# Houston Police Officers Pension System 

## Actuarial Report as of July 1, 2002

October 2003

## Summary of Key Results (\$000)

July 1, 2002
19.0\%
5.4
24.4
\$ 1,948,248
2,337,157
\$ 2,593,730
$(2,337,157)$

256,573 (Surplus)

## Summary of Data

- Number of Participants Included in the Valuation
- Active participants enrolled in DROP
- Other active participants
- Inactive participants
- Total
- Annual Total Direct Pay for Active and DROP Participants Included in the Valuation
- Active participants enrolled in DROP
- Other active participants
- Total

■ Annual Benefits for Inactive Participants
\$ 90,653
195,497
286,150
55,013

## Summary of Liabilities

- Actuarial Accrued Liability
- Actuarial Value of Assets
- Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability
\$ 1,431
3,921
1,859
7,211

July 1, 2001
18.7\%
1.8
20.5
\$ 2,142,779
2,226,307
\$
73,579
190,647
264,226
54,006
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## Summary of Assets as of July 1, 2002 (\$000)

|  | Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percent |
| Investment Category |  |  |
| ■ Cash and Short-Term Investments | \$ 150,916 | 7.7\% |
| - Fixed Income Investments | 474,429 | 24.4 |
| - Equity Securities | 1,151,685 | 59.1 |
| - Alternative Investments | 159,349 | 8.2 |
| - Other Investments | 97 | 0.0 |
| - Other Assets (Liabilities) |  |  |
| - Miscellaneous receivables | 37,735 | 1.9 |
| - Miscellaneous payables | $(25,460)$ | (1.3) |
| — Other liabilities | (503) | 0.0 |
| - Net | 11,772 | 0.6 |
| ■ Total | 1,948,248 | 100.0 |

## Change in Assets Since the Prior Valuation (\$000)

■ Market Value as of July 1, 2001

- Contributions and Disbursements
- City contributions
- Employee contributions
- Benefit payments
- Contributions refunds
- Net
- Net Investment Return
- Administrative and Investment Expenses
- Market value as of July 1, 2002
- Approximate Annual Rate of Return (Net of Expenses)
\$ 2,142,779

32,645
22,484
$(59,783)$
$(1,194)$
$(5,848)$
$(177,092)$
$(11,591)$
1,948,248
(8.8)\%

## Development of Actuarial Value of Assets (\$000)

## Actuarial Investment Gain (Loss) for the Year

- Market Value of Assets as of July 1, 2001
- City Contributions
- Employee Contributions
\$ 2,142,779
- Benefit Payments and Contribution Refunds
- Miscellaneous Contributions and Disbursements
- Expected Interest at 8.5\%
- Expected Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 2002

32,645
22,484

181,893

- Actual Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 2002

2,318,824

- Actuarial Investment Gain (Loss)

1,948,248
$(370,576)$

## Schedule of Actuarial Investment Gains (Losses)

| Plan Year Ending | Initial <br> Actuarial Gain (Loss) | Five-Year <br> Amortization | Unrecognized <br> Gain (Loss) as of <br> July 1, 2000* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2002 | $\$(370,576)$ | $\$(74,115)$ |  | $\$(296,461)$ |
| June 30, 2001 | $(272,437)$ | $(54,487)$ | $(163,463)$ |  |
| June 30, 2000 | 124,966 | 24,993 | 49,987 |  |
| June 30, 1999 | 105,144 | 21,029 |  | 21,028 |
|  |  |  | $(388,909)$ |  |

* Deferred for recognition in future years.


## Actuarial Value of Assets

- Market Value as of July 1, 2002
\$ 1,948,248
- (Gain) Loss to be Recognized in Future Years
- Actuarial Value as of July 1, 2002


## Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus) (\$000)

- Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits
- Active participants enrolled in DROP
- Other active participants
- Vested terminated participants
- Retirees and beneficiaries
- Total
- Actuarial Present Value of Future Employee Contributions
- Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs (City Portion)
- Actuarial Accrued Liability
- Actuarial Value of Assets

■ Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)

July 1, 2002
\$ 1,145,615
1,357,003
469
718,310
3,221,397
$(205,853)$
$(421,814)$

$$
2,593,730
$$

$(2,337,157)$
256,573

July 1, 2001
\$ 918,586
1,277,529
0
707,152
2,903,267
$(184,953)$
$(411,887)$
2,306,427
$(2,226,307)$
80,120

## Change in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus) Since the Prior Valuation (\$000)

- Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus) as of July 1, 2001
\$ 80,120
- Expected Change due to Normal Operation
- Normal cost (City portion)

49,410
— City contributions

- Interest

7,508

- Recognition of prior asset losses (gains) $(8,920)$
- Net change 15,353
- Change due to Actuarial Experience
— Actuarial (gain) loss from asset sources 74,115
- Actuarial (gain) loss from liability sources $\quad 37,713$
- Net change

111,828

- Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus) as of July 1, 2002 Before Changes

207,301
■ Change in Actuarial Assumptions 49,272

- Change in Actuarial Methods
- Change in Plan Provisions
- Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus) as of July 1, 2002 256,573


