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## Subject: Actuary's Certification of the Actuarial Valuation as of August 31, 2009

We certify that the information included herein and contained in the 2009 Actuarial Valuation Report is accurate and fairly presents the actuarial position of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) as of August 31, 2009.

All calculations have been made in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, and with the Actuarial Standards of Practice issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. In our opinion, the results presented comply with the requirements of the Texas statutes and, where applicable, the Internal Revenue Code, ERISA, and the Statements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The undersigned are independent actuaries. Mr. Carter and Mr. Newton are members of the American Academy of Actuaries, and are also Enrolled Actuaries. All are experienced in performing valuations for large public retirement systems.

## Actuarial Valuations

The primary purpose of the valuation report is to determine the adequacy of the current State contribution rate through measuring the resulting funding period, to describe the current financial condition of the System, and to analyze changes in the System's condition. In addition, the report provides information required by the System in connection with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25 (GASB No. 25), and it provides various summaries of the data.

Valuations are prepared annually, as of August 31 of each year, the last day of the System's plan and fiscal year.

## Financing Objective of the Plan

Contribution rates are established by Law that, over time, are intended to remain level as a percent of payroll. The employee and State contribution rates have been set by Law and are intended to provide for the normal cost plus the level percentage of payroll required to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over a period not in excess of 31 years.
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## Progress Toward Realization of Financing Objective

The actuarial accrued liability, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL), and the calculation of the resulting funding period illustrate the progress toward the realization of financing objectives. Based on this actuarial valuation as of August 31, 2009, the System's under-funded status has increased to $\$ 21.6$ billion from $\$ 11.5$ billion as of August 31, 2008. This increase in the UAAL is due to a loss on the actuarial value of assets of the System.

This valuation shows a normal cost equal to $10.42 \%$ of pay. The State set its contribution rate to $6.40 \%$ of pay as of September 1, 2009, which combined with the member contribution rate of $6.40 \%$ of pay provides a total contribution rate of $12.80 \%$ of pay. Therefore, there is $2.38 \%$ of pay available to amortize the UAAL. If payroll grows as expected, the contributions provided by this portion of the contribution rate are insufficient to amortize the current unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of the System over any period of time (i.e. the funding period is never). Further, if the current assumptions are met (the trust earns an average $8.0 \%$ per annum) and the current $6.40 \%$ member and $6.40 \%$ State contribution rates continue, the fund is projected to remain solvent until the year 2058, after which the funding would return to a pay-as-you-go status. Therefore, for the current benefit structure to be sustainable, it is likely an increase in the contribution requirement will be needed.

The actuarial valuation report as of August 31, 2009 reveals that while the System has an unfunded liability in excess of $\$ 21$ billion, it still has a funded ratio (the ratio of actuarial assets to actuarial accrued liability) of $83.1 \%$. However, because of the significant shortfall in investment income in FY2009, the System is now deferring net investment losses of $\$ 17.7$ billion compared to the last valuation when the System was deferring $\$ 5.3$ billion in net investment losses. Therefore, in the absence of actuarial gains in the future, the funded status of the System should decline as these deferred investment losses are recognized.

However, there has been a significant recovery since the February $28^{\text {th }}$ update. As of the update, the UAAL was $\$ 40.4$ billion and the funded ratio was $67.7 \%$. The increase from a $67.7 \%$ funded ratio to the current $83.1 \%$ is almost as dramatic as the decrease from the prior valuation. This illustrates the unique volatility during the past 24 months.

The System earned a negative (13.5)\% return on a dollar-weighted market value of assets basis for the plan year ending August 31, 2009. The System experienced a loss on the actuarial value of assets of $\$ 10.3$ billion and a gain on the actuarial liabilities of $\$ 0.3$ billion for a total experience related loss of $\$ 10.0$ billion.

We normally only recognize $20 \%$ of a given year's investment income excess/(shortfall) in the valuation. However, with the sharp decline in the market value of assets this year, the preliminary actuarial value of assets was outside the $80 \%-120 \%$ market value corridor. Therefore, the actuarial value of assets was decreased until it was equal to $120 \%$ of the market value of assets. This resulted in
the additional recognition of $\$ 5.4$ billion in investment losses in this valuation (or more than $1 / 2$ of the actuarial loss on assets).

In the absence of significant actuarial gains in the near future, the contribution rate needed to amortize the UAAL over 30 years will increase over the next few valuation cycles.

## Plan Provisions

The plan provisions used in the actuarial valuation are described in Table 21 of the valuation report. This valuation would reflect any changes to plan provisions as enacted by the $81^{\text {st }}$ Texas Legislature, but there were no material changes passed by the legislature. Therefore, there have been no changes to the benefit provisions of the System since the prior valuation.

## Disclosure of Pension Information

Effective for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1996, the Board of Trustees adopted compliance with the requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25. The required disclosure information is included in the body of the valuation report.

## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The actuarial methods and assumptions have been selected by the Board of Trustees of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas based upon our analysis and recommendations. These assumptions and methods are detailed in Table 22 of the valuation report. The Board of Trustees has sole authority to determine the actuarial assumptions used for the plan. The actuarial methods and assumptions are based on a study of actual experience for the four year period ending August 31, 2007 and were adopted on April 11, 2008. There have been no changes to these assumptions since the prior valuation.

The results of the actuarial valuation are dependent on the actuarial assumptions used. Actual results can and almost certainly will differ, as actual experience deviates from the assumptions. Even seemingly minor changes in the assumptions can materially change the liabilities, calculated contribution rates and funding periods. The actuarial calculations are intended to provide information for rational decision making.

In our opinion, the actuarial assumptions used are appropriate for purposes of the valuation and are internally consistent and reasonably related to the experience of the System and to reasonable expectations. The actuarial assumptions and methods used in this report comply with the parameters for disclosure that appear in GASB 25.

## Data

In preparing the August 31, 2009 actuarial valuation, we have relied upon member and asset data provided by the Teacher Retirement System of Texas. We have not subjected this data to any auditing procedures, but have examined the data for reasonableness and for consistency with prior years' data.

The schedules shown in the actuarial section and the trend data schedules in the financial section of the TRS financial report include selected actuarial information prepared by TRS staff. Six year historical information included in these schedules was based upon our work. For further information please see the full actuarial valuation report.

Respectfully submitted,
Gabriel, Roeder, Smith \& Company

W. Michael Carter, FSA, EA, MAAA
Senior Consultant

Leers Ward
Lewis Ward
Consultant


Joseph P. Newton, FSA, EA, MAAA
Senior Consultant
kb
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## SECTION A

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The investment losses from fiscal year 2009 have dramatically impacted the outlook of the System. The actuarial valuation of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) as of August 31, 2009, indicates that the System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) has increased substantially since the prior valuation from $\$ 11.5$ billion in 2008 to $\$ 21.6$ billion in 2009. As such, the current contribution rate is insufficient to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of the System.

In addition, without significant actuarial gains in the near future, the calculated contribution rate (currently $7.72 \%$ ) will increase significantly over the next several valuations as the deferred investment losses are recognized.

We recommend that any benefit enhancements be fully funded by separate appropriation on their own merit, rather than adding new unfunded liabilities to the System. The key results of this valuation as of August 31, 2009, may be summarized as follows.

| Item |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Membership <br> - Number of <br> - Active members <br> - Service retirees <br> - Disabled retirees <br> - Beneficiaries <br> - Inactive, vested <br> - Inactive, nonvested <br> - Total <br> - Payroll | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 817,537 \\ 265,634 \\ 8,611 \\ 10,369 \\ 59,884 \\ 111,547 \\ 1,273,582 \\ 35.097 \text { billion } \end{array}$ | \$ | 801,455 256,541 8,556 10,131 56,300 108,288 $1,241,271$ 33.238 billion |
| Statutory contribution rates <br> - State <br> - Member |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.40 \% \\ & 6.40 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.58 \% \\ & 6.40 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Actuarial Information <br> - Normal cost \% <br> - Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) <br> - UAAL as \% of pay <br> - Funded ratio <br> - Funding period (years) <br> - GASB Annual Required Contribution (30 Year Amortization based on the Actuarial Value of Assets) | \$ | $10.42 \%$ 21.646 billion $61.7 \%$ $83.1 \%$ Never $7.72 \%$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.42 \% \\ 11.523 \text { billion } \\ 34.7 \% \\ 90.5 \% \\ 20.7 \text { years } \\ 6.10 \% \end{array}$ |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Item | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets <br> - Market value <br> - Actuarial value <br> - Estimated yield on market value <br> - Estimated yield on actuarial value <br> - Ratio of actuarial to market value <br> - Employee contributions, including service purchases <br> - State contributions <br> - Employer contributions <br> - Benefit, refund, and expense payments <br> - Net external cash flow | $\$$ 88.653 billion <br> $\$$ 106.384 billion <br>  $-13.5 \%$ <br>  $-1.5 \%$ <br>  $120.0 \%$ <br> $\$$ $2,181.6$ million <br>  $1,758.6$ million <br>  412.7 million <br>  $6,707.6$ million <br>  $(2,354.7)$ million | $\$$ 104.910 billion <br> $\$$ 110.233 billion <br>  $-4.2 \%$ <br>  $9.2 \%$ <br>  $105.1 \%$ <br> $\$$ $2,085.3$ million <br>  $1,704.1$ million <br>  353.5 million <br>  $6,785.6$ million <br>  $(2,642.7)$ million |
| Gains/(losses) <br> - Asset experience <br> - Assumption changes/Legislative changes <br> - Liability experience <br> - Total | $\begin{array}{r} \$(10,320.9) \text { million } \\ 0.0 \text { million } \\ \$ \frac{347.2 \text { million }}{(9,973.7) \text { million }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ \quad \begin{array}{r} 1,231.5 \text { million } \\ (676.0) \text { million } \\ 694.4 \text { million } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Actuarial Information based on Market Value of Assets <br> - Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) <br> - UAAL as \% of pay <br> - Funded ratio <br> - Funding period (years) <br> - GASB Annual Required Contribution |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 16,846 million } \\ 50.7 \% \\ 86.2 \% \\ 46 \text { years } \\ 7.06 \% \end{array}$ |


| Item | UAAL (\$ Millions) | Funding Period | $\begin{gathered} \text { GASB } \\ \text { ARC } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. 2008 Valuation | \$11,523 | 21 years | 6.10\% |
| 2. Expected 2009 UAAL using expected contributions* | 11,561 | 20 years | 6.07\% |
| 3. Expected 2009 UAAL using actual contributions* | 11,672 | 20 years | 6.09\% |
| 4. 2009 UAAL using expected assets and actual liabilities | 11,325 | 19 years | 6.03\% |
| 5. 2009 UAAL recognizing past deferred asset gains/(losses) | 11,393 | 19 years | 6.04\% |
| 6. 2009 UAAL using actual assets and liabilities, expected payroll | 21,646 | Never | 7.86\% |
| 7. 2009 UAAL using actual payroll | 21,646 | Never | 7.79\% |
| 8. 2009 UAAL reamortizing to 30 years | 21,646 | Never | 7.72\% |
| 9. 2009 UAAL change to contribution rate | 21,646 | Never | 7.72\% |
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## SECTION B

INTRODUCTION

## INTRODUCTION

The valuation of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) as of August 31, 2009, reflects the following contribution rates: (a) a member contribution rate of $6.40 \%$, and (b) a State contribution rate of $6.40 \%$ (effective September 1, 2009). For purposes of determining the funding period, it was assumed that the current ( $6.40 \%$ ) State contribution rate would remain in place indefinitely.

In preparing this valuation, Gabriel, Roeder, Smith \& Company (GRS) has relied on employee data and asset information provided by the staff of the Teacher Retirement System. While not verifying the data at their source, GRS has performed such tests for consistency and reasonableness as has been deemed necessary to be satisfied with the appropriateness of using the data supplied.

Section A contains an executive summary of the most significant valuation results. The basic results of the valuation are covered in Section C. Section D contains the necessary disclosure items required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Section E provides analysis and discussion of changes in assets. Section F produces a determination of actuarial gains and losses for the year and an analysis of the change in the funding period since the prior year's valuation. Section G summarizes the findings of the valuation, and Section H provides the tables supporting the report.

There have been no changes in the benefit provisions of TRS since the prior valuation.
This valuation utilizes actuarial assumptions and methods modified as a result of the Experience Study for the four-year period ending August 31, 2007. These assumptions and methods were adopted by the Board on April 11, 2008.

## SECTION C <br> FUNDED STATUS OF THE SYSTEM

## FUNDED STATUS OF THE SYSTEM

Table 3 in Section H details the normal cost of the Retirement System by its various components. This normal cost is developed based on the valuation method known as the entry-age-normal actuarial cost method. The total normal cost for the Retirement System is $10.42 \%$ of pay, this amount being inclusive of the amount contributed by the employees. Thus, the net normal cost for the State is $4.02 \%$ of pay based on the member contribution rate of $6.40 \%$.

Since the State contribution rate is $6.40 \%$, this allows $2.38 \%$ of pay contributed by the State to be available to amortize any unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities.

As stated above, the funding period for the System is determined under the entry-age-normal actuarial cost method based on a level percentage of pay. The key points of this method are as follows:

1. The "normal cost" for the System is deemed to be equal to the average cost of benefits for newly hired participants.
2. The "actuarial accrued liability" for benefits payable in the future to present active members is calculated as the present value of benefits payable in the future to present active members less the present value of future normal costs.
3. Funding of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is a function of the rate of future growth in total covered payroll.

Table 5 develops the funding period under the above approach not only for the current valuation, but also for the valuation as of August 31, 2008. As shown in Item A3 of Table 5, the normal cost for the System consists of the entire $6.40 \%$ of pay contributed by the members plus $4.02 \%$ of pay from the State. As developed in Item A4, the $6.40 \%$ of pay contributed by the State is $2.38 \%$ of pay more than the State normal cost. From an actuarial perspective, the contribution rate in excess of the System's normal cost should be sufficient to amortize the UAAL over a reasonable period of time. The current contribution rate in excess of the System's normal cost ( $2.38 \%$ ) is insufficient to amortize the System's UAAL. If the member rate remains unchanged, the State rate would need to increase to $7.72 \%$ to amortize the UAAL over a period of 30 years.

