Teacher Retirement System of Texas

ACTUARIAL VALUATION August 31, 2006



November 3, 2006

5605 N. MacArthur Blvd.

Irving, TX 75038-2631

Suite 870

Board of Trustees Teacher Retirement System of Texas 1000 Red River Street Austin, TX 78701-2698

Subject: Actuary's Certification of the Actuarial Valuation as of August 31, 2006

We certify that the information included herein and contained in the 2006 Actuarial Valuation Report is accurate and fairly presents the actuarial position of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) as of August 31, 2006.

All calculations have been made in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, and with the Actuarial Standards of Practice issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. In our opinion, the results presented comply with the requirements of the Texas statutes and, where applicable, the Internal Revenue Code, ERISA, and the Statements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The undersigned are independent actuaries. Mr. Carter and Mr. Newton are members of the American Academy of Actuaries, and are also Enrolled Actuaries. All are experienced in performing valuations for large public retirement systems.

Actuarial Valuations

The primary purpose of the valuation report is to determine the adequacy of the current State contribution rate through measuring the resulting funding period, to describe the current financial condition of the System, and to analyze changes in the System's condition. In addition, the report provides information required by the System in connection with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25 (GASB No. 25), and it provides various summaries of the data.

Valuations are prepared annually, as of August 31 of each year, the last day of the System's plan and fiscal year.

Financing Objective of the Plan

Contribution rates are established by Law that, over time, are intended to remain level as a percent of payroll. The employee and State contribution rates have been set by Law and are intended to provide for the normal cost plus the level percentage of payroll required to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over a period not in excess of 31 years.

Progress Toward Realization of Financing Objective

The actuarial accrued liability, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL), and the calculation of the resulting funding period illustrate the progress toward the realization of financing objectives. Based on this actuarial valuation as of August 31, 2006, the System's under-funded status has increased to \$13.7 billion from \$13.2 billion as of August 31, 2005. This increase in the UAAL is entirely due to TRS's current situation where the State's 6% of pay contribution rate during the 2005/2006 plan year was less than the 7.19% Annual Required Contribution rate for that plan year and was not sufficient to cover the total of the employer normal cost for the plan year and the interest on the 2005 UAAL.

This valuation shows a normal cost equal to 10.40% of pay. Since the State contribution rate of 6.00% of pay plus the member contribution rate of 6.40% of pay total 12.40% of pay, there is 2.00% of pay available to amortize the UAAL. However, the contributions provided by this portion of the contribution rate are not sufficient to amortize the current unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of the System. Therefore the funding period corresponding to the 6.00% State contribution rate is "never" or infinite, which is greater than the statutory limit of 31 years.

The actuarial valuation report as of August 31, 2006 reveals that while the System has an unfunded liability, it still has a funded ratio (the ratio of actuarial assets to actuarial accrued liability) of 87.3%. In addition, the System is deferring a net asset gain from prior asset experience. Therefore, in the absence of actuarial losses in the future, the funded status of the System should improve as these deferred asset gains are recognized.

The System earned a 9.6% return on a market value of assets basis for the plan year ending August 31, 2006, and the System experienced a \$264 million gain on the actuarial value of assets. This is the first valuation since 2001 that has shown an investment gain based on the actuarial value of assets. Thus all of the market-induced investment losses from the 2001 and 2002 plan years have been fully reflected.

The System also continues to be in a position where the actuarial value of assets is less than the market value, as a result of deferred net asset gains. As long as there are no offsetting asset losses over the next few years, the System is expected to recognize \$6.0 billion in asset gains. The recognition of these asset gains and the change in the benefit provisions enacted by the 2005 Legislature could put the System back into an actuarial position that would produce a more reasonable funding period.

In the absence of significant actuarial losses over the near term, the contribution rate needed to amortize the UAAL will begin to decrease. If the System can earn 8% over the next four years, the Annual Required Contribution rate is forecasted to flatten out between 5.50% and 5.60%. Note that the actual contribution rate would not be less than the statutory 6.0% minimum contribution rate.

Even though the future outlook has continued to improve significantly over the last two valuations, caution is still warranted over the next few years. There should be no benefit increases passed by the

Legislature over the next several Legislative Sessions without adequate funding, and the funded status should be carefully monitored.

Plan Provisions

The plan provisions used in the actuarial valuation are described in Table 21 of the valuation report. This valuation reflects the changes to plan provisions as enacted by the 79th Texas Legislature.

The 2005 legislation changed the benefit provisions as follows:

- 1. Non-grandfathered members became subject to the following law changes effective September 1, 2005:
 - (i) final average salary at retirement will be determined by the highest five years (instead of three years) of salary,
 - (ii) subsidized early retirement for members at least age 55 and with at least 20 years of service was eliminated, and
 - (iii) the partial lump sum option eligibility requires a combined age plus years of creditable service that equals at least 90 ("Rule of 90").
- 2. If a member met any one of the following criteria on or before August 31, 2005, they are grandfathered (exempt) from the above changes:
 - (i) at least 50 years old, or
 - (ii) age and service credit equal at least 70 ("Rule of 70"), or
 - (iii) have at least 25 years of service credit.
- 3. Effective January 1, 2006, new members must pay the full actuarial cost for service purchases for out of state service.
- 4. New members who enter TRS after August 31, 2007 are also affected by the following changes:
 - (i) minimum age 60 for unreduced retirement, and
 - (ii) reduced retirement at Rule of 80, benefit reduced 5% a year from age 60.

In a special session during the summer of 2006, the Legislature authorized certain prospective increases in classroom teacher compensation. Those increases are not yet reflected in the pay data for active members in the data for this valuation. The impact, if any, of these increases will be reflected in future valuations.

It should also be noted that the provision requiring a 90-day waiting period before participating in TRS expired after the last valuation. Consequently, the number of new members in this valuation increased significantly over the number in the prior year.

Disclosure of Pension Information

Effective for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1996, the Board of Trustees adopted compliance with the requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25. The required disclosure information is included in the body of the valuation report.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The actuarial methods and assumptions have been selected by the Board of Trustees of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas based upon our analysis and recommendations. These assumptions and methods are detailed in Table 22 of the valuation report. The Board of Trustees has sole authority to determine the actuarial assumptions used for the plan. The actuarial methods and assumptions are based on a study of actual experience for the four year period ending August 31, 2003 and were adopted on May 21, 2004.

The results of the actuarial valuation are dependent on the actuarial assumptions used. Actual results can and almost certainly will differ, as actual experience deviates from the assumptions. Even seemingly minor changes in the assumptions can materially change the liabilities, calculated contribution rates and funding periods. The actuarial calculations are intended to provide information for rational decision making.

In our opinion, the actuarial assumptions used are appropriate for purposes of the valuation and are internally consistent and reasonably related to the experience of the System and to reasonable expectations. The actuarial assumptions and methods used in this report comply with the parameters for disclosure that appear in GASB 25.

Data

In preparing the August 31, 2006 actuarial valuation, we have relied upon member and asset data provided by the Teacher Retirement System of Texas. We have not subjected this data to any auditing procedures, but have examined the data for reasonableness and for consistency with prior years' data. In conjunction with the actuarial audit performed prior to the 2005 valuation, effective with the 2005 valuation, certain miscellaneous changes were made in the handling of member records with missing data. However, none of these changes had any material impact on the actuarial results.

The schedules shown in the actuarial section and the trend data schedules in the financial section of the TRS financial report include selected actuarial information prepared by TRS staff. Six year historical information included in these schedules was based upon our work. For further information please see the full actuarial valuation report.

Respectfully submitted, Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company

W. Michael Carter, FSA, EA, MAAA Senior Consultant

Lewis Ward Consultant

Joe Newton, FSA, EA, MAAA Consultant

kb

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Transmittal Letter

<u>Section</u>		Page
A	Executive Summary	1
В	Introduction	3
C	Funded Status of the System	5
D	GASB Disclosure	8
E	Change in Assets During the Year	9
F	Actuarial Gains (Losses) and the Funding Period	10
G	Summary and Closing Comments	12
Н	Actuarial Tables	14

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The actuarial valuation of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) as of August 31, 2006, indicates that the System continues to have an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). The UAAL increased from \$13.2 billion in 2005 to \$13.7 billion in 2006. The System will never be funded under the current contribution structure without developing future actuarial gains. It should be noted that in the absence of future investment losses or liability losses, the recognition of the deferred asset gains over the next four valuations should return TRS to a viable financial position.

The key results of this valuation as of August 31, 2006, may be summarized as follows.

Item	2006	2005
Membership		
Number of		
- Active members	761,658	715,495
- Service retirees	239,034	230,740
- Disabled retirees	8,462	8,327
- Beneficiaries	9,648	9,442
- Inactive, vested	48,324	45,073
- Inactive, nonvested	101,723	106,157
- Total	1,168,849	1,115,234
Payroll	\$ 28.397 billion	\$ 25.957 billion
Statutory contribution rates		
• State	6.00%	6.00%
• Member	6.40%	6.40%
Actuarial Information		
• Normal cost %	10.40%	10.40%
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$ 13.694 billion	\$ 13.196 billion
• UAAL as % of pay	48.2%	50.8%
Funded ratio	87.3%	87.1%
Funding period (years)	Never	Never
GASB Annual Required Contribution	7.02%	7.19%

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (cont.)

Item		2006		2005	
Assets					
Market value	\$	100.239 billion	\$	93.708 billion	
Actuarial value	\$	94.218 billion	\$	89.299 billion	
Estimated yield on market value		9.6%		14.4%	
Estimated yield on actuarial value		8.3%		3.4%	
Ratio of actuarial to market value		94.0%		95.3%	
Employee contributions, including service purchases	\$	1,854.0 million	\$	1,728.3 million	
State contributions		1,333.1 million		1,258.6 million	
Employer contributions		267.4 million		221.2 million	
Benefit, refund, and expense payments		5,893.3 million		5,673.5 million	
Net external cash flow		(2,438.8) million		(2,465.4) million	
Gains/(losses)					
Asset experience	\$	264.2 million	\$	(4,068.0) million	
Assumption changes/Legislative changes		.0 million		(1,143.3) million	
Liability experience	_	(254.1) million	١.	430.1 million	
• Total	\$	10.1 million	\$	(4,781.2) million	

	UAAL		GASB
Item	(\$ Millions)	Funding Period	ARC
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1. 2005 Valuation	13,196	Never	7.19%
2. Expected 2006 UAAL*	13,498	Never	7.19%
3. Expected 2006 UAAL using actual contributions	13,704	Never	7.24%
4. 2006 UAAL using expected assets and actual liabilities	13,958	Never	7.08%
5. 2006 UAAL using actual assets and liabilities	13,694	Never	7.02%
6. 2006 UAAL after benefit changes	13,694	Never	7.02%

^{*} The funding period for this entry uses the expected UAAL based on 30 year required contribution and expected payroll. The expected payroll is the prior year's valuation payroll, rolled forward at the 3% payroll growth rate.

INTRODUCTION

The valuation of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) as of August 31, 2006, reflects the following contribution rates: (a) a member contribution rate of 6.40%, and (b) a State contribution rate of 6.00%. Given legislative history since 1983 concerning the contribution rate, valuation results are determined assuming the current (6.00%) State contribution rate is the ultimate rate.

In preparing this valuation, Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company (GRS) has relied on employee data and asset information provided by the staff of the Teacher Retirement System. While not verifying the data at their source, GRS has performed such tests for consistency and reasonableness as has been deemed necessary to be satisfied with the appropriateness of using the data supplied.

As in the 2005 valuation, this valuation reflects the changes to plan provisions as enacted by the 79th Texas Legislature. This legislation changed the benefit provisions as follows:

- 1. Non-grandfathered members are subject to the following new law changes effective September 1, 2005:
 - (i) final average salary at retirement will be determined by the highest five years (instead of three years) of salary,
 - (ii) subsidized early retirement for members at least age 55 and with 20 or more years of service will be eliminated, and
 - (iii) the partial lump sum option eligibility requires a combined age plus years of creditable service that equals at least 90 ("Rule of 90").
- 2. If a member met any one of the following criteria on or before August 31, 2005, they are grandfathered (exempt) from the above changes:
 - (i) at least 50 years old, or
 - (ii) age and service credit equal at least 70 ("Rule of 70"), or
 - (iii) have at least 25 years of service credit.
- 3. Effective January 1, 2006, new members must pay the full actuarial cost for service purchases for out of state service.
- 4. New members who enter TRS after August 31, 2007 are also affected by the following changes:
 - a. minimum age 60 for unreduced retirement, and
 - b. reduced retirement at Rule of 80, benefit reduced 5% a year from age 60.

Section A contains an executive summary of the most significant valuation results. The basic results of the valuation are covered in Section C. Section D contains the necessary disclosure items required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Section E provides analysis and discussion of changes in assets. Section F produces a determination of actuarial gains and losses for

the year and an analysis of the change in the funding period since the prior year's valuation. Section G summarizes the findings of the valuation, and Section H provides the tables supporting the report.

This valuation utilizes actuarial assumptions and methods modified as a result of the Experience Study for the four-year period ending August 31, 2003. These assumptions and methods were adopted by the Board on May 21, 2004.

In conjunction with the actuarial audit performed prior to the 2005 valuation, certain miscellaneous changes were made in the handling of member records with missing data, effective with the 2005 valuation. However, none of these changes had any material impact on the actuarial results.

FUNDED STATUS OF THE SYSTEM

Table 3 in Section H details the normal cost of the Retirement System by its various components. This normal cost is developed based on the valuation method known as the entry-age-normal actuarial cost method. The total normal cost for the Retirement System is 10.40% of pay, this amount being inclusive of the amount contributed by the employees. The net normal cost for the State is 4.00% of pay based on the member contribution rate of 6.40%.

Since the State contribution rate is 6.00%, this allows 2.00% of pay contributed by the State to be available to amortize any unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities.

As stated above, the funding period for the System is determined under the entry-age-normal actuarial cost method based on a level percentage of pay. The key points of this method are as follows:

- 1. The "normal cost" for the System is deemed to be equal to the average cost of benefits for newly hired participants.
- 2. The "actuarial accrued liability" for benefits payable in the future to present active members is calculated as the present value of benefits payable in the future to present active members less the present value of future normal costs.
- 3. Funding of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is a function of the rate of future growth in total covered payroll.

