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Board of Trustees
Texas County \& District Retirement System
P.O. Box 2034

Austin, TX 78768-2034

Re: Actuarial Valuation as of December 31, 2011

Dear Board of Trustees:
As requested, we have performed an actuarial valuation of the Texas County \& District Retirement System (TCDRS) as of December 31, 2011. The major findings of the valuation are contained in this report. This report reflects the benefit provisions and contribution rates in effect for each of the 625 separate employer plans ( 624 active plans and one non-depositing plan) participating in TCDRS as of December 31, 2011. This is a summary report for TCDRS as a whole; detailed information for each individual employer can be found in the employer-specific valuation reports on TCDRS' website.

## Actuarial Certification

This report is a summary of the valuation results. Additional detailed results are provided in the TCDRS comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR).

In preparing this report, we relied on information (some oral and some in writing) supplied by the TCDRS staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, employee data and financial information. In our examination of these data, we have found them to be reasonably consistent and comparable with data used for other purposes, although we have not audited the data at the source. Since the valuation results are dependent on the integrity of the data supplied, the results can be expected to differ if the underlying data is incomplete or missing. It should be noted that if any data or other information is found to be materially inaccurate or incomplete, our calculations will need to be revised.

All costs, liabilities, rates of interest, and other factors for TCDRS have been determined on the basis of actuarial assumptions and methods which are reasonable (taking into account the experience of TCDRS and reasonable expectations); and which, in combination, offer a reasonable estimate of anticipated experience affecting the System. Further, in our opinion, the actuarial assumptions in the aggregate are reasonable and are related to the experience of the Plan and to reasonable expectations and represent a reasonable estimate of anticipated experience under the Plan.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of
the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements.

These assumptions are based on our 2009 Investigation of Experience report. The Board has the final decision regarding the appropriateness of the assumptions and adopted them as indicated in Appendix D.

Actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of determining the recommended funding amounts for TCDRS. Actuarial computations under GASB Statements No. 25, No. 27, No. 43 and No. 45 are for purposes of fulfilling financial accounting requirements and are provided separately. The computations prepared for accounting purposes may differ from those disclosed in this report. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the funding requirements and goals of TCDRS. Determinations for purposes other than meeting these requirements may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes.

Milliman's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of the TCDRS. To the extent that Milliman's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public records laws, Milliman's work may not be provided to third parties without Milliman's prior written consent. Milliman does not intend to benefit or create a legal duty to any third party recipient of its work product. Milliman's consent to release its work product to any third party may be conditioned on the third party signing a Release, subject to the following exceptions:
a) TCDRS may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the System's professional service advisors who are subject to a duty of confidentiality and who agree to not use Milliman's work for any purpose other than to benefit the System.
b) TCDRS may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to other governmental entities, as required by law.

No third party recipient of Milliman's work product should rely upon Milliman's work product. Such recipients should engage qualified professionals for advice appropriate to their own specific needs.

The consultants who worked on this assignment are pension actuaries. Milliman's advice is not intended to be a substitute for qualified legal or accounting counsel.

On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this report along with the information contained in the CAFR is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinion contained herein

We would like to express our appreciation to Mr. Gene Glass, Director of TCDRS, and to members of his staff, who gave substantial assistance in supplying the data on which this report is based.

We respectfully submit the following report, and we look forward to discussing it with you.
Sincerely,

## 2ac <br> 

Nick J. Collier, ASA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary
NJC/MCO/nlo


Mark C. Olleman, FSA, EA, MAAA Consulting Actuary
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December 31, 2011

## Section 1 Executive Summary



## Overview

We are pleased to present the 2011 Valuation summary report. The actuarial valuation of TCDRS requires a separate valuation and determination of contribution rates for each of the 625 individual employer plans ( 624 active plans and one nondepositing plan). The results of this valuation determine the required employer contribution rates for 2013, assuming no changes in plan provisions or other significant events.

This document summarizes the results of the valuation for all plans and highlights key observations made regarding specific individual plans, as well as describing groups of plans that were reviewed.

Both the TCDRS system in total and the individual employer plans continue to be funded in an appropriate manner. The contribution rates being paid in 2012 and those calculated for 2013 satisfy the statutory requirements and meet or exceed the guidelines of the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The overall funding of the system compares favorably with other public retirement systems.

Several key points to note for the 2011 Valuation are summarized as follows:

- Funding: The funded ratio for the system in aggregate decreased from $89.4 \%$ to $88.8 \%$. The main factor causing this decrease was recognition of investment losses from 2008 and 2011. No other factor had a significant impact. The funded ratio uses the smoothed actuarial value of assets which are currently deferring substantial investment losses. If the actual fund values were used instead (i.e., all investment losses were recognized), the funded ratio would be $81.4 \%$.


## Overview (continued)

- Contribution Rates: On average, the employer contribution rate increased by $0.41 \%$ of payroll (not including plan changes). Recognition of current and prior investment losses caused an increase of $0.46 \%$. Additionally, payroll increases that were significantly less than expected caused a $0.18 \%$ increase in the average rate. All other factors caused an offsetting decrease of 0.23\%. The impact of all changes is discussed in more detail later in this section and in the Experience Analysis section of Section 2. This discussion includes the impact of plan changes which caused a decrease in the aggregate rate calculated in the prior valuation due to changes elected by a few of the larger employers that reduced future benefits for their employees.
- Investment Return: The employer accounts in the Subdivision Accumulation Fund (SAF) received interest credit of a negative $5 \%$. This had a negative impact on the valuation, especially in combination with the recognition of a portion of prior investment losses under the asset smoothing method.
- Looking Ahead: 40\% of the 2008 investment loss has been recognized in the valuation due to the use of asset smoothing, with the remaining $60 \%$ to be recognized over the next six valuations. This allows for increases in contribution rates to be more gradual and for a potential recovery to help offset the impact.