## Historical Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus) (\$000)

| Valuation Date | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) | Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) | AVA as a Percentage of AAL | Unfunded AAL (Surplus) | Covered Payroll | UAAL (Surplus) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1, 1980 | \$ 301,585 | \$ 90,226 | 30\% | \$ 211,359 | \$ 58,169 | 363\% |
| January 1, 1982 | 412,298 | 139,976 | 34 | 272,322 | 89,529 | 304 |
| July 1, 1984 | 507,883 | 230,143 | 45 | 277,740 | 111,489 | 249 |
| July 1, 1986 | 454,067 | 420,487 | 93 | 33,580 | 125,963* | 27 |
| July 1, 1987 | 488,387 | 505,483 | 104 | $(17,096)$ | 126,960 | (13) |
| July 1, 1988 | 524,894 | 516,177 | 98 | 8,717 | 121,667 | 7 |
| July 1, 1989 | 581,681 | 585,358 | 101 | $(3,677)$ | 122,803 | (3) |
| July 1, 1990 | 663,278 | 676,684 | 102 | $(13,406)$ | 126,665** | (11) |
| July 1, 1992 | 853,975 | 774,785 | 91 | 79,190 | 143,020 | 55 |
| July 1, 1993 | 936,674 | 857,535 | 92 | 79,139 | 159,321 | 50 |
| July 1, 1994 | 984,495 | 947,456 | 96 | 37,039 | 162,143 | 23 |
| July 1, 1995 | 1,000,423 | 1,038,256 | 104 | $(37,833)$ | 174,761 | (22) |
| July 1, 1996 | 1,199,748 | 1,168,056 | 97 | 31,692 | 182,251 | 17 |
| July 1, 1997 | 1,258,217 | 1,329,570 | 106 | $(71,353)$ | 187,134 | (38) |
| July 1, 1998 | 1,549,341 | 1,518,081 | 98 | 31,260 | 196,364 | 16 |
| July 1, 1999 | 1,773,829 | 1,746,312 | 98 | 27,517 | 246,569*** | 11 |
| July 1, 2000 | 1,966,404 | 2,013,491 | 102 | $(47,087)$ | 250,691 | (19) |
| July 1, 2001 | 2,306,427 | 2,226,307 | 97 | 80,120 | 264,226 | 30 |
| July 1, 2002 | 2,593,730 | 2,337,157 | 90 | 256,573 | 286,150 | 90 |

* Reflects the July 5, 1986 pay decrease.
** Reflects the November 1, 1990 pay increase.
*** Definition of covered payroll changed from base pay to total direct pay less overtime.


## Historical Solvency Test (\$000)

| Valuation Date | Actuarial Accrued Liability for: |  |  | Actuarial Value of Assets | Portion of Actuarial Accrued Liability Covered by Assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Contributions | Inactive Participants | Active Participants (City-Financed Portion) |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| July 1, 1987 | \$ 72,603 | \$ 164,367 | \$ 251,417 | \$ 505,483 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| July 1, 1988 | 77,404 | 196,547 | 250,943 | 516,177 | 100 | 100 | 97 |
| July 1, 1989 | 82,919 | 222,585 | 276,177 | 585,358 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| July 1, 1990 | 87,430 | 261,114 | 314,734 | 676,684 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| July 1, 1991 | 98,099 | 338,914 | 416,962 | 774,785 | 100 | 100 | 81 |
| July 1, 1992 | 105,464 | 372,674 | 458,536 | 857,535 | 100 | 100 | 83 |
| July 1, 1993 | 114,279 | 401,989 | 468,227 | 947,456 | 100 | 100 | 92 |
| July 1, 1994 | 123,471 | 416,053 | 460,899 | 1,038,256 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| July 1, 1995 | 91,687 | 764,518 | 343,543 | 1,168,056 | 100 | 100 | 91 |
| July 1, 1996 | 95,615 | 812,498 | 350,104 | 1,329,570 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| July 1, 1998 | 99,298 | 1,017,723 | 432,320 | 1,518,081 | 100 | 100 | 93 |
| July 1, 1999 | 107,008 | 1,157,882 | 508,939 | 1,746,312 | 100 | 100 | 95 |
| July 1, 2000 | 111,099 | 1,324,079 | 531,225 | 2,013,491 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| July 1, 2001 | 138,248 | 707,152 | 1,461,027* | 2,226,307 | 100 | 100 | 95 |
| July 1, 2002 | 145,255 | 718,779 | 1,729,696 | 2,337,157 | 100 | 100 | 85 |

* Prior to July 1, 2001 the Actuarial Accrued Liability for DROP Participants was included in the Inactive liability.


## City Contribution Rate

|  | July 1, 2002 <br> through <br> June 30, 2003 | July 1, 2001 <br> through <br> June 30, 2002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ■ City Normal Cost Rate | $19.0 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |
| ■ Rate to Amortize Unfunded Actuarial |  |  |
| Accrued Liability (Surplus) Over 30 Years | -5.4 | 1.8 |
| - City Contribution Rate | 24.4 | 20.5 |

## Change in City Contribution Rate Since the Prior Valuation

■ City Contribution Rate as of July 1, $2001 \quad 20.5 \%$

- Change in the City Contribution Rate
— Normal operation 0.3
- Actuarial (gain) loss from asset sources 1.6
- Actuarial (gain) loss from liability sources 0.4
- Change in actuarial assumptions 1.6
- Change in plan provisions 0.0

■ City Contribution Rate as of July 1, $2002 \quad 24.4$

## Historical City Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll

Actuarially Calculated City
Contribution Rate

| Valuation Date | Rate |
| :--- | :--- |
| July 1, 1988 | $14.3 \%$ |
| July 1, 1989 | 14.3 |
| July 1, 1990 | 14.2 |
| July 1, 1992 | 19.0 |
| July 1, 1993 | 18.0 |
| July 1, 1994 | 18.0 |
| July 1, 1995 | 17.4 |
| July 1, 1996 | 16.2 |
| July 1, 1997 | $16.8^{*}$ |
| July 1, 1998 | 16.2 |
| July 1, 1999** | 16.3 |
| July 1, 2000 | 11.3 |
| July 1, 2001 | 20.5 |
| July 1, 2002 | 24.4 |

