,920	100%
2003	14,918,405	87%

NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows.

Valuation date July 1, 2002

Actuarial cost method Entry age

Amortization method Level percent closed

Remaining amortization period Weighted average of 15 years

Asset valuation method 3-Year smoothed market

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return* 7.5%

Projected salary increases* 4.5%-8.0%

*Includes inflation at 4.0%

Cost-of-living adjustments 3.0%

The actuarial assumptions used have been recommended by the actuary and adopted by the System's Board of Trustees based on the most recent review of the System's experience, completed in 2001.

The rate of employer contributions to the plan is composed of the normal cost, amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and an allowance for administrative expenses. The normal cost is a level percent of payroll cost which, along with the member contributions, will pay for projected benefits at retirement for the average plan participant. The actuarial accrued liability is that portion of the present value of projected benefits that will not be paid by future employer normal costs or member contributions. The difference between this liability and the funds accumulated as of the same date is the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The allowance for administrative expenses is based upon the plan's actual administrative expenses.


Some effect of investment losses from fiscal 2001 and 2002 are impacting the employer contribution rate developed during the July 1, 2002 valuation. The impact of these losses resulted in the computation of a contribution rate of 20.83% for fiscal 2004, per the GASB methodology, an increase of 0.86% over the GASB computed rate of 19.97% for fiscal 2003. Beginning with fiscal 2003, the funding policy was revised to further stabilize the contribution rate. The methodology now in place sets the employer contribution rate equal to the normal cost and allowance for administrative expense. Amortization of the unfunded liability is included in the contribution rate only for benefit changes or if the actuarial funding ratio falls outside a corridor of 90% and 120%. Use of the corridor method results in an adopted rate of 17.62% for fiscal 2004, an increase of 0.32% over the fiscal 2003 adopted rate of 17.30%.

OVERVIEW

The Board of Trustees has established an investment policy for the System to identify investment objectives, guidelines and performance standards for the assets of the System. The objectives are formulated in response to the anticipated needs of the System, the risk tolerance of the System and the desire of the Board of Trustees to define and fulfill their fiduciary responsibility over System assets.

The Board has established its asset class strategic target allocations which it believes will achieve the return requirements of the fund at an appropriate level of risk and maintain a comfortable risk tolerance. Further, a disciplined rebalancing policy was adopted to ensure that market movements do not cause asset class weightings to unintentionally stray too far from the target percentages. The actual asset allocation at market is reviewed monthly and if asset class weightings fall outside the "no rebalancing range", transfers between asset classes are initiated to rebalance the asset allocations to within the target ranges. The following graph shows the target and actual asset allocations as of June 30, 2003 and 2002.

Police Officers Retirement System Target and Actual Asset Allocation



held in the account and detailing allowed and/or prohibited transactions. Investment managers are requested to submit a written statement describing their proposed investment strategy and tactics for achieving the investment goals and objectives that are required by their guidelines. Investment manager performance is monitored by staff monthly and reviewed by the Board of Trustees quarterly.

CAPITAL MARKETS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

Financial and Economic Summary

Fiscal year 2003 experienced a third-consecutive tumultuous and disappointing year for equity markets. After sharp declines of 14.8% in fiscal 2001 and 18.0% in 2002, during which investors lost \$6 trillion, the S&P 500 Index broke even in fiscal 2003 with a meager gain of +0.3%. The S&P 500 went on a roller coaster ride in 2003. The fiscal first quarter was down sharply, followed by a recovery in the second quarter, leading to a decline in the third quarter, before finishing with a strong rebound in the fourth quarter.

The fiscal year began in July of 2002 with one of the worst quarters in history and the very poorest for equities since the fourth quarter of 1987. The US was not alone in its suffering. Equity markets worldwide fell sharply as investors bailed out of equities in the face of geopolitical uncertainty, corporate accounting scandals, declining corporate earnings and sluggish economic progress. By the end of the fiscal first quarter the S&P 500 Index had lost 17.3% and had given up a cumulative 42% of its value since the turn of the century.

As the second fiscal quarter began, the S&P 500 plummeted to a 5-year low on October 9th, which in hindsight would prove to be the low point of the year. From that point equities began a rally which carried the index up 27.1% through the end of June 2003. The rally was not enough however, to keep the S&P 500 Index on December 31, 2002 from posting its third-consecutive calendar down year, the first time since the Great Depression for three negative years in a row.

The third quarter of fiscal 2003 was dominated by the war in Iraq. During the first two months of the year, uncertainty regarding the start and duration of a military campaign in Iraq stalled US equity markets. Even after war commenced, investor reaction to news from embedded reporters overshadowed economic indicators and negative profit warnings. When the US appeared to gain quick control of the conflict, the S&P 500 staged an 8-day rally in mid-March, before giving back most of the gains at quarter's end. During this quarter personal consumption expenditures declined as consumer confidence hit a 10-year low and GDP growth decelerated. The S&P 500 Index fell 3.2% for the guarter.