The 2010/2011 General Appropriations Act includes funding for a one-time supplemental payment of $\$ 500$ for current retirees. This appropriation is contingent upon a ruling by the Attorney General's office that such a payment is permissible under State law. If the Attorney General determines this payment is not permissible, the additional appropriation will be contributed to the Trust as additional contributions, increasing the State contribution rate to an effective $6.644 \%$ for the biennium. This increase to the State's contribution rate would be a positive for the System actuarially. However, the ARC of $7.72 \%$ would still not be met and the funding period based upon the $6.644 \%$ contribution rate would continue to be "Never".

Table 2 provides an overall summary of key actuarial data for the 2009 valuation, with comparative data for 2008. This information is summarized from the other tables, which supply more detail. Its value is in providing in one convenient place key comparative valuation results.

Table 7 offers a comparative view of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). It compares the UAAL with three items: the covered payroll for the year, the total actuarial value of assets at the end of the year, and the total actuarial liabilities (or, equivalently, the total present value of future benefits) as of the valuation date.

The UAAL as shown in Item B4 of Table 5 is $\$ 21.6$ billion for 2009, an increase from $\$ 11.5$ billion in 2008. As indicated in the table, the UAAL equals the difference between the total actuarial accrued liability (Item B2d) and current actuarial assets (Item B3). The excess contributions above the normal cost will be used to help reduce the UAAL. As a result of the significant shortfall in investment income in fiscal year 2009, the System is now deferring $\$ 17.7$ billion in net investment losses (the difference between the market value of assets and the actuarial value of assets). In the absence of a significant recovery in the investment markets, the UAAL should increase over the next four valuations.

In determining the number of years that will be required to amortize the UAAL, an assumption is made concerning future growth of the payroll of the System. GASB Statement No. 25 requires that the payroll growth assumption not consider growth in the active employee census. Under GASB 25 the appropriate payroll growth assumption is $3.50 \%$.

As shown in Item B6 of Table 5 and using the assumed rate of increase in covered payroll of $3.50 \%$, the period to fund the UAAL is "Never". An analysis of the change in the UAAL and the funding period since the 2008 valuation is provided in Section F.

The actuarial value of assets is developed in Tables 4a \& 4b. It should be remembered that the intent of the actuarial asset valuation method is to smooth out year-to-year fluctuations in market rates of return. It accomplishes this smoothing effect by recognizing the excess or shortfall in total market return over the expected return at the rate of $20 \%$ per year over a five year period. The excess or shortfall of investment income attributable to the most recent four years is shown in Table 4a.

While the design of the actuarial asset valuation method is to smooth out year-to-year fluctuations in market rates of return, the method is also designed to not allow the actuarial value of assets to drift too far from the actual market value of assets. To accomplish this goal, a corridor is established around the market value of assets (not less than $80 \%$ or more than $120 \%$ of the market value of assets). If the actuarial value of assets using the smoothing technique produces a preliminary actuarial value of assets that is outside of the corridor, then the actuarial value of assets is set equal to the nearest corridor threshold.

As shown on Table 4a, the System expected to earn $\$ 8.3$ billion in fiscal year 2009. The System actually had a negative return of ( $\$ 14.0$ ) billion. Hence, the System was short of its expected income by $\$ 22.3$ billion. Normally, only $20 \%$ of this unfavorable experience is recognized in the valuation.

However, as shown in Table 4b, because of the $80 \%$ - $120 \%$ market value of assets corridor, an additional $24 \%$ of this year's investment shortfall is being recognized in this valuation.

The 2002 valuation was the first time this corridor had impacted the actuarial value of assets. At the 2003 valuation the actuarial value of assets returned to a value that was inside the corridor, and it has remained there until this valuation. The preliminary actuarial value of assets is $\$ 111.8$ billion as shown in Item 4 of Table 4 b . After reflecting the market value of assets corridor, the actuarial value of assets was set to $\$ 106.4$ billion, as shown in Item 6 of Table 4 b . This number is equal to $120.0 \%$ of the market value of assets.

Under the asset smoothing methodology, as may be seen in Item 2 of Table 4b, the AVA methodology is deferring net investment losses. This means that the System could experience losses on the actuarial value of assets for the near future. If the investment markets do not provide offsetting investment gains in the near future, these losses could be significant. The actuarial asset yield for 2009 is (1.5)\%, lower than the assumed rate of $8.0 \%$. The market return for fiscal year 2009 was a negative (13.5)\%.

As noted above, the System has an infinite funding period. The System has an unfunded liability of $\$ 21.6$ billion, and $\$ 17.7$ billion in net deferred investment losses. Because of the fiscal year 2009 market performance, the 30 year ARC is expected to increase over the next four valuations.

## SECTION D <br> GASB DISCLOSURE

## GASB DISCLOSURE

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has issued Statement No. 25 which provides the manner in which the actuarial condition of a public sector retirement plan is to be disclosed and which replaces GASB No. 5.

TRS elected to comply with GASB No. 25 beginning with the fiscal and plan year ending August 31, 1996. The required actuarial disclosure tables are represented by Tables $14 \mathrm{a}-14 \mathrm{c}$.

GASB No. 25 provides for a calculation of an annual required contribution (ARC). The ARC for TRS is the amount necessary to pay the normal cost and amortize the unfunded liabilities of the System over a period of 30 years. For the 2009 valuation, it is $7.72 \%$ of pay for the 2009/2010 plan year. It should also be noted that the $7.72 \%$ ARC assumes a member contribution rate of $6.40 \%$. Per Texas law, the State contribution rate cannot be less than the member rate.

TRS's auditors consider TRS a "special situation multi-employer plan" under GASB 27, and the State has established a Net Pension Obligation. The State's 2009/2010 fiscal year should reflect the difference between its $6.40 \%$ contribution rate and the $7.72 \%$ ARC.

## SECTION E

CHANGE IN ASSETS DURING THE YEAR

## CHANGE IN ASSETS DURING THE YEAR

This section provides an analysis of the change in the Plan Net Assets during the year and an estimate of the yield on mean assets of the total System. Table 8a shows a rearrangement of some of the tables included in the annual financial statements of the System. Table 8b shows the estimated yield on a market value basis and on the actuarial asset valuation method.

To determine estimated yield on "mean assets", the traditional insurance company formula for yield rates is used. The estimated yield is derived by dividing the appropriate income by the corresponding mean assets. This is a "dollar weighted" rate of return, and will differ slightly from the "time weighted" return shown in the System's CAFR.

As indicated by Item A4 of Table 8 b , the estimated yield on mean market value is (13.5)\%, following a (4.2)\% return in 2008. The actuarial asset yield (Item B4) is (1.5)\%, compared to $9.2 \%$ in 2008 , and compared to the $8 \%$ assumption rate. This difference in the estimated yield on market value and actuarial value illustrates the smoothing effect of the asset valuation method.

As mentioned in Section C, the investment results on an actuarial value basis are unfavorable for the 2008/2009 plan year. On an actuarial value basis the System is below its $8 \%$ assumption rate by $9.5 \%$. As a result, the System had an actuarial investment loss of $\$ 10.3$ billion. It should also be noted that the asset valuation method is still deferring $\$ 17.7$ billion in unrecognized net losses into future years. These deferred losses will be recognized over the next four actuarial valuations. In the absence of offsetting gains during the next four years, these losses will reduce the funded status of the System.

## SECTION F

## ACTUARIAL GAINS (LOSSES) AND THE FUNDING PERIOD

## ACTUARIAL GAINS (LOSSES) AND THE FUNDING PERIOD

Section C has noted that the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) has increased from $\$ 11.5$ billion in 2008 to $\$ 21.6$ billion in 2009. The System has gone from a funding period of 20.7 years in 2008 to a funding period of "Never" in 2009. The purpose of this section is to determine the source of the gains and losses and the impact of those gains and losses on the funding period.

Section E has discussed the change in assets for the year. Table 8 b develops the estimated yield for the year based on two measures of asset values. Table 9 takes the information contained in Table 8 and develops the expected value of actuarial assets for this valuation, based on the investment return assumption of $8 \%$.

As shown in Item 7 of Table 9, the expected value of actuarial assets as of August 31, 2009 is $\$ 116.7$ billion. As developed in Table 4, the actual value of actuarial assets as of the valuation date is $\$ 106.4$ billion (as repeated in Item 8 of Table 9). Thus the asset loss for the year is the difference between the actual value and the expected value, or $\$ 10.3$ billion (as shown in Item 9). Item 10 indicates that this loss represents $9.70 \%$ of this year's actuarial assets. This asset loss for the year is a direct reflection of the estimated yield for the year based on the value of actuarial assets, namely (1.5)\% (as shown in Item B4 of Table 8b).

Table 10 develops the total actuarial gain (loss) for the year and separates it between the asset gain (loss) and the liability gain (loss). The items in Table 10 that are used to develop the expected UAAL as of August 31, 2009 are derived from Table 5 and Table 8. The total actuarial loss for the year is seen to be $\$ 10.0$ billion, compared to the 2008 gain of $\$ 1.9$ billion.

Since the asset loss for the year is $\$ 10.3$ billion, this means that there is an overall actuarial gain associated with the liabilities of the System of $\$ 0.3$ billion (total loss of $\$ 10.0$ billion less the asset loss of $\$ 10.3$ billion).

Table 11 traces the changes in the UAAL and the funding period from the valuation as of August 31, 2008, to August 31, 2009.

Item 3 of Table 11 shows the funding status if there had been no actuarial gains or losses in the areas of assets, liabilities, and reflecting the actual State contributions for the 2008/2009 plan year. The UAAL would have increased during the year to $\$ 11.67$ billion.

Item 4 of Table 11 illustrates that the liability experience gain decreased the UAAL to $\$ 11.33$ billion but that the asset loss increased the UAAL to $\$ 21.64$ billion, as shown in Items 5 and 6 . Item 7 shows the impact on the funding period of the covered compensation growing at a higher rate than the assumed rate of $3.5 \%$.

Column 7 traces the change in the GASB Annual Required Contribution (ARC) from the valuation as of August 31, 2008 to August 31, 2009. The ARC has increased from $6.10 \%$ to $7.72 \%$.

What Table 11 illustrates is that the 2009 investment performance combined with the prior years’ performance produces an asset loss on the actuarial assets that increased the UAAL from $\$ 11.5$ billion last year to $\$ 21.6$ billion this year.

## SECTION G

SUMMARY AND CLOSING COMMENTS

## SUMMARY AND CLOSING COMMENTS

The results of the actuarial valuation of the Teacher Retirement System as of August 31, 2009, are concerning. The UAAL increased from last year to this year by $\$ 10.1$ billion, the GASB ARC increased to $7.72 \%$, and the funding period based on the current $6.40 \%$ contribution rate is "Never".

Even though the System recognized a $\$ 10.1$ billion asset loss this year, there are still $\$ 17.7$ billion in deferred investment losses to be recognized over the next four valuations. Therefore, it is likely that the contribution rate will need to increase in order to sustain the current benefit structure over the long term.

While the System's funded status is $83.1 \%$ on actuarial basis, the funded status using the market value of assets is only $69.2 \%$. If there are no significant investment gains or other actuarial gains over the next several years, the funded status of the System would be expected to decline towards this number.

As stated previously, the ARC ( $7.72 \%$ ) is now greater than the current contribution rate being made by the State $(6.40 \%)$. However, if the ARC were calculated using the market value of assets, the ARC would be $10.76 \%$ (if the member rate remains $6.40 \%$ ). In the absence of significant actuarial gains, the ARC will increase towards this number over the next several valuations. Given this outlook it is likely that the 2011 Legislature will need to increase the State contribution for fiscal year 2012 (the member rate may increase as well).

## SECTION H

## ACTUARIAL TABLES

## ACTUARIAL TABLES
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## ACTUARIAL PRESENT VALUE OF FUTURE BENEFITS

| August 31, |
| :---: |
| 2009 |
| $(1)$ |

## A. Present Value of Benefits Presently Being Paid:

1. Service retirement benefits
2. Disability retirement benefits
3. Death benefits
4. Present survivor benefits
5. 13th check payable January 1, 2008
6. Total present value of benefits presently being paid

| \$ | 53,709,973,268 | \$ | 51,453,985,083 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 879,916,286 |  | 874,471,160 |
|  | 766,356,875 |  | 754,788,755 |
|  | 198,255,242 |  | 195,511,577 |
|  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 55,554,501,671 | \$ | 53,278,756,575 |

## B. Present Value of Benefits Payable In the Future

To Present Active Members:

1. Service retirement benefits
2. Disability retirement benefits
3. Termination benefits
4. Death and survivor benefits
5. Total active member liabilities

| \$ | 91,873,230,382 | \$ | 87,025,085,373 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,208,634,286 |  | 1,142,684,668 |
|  | 5,708,049,103 |  | 5,331,386,735 |
|  | 1,446,008,078 |  | 1,359,266,421 |
|  | \$ 100,235,921,849 | \$ | 94,858,423,197 |

## C. Present Value of Benefits Payable In the Future To

Present Inactive Members:

1. Inactive vested participants
a. Retirement benefits
b. Death benefits
c. Total inactive vested benefits
2. Refunds of contributions to inactive nonvested members

| \$ | 1,658,895,358 | \$ | 1,486,427,638 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 115,999,840 |  | 106,067,772 |
| \$ | 1,774,895,198 | \$ | 1,592,495,410 |
|  | 279,784,905 |  | 257,432,662 |
|  | 1,054,137,786 |  | 1,011,941,816 |
| \$ | 3,108,817,889 | \$ | 2,861,869,888 |

## D. Total Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits:

SUMMARY OF COST ITEMS

1. Participants
a. Active contributing members
2. Not in DROP
3. In DROP
b. Active noncontributing members
4. Assumed to be active
5. Assumed to be inactive vested
6. Assumed to be inactive nonvested
7. Total
c. New entrants missing data
d. Active subtotal
e. Inactive members w/deferred benefits
f. Retired members and beneficiaries
g. Subtotal, members
h. Inactive nonvested members due refunds
i. Total membership
8. Covered Payroll
9. Average for Active Members
a. Average age
b. Average years of service
c. Average pay
10. Present Value of Future Pay
11. Normal Cost Rate
a. Gross normal cost
b. Less employee contribution rate
c. State normal cost
12. Present Value of Future Benefits
a. Retired members - in pay or deferred
b. Retired members - future survivor benefits
c. Vested inactive members
d. Active members
e. Inactive nonvested members
f. Total
13. Present Value of Future Normal Costs (employee plus employer)
14. Actuarial Accrued Liability
15. Actuarial Value of Assets
16. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability
17. Employer Contribution Rate
18. Funding Period
19. Estimated Yield on Actuarial Assets
20. GASB 25 Funded Ratio
21. GASB Annual Required Contribution Rate (ARC) for State