Table 5 develops the funding period under the above approach not only for the current valuation, but also for the valuation as of August 31, 2005. As shown in Item A3 of Table 5, the normal cost for the System consists of the entire 6.40% of pay contributed by the members plus 4.00% of pay from the State. As developed in Item A4, the 6.00% of pay contributed by the State is 2.00% of pay more than the State normal cost. From an actuarial perspective, the contribution rate in excess of the System's normal cost should be sufficient to amortize the UAAL over a reasonable period of time. However, the current contribution rate in excess of the System's normal cost (2.00%) is not sufficient to amortize the System's UAAL if all actuarial assumptions are exactly met.

The UAAL as shown in Item B4 of Table 5 is \$13.7 billion for 2006, an increase from \$13.2 billion in 2005. As indicated in the table, the UAAL equals the difference between the total actuarial accrued liability (Item B2d) and current actuarial assets (Item B3). The excess contributions above the normal cost will be used to help reduce the UAAL. However, while the current contribution rate pays for the System's normal cost, the excess above the normal cost is not sufficient to amortize the UAAL, and therefore in the absence of actuarial gains or increased contributions the UAAL is expected to continue to increase. On the positive side, however, it should be noted that the System is now deferring \$6.0 billion in net asset gains. In the absence of future investment losses or liability losses, the UAAL should decrease over the next four valuations.

In determining the number of years that will be required to amortize the UAAL, an assumption is made concerning future growth of the payroll of the System. GASB Statement No. 25 requires that the payroll growth assumption not consider growth in the active employee census. Under GASB 25 the appropriate payroll growth assumption is 3.00%.

As shown in Item B6 of Table 5 and using the assumed rate of increase in covered payroll of 3.00%, the period to fund the UAAL is still infinite, i.e., the UAAL will never be funded under the current contribution structure without future actuarial gains. An analysis of the change in the UAAL and the funding period since the 2005 valuation is provided in Section F.

There was favorable investment experience on a market value basis during the year, and combined with the favorable market value experience of the prior three years the experience on an actuarial value of assets (AVA) basis was slightly favorable. This is due to the asset smoothing methodology that is used to determine the actuarial value of assets. Under the asset smoothing methodology, as may be seen in Item 2 of Table 4b, the AVA methodology has now recognized all deferred investment losses from fiscal years 2001 and 2002. The actuarial asset yield for 2006 is 8.3%, higher than the assumed rate of 8.0%, but lower than the market return of 9.6%.

This is the first valuation since the 2001 valuation that the actuarial return has exceeded the 8% assumption. Combined with the \$6 billion in deferred asset gains discussed below, this result is viewed as a very encouraging development.

It should also be noted that the System continues the position developed last year where the actuarial value of assets is less than the market value, now totaling \$6.0 billion in deferred asset gains. Without significant actuarial losses and/or unfunded legislative enhancements over the next few years, these deferred gains will lower the UAAL over the next four valuations.

Table 7 offers a comparative view of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). It compares the UAAL with three items: the covered payroll for the year, the total actuarial value of assets at the end of the year, and the total actuarial liabilities (or, equivalently, the total present value of future benefits) as of the valuation date.

The actuarial value of assets is developed in Table 4b. It should be remembered that the intent of the actuarial asset valuation method is to smooth out year-to-year fluctuations in market rates of return. It accomplishes this smoothing effect by recognizing the excess or shortfall in total market return over the expected return at the rate of 20% per year over a five year period. The excess or shortfall of investment income attributable to the most recent four years is shown in Table 4a.

While the design of the actuarial asset valuation method is to smooth out year-to-year fluctuations in market rates of return, the method is also designed to not allow the actuarial value of assets to drift too far from the actual market value of assets. To accomplish this goal a corridor is established around the market value of assets (not less than 80% or more than 120% of the market value of assets). If the actuarial value of assets using the smoothing technique produces a preliminary actuarial value of assets that is outside of the corridor, then the actuarial value of assets is set equal to the nearest corridor threshold. The 2002 valuation was the first time this corridor had impacted the actuarial value of assets. At the 2003 valuation the actuarial value of assets returned to a value that was inside the corridor, and it has remained there since. The preliminary actuarial value of assets is \$94.2 billion as shown in Item 4 of Table 4b. This number is equal to 94.0% of the market value of assets. Since that lies within our 80% to 120% corridor, the preliminary actuarial value of assets becomes the final actuarial value of assets as shown in Item 6 of Table 4b.

Table 2 provides an overall summary of key actuarial data for the 2006 valuation, with comparative data for 2005. This information is summarized from the other tables, which supply more detail. Its value is in providing in one convenient place key comparative valuation results.

The fact that the total contribution rate is not sufficient to amortize the current UAAL creates a period of caution for TRS. As noted above, the System has an unfunded liability of \$13.7 billion. With \$6.0 billion in net deferred investment gains, unless the UAAL is increased by more actuarial losses, the System may achieve a 30-year funding period over the next four years. Because of the market performance of the past four years, the 30 year ARC is expected to decrease over the next four valuations and flatten out between 5.50% and 5.60%.

GASB DISCLOSURE

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has issued Statement No. 25 which provides the manner in which the actuarial condition of a public sector retirement plan is to be disclosed and which replaces GASB No. 5.

TRS elected to comply with GASB No. 25 beginning with the fiscal and plan year ending August 31, 1996. The required actuarial disclosure tables are represented by Tables 14a – 14c.

GASB No. 25 provides for a calculation of an annual required contribution (ARC). The ARC for TRS is the amount necessary to pay the normal cost and amortize the unfunded liabilities of the System over a period of 30 years. For the 2006 valuation, it is 7.02% of pay.

TRS's auditors consider TRS a "special situation multi-employer plan" under GASB 27, and the State has established a Net Pension Obligation. The State's 2006/2007 fiscal year will need to reflect the difference between its 6.00% contribution rate and the 7.02% ARC.

CHANGE IN ASSETS DURING THE YEAR

This section provides an analysis of the change in the Plan Net Assets during the year and an estimate of the yield on mean assets of the total System. Table 8a shows a rearrangement of some of the tables included in the annual financial statements of the System. Table 8b shows the estimated yield on a market value basis and on the actuarial asset valuation method.

To determine estimated yield on "mean assets", the traditional insurance company formula for yield rates is used. The estimated yield is derived by dividing the appropriate income by the corresponding mean assets.

As indicated by Item A4 of Table 8b, the estimated yield on mean market value is 9.6%, following a 14.4% return in 2005. The actuarial asset yield (Item B4) is 8.3%, compared to 3.4% in 2005, and compared to the 8% assumption rate. This difference in the estimated yield on market value and actuarial value illustrates the smoothing effect of the asset valuation method.

As mentioned in Section C, the investment results on an actuarial value basis are modestly favorable for the 2005/2006 plan year. On an actuarial value basis the System met its 8% assumption rate, but only by 0.3%. As a result, the System had a modest actuarial investment gain of \$264 million. It should be noted, however, that the asset valuation method is deferring \$6.0 billion in unrecognized gains into future years. These deferred gains will be recognized over the next four actuarial valuations. If there are no investment losses, other liability losses, or non-funded benefit enhancements during these four years, the funded status of the System should strengthen.

ACTUARIAL GAINS (LOSSES) AND THE FUNDING PERIOD

Section C has noted that the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) has increased from \$13.2 billion in 2005 to \$13.7 billion in 2006. The funding period has remained at "never". The purpose of this section is to determine the source of the gains and losses and the impact of those gains and losses on the funding period.

Section E has discussed the change in assets for the year. Table 8b develops the estimated yield for the year based on two measures of asset values. Table 9 takes the information contained in Table 8 and develops the expected value of actuarial assets for this valuation, based on the investment return assumption of 8%.

As shown in Item 7 of Table 9, the expected value of actuarial assets as of August 31, 2006 is \$94.0 billion. As developed in Table 4, the actual value of actuarial assets as of the valuation date is \$94.2 billion (as repeated in Item 8 of Table 9). Thus the asset gain for the year is the difference between the actual value and the expected value, or \$264 million (as shown in Item 9). Item 10 indicates that this gain represents 0.28% of this year's actuarial assets. This asset gain for the year is a direct reflection of the estimated yield for the year based on the value of actuarial assets, namely 8.3% (as shown in Item B4 of Table 8b).

Both the market value and the actuarial value of assets represent all-time highs for TRS at its August 31 valuation date. As modest as the actuarial asset gain is, it might be noted that this is the first actuarial asset gain the System has had since the 2001 valuation.

Table 10 develops the total actuarial gain (loss) for the year and separates it between the asset gain (loss) and the liability gain (loss). The items in Table 10 that are used to develop the expected UAAL as of August 31, 2006 are derived from Table 5 and Table 8. The total actuarial gain for the year is seen to be only \$10 million, significantly better that the 2005 loss of \$4.8 billion.

Since the asset gain for the year is \$264 million, this means that there is an overall actuarial loss associated with the liability experience of the System in an amount equal to \$254 million (total loss of \$10 million less the asset gain of \$264 million). The liability loss was not significant in size and it was completely offset by the asset gain, producing a very small total gain for the System.

Table 11 traces the changes in the UAAL and the funding period from the valuation as of August 31, 2005, to August 31, 2006.

Item 3 of Table 11 shows the funding status if there had been no actuarial gains or losses in the areas of assets, liabilities, and reflecting the actual State contribution rate. The UAAL would have increased during the year to \$13.7 billion.

Item 4 of Table 11 illustrates that the liability experience loss increased the UAAL to \$13.96 billion but that the asset gain decreased the UAAL back down to \$13.69 billion. When the UAAL is positive and the contribution rate in excess of the normal cost rate is not sufficient to amortize the UAAL, the funding period is "never" (or "infinite").

Column 7 traces the change in the GASB Annual Required Contribution (ARC) from the valuation as of August 31, 2005 to August 31, 2006. The ARC has decreased from 7.19% to 7.02%.

What Table 11 illustrates is that all of the increase in the UAAL from \$13.2 billion last year to \$13.7 billion this year is attributable to the contribution situation TRS is currently in. The State's 6.0% contribution rate is not presently sufficient to cover the System's normal cost and the interest on the UAAL. If it were sufficient, there would have been no material changes in the UAAL.

In spite of this condition, however, the System's ARC has decreased to 7.02%, and this ARC is therefore getting closer to that 6% State contribution rate.

SUMMARY AND CLOSING COMMENTS

To summarize the results of the actuarial valuation of the Teacher Retirement System as of August 31, 2006, it is our opinion that the System has begun to move to a more encouraging position from an actuarial perspective. In the absence of future losses, it is possible that the current contribution rates may become sufficient to meet GASB requirements in the next two to three years. It is our opinion that without future actuarial gains or losses, the GASB annual required contribution (ARC) will decrease over the next few valuations and level off between 5.50% and 5.60%.

This valuation has several encouraging results and outlooks. The key ones are as follow:

- If the State contribution rate had been sufficient to cover both the State normal cost and the interest on the 2005 UAAL, there would have been no material increase in the UAAL. (The UAAL has not decreased since 2000.)
- Even though it was very modest in size, the System had its first actuarial asset gain since 2001.
- All of the market-induced investment losses from 2000 2002 have now been fully reflected in the UAAL.
- The System has \$6 billion in deferred asset gains, and in the absence of future offsetting investment or liability losses, these deferred gains will reduce the UAAL by nearly half over the next four years.
- Also, in the absence of future offsetting losses, the recognition of these deferred gains will allow TRS to again have a funding period at or below 30 years in the near future.
- The decline in TRS's funded ratio may have bottomed out and may now be on an increasing pattern. (TRS's funded ratio peaked at 107% in 2000 and had declined through 2005 to 87.1%. For this 2006 valuation, it has increased modestly to 87.3%.)

Nevertheless, the funding period for the August 31, 2006 valuation is still determined as "never" based on the 3.00% payroll growth assumption and based on the current 6.00% State contribution rate because the valuation does not recognize the net deferred investment gains.

Because of this situation, caution is still warranted. The System's contribution rate exceeds its normal cost rate by only 2.00% of pay. This small excess contribution rate over the normal cost is not sufficient to amortize the current UAAL. Additional liabilities without additional funding would only exacerbate the situation. The System still is under-funded by \$13.7 billion as of this valuation.

In order for the System to again become fully funded during this period of time, it must generate sufficient net market gains (or net liability gains) to reduce the \$13.7 billion UAAL to a level that the current contribution rate can support. Otherwise the System will require an increase in its contribution rate. In spite of the size of the deferred asset gains, the System, its members, and the Legislature should take a wait and see stance to see if actuarial gains are recognized over the next few valuations. However, if a significant actuarial loss is experienced over that time, it is possible that a future increase in the contribution rate would be required.

Any increase in the State contribution rate, however, should be put in historical perspective. With the exception of non-actuarial issues (related to Texas budget reasons), the TRS State contribution rate has either decreased or remained the same since 1979. At 7.02%, the State contribution rate would be less than the 7.31% rate that was contributed as recently as during fiscal years 1992-1995.

As with prior valuations, for the foreseeable future we continue to believe and recommend that no benefit enhancements, including ad hoc increases, should be considered without contribution rate increases. The starting point for any proposed enhancements would be an increase of 1.02% of pay in the contribution rate.