Asset Class Returns

1-Year Returns

CAPITAL MARKETS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

(Continued)

Improving corporate financials, aggressive fiscal and monetary stimulus measures from the federal government, and the military progress in Iraq sparked a fiscal fourth-quarter stock and bond market rally around the world. Economic indicators started showing generally positive trends, but unemployment rose to 6.4% at fiscal year end, the highest rate in nine years. Real GDP grew 3.3%, fueled by continued consumer spending, while business investment fell and inventories increased slightly. The mainstay of economic recovery during the past 12 months was autos and housing, each a beneficiary of the extraordinarily low interest rates. Equity indexes officially crossed into bull-market territory during this quarter, bringing an end to the longest and worst bear market (S&P 500 down 47.4%) of the post-World War II era. As a result of all this new-found optimism, the S&P 500 Index advanced 15.4%, the best quarterly return in five years, while the small cap stock index advanced 23.4%, a 12-year best.

For the full year, the best performing asset class was high-yield bonds (+26.4%), followed by international bonds (+17.4%), investment grade bonds (+10.4%), then emerging markets equities (+7.0%) and public real estate securities (+4.0%). Mid-cap stocks, up 2.6% for the year, outperformed large-cap stocks by 2.2 percentage points and small-cap equities by 4.2 percentage points. This marked the fourth year in a row that mid-cap stocks had bested large-cap stocks. Within the Russell 3000, growth outperformed value by 4.0 percentage points, although style leadership flip-flopped between value and growth in each of the four quarters. Health Care (+8.5%) was the best performing industry sector during fiscal 2003, followed by Technology (6.4%). The Transportation (-15.3%) and Energy (-8.0%) sectors had the greatest negative impact on S&P 500 performance.

The US economy proved to be the engine driving world economies in fiscal 2003. Equity markets worldwide followed the dynamics of the US markets. Developed market stock prices, as evidenced by the MSCI EAFE Index, declined 6.1% as governments tried to stimulate growth in a sluggish environment and faced with a declining US dollar. Asian market returns were dampened by the outbreak of the SARS epidemic, which was estimated to have clipped 1% - 2% off of GDP in that region. Emerging markets fared better, gaining 7.0% for the year, led by a strong rebound in Latin America.

Fixed income investors realized gains in every quarter of the year, as yields fell and corporate spreads widened. Bond yields in the US fell to 45-year lows and to record lows in Europe and Japan, led by monetary easing amid sluggish growth and low inflation. Inflation remained mild as the Consumer Price Index increased only 2.1% year-over-year. In June, the Federal Reserve lowered the fed funds rate for the thirteenth time since the downturn began. At that point, short-term interest rates stood at 1.0%, the lowest rate since 1958. The Lehman Brothers Aggregate Bond Index returned a stellar 10.4% for the fiscal year. The two best performing sub-sectors were Treasury bonds (+11.9%) and high-yield corporates (+26.4%), reflecting a flight to quality in the case of Treasuries and the stretching for yield by investors in the case of the high-yield sector. The worst performing bond sector was mortgage-backed securities, which returned only 1.6% due to fears of early mortgage pre-payment.

Global bonds continued their strong run, rising some 17% for the year in dollar terms. Declining global interest rates lifted non-US bond prices, but the major contributor to out-performance was the falling US dollar, especially against the Euro.

Real estate exhibited a fascinating conundrum. Starved for income in an environment of 1% - 2% returns on short-term securities, investors bid up real estate prices to gain the income yield during a period when real estate fundamentals were actually declining.

CAPITAL MARKETS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

(Continued)


System

The Police Officers Retirement System operates a sound and diversified investment program with disciplined asset re-balancing to achieve strategic long-term goals. The disciplined investment process has been effective in achieving a long-term record of consistent asset growth.

Compound Annual Return on Investment Portfolio 8.47%



On a market value basis, the total net assets held in trust rose from \$573.3 million at June 30, 2002 to \$591.9 million at June 30, 2003. For fiscal 2003, investments provided a return of +4.3%, net of fees, reflecting an improving economic environment. The System's annualized rate of return, net of fees, was -1.4% over the last three years and +2.3% over the last five years. While these investment returns were less than targeted in an absolute sense, the System's returns ranked in the top quartile of a universe of public plan sponsors during fiscal 2003, was in the top third of public funds in 2002, and was in the top quartile in fiscal 2001. The Police Officers Retirement System's annualized net return over the last five years has trailed the rate of 7.5%, the long-term return used for actuarial purposes. At year-end 2003, the System's assets were allocated by manager mandate as follows: domestic and international equities – 56.3%; fixed income securities – 32.9%; and real estate – 10.8%.