$\left.\begin{array}{r}783,005 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$
\$ 35,096,889,837

|  | 44.1 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 9.6 |
| $\$$ | 42,930 |
| $\$$ | $296,256,598,829$ |
|  |  |
|  | $10.42 \%$ |
|  | $(6.40 \%)$ |
|  | $4.02 \%$ |


| $\$$ | $55,554,501,671$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $1,054,137,786$ |
| $1,774,895,198$ |  |
|  | $100,235,921,849$ |
|  | $279,784,905$ |
| $\$$ | $158,899,241,409$ |


| $\$$ | $30,869,937,598$ | $88.0 \%$ | $\$$ | $29,242,507,363$ | $88.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $128,029,303,811$ | $364.8 \%$ | $\$$ | $121,756,542,297$ | $366.3 \%$ |
| $\$$ | $106,383,566,018$ | $303.1 \%$ | $\$$ | $110,233,419,723$ | $331.6 \%$ |
| $\$$ | $21,645,737,793$ | $61.7 \%$ | $\$$ | $11,523,122,574$ | $34.7 \%$ |
|  | $6.40 \%$ |  |  | $6.58 \%$ |  |
|  | Never |  |  | 20.7 years |  |
|  | $-1.5 \%$ |  | $9.2 \%$ |  |  |
|  | $83.1 \%$ |  | $90.5 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $6.10 \%$ |  |  |

## ANALYSIS OF NORMAL COST BY COMPONENT

| Benefit Component | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 31 / 2009 \\ \text { Cost as } \% \text { of Pay } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 31 / 2008 \\ \text { Cost as } \% \text { of Pay } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1. Normal Cost |  |  |
| a. Retirement Benefits | 7.71\% | 7.71\% |
| b. Disability Benefits | 0.17\% | 0.17\% |
| c. Death Benefits (including survivor benefits) | 0.30\% | 0.30\% |
| d. Termination benefits | 2.24\% | 2.24\% |
| e. Total | 10.42\% | 10.42\% |
| 2. Employee Contribution Rate | (6.40\%) | (6.40\%) |
| 3. State Normal Cost (Item 1e-Item 2) | 4.02\% | 4.02\% |

## CALCULATION OF EXCESS INVESTMENT INCOME FOR

## ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS



## DEVELOPMENT OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

| Item | Plan Year Ending August 31, 2009 |  | Plan Year Ending August 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |
| 1. Excess (Shortfall) of invested income for current and previous 3 years |  |  |  |  |
| a. Current year | \$ | $(22,302,721,052)$ | \$ | $(13,497,906,446)$ |
| b. Current year - 1 |  | $(13,497,906,446)$ |  | 6,347,181,174 |
| c. Current year-2 |  | 6,347,181,174 |  | 1,523,531,428 |
| d. Current year - 3 |  | 1,523,531,428 |  | 5,288,408,521 |
| e. Total for four years | \$ | (27,929,914,896) | \$ | $(338,785,323)$ |
| 2. Deferral of excess (shortfall) of invested income |  |  |  |  |
| a. Current year (80\%) | \$ | $(17,842,176,842)$ | \$ | $(10,798,325,157)$ |
| b. Current year - 1 (60\%) |  | $(8,098,743,868)$ |  | 3,808,308,704 |
| c. Current year - $2(40 \%$ ) |  | 2,538,872,470 |  | 609,412,571 |
| d. Current year - 3 (20\%) |  | 304,706,286 |  | 1,057,681,704 |
| e. Total deferred for year | \$ | $(23,097,341,954)$ | \$ | $(5,322,922,178)$ |
| 3. Market value of plan net assets, end of year | \$ | 88,652,971,682 | \$ | 104,910,497,545 |
| 4. Preliminary actuarial value of plan assets, end of year (Item 3 - Item 2e) | \$ | 111,750,313,636 | \$ | 110,233,419,723 |
| 5. Actuarial value of assets corridor |  |  |  |  |
| a. $80 \%$ of market value, end of year | \$ | 70,922,377,346 | \$ | 83,928,398,036 |
| b. $120 \%$ of market value, end of year | \$ | 106,383,566,018 | \$ | 125,892,597,054 |
| 6. Final actuarial value of plan net assets, end of year (Item 4, but not less than Item 5a, and not |  |  |  |  |
| more than Item 5b) | \$ | 106,383,566,018 | \$ | 110,233,419,723 |

# DEVELOPMENT OF YEARS TO FUND THE UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY 

|  | As of August 31, 2009 |  | As of August 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) |  | (2) |  |
| A. Basic Data |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Covered payroll | \$ | 35,096,889,837 | \$ | 33,237,904,457 |
| 2. Present value of future pay | \$ | 296,256,598,829 | \$ | 280,638,266,440 |
| 3. Normal cost rate of benefits |  |  |  |  |
| a. Total normal cost rate <br> b. Less employee contribution rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.42 \% \\ & (6.40 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.42 \% \\ & (6.40 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| c. State normal cost rate |  | 4.02\% |  | 4.02\% |
| 4. State contribution rate for funding unfunded actuarial accrued liability |  |  |  |  |
| a. Total State contribution rate <br> b. Less State normal cost rate |  | $\begin{gathered} 6.40 \% \\ (4.02 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6.58 \% \\ (4.02 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| c. State contribution rate available |  | 2.38\% |  | 2.56\% |
| 5. Actuarial accrued liability for present active members <br> a. Present value of benefits payable in the future to present members <br> b. Less present value of future normal costs | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100,235,921,849 \\ & (30,869,937,598) \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 94,858,423,197 \\ (29,242,507,363) \end{gathered}$ |
| c. Actuarial accrued liability | \$ | 69,365,984,251 | \$ | 65,615,915,834 |
| B. Development of Funding Period |  |  |  |  |
| a. Employee normal cost (Item A3b x Item A 1) | \$ | 2,246,200,950 | \$ | 2,127,225,885 |
| b. State normal cost (Item A3c x Item A 1) |  | 1,410,894,971 |  | 1,336,163,759 |
| c. Total normal cost | \$ | 3,657,095,921 | \$ | 3,463,389,644 |
| 2. Total actuarial accrued liability |  |  |  |  |
| a. Present value of benefits presently being paid | \$ | 55,554,501,671 | \$ | 53,278,756,575 |
| b. Actuarial accrued liability for present active members (Item A5c) |  | 69,365,984,251 |  | 65,615,915,834 |
| c. Present value of benefits for inactive members | \$ | 3,108,817,889 | \$ | 2,861,869,888 |
| d. Total | \$ | 128,029,303,811 | \$ | 121,756,542,297 |
| 3. Current actuarial assets |  | 106,383,566,018 |  | 110,233,419,723 |
| 4. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) (Item B2d - Item B3) | \$ | 21,645,737,793 | \$ | 11,523,122,574 |
| 5. Amount of State contribution available to fund unfunded actuarial accrued liability (Item A4c x Item A 1) | \$ | 835,305,978 | \$ | 850,890,354 |
| 6. Years to fund unfunded actuarial accrued liability |  | Never |  | 20.7 years |
| Rate of Increase in Covered Payroll |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00\% |  | Never |  | Never |
| 3.00\% |  | Never |  | 22.2 |
| 3.50\% |  | Never |  | 20.7 |
| 4.25\% |  | 77.3 |  | 19.0 |
| 6.00\% |  | 36.9 |  | 16.1 |
| 7. Annual Required Contribution Rate (ARC) (Normal cost + 30-year amortization of UAAL) |  | 7.72\% |  | 6.10\% |

## GROWTH OF COVERED PAYROLL AND ACTIVE MEMBERS

|  | Covered Payroll |  |  | Active Members |  |  |  | Average Salary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ending August 31, |  | ount in \$ <br> illions | Percent <br> Increase |  | Number | Percent <br> Increase | Compound Increase Between Year Indicated and 08-31-2009 |  | Average Salary | Percent <br> Increase | Compound Increase <br> Between Year Indicated and 08-31-2009 |
| \% (1) |  | (2) | \% (3) |  | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ (6) |  | (7) | \% (8) | (9) |
| 1980 | \$ | 4,378 | 11.5\% |  | 385,332 | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | \$ | 11,363 | 8.2\% | 4.7\% |
| 1981 |  | 4,970 | 13.5\% |  | 389,735 | 1.1\% | 2.7\% |  | 12,751 | 12.2\% | 4.4\% |
| 1982 |  | 5,616 | 13.0\% |  | 395,578 | 1.5\% | 2.7\% |  | 14,196 | 11.3\% | 4.2\% |
| 1983 |  | 6,378 | 13.6\% |  | 404,656 | 2.3\% | 2.7\% |  | 15,761 | 11.0\% | 3.9\% |
| 1984 |  | 6,652 | 4.3\% |  | 404,976 | 0.1\% | 2.8\% |  | 16,427 | 4.2\% | 3.9\% |
| 1985 |  | 7,547 | 13.5\% |  | 413,938 | 2.2\% | 2.9\% |  | 18,234 | 11.0\% | 3.6\% |
| 1986 |  | 8,237 | 9.1\% |  | 432,749 | 4.5\% | 2.8\% |  | 19,034 | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| 1987 |  | 8,646 | 5.0\% |  | 443,593 | 2.5\% | 2.8\% |  | 19,492 | 2.4\% | 3.7\% |
| 1988 |  | 9,166 | 6.0\% |  | 455,460 | 2.7\% | 2.8\% |  | 20,124 | 3.2\% | 3.7\% |
| 1989 |  | 9,764 | 6.5\% |  | 470,042 | $3.2 \%$ | 2.8\% |  | 20,772 | $3.2 \%$ | 3.7\% |
| 1990 |  | 10,446 | 7.0\% |  | 483,262 | 2.8\% | 2.8\% |  | 21,616 | 4.1\% | 3.7\% |
| 1991 |  | 11,181 | 7.0\% |  | 502,625 | 4.0\% | 2.7\% |  | 22,245 | 2.9\% | 3.7\% |
| 1992 |  | 11,961 | 7.0\% |  | 521,661 | 3.8\% | 2.7\% |  | 22,928 | 3.1\% | 3.8\% |
| 1993 |  | 13,391 | 12.0\% |  | 575,088 | 10.2\% | 2.2\% |  | 23,285 | 1.6\% | 3.9\% |
| 1994 |  | 14,167 | 5.8\% |  | 600,484 | 4.4\% | 2.1\% |  | 23,593 | 1.3\% | 4.1\% |
| 1995 |  | 14,888 | 5.1\% |  | 625,878 | 4.2\% | 1.9\% |  | 23,788 | 0.8\% | 4.3\% |
| 1996 |  | 15,983 | 7.4\% |  | 652,197 | 4.2\% | 1.8\% |  | 24,506 | 3.0\% | 4.4\% |
| 1997 |  | 17,044 | 6.6\% |  | 678,749 | 4.1\% | 1.6\% |  | 25,112 | 2.5\% | 4.6\% |
| 1998 |  | 18,325 | 7.5\% |  | 705,447 | 3.9\% | 1.3\% |  | 25,977 | 3.4\% | 4.7\% |
| 1999 |  | 19,529 | 6.6\% |  | 736,058 | 4.3\% | 1.1\% |  | 26,533 | 2.1\% | 4.9\% |
| 2000 |  | 21,920 | 12.2\% |  | 766,906 | 4.2\% | 0.7\% |  | 28,583 | 7.7\% | 4.6\% |
| 2001 |  | 23,365 | 6.6\% |  | 797,339 | 4.0\% | 0.3\% |  | 29,303 | 2.5\% | 4.9\% |
| 2002 |  | 24,818 | 6.2\% |  | 745,923 | (6.4\%) | 1.3\% |  | 33,272 | 13.5\% | 3.7\% |
| 2003 |  | 25,756 | 3.8\% |  | 754,715 | 1.2\% | 1.3\% |  | 34,127 | 2.6\% | 3.9\% |
| 2004 |  | 25,485 | (1.1\%) |  | 729,411 | (3.4\%) | 2.3\% |  | 34,939 | 2.4\% | 4.2\% |
| 2005 |  | 25,957 | 1.9\% |  | 715,495 | (1.9\%) | 3.4\% |  | 36,278 | 3.8\% | 4.3\% |
| 2006 |  | 28,397 | 9.4\% |  | 761,658 | 6.5\% | 2.4\% |  | 37,284 | 2.8\% | 4.8\% |
| 2007 |  | 31,114 | 9.6\% |  | 777,789 | 2.1\% | 2.5\% |  | 40,003 | 7.3\% | 3.6\% |
| 2008 |  | 33,238 | 6.8\% |  | 801,455 | 3.0\% | 2.0\% |  | 41,472 | 3.7\% | 3.5\% |
| 2009 |  | 35,097 | 5.6\% |  | 817,537 | 2.0\% | -- |  | 42,930 | 3.5\% | -- |

Note: Beginning August 31, 1993, the above amounts include counts and estimated pay for new entrants with incomplete data
Beginning August 31, 2002, the definition of active member was changed.
Beginning August 31, 2005, the method of determining new entrant errors was changed.