Actual City Contribution Rate

| Period | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990 | 14.6\% |
| July 1, 1990 through June 30, 1991 | 14.3 |
| July 1, 1991 through June 30, 1993 | 14.3 |
| July 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994 | 19.0 |
| July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995 | 18.0 |
| July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 | 18.0 |
| July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997 | 16.2 |
| July 1, 1997 through June 30, 1998 | 16.2 |
| July 1, 1998 through June 30, 1999 | 15.2 |
| July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000 | 12.4 |
| July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001 | 12.2 |
| July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 | 12.4 |
| July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003 | 11.4 |
| July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004 | N/A |

* Average for the year
** For the period July 1,1999 through July 1, 2000 the City Contribution rates are shown as a percentage of total direct pay less overtime rather than base pay.


## Projection of Estimated Assets and Liabilities (\$000)

| Year Beginning July 1 | Annual Covered Payroll | Estimated City Contributions |  |  |  | Estimated Employee Contributions |  | Benefit Payments | Net Cash Flow | Market <br> Value of Assets at End of Year | Unfunded <br> Actuarial Liability (Surplus) at End of Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actuarial |  | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 286,150 | 58,661 | 20.5 | 34,645 | 12.1 | 25,038 | 8.75\% | 135,481 | $(75,798)$ | 2,034,895 | 414,564 |
| 2003 | 297,596 | 72,613 | 24.4 | 36,645 | 12.3 | 26,040 | 8.75 | 139,898 | $(77,213)$ | 2,127,434 | 600,176 |
| 2004 | 309,500 | 84,184 | 27.2 | 49,520 | 16.0 | 27,081 | 8.75 | 149,898 | $(73,297)$ | 2,231,917 | 806,695 |
| 2005 | 321,880 | 97,851 | 30.4 | 97,851 | 30.4 | 28,164 | 8.75 | 161,720 | $(35,704)$ | 2,384,440 | 917,459 |
| 2006 | 334,755 | 113,147 | 33.8 | 113,147 | 33.8 | 29,291 | 8.75 | 173,740 | $(31,302)$ | 2,554,512 | 943,838 |
| 2007 | 348,145 | 122,199 | 35.1 | 122,199 | 35.1 | 30,463 | 8.75 | 190,078 | $(37,416)$ | 2,732,671 | 965,679 |
| 2008 | 362,071 | 126,725 | 35.0 | 126,725 | 35.0 | 31,681 | 8.75 | 210,001 | $(51,595)$ | 2,911,205 | 987,418 |
| 2009 | 376,554 | 130,664 | 34.7 | 130,664 | 34.7 | 32,948 | 8.75 | 226,915 | $(63,302)$ | 3,092,720 | 1,009,768 |
| 2010 | 391,616 | 134,716 | 34.4 | 134,716 | 34.4 | 34,266 | 8.75 | 243,080 | $(74,098)$ | 3,278,419 | 1,032,779 |
| 2011 | 407,281 | 139,290 | 34.2 | 139,290 | 34.2 | 35,637 | 8.75 | 258,014 | $(83,087)$ | 3,470,538 | 1,056,081 |

The projection is based on the economic and demographic assumptions summarized in Section VI, including an $8.5 \%$ investment return beginning as of July 1, 2002. The total covered payroll is assumed to increase by $4.0 \%$ per year. As actual economic (such as the actual investment return from July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003) and demographic experience vary, the results will change.

The projection assumes the Assumptions and Methods and the Plan Provisions as summarized in Section VI do not change throughout the projection period.

This projection is incomplete without the accompanying report.

Summary of Active Participants as of July 1, 2002

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Last |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birthday |  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | Over 34 | Total |
| 15-19 | Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | Number | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 2,755,985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,755,985 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 35,792 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,792 |
| 25-29 | Number | 324 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 12,747,573 | 4,406,274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,153,847 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 39,344 | 45,899 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40,842 |
| 30-34 | Number | 234 | 703 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,046 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 9,268,952 | 32,383,524 | 5,420,981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,073,457 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 39,611 | 46,065 | 49,734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45,003 |
| 35-39 | Number | 74 | 403 | 246 | 359 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,087 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 2,933,305 | 18,586,611 | 12,877,577 | 20,595,980 | 280,723 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55,274,196 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 39,639 | 46,121 | 52,348 | 57,370 | 56,145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,850 |
| 40-44 | Number | 7 | 111 | 65 | 666 | 261 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,112 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 264,933 | 5,206,794 | 3,302,487 | 38,698,642 | 16,156,668 | 110,566 | 0 | 0 | 63,740,090 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 37,848 | 46,908 | 50,807 | 58,106 | 61,903 | 55,283 | 0 | 0 | 57,320 |
| 45-49 | Number | 2 | 12 | 24 | 229 | 326 | 212 | 1 | 0 | 806 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 88,419 | 565,777 | 1,304,214 | 13,545,405 | 20,691,413 | 13,697,689 | 65,899 | 0 | 49,958,816 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 44,210 | 47,148 | 54,342 | 59,150 | 63,471 | 64,612 | 65,899 | 0 | 61,984 |
| 50-54 | Number | 0 | 3 | 5 | 106 | 124 | 190 | 113 | 0 | 541 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 0 | 147,194 | 275,722 | 6,094,235 | 7,639,651 | 12,335,981 | 7,145,833 | 0 | 33,638,616 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 0 | 49,065 | 55,144 | 57,493 | 61,610 | 64,926 | 63,237 | 0 | 62,179 |
| 55-59 | Number | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 26 | 70 | 90 | 22 | 215 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 0 | 0 | 57,553 | 360,567 | 1,504,984 | 4,251,699 | 5,891,678 | 1,520,168 | 13,586,649 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 0 | 0 | 57,553 | 60,094 | 57,884 | 60,739 | 65,463 | 69,099 | 63,194 |
| 60-64 | Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 33 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,078 | 0 | 580,506 | 454,858 | 925,278 | 2,014,720 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,078 | 0 | 58,051 | 56,857 | 66,091 | 61,052 |
| Over 64 | Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 15 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51,453 | 186,183 | 716,169 | 953,805 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51,453 | 62,061 | 65,106 | 63,587 |
| Total | Number | 718 | 1,328 | 450 | 1,367 | 742 | 485 | 215 | 47 | 5,352 |
|  | Tot Earnings | 28,059,168 | 61,296,174 | 23,238,534 | 79,348,908 | 46,273,439 | 31,027,895 | 13,744,450 | 3,161,614 | 286,150,181 |
|  | Avg Earnings | 39,080 | 46,157 | 51,641 | 58,046 | 62,363 | 63,975 | 63,928 | 67,268 | 53,466 |