ASSET ALLOCATION BY CATEGORY AND INVESTMENT MANAGER

June 30, 2003

Asset Class Manager	Investment Style	Total Assets	% of Total Net Assets
Domestic Equities			
Robert E. Torray	Active Large Cap Value	\$74,699,551	12.6%
JANUS Capital	Active Large Cap Growth	46,201,904	7.8%
Oak Associates	Active Large Cap Growth	23,230,540	3.9%
PIMCO StocksPlus L.P. *	Enhanced Large Cap Index	67,883,445	11.5%
Systematic Financial	Active Small Cap Value	30,648,625	5.2%
Veredus	Active Small Cap Growth	30,109,496	5.1%
International Equities			
Capital Guardian	Active Developed Markets	60,585,129	10.2%
Real Estate			
Cohen & Steers	Active Equity REITs	63,909,350	10.8%
Domestic Fixed Income			
Dodge & Cox	Active Domestic Core	133,610,773	22.6%
Peregrine Capital Trust Company of the West	Active Domestic Duration Strategic Mortgage-backed	30,172,648 30,035,600	5.1% 5.1%
Short-term			
Standish Mellon Enhanced STIF			
- Fund portion	Active Short-term	922,494	0.1%
Cash Held by County Treasurer	Active Short Term	270,256	0.0%
Net Assets**		\$592,279,811	100.0%

^{*} Pooled Fund

^{**} Without deduction for accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

LIST OF LARGEST HOLDINGS FOR ACTIVE (NON-POOLED) ACCOUNTS

as of June 30, 2003

Domestic Equities Robert E. Torray	4.87% 4.54% 4.43% 4.33% 4.21%
	4.54% 4.43% 4.33%
Markel Holdings	4.54% 4.43% 4.33%
Markel Holdings \$3,635,200	4.43% 4.33%
Illinois Tool Works Inc. \$3,384,690	4.33%
United Technologies Corp. \$3,307,761	
J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. \$3,232,061	4.21%
Abbott Labs \$3,141,968	
JANUS Capital	
Liberty Media Corp. \$2,858,025	6.26%
Viacom Inc. Class B \$2,804,282	6.14%
Clear Channel Communications \$2,384,649	5.22%
Medtronic Inc. \$2,088,374	4.57%
Amgen Inc. \$2,017,434	4.42%
Oak Associates	
Veritas Software Co. \$1,743,610	7.51%
Medtronic Inc. \$1,511,055	6.51%
Juniper Networks Inc. \$1,434,050	6.17%
Cisco Systems Inc. \$1,343,200	5.78%
EMC Corp. \$1,282,575	5.52%
Systematic Financial	
Republic Bancorp Inc. \$592,548	1.93%
Bankunited Financial Corp. Class A \$560,232	1.83%
Odyssey Re Holdings Corp \$546,490	1.78%
Silgan Holdings Inc. \$486,855	1.59%
Take-Two Interactive Software \$458,784	1.50%
Veredus Asset Management	
Stericycle Inc. \$843,920	2.79%
Pulte Homes, Inc. \$820,078	2.71%
MDC Holdings Inc. \$748,823	2.47%
WCI Communities Inc. \$730,740	2.41%
Toll Brothers Inc. \$727,567	2.40%

LIST OF LARGEST HOLDINGS FOR ACTIVE (NON-POOLED) ACCOUNTS (Continued)

Asset Class Manager Security	Market Value	% of Account
International Equities		
Capital Guardian		
Vodafone Group PLC	\$2,135,572	3.62%
Sanofi- Synthelabo	\$1,580,107	2.68%
Astrazeneca	\$1,575,811	2.67%
Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.	\$1,476,039	2.50%
Novartis AG	\$994,957	1.69%
Real Estate		
Cohen & Steers		
Vornado Realty Trust	\$4,381,800	6.93%
Boston Properties Inc.	\$3,714,240	5.87%
Equity Office Properties Trust	\$3,397,858	5.37%
Simon Property Group Inc.	\$3,048,243	4.82%
Rouse Co.	\$2,975,610	4.70%
Fixed Income		
Dodge & Cox		
U.S. Treasury Note, 5.625%, 2/15/2006	\$11,059,400	8.36%
FHLMC, 5.50%, 3/1/2014	\$5,162,089	3.90%
FNMA REMIC, 7.5%, 11/25/2040	\$4,622,162	3.50%
U.S. Treasury Note, 3.00% 11/15/2007	\$4,473,287	3.38%
FHLMC, 6.00%, 5/1/2017	\$4,269,270	3.23%
Peregrine Capital		
U.S. Treasury Bond, 5.25%, 2/15/2029	\$2,640,983	9.27%
U.S. Treasury Bond, 5.25%, 11/15/2028	\$2,525,900	8.87%
SLMA Note, 2.050%, 4/25/2005	\$2,258,503	7.93%
FNMA Note, 2.030%, 4/28/2005	\$2,007,918	7.05%
FNMA Note, 2.00%, 4/15/2005	\$2,006,619	7.05%
Trust Company of the West		
FHLMC, Variable rate, 6/15/2031	\$3,627,043	12.21%
FNMA REMIC, Variable rate, 6/25/2032	\$3,437,153	11.57%
CWMBS Inc., 6.5%, 6/25/2032	\$2,596,122	8.74%
GNMA REMIC, Variable rate, 11/16/2032	\$2,454,896	8.26%
FNMA REMIC, 6.00%, 1/25/2024	\$2,267,285	7.63%

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



8000 Towers Crescent Drive Suite 1000 Vienna, VA 22182-2700 Telephone: 703/917-0143 Fax: 703/827-9266 www.milliman.com

May 2, 2003

Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System 10680 Main Street, Suite 280 Fairfax, Virginia 22030-3812

Dear Members of the Board:

At your request, we have conducted our annual actuarial valuation of the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System as of July 1, 2002. The results of the valuation are contained in the following report. The purpose of this valuation is discussed in the Board Summary.