ACTUARIAL TABLES

Table		
Number	Table of Contents	Page
1	Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits	15
2	Summary of Cost Items	16
3	Normal Cost by Component	17
4a	Calculation of Excess Investment Income for Actuarial Value of Assets	18
4b	Development of Actuarial Value of Assets	19
5	Years to Fund the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	20
6	Growth of Covered Payroll and Active Members	21
7	Relative Size of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	22
8a	Change in Plan Net Assets	24
8b	Estimation of Yields	25
9	Actual Versus Expected Actuarial Assets	26
10	Actuarial Gain or Loss for the Year	27
11	Analysis of Change in Funding Period	28
12	History of Cash Flow	29
13	History of Contribution Rates	30
14a	Schedule of Funding Progress	31
14b	Schedule of Employer Contributions	32
14c	Notes to Required Supplementary Information	33
15	Statistical Information	34
16	Statement of Plan Net Assets	36
17	Distribution of Active Participants by Age and Service	37
18	Distribution of Life Annuities by Age	38
19	Distribution of Disabled Annuities by Age	39
20	Retirees, Beneficiaries, and Disabled Participants Added to and	40
	Removed from Rolls	
21	Summary of the Benefit Provisions of the Retirement System	41
22	Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	54
23	Definition of Actuarial Terms	61

		ACTUARIAL PRESENT VALUE OF FUTURI	E B ENEFITS	
	+			4.21
_	+			1st 31,
_	+		2006	2005
_	+		(1)	(2)
Α.	Pre	sent Value of Benefits Presently Being Paid:		
	_	Service retirement benefits	\$ 47,342,229,127	\$ 45,632,663,02
	2. I	Disability retirement benefits	868,773,088	857,293,77
	_	Death benefits	737,960,508	731,194,19
	4. F	Present survivor benefits	191,103,604	189,276,29
	5. T	Total present value of benefits presently being paid	\$ 49,140,066,327	\$ 47,410,427,28
В.	Pre	sent Value of Benefits Payable In the Future		
	To.	Present Active Members:		
	1. S	Service retirement benefits	\$ 73,866,987,834	\$ 68,912,907,91
	2. [Disability retirement benefits	916,344,099	859,956,65
	3. 1	Termination benefits	4,221,247,677	3,882,069,20
	4. I	Death and survivor benefits	1,319,745,974	1,219,455,85
	5. T	Total active member liabilities	\$ 80,324,325,584	\$ 74,874,389,63
С.	Pre	sent Value of Benefits Payable In the Future To		
	Pre	sent Inactive Members:		
	1. I	nactive vested participants		
	a	Retirement benefits	\$ 1,180,903,351	\$ 1,063,354,51
	b	Death benefits	93,646,265	85,789,47
	С	Total inactive vested benefits	\$ 1,274,549,616	\$ 1,149,143,98
		Refunds of contributions to inactive nonvested members	230,309,001	221,901,39
	-	Future survivor benefits payable on behalf of present annuitants	937,244,511	900,406,28
	4. Т	Total inactive liabilities	\$ 2,442,103,128	\$ 2,271,451,65
D	Tot	al Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits:	\$ 131,906,495,039	\$ 124,556,268,58

	I	SUN	M M A	RY OF COST ITE	EN	18			
			Va	luation as of Augu	10	t 31 2006	Va	luation as of Augu	let 31 2005
			V 0	iluation as of Augu	_	Cost as %	V 0	iuation as of Aug	Cost as %
				Cost Item	+	of Pay		Cost Item	of Pay
				(1)	t	(2)		(3)	(4)
1.	Pai	rticipants		(-)	Ť	(-)		(=)	(.)
	_	A ctive contributing members			Ť				
	-	1. Not in DROP		715,148	Ť			685.130	
		2. In DROP		1,076	Ť			1,197	
	b.	A ctive noncontributing members		,,,,,	Ť			,	
		1. Assumed to be active		9.061	Ť			9,100	
		2. Assumed to be inactive vested		27,369	Ť			26,362	
		3. Assumed to be inactive nonvested		54,184	Ť			55,533	
		4. Total		90,614	Ť			90,995	
	c.	New entrants missing data		36,373	Ť			20,068	
		A ctive subtotal		843,211	+			797,390	
	_	Inactive members w/deferred benefits		20,955	†			18,711	
	f.	Retired members and beneficiaries		257,144	†			248,509	
	g.	Subtotal, members		1,121,310	+			1,064,610	
	-	Inactive nonvested members		-,,	+			-,00,,000	
		due refunds		47,539	+			50,624	
	i.	Total members hip	_	1,168,849	†			1,115,234	
2.		vered Payroll	\$	28,397,283,377	+		\$	25,956,806,593	
		verage for Active Members	╫	20,007,200,077	+		+	20,500,000,050	
	_	A verage age		43.6	+			43.6	
	_	A verage years of service	+	9.2	+			9.4	
		A verage pay	\$	37,284	$^{+}$		\$	36,278	
1		esent Value of Future Pay	\$	230,721,498,396	+		\$	212,132,043,172	
		ormal Cost Rate	Ψ	230,721,470,370	$^{+}$		Ψ	212,132,043,172	
٥.	a.	Gross normal cost	+	10.40%	+			10.40%	
		Less employee contribution rate	+	(6.40%)	+			(6.40%)	
	_	State normal cost	+	4.00%	$^{+}$			4.00%	
6	_	esent Value of Future Benefits	+	7.00%	+			4.00 //	
0.	a .	Retired members - in pay or deferred	\$	49,140,066,327	+		\$	47,410,427,289	
	b.	Retired members - future survivor	φ	49,140,000,327	+		Ψ	47,410,427,209	
	υ.	benefits	+	937,244,511	+			900,406,283	
	0	Vested inactive members			+				
	_		+	1,274,549,616 80,324,325,584	+			1,149,143,986 74,874,389,636	
			+		+				
	f.	Inactive nonvested members Total	\$	230,309,001	+	464.5%	\$	221,901,390 124,556,268,584	479.99
7	_	esent Value of Future Normal Costs	Ф	131,700,473,039	+	404.370	ф	124,330,200,304	+/7.97
1.		nployee plus employer)	\$	23,995,035,833	+	QA 501	\$	22,061,732,490	95.00
Q		etuarial Accrued Liability	\$	107,911,459,206	+	84.5% 380.0%	\$	102,494,536,094	85.09 394.99
	_	etuarial Value of Assets	\$	94,217,921,767	+	331.8%	\$		344.09
	_	funded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$		+		\$	89,298,813,225 13,195,722,869	
	_	aployer Contribution Rate	- p	13,693,537,439	+	48.2%	Þ		50.89
	_	- ·	+		+			6.00%	
		nding Period timated Yield on Actuarial Assets	-	Never	+			Never	
	_		+	8.3%	+			3.4%	
	_	A SB 25 Funded Ratio	+	87.3%	+			87.1%	
13.	_	A SB Annual Required Contribution te (ARC) for State	-	7.02%	+			7.19%	

	ANALYSIS OF NORMAL COST BY COMPONENT								
				8/31/2006	8/31/2005				
		Benefit Component		Cost as % of Pay	Cost as % of Pay				
		(1)		(2)	(3)				
1.	No	rmal Cost							
	a.	Retirement Benefits		7.82%	7.82%				
	b.	Disability Benefits		0.18%	0.18%				
	c.	Death Benefits (including survivor benefit	its)	0.37%	0.37%				
	d.	Termination benefits		2.03%	2.03%				
	e.	Total		10.40%	10.40%				
2.	Em	ployee Contribution Rate		(6.40%)	(6.40%)				
3.	Sta	te Normal Cost (Item 1e - Item 2)		4.00%	4.00%				

	CALCULATION OF EXCESS INVESTMENT INCOME FOR								
	ACTUAR	IAL VALUE OF ASSE	TS						
			Plan Year Endi						
	Item	2006	2005	2004	2003				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
1. A	ctual net investment income based on market value of assets	\$ 8,924,425,546	\$ 11,927,731,186	\$ 9,121,019,840	\$ 7,782,851,430				
2. N	Market value of assets, beginning of year	93,707,816,093	84,202,981,707	77,633,002,461	71,695,802,361				
3. C	Contributions during year								
	Employee	1,700,415,419	1,578,339,475	1,530,276,750	1,516,801,535				
b	. State and employer	1,600,543,061	1,479,756,824	1,434,701,368	1,422,068,354				
С	. Membership fees/legislative appropriation for expenses	-	-	-	-				
d	. Reinstatements	153,556,417	149,994,343	191,227,695	155,410,852				
e	. Total	3,454,514,897	3,208,090,642	3,156,205,813	3,094,280,741				
4. B	enefits paid during year	(5,582,306,639)	(5,387,605,428)	(5,486,849,698)	(4,753,849,401)				
5. R	efunds paid during year	(265,487,479)	(243,382,014)	(220,396,709)	(186,082,670)				
6. E	xpenses for year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7. E	xpected net investment income at 8% earned on:								
a	. Market value of assets, beginning of year	7,496,625,287	6,736,238,537	6,210,640,197	5,735,664,189				
b	. Contributions	138,180,596	128,323,626	126,248,233	123,771,230				
c	. Benefits	(223,292,266)	(215,504,217)	(219,473,988)	(190,153,976)				
d	. Refunds	(10,619,499)	(9,735,281)	(8,815,868)	(7,443,307)				
e	. Expenses	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
f.	Total	7,400,894,118	6,639,322,665	6,108,598,574	5,661,838,136				
8. E	xcess investment income for year (Item 1 - Item 7f)	\$ 1,523,531,428	\$ 5,288,408,521	\$ 3,012,421,266	\$ 2,121,013,294				

DEVELOPMENT OF ACTUARIA	AL VALUE OF ASSETS	
	Plan Year Ending	Plan Year Ending
Item	August 31, 2006	August 31, 2005
(1)	(2)	(3)
1. Excess (Shortfall) of invested income		
for current and previous 3 years		
a. Current year	\$ 1,523,531,428	\$ 5,288,408,521
b. Current year - 1	5,288,408,521	3,012,421,266
c. Current year - 2	3,012,421,266	2,121,013,294
d. Current year - 3	2,121,013,294	(12,387,910,134)
e. Total for four years	\$ 11,945,374,509	\$ (1,966,067,053)
2. Deferral of excess (shortfall) of invested income		
a. Current year (80%)	\$ 1,218,825,142	\$ 4,230,726,817
b. Current year - 1 (60%)	3,173,045,113	1,807,452,760
c. Current year - 2 (40%)	1,204,968,506	848,405,318
d. Current year - 3 (20%)	424,202,659	(2,477,582,027)
e. Total deferred for year	\$ 6,021,041,420	\$ 4,409,002,868
3. Market value of plan net assets, end of year	\$ 100,238,963,187	\$ 93,707,816,093
4. Preliminary actuarial value of plan assets, end of		
year (Item 3 - Item 2e)	\$ 94,217,921,767	\$ 89,298,813,225
5. Actuarial value of assets corridor		
a. 80% of market value, end of year	\$ 80,191,170,550	\$ 74,966,252,874
b. 120% of market value, end of year	\$ 120,286,755,824	\$ 112,449,379,312
6. Final actuarial value of plan net assets, end of		
year (Item 4, but not less than Item 5a, and not		
more than Item 5b)	\$ 94,217,921,767	\$ 89,298,813,225

		ACTUARIAL ACCRU	JED LIA	BILITY		
					ļ.,	
	_		Aso	of August 31, 2006	Aso	of August 31, 200:
	_	· D ·		(1)		(2)
٩.	_	sic Data	Ф.	20 207 202 277	· ·	25.056.006.50
		Covered payroll	\$	28,397,283,377	\$	25,956,806,59
		Present value of future pay Normal cost rate of benefits	\$	230,721,498,396	3	212,132,043,17
	٥.	a. Total normal cost rate		10.400		10.404
	-		_	10.40%	_	10.409
		b. Less employee contribution rate c. State normal cost rate		4.00%		4.00
	4.	State contribution rate for funding unfunded		4.00%		4.00
	4.	actuarial accrued liability				
		a. Total State contribution rate		6.00%		6.00
		b. Less State normal cost rate		(4.00%)		(4.00
		c. State contribution rate available		2.00%	_	2.00
	5	A ctuarial accrued liability for present active members		2.00%		2.00
	5.	a. Present value of benefits payable in the future	_		-	
		to present members	\$	90 224 225 594	\$	74,874,389,63
		b. Less present value of future normal costs	Φ	80,324,325,584 (23,995,035,833)	J.	(22,061,732,49
		c. A ctuarial accrued liability	\$	56,329,289,751	\$	52,812,657,14
<u> </u>	Da	velopment of Funding Period	Φ	30,329,269,731	J.	32,612,037,1
3.	1.	Normal cost				
	1.	a. Employee normal cost (Item A 3b x Item A 1)*	\$	1,871,948,920	\$	1,711,072,69
		b. State normal cost (Item A 3c x Item A 1)*	Φ	1,169,968,075	J)	1,069,420,43
		c. Total normal cost	\$	3,041,916,995	\$	2,780,493,12
	2.		Ф	3,041,910,993) D	2,780,493,12
	۷.	Total actuarial accrued liability a. Present value of benefits presently being paid	\$	49,140,066,327	\$	47,410,427,28
	-	b. A ctuarial accrued liability for present active	Ф	56,329,289,751	Ф	52,812,657,14
	-	members (Item A5c)	_	30,329,289,731	_	32,812,037,14
	-	c. Present value of benefits for inactive members	Ф.	2 442 102 129	¢	2 271 451 6
		d. Total	\$ \$	2,442,103,128	\$	2,271,451,63
	2		2	107,911,459,206	3	102,494,536,09
		Current actuarial assets		94,217,921,767		89,298,813,22
	4.	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)				
	_	(Item B2d - Item B3)	\$	13,693,537,439	\$	13,195,722,80
	5.	A mount of State contribution available to fund				
	_	unfunded actuarial accrued liability				
		(Item A 4c x Item A 1)	\$	567,945,668	\$	519,136,13
	6.	Years to fund unfunded actuarial accrued liability		Never		Nev
	_	D (1)			_	
	-	Rate of Increase in Covered Payroll	_	.,	-	
	_	0.00%		Never		Nev
	-	3.00%	_	Never	-	Nev
	-	4.00%	_	58.3	-	7:
	_	4.75%		41.4		40
	_	6.00%		30.7		3:
	7.	Annual Required Contribution Rate (ARC)				
	_	(Normal cost + 30-year amortization of UAAL)		7.02%	-	7.19