## Historical Active Participant Data

|  | Valuation Date | Number of Participants | Average Age | Annual Covered Payroll (\$000) |  | Average Annual Covered Payroll |  | Percentage Increase in Average Covered Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January 1, 1976 | 2,622 | N/A | \$ | 39,500 | \$ | 15,066 | 39.9\% |
|  | January 1, 1978 | 2,877 | N/A |  | 50,040 |  | 17,393 | 15.4 |
|  | January 1, 1980 | 3,029 | N/A |  | 58,169 |  | 19,204 | 10.4 |
|  | January 1, 1982 | 3,243 | N/A |  | 89,529 |  | 27,607 | 43.8 |
|  | July 1, 1984 | 3,997 | N/A |  | 111,489 |  | 27,893 | 1.0 |
|  | July 1, 1986 | 4,526 | 33.5 |  | 125,963 ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 27,831 | (0.2) |
|  | July 1, 1987 | 4,494 | 34.4 |  | 126,960 |  | 28,251 | 1.5 |
|  | July 1, 1988 | 4,239 | 35.0 |  | 121,667 |  | 28,702 | 1.6 |
|  | July 1, 1989 | 4,105 | 35.7 |  | 122,803 |  | 29,915 | 4.2 |
|  | July 1, 1990 | 4,073 | 36.2 |  | 126,665 ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 31,099 | 4.0 |
|  | July 1, 1992 | 4,120 | 36.8 |  | 143,020 |  | 34,714 | 11.6 |
|  | July 1, 1993 | 4,498 | 36.7 |  | 159,321 |  | 35,420 | 2.0 |
|  | July 1, 1994 | 4,705 | 36.8 |  | 162,143 |  | 34,462 | (2.7) |
|  | July 1, 1995 | 4,921 | 36.9 |  | 174,761 |  | 35,513 | 3.0 |
|  | July 1, 1996 ${ }^{(3)}$ | 4,395 | 35.1 |  | 150,903 |  | 34,335 | (3.3) |
|  | July 1, 1997 | 4,282 | 35.5 |  | 149,631 |  | 34,944 | 1.8 |
|  | July 1, 1998 | 4,247 | 35.9 |  | 153,479 |  | 36,138 | 3.4 |
|  | July 1, 1999 | 4,253 | 36.3 |  | $187,967{ }^{(4)}$ |  | $44,196{ }^{(4)}$ | $22.3{ }^{(4)}$ |
|  | July 1, 2000 | 4,137 | 36.7 |  | 179,415 |  | 43,368 | (1.9) |
|  | July 1, 2001 ${ }^{(5)}$ | 5,325 | 40.2 |  | 264,226 ${ }^{(6)}$ |  | 49,620 ${ }^{(6)}$ | $14.4{ }^{(6)}$ |
|  | July 1, 2002 | 5,352 | 40.7 |  | 286,150 |  | 53,466 | 7.8 |
| (1) Reflects the July 5, 1986 pay decrease. | Reflects the July 5, 1986 pay decrease. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Reflects the November 1, 1990 pay increase. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Includes those participants currently accruing benefits from the July 1, 1996 to July 1, 2000 valuation dates (excludes current DROP participa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4) Definition of covered payroll changed from base pay to total direct pay less overtime. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (5) Includes active participants eligible for DROP beginning July 1, 2001. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (6) | Beginning July 1, 2001 | finition of total direct pay chang | the average of the | eriod | to the highest bi- | $y$ per |  |  |

## Summary of Inactive Participants as of July 1, 2002

|  | Number | Annual <br> Benefits (\$000) |  | Average <br> Annual Benefit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| - Retirees | 1,353 | $\$ 40,446$ | $\$ 29,894$ |  |
| - Beneficiaries | 438 | 12,602 | 28,772 |  |
| - Disabled Participants | 64 | 1,871 | 29,234 |  |
| - Deferred Vesteds | 4 | 94 | 23,500 |  |
| - Total | 1,859 | 55,013 | 29,593 |  |