Funding Objective

The funding objective of the System for Fiscal Year 2003 is to establish contribution rates which, over time, will remain level as a percent of payroll. In order to achieve this, a contribution rate has been determined which will provide for current cost (i.e., normal cost expressed as a level percent of payroll) plus level percent of payroll amortizations of each layer of the unfunded liability over a 15 year period. This funding objective is currently being realized.

The system changed the funding objective for Fiscal Year 2004. We describe the revised funding objective in the Actuary's Comments section.

Assumptions

The actuarial assumptions used in performing this valuation have been recommended by the actuary and adopted by the Board of Trustees based on Milliman's most recent review of the System's experience (from July 1, 1995 to June 30, 2000). We believe the assumptions used, in the aggregate, reflect our best estimate of anticipated future experience of the plan. The results of this report are dependent upon future experience conforming to these assumptions. To the extent that future experience deviates from these assumptions, the true cost of the plan could vary from our results. Since the prior valuation there have been only minor changes to plan features which did not materially impact the overall contribution rate for the System. However, as will be discussed in the Actuary's Comments section, there were changes in experience and actuarial methods and procedures that significantly impact the System's contribution rate for Fiscal Year 2004.

The assumptions and methods used in performing this valuation meet the parameters set by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans.

Reliance on Others

In preparing our report we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the System's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. Census data provided to us has been reviewed for reasonableness, and for consistency with prior year's data.

Supporting Schedules

We were responsible for all supporting schedules to be found in the Actuarial Section.

We were responsible for all years of the Schedule of Funding Progress, Schedule of Employer Contributions and Notes to Required Supplementary Information shown in the Financial Section.

Compliance with Code of Virginia §51.1-800

Code of Virginia 51.1-800 requires that the benefits provided to a retiree at age 65 from a local retirement system equal or exceed two-thirds of the allowance to which the employee would be entitled under the provisions of the Virginia Retirement System (VRS). The Board of Trustees of the VRS is to determine whether a local system satisfies this condition, taking into account differences in member contributions between the local system and the VRS.

Although there is no formal procedure for making this comparison, we have compared the least valuable rate under the Police Officers Plan to the most valuable accrual rate under the VRS, making adjustments for the fact that employee contributions are required in excess of the VRS 5% rate. The employer provided accrual rates do exceed two-thirds of the employer provided accrual rates under the VRS plan.

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and understanding, the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System satisfies the requirements of the Code of Virginia 51.1-800.

Certification

I, Robert Dezube and I, John C. Muehl, are consulting actuaries for Milliman, USA. We are also members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet their Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

We hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the applicable Guides to Professional Conduct, amplifying Opinions, and supporting Recommendations and Interpretations of the American Academy of Actuaries.

Sincerely,

Milliman USA

John C. Muehl, FSA Consulting Actuary Robert S. Dezube, FSA Consulting Actuary

MILLIMAN USA

Overview

This report presents the results of the July 1, 2002 actuarial valuation of the Fairfax County Police Officers Retirement System. The primary purposes of performing the annual actuarial valuation are to:

- determine the contributions to be paid by the County in fiscal year 2004;
- measure and disclose, as of the valuation date, the financial condition of the System;
- · indicate trends in the financial progress of the System;
- provide specific information and documentation required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

This section of the report presents a summary of the above information in the form of:

- the actuary's comments;
- the prior year's experience of the System's assets, liabilities, contributions, and membership;
- · a series of graphs which highlight key trends experienced by the System; and
- a summary of all the principal results from this year's valuation, compared to the prior year's, in a single table, intended for quick reference purposes.

Actuary's Comments

The System had an investment return of negative 5.07% for the year, considerably below the 7.5% assumption. This was a significant factor leading to an unfunded liability of \$28.2 million as of July 1, 2002. This compares to a \$6.0 million unfunded liability measured as of July 1, 2001. In relative terms, the funding ratio (assets divided by liabilities) fell from 99.0% to 95.7%.

This marks the second consecutive period of unfavorable market return (less than the 7.5% assumption). Therefore, the impact on the actuarial, or smoothed, value of assets is more significant without the help of stored gains as in prior years. On this smoothed basis, the System earned 2.99%, approximately 4.5% less than our assumption. This resulted in an investment loss of \$27.5 million. Combined with a liability gain of \$6.5 million, the System experienced a net actuarial loss of \$21.0 million.