		G	KOWTHOFCC	VERED PAY	ROLL AND ACTIVE MEMI	SEKS		
	Covered l	Payroll		A ctive Me			Averaş	ge Salary
					Compound Increase			Compound Increase
Year Ending	A mount in \$	Percent		Percent	Between Year Indicated	Average	Percent	Between Year Indicate
August 31,	M illions	Increase	Number	Increase	and 08-31-2006	Salary	Increase	and 08-31-2006
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1976	\$ 2,875	11.3%	331,049		2.8%	\$ 8,685		5.0%
1977	3,246	12.9%	348,969	5.4%	2.7%	9,303	7.1%	4.9%
1978	3,636	12.0%	361,487	3.6%	2.7%	10,058	8.1%	4.8%
1979	3,928	8.0%	374,078	3.5%	2.7%	10,500	4.4%	4.8%
1980	4,378	11.5%	385,332	3.0%	2.7%	11,363	8.2%	4.7%
1981	4,970	13.5%	389,735	1.1%	2.7%	12,751	12.2%	4.4%
1982	5,616	13.0%	395,578	1.5%	2.8%	14,196	11.3%	4.1%
1983	6,378	13.6%	404,656	2.3%	2.8%	15,761	11.0%	3.8%
1984	6,652	4.3%	404,976	0.1%	2.9%	16,427	4.2%	3.8%
1985	7,547	13.5%	413,938	2.2%	2.9%	18,234	11.0%	3.5%
1986	8,237	9.1%	432,749	4.5%	2.9%	19,034	4.4%	3.4%
1987	8,646	5.0%	443,593	2.5%	2.9%	19,492	2.4%	3.5%
1988	9,166	6.0%	455,460	2.7%	2.9%	20,124	3.2%	3.5%
1989	9,764	6.5%	470,042	3.2%	2.9%	20,772	3.2%	3.5%
1990	10,446	7.0%	483,262	2.8%	2.9%	21,616	4.1%	3.5%
1991	11,181	7.0%	502,625	4.0%	2.8%	22,245	2.9%	3.5%
1992	11,961	7.0%	521,661	3.8%	2.7%	22,928	3.1%	3.5%
1993	13,391	12.0%	575,088	10.2%	2.2%	23,285	1.6%	3.7%
1994	14,167	5.8%	600,484	4.4%	2.0%	23,593	1.3%	3.9%
1995	14,888	5.1%	625,878	4.2%	1.8%	23,788	0.8%	4.2%
1996	15,983	7.4%	652,197	4.2%	1.6%	24,506	3.0%	4.3%
1997	17,044	6.6%	678,749	4.1%	1.3%	25,112	2.5%	4.5%
1998	18,325	7.5%	705,447	3.9%	1.0%	25,977	3.4%	4.6%
1999	19,529	6.6%	736,058	4.3%	0.5%	26,533	2.1%	5.0%
2000	21,920	12.2%	766,906	4.2%	(0.1%)	28,583	7.7%	4.5%
2001	23,365	6.6%	797,339	4.0%	(0.9%)	29,303	2.5%	4.9%
2002	24,818	6.2%	745,923	(6.4%)	0.5%	33,272	13.5%	2.9%
2003	25,756	3.8%	754,715	1.2%	0.3%	34,127	2.6%	3.0%
2004	25,485	(1.1%)	729,411	(3.4%)	2.2%	34,939	2.4%	3.3%
2005	25,957	1.9%	715,495	(1.9%)	6.5%	36,278	3.8%	2.8%
2006	28,397	9.4%	761,658	6.5%		37,284	2.8%	
Note: Beginni	ng August 31, 19	993, the above	amounts includ	e counts and e	stimated pay for new entrai	nts with incom	plete data.	
Beginni	ng August 31, 20	002, the definit	ion of active me	mber was chan	ged.			

		RELATIVESI	ZE OF UNFUNDE	D ACTUARIAL AC	CCRUED LIABILITY		
						Relative to Total	 A ctuarial Liabilities
	Unfunded	Relative to Co	vered Payroll	Relative to Actua	rial Value of Assets	(Present Value o	f Future Benefits)
	Actuarial					Actuarial	Percent of
Year Ending	Accrued Liability	Covered Payroll	Percent of	Assets in	Percent of	Liabilities in	Actuarial
August 31,	in \$ Millions	In \$ Millions	Covered Payroll	\$ Millions	Assets	\$ M illions	Liabilities
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1969	\$ 1,312	\$ 1,299	101.0%	\$ 1,364	96.2%	\$ 3,960	33.1%
1970	1,444	1,528	94.5%	1,534	94.1%	4,384	32.9%
1971	1.632	1,758	92.8%	1.726	94.6%	5,100	32.0%
1972	1,720	1,904	90.5%	1,937	88.8%	5,551	31.0%
1973	1,633	2,079	78.5%	2,171	75.2%	5,733	28.5%
1974	1,739	2,246	77.4%	2,394	72.6%	6,207	28.0%
1975	1,998	2,583	77.4%	2,764	72.3%	7,143	28.0%
1976	2,445	2,875	85.0%	3,103	78.8%	8,067	30.3%
1977	2,879	3,246	88.7%	3,531	81.5%	9,626	29.9%
1978	2,422	3,636	66.6%	4,016	60.3%	9,858	24.6%
1979	3,322	3,928	84.6%	4,529	73.3%	12,336	26.9%
1980	2,785	4,378	63.6%	5,342	52.1%	12,181	22.9%
1981	3,300	4.970	66.4%	6.386	51.7%	13,890	23.8%
1982	3,864	5,616	68.8%	7,373	52.4%	16,135	23.9%
1983	4,549	6,378	71.3%	8,586	53.0%	20,277	22.4%
1984	4,849	6,652	72.9%	9,851	49.2%	22,456	21.6%
1985	6,474	7,547	85.8%	12,096	53.5%	29,618	21.9%

		RELATIVESI	ZE OF UNFUNDE	D ACTUARIAL AC	CRUED LIABILITY	,	
						Relative to Total	Actuarial Liabilitie
	Unfunded	Relative to Co	vered Payroll	Relative to Actuar	ial Value of Assets		f Future Benefits)
	Actuarial	Telutive to et	vered rayron	Telative to Hetual	lar varue of 7133ets	Actuarial	Percent of
Year Ending	Accrued Liability	Covered Payroll	Percent of	Assets in	Percent of	Liabilities in	Actuarial
August 31,	in \$ Millions	In \$ Millions	Covered Payroll	\$ Millions	Assets	\$ Millions	Liabilities
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
(1)	(=)	(5)	(.)	(6)	(0)		(0)
1986	\$ 5,365	\$ 8,237	65.1%	\$ 14,939	35.9%	\$ 32,273	16.6%
1987	4,096	8,646	47.4%	18,055	22.7%	34,801	11.8%
1988	3,890	9,166	42.4%	20,096	19.4%	37,332	10.4%
1989	3,489	9,764	35.7%	23,302	15.0%	41,084	8.5%
1990	3,343	10,446	32.0%	26,111	12.8%	45,685	7.3%
1991	3,429	11,181	30.7%	28,860	11.9%	49,515	6.9%
1992	3,441	11,959	28.8%	31,201	11.0%	53,123	6.5%
1993	3,440	13,391	25.7%	35,179	9.8%	59,210	5.8%
1994	825	14,167	5.8%	38,843	2.1%	58,351	1.4%
1995	1,956	14,888	13.1%	43,442	4.5%	65,259	3.0%
1996	1,813	15,983	11.3%	47,487	3.8%	68,948	2.6%
1997	146	17,044	0.9%	53,760	0.3%	74,677	0.2%
1998	(2,463)	18,325	(13.4%)	60,357	(4.1%)	79,603	(3.1%)
1999	(2,190)	19,529	(11.2%)	69,435	(3.2%)	91,563	(2.4%)
2000	(5,446)	21,920	(24.8%)	79,328	(6.9%)	100,414	(5.4%)
2001	(2,135)	23,365	(9.1%)	86,352	(2.5%)	113,663	(1.9%)
2002	3,287	24,818	13.2%	86,035	3.8%	118,100	2.8%
2003	5,230	25,756	20.3%	89,033	5.9%	123,677	4.2%
2004	7,953	25,485	31.2%	88,784	9.0%	121,267	6.6%
2005	13,196	25,957	50.8%	89,299	14.8%	124,556	10.6%
2006	13.694	28,397	48.2%	94,218	14.5%	131,906	10.4%

			CHANGE IN PLAN N	ET ASSETS	
_				Year Ending	Year Ending
				August 31, 2006	August 31, 2005
				(1)	(2)
I.	Re	ven	ue for the Year		
	A.	Co	ntribution and fees		
		1.	Member contributions	\$ 1,700,415,419	\$ 1,578,339,475
		2.	State contributions - State of Texas	1,332,101,481	1,257,671,695
		3.	State contributions - 415 Excess Plan	1,041,961	926,187
		4.	State contributions - Employers	267,399,619	221,158,942
		5.	Reinstatement of withdrawals	106,755,570	96,692,115
		6.	Reinstatement fees	46,800,847	53,302,228
		7.	Appropriation for expenses	-	-
		8.	Total	\$ 3,454,514,897	\$ 3,208,090,642
	B.	Inc	come		
		1.	Interest	\$ 1,334,450,945	\$ 1,056,392,052
		2.	Dividends	1,276,009,852	1,273,580,628
		3.	Net appreciation in fair value of investments	6,326,056,726	9,607,205,397
		4.	Income from Securities Lending	33,451,823	33,041,427
		5.	Investment expenses	(19,099,395)	(17,394,917)
		6.	Total	8,950,869,951	11,952,824,587
	C.		her Adjustments	\$ 769	\$ -
	C.	Oti		Ψ /65	Ψ -
	Б	То	tal Revenue	\$ 12,405,385,617	\$ 15,160,915,229
	D.	10		\$ 12,403,383,017	\$ 13,100,913,229
II.	Ext	neno	ditures for the Year		
			fund of Contributions	\$ 265,487,479	\$ 243,382,014
			nefit Payments	, <u></u>	
	D .	1.	Service retirements	\$ 4,896,156,393	\$ 4,709,693,259
		2.	DROP payments	36,033,028	55,152,336
		3.	Partial Lump Sum Option payments	313,359,714	288,088,743
		4.	415 Excess Plan payments	1,041,961	926,187
		_	Disability retirements	132,155,505	129,331,288
			Death and survivor benefits		204,413,615
		6.		203,560,038	
		7.	Total benefits	\$ 5,582,306,639	\$ 5,387,605,428
	C.		penses		
	-	1.	Gross expenses		
		_	a. Administrative expenses	\$ 26,444,405	\$ 25,114,716
		2.	Miscellaneous reimbursements	-	(21,315)
		3.	Total expenses	26,444,405	25,093,401
		_			
	D.	То	tal Expenditures	\$ 5,874,238,523	\$ 5,656,080,843
111	N.7		amaga in Dlan Nat Assets (ft. J.D. K. J.D.	¢ 6521.147.004	¢ 0.504.934.334
ш.	<u>INe</u>	t In	crease in Plan Net Assets (Item I.D Item II.D.	. \$ 6,531,147,094	\$ 9,504,834,386

	ESTIMATION OF YIELDS								
			Year Ending	Year Ending					
		Item	August 31, 2006	August 31, 2005					
		(1)	(2)	(3)					
Α.	M a	rket value yield							
	1.	Beginning of year net market assets	\$ 93,707,816,093	\$ 84,202,981,707					
	2.	Investment income	8,924,425,546	11,927,731,186					
	3.	End of year market assets	100,238,963,187	93,707,816,093					
	4.	Estimated market value yield	9.6%	14.4%					
B.	A c	tuarial value yield							
	1.	Beginning of year actuarial assets	\$ 89,298,813,225	\$ 88,783,870,893					
	2.	Investment income	7,312,387,763	2,937,839,132					
	3.	End of year actuarial assets	94,217,921,767	89,298,813,225					
	4.	Estimated actuarial value yield	8.3%	3.4%					

	ACTUAL VERSUS EXPECTI	ED ACTUARIAL ASSETS	I
		Year Ending	Year Ending
	Ite m	August 31, 2006	August 31, 2005
	(1)	(2)	(3)
1.	A ctuarial assets, beginning of year	\$ 89,298,813,225	\$ 88,783,870,893
2.	Total contributions during year	3,454,514,897	3,208,090,642
3.	Benefits paid during year (including DROP)	(5,582,306,639)	(5,387,605,428)
4.	Refunds paid during year	(265,487,479)	(243,382,014)
5.	Expenses for the year	N/A	N/A
6.	Assumed net investment income at 8%		
	a. Beginning of year assets	\$ 7,143,905,058	\$ 7,102,709,671
	b. Contributions	138,180,596	128,323,626
	c. Benefits	(223,292,266)	(215,504,217)
	d. Refunds	(10,619,499)	(9,735,281)
	e. Expenses	N/A	N/A
	f. Total	\$ 7,048,173,889	\$ 7,005,793,799
7.	Expected actuarial assets, end of year		
	(Sum of Items 1 through 6)	\$ 93,953,707,893	\$ 93,366,767,892
8.	Actual actuarial assets, end of year	94,217,921,767	89,298,813,225
9.	Asset gain (loss) for year (Item 8 - Item 7)	264,213,874	(4,067,954,667)
10.	Asset gain (loss) as % of actual actuarial assets	0.28%	(4.56%)

			GAIN OR LOSS FOR	THE YEAR			
					En d in g		Year Ending
			Item	August	31, 2006	A	ugust 31, 2005
			(1)		2)		(3)
_	G.4	LOU	A THOM OF TOTAL CANADA OR LOCK			-	
Α.			LATION OF TOTAL GAIN OR LOSS			-	
	1.		unded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL),			-	
			Previous year, before Assumption changes		95,722,869	\$	7,952,654,577
			Previous year, after Assumption changes		95,722,869		7,952,654,577
	2.		mal cost for the year		74,274,639		2,890,458,330
	3.		tributions for the year	(3,3	00,958,480)		(3,058,096,299)
	4.	Inter	rest at 8%				
		a.	On UAAL	\$ 1,0	55,657,830	\$	636,212,366
		b.	On normal cost	1	10,970,986		115,618,333
		c.	On contributions	(1	32,038,339)		(122,323,852)
		d.	Total	\$ 1,0	34,590,477	\$	629,506,847
	5.	Expe	ected UAAL (Sum of Items A1 through A4)	13,7	03,629,505		8,414,523,455
	6.	Actu	ı al UAAL	13,6	93,537,439		13,195,722,869
	7.	Gain	(loss) for the year (Item A5 - Item A6)	\$	10,092,066	\$	(4,781,199,414)
В.	SO	URC	E OF GAINS AND LOSSES				
	1.	Ass	et gain (loss) for the year (Table 9)	\$ 2	64,213,874	\$	(4,067,954,667)
	2.		et gain (loss) as a % of actuarial assets		0.28%		(4.56%)
	3.		al actuarial accrued liability gain (loss) for				,
			(Item A7 - Item B1)	(2	54,121,808)		(713,244,747)
	4.	-	lysis of actuarial accrued liability loss				
			Legis lative changes		-		(1,143,299,178)
		-	Liability experience	(2	54,121,808)		430,054,431
			Total		54,121,808)	\$	(713,244,747)
	5.	Expe	erience liability gain (loss) as % of total				
			arial accrued liability (Item B4b as % of				
			l actuarial accrued liability)		(0.24%)		0.42%