RELATIVESIZE OF UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY

| Year Ending <br> August 31, | Unfunded <br> Actuarial Accrued Liability in \$ Millions |  | Relative to Covered Payroll |  |  | Relative to Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  | Relative to Total Actuarial Liabilities (Present Value of Future Benefits) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | vered Payroll <br> n \$ Millions | Percent of Covered Payroll |  | Assets in \$ Millions | Percent of Assets |  | Actuarial Liabilities in \$ Millions | Percent of <br> Actuarial <br> Liabilities |
| (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) | (4) |  | (5) | (6) |  | (7) | (8) |
| 1969 | \$ | 1,312 | \$ | 1,299 | 101.0\% | \$ | 1,364 | 96.2\% | \$ | 3,960 | 33.1\% |
| 1970 |  | 1,444 |  | 1,528 | 94.5\% |  | 1,534 | 94.1\% |  | 4,384 | 32.9\% |
| 1971 |  | 1,632 |  | 1,758 | 92.8\% |  | 1,726 | 94.6\% |  | 5,100 | 32.0\% |
| 1972 |  | 1,720 |  | 1,904 | 90.5\% |  | 1,937 | 88.8\% |  | 5,551 | 31.0\% |
| 1973 |  | 1,633 |  | 2,079 | 78.5\% |  | 2,171 | 75.2\% |  | 5,733 | 28.5\% |
| 1974 |  | 1,739 |  | 2,246 | 77.4\% |  | 2,394 | 72.6\% |  | 6,207 | 28.0\% |
| 1975 |  | 1,998 |  | 2,583 | 77.4\% |  | 2,764 | 72.3\% |  | 7,143 | 28.0\% |
| 1976 |  | 2,445 |  | 2,875 | 85.0\% |  | 3,103 | 78.8\% |  | 8,067 | 30.3\% |
| 1977 |  | 2,879 |  | 3,246 | 88.7\% |  | 3,531 | 81.5\% |  | 9,626 | 29.9\% |
| 1978 |  | 2,422 |  | 3,636 | 66.6\% |  | 4,016 | 60.3\% |  | 9,858 | 24.6\% |
| 1979 |  | 3,322 |  | 3,928 | 84.6\% |  | 4,529 | 73.3\% |  | 12,336 | 26.9\% |
| 1980 |  | 2,785 |  | 4,378 | 63.6\% |  | 5,342 | 52.1\% |  | 12,181 | 22.9\% |
| 1981 |  | 3,300 |  | 4,970 | 66.4\% |  | 6,386 | 51.7\% |  | 13,890 | 23.8\% |
| 1982 |  | 3,864 |  | 5,616 | 68.8\% |  | 7,373 | 52.4\% |  | 16,135 | 23.9\% |
| 1983 |  | 4,549 |  | 6,378 | 71.3\% |  | 8,586 | 53.0\% |  | 20,277 | 22.4\% |
| 1984 |  | 4,849 |  | 6,652 | 72.9\% |  | 9,851 | 49.2\% |  | 22,456 | 21.6\% |
| 1985 |  | 6,474 |  | 7,547 | 85.8\% |  | 12,096 | 53.5\% |  | 29,618 | 21.9\% |

RELATIVESIZE OF UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY

| Year Ending August 31, | Unfunded <br> Actuarial <br> Accrued Liability in \$ Millions |  | Relative to Covered Payroll |  |  | Relative to Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  | (Present Value of Future Benefits) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | vered Payroll <br> \$ Millions | Percent of Covered Payroll |  | Assets in \$ Millions | Percent of Assets |  | Actuarial Liabilities in \$ Millions | Percent of Actuarial Liabilities |
| (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) | (4) |  | (5) | (6) |  | (7) | (8) |
| 1986 | \$ | 5,365 | \$ | 8,237 | 65.1\% | \$ | 14,939 | 35.9\% | \$ | 32,273 | 16.6\% |
| 1987 |  | 4,096 |  | 8,646 | 47.4\% |  | 18,055 | 22.7\% |  | 34,801 | 11.8\% |
| 1988 |  | 3,890 |  | 9,166 | 42.4\% |  | 20,096 | 19.4\% |  | 37,332 | 10.4\% |
| 1989 |  | 3,489 |  | 9,764 | 35.7\% |  | 23,302 | 15.0\% |  | 41,084 | 8.5\% |
| 1990 |  | 3,343 |  | 10,446 | 32.0\% |  | 26,111 | 12.8\% |  | 45,685 | 7.3\% |
| 1991 |  | 3,429 |  | 11,181 | 30.7\% |  | 28,860 | 11.9\% |  | 49,515 | 6.9\% |
| 1992 |  | 3,441 |  | 11,959 | 28.8\% |  | 31,201 | 11.0\% |  | 53,123 | 6.5\% |
| 1993 |  | 3,440 |  | 13,391 | 25.7\% |  | 35,179 | 9.8\% |  | 59,210 | 5.8\% |
| 1994 |  | 825 |  | 14,167 | 5.8\% |  | 38,843 | 2.1\% |  | 58,351 | 1.4\% |
| 1995 |  | 1,956 |  | 14,888 | 13.1\% |  | 43,442 | 4.5\% |  | 65,259 | 3.0\% |
| 1996 |  | 1,813 |  | 15,983 | 11.3\% |  | 47,487 | 3.8\% |  | 68,948 | 2.6\% |
| 1997 |  | 146 |  | 17,044 | 0.9\% |  | 53,760 | 0.3\% |  | 74,677 | 0.2\% |
| 1998 |  | $(2,463)$ |  | 18,325 | (13.4\%) |  | 60,357 | (4.1\%) |  | 79,603 | (3.1\%) |
| 1999 |  | $(2,190)$ |  | 19,529 | (11.2\%) |  | 69,435 | (3.2\%) |  | 91,563 | (2.4\%) |
| 2000 |  | $(5,446)$ |  | 21,920 | (24.8\%) |  | 79,328 | (6.9\%) |  | 100,414 | (5.4\%) |
| 2001 |  | $(2,135)$ |  | 23,365 | (9.1\%) |  | 86,352 | (2.5\%) |  | 113,663 | (1.9\%) |
| 2002 |  | 3,287 |  | 24,818 | 13.2\% |  | 86,035 | 3.8\% |  | 118,100 | 2.8\% |
| 2003 |  | 5,230 |  | 25,756 | 20.3\% |  | 89,033 | 5.9\% |  | 123,677 | 4.2\% |
| 2004 |  | 7,953 |  | 25,485 | 31.2\% |  | 88,784 | 9.0\% |  | 121,267 | 6.6\% |
| 2005 |  | 13,196 |  | 25,957 | 50.8\% |  | 89,299 | 14.8\% |  | 124,556 | 10.6\% |
| 2006 |  | 13,694 |  | 28,397 | 48.2\% |  | 94,218 | 14.5\% |  | 131,906 | 10.4\% |
| 2007 |  | 12,545 |  | 31,114 | 40.3\% |  | 103,419 | 12.1\% |  | 142,190 | 8.8\% |
| 2008 |  | 11,523 |  | 33,238 | 34.7\% |  | 110,233 | 10.5\% |  | 150,999 | 7.6\% |
| 2009 |  | 21,646 |  | 35,097 | 61.7\% |  | 106,384 | 20.3\% |  | 158,899 | 13.6\% |

## CHANGE IN PLAN NET ASSETS

| Year Ending <br> August 31, 2009 |
| :---: |
| $(1)$ |

Year Ending August 31, 2008
(2)
I. Revenue for the Year
A. Contribution and fees

1. Member contributions
2. State contributions - State of Texas
3. State contributions - 415 Excess Plan
4. State contributions - Employers
5. Reinstatement of withdrawals
6. Reinstatement fees
7. Total
B. Income
8. Interest
9. Dividends
10. Net appreciation in fair value of investments
11. Income from Securities Lending
12. Investment expenses
13. Total
C. Other Adjustments
D. Total Revenue
II. Expenditures for the Year
A. Refund of Contributions
B. Benefit Payments
14. Service retirements
15. DROP payments
16. Partial Lump Sum Option payments
17. 415 Excess Plan payments
18. Disability retirements
19. Death and survivor benefits
20. Total benefits
C. Expenses
21. Gross expenses
a. Administrative expenses
22. Miscellaneous reimbursements
23. Total expenses
D. Total Expenditures
III. Net Increase in Plan Net Assets (Item I.D. - Item II.D.)

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\$ & 2,107,057,870 \\
& 1,757,026,428 \\
& 1,553,381 \\
& 412,728,096 \\
& 37,880,721 \\
& 36,661,692 \\
\hline \$ & 4,352,908,188
\end{array}
$$

$$
\$ \quad 776,676,251
$$

$$
1,108,384,911
$$

$$
(16,030,794,035)
$$

$$
242,854,668
$$

$$
\frac{(68,990,517)}{(13.971 .868 .722)}
$$

$$
(13,971,868,722)
$$

$$
\$ \quad 3,899
$$

$$
\$ \quad(9,618,956,635)
$$

| $\$ \quad$$28,310,448$ <br> - |
| :---: |


| $\$$ | $266,695,076$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $5,613,501,298$ |
|  | $20,187,950$ |
|  | $348,261,100$ |
|  | $1,553,381$ |
|  | $138,569,650$ |
|  | $221,490,325$ |
| $\$$ | $6,343,563,704$ |

28,310,448
\$ 6,638,569,228
\$ $(16,257,525,863)$
\$ 27,496,680
$\frac{-}{27,496,680}$
\$ 1,998,138,487
1,702,441,554
1,646,494
353,524,480
44,045,625
43,161,749
\$ 4,142,958,389
\$ 1,805,993,518
1,397,529,103
(7,992,472,030)
213,307,712
$(27,956,132)$
$(4,603,597,829)$
\$ 3,596
\$ $(460,635,844)$
\$ 275,482,331
\$ 5,693,184,467
26,757,772
363,668,944
1,646,494
146,907,654

|  | $222,522,118$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ \quad 6,454,687,449$ |  |


| $\$$ | $27,496,680$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| - | $27,496,680$ |
|  | $6,757,666,460$ |
| $\$$ | $(7,218,302,304)$ |

## ESTIMATION OF YIELDS

| Item | Year Ending <br> August 31, 2009 | Year Ending <br> August 31, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |

A. Market value yield

1. Beginning of year net market assets
2. Investment income (net of all expenses)
3. End of year market assets
4. Estimated market value yield
\$ 104,910,497,545
\$ 112,128,799,849
$(14,000,175,271) \quad(4,631,090,913)$
88,652,971,682
104,910,497,545
$-13.5 \%$
$-4.2 \%$
B. Actuarial value yield
5. Beginning of year actuarial assets
6. Investment income
7. End of year actuarial assets
8. Estimated actuarial value yield

| $\$$ | $110,233,419,723$ | $\$$ | $103,419,088,392$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(1,592,503,113)$ |  | $9,401,542,722$ |  |
| $106,383,566,018$ |  | $110,233,419,723$ |  |
| $-1.5 \%$ |  | $9.2 \%$ |  |

GRS

## ACTUAL VERSUS EXPECTED ACTUARIAL ASSETS

| Item |  | Year Ending August 31, 2009 | Year Ending August 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |
| 1. Actuarial assets, beginning of year | \$ | 110,233,419,723 | \$ | 103,419,088,392 |
| 2. Total contributions during year |  | 4,352,908,188 |  | 4,142,958,389 |
| 3. Benefits paid during year (including DROP) |  | $(6,343,563,704)$ |  | $(6,454,687,449)$ |
| 4. Refunds paid during year |  | $(266,695,076)$ |  | $(275,482,331)$ |
| 5. Expenses for the year |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 6. Assumed net investment income at $8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| a. Beginning of year assets | \$ | 8,818,673,578 | \$ | 8,273,527,071 |
| b. Contributions |  | 174,116,328 |  | 165,718,336 |
| c. Benefits |  | $(253,742,548)$ |  | $(258,187,498)$ |
| d. Refunds |  | $(10,667,803)$ |  | $(11,019,293)$ |
| e. Expenses |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| f. Total | \$ | 8,728,379,555 | \$ | 8,170,038,616 |
| 7. Expected actuarial assets, end of year (Sum of Items 1 through 6) | \$ | 116,704,448,686 | \$ | 109,001,915,617 |
| 8. Actual actuarial assets, end of year |  | 106,383,566,018 |  | 110,233,419,723 |
| 9. Asset gain (loss) for year (Item 8 - Item 7) |  | $(10,320,882,668)$ |  | 1,231,504,106 |
| 10. Asset gain (loss) as \% of actual actuarial assets |  | (9.70\%) |  | 1.12\% |

## GAIN OR LOSS FOR THE YEAR

| Item | Year Ending <br> August 31, 2009 | Year Ending <br> August 31, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |

## A. CALCULATION OF TOTAL GAIN OR LOSS

1. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL),
a. Previous year, before Assumption changes
b. Previous year, after Assumption changes
2. Normal cost for the year
3. Contributions for the year
4. Interest at $8 \%$
a. On UAAL
b. On normal cost
c. On contributions
d. Total
5. Expected UAAL (Sum of Items A1 through A4)
6. Actual UAAL
7. Gain (loss) for the year (Item A5-Item A6)

## B. SOURCE OF GAINS AND LOSSES

1. Asset gain (loss) for the year (Table 9)

| $\$$ | $11,523,122,574$ | $\$$ | $12,544,633,480$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $11,523,122,574$ |  | $13,220,638,775$ |  |
|  | $3,609,703,096$ |  | $3,345,615,055$ |  |
|  | $(4,352,908,188)$ |  | $(4,142,958,389)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | $921,849,806$ |  | $\$$ | $1,057,651,102$ |
|  | $144,388,124$ |  | $133,824,602$ |  |
|  | $(174,116,328)$ |  | $(165,718,336)$ |  |
| $\$$ | $892,121,602$ |  | $\$$ | $1,025,757,368$ |
|  | $11,672,039,084$ |  | $13,449,052,809$ |  |
|  | $21,645,737,793$ |  | $11,523,122,574$ |  |
| $\$$ | $(9,973,698,709)$ | $\$$ | $1,925,930,235$ |  |

2. Asset gain (loss) as a \% of actuarial assets
3. Total actuarial accrued liability gain (loss) for year (Item A7-Item B1)
4. Analys is of actuarial accrued liability loss
a. Legis lative changes
b. Liability experience
c. Total
\$ (10,320,882,668)
\$ 1,231,504,106
(9.70\%) $1.12 \%$

347,183,959
694,426,129

|  | $347,183,959$ |  | $694,426,129$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $347,183,959$ |  |

5. Experience liability gain (loss) as \% of total actuarial accrued liability (Item B4b as \% of total actuarial accrued liability)

$$
0.27 \%
$$

$0.57 \%$

## ANALYSIS OF CHANGE IN FUNDING PERIOD

| Basis | UAAL <br> (\$ Millions) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Normal Cost } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | Total Contribution Rate | Funding <br> Period | Change in Funding Period | $\begin{gathered} \text { GASB } \\ \text { ARC } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1. 2008 Valuation | 11,523 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | 20.7 | -- | 6.10\% |
| 2. Expected 2009 UAAL using expected contributions | 11,561 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | 19.7 | (1.0) | 6.07\% |
| 3. Expected 2009 UAAL using actual contributions | 11,672 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | 20.0 | 0.3 | 6.09\% |
| 4. 2009 UAAL using expected assets and actual liabilities | 11,325 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | 19.1 | (0.9) | 6.03\% |
| 5. 2009 UAAL recognizing past deferred asset gains/(losses) | 11,393 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | 19.3 | 0.2 | 6.04\% |
| 6. 2009 UAAL using actual assets and liabilities, expected payroll | 21,646 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | Never | N/A | 7.86\% |
| 7. 2009 UAAL using actual payroll | 21,646 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | Never | N/A | 7.79\% |
| 8. 2009 UAAL reamortizing to 30 years | 21,646 | 10.42\% | 12.98\% | Never | N/A | 7.72\% |
| 9. 2009 UAAL change to contribution rate | 21,646 | 10.42\% | 12.80\% | Never | N/A | 7.72\% |

2. The funding period for this entry uses the expected UAAL based on expected contribution and expected payroll. The expected payroll is the prior year's valuation payroll, rolled forward at the $3.5 \%$ payroll growth rate.
3. This entry uses actual contributions based on actual payroll during FY2009

* 4. This entry uses expected assets and payroll growth, while incorporating the actual liabilities as of August 31, 2008.