This report reflects a significant change to the way the System determines the contribution rate. The Board of Supervisors approved the use of a corridor method to fund the System. Under this funding approach, the County's contribution rate is equal to the normal cost rate plus expense rate determined as of the implementation date of the corridor method (July 1, 2001). This rate will remain the same as long as the System's actuarial funded status remains within a corridor of 90% to 120%. The County's contribution rate will change due to changes in benefits. The new rate will reflect the change in normal cost rate and 15 year funding of the change in actuarial accrued liability. The normal cost rate and actuarial accrued liability will continue to be measured using the entry age funding method. If the funded status falls outside the corridor a credit (if above 120%) or charge (if below 90%) will be established based on a 15-year amortization equal to the amount necessary to re-enter the corridor. Once the funded status is within the corridor the contribution rate will return to normal cost rate plus expense rate.

The plan was amended to grant an ad hoc COLA of 0.67% to all retirees effective July 1, 2002.

(Continued)

The results of this valuation report disclose the actuarially determined rate, which will be used for purposes of disclosing the Annual Required Contribution rate under Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25 (GASB #25). The analysis in this report will focus on the actuarially determined rate, but will footnote the resulting contribution rate from the corridor method.

Finally, while the results are not on the favorable side this year, we emphasize again that financing a retirement system is a long-term proposition. Annual fluctuations are to be expected and should not by themselves be causes for concern. In our opinion the System's overall financial condition is healthy and there are procedures, assumptions and methods in place which adequately and appropriately finance the emerging long-term liabilities of the System.

The balance of this section summarizes System trends and provides the principal details on this year's experience.

Prior Year Experience

ASSETS

Plan assets for this System are measured on both a market value and an actuarial value basis. The actuarial value is established by phasing in investment experience outside of the actuarial assumptions at a rate of 33-1/3% per year. In periods of high return, this method significantly limits the amount of asset gain which is recognized, or conversely, the amount of asset loss recognized when returns are abnormally low. The advantage of this approach is that costs are more stable over time. The plan does not feel the full immediate impact of lower (or higher) costs when assets increase (or decrease) dramatically.

For the plan year ending June 30, 2002, the System earned negative 5.07% on a market value basis, and 2.99% on an actuarial value basis. The return, on both a market and actuarial basis, was lower than the assumption of 7.5%, which produces a loss (when compared to the expected growth using the 7.5% assumption) to the System of \$76.0 million (market value), and a loss of \$27.5 million (actuarial value). The specific changes between the prior year amounts and this year's are presented below.

Item	Market Value	Actuarial Value
July 1, 2001 value	\$605,386,895	\$611,514,245
Employer Contributions	15,077,920	15,077,920
Member Contributions	8,335,903	8,335,903
Benefit Payments and Expenses	(24,822,384)	(24,822,384)
Expected Investment Earnings (7.5%)	45,351,196	45,810,747
Expected value July 1, 2002	649,329,530	655,916,431
Investment gain (loss)	(76,012,875)	(27,533,258)
July 1, 2002 value	\$573,316,655	\$628,383,173

(Continued)

LIABILITIES

Three different measures of liabilities are calculated for this System: a total value of future obligations, an actuarial liability, and an accounting liability. Each type of liability is distinguished by the people ultimately using the figures, and the purpose for which they are using them.

Total future obligations liability is used for analyzing the financial outlook of the System. This represents the amount of money needed today to fully pay off all future benefits and expenses of the System, assuming participants continue to accrue benefits.

Actuarial liability is used for funding calculations and GASB disclosures. Taking the total future obligations and subtracting the present value of future member contributions and future employer normal costs under an acceptable actuarial funding method calculate this liability. The entry age normal funding method is used.

Accrued liability is used for communicating the current level of liabilities. This liability represents the total amount of money needed today to fully pay off the current accrued obligations of the System, assuming no future accruals of benefits. This liability is required for accounting purposes under Statement No. 35 of the Financial Accounting Standards Board.

Only the actuarial liability is analyzed in terms of a gain or loss experience, which then is used to determine the System's funding and GASB disclosures. During the plan year ending in 2002, the actuarial liabilities experienced a gain of \$6.5 million, which is 1.0% of the total actuarial liability being measured. We will continue to monitor gains and losses to ensure there is no significant pattern.

Liabilities	Total Value	Actuarial	Accounting
July 1, 2001	\$851,315,006	\$617,510,212	\$521,796,639
July 1, 2002	\$905,986,939	\$656,615,241	\$549,467,136

UNFUNDED LIABILITIES AND FUNDING RATIOS

The difference between assets and liabilities is the unfunded liability. This is measured in two ways: unfunded actuarial liabilities, which compare the actuarial liabilities to the actuarial asset value, and unfunded accrued benefits, which compare the accounting liability to the market value of assets. These amounts are shown for July 1, 2001 and July 1, 2002, as well as the corresponding funded ratios for each (assets divided by liabilities).

Unfunded Liabilities	Actuarial	Accounting
Net (Surplus) Unfunded July 1, 2001 Funded Ratio	\$5,995,967 99.0%	\$(83,590,256) 116.0%
Net (Surplus) Unfunded July 1, 2002 Funded Ratio	\$28,232,068 95.7%	\$(23,849,519) 104.3%

(Continued)

CONTRIBUTIONS

This summary presents the County contribution rate and compares it to the rate developed in the July 1, 2001 actuarial valuation. Due to the net impact of investment and liability gain, the County contribution rate, payable in FY 2004 on the GASB disclosure basis increased by 0.86% of payroll. It is important to note that this is not the contribution rate that the County has adopted in either FY 2003 or FY 2004. The Corridor Funding Method produced a contribution rate of 17.30% in the July 1, 2001 valuation. This rate was adopted for Fiscal Year 2003. In the July 1, 2002 valuation, the Corridor Funding Method produced a contribution rate of 17.62% for Fiscal Year 2004.