				Total		Change in	
		UAAL	Normal Cost	Contribution	Funding	Funding	GASB
	Basis	(\$ Millions)	Rate	Rate	Period	Period	ARC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1.	2005 Valuation	13,196	10.40%	12.40%	Never		7.19%
2.	Expected 2006 UAAL*	13,498	10.40%	12.40%	Never		7.19%
3.	Expected 2006 UAAL using actual contributions	13,704	10.40%	12.40%	Never		7.24%
4.	2006 UAAL using expected assets and actual liabilities	13,958	10.40%	12.40%	Never		7.08%
5.	2006 UAAL using actual assets and liabilities	13,694	10.40%	12.40%	Never		7.02%
6.	2006 UAAL after benefit changes	13,694	10.40%	12.40%	Never		7.02%

				HISTOR	Y OF CASH FLOV	V			1
			Expen	ditures During the	e Year				
			•	Transfer to					
Year				Employees			External Cash		External Cash
Ending	Contributions	Benefit	Refund of	Retirement			Flow for the	Market Value	Flow as Percen
August 31,	for the Year ¹	Payments	Contributions	System	Expenses	Total	Year ²	of Assets	of Market Valu
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
1000	\$ 1,271,996,942	\$ (874,560,122)	\$ (113,178,276)	\$ -	\$ (15,155,899)	\$ (1,002,894,297)	\$ 269,102,645	\$ 19,188,847,074	1 407
1988 1989		(935,943,118)		7	1	(1,069,664,907)	287,048,920		1.4%
1989	1,356,713,827		(118,507,638)	(899,352)	(14,314,799)			23,941,442,793	
	1,502,302,663	(1,084,811,284)	(127,848,570)	-	(17,093,847)	(1,229,753,701)	272,548,962	24,555,334,041	1.1%
1991	1,600,092,649	(1,185,833,198)	(133,870,775)	-	(21,115,074)	(1,340,819,047)	259,273,602	29,695,711,781	0.9%
1992	1,663,664,046	(1,361,265,788)	(130,032,827)	-	(22,150,155)	(1,513,448,770)	150,215,276	32,766,914,759	0.5%
1993	1,792,999,133	(1,446,714,384)	(122,114,590)	-	(25,779,705)	(1,594,608,679)	198,390,454	37,981,853,461	0.5%
1994	1,887,530,125	(1,604,046,513)	(133,227,183)	-	(25,975,865)	(1,763,249,561)	124,280,564	39,277,226,893	0.3%
1995	1,980,678,842	(1,731,747,042)	(146,099,978)	-	(25,896,749)	(1,903,743,769)	76,935,073	45,965,182,547	0.2%
1996	1,927,100,219	(2,105,423,164)	(162,257,383)	-	(25,457,726)	(2,293,138,273)	(366,038,054)	50,101,367,986	(0.7%)
1997	2,052,261,338	(2,217,173,754)	(166,125,695)	-	(24,468,347)	(2,407,767,796)	(355,506,458)	62,160,927,516	(0.6%)
1998	2,197,477,431	(2,503,386,682)	(183,430,398)	-	(26,803,767)	(2,713,620,847)	(516,143,416)	66,456,822,943	(0.8%)
1999	2,334,197,510	(2,639,947,187)	(206,354,473)	-	(29,146,859)	(2,875,448,519)	(541,251,009)	79,910,553,792	(0.7%)
2000	2,569,218,427	(3,360,116,181)	(214,999,991)	-	(31,133,307)	(3,606,249,479)	(1,037,031,052)	89,987,158,209	(1.2%)
2001	2,712,395,592	(3,667,711,511)	(214,434,792)	-	(32,641,273)	(3,914,787,576)	(1,202,391,984)	79,428,239,521	(1.5%)
2002	2,920,429,953	(4,366,038,505)	(186,421,065)	-	(37,518,541)	(4,589,978,111)	(1,669,548,158)	71,695,802,361	(2.3%)
2003	3,094,280,741	(4,753,849,401)	(186,082,670)	-	(38,030,992)	(4,977,963,063)	(1,883,682,322)	77,633,002,461	(2.4%)
2004	3,156,205,813	(5,486,849,698)	(220,396,709)	-	(41,092,036)	(5,748,338,443)	(2,592,132,630)	84,202,981,707	(3.1%)
2005	3,208,090,642	(5,387,605,428)	(243,382,014)	-	(42,488,318)	(5,673,475,760)	(2,465,385,118)	93,707,816,093	(2.6%)
2006	3,454,514,897	(5,582,306,639)	(265,487,479)	-	(45,543,800)	(5,893,337,918)	(2,438,823,021)	100,238,963,187	(2.4%)
Column (2)	includes employee and	l employer contribution	us as well as any servic	e purchase or accou	nt reinstatement rece	ints during the year			

Fis cal Year (1) 1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98	ASB 25 Annual equired atribution Rate (2)	State Contribution Rate (3) 6.00% 7.50% 7.50%	Member Contribution Rate (4) 6.00% 6.65%	Total Contribution Rate (5)
R Con Fis cal Year (1) 1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98	Annual equired ntribution Rate	Contribution Rate (3) 6.00% 7.50%	Contribution Rate (4) 6.00%	Contribution Rate (5)
Fis cal Year (1) 1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98	Annual equired ntribution Rate	Contribution Rate (3) 6.00% 7.50%	Contribution Rate (4) 6.00%	Contribution Rate (5)
R Con Fis cal Year (1) 1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1978/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98	equired ntribution Rate	Contribution Rate (3) 6.00% 7.50%	Contribution Rate (4) 6.00%	Contribution Rate (5)
Fis cal Year (1) 1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98	ntribution Rate	Contribution Rate (3) 6.00% 7.50%	Contribution Rate (4) 6.00%	Contribution Rate (5)
Fis cal Year (1) 1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98	Rate	Rate (3) 6.00% 7.50%	Rate (4) 6.00%	Rate (5)
(1) 1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		(3) 6.00% 7.50%	6.00%	(5)
1976/77 1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98	(2)	6.00% 7.50%	6.00%	
1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.50%		12 00%
1977/78 1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.50%		
1978/79 1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98				14.15%
1979/80 1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		1.30%	6.65%	14.15%
1980/81 1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		8.50%	6.65%	15.15%
1981/82 1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		8.50%	6.65%	
1982/83 1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98				15.15%
1983/84 1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		8.50%	6.65%	15.15%
1984/85 1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		8.50%	6.65%	15.15%
1985/86 1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.10%	6.00%	13.10%
1986/87 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.10%	6.00%	13.10%
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		8.00%	6.40%	14.40%
1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		8.00%	6.40%	14.40%
1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.20%	6.40%	13.60%
1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.20%	6.40%	13.60%
1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.65%	6.40%	14.05%
1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.65%	6.40%	14.05%
1993/94 1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.31%	6.40%	13.71%
1994/95 1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.31%	6.40%	13.71%
1995/96 1996/97 1997/98		7.31%	6.40%	13.71%
1996/97 1997/98		7.31%	6.40%	13.71%
1997/98		6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
	6.00%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
	6.00%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
	4.12%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
	4.92%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
2000/01	4.12%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
	5.70%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
2002/03	7.15%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
2003/04	7.39%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
2004/05	7.31%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
2005/06	7.19%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%
2006/07	7.02%	6.00%	6.40%	12.40%

S CHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

			(as required by GASB	No. 25)		
				Funding Ratio		UAALAsa
Valuation		A ctu arial	Unfunded AAL	Assets as	Annual	% of Covered
Asof	Actuarial	Accrued	(UAAL)	% of AAL	Covered	Payroll
August 31,	Value of Assets	Liability (AAL)	(3) - (2)	(2) / (3)	Payroll	(4) / (6)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
2006	\$ 94,218	\$ 107,911	\$ 13,694	87.3%	\$ 28,397	48.2%
2005	89,299	102,495	13,196	87.1%	25,957	50.8%
2004	88,784	96,737	7,953	91.8%	25,485	31.2%
2003	89,033	94,263	5,230	94.5%	25,756	20.3%
2002	86,035	89,322	3,287	96.3%	24,818	13.2%
2001	86,352	84,217	(2,135)	102.5%	23,365	(9.1%)
2000	79,328	73,882	(5,446)	107.4%	21,920	(24.8%)
1999	69,435	67,245	(2,190)	103.3%	19,529	(11.2%)
1998	60,357	57,893	(2,463)	104.3%	18,325	(13.4%)
1997	53,760	53,906	146	99.7%	17,044	0.9%
1996	47,487	49,300	1,813	96.3%	15,983	11.3%
1995	43,442	45,398	1,956	95.7%	14,888	13.1%
1994	38,843	39,668	825	97.9%	14,167	5.8%
1993	35,179	38,619	3,440	91.1%	13,391	25.7%
1992	31,201	34,643	3,441	90.1%	11,959	28.8%
1991	28,860	32,289	3,429	89.4%	11,181	30.7%
1990	26,111	29,455	3,343	88.6%	10,446	32.0%
1989	23,301	26,790	3,488	87.0%	9,764	35.7%
1988	20,095	23,985	3,890	83.8%	9,166	42.4%
1987	18,055	22,151	4,096	81.5%	8,646	47.4%
Note: A mou	nt in \$ millions.					

S CHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

(As required by GASB No. 25)

1	irea by Gilb B 1(0, 20)	
	Annual Required	Percentage
Fiscal Year Ended	Contribution	Contributed
(1)	(2)	(3)
2006	7.19%	83%
2005	7.31%	82%
2004	7.39%	81%
2003	7.15%	84%
2002	5.70%	105%
2001	4.12%	146%
2000	4.92%	122%
1999	4.12%	146%
1998	6.00%	100%
1997	6.00%	100%
1996	6.00%	100%
1995	7.31%	100%
1994	7.31%	100%
1993	7.31%	100%
1992	7.31%	100%

The information presented in the required supplement		
part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated.	Additional inform	mation as of the
latest actuarialvaluation follows:		
Valuation date		August 31, 2006
A ctuarial cost method		Entry Age Normal
A mortization method	I	Level percent, open
		20
Remaining amortization period*		30 years
Asset valuation method	5	ar smoothed market
Asset valuation method	3-yea	ar smoothed market
A ctuarial as s u mptions:		
Investment rate of return **		8.00%
Projected salary increases **		4.25% to 26.40%
W eighted-average at valuation date		6.82%
**Includes inflation at		3.0%
Cost-of-living adjustments		None
* The current employer contribution of 6.00% is not s		
liability of the System. Consequently, the amortizati		
is never. The Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	of 7.02% shown o	on Table 13 has an
amortization period of 30 years.		

	Т		STATISTIC	AL	INFORMATION			Т	
							August 31,		
					2006		2005		2004
					(1)		(2)		(3)
Δ	Nu	mbe	r.		(1)		(2)		(3)
11.	1.	1	tive Members						
	1.		Total active members		761.658		715,495		729,41
		-	Average age		44		44		725,11
			Average service		9		9		
	2.		ctive Vested Members						
	2.	-	Male members		10,015		9,253		8,59
		-	Female members		38,309		35,820		34,55
		-	Total inactive vested members		48,324		45,073		43,15
	3.		ctive Nonvested Members		101,723		106,157	+	72,23
R		_	lized Salaries		101,723		100,137		72,20
D .	1.	1	tive members						
	1.		Total active members	\$	28,397,283,377	\$	25,956,806,593	\$	25,484,585,23
			Average annual salary	Ψ	37,284	Ψ	36,278	Ψ	34,93
C.	Ac		nulated Members Contributions		37,201		30,270		3 1,72
<u> </u>	1.	1	al Active Members		19,249,416,115		17,860,078,657		16,613,462,91
	2.	-	ctive Vested Members		15,215,116,116		17,000,070,007		10,010,102,71
			Male members	\$	301,171,080	\$	274,791,820	\$	249,359,37
			Female members	Ť	959,793,686	+	872,715,984	+	821,850,81
		-	Total inactive vested members	\$	1,260,964,766	\$	1,147,507,804	\$	1,071,210,19
	3.		ctive Nonvested Members	\$	230,309,001	\$	221,901,390	\$	176,633,65
D.	-	-	Members in DROP (included in above totals)	Ť		+		+	
			mber		1,076		1,197		1,57
	-	-	OP Balance	\$	102,948,834	\$	108,474,273	\$	222,892,92
E.	-	embe	ers With No Contributions in Most Recent Plan	n Ye		+	,,	+	,,
	-		th Contributions During Last Five Plan Years		,				
	1.		ated as active members						
			Number		9,061		9,100		9,57
		b.	Annualized salaries	\$	229,173,467	\$	222,032,943	\$	224,216,32
	2.		ated as inactive vested members	Ė			, , , , , ,	Ť	, -,-
	Ť		Number		27,369		26,362	\top	26,49
			Accumulated contributions	\$	710,256,388	\$	667,043,833	\$	654,383,38
	3.		ated as inactive nonvested members	Ė	, ,	† ·	,,	†	, ,
	<u> </u>		Number		54,184		55,533		59,63
			Accumulated contributions	\$	178,077,513	\$	171,728,926	\$	156,790,75
				Ť	, ,	+	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	+-	,