5. This entry recognizes defered investment gains/(losses) as of August 31, 2009 from prior valuations.
6. This entry includes the current year investment results.
7. This entry incorporates known assets, liabilities, and payroll growth. The overall payroll growth does not affect the liabilities of the plan, but instead affects the calculation of the ARC because the payroll is the denominator in the calculation of the amortization payment. Higher than expected payroll growth leads to a decrease in the required amortization payment as a percentage of payroll
8. This entry shows the impact of the open 30 year amortization policy for determing the ARC
9. This entry shows the impact of decreasing the employer contribution rate from $6.58 \%$ of payroll to $6.40 \%$

## history of cash flow



[^1]
## HISTORY OF CONTRIBUTION RATES

| Fiscal Year | GASB 25 <br> Annual <br> Required Contribution Rate | State Contribution Rate | Member Contribution Rate | Total Contribution Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1976/77 |  | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 12.00\% |
| 1977/78 |  | 7.50\% | 6.65\% | 14.15\% |
| 1978/79 |  | 7.50\% | 6.65\% | 14.15\% |
| 1979/80 |  | 8.50\% | 6.65\% | 15.15\% |
| 1980/81 |  | 8.50\% | 6.65\% | 15.15\% |
| 1981/82 |  | 8.50\% | 6.65\% | 15.15\% |
| 1982/83 |  | 8.50\% | 6.65\% | 15.15\% |
| 1983/84 |  | 7.10\% | 6.00\% | 13.10\% |
| 1984/85 |  | 7.10\% | 6.00\% | 13.10\% |
| 1985/86 |  | 8.00\% | 6.40\% | 14.40\% |
| 1986/87 |  | 8.00\% | 6.40\% | 14.40\% |
| 1987/88 |  | 7.20\% | 6.40\% | 13.60\% |
| 1988/89 |  | 7.20\% | 6.40\% | 13.60\% |
| 1989/90 |  | 7.65\% | 6.40\% | 14.05\% |
| 1990/91 |  | 7.65\% | 6.40\% | 14.05\% |
| 1991/92 |  | 7.31\% | 6.40\% | 13.71\% |
| 1992/93 |  | 7.31\% | 6.40\% | 13.71\% |
| 1993/94 |  | 7.31\% | 6.40\% | 13.71\% |
| 1994/95 |  | 7.31\% | 6.40\% | 13.71\% |
| 1995/96 |  | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 1996/97 | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 1997/98 | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 1998/99 | 4.12\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 1999/00 | 4.92\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2000/01 | 4.12\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2001/02 | 5.70\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2002/03 | 7.15\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2003/04 | 7.39\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2004/05 | 7.31\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2005/06 | 7.19\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2006/07 | 7.02\% | 6.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.40\% |
| 2007/08 | 6.47\% | 6.58\% | 6.40\% | 12.98\% |
| 2008/09 | 6.10\% | 6.58\% | 6.40\% | 12.98\% |
| 2009/10 | 7.72\% | 6.40\% | 6.40\% | 12.80\% |

## SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

## (as required by GASB No. 25)

| Valuation As of August 31, | Actuarial <br> Value of Assets |  |  | Actuarial Accrued bility (AAL) | ```Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (3) - (2)``` |  | Funding Ratio Assets as $\%$ of AAL (2) / (3) |  | Annual Covered Payroll | UAAL As a \% of Covered Payroll (4) / (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) | (5) |  | (6) | (7) |
| 2009 | \$ | 106,384 | \$ | 128,029 | \$ | 21,646 | 83.1\% | \$ | 35,097 | 61.7\% |
| 2008 |  | 110,233 |  | 121,757 |  | 11,523 | 90.5\% |  | 33,238 | 34.7\% |
| 2007 |  | 103,419 |  | 115,964 |  | 12,545 | 89.2\% |  | 31,114 | 40.3\% |
| 2006 |  | 94,218 |  | 107,911 |  | 13,694 | 87.3\% |  | 28,397 | 48.2\% |
| 2005 |  | 89,299 |  | 102,495 |  | 13,196 | 87.1\% |  | 25,957 | 50.8\% |
| 2004 |  | 88,784 |  | 96,737 |  | 7,953 | 91.8\% |  | 25,485 | 31.2\% |
| 2003 |  | 89,033 |  | 94,263 |  | 5,230 | 94.5\% |  | 25,756 | 20.3\% |
| 2002 |  | 86,035 |  | 89,322 |  | 3,287 | 96.3\% |  | 24,818 | 13.2\% |
| 2001 |  | 86,352 |  | 84,217 |  | $(2,135)$ | 102.5\% |  | 23,365 | (9.1\%) |
| 2000 |  | 79,328 |  | 73,882 |  | $(5,446)$ | 107.4\% |  | 21,920 | (24.8\%) |
| 1999 |  | 69,435 |  | 67,245 |  | $(2,190)$ | 103.3\% |  | 19,529 | (11.2\%) |
| 1998 |  | 60,357 |  | 57,893 |  | $(2,463)$ | 104.3\% |  | 18,325 | (13.4\%) |
| 1997 |  | 53,760 |  | 53,906 |  | 146 | 99.7\% |  | 17,044 | 0.9\% |
| 1996 |  | 47,487 |  | 49,300 |  | 1,813 | 96.3\% |  | 15,983 | 11.3\% |
| 1995 |  | 43,442 |  | 45,398 |  | 1,956 | 95.7\% |  | 14,888 | 13.1\% |
| 1994 |  | 38,843 |  | 39,668 |  | 825 | 97.9\% |  | 14,167 | 5.8\% |
| 1993 |  | 35,179 |  | 38,619 |  | 3,440 | 91.1\% |  | 13,391 | 25.7\% |
| 1992 |  | 31,201 |  | 34,643 |  | 3,441 | 90.1\% |  | 11,959 | 28.8\% |
| 1991 |  | 28,860 |  | 32,289 |  | 3,429 | 89.4\% |  | 11,181 | 30.7\% |
| 1990 |  | 26,111 |  | 29,455 |  | 3,343 | 88.6\% |  | 10,446 | 32.0\% |
| 1989 |  | 23,301 |  | 26,790 |  | 3,488 | 87.0\% |  | 9,764 | 35.7\% |
| 1988 |  | 20,095 |  | 23,985 |  | 3,890 | 83.8\% |  | 9,166 | 42.4\% |
| 1987 |  | 18,055 |  | 22,151 |  | 4,096 | 81.5\% |  | 8,646 | 47.4\% |

Note: Amount in \$ millions.
Actuarial as sumptions were modified effective August 31, 2004 and August 31, 2008.

## S CHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

(As required by GASB No. 25)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Fiscal Year Ended } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Annual Required } \\ \text { Contribution }\end{array} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { Contributed }\end{array}\right]$

## NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (as required by GASB No. 25)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

Valuation date

Actuarial cost method

Amortization method

Remaining amortization period*
Level percent, open

Asset valuation method

Actuarial assumptions:

| Investment rate of return $* *$ | $8.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Projected salary increases $* *$ | $4.25 \%$ to |
| Weighted-average at valuation date | $5.63 \%$ |
| **Includes inflation at | $3.0 \%$ |
| Cost-of-living adjustments | None |

* Based on the employer contribution rate of $6.40 \%$ which became effective 9/1/2009.

The ARC for Fiscal Year $2010(7.72 \%)$ was determined using a 30-year amortization period.

## STATISTICAL INFORMATION

| August 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 2008 |  |  |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ |  | 2007 |

## A. Number

1. Active Members
a. Total active members
b. Average age
c. Average service

801,455
777,789
44.1
43.8
43.8
2. Inactive Vested Members
a. Male members
b. Female members
c. Total inactive vested members
3. Inactive Nonvested Members

| 12,781 | 11,952 | 11,045 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 47,103 | 44,348 |  |
|  | 56,300 | 41,371 |
| 111,547 | 108,288 | 52,416 |
|  | 105,526 |  |

B. Annualized Salaries

1. Active members
a. Total active members
b. Average annual salary
C. Accumulated Members Contributions
2. Total Active Members
3. Inactive Vested Members
a. Male members
b. Female members
c. Total inactive vested members
4. Inactive Nonvested Members
$\begin{array}{rrrrr}\$ & 35,096,889,837 & \$ & 33,237,904,457 & \$ \\ 42,930 & & 41,472 & & 40,003\end{array}$
$23,803,015,694 \quad 22,135,200,911 \quad 20,721,145,913$

Active Members in DROP (included in above totals)

| 1. Number |  | 586 | 721 | 895 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2. DROP Balance | $\$$ | $57,637,467$ | $\$$ | $70,512,670$ | $\$$ | $86,319,448$ |

E. Members With No Contributions in Most Recent Plan Year, but With Contributions During Last Five Plan Years *

1. Treated as active members
a. Number
b. Annualized salaries

|  | 10,628 |  | 10,037 |  | 9,495 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $302,151,398$ | $\$$ | $274,520,648$ | $\$$ | $248,155,045$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32,962 |  | 31,300 |  | 29,220 |
| $\$$ | $936,457,137$ | $\$$ | $861,937,426$ | $\$$ | $782,039,619$ |

3. Treated as inactive nonvested members
a. Number
4. Treated as inactive vested members

| a. Number |  | 32,962 |  | 31,300 | 29,220 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| b. Accumulated contributions | $\$$ | $936,457,137$ | $\$$ | $861,937,426$ | $\$$ | $782,039,619$ |
| Treated as inactive nonvested members |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Number |  | 62,686 |  | 59,035 |  | 56,233 |
| b. Accumulated contributions | $\$$ | $224,142,859$ | $\$$ | $201,881,741$ | $\$$ | $188,608,819$ |


| \$ | 417,427,460 | \$ | 379,794,043 | \$ | 343,705,014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,293,730,998 |  | 1,179,762,792 |  | 1,067,523,549 |
| \$ | 1,711,158,458 | \$ | 1,559,556,835 | \$ | 1,411,228,563 |
| \$ | 279,784,905 | \$ | 257,432,662 | \$ | 241,750,800 |

1. Number
[^2]
## STATISTICAL INFORMATION

| August 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  |  |
| $(1)$ |  |  |

F. Persons Receiving Benefits

1. Number
a. Life annuities*
b. Annuities certain
c. Disability annuities - less than 10 years of service
d. Disability annuities -10 or more years of service
e. Incomplete Data Records

| 264,226 | 245,591 | 237,663 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,408 | 1,384 | 1,371 |  |
| 263 | 296 | 308 |  |
| 8,348 | 8,177 | 8,154 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9,529 | 9,013 | 8,789 |  |
| 840 | 846 | 859 |  |
|  | 9,859 | 9,648 |  |
| 284,614 | 265,307 |  | 257,144 |

2. Annual Annuities
a. Life annuities **
b. Annuities certain **
c. Disability annuities - less than 10 years of service
d. Disability annuities -10 or more years of service
e. Survivor annuities
1) Currently in pay
2) Deferred
3) Total
f. Total persons receiving benefits
g. Average monthly annuities

| 1) | Life annuities ** | $\$$ | 1,840 | $\$$ | 1,807 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2) | Annuities certain ** | 994 | 1,796 |  |  |
| 3) | Disability annuities - 10 or more years of service |  | 1,164 |  | 947 |
| DROP Lump Sum payments during year | $\$$ | $20,187,950$ | $\$$ | $31,939,855$ | $\$$ |
| Partial Lump Sum Option payments during year | $\$$ | $348,261,100$ | $\$$ | $325,688,244$ | $\$$ |

* Includes 1,406 disabled annuitants who are receiving a retirement benefit as of August 31, 2009
** Annual and average life annuity amounts represent values after Partial Lump Sum Option Elections.