Rate as Percent of Covered Payroll - GASB Disclosure

July 1, 2001 valuation rate; FY 2003 contribution rate	19.97%
Increase due to Ad-Hoc COLA	0.32%
Increase due to investment loss (gain)	3.45%
Decrease due to liability loss (gain)	-2.94%
July 1, 2002 valuation rate; FY 2004 contribution rate*	20.80%

^{*}For 2002 this represents a fresh start to a 15-year amortization period.

Rate as Percent of Covered Payroll - Corridor Method

July 1, 2001 valuation rate; FY 2003 contribution rate	17.30%
Increase due to Ad-Hoc COLA	0.32%
July 1, 2002 valuation rate; FY 2004 contribution rate*	17.62%

MEMBERSHIP

There are three types of plan participants: current active workers, terminated workers who retain a right to a deferred vested benefit, and retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits. Below are totals for each group as of July 1, 2002 and 2001.

There was an overall increase in participation during the year.


	7/1/2002	7/1/2001	Change
Active participants	1,192	1,169	2.0%
Terminated vested participants	9	4	125.0%
Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits	657	631	4.1%
Total participants	1,858	1,804	3.0%


Trends

To truly understand the financial condition of the pension plan, a review of the prior years' funded status is helpful in seeing the big picture and general trend evolving. On the following page are three charts which present trend information from 1991 through the end of 2002. Comments on each chart follow.

(Continued)







COMMENTS

The asset/liability chart places into perspective the aforementioned investment and liability performance losses of this past year. The ratio of assets to liabilities (i.e., funding ratio) remains quite high and at one point was as high as 99.0%.

The cash flows chart presents an emerging trend that will have investment implications. It is a trend being faced by many public retirement systems, with the aging of our baby boomer generation. Payments to retirees are on the increase, while cash into the fund, from employer and employee contributions is stable or declining. Another significant contributing factor is the increasing ratio of retirees to actives, which is due to the stabilization of the active workforce. This is anticipated and essentially explains the need to build up assets in the System.

Finally, the contributions chart shows the fluctuation in the County contribution rate and the impact of the Corridor Method of determining the County contributions.

(Continued)

SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL RESULTS

This table compares the principal results from the 2002 and 2001 valuations.

Participant Data	July 1, 2002	July 1, 2001	Percent Change
Number of:			
Active members	1,192	1,169	2.0%
Retired members and beneficiaries	657	631	4.1%
Vested former members	9	4	125.0%
Annual salaries of active members	\$67,478,038	\$63,997,646	5.4%
Annual benefits for retired members, and beneficiaries	\$24,968,523	\$23,364,401	6.9%
Assets and Liabilities	July 1, 2002	July 1, 2001	Percent Change
Total actuarial liability	\$656,615,241	\$617,510,212	6.3%
Assets for valuation purposes	\$628,383,173	\$611,514,245	2.8%
Unfunded actuarial liability	\$28,232,068	\$5,995,967	370.9%
Funded ratio	95.7%	99.0%	‰ N/A
Present value of accrued benefits	\$549,467,136	\$521,796,639	5.3%
Market value of assets	573,316,655	605,386,895	-5.3%
Unfunded FASB accrued liability	(\$23,849,519)	(\$83,590,256	5) -71.5%
Accrued benefit funding ratio	104.3%	116.0	% N/A
Contributions (as percent of payroll)	Fiscal Y	ear 2004 Fisc	al year 2003

Contributions (as percent of payroll)	Fiscal Year 2004	Fiscal year 2003
GASB Method Employer normal cost rate Unfunded actuarial liability contribution	17.00% 3.53%	17.00% 2.67%
Administrative expense Total employer contribution - GASB method	0.30% 19.97%	0.30% 19.97%
Corridor Method Employer normal cost rate	17.00%	17.00%
Increase due to Ad-Hoc COLA Administrative expense	0.32% 0.30%	0.00% 0.30%
Total employer contribution - corridor method	17.62%	17.30%

SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Funding Method

The funding method used for this valuation is the "aggregate accrual modification of the entry age normal cost method." Under this method, the employer contribution has three components—the normal cost, the payment toward the unfunded actuarial liability, and the expense rate.

The normal cost is a level percent of pay cost which, along with the member contributions, will pay for projected benefits at retirement for the average plan participant. The level percent developed is called the normal cost rate and the product of that rate and payroll is the normal cost.

The actuarial liability is that portion of the present value of projected benefits that will not be paid by future employer normal costs or member contributions. The difference between this liability and funds accumulated as of the same date is referred to as the unfunded actuarial liability.

The expense rate is added to cover the System's administrative expenses.