## Inactive Participants Added to and Removed from Rolls

| Period Ended | Added to Rolls |  | Removed from Rolls |  | Rolls at the End of the Year |  | Percentage Increase in Annual Benefits | Average <br> Annual <br> Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annual Benefits (\$000) | Number | Annual Benefits (\$000) | Number | Annual Benefits (\$000) |  |  |
| December 31, 1977 | 62 | \$ 520 | 18 | \$ 55 | 439 | \$ 2,979 | 15.1\% | \$ 6,785 |
| December 31, 1978 | 66 | 779 | 13 | 80 | 492 | 3,653 | 22.6 | 7,425 |
| December 31, 1979 | 68 | 845 | 12 | 126 | 548 | 4,370 | 19.6 | 7,974 |
| December 31, 1980 | 73 | 1,120 | 19 | 123 | 602 | 5,389 | 23.3 | 8,951 |
| December 31, 1981 | 81 | 1,191 | 17 | 184 | 666 | 6,499 | 20.6 | 9,759 |
| December 31, 1982 | 104 | 1,106 | 24 | 240 | 746 | 7,842 | 20.7 | 10,513 |
| December 31, 1983 | 82 | 967 | 35 | 362 | 793 | 8,931 | 13.9 | 11,262 |
| June 30, 1984 | 53 | 779 | 18 | 150 | 855 | 4,760 | 6.6 | 11,095 |
| June 30, 1985 | 83 | 1,141 | 42 | 259 | 896 | 10,166 | 6.8 | 11,346 |
| June 30, 1986 | 44 | 530 | 37 | 431 | 903 | 10,939 | 7.6 | 12,114 |
| June 30, 1987 | 42 | 585 | 36 | 421 | 909 | 11,321 | 3.5 | 12,455 |
| June 30, 1988 | 138 | 2,668 | 25 | 243 | 1,022 | 14,069 | 24.3 | 13,766 |
| June 30, 1989 | 89 | 1,349 | 46 | 502 | 1,065 | 16,127 | 14.6 | 15,142 |
| June 30, 1990 | 105 | 1,811 | 29 | 457 | 1,141 | 18,029 | 11.8 | 15,801 |
| June 30, 1992 | 222 | 4,662 | 75 | 1,127 | 1,288 | 22,999 | 27.6 | 17,857 |
| June 30, 1993 | 105 | 2,012 | 16 | 205 | 1,377 | 25,474 | 10.8 | 18,500 |
| June 30, 1994 | 106 | 2,172 | 64 | 953 | 1,419 | 27,286 | 7.1 | 19,229 |
| June 30, 1995 | 107 | 2,425 | 48 | 847 | 1,478 | 29,464 | 8.0 | 19,935 |
| June 30, 1996* | 893 | 19,109 | 36 | 602 | 2,335 | 48,624 | 65.0 | 20,824 |
| June 30, 1997 | 182 | 3,481 | 29 | 618 | 2,488 | 52,772 | 8.5 | 21,211 |
| June 30, 1998 | 159 | 3,483 | 28 | 589 | 2,619 | 63,957 | 21.2 | 24,420 |
| June 30, 1999 | 150 | 3,770 | 46 | 1,001 | 2,723 | 70,432 | 10.1 | 25,866 |
| June 30, 2000 | 233 | 6,421 | 36 | 857 | 2,920 | 76,401 | 8.5 | 26,165 |
| June 30, 2001** | 131 | 3,755 | 1,250 | 33,892 | 1,801 | 54,006 | (29.3) | 29,987 |
| June 30, 2002 | 104 | 2,809 | 46 | 1,113 | 1,859 | 55,013 | 1.9 | 29,593 |

* Includes DROP participants from June 30, 1996 through June 30, 2000
** Beginning July 1, 2001 excludes active participants eligible for DROP.


## Summary of Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

## Actuarial Methods

Actuarial Value of Assets

Actuarial Cost Method

Gains and losses in the market value of assets, based on the difference between the actual and the assumed rate of return, are recognized over five years.
Entry Age Method with liabilities allocated from date of entry to assumed retirement age. The Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus), including effects of actuarial gains and losses, is amortized as a level percentage of pay over 30 years. The contribution is increased for interest for one-half of a year to reflect timing of payments.
8.5\% per year, net of expenses
4.0\% per year

| Age |  | Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 |  | $5.00 \%$ |
| 25 |  | 4.30 |
| 30 |  | 1.80 |
| 35 |  | 0.55 |
| 40 |  | 0.25 |
| 45 |  | 0.25 |
| Over 50 |  |  |

Inflation plus merit

Date sworn.
$100 \%$ of active participants are assumed to elect the back DROP. Participants are assumed to elect the maximum duration for the back DROP, up to 20 years.

DROP benefits are loaded by $1 \%$ to reflect possible antiselection of back DROP provisions

| Number of |
| :---: |
| Years of Service |

20

21-22
23
24-25
26-28
29-40
Over 40

Probability of Retiring Within One Year 15\%510153020 100

## Summary of Actuarial Methods and Assumptions (cont.)

| Mortality Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| < Active participants and nondisabled retirees | 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table (see table below for sample rates). |
| < Disabled retirees | 1987 Commissioners Group Disabled Mortality Table (see table below for sample rates). |
| Disability Rates | Graduated rates (see table below for sample rates). |
| Percentage of Deaths and Disabilities in the Line of Duty | 100\%. |
| Termination Rates and Terminated Vested Pension Benefit Election | Graduated rates (see table below for sample rates). $50 \%$ of members eligible to receive a terminated vested pension are assumed to elect the pension at age 60 instead of a refund of contributions. |
| Marital Status at Benefit Eligibility |  |
| < Percentage married | 90\%. (No beneficiaries other than the spouse assumed). |
| < Age difference | Husbands assumed to be three years older than wives. |
| Valuation Earnings | Pensionable earnings over the 12 months (26 pay periods) preceding the valuation date, increased for one-half year of assumed pay increases. |

## Sample Rates

| Age | Sample Rates per 100 Participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nondisabled Mortality |  | DisabledMortality(Ultimate) | TerminationAll | Disability |  |
|  | Male | Female |  |  | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 4.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 3.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 30 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 2.82 | 3.02 | 0.08 | 0.12 |
| 35 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 2.82 | 1.92 | 0.10 | 0.16 |
| 40 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 2.82 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 0.22 |
| 45 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 2.82 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.30 |
| 50 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 2.82 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.54 |
| 55 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 2.82 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 1.08 |
| 60 | 0.80 | 0.44 | 3.14 | 0.00 | 2.15 | 2.86 |

## Summary of Actuarial Methods and Assumptions (cont.)

## Changes in Methods and Assumptions from July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2001

Investment return was assumed to be 9\% per year (rather than 8.5\%), net of expenses, to reflect minimum DROP crediting rates.

The Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus) is amortized over 30 years, rather than to December 31, 2022.

The Entry Age Method allocating liabilities from date of entry to assumed DROP date was changed to allocate to date of actual retirement to reflect back DROP provisions.

The DROP participation was changed to assume all participants would elect back DROP for up to ten years to better reflect anticipated experience.

## Changes in Methods and Assumptions from July 1, 2001 to July 1, 2002

Nondisabled mortality was changed from the 1983 GAM Mortality Table to the 1994 GAM Mortality Table to better reflect anticipated future experience.

DROP retirement benefits were loaded by $1 \%$ to reflect possible antiselection under back DROP provisions.

The assumed DROP duration was increased from 10 to 20 years to better reflect anticipated experience.

## Summary of Plan Provisions

## Covered Members

## Final Compensation

Prior to November 28, 1998

After November 28, 1998 but prior to July 1, 2001

After July 1, 2001

All police officers sworn before September 1, 1975 are covered under Plan 1, except those who elected by December 31, 1981 to participate in Plan 3.

All police officers sworn on or after September 1, 1975, but before September 1, 1981 are covered under Plan 2, except those who elected by December 31, 1981 to participate in Plan 3 and those from Police Cadet Classes 70 and 71 who elected to transfer to Plan 1.

All other police officers are covered by Plan 3, except those from Police Cadet Classes 70 and 71 who elected to pay additional contributions and transfer to Plan 1.

Monthly base salary paid to the participant in his/her last month of service. For any participant from a position held for less than 3 years, final compensation is the average monthly base salary for the 3 years prior to retirement.

Monthly total direct pay less overtime paid to the participant in his/her last month of service. For any participant from a position held for less than 3 years, final compensation is the average monthly base pay for the 3 years prior to retirement plus the other current components of total direct pay.
Highest biweekly pay period (excluding overtime) during the last 26 pay periods annualized. Amounts not paid on a biweekly basis are deducted from period paid. Annual amounts are divided by 26 and added to the highest pay period, including motorcycle allowances.

## Service Retirement

Eligibility

## Benefit:

< Prior to November 1, 1955
< After November 1, 1955 but prior to January 13, 1968

20 years of service.
$\$ 75$ per month plus $\$ 2$ per month for each year of service in excess of 25 years.
$30 \%$ of final compensation plus $1 \%$ of final compensation for each year of service in excess of 20 years.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

< After January 13, 1968 but prior to July 1, 1986
< After July 1, 1986 but prior to July 1, 1988
< After July 1, 1988 but prior to September 1, 1997
< After September 1, 1997 but prior to July 1, 2001
< After July 1, 2001

Additional Benefits

## Terminated Vested Pension Benefit

Eligibility

Benefit

Plans 1 and 2: 30\% of final compensation plus 2\% of final compensation for each year of service in excess of 20 years.

Plan 3: 2\% of final compensation for each year of service up to 40 years, reduced $0.42 \%$ for each month benefit commencement precedes age 55.
2\% of final compensation for each year of service up to 40 years.

45\% of final compensation plus 2\% of final compensation for each year of service in excess of 20 years; maximum $80 \%$ of final compensation. Benefit based on prior formula is payable until July 1, 1991 and recomputed benefit is payable thereafter.
$50 \%$ of final compensation plus $2 \%$ of final compensation for each year of service in excess of 20 years; maximum $80 \%$ of final compensation.
$55 \%$ of final compensation plus $2 \%$ of final compensation for service in excess of 20 years.

The Pension System recomputed the benefit of each person who retired before July 1, 2001. The retiree's benefit was increased by the result of multiplying the difference between $55 \%$ and the percentage used at the time of retirement in computing the retiree's benefit for the first 20 years of service by the base salary of the retiree at the time of retirement. Retroactive cost-of-living increases were not applied to the increased benefit. This recomputed benefit is effective for all payments on or after July 1, 2001.

An extra monthly benefit of $\$ 150.00$ is payable for life. Effective November 28, 1998, a \$5,000 lump sum is payable upon retirement.

More than 10 but less than 20 years of service. Termination on or after November 28, 1998.
2.75\% of final compensation times years of service. This benefit commences at age 60 or at termination of service if later.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

## Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP)

Eligibility
Benefit:
< After September 1, 1995 but prior to September 1, 1997

20 years of service

Eligible participants may elect to participate in the DROP until they leave active service. The member's retirement pension will be calculated based on service and earnings at the time the DROP is elected.

A notional account will be maintained for each DROP participant. This account will be credited with the following amounts while the member is participant of the DROP:
< The member's monthly retirement pension, including applicable cost-of-living adjustments,
$<$ The member's contribution to the Pension System, and
< Investment earnings/losses at the rate of the Pension System's earnings/losses averaged over a five-year period. Effective July 1, 2001, this rate is subject to a minimum of $0 \%$.
A benefit equal to the DROP account balance is paid at the time the member leaves active service. The payment is made as a single lump sum.