				STATISTICA	AL II	NFORMATION	\top			
\exists								August 31,		
П						2006		2005		2004
						(1)		(2)		(3)
4							+		-	
F.				eceiving Benefits			+		-	
	1.	Nu	mbe	er			_			
_		a.	Life	e annuities*		237,663		229,404		221,78
		b.	An	nuities certain		1,371		1,336		1,34
		c.	Dis	ability annuities - less than 10 years of serv		308		310		32
		d.	Dis	ability annuities - 10 or more years of service		8,154		8,017		7,95
		e.	Inc	omplete Data Records		0		0		
		f.	Suı	rvivor annuities						
			1)	Currently in pay		8,789		8,591		8,38
\neg			2)	Deferred		859		851		84
\neg			3)	Total		9,648		9,442		9,2
\exists		g.	Tot	tal persons receiving benefits		257,144		248,509		240,6
	2.	An		l Annuities						
\exists		a.	Life	e annuities **	\$	5,121,823,271	9	6 4,921,871,453	\$	4,760,452,39
\neg		b.	An	nuities certain **		16,070,599	\top	14,770,103		13,721,8
\neg		c.	Dis	ability annuities - less than 10 years of serv		554,400		558,000		683,2
\exists		d.	_	ability annuities - 10 or more years of service		114,754,993	\top	112,945,505		113,591,10
\exists		e.		rvivor annuities		, ,				
			1)	Currently in pay		26,426,008		25,834,708		24,619,6
\neg			2)	Deferred		2,478,300		2,459,100		210,1
\exists			3)	Total		28,904,308		28,293,808		24,829,7
\neg		f.	Tot	tal persons receiving benefits	\$	5,282,107,571	9	5,078,438,869	\$	4,913,278,4
\neg		g.		erage monthly annuities			\top			
\exists			1)	Life annuities **	\$	1,796	9	5 1,788	\$	1,78
\neg			2)	Annuities certain **		977	1	921		8.
\exists			3)	Disability annuities - 10 or more years of s		1,173		1,174		1,1
\dashv		h.		DROP Lump Sum payments during year	\$	36,033,028	9		\$	139,047,6
\dashv		i.		Partial Lump Sum Option payments during		313,359,714	9		\$	696,201,7
k	Inc	lud	es 1	,170 disabled annuitants who are receiving	a reti	irement benefit				

		STATEMENT OF PLA	N NET ASSETS		
			August 31, 2006	 	August 31, 2005
Δ	Δς	SSETS	(1)	- 4	(2)
2 1 .	1.		(1)	_	(2)
	1.	a. Cash and short term investments			
		1) Cash on hand and State Treasury	\$ 713,226,819	\$	772,179,206
		2) Short term investments	3,975,201,346	Ψ	1,920,797,091
		b. Accounts Receivable	3,973,201,340	+	1,920,797,091
		1) Member contributions	59,332,211	+	57,867,714
		2) School districts	18,792,989	-	14,601,846
		3) Employees Retirement System	663,277	-	543,478
		4) State	20,545,728	-	343,470
		5) Sale of investments	648,751,739	-	1,879,939,816
		6) Interest and dividends	391,434,145	-	326,168,231
				-	
			769,935	+	370,465
		c. Prepaid assets d. Total current assets	5,828,718,189	-	4 072 467 945
	2		5,828,/18,189	+	4,972,467,847
	2.	Long Term Investments	¢ 27 102 407 000	Φ.	24.722.145.040
		a. Fixed income	\$ 27,183,486,889	\$	24,723,145,049
		b. Real estate mortgages	4,263,373,772	-	3,113,691,922
		c. Equities	65,836,033,359	-	63,571,059,647
		d. Real estate held for sale	0	_	(
	_	e. Total long term investments	\$ 97,282,894,020	\$	91,407,896,618
	3.	Other Assets		-	
		a Land	\$ 1,658,310	\$	1,658,310
		b. Building and equipment after depreciation	28,286,274	_	29,503,253
		c. Deferred assets	0		(
		d. Total other assets	\$ 29,944,584	\$	31,161,563
	4.	Total Assets	\$ 103,141,556,793	\$	96,411,526,028
В.	LI	A BILITIES			
	1.	Current Liabilities			
		a. Accounts payable	\$ 3,734,690	\$	4,622,324
		b. Benefits payable	460,899,265		443,062,864
		c. Due to Employees Retirement System	3,927,278		4,064,655
		d. Due to State's General Revenue Fund	0		38,913,267
		e. Investments purchased payable	2,397,062,425		2,172,135,908
		f. Total current liabilities	\$ 2,865,623,658	\$	2,662,799,018
	2.	Deferred Credits	36,969,948		40,910,917
	3.	Total Liabilities and Deferred credits	2,902,593,606		2,703,709,935
C.	NE	ET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST	\$ 100,238,963,187	\$	93,707,816,093
D.	A S	SSET ALLOCATION FOR CASH & LONG TERM II	NVESTM ENTS		
	1.	Cash	4.6%		2.99
	2.	Fixed Income	26.7%		26.39
	3.	Real Estate Mortgages	4.2%		3.39
	4.	Equities Equities	64.5%		67.59
	5.	Real Estate Held for Sale	0.0%		0.09
	6.	Total Total	100.0%	+	100.09

				Distribu	ition of Acti		31/2006	i by Tears of	I S CI VICE				
							of Credited S	Service					
	0	1	2	3	4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	Total
Attained	Count &	Count &	Count &	Count &	Count &	Count &	Count &	Count &	Count &				
A ge	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Comp.	Avg. Com				
Under 25	2	10,943	3,705	901	552	412							16,51
	\$7,257	\$26,246	\$26,417	\$21,022	\$21,345	\$22,660							\$25,74
25-29		18,963	15,711	10,740	9,707	14,320	131						69,57
		\$31,910	\$34,844	\$36,765	\$37,480	\$36,462	\$30,836						\$35,03
30-34	3	12,632	9,664	6,883	7,827	37,102	6,737	91					80,93
	\$18,300	\$29,303	\$32,549	\$35,123	\$36,237	\$40,171	\$41,912	\$33,890					\$36,89
35-39	3	13,209	9,687	6,142	7,280	29,885	24,317						96,32
	\$10,496	\$26,949	\$31,013	\$32,063	\$33,323	\$36,958	\$44,950	\$45,978	\$37,293				\$36,95
40-44	3	10,468	8,341	5,493	6,517	27,765	17,950			201			99,43
	\$5,785	\$25,555	\$28,989	\$29,935	\$31,224	\$33,138	\$40,345	\$48,351	\$49,444	\$42,226			\$36,54
45-49	1	8,785	7,067	4,950	5,765	27,590	19,808	14,740	15,374	7,124	116		111,32
	\$9,491	\$26,307	\$29,172	\$29,758	\$31,205	\$32,802	\$37,930	\$45,013	\$53,179	\$53,868	\$45,583		\$38,54
50-54	38	6,580	5,350	3,618	4,455	22,455	19,386	16,731	12,510	15,361	4,369	48	110,90
	\$5,278	\$27,086	\$31,205	\$30,622	\$32,281	\$33,869	\$38,048	\$43,274	\$49,562	\$57,517	\$60,754	\$59,569	\$41,42
55-59	186	4,678	3,782	2,617	3,246	15,014	13,741	14,279	11,789	7,153	6,357	1,446	84,28
	\$5,015	\$27,938	\$31,280	\$31,816	\$32,667	\$34,047	\$37,851	\$42,764	\$47,605	\$53,957	\$64,402	\$68,036	\$41,95
60-64	87	2,446	1,898	1,278	1,694	7,907	6,816	6,829	4,838	3,025	1,916	1,598	40,33
	\$5,117	\$27,668	\$30,157	\$28,992	\$29,737	\$31,780	\$35,946		\$45,138	\$49,456	\$58,515	\$70,676	
65 +	23	1,226	864	600	843	3,782	2,791	2,020	1,344	1,017	615	661	15,78
	\$5,072	\$22,886	\$22,695	\$22,259	\$22,173	\$24,530	\$29,836			\$43,590		\$69,044	
Total	346	89,930	66,069	43,222	47,886	186,232	111,677	77,317	51,720	33,881	13,373	3,753	725,40
	\$5,227	\$24,847	\$29,855	\$32,153	\$33,253	\$35,214	\$39,766	\$44,356	\$49,481	\$54,770	\$61,580	\$69,229	\$37,96

	DISTRIBUTION OF LII	FE ANNUITIES BY AGE	
Age	Number	Annual Annuities	Monthly Averag
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Up to 35	333	\$ 4,287,396	\$ 1,
35-40	235	3,251,327	1,
40-44	295	4,145,604	1,
45-49	567	8,167,066	1.
50-54	6,872	195,992,220	2.
55-59	33,089	862,357,578	2.
60-64	46,443	1,066,056,744	1.
65-69	45,282	917,399,046	1.
70-74	38,694	777,032,971	1.
75-79	30,248	601,317,890	1.
80-84	19,069	371,081,169	1.
85-89	10,711	199,677,859	1
90-94	4,481	84,862,085	1.
95 & up	1,344	26,194,316	1
TOTAL	237,663	\$ 5,121,823,271	\$ 1

DIST	RIBUTION OF DI	RIBUTION OF DISABLED ANNUITIES BY			
Age	Number	Annual Annuities	Monthly Average Annuity		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Up to 35	1	\$ 2,973	\$ 24		
35-40	43	366,462	71		
40-44	137	1,416,133	86		
45-49	413	5,195,852	1,04		
50-54	917	13,787,509	1,25		
55-59	1,457	19,840,925	1,13:		
60-64	1,337	16,598,474	1,03		
65-69	1,095	15,120,297	1,15		
70-74	1,067	16,743,460	1,30		
75-79	902	15,070,026	1,39		
80-84	477	6,863,721	1,19		
85-89	225	2,838,954	1,05		
90-94	74	834,742	94		
95 & up	9	75,465	69		
TOTAL	8,154	\$ 114,754,993	\$ 1,17		

	Retirees, Beneficiaries, and Disabled Participants Added to and Removed from Rolls												
	Adde	ed to Rolls	Remov	red from Rolls	Rolls-	End of Year							
							% Increase	Averag					
Valuation		Annual		Annual		Annual	in Annual	Annua					
August 31,	Number	Allowances	Number	Allowances	Number	Allowances	Allowances	Allowanc					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)					
2001					188,882	3,703,642,072		19,6					
2002	19,678	426,133,328	7,119	100,259,400	201,441	4,029,516,000	8.8%	20,0					
2003	23,061	477,035,602	7,025	125,196,802	217,477	4,381,354,800	8.7%	20,1					
2004	30,288	640,407,566	7,138	108,483,938	240,627	4,913,278,428	12.1%	20,4					
2005	15,153	292,452,315	7,271	127,291,874	248,509	5,078,438,869	3.4%	20,4					
2006	15,810	324,292,542	7,175	120,623,840	257,144	5,282,107,571	4.0%	20,5					

SUMMARY OF THE BENEFIT PROVISIONS OF THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM AS OF AUGUST 31, 2006

The Teacher Retirement System of Texas makes retirement, disability, and death and survivor benefits to all employees of the public school system of Texas. The major provisions of the System may be summarized as follows:

A. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

1. Normal Retirement Date:

- (a) end of month following age 65 and 5 years of creditable service,
- (b) end of month following age 60 and 20 years of creditable service, or
- (c) For members hired before August 31, 2007: end of month following attainment of "Rule of 80".

2. Standard Annuity:

The product of 2.3% of the member's average compensation multiplied by years of creditable service. For members hired before August 31, 2007, the average compensation is calculated as the best 3-year average. For members hired after that date, the average compensation is a 5-year average.

3. Normal Retirement Benefits:

Greater of standard annuity, or \$150 per month.

4. Early Retirement:

- (a) after age 55 with 5 or more years of creditable service, or
- (b) after 30 years of creditable service, regardless of age.
- (c) For members hired after August 31, 2007, end of month following attainment of "Rule of 80".

5. <u>Early Retirement Benefits</u>:

(a) If a member meets any one of the following criteria on or before August 31, 2005: (i) at least 50 years old, or (ii) age and years of service credit equal at least 70, or (iii) have at least 25 years of service credit, the early retirement benefit is equal to the normal retirement benefit earned to the date of retirement, reduced according to the following table:

	AGE AT DATE OF RETIREMENT										
Years of Service	55	56	57	58	59	60					
20	90%	92%	94%	96%	98%	100%					
21	92%	94%	96%	98%	100%	100%					
22	94%	96%	98%	100%	100%	100%					
23	96%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
24	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
25	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
26	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
27	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
28	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
29	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
30 or more	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					

(b) If the member was hired before August 31, 2007 and either (1) is not grandfathered as described above, or (2) does not have 20 years of service, the early retirement benefit is equal to the normal retirement benefit earned to the date of retirement, reduced according to the following table:

		AGE AT DATE OF RETIREMENT									
Years of											
Service	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65
5-19	47%	57%	55%	59%	63%	67%	73%	80%	87%	93%	100%

(c) If the member was hired after August 31, 2007 the benefit is reduced 5% per year from age 60.

6. Normal Form of Benefit:

Straight life annuity payable monthly with benefits commencing at end of month following retirement with the last payment payable on behalf of the annuitant in the month of death.

7. Optional Forms:

- **Option 1** joint and 100% survivor, benefit reverts to normal form following the death of the joint annuitant.
- **Option 2** joint and 50% contingent survivor, benefit reverts to normal form following the death of the joint annuitant.
- **Option 3** 5 years certain and life.
- **Option 4** 10 years certain and life.
- **Option 5** Joint and 75% contingent survivor, benefit reverts to normal form following the death of the joint annuitant.

Partial Lump

Sum Option - Members, with a combined age plus years of service that equals at least 90 and not participating in the DROP program, may select a partial lump-sum distribution not to exceed an amount equal to 36 months of a standard service retirement annuity. When this option is selected, the member's annuity will be actuarially reduced to reflect that distribution and will be computed so that no actuarial loss results to TRS.

8. <u>Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP)</u>:

A. Eligibility:

- 1) Must be an active contributing member.
- 2) Must be eligible for a standard service retirement annuity that is not reduced for retirement at an early age.
- 3) Must have at least 25 years of creditable service.
- 4) Must have entered the DROP program before January 1, 2006.