Actuarial Value of Assets

For purposes of determining the County contribution to the plan we use an actuarial value of assets. The asset adjustment method dampens the volatility in asset values that could occur because of fluctuations in market conditions. Use of an asset smoothing method is consistent with the long term nature of the actuarial valuation process.

In determining the actuarial value of assets, we calculate an expected actuarial value based on cash flow for the year and imputed returns at the actuarial assumption. This expected value is compared to the market value and one-third of the difference is added to the preliminary actuarial value to arrive at the final actuarial value.

Changes Since Last Valuation

There have been no changes since the last valuation.

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Long Term Assumptions Used to Determine System Costs and Liabilities

Demographic Assumptions

Mortality

1994 Uninsured Pensioners Mortality Table Annual Deaths Per 1,000 Members*

Age	Male Deaths	Female Deaths	Age	Male Deaths	Female Deaths
20	1	0	65	16	9
25	1	0	70	26	15
30	1	0	75	40	24
35	1	1	80	67	42
40	1	1	85	105	73
45	2	1	90	164	125
50	3	2	95	251	200
55	5	2	100	341	297
60	9	5	105	441	415

^{*20%} of deaths are assumed to be service-connected.

Termination of Employment: (Prior to Normal Retirement Eligibility)

Annual Terminations per 1,000 Members

Years of Service	Terminations
0	100
1	75
2	50
3	50
4	30
5 or more	15

It is assumed that members who terminate before normal or early retirement age elect to receive a refund of contributions instead of vested benefits.

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

(Continued)

Disability

	Annual Disabilities per 1,000 Members*		Annual Deaths Per 1,000 Disabled Members 1994 Uninsured Pensioner Mortality Table +5	
Age	Male and Female	Age	Male	Female
20	2	40	2	1
25	2	45	3	2
30	2	50	5	2
35	2	55	9	5
40	2	60	16	9
45	4	65	26	15
50	6	70	40	24
55	6	75	67	42
60	6	80	105	73

^{*70%} of disabilities are assumed to be service-connected. Of these, 100% are assumed to receive Workers Compensation benefits.

Retirement

Years of Service	Retirement po Hired pre-7/1/81	er 1,000 eligible: Hired post-7/1/81
20	250	N/A
21	250	N/A
22	250	N/A
23	250	N/A
24	250	N/A
25	250	250
26	250	250
27	250	250
28	250	250
29	250	250
30	1000	1000

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

(Continued)

Merit/Seniority Salary Increase (In addition to across-the-board increase)

Years of Service	Merit/Seniority Increase
0	6.0%
5	6.0%
10	3.1%
15	2.9%
20	1.2%
25	0.9%
30	0.0%

Family Composition

For purposes of valuing the pre-retirement death benefit, an assumption concerning how many employees are married is needed. The assumption used in this valuation is that 80% of employees are married at death while active and that the female spouse is 5 years younger than the male spouse. In addition, each married employee is assumed to have two children, 22 and 24 years younger than the employee.

Sick Leave Credit

It is assumed that retirees, deferred vested terminations, and deceased members receive an additional 3% of service credit due to sick leave.

Economic Assumptions

Investment Return: 7.50% compounded per annum.

Rate of General Wage Increase: 4.00% compounded per annum.

Rate of Increase in Cost-of-Living: 4.00% compounded per annum.

(Benefit increases limited to 4% per year. Post-retirement cost-of-living

increases are assumed to be 3% per year.)

Total Payroll Increase (for amortization): 4.00% compounded per annum.

Administrative Expenses: 0.30% of payroll.

Changes Since Last Valuation

There have been no changes since the last valuation.

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL EXPERIENCE

Gain and Loss in Accrued Liability During Years Ended June 30 Resulting from Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experience

Type of Activity	1999	2000	2001	2002
Investment income	\$36,306,654	\$ 26,460,234	\$(3,063,676)	\$(27,533,258)
Combined liability experience	(9,545,346)	90,339	12,919,293	6,530,757
Gain (or loss) during year from financial experience	\$26,761,308	\$26,550,573	\$9,855,617	\$(21,002,501)
Non-recurring items	(2,668,187)	(62,239,875)	1,060,013	(2,524,680)
Composite gain (or loss) during year	\$ 24,093,121	\$ (35,689,302)	\$ 10,915,630	\$(23,527,181)

SCHEDULE OF RETIREES AND BENEFICIARIES ADDED TO AND REMOVED FROM ROLLS

	Adde	d to Rolls	Remove	d From Rolls	On Ro	olls @ Yr. End		
Year Ended June 30	No.	Annual Allowance	No.	Annual Allowance	No.	Annual Allowance	% Increase Allowance	Average Allowance
1997	39	\$1,309,515	6	\$132,576	486	\$13,881,225	9.26%	\$28,562
1998	52	1,942,183	6	98,211	532	15,725,197	13.28%	29,559
1999	30	1,471,335	2	47,133	560	17,149,399	9.06%	30,624
2000	35	3,754,716	9	205,494	586	20,698,621	20.70%	35,322
2001	57	2,970,121	12	304,340	631	23,364,402	12.88%	37,028
2002	35	1,885,532	9	281,409	657	24,968,525	6.87%	38,004