## STATEMENT OF PLAN NET ASSETS

## A. ASSETS

1. Current Assets
a. Cash and short term investments
1) Cash on hand and State Treasury
2) Short term investments
b. Accounts Receivable
3) Member contributions
4) School districts
5) Employees Retirement System
6) State
7) Sale of investments
8) Interest and dividends
9) Other
c. Prepaid assets
d. Total current assets
2. Long Term Investments
a. Fixed income
b. Alternative assets
c. Equities
d. Real estate held for sale
e. Total long term investments
3. Other Assets
a Land
b. Building and equipment after depreciation
c. Deferred assets
d. Total other assets
4. Total Assets

| August 31, 2009 |  | August 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | (1) |  | (2) |
|  | 946,053,411 | \$ | 949,974,780 |
|  | 8,996,775,374 |  | 16,859,539,955 |
|  | 79,987,993 |  | 73,185,429 |
|  | 49,945,344 |  | 40,368,192 |
|  | 791,929 |  | 733,184 |
|  | 75,155,068 |  | 106,418,565 |
|  | 339,937,324 |  | 63,134,327 |
|  | 245,842,715 |  | 319,128,326 |
|  | 484,129 |  | 383,765 |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |
| \$ | 10,734,973,287 |  | 18,412,866,523 |
|  | 16,577,616,180 | \$ | 20,619,077,668 |
|  | 18,789,711,592 |  | 16,094,376,247 |
|  | 43,348,829,427 |  | 50,501,716,616 |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |
| \$ | 78,716,157,199 | \$ | 87,215,170,531 |
| \$ | 1,658,310 | \$ | 1,658,310 |
|  | 28,831,028 |  | 26,297,396 |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |
| \$ | 30,489,338 | \$ | 27,955,706 |
| \$ | 89,481,619,824 | \$ | 105,655,992,760 |

B. LIABILITIES

1. Current Liabilities
a. Accounts payable
b. Benefits payable
c. Due to Employees Retirement System
d. Due to State's General Revenue Fund
e. Investments purchased payable
f. Total current liabilities
2. Deferred Credits
3. Total Liabilities and Deferred credits
C. NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST

| $\$$ | $23,489,582$ |  | $\$$ | $5,742,267$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $549,796,590$ |  | $520,209,568$ |  |
|  | $5,025,029$ |  | $4,531,950$ |  |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | $221,721,048$ |  | $182,710,162$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $800,032,249$ |  | $713,193,947$ |  |
|  | $28,615,893$ |  | $32,301,268$ |  |
|  | $828,648,142$ |  | $745,495,215$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$\xlongequal{\$ \quad 88,652,971,682} \xlongequal{\$ \quad 104,910,497,545}$
D. ASSET ALLOCATION FOR CASH \& LONG TERM INVESTMENTS

| 1. Cash | $11.2 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2. Fixed Income | $18.7 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| 3. Alternative Assets | $21.2 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| 4. Equities | $\underline{48.9 \%}$ | $\underline{48.1 \%}$ |
| 5. Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Distribution of Active Members by Age and by Years of Service

## As of 08/31/2009

|  | Years of Credited Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | Total |
| Attained Age | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Com | Count \& Avg. Comp |  <br> Avg. Comp |  <br> Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp | Count \& Avg. Comp |



Note: Table includes contributing members (except for the new entrant data errors) and those noncontributing members assumed to be active.

## DIS TRIB UTION OF LIFE ANNUITIES BY AGE



## DIS TRIB UTION OF DISABLED ANNUITIES BY AGE

| Age | Number | Annual Annuities |  | Monthly Average Annuity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |
| Up to 35 | 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 35-40 | 26 |  | 241,234 |  | 773 |
| 40-44 | 116 |  | 1,196,058 |  | 859 |
| 45-49 | 389 |  | 4,927,832 |  | 1,056 |
| 50-54 | 902 |  | 13,351,711 |  | 1,234 |
| 55-59 | 1,437 |  | 20,354,368 |  | 1,180 |
| 60-64 | 1,553 |  | 19,734,523 |  | 1,059 |
| 65-69 | 1,175 |  | 14,689,983 |  | 1,042 |
| 70-74 | 937 |  | 13,596,648 |  | 1,209 |
| 75-79 | 928 |  | 15,458,121 |  | 1,388 |
| 80-84 | 544 |  | 8,485,787 |  | 1,300 |
| 85-89 | 248 |  | 3,442,679 |  | 1,157 |
| 90-94 | 75 |  | 955,289 |  | 1,061 |
| 95 \& up | 18 |  | 180,114 |  | 834 |
| TOTAL | 8,348 | \$ | 116,614,347 | \$ | 1,164 |

## Retirees, Beneficiaries, and Disabled Participants Added to and Removed from Rolls

|  | Added to Rolls |  |  | Removed from Rolls |  |  | Rolls-End of Year |  |  | \% Increase in Annual Allowances | Average <br> Annual Allowances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation August 31, | Number | Annual <br> Allowances |  | Number | Annual Allowances |  | Number | Annual Allowances |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) |  | (3) | (4) |  | (5) | (6) |  | (7) | (8) |  | (9) |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 188,882 | \$ | 3,703,642,072 |  | \$ | 19,608 |
| 2002 | 19,678 | \$ | 426,133,328 | 7,119 | \$ | 100,259,400 | 201,441 |  | 4,029,516,000 | 8.8\% |  | 20,003 |
| 2003 | 23,061 |  | 477,035,602 | 7,025 |  | 125,196,802 | 217,477 |  | 4,381,354,800 | 8.7\% |  | 20,146 |
| 2004 | 30,288 |  | 640,407,566 | 7,138 |  | 108,483,938 | 240,627 |  | 4,913,278,428 | 12.1\% |  | 20,419 |
| 2005 | 15,153 |  | 292,452,315 | 7,271 |  | 127,291,874 | 248,509 |  | 5,078,438,869 | 3.4\% |  | 20,436 |
| 2006 | 15,810 |  | 324,292,542 | 7,175 |  | 120,623,840 | 257,144 |  | 5,282,107,571 | 4.0\% |  | 20,541 |
| 2007 | 15,861 |  | 336,348,640 | 7,698 |  | 131,295,705 | 265,307 |  | 5,487,160,506 | 3.9\% |  | 20,682 |
| 2008 | 17,727 |  | 391,920,863 | 7,806 |  | 135,160,090 | 275,228 |  | 5,743,921,279 | 4.7\% |  | 20,870 |
| 2009 | 17,326 |  | 392,452,923 | 7,940 |  | 136,537,511 | 284,614 |  | 5,999,836,691 | 4.5\% |  | 21,081 |

## SUMMARY OF THE BENEFIT PROVISIONS OF THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM AS OF AUGUST 31, 2009

The Teacher Retirement System of Texas makes retirement, disability, and death and survivor benefits to all employees of the public school system of Texas. The major provisions of the System may be summarized as follows:

## A. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

1. Normal Retirement Date:
(a) end of month following age 65 and 5 years of creditable service,
(b) end of month following age 60 and 20 years of creditable service, or
(c) For members hired before August 31, 2007: end of month following attainment of "Rule of 80 ".
2. Standard Annuity:

The product of $2.3 \%$ of the member's average compensation multiplied by years of creditable service. For members hired before August 31, 2007, the average compensation is calculated as the best 3-year average. For members hired after that date, the average compensation is a 5 -year average.
3. Normal Retirement Benefits:

Greater of standard annuity, or \$150 per month.

## 4. Early Retirement:

(a) after age 55 with 5 or more years of creditable service, or
(b) after 30 years of creditable service, regardless of age.
(c) For members hired after August 31, 2007, end of month following attainment of "Rule of 80".

## 5. Early Retirement Benefits:

(a) If a member meets any one of the following criteria on or before August 31, 2005: (i) at least 50 years old, or (ii) age and years of service credit equal at least 70 , or (iii) have at least 25 years of service credit, the early retirement benefit is equal to the normal retirement benefit earned to the date of retirement, reduced according to the following table:

AGE AT DATE OF RETIREMENT

(b) If the member was hired before August 31, 2007 and either (1)is not grandfathered as described above, or (2) does not have 20 years of service, the early retirement benefit is equal to the normal retirement benefit earned to the date of retirement, reduced according to the following table:

## AGE AT DATE OF RETIREMENT

| Years of Service | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-19 | 47\% | 51\% | 55\% | 59\% | 63\% | 67\% | 73\% | 80\% | 87\% | 93\% | 100\% |

(c) If the member was hired after August 31, 2007 the benefit is reduced 5\% per year from age 60 .

## 6. Normal Form of Benefit:

Straight life annuity payable monthly with benefits commencing at end of month following retirement with the last payment payable on behalf of the annuitant in the month of death.

## 7. Optional Forms:

Option 1 - joint and 100\% survivor, benefit reverts to normal form following the death of the joint annuitant.

Option 2 -joint and $50 \%$ contingent survivor, benefit reverts to normal form following the death of the joint annuitant.

Option 3-5 years certain and life.
Option 4-10 years certain and life.
Option 5 - Joint and $75 \%$ contingent survivor, benefit reverts to normal form following the death of the joint annuitant.

## Partial Lump

Sum Option - Members, with a combined age plus years of service that equals at least 90 and not participating in the DROP program, may select a partial lump-sum distribution not to exceed an amount equal to 36 months of a standard service retirement annuity. When this option is selected, the member's annuity will be actuarially reduced to reflect that distribution and will be computed so that no actuarial loss results to TRS.
8. Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP):

## A. Eligibility:

1) Must be an active contributing member.
2) Must be eligible for a standard service retirement annuity that is not reduced for retirement at an early age.
3) Must have at least 25 years of creditable service.
4) Must have entered the DROP program before January 1, 2006.

## B. Program Summary:

1) Participation begins the 1st of the month following the member's application and TRS approval of the application. Participation may begin in any month.
2) Participation may range from a minimum of one year to a maximum of five years, in 12-month increments. The member elects the period of participation at the outset.
3) The amount of the member's standard annuity is established as of the date of participation in the DROP. This amount is also used in determining the monthly deposit to the DROP account. A member will not accumulate further retirement annuity benefits during DROP participation, i.e., no further credit will be achieved from years of service or compensation changes.
4) Any special service credit that a member wishes to purchase must be paid in full prior to DROP participation.
5) A separate DROP account will be established for each participating member. Each month, an amount equal to 60 percent of the calculated standard annuity will be deposited into the account. At retirement, the account plus interest at the rate of five percent per annum will be distributed.
6) Member and employer contributions continue during DROP participation. Contributions are not deposited into the member's DROP account and will not be refunded.
7) Three events terminate participation - death, retirement or expiration of the participation period.
8) Upon retirement, participating members will receive their retirement annuity plus the balance in their DROP account including interest. DROP balances may be paid by TRS in a lump sum or on a time payout selected by the member.
9. Partial Lump-Sum Option Program:

Members, eligible for unreduced retirement and either (1) grandfathered or (2) meeting the Rule of 90 , and not participating in the DROP program, may select a partial lump-sum distribution not to exceed an amount equal to 36 months of a standard service retirement annuity. When this option is selected, the member's annuity will be actuarially reduced to reflect that distribution and will be computed so that no actuarial loss results to TRS.

The percentage shown in the following table will be applied to reduce the standard annuity when the partial lump-sum option is elected.

| Age | Percentage of Standard Annuity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 Months | 24 Months | 36 Months |
| 45 | 91.66 | 83.32 | 74.98 |
| 46 | 91.62 | 83.23 | 74.85 |
| 47 | 91.57 | 83.13 | 74.70 |
| 48 | 91.51 | 83.03 | 74.54 |
| 49 | 91.46 | 82.92 | 74.37 |
| 50 | 91.40 | 82.79 | 74.19 |
| 51 | 91.33 | 82.66 | 73.99 |
| 52 | 91.26 | 82.52 | 73.78 |
| 53 | 91.18 | 82.37 | 73.55 |
| 54 | 91.10 | 82.20 | 73.31 |
| 55 | 91.01 | 82.03 | 73.04 |
| 56 | 90.92 | 81.84 | 72.75 |
| 57 | 90.81 | 81.63 | 72.44 |
| 58 | 90.70 | 81.41 | 72.11 |
| 59 | 90.58 | 81.17 | 71.75 |
| 60 | 90.46 | 80.91 | 71.37 |
| 61 | 90.32 | 80.64 | 70.95 |
| 62 | 90.24 | 80.48 | 70.71 |
| 63 | 90.01 | 80.03 | 70.04 |
| 64 | 89.85 | 79.69 | 69.54 |
| 65 | 89.67 | 79.34 | 69.01 |
| 66 | 89.48 | 78.96 | 68.44 |
| 67 | 89.28 | 78.56 | 67.84 |
| 68 | 89.06 | 78.13 | 67.19 |
| 69 | 88.84 | 77.67 | 66.51 |
| 70 | 88.59 | 77.18 | 65.77 |
| 71 | 88.32 | 76.65 | 64.97 |
| 72 | 88.03 | 76.07 | 64.10 |
| 73 | 87.72 | 75.43 | 63.15 |
| 74 | 87.37 | 74.74 | 62.12 |
| 75 | 87.00 | 74.00 | 61.00 |
| 76 | 86.59 | 73.19 | 59.78 |
| 77 | 86.15 | 72.31 | 58.46 |
| 78 | 85.68 | 71.35 | 57.03 |
| 79 | 85.16 | 70.31 | 55.47 |
| 80 | 84.59 | 69.18 | 53.78 |
| 81 | 83.98 | 67.96 | 51.94 |
| 82 | 83.32 | 66.64 | 49.96 |
| 83 | 82.61 | 65.21 | 47.82 |
| 84 | 81.83 | 63.67 | 45.50 |
| 85 | 81.00 | 62.00 | 42.99 |
| 86 | 80.09 | 60.18 | 40.27 |
| 87 | 79.09 | 58.19 | 37.28 |
| 88 | 78.00 | 56.00 | 34.00 |
| 89 | 76.81 | 53.62 | 30.43 |
| 90 | 75.52 | 51.04 | 26.56 |
| 91 | 74.13 | 48.26 | 22.39 |

## 10. Minimum Annuity Payments:

Total annuity payments shall in no case be less than the member's accumulated contributions at retirement. Upon the death of a retiree, the excess, if any, of accumulated contributions over total annuity payments received prior to death will be paid to the beneficiary.

## B. DISABILITY BENEFITS

1. Less than 10 years of creditable service: $\$ 150.00$ per month for the shorter of:
(a) disability, or
(b) number of months of creditable service as of date of disability retirement.
2. At least 10 years of creditable service: the greater of accrued retirement income or $\$ 6.50$ per month per year of creditable service, payable for duration of disability; disability presumed continuous if it continues past age 60. The minimum disability payment made on behalf of a member will be no less than $\$ 150.00$ per month.

## C. DEATH BENEFITS

1. Eligibility: applicable if death occurs:
(a) in service,
(b) while absent from service for good cause,
(c) while not in service but eligible to retire,
(d) while not in service but would be eligible to retire without additional service before April 15 of the sixth school year after last creditable year of service, or
(e) while receiving a disability benefit, but only eligible for 2 f , below.
2. Benefit: any one of the following, at the option of the beneficiary:
(a) a lump sum (not to exceed $\$ 80,000$ ) equal to two times the rate of pay for the last year of service,
(b) a lump sum (not to exceed $\$ 80,000$ ) equal to two times annual pay for the year preceding last year of service,
(c) 60 monthly payments of accrued standard annuity,
(d) a life annuity payable under Option 1 as if the member had retired on the last day of the month preceding death,
(e) a refund of accumulated contributions, or
(f) the survivor benefits, if eligible.