If a DROP participant suffers an on-duty disability resulting in the inability to perform his/her usual and customary duties as a police officer or dies in the line of duty, he (or his survivors) are allowed to revoke the DROP election and to receive the more generous on-duty disability or death benefits.
< After September 1, 1997 but prior to December 1, 1998
< After December 1, 1998 but prior to July 1, 2001

The Pension System recomputed the benefit of each person who entered the DROP before September 1, 1997. The benefit was increased in the same manner as the retiree's benefit.

The Pension System recomputed the benefit of each person who entered the DROP before December 1, 1998. The benefit was recalculated based on Total Direct Pay less overtime upon entry to the DROP. This recomputed benefit is effective for all payments on or after December 1, 1998.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

< After July 1, 2001

Benefit Recalculation

Back DROP Option

The Pension System recomputed the benefit of each person who entered the DROP before July 1, 2001. The member's benefit was increased by the result of multiplying the difference between $55 \%$ and the percentage used at the time of DROP entry in computing the member's benefit for the first 20 years of service by the base salary of the member at the time of DROP entry. Retroactive cost-of-living increases were applied to the increased benefit. The account balance for each participant was recomputed as if this new benefit had been effective since DROP entry.
Effective July 1, 2001, monthly benefit at retirement will be recalculated to be the greater of (i) current monthly benefit, or (ii) monthly benefit based on service at DROP entry and Final Compensation at retirement date.
Effective on July 1, 2001, a back DROP option is available for all eligible participants. The DROP account is recalculated under the option based on what the account balance would have been had the participant elected the DROP earlier than he/she actually did. The initial DROP entry date cannot be backdated prior to September 1, 1995 or prior to 20 years of credited service, and must be on the first of the month selected.

## Postretirement Option Plan (PROP)

Eligibility

## Benefit:

< After November 28, 1998 but prior to July 1, 2001
< After July 1, 2001

Retired from DROP

A retired member is allowed to leave all or a portion of their DROP account in the System. These accounts are credited every calendar year with the 30 -year Treasury bond rate as of June of the preceding year.
The interest rate earned on PROP accounts will be the same as the interest rate credited to DROP accounts, including a minimum credited rate of $0 \%$.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

## Disability Retirement

Eligibility
Effective July 1, 2001, participant partially or totally disabled is eligible for Disability Retirement as defined below:
< Total: Disability is defined as "unable to perform his/her usual and customary duties as a police officer".
< Partial: Disability is an impairment which renders the participant unable to work as a police officer and which is expected to last 12 months.

## Benefit:

< Duty-connected
< Not duty-connected

Additional benefits

## Survivor Benefits

Eligibility

## Benefit

< Prior to September 1, 1997

Total: The service retirement benefit accrued to date of disability (or 20 years, if greater).
Partial: $35 \%$ of final compensation is payable for life or as long as he remains incapacitated.

Total or partial: The disability benefit is $2.75 \%$ of final compensation times years of service up to 20 , minimum 27.5\% of final compensation.

An education allowance equal to 100\% of final compensation less disability benefit is payable for up to four years for off-duty or duty-related disability.

Proportionate members injured while on-duty as a municipal worker will receive immediate off-duty benefit upon Board approval.

Surviving spouses and dependent children and parents of participants, including surviving spouses of retired or disabled participants who were not married at the time of retirement or disability, provided the spouse was married to the participant for at least 5 years at the time of death:

Spouse's benefit upon death before retirement:
If duty-connected: Monthly lifetime benefit equal to $100 \%$ of final compensation at date of death.

If not duty-connected: Monthly lifetime benefit equal to $100 \%$ of the service retirement benefit the participant had accrued at the time of death.

Spouse's benefit upon death after retirement monthly lifetime benefit equal to actual benefit payable at time of death.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

Dependent children's benefit if no surviving spouse, the benefit that would have been payable to the spouse is divided equally among the dependent children.

If there is a surviving spouse, the dependent children of Plan 1 and Plan 2 participants receive $\$ 25$ per month. Dependent children include unmarried children who are under age 18, and for Plan 3, full-time students under age 22 , or permanently disabled children.

Dependent parent's income if no surviving spouse or children, but there is a dependent parent, the benefit that would have been payable to the spouse will be paid to the dependent parent.
< After September 1, 1997 but prior to July 1, 2001
< After July 1, 2001

Additional benefits

The Pension System recomputed the benefit of each survivor whose original benefit was computed prior to September 1, 1997. The benefit was increased in the same manner as the retiree's benefit.

The Pension System recomputed the benefit of each survivor whose original benefit was computed prior to July 1, 2001. The benefit was increased in the same manner as the retiree's benefit.

Effective December 1, 1998, a \$5,000 lump sum is paid upon the death of an active member.

Effective July 1, 2001, an extra monthly benefit of $\$ 150.00$ is payable for life. Children receiving equivalent of the spouse's benefit do not receive this additional benefit.

## Benefit Adjustments

Cost-of-living

Monthly benefits for participants receiving payments are increased each April 1 by $2 / 3$ of the increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the preceding calendar year. Effective September 1, 1997 this increase is subject to a minimum of $3.0 \%$ per year compounded and a maximum increase of $8.0 \%$ per year compounded.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

$13^{\text {th }}$ benefit check

Service Adjustments

Effective November 28, 1998, a $13^{\text {th }}$ benefit check is paid to current retirees and DROP members when:
< The assets on the fund equal or exceed the liabilities after the $13^{\text {th }}$ benefit check is paid.
< The return on investments for the preceding fiscal year exceeds $9.25 \%$.
< The payment of the benefit will not cause the City of Houston's contribution to the System to increase.