SOLVENCY TEST

Aggregate Accrued Liabilities For

Valuation	(1) Active Member	(2) Retirees Vested Terms,	(3) Active Members (Employer	Reported	Liabi	on of Acc lities Cov eported A	/ered
Date	Contributions	Beneficiaries	Financed Portion)	Assets	(1)	(2)	(3)
7/1/97	\$47,116,095	\$220,184,346	\$147,234,163	\$399,772,825	100%	100%	90%
7/1/98	48,387,340	249,724,374	144,615,551	434,259,212	100%	100%	94%
7/1/99	51,421,149	270,112,380	166,417,518	503,649,251	100%	100%	109%
7/1/00	55,487,975	323,515,804	207,935,179	568,941,559	100%	100%	91%
7/1/01	54,082,616	359,197,834	204,229,762	611,514,245	100%	100%	97%
7/1/02	62,004,264	380,114,653	214,496,324	628,383,173	100%	100%	87%

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONS BY SOURCE

	Plan Member Contributions	Employer Contributions	Employer Contributions % of Covered Payroll	Net Investment Income (loss)	Total Additions
8	\$ 6,235,043	\$ 11,321,194	21.79%	\$ 78,218,263	\$ 95,774,500
9	6,861,605	10,685,734	19.40%	42,983,245	60,530,584
0	7,521,134	11,950,073	18.40%	44,579,345	64,050,552
)1	8,038,085	17,149,427	25.69%	(19,333,682)	5,853,830
2	8,335,903	15,077,920	21.79%	(30,661,679)	(7,247,856)
3	8,941,529	12,923,806	17.30%	23,248,712	45,114,047
	cal ar 98 99 90 91 92	ar Contributions 8 \$ 6,235,043 9 6,861,605 7,521,134 1 8,038,085 2 8,335,903	ar Contributions 08 \$ 6,235,043 \$ 11,321,194 09 6,861,605 10,685,734 00 7,521,134 11,950,073 01 8,038,085 17,149,427 02 8,335,903 15,077,920	Cal ar Plan Member ar Contributions Employer Contributions Contributions Contributions 08 \$ 6,235,043 \$ 11,321,194 21.79% 09 6,861,605 10,685,734 19.40% 10 7,521,134 11,950,073 18.40% 11 8,038,085 17,149,427 25.69% 12 8,335,903 15,077,920 21.79%	Cal area Plan Member Contributions Employer Contributions Contributions Contributions Net Investment Income (loss) 88 \$ 6,235,043 \$ 11,321,194 21.79% \$ 78,218,263 99 6,861,605 10,685,734 19.40% 42,983,245 90 7,521,134 11,950,073 18.40% 44,579,345 91 8,038,085 17,149,427 25.69% (19,333,682) 92 8,335,903 15,077,920 21.79% (30,661,679)

SCHEDULE OF DEDUCTIONS BY TYPE

Fiscal Year	Benefit Payments	Refunds of Contributions	Administrative Expenses	Total Deductions
1998	\$ 14,765,658	\$ 476,784	\$ 153,738	\$ 15,396,180
1999	16,428,235	464,747	158,989	17,051,971
2000	17,724,731	530,622	195,729	18,451,082
2001	21,722,991	388,850	217,122	22,328,963
2002	24,024,119	599,127	199,138	24,822,384
2003	25,803,466	525,574	210,934	26,539,974

SCHEDULE OF BENEFIT PAYMENTS BY TYPE

Fiscal Yea Ended June 30	Annuity	Service- Connected Disability	Ordinary Disability	Survivor	Total
1998	\$ 12,939,235	\$ 906,259	\$ 100,147	\$ 820,017	\$ 14,765,658
1999	14,435,055	1,030,037	102,885	860,258	16,428,235
2000	15,660,231	1,096,252	106,195	862,053	17,724,731
2001	19,534,126	1,068,524	136,889	983,452	21,722,991
2002	21,668,153	995,832	150,434	1,209,700	24,024,119
2003	23,352,203	995,254	154,408	1,301,601	25,803,466

SCHEDULE OF RETIRED MEMBERS BY BENEFIT TYPE

Fiscal Year Ended June 30	Annuity	Service- Connected Disability	Ordinary Disability	Survivor	Total
1998	431	38	8	55	532
1999	459	38	8	55	560
2000	481	41	8	56	586
2001	522	40	9	63	634
2002	545	33	9	70	657
2003	569	33	9	72	683

SCHEDULE OF AVERAGE MONTHLY BENEFIT AMOUNTS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30	Annuity	Service- Connected Disability	Ordinary Disability	Survivor	Average
1998	\$ 2,671	\$ 2,121	\$ 1,068	\$ 1,276	\$ 2,463
1999	2,753	2,362	1,095	1,310	2,563
2000	3,214	2,362	1,136	1,398	2,955
2001	3,360	2,432	1,397	1,465	3,088
2002	3,456	2,449	1,430	1,482	3,324
2003	3,602	2,617	1,482	1,561	3,311