B. Program Summary:

- 1) Participation begins the 1st of the month following the member's application and TRS approval of the application. Participation may begin in any month.
- 2) Participation may range from a minimum of one year to a maximum of five years, in 12-month increments. The member elects the period of participation at the outset.
- The amount of the member's standard annuity is established as of the date of participation in the DROP. This amount is also used in determining the monthly deposit to the DROP account. A member will not accumulate further retirement annuity benefits during DROP participation, i.e., no further credit will be achieved from years of service or compensation changes.
- 4) Any special service credit that a member wishes to purchase must be paid in full prior to DROP participation.
- A separate DROP account will be established for each participating member. Each month, an amount equal to 60 percent of the calculated standard annuity will be deposited into the account. At retirement, the account plus interest at the rate of five percent per annum will be distributed.
- 6) Member and employer contributions continue during DROP participation. Contributions are not deposited into the member's DROP account and will not be refunded.
- 7) Three events terminate participation death, retirement or expiration of the participation period.
- 8) Upon retirement, participating members will receive their retirement annuity plus the balance in their DROP account including interest. DROP balances may be paid by TRS in a lump sum or on a time payout selected by the member.

9. Partial Lump-Sum Option Program:

Members, eligible for unreduced retirement and either (1) grandfathered or (2) meeting the Rule of 90, and not participating in the DROP program, may select a partial lump-sum distribution not to exceed an amount equal to 36 months of a standard service retirement annuity. When this option is selected, the member's annuity will be actuarially reduced to reflect that distribution and will be computed so that no actuarial loss results to TRS.

The percentage shown in the following table will be applied to reduce the standard annuity when the partial lump-sum option is elected.

	Percentage of Standard Annuity					
Age	12 Months	24 Months	36 Months			
45	91.66	83.32	74.98			
46	91.62	83.23	74.85			
47	91.57	83.13	74.70			
48	91.51	83.03	74.54			
49	91.46	82.92	74.37			
50	91.40	82.79	74.19			
51	91.33	82.66	73.99			
52	91.26	82.52	73.78			
53	91.18	82.37	73.55			
54	91.10	82.20	73.31			
55	91.01	82.03	73.04			
56	90.92	81.84	72.75			
57	90.81	81.63	72.44			
58	90.70	81.41	72.11			
59	90.58	81.17	71.75			
60	90.46	80.91	71.37			
61	90.32	80.64	70.95			
62	90.24	80.48	70.71			
63	90.01	80.03	70.04			
64	89.85	79.69	69.54			
65	89.67	79.34	69.01			
66	89.48	78.96	68.44			
67	89.28	78.56	67.84			
68	89.06	78.13	67.19			
69	88.84	77.67	66.51			
70	88.59	77.18	65.77			
71	88.32	76.65	64.97			
72	88.03	76.07	64.10			
73	87.72	75.43	63.15			
74	87.37	74.74	62.12			
75	87.00	74.00	61.00			
76	86.59	73.19	59.78			
77	86.15	72.31	58.46			
78	85.68	71.35	57.03			
79	85.16	70.31	55.47			
80	84.59	69.18	53.78			
81	83.98	67.96	51.94			
82	83.32	66.64	49.96			
83	82.61	65.21	47.82			
84	81.83	63.67	45.50			
85	81.00	62.00	42.99			
86	80.09	60.18	40.27			
87	79.09	58.19	37.28			
88	78.00	56.00	34.00			
89	76.81	53.62	30.43			
90	75.52	51.04	26.56			
01	73.32	21.01	20.50			

48.26

74.13

91

22.39

10. <u>Minimum Annuity Payments</u>:

Total annuity payments shall in no case be less than the member's accumulated contributions at retirement. Upon the death of a retiree, the excess, if any, of accumulated contributions over total annuity payments received prior to death will be paid to the beneficiary.

B. DISABILITY BENEFITS

- 1. Less than 10 years of creditable service: \$150.00 per month for the shorter of:
 - (a) disability, or
 - (b) number of months of creditable service as of date of disability retirement.
- 2. <u>At least 10 years of creditable service</u>: the greater of accrued retirement income or \$6.50 per month per year of creditable service, payable for duration of disability; disability presumed continuous if it continues past age 60. The minimum disability payment made on behalf of a member will be no less than \$150.00 per month.

C. DEATH BENEFITS

- 1. Eligibility: applicable if death occurs:
 - (a) in service,
 - (b) while absent from service for good cause,
 - (c) while not in service but eligible to retire,
 - (d) while not in service but would be eligible to retire without additional service before April 15 of the sixth school year after last creditable year of service, or
 - (e) while receiving a disability benefit, but only eligible for 2f, below.
- 2. Benefit: any one of the following, at the option of the beneficiary:
 - (a) a lump sum (not to exceed \$80,000) equal to two times the rate of pay for the last year of service.
 - (b) a lump sum (not to exceed \$80,000) equal to two times annual pay for the year preceding last year of service,

- (c) 60 monthly payments of accrued standard annuity,
- (d) a life annuity payable under Option 1 as if the member had retired on the last day of the month preceding death,
- (e) a refund of accumulated contributions, or
- (f) the survivor benefits, if eligible.

Note: Items (c) and (d) available only if member has at least 5 years of creditable service.

3. Benefit if Absent from Service Without Good Cause: return of accumulated contributions.

D. SURVIVOR BENEFITS

- 1. <u>Benefits</u>: (a) or (b) at the election of the beneficiary:
 - (a) lump sum payment of \$10,000, or
 - (b) lump sum payment of \$2,500 plus one of the following, if the designated beneficiary is eligible:
 - (i) if a spouse or dependent parent, \$250 per month commencing at age 65,
 - (ii) if a spouse with children under age 18, \$350 per month until youngest child reaches 18, then \$250 per month commencing at spouse's age 65, or
 - (iii) if dependent children, \$350 per month as long as at least two dependent children under 18, reducing to \$250 per month when there is only one child under 18.

If benefits are payable under (i) or (ii) above and eligible spouse or dependent dies, payments will revert in accordance with (iii) above.

2. Eligibility:

- (a) all employees eligible for a death benefit other than refund of accumulated contributions.
- (b) any retired member, in addition to any benefit provided by his or her option of payment, or

(c) any disabled participant, in lieu of other death benefits (Item C2).

E. VESTING OF BENEFITS

- 1. <u>Vesting</u>: a member is fully vested after 5 years of creditable service.
- 2. <u>Benefits upon Vesting</u>: a fully vested member is entitled to the following:
 - (a) upon becoming inactive, not required to withdraw accumulated contributions within seven years,
 - (b) may apply at age 65 for normal retirement benefit equal to accrued standard annuity, or
 - (c) may apply for any other retirement benefits for which he or she is eligible upon satisfying age requirement (if applicable) if he or she satisfied the corresponding service requirement at time of last termination; benefit is based on his or her full accrued standard annuity.

F. MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS

6.40% of compensation per year.

G. STATE CONTRIBUTIONS

6.00% of member compensation each year.

H. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1991 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. The minimum retirement benefit increased from \$75 to \$100 per month.
- 2. The disability death benefit changed to the same as a service retirement death benefit.
- 3. An ad hoc cost of living increase was approved for members who retired prior to May 1, 1989. The increase does not apply to a survivor benefit or to a disability benefit for a member who had less than 10 years of service at the time of retirement or death. The amount of the increase is five-tenths of one percent of each full six-month period between the latest effective date of retirement (or date of death) and August 1, 1991. The increase begins August 1991.

I. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1993 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. Increase in survivor benefit by \$50 per month.
- 2. Retroactive minimum benefit of \$6.50 per year of service for members retired as of November 1, 1991.
- 3. An ad hoc cost of living increase approximating a 25% CPI catch-up. The actual percentage increase varies by year of retirement and has a minimum increase of 5%. The increase begins with the January, 1994 annuity check and covers all benefit recipients who began receiving benefits before August 31, 1991, except that it does not apply to survivor benefits or to a disability benefit for a member who had less than 10 years of service at the time of retirement or death.
- 4. ERS/TRS transfer provisions.
 - (a) Service credit transfers allowed if the participant is a member of both ERS and TRS and has at least three years of service credit in the System from which the member is retiring.
 - (b) A member may reinstate or purchase service credit in the other System prior to making the transfer if that member has at least three years of service credit in the current System.
 - (c) TRS and ERS will jointly set rules for the assumptions used in computing asset transfer amounts. The transfer of funds between ERS and TRS takes place at the time of actual retirement.

J. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1995 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. Unreduced benefits at retirement were expanded to include participants age 50 or older with 30 or more years of service.
- 2. Annuitants' benefits increased in an amount equal to the greater of:
 - (a) A recalculation of benefits based on
 - (i) January 1, 1995 law with all intervening ad hoc increases, plus
 - (ii) A CPI catch-up increase.

- (b) A recalculation of benefits for retirees who retired before September 1, 1993, based on a 2% multiplier and a minimum annual salary of a classroom teacher or full-time librarian as described by the Education Code. This annual salary is currently \$17,000 based on current Education Code.
- 3. Treat all Option 1 and Option 2 benefits as including the pop-up feature.
- 4. The annuity payment in the month of death is payable on behalf of the annuitant.
- 5. The disability benefit payable when a member has less than ten years of service increased from \$50 per month to \$150 per month for both current and future disabled members. The minimum disability payment made on behalf of a member with ten or more years of service shall be no less than \$150 per month.
- 6. The benefit increase reserve account in TRS was eliminated, resulting in the liability for all annuity benefits being included within the retired reserve account.
- 7. The maximum two-times-pay death benefit payable on behalf of a member would increase from \$60,000 to \$80,000.

K. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1997 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. Driver's education pay is added to plan compensation for the determination of a member's best 3-year average compensation.
- 2. Disabled participants are allowed to select a Joint and Survivor annuity option after commencement of disability benefits, if they become married after date of disability.
- 3. Retirees are allowed to change the designated beneficiary for pension benefits payable after their death under certain conditions.
- 4. Adoption of "Rule of 80" criteria for unreduced standard retirement annuity (i.e., sum of member's age & credited service is greater than or equal to 80).
- 5. Elimination of \$6.50 per month per year of service minimum standard retirement annuity benefit.
- 6. Addition of \$50.00 to the minimum survivor benefit.
- 7. Creation of a Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP), described in Item A8 above.

8. A CPI catch-up ad hoc cost-of-living increase for retired members.

L. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 1999 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. Increased multiplier from 2.0% to 2.2% effective September 1, 1999, and an equivalent 10% increase for all retirees.
- 2. A CPI catch-up ad hoc cost-of-living increase for retired members.
- 3. Established a partial lump-sum option at time of retirement.
- 4. DROP participant enrolled on or before August 31, 1999, have a one-year window from September 1, 1999 to revoke DROP participation.
- 5. For members entering DROP on or after September 1, 1999, the monthly DROP deposit will be reduced from 79% to 60% of the standard annuity.
- 6. Provides a lump-sum death benefit of \$160,000 for an active member employed by a school district who dies due to a physical assault during the performance of their regular duties.
- 7. Allows a return to teaching after being retired at least 12 months without a reduction in the retirement benefit under certain circumstances.

M. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 2001 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. Increased multiplier from 2.2% to 2.3% effective September 1, 2001, and an equivalent 4.5% increase for all retirees.
- 2. A 6% ad hoc increase for retired members.
- 3. Increase in survivor benefits of \$50 per month.
- 4. Allows a return to work as a bus driver with no reduction in the monthly benefit if retired with an unreduced benefit.
- 5. Permits purchase of up to 3 years of "air time" if the member has at least 7 years of actual membership service. Purchase price is the full actuarial cost of the purchased service.

N. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 2003 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. For employees hired on or after September 1, 2003, a 90-day waiting period is required for participation in TRS. Members may have the option to purchase this service. This provision is set to expire on September 1, 2005.
- 2. Limits the collection of overpayments to the three years prior to the overpayment discovery, except in cases of fraud or knowledge by the participant that the payments were incorrect.
- 3. Repealed the requirement that in order to reinstate service withdrawn after August 31, 2003, for the purposes of ERS/TRS transfer, the member must belong to the system from which the service is purchased.
- 4. Retirees who are employed by a third-party entity are considered to be employees of the school for return to work purposes unless the retiree does not perform duties or provide services in behalf of the school.
- 5. Retirees may work as a substitute and on a half-time basis during a single calendar month as long as the total days worked do not exceed the number of days for one-half time employment for that month.

O. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MADE BY THE 2005 STATE LEGISLATURE

- 1. Final average salary at retirement will be determined by the highest five years (instead of three years) of salary, subsidized early retirement will be eliminated, and partial lump sum option eligibility will require a combined age plus years of creditable service that equals at least 90 ("Rule of 90").
- 2. Future members (those who establish TRS membership on or after September 1, 2007) will have the following eligibility requirements to qualify for an unreduced annuity at retirement: (i) age 65 with 5 years of service, or (ii) age 60 with at least 5 years of service and meets the Rule of 80 (combined age and years of service equal at least 80).
- 3. Employers will be required to pay a monthly surcharge to the pension fund for each retiree working in a TRS-covered position and reported to TRS.
- 4. The Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) is being discontinued for new participation effective December 31, 2005.

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS (Adopted May 21, 2004)

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

- 1. <u>Investment Return Rate</u> 8.00% per annum, compounded annually, composed of an assumed 3.00% inflation rate and a 5.00% real rate of return
- 2. Mortality, Withdrawal, Disability Retirement, and Service Retirement Rates:

Rates and scales developed in the actuarial investigation as of August 31, 2003, with values at specimen ages shown in the tables below:

a.

	PROBABILITY OF DECREMENT DUE TO					
		Disability				
Age	Death	Retirement				
	MALE M	MEMBERS				
20	.000430	.000003				
30	.000727	.000043				
40	.000891	.000381				
50	.001899	.001287				
60	.005581	.002455				
70	.018034	.000000				
	FEMALE	MEMBERS				
20	000242	000006				
	.000242	.000006				
30	.000294	.000065				
40	.000512	.000234				
50	.001033	.001256				
60	.002563	.002436				
70	.009694	.000000				

b.