Note: Items (c) and (d) available only if member has at least 5 years of creditable service.
3. Benefit if Absent from Service Without Good Cause: return of accumulated contributions.

## D. SURVIVOR BENEFITS

1. Benefits: (a) or (b) at the election of the beneficiary:
(a) lump sum payment of $\$ 10,000$, or
(b) lump sum payment of $\$ 2,500$ plus one of the following, if the designated beneficiary is eligible:
(i) if a spouse or dependent parent, $\$ 250$ per month commencing at age 65,
(ii) if a spouse with children under age $18, \$ 350$ per month until youngest child reaches 18 , then $\$ 250$ per month commencing at spouse's age 65 , or
(iii) if dependent children, $\$ 350$ per month as long as at least two dependent children under 18 , reducing to $\$ 250$ per month when there is only one child under 18 .

If benefits are payable under (i) or (ii) above and eligible spouse or dependent dies, payments will revert in accordance with (iii) above.

## 2. Eligibility:

(a) all employees eligible for a death benefit other than refund of accumulated contributions,
(b) any retired member, in addition to any benefit provided by his or her option of payment, or
(c) any disabled participant, in lieu of other death benefits (Item C2).

## E. VESTING OF BENEFITS

1. Vesting: a member is fully vested after 5 years of creditable service.
2. Benefits upon Vesting: a fully vested member is entitled to the following:
(a) upon becoming inactive, not required to withdraw accumulated contributions within seven years,
(b) may apply at age 65 for normal retirement benefit equal to accrued standard annuity, or
(c) may apply for any other retirement benefits for which he or she is eligible upon satisfying age requirement (if applicable) if he or she satisfied the corresponding service requirement at time of last termination; benefit is based on his or her full accrued standard annuity.

## F. MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS

$6.40 \%$ of compensation per year.
G. STATE CONTRIBUTIONS
$6.40 \%$ of member compensation each year.

## H. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1991 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. The minimum retirement benefit increased from $\$ 75$ to $\$ 100$ per month.
2. The disability death benefit changed to the same as a service retirement death benefit.
3. An ad hoc cost of living increase was approved for members who retired prior to May 1, 1989. The increase does not apply to a survivor benefit or to a disability benefit for a member who had less than 10 years of service at the time of retirement or death. The amount of the increase is five-tenths of one percent of each full six-month period between the latest effective date of retirement (or date of death) and August 1, 1991. The increase begins August 1991.

## I. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1993 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. Increase in survivor benefit by $\$ 50$ per month.
2. Retroactive minimum benefit of $\$ 6.50$ per year of service for members retired as of November 1, 1991.
3. An ad hoc cost of living increase approximating a $25 \%$ CPI catch-up. The actual percentage increase varies by year of retirement and has a minimum increase of $5 \%$. The increase begins with the January, 1994 annuity check and covers all benefit recipients who began receiving benefits before August 31, 1991, except that it does not apply to survivor benefits or to a disability benefit for a member who had less than 10 years of service at the time of retirement or death.
4. ERS/TRS transfer provisions.
(a) Service credit transfers allowed if the participant is a member of both ERS and TRS and has at least three years of service credit in the System from which the member is retiring.
(b) A member may reinstate or purchase service credit in the other System prior to making the transfer if that member has at least three years of service credit in the current System.
(c) TRS and ERS will jointly set rules for the assumptions used in computing asset transfer amounts. The transfer of funds between ERS and TRS takes place at the time of actual retirement.

## J. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1995 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. Unreduced benefits at retirement were expanded to include participants age 50 or older with 30 or more years of service.
2. Annuitants' benefits increased in an amount equal to the greater of:
(a) A recalculation of benefits based on
(i) January 1, 1995 law with all intervening ad hoc increases, plus
(ii) A CPI catch-up increase.
(b) A recalculation of benefits for retirees who retired before September 1, 1993, based on a $2 \%$ multiplier and a minimum annual salary of a classroom teacher or full-time librarian as described by the Education Code. This annual salary is currently $\$ 17,000$ based on current Education Code.
3. Treat all Option 1 and Option 2 benefits as including the pop-up feature.
4. The annuity payment in the month of death is payable on behalf of the annuitant.
5. The disability benefit payable when a member has less than ten years of service increased from $\$ 50$ per month to $\$ 150$ per month for both current and future disabled members. The minimum disability payment made on behalf of a member with ten or more years of service shall be no less than $\$ 150$ per month.
6. The benefit increase reserve account in TRS was eliminated, resulting in the liability for all annuity benefits being included within the retired reserve account.
7. The maximum two-times-pay death benefit payable on behalf of a member would increase from $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 80,000$.

## K. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1997 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. Driver's education pay is added to plan compensation for the determination of a member's best 3-year average compensation.
2. Disabled participants are allowed to select a Joint and Survivor annuity option after commencement of disability benefits, if they become married after date of disability.
3. Retirees are allowed to change the designated beneficiary for pension benefits payable after their death under certain conditions.
4. Adoption of "Rule of 80 " criteria for unreduced standard retirement annuity (i.e., sum of member's age \& credited service is greater than or equal to 80 ).
5. Elimination of $\$ 6.50$ per month per year of service minimum standard retirement annuity benefit.
6. Addition of $\$ 50.00$ to the minimum survivor benefit.
7. Creation of a Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP), described in Item A8 above.
8. A CPI catch-up ad hoc cost-of-living increase for retired members.

## L. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1999 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. Increased multiplier from $2.0 \%$ to $2.2 \%$ effective September 1, 1999, and an equivalent $10 \%$ increase for all retirees.
2. A CPI catch-up ad hoc cost-of-living increase for retired members.
3. Established a partial lump-sum option at time of retirement.
4. DROP participant enrolled on or before August 31, 1999, have a one-year window from September 1, 1999 to revoke DROP participation.
5. For members entering DROP on or after September 1, 1999, the monthly DROP deposit will be reduced from $79 \%$ to $60 \%$ of the standard annuity.
6. Provides a lump-sum death benefit of $\$ 160,000$ for an active member employed by a school district who dies due to a physical assault during the performance of their regular duties.
7. Allows a return to teaching after being retired at least 12 months without a reduction in the retirement benefit under certain circumstances.

## M. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 2001 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. Increased multiplier from $2.2 \%$ to $2.3 \%$ effective September 1, 2001, and an equivalent $4.5 \%$ increase for all retirees.
2. A 6\% ad hoc increase for retired members.
3. Increase in survivor benefits of $\$ 50$ per month.
4. Allows a return to work as a bus driver with no reduction in the monthly benefit if retired with an unreduced benefit.
5. Permits purchase of up to 3 years of "air time" if the member has at least 7 years of actual membership service. Purchase price is the full actuarial cost of the purchased service.

## N. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 2003 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. For employees hired on or after September 1, 2003, a 90-day waiting period is required for participation in TRS. Members may have the option to purchase this service. This provision is set to expire on September 1, 2005.
2. Limits the collection of overpayments to the three years prior to the overpayment discovery, except in cases of fraud or knowledge by the participant that the payments were incorrect.
3. Repealed the requirement that in order to reinstate service withdrawn after August 31, 2003, for the purposes of ERS/TRS transfer, the member must belong to the system from which the service is purchased.
4. Retirees who are employed by a third-party entity are considered to be employees of the school for return to work purposes unless the retiree does not perform duties or provide services in behalf of the school
5. Retirees may work as a substitute and on a half-time basis during a single calendar month as long as the total days worked do not exceed the number of days for one-half time employment for that month.

## O. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 2005 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. Final average salary at retirement will be determined by the highest five years (instead of three years) of salary, subsidized early retirement will be eliminated, and partial lump sum option eligibility will require a combined age plus years of creditable service that equals at least 90 ("Rule of 90 ").
2. Future members (those who establish TRS membership on or after September 1, 2007) will have the following eligibility requirements to qualify for an unreduced annuity at retirement: (i) age 65 with 5 years of service, or (ii) age 60 with at least 5 years of service and meets the Rule of 80 (combined age and years of service equal at least 80 ).
3. Employers will be required to pay a monthly surcharge to the pension fund for each retiree working in a TRS-covered position and reported to TRS.
4. The Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) is being discontinued for new participation effective December 31, 2005.

## P. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 2007 STATE LEGISLATURE

1. The State contribution rate was increased to $6.58 \%$ for fiscal year 2008. In addition, the new law requires the State contribution rate to be at least equal to the member contribution rate.
2. The Legislature authorized TRS to make a one-time payment ( $13^{\text {th }}$ check) in January 2008, if the August 31, 2007 actuarial valuation showed that the funding period would be less than 31 years with the payment. The payment is equal to the lesser of the member's December monthly payment or $\$ 2,400$. To be eligible a retiree must have retired on or before December 31, 2006.

## ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS (Adopted August 31, 2007)

## ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

1. Investment Return Rate $8.00 \%$ per annum, compounded annually, composed of an assumed $3.00 \%$ inflation rate and a $5.00 \%$ real rate of return
2. Mortality, Withdrawal, Disability Retirement, and Service Retirement Rates:

Rates and scales developed in the actuarial investigation as August 31, 2007, with values at specimen ages shown in the tables below:
a.

|  | PROBABILITY OF DECREMENT DUE TO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Death | Disability <br> Retirement |
|  | MALE MEMBERS |  |
|  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.000297 | 0.000003 |
| 30 | 0.000624 | 0.000042 |
| 40 | 0.000849 | 0.000381 |
| 50 | 0.001458 | 0.001287 |
| 60 | 0.003979 | 0.002455 |
| 70 | 0.012940 | 0.001760 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | FEMALE MEMBERS |
| 20 | 0.000189 | 0.000006 |
| 30 | 0.000291 | 0.000065 |
| 40 | 0.000449 | 0.000234 |
| 50 | 0.000923 | 0.001256 |
| 60 | 0.002084 | 0.002436 |
| 70 | 0.007621 | 0.001658 |

b.

Probability of Decrement Due to Withdrawal - Male Members

| Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 20 | $\overline{0.2606}$ | $\overline{0.2266}$ | 0.1716 | 0.1335 | 0.1050 | $\underline{0.0000}$ | 0.0000 | $\underline{0.0000}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 30 | 0.2173 | 0.1890 | 0.1560 | 0.1233 | 0.0952 | 0.0789 | 0.0652 | 0.0648 | 0.0628 | 0.0536 |
| 40 | 0.2172 | 0.1888 | 0.1430 | 0.1253 | 0.0873 | 0.0833 | 0.0690 | 0.0608 | 0.0542 | 0.0464 |
| 50 | 0.1937 | 0.1684 | 0.1245 | 0.0993 | 0.0754 | 0.0684 | 0.0644 | 0.0544 | 0.0512 | 0.0466 |
| 60 | 0.2021 | 0.1757 | 0.1324 | 0.1160 | 0.0751 | 0.0664 | 0.0518 | 0.0495 | 0.0426 | 0.0341 |
| 70 | 0.2371 | 0.2062 | 0.1724 | 0.1174 | 0.1017 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Probability of Decrement Due to Withdrawal - Female Members
Years of Service

| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.1938 | 0.1685 | 0.1438 | 0.1263 | 0.1075 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 30 | 0.1948 | 0.1694 | 0.1435 | 0.1218 | 0.1007 | 0.0935 | 0.0825 | 0.0724 | 0.0564 | 0.0570 |
| 40 | 0.1807 | 0.1571 | 0.1235 | 0.1052 | 0.0826 | 0.0743 | 0.0641 | 0.0578 | 0.0560 | 0.0459 |
| 50 | 0.1755 | 0.1526 | 0.1199 | 0.0971 | 0.0792 | 0.0708 | 0.0638 | 0.0549 | 0.0472 | 0.0402 |
| 60 | 0.1959 | 0.1703 | 0.1356 | 0.1082 | 0.0846 | 0.0660 | 0.0671 | 0.0509 | 0.0463 | 0.0438 |
| 70 | 0.2483 | 0.2159 | 0.1929 | 0.1994 | 0.1254 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Probability of Decrement Due to Withdrawal
Based on Years from Retirement

| Years from <br> Retirement | Male Members | Female Members | Years from <br> Retirement | Male Members | Female Members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15 | 0.0283 | 0.0314 |
| 1 | 0.0090 | 0.0068 | 16 | 0.0291 | 0.0326 |
| 2 | 0.0121 | 0.0101 | 17 | 0.0299 | 0.0337 |
| 3 | 0.0143 | 0.0127 | 18 | 0.0306 | 0.0348 |
| 4 | 0.0162 | 0.0149 | 19 | 0.0313 | 0.0359 |
| 5 | 0.0178 | 0.0169 | 20 | 0.0318 | 0.0369 |
| 6 | 0.0192 | 0.0187 | 21 | 0.0322 | 0.0378 |
| 7 | 0.0205 | 0.0204 | 22 | 0.0325 | 0.0386 |
| 8 | 0.0217 | 0.0220 | 23 | 0.0327 | 0.0393 |
| 9 | 0.0228 | 0.0235 | 24 | 0.0328 | 0.0399 |
| 10 | 0.0239 | 0.0250 | 25 | 0.0329 | 0.0404 |
| 11 | 0.0248 | 0.0264 | 26 | 0.0330 | 0.0408 |
| 12 | 0.0258 | 0.0277 | 27 | 0.0331 | 0.0411 |
| 13 | 0.0267 | 0.0290 | 28 | 0.0332 | 0.0413 |
| 14 | 0.0275 | 0.0302 | 29 | 0.0333 | 0.0414 |

## c. Rates of Retirement

(for members hired on or prior to August 31, 2007)
Probability of Decrement Due to Retirement - Male Members
Years of Service

| Age | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-18 | 19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.370 |
| 55 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.190 | 0.190 |
| 60 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.240 | 0.240 | 0.240 |
| 65 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 70 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 74 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Probability of Decrement Due to Retirement - Female Members

| Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-18 | 19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30+ |
| 50 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.300 |
| 55 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 60 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 65 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 70 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 74 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

There is a minimum 0.1000 probability for retirement under Rule of 80 .
(for members hired after August 31, 2007)
Probability of Decrement Due to Retirement - Male Members
Years of Service

| Age | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-18 | 19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| 55 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 60 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.240 | 0.240 | 0.240 |
| 65 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 70 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 74 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Probability of Decrement Due to Retirement - Female Members

| Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-18 | 19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30+ |
| 50 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.300 |
| 55 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | $0 . .010$ | 0.010 |
| 60 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 65 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 70 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| 74 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

For members hired after August 31, 2007, the retirement rates for members once they reach unreduced retirement eligibility at age 60 are increased $10 \%$ for each year the member is beyond the Rule of 80 (i.e. if the member reached the Rule of 80 at age 58 then the probability of retirement at age 60 is $120 \%$ of the rate shown above).