Effective November 28, 1998, participants with previous service with the City in non-classified positions may use that service to satisfy the service requirement of 20 years for retirement purposes only.
Effective July 1, 2001, participants who have service credit in more than one City of Houston Pension Plan may use their combined service to qualify for DROP participation.
Effective July 1, 2001, participants involuntarily transferred to the System from the Houston Municipal Employees System will receive service under this plan for years worked while serving as a City Marshall, Airport Police or Park Police.

## Contributions

Employee Contributions

Refunds

Prior to December 1, 1998, each participant contributes $8.75 \%$ of base salary. After December 1, 1998, each participant contributes $8.75 \%$ of average total direct pay less overtime.

Refunds of contributions are made if
(i) The participant dies before 10 years of service and the death is not duty-connected,
(ii) The participant dies with no eligible survivor,
(iii) A Plan 1 or 2 participant leaves service before eligibility for retirement after completing 5 years of service, or
(iv) A Plan 3 participant leaves service with less than 20 years of service.

Contributions are refunded without interest.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

| Employer Contribution | The city will follow the following contribution schedule: |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Year Ending (June 30) | City Contribution Amount |
| 2001 | $\$ 30,645,000$ |  |
| 2002 | $32,645,000$ |  |
| 2003 | $34,645,000$ |  |
| 2004 | $36,645,000$ |  |
| 2005 | $16 \%$ of pay |  |

For fiscal years ending after June 30, 2005 the City will contribute the greater of:
(i) $16 \%$ of pay
(ii) The actuarially determined rate.

## Changes in Plan Provisions from July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2001 Valuation Dates

Eliminated service eligibility requirement for off-duty and survivor benefits.
Increased off-duty disability and survivor benefit to $2.75 \%$ of final compensation times service up to 20 years (minimum 27.5\%).

Proportionate members injured while on duty as a municipal worker will receive immediate off-duty benefit upon Board approval.

Added an education allowance for up to four years for off-duty and duty-related disability retirement equal to $100 \%$ of final compensation less the disability benefit payable.

Added a "back DROP" option for current active and DROP members.
Increased monthly retiree insurance stipend from $\$ 88.05$ to $\$ 150.00$ per month.
Increased benefit accruals for service less than or equal to 20 years from $50 \%$ of final compensation to $55 \%$ of final compensation. Benefit increases to retirees exclude COLA adjustment.

Reset city contribution schedule.
The monthly benefit for DROP members will be recalculated at retirement to be the greater of
(i) The current monthly benefit or
(ii) Recalculated benefit based on service at DROP entry and Total Direct Pay at retirement.

Changed the interest rate earned on PROP accounts from the 30-year treasury rate to the 5year average return earned by the Pension System. The credit rate for both DROP and PROP will be greater than or equal $0.0 \%$.

Members who have service credit in more than one City of Houston Pension Plan may use their combined service to qualify for DROP participation.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (cont.)

Members involuntarily transferred to the System from the Houston Municipal Employees System will receive service under this plan for years worked while serving as a City Marshall, Airport Police or Park Police.

Changed the definition of Total Direct Pay from the average of the last 26 pay periods to the highest bi-weekly pay period during the last 26 pay periods, annualized. Also, include motorcycle allowance in definition of Total Direct Pay.

Changes in Plan Provisions from July 1, 2001 to July 1, 2002
The plan provisions were not changed.

## Actuarial Certification

This report describes the results of an actuarial valuation of the Houston Police Officers Pension System. The Houston Police Officers Pension System retained Towers Perrin to perform this actuarial valuation for the purposes of determining the funding status for the plan year July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003.

The consulting actuary is a member of the Society of Actuaries and other professional actuarial organizations and meets their "General Qualification Standard for Prescribed Statements of Actuarial Opinions" relating to pension plans.

In preparing the actuarial results, we have relied upon information provided by the Board of Trustees regarding plan provisions, plan participants, plan assets, and other matters. While the scope of our engagement did not call for us to perform an audit or independent verification of this information, we have reviewed this information for reasonableness but have not audited it. The accuracy of the results presented in this report is dependent upon the accuracy and completeness of the underlying information. Historical information for years prior to 1986 was prepared by the prior actuaries and was not subjected to our actuarial review.

The actuarial methods and assumptions used in this valuation were selected by the Board of Trustees. The Board has sole authority to determine the actuarial assumptions. In our opinion, the actuarial assumptions used are appropriate for purposes of the valuation and, in the aggregate, are reasonably related to the experience of the Fund and to reasonable expectations.

The funding determination portion of this actuarial valuation has been conducted in accordance with principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board and the requirements of the Texas Government Code. Section 802.101 of the Texas Government Code requires the use of actuarial "assumptions and methods that are reasonable in the aggregate, considering the experience of the program and reasonable expectations, and that, in combination, offer the actuary's best estimate of anticipated experience under the program."

The results shown in this report are reasonable actuarial results. However, a different set of results could also be considered reasonable actuarial results, since the Actuarial Standards of Practice describe a "best-estimate range" for each assumption, rather than a single bestestimate value. Thus, reasonable results differing from those presented in this report could have been developed by selecting different points within the best-estimate ranges for various assumptions.

The information contained in this report was prepared for the internal use of the Houston Police Officers Pension System and its auditors in connection with our actuarial valuation of the pension plan. It is neither intended nor necessarily suitable for other purposes.

Towers Perrin

Adam S. Berk
ASA, CFA, EA, MAAA

Houston Police Officers Pension System
Actuarial report as of July 1, 2002