Probability of Decrement Due to Withdrawal – Male Members Years of Service

	Teams of Service										
Age	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10+
20	0.2465	0.2458	0.1794	0.1329	0.1058	0.0897	0.0908	0.0934	0.0821	0.0719	0.0795
30	0.2060	0.1955	0.1514	0.1178	0.0900	0.0772	0.0700	0.0655	0.0593	0.0519	0.0565
40	0.1923	0.1831	0.1399	0.1063	0.0832	0.0756	0.0639	0.0549	0.0474	0.0395	0.0242
50	0.1640	0.1562	01162	0.0827	0.0620	0.0557	0.0508	0.0475	0.0451	0.0360	0.0151
60	0.1715	0.1633	0.1294	0.0925	0.0659	0.0526	0.0431	0.0380	0.0328	0.0244	0.0108
70	0.1954	0.1861	0.1563	0.1145	0.0795	0.0534	0.0381	0.0315	0.0257	0.0168	0.0079

Probability of Decrement Due to Withdrawal – Female Members Years of Service

Age	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10+
20	0.1957	0.1864	0.1486	0.1275	0.1109	0.1043	0.0967	0.0900	0.0818	0.0725	0.0644
30	0.1912	0.1821	0.1459	0.1199	0.1002	0.0956	0.0870	0.0806	0.0729	0.0642	0.0535
40	0.1682	0.1602	0.1194	0.0933	0.0774	0.0704	0.0643	0.0542	0.0493	0.0439	0.0255
50	0.1498	0.1427	0.1054	0.0841	0.0664	0.0591	0.0520	0.0484	0.0432	0.0371	0.0182
60	0.1767	0.1683	0.1315	0.1036	0.0784	0.0602	0.0534	0.0468	0.0409	0.0313	0.0092
70	0.2094	0.1994	0.1948	0.1521	0.1002	0.0596	0.0448	0.0354	0.0356	0.0303	0.0086

c.

Probability of Decrement Due to Retirement – Male Members Years of Service

Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-18	19	20-24	25-29	30+	
50	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.300	
55	0.000	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.070	0.220	0.220	
60	0.000	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.300	0.300	0.300	
65	0.000	0.300	0.300	0.300	0.300	0.300	0.300	0.300	
70	0.000	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.200	
74	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

Probability of Decrement Due to Retirement – Female Members

Years of Service								
Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-18	19	20-24	25-29	30+
50	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.300
55	0.000	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.080	0.230	0.230
60	0.000	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.300	0.300	0.300
65	0.000	0.320	0.320	0.320	0.320	0.320	0.320	0.320
70	0.000	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250
74	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

There is a minimum 0.1000 probability for retirement under Rule of 80. Also, for members hired after August 31, 2007, the retirement rates for members once they reach unreduced retirement eligibility at age 60 are increased 10% for each year the member would have been eligible under the Rule of 80.

3. Rates of Salary Increase

Inflation rate of 3.00%, plus productivity component of 1.25%, plus step-rate/promotional component as shown:

	Annual	Step Rate/				
	Promoti	onal Rates	Total Annual Rate of Increase			
	of Ir	ncrease				
Years of						
Service	Males	Females	Males	Females		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	22.15%	19.60%	26.40%	23.85%		
2	3.25%	2.75%	7.50%	7.00%		
3	2.55%	2.00%	6.80%	6.25%		
4	2.35%	1.75%	6.60%	6.00%		
5	2.15%	1.60%	6.40%	5.85%		
6	1.85%	1.60%	6.10%	5.85%		
7	1.65%	1.40%	5.90%	5.65%		
8	1.50%	1.35%	5.75%	5.60%		
9	1.25%	1.25%	5.50%	5.50%		
10	1.05%	1.15%	5.30%	5.40%		
11-19	0.65%	0.50%	4.90%	4.75%		
20 or more	0.00%	0.00%	4.25%	4.25%		

This weighted average projected salary increase rate is 6.46% based on the active member service distribution as of August 31, 2005.

DISABILITY ANNUITANTS:

- 1. Investment Return Rate: 8% per annum, compounded annually.
- 2. <u>Mortality</u>: The PBGC Male Disabled Mortality Table for plan terminations after December 1, 1980, with a six-year setback and the PBGC Female Disabled Mortality Table for plan terminations after December 1, 1980, with a four-year setback.

SERVICE RETIREMENT ANNUITANTS, NOMINEES AND SURVIVORS:

- 1. <u>Investment Return Rate</u>: 8% per annum, compounded annually (benefit increase reserve account eliminated by the 1995 legislative session).
- 2. <u>Mortality</u>: RP-2000 Male Mortality Table with a one-year setback and the RP-2000 Female Mortality Table with a two-year setback; used for service retirement annuitants, beneficiaries and survivors. These tables are selected to best reflect the experience developed in the actuarial investigation as of August 31, 2003.

ERS/TRS TRANSFER ASSUMPTIONS:

A liability for the present value of the potential asset transfer has been calculated assuming that the TRS members who will be eligible for the transfer benefit are approximated by 10% of the inactive TRS members who have at least five years of service and have left their contributions on deposit. The liability is based on the actuarial present value of the deferred benefit assuming future salary increases at the current salary scale rates and that they will retire at the earliest age for which an unreduced benefit will be received.

HANDLING OF ACTIVE DATA WITH MISSING INFORMATION:

As of the close of each fiscal year there is a large number of records for whom no statistical data has been received. The only information TRS has is social security number and initial contributions. Any of these records that were in the prior year's data are treated as non-vested terminated members. The remaining records are treated as new entrants. Beginning with the valuation as of August 31, 1993, active member results have been imputed for this new entrant error group according to the following procedures:

- 1. The count for this group has been added to the active member count.
- 2. Covered payroll and the present value of future pay have been increased by the product of the number of such members multiplied by average new entrant pay and present value of future pay.
- 3. The present value of future benefits for active members has been increased by the product of the new entrant normal cost rate multiplied by the imputed present value of future pay for this group, as determined under Item 2 above.

There are other records provided by TRS that have missing gender and/or missing date of births. These records are handled as follows:

- 1. 80% of records with missing gender are assumed to be female. The overall male female ratio of the active membership is used to set this assumption.
- 2. Records with missing dates of birth are assigned a date of birth that produces an entry age equal to the average entry age for the overall active population, based on the member's actual service.

ASSUMPTION FOR DROP PARTICIPATION

It is assumed that no members will enter DROP.

BENEFIT ELECTION OF VESTED TERMINATING MEMBERS:

In determining the liabilities developed for future terminating vested members, it is assumed that the member elects either a refund or a deferred vested benefit, whichever is more valuable. The deferred benefit is assumed to commence at age 65.

ELECTION RATES FOR ACTIVE MEMBER DEATH BENEFITS:

It is assumed that the beneficiary will elect the death benefit option with the greatest value.

CLASSIFICATION OF WHO ARE ACTIVE MEMBERS:

For members who had no contribution postings during the just-completed plan year but did have a posting during one or more of the four preceding plan years:

- 1. 10% of such members will be assumed to return to contributing status in the new plan year (i.e., they will be assumed to be active for valuation purposes).
- 2. 90% of such members will be treated as inactives for the new plan year.
- 3. The 90% group will be valued as inactive vested or inactive nonvested depending on their years of service credit.
- 4. If they are considered inactive vested, their actuarial liability will be the present value of their accrued benefit assuming benefit commencement at age 65, plus the value of any death benefit.
- 5. If they are considered inactive nonvested, their actuarial liability will be their accumulated account balance.

AVERAGE SURVIVOR BENEFIT LIABILITY:

One of the options on the death of an active member, a disabled member, or a retired member is a survivor benefit. To determine the liability for this benefit the following average values are used.

		Males	Females
1.	Active member	\$62,200	\$59,000
2.	Disabled member	\$13,000	\$11,000
3.	Retired member	\$12,000	\$12,000

ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS:

The actuarial value of assets is equal to the market value of assets less a five-year phase in of the excess (shortfall) between expected investment return and actual income with the resulting value not being less than 80% or more than 120% of the market value of assets.

PAYROLL GROWTH FOR FUNDING OF UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY:

1. Total payroll growth rate: 3.00%.

2. Portion attributable to inflation: 3.00%.

3. Portion attributable to active member growth: No growth.

ACTUARIAL COST METHOD:

The funding period required to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is determined using the Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method. This method assigns the plan's total unfunded liabilities (the actuarial present value of future benefits less the actuarial value of assets) to various periods. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is assigned to years prior to the valuation, and the normal cost is assigned to the year following the valuation. The remaining costs are assigned to future years.

The normal cost is determined as a level percentage of payroll for a group of new entrants, based on actual new entrant experience for the period 2000-2003. This percentage of payroll is then applied to the total compensation for the prior year for all active members, and is then adjusted for the payroll growth assumption.

The actuarial accrued liability is the difference between the total present value of future benefits and the actuarial present value of future normal costs. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of assets.

Since the State statutes governing the System establish the current employee and State contribution rates, the actuarial valuation determines the number of years required to amortize (or fund) the UAAL on a level percentage of payroll basis, taking into account the payroll growth assumption and the normal cost expressed as a percent of pay.

Because of this amortization procedure, any change in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability due to (i) actuarial gains and losses, (ii) changes in actuarial assumptions, or (iii) amendments, affects the funding period. The statutory goal is that the State contribution rate be sufficient to keep the funding period below 31 years.

FUNDING OF UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY:

Funded by the excess of future State contributions required by Law over the amount of such contributions required to fund the normal cost of benefits. Based on a study of all new entrants hired in the period from 1999 through 2003 and taking into account all changes in benefit provisions, the normal cost for benefits provided by the System is 10.40% of payroll (6.40% by members plus 4.00% by the State), which is 2.00% of payroll less than the total contributions required by Law. It is intended that the excess amount of 2.00% of payroll will be used to amortize any unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of the System, assuming that total payroll increases by 3.00% per year.

As of the valuation as of August 31, 2006, these excess contributions of 2.00% of pay are not sufficient to amortized the UAAL over any period of time. Therefore, the funding period for the System is considered never. Future funding of the UAAL will be dependent on either the generation of actuarial experience gains or an increase in the State and/or member contribution rates.

DEFINITION OF ACTUARIAL TERMS

H.B. 2206 as passed by the 1979 Legislature requires that any actuarial study of a public retirement system include "a complete definition of each actuarial term used in the study". In our report we have attempted to avoid the use of a multitude of complex actuarial terminology, but we realize that different users of our reports may have differing opinions as to what constitutes an "actuarial term". Accordingly, in keeping with the intent and the spirit of the law, we offer the following definitions of several terms contained in this report which might be considered actuarial in nature. Any qualified user of our report who believes that additional terms should be included is invited to communicate such terms either directly to us or through the Teacher Retirement System of Texas.

- 1. Actuarial Accrued Liability for benefits payable in the future to present members, it will equal the present value of benefits payable in the future to them less the present value of future normal costs.
- 2. Actuarial Assumptions assumptions as to future experience under the System. Current actuarial assumptions are detailed in Table 21 of the current annual valuation report. Assumptions include future fund earning rates, rates of future salary increases, and rates of death (both before and after retirement), disability, retirement, and withdrawal. Effective August 31, 1985, select and ultimate assumptions were adopted for retirement and withdrawal rates and the salary scale.
- 3. Actuarial Gain or Actuarial Loss a measure of the difference between actual experience and assumed experience of the System. Through the actuarial assumptions, rates of decrements, rates of salary increases, and rates of fund earnings have been forecasted. To the extent that actual experience differs from that assumed, actuarial liabilities emerge which may be the same as forecasted, or they may be larger or smaller than projected. Actuarial gains are due to favorable experience, e.g., the System's assets earn more than projected, salaries do not increase as fast as assumed, members retire later than assumed, etc. Favorable experience means actual results produce actuarial liabilities not as large as projected by the actuarial assumptions. On the other hand, actuarial losses are the result of unfavorable experience, i.e., actual results that produce actuarial liabilities which are larger than projected. Actuarial gains will shorten the time required for funding of the actuarial balance sheet deficiency while actuarial losses will lengthen the funding period.
- 4. Actuarial Liabilities the actuarially determined present value of future benefits to be provided by the System. There are separate actuarially determined present values for retired members and non-retired members (either active or inactive). When applied to active members, it takes into account benefits which will be earned through future service and future salary increases.
- 5. Actuarial Value of Present Assets the value of present System assets for valuation purposes. Prior to August 31, 1985, this value was the same as the book value of assets. Beginning

- August 31, 1985, through August 31, 1993, this value was calculated under the "market over book adjusted asset valuation method." Beginning August 31, 1993, this value is calculated under a five-year phase in of the excess (shortfall) between expected and actual income return on the market value of assets.
- 6. Actuarially Determined values which have been determined utilizing the principles of actuarial science. An actuarially determined value is derived by application of the appropriate actuarial assumptions to specified values determined by provisions of the law.
- 7. *Decrements* those types of activities by members of the System which cause them no longer to be members, i.e., death, retirement, disability, and withdrawal. It is a general term referring to any or all of these membership terminating events.
- 8. *Defined Benefits* in a retirement plan, benefits which are defined by a specific formula applied to specific member compensation and/or specific years of service. The amount of the benefit is not a function of contributions or actual earnings on those contributions.
- 9. *Defined Contributions* in a retirement plan, periodic contributions to the plan which are defined as a specific percent of compensation.
- 10. Experience Study a periodic review and analysis of the actual experience of the System which may lead to a revision of one or more actuarial assumptions. Actual rates of decrement and salary increases are compared to the actuarially assumed values and modified as deemed appropriate by the Actuary.
- 11. Funding Period the number of years in the future that will be required to fund (i.e., pay off or eliminate) the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, based on the actuarial assumptions and assuming no future actuarial gains or losses.
- 12. Future Benefits benefits specified in the law which will become payable at some time in the future when the member satisfies the requirement to receive such benefits.
- 13. Future Contributions contributions to be made by the member or the State in the future, as required by the law.
- 14. Normal Cost the actuarial cost to fund the benefits provided by the System were the funding to begin at date of hire. It is expressed as a percent of pay and is equal to the present value at hire of all possible benefits of the System divided by the present value of anticipated future compensation to be received by the new member. In the aggregate, it must be less than the total future contribution to the System if the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is to be amortized.

Otherwise there must be a funding surplus sufficient in size to offset any contribution rate shortfall.

- 15. Present Value the actuarially determined lump sum value as of the valuation date of a series of payments to be made in the future, where the lump sum value is equal to the sum of the discounted value of each future payment. The discounted value of each payment is the product of (a) the amount of the payment, (b) the probability that the payment will be made (based on the current actuarial assumptions as to future experience), and (c) the time value of money (based on the current assumed interest rate).
- 16. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability that portion of the actuarial accrued liability (including the present value of benefits presently being paid to retired members) that exceeds the value of current actuarial assets. A funding surplus exists if the actuarial accrued liability is less than the actuarial assets.