## 3. Rates of Salary Increase

Inflation rate of $3.00 \%$, plus productivity component of $1.25 \%$, plus steprate/promotional component as shown:

| Years of Service | Annual Step Rate/ Promotional Rates of Increase |  | Total Annual Rate of Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | 22.15\% | 22.15\% | 26.40\% | 26.40\% |
| 2 | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 7.25\% | 7.25\% |
| 3 | 2.75\% | 2.75\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% |
| 4 | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 6.75\% | 6.75\% |
| 5 | 2.25\% | 2.25\% | 6.50\% | 6.50\% |
| 6 | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 6.25\% | 6.25\% |
| 7-8 | 1.75\% | 1.75\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% |
| 9-10 | 1.50\% | 1.50\% | 5.75\% | 5.75\% |
| 11 | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.50\% |
| 12 | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.25\% |
| 13-18 | 0.75\% | 0.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| 19-21 | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 4.75\% | 4.75\% |
| 22-24 | 0.25\% | 0.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.50\% |
| 25 or more | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.25\% |

This weighted average salary increase rate is $5.63 \%$ based on the active member service distribution as of August 31, 2009.

## DISABILITY ANNUITANTS:

1. Investment Return Rate: $8 \%$ per annum, compounded annually.
2. Mortality: The PBGC Male Disabled Mortality Table for plan terminations after December 1, 1980, with a six-year setback and the PBGC Female Disabled Mortality Table for plan terminations after December 1, 1980, with a four-year setback.

| $\underline{\text { Age }}$ | Probability of Mortality |  |  | Life Expectancy (Years) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 0.0367 | 0.0264 | 15.81 | 20.98 |  |  |
| 65 | 0.0581 | 0.0339 | 12.19 | 16.62 |  |  |
| 75 | 0.0723 | 0.0421 | 9.48 | 12.06 |  |  |
| 85 | 0.1043 | 0.0813 | 6.06 | 7.23 |  |  |
| 95 | 0.2330 | 0.1825 | 3.10 | 3.84 |  |  |

## SERVICE RETIREMENT ANNUITANTS, NOMINEES AND SURVIVORS:

1. Investment Return Rate: $8 \%$ per annum, compounded annually (benefit increase reserve account eliminated by the 1995 legislative session).
2. Mortality: Client specific tables; used for service retirement annuitants, beneficiaries and survivors. These tables are selected to best reflect the experience developed in the actuarial investigation as of August 31, 2007.

| $\underline{\text { Age }}$ | Probability of Mortality |  | Life Expectancy (Years) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55 | 0.003854 | 0.002935 | 27.03 | 30.76 |
| 65 | 0.011394 | 0.006398 | 18.48 | 21.85 |
| 75 | 0.033686 | 0.020317 | 11.25 | 13.72 |
| 85 | 0.099593 | 0.071770 | 5.94 | 7.58 |
| 95 | 0.242395 | 0.170753 | 3.19 | 4.49 |

## ERS/TRS TRANSFER ASSUMPTIONS:

A liability for the present value of the potential asset transfer has been calculated assuming that the TRS members who will be eligible for the transfer benefit are approximated by $10 \%$ of the inactive TRS members who have at least five years of service and have left their contributions on deposit. The liability is based on the actuarial present value of the deferred benefit assuming future salary increases at the current salary scale rates and that they will retire at the earliest age for which an unreduced benefit will be received.

## HANDLING OF ACTIVE DATA WITH MISSING INFORMATION:

As of the close of each fiscal year there is a large number of records for whom no statistical data has been received. The only information TRS has is social security number and initial contributions. Any
of these records that were in the prior year's data are treated as non-vested terminated members. The remaining records are treated as new entrants. Beginning with the valuation as of August 31, 1993, active member results have been imputed for this new entrant error group according to the following procedures:

1. The count for this group has been added to the active member count.
2. Covered payroll and the present value of future pay have been increased by the product of the number of such members multiplied by average new entrant pay and present value of future pay.
3. The present value of future benefits for active members has been increased by the product of the new entrant normal cost rate multiplied by the imputed present value of future pay for this group, as determined under Item 2 above.

There are other records provided by TRS that have missing gender and/or missing date of births. These records are handled as follows:

1. $80 \%$ of records with missing gender are assumed to be female. The overall male/female ratio of the active membership is used to set this assumption.
2. Records with missing dates of birth are assigned a date of birth that produces an entry age equal to the average entry age for the overall active population, based on the member's actual service.

## ASSUMPTION FOR DROP PARTICIPATION

It is assumed that no members will enter DROP.

## BENEFIT ELECTION OF VESTED TERMINATING MEMBERS:

In determining the liabilities developed for future terminating vested members, it is assumed that the member elects either a refund or a deferred vested benefit, whichever is more valuable. The deferred benefit is assumed to commence at age 65 .

## ELECTION RATES FOR ACTIVE MEMBER DEATH BENEFITS:

It is assumed that the beneficiary will elect the death benefit option with the greatest value.

## CLASSIFICATION OF WHO ARE ACTIVE MEMBERS:

For members who had no contribution postings during the just-completed plan year but did have a posting during one or more of the four preceding plan years:

1. $10 \%$ of such members will be assumed to return to contributing status in the new plan year (i.e., they will be assumed to be active for valuation purposes).
2. $90 \%$ of such members will be treated as inactives for the new plan year.
3. The $90 \%$ group will be valued as inactive vested or inactive nonvested depending on their years of service credit.
4. If they are considered inactive vested, their actuarial liability will be the present value of their accrued benefit assuming benefit commencement at age 65, plus the value of any death benefit.
5. If they are considered inactive nonvested, their actuarial liability will be their accumulated account balance.

## AVERAGE SURVIVOR BENEFIT LIABILITY:

One of the options on the death of an active member, a disabled member, or a retired member is a survivor benefit. To determine the liability for this benefit the following average values are used.

|  |  | Males |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | Females

## ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS:

The actuarial value of assets is equal to the market value of assets less a five-year phase in of the excess (shortfall) between expected investment return and actual income with the resulting value not being less than $80 \%$ or more than $120 \%$ of the market value of assets.

## PAYROLL GROWTH FOR FUNDING OF UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED

## LIABILITY:

1. Total payroll growth rate: $3.50 \%$.
2. Portion attributable to inflation: $3.00 \%$.
3. Portion attributable to active member growth: No growth.

## ACTUARIAL COST METHOD:

The funding period required to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is determined using the Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method. This method assigns the plan's total unfunded liabilities (the actuarial present value of future benefits less the actuarial value of assets) to various periods. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is assigned to years prior to the valuation, and the normal cost is assigned to the year following the valuation. The remaining costs are assigned to future years.

The normal cost is determined as a level percentage of payroll for a group of new entrants, based on actual new entrant experience for the period 2003-2007. This percentage of payroll is then applied to the total compensation for the prior year for all active members, and is then adjusted for the payroll growth assumption.

The actuarial accrued liability is the difference between the total present value of future benefits and the actuarial present value of future normal costs. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of assets.

Since the State statutes governing the System establish the current employee and State contribution rates, the actuarial valuation determines the number of years required to amortize (or fund) the UAAL on a level percentage of payroll basis, taking into account the payroll growth assumption and the normal cost expressed as a percent of pay.

Because of this amortization procedure, any change in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability due to (i) actuarial gains and losses, (ii) changes in actuarial assumptions, or (iii) amendments, affects the funding period. The statutory goal is that the State contribution rate be sufficient to keep the funding period below 31 years.

## FUNDING OF UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY:

Funded by the excess of future State contributions required by Law over the amount of such contributions required to fund the normal cost of benefits. Based on a study of all new entrants hired in the period from 2003 through 2007 and taking into account all changes in benefit provisions, the normal cost for benefits provided by the System is $10.42 \%$ of payroll $(6.40 \%$ by members plus $4.02 \%$ by the State), which is $2.38 \%$ of payroll less than the total contributions required by Law. It is intended that the excess amount of $2.38 \%$ of payroll will be used to amortize any unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of the System, assuming that total payroll increases by $3.50 \%$ per year.

As of the valuation as of August 31, 2009, these excess contributions of $2.38 \%$ of pay are insufficient to amortize the UAAL under the required time period.

## DEFINITION OF ACTUARIAL TERMS

H.B. 2206 as passed by the 1979 Legislature requires that any actuarial study of a public retirement system include "a complete definition of each actuarial term used in the study". In our report we have attempted to avoid the use of a multitude of complex actuarial terminology, but we realize that different users of our reports may have differing opinions as to what constitutes an "actuarial term". Accordingly, in keeping with the intent and the spirit of the law, we offer the following definitions of several terms contained in this report which might be considered actuarial in nature. Any qualified user of our report who believes that additional terms should be included is invited to communicate such terms either directly to us or through the Teacher Retirement System of Texas.

1. Actuarial Accrued Liability - for benefits payable in the future to present members, it will equal the present value of benefits payable in the future to them less the present value of future normal costs.
2. Actuarial Assumptions - assumptions as to future experience under the System. Current actuarial assumptions are detailed in Table 21 of the current annual valuation report. Assumptions include future fund earning rates, rates of future salary increases, and rates of death (both before and after retirement), disability, retirement, and withdrawal. Effective August 31, 1985, select and ultimate assumptions were adopted for retirement and withdrawal rates and the salary scale.
3. Actuarial Gain or Actuarial Loss - a measure of the difference between actual experience and assumed experience of the System. Through the actuarial assumptions, rates of decrements, rates of salary increases, and rates of fund earnings have been forecasted. To the extent that actual experience differs from that assumed, actuarial liabilities emerge which may be the same as forecasted, or they may be larger or smaller than projected. Actuarial gains are due to favorable experience, e.g., the System's assets earn more than projected, salaries do not increase as fast as assumed, members retire later than assumed, etc. Favorable experience means actual results produce actuarial liabilities not as large as projected by the actuarial assumptions. On the other hand, actuarial losses are the result of unfavorable experience, i.e., actual results that produce actuarial liabilities which are larger than projected. Actuarial gains will shorten the time required for funding of the actuarial balance sheet deficiency while actuarial losses will lengthen the funding period.
4. Actuarial Liabilities - the actuarially determined present value of future benefits to be provided by the System. There are separate actuarially determined present values for retired members and non-retired members (either active or inactive). When applied to active members, it takes into account benefits which will be earned through future service and future salary increases.
5. Actuarial Value of Present Assets - the value of present System assets for valuation purposes. Prior to August 31, 1985, this value was the same as the book value of assets. Beginning August 31, 1985, through August 31, 1993, this value was calculated under the "market over book adjusted asset valuation method." Beginning August 31, 1993, this value is calculated
under a five-year phase in of the excess (shortfall) between expected and actual income return on the market value of assets.
6. Actuarially Determined - values which have been determined utilizing the principles of actuarial science. An actuarially determined value is derived by application of the appropriate actuarial assumptions to specified values determined by provisions of the law.
7. Decrements - those types of activities by members of the System which cause them no longer to be members, i.e., death, retirement, disability, and withdrawal. It is a general term referring to any or all of these membership terminating events.
8. Defined Benefits - in a retirement plan, benefits which are defined by a specific formula applied to specific member compensation and/or specific years of service. The amount of the benefit is not a function of contributions or actual earnings on those contributions.
9. Defined Contributions - in a retirement plan, periodic contributions to the plan which are defined as a specific percent of compensation.
10. Experience Study - a periodic review and analysis of the actual experience of the System which may lead to a revision of one or more actuarial assumptions. Actual rates of decrement and salary increases are compared to the actuarially assumed values and modified as deemed appropriate by the Actuary.
11. Funding Period - the number of years in the future that will be required to fund (i.e., pay off or eliminate) the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, based on the actuarial assumptions and assuming no future actuarial gains or losses.
12. Future Benefits - benefits specified in the law which will become payable at some time in the future when the member satisfies the requirement to receive such benefits.
13. Future Contributions - contributions to be made by the member or the State in the future, as required by the law.
14. Normal Cost - the actuarial cost to fund the benefits provided by the System were the funding to begin at date of hire. It is expressed as a percent of pay and is equal to the present value at hire of all possible benefits of the System divided by the present value of anticipated future compensation to be received by the new member. In the aggregate, it must be less than the total future contribution to the System if the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is to be amortized. Otherwise there must be a funding surplus sufficient in size to offset any contribution rate shortfall.
15. Present Value - the actuarially determined lump sum value as of the valuation date of a series of payments to be made in the future, where the lump sum value is equal to the sum of the discounted value of each future payment. The discounted value of each payment is the product of (a) the amount of the payment, (b) the probability that the payment will be made (based on the current actuarial assumptions as to future experience), and (c) the time value of money (based on the current assumed interest rate).
16. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability - that portion of the actuarial accrued liability (including the present value of benefits presently being paid to retired members) that exceeds the value of current actuarial assets. A funding surplus exists if the actuarial accrued liability is less than the actuarial assets.

[^0]:    * The funding period for this entry uses the expected UAAL based on 30 year required contribution and expected payroll. The expected payroll is the prior year's valuation payroll, rolled forward at the $3.5 \%$ payroll growth rate.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Column (2) includes employee and employer contributions, as well as any service purchase or account reinstatement receipts during the year
    ${ }^{2}$ Column (8) = Column (2) - Column (7)
    ${ }^{3}$ Column (6) includes both adminstrative and investment expenses

[^2]:    * The counts and amounts in item E are included in items A, B and C above.