It should be noted that by deferring the recognition of the losses, this pushes expected increases in employer contribution rates off into the future. As a measure of this, if smoothing had not been applied to this valuation (i.e., if employer contribution rates had been based on the actual fund values), the average employer contribution rates would have been $2.33 \%$ of payroll higher. This is a rough measure of the increase employers can expect to see over the next several years; however, the actual increase will ultimately either be greater or less depending on future investment returns and a number of other factors. It should also be noted that the impact by individual employer can vary significantly.

## Overview (continued)

The following graph shows a projection of the aggregate employer contribution rate for all TCDRS employers, assuming that all actuarial assumptions are met in the future. In particular, it assumes that the SAF is credited with $9 \%$ in each future year. The recognition of assets losses that are currently deferred causes a gradual increase over the next several years. The actual increase will ultimately either be greater or less, depending on future investment returns and a number of other factors.


## Key Results

The following chart summarizes the key numerical results of the valuation:

|  | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Employers |  |  |  |
| Active | 624 | 618 | 1.0\% |
| Non-Depositing | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% |
| Total | 625 | 619 | 1.0\% |
| Number of Members |  |  |  |
| Contributing | 121,919 | 122,889 | -0.8\% |
| Non-Contributing | 63,172 | 59,029 | 7.0\% |
| Annuitants (1) | 43,635 | 40,836 | 6.9\% |
| Total | 228,726 | 222,754 | 2.7\% |
| Averages |  |  |  |
| Age (Actives) | 45.3 | 45.0 | 0.8\% |
| Years of Service (Actives) | 11.7 | 11.3 | 3.5\% |
| Annual Pay (Actives) | \$ 42,671 | \$ 42,428 | 0.6\% |
| Member Deposit Rate | 6.68\% | 6.67\% | 0.1\% |
| Account Balance (ESF) | 27,504 | 26,442 | 4.0\% |
| Monthly Benefit (Annuitants) (1) | 1,427 | 1,372 | 4.0\% |
| Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  |  |
| Employee Savings Fund | \$ 5,090.7 million | \$ 4,810.3 million | 5.8\% |
| Subdivision Accumulation Fund | 9,171.2 million | 8,728.7 million | 5.1\% |
| Current Service Annuity Reserve Fund | 4,754.5 million | 4,269.6 million | 11.4\% |
| Total Actuarial Value of Assets | \$ 19,016.4 million | \$ 17,808.6 million | 6.8\% |
| Funding |  |  |  |
| Actuarial Accrued Liabilities | \$ 21,409.5 million | \$ 19,931.2 million | 7.4\% |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 19,016.4 million | 17,808.6 million | 6.8\% |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | 2,393.1 million | 2,122.6 million | 12.7\% |
| Aggregate Funding Ratio | 88.8\% | 89.4\% | -0.6\% |
| Average Required Contribution Rate - Variable Rate Plans |  |  |  |
| Average Normal Cost Rate | 7.00\% | 7.02\% | -0.3\% |
| Average UAAL Rate | 3.89\% | 3.44\% | 13.1\% |
| Average Required Contribution Rate | 10.89\% | 10.46\% | 4.1\% |
| Results Based on Fund Values (No Asset Smoothing) -- For Informational Purposes Only |  |  |  |
| Average Required Contribution Rate | 13.47\% | 11.53\% | 16.8\% |
| Aggregate Funding Ratio | 81.4\% | 85.7\% | -5.0\% |

(1) The average monthly benefits are the regular benefits paid in January following the valuation date. In cases of retirees with multiple accounts from a single employer, the accounts are considered as a single benefit. Benefits from multiple employers to a single retiree are calculated separately.

## Plan Funding

The purpose of the valuation is to determine the contribution rates and measure the funding status of each employer plan based on the assumptions, benefits and membership of each plan. Funding status is measured by the funded ratio for each plan; contribution rates are based on the funded method used.

Employer contribution rates effective for 2013, as determined by this 2011 Valuation, increased by $0.41 \%$ on average when compared with the rate actually paid in 2012. The weighted average contribution rate for all plans increased from $10.48 \%$ to $10.89 \%$. Note that the weighted average rate is determined as the total estimated required contributions for the next year (2013) divided by the total expected payroll. It does not reflect the cost of any changes in benefits that may be adopted during 2012. A historical perspective on required contribution rates is shown below.


Looking at the system in total, the Funded Ratio of Actuarial Assets to Actuarial Accrued Liabilities has decreased since the 2010 valuation from $89.4 \%$ to $88.8 \%$. Note that a funded ratio of $90 \%$ indicates that actuarial assets are 10\% less than liabilities. The system in total refers to all employer plans, plus the Current Service Annuity Reserve Fund (CSARF), but does not include any assets currently held in the Endowment Fund. If the reserves held in the Endowment Fund were included in the Actuarial Assets, the Funded Ratio would be slightly higher at 89.4\%.

All Funded Ratios discussed in this report are on an ongoing basis. The TCDRS Act allows for the termination of individual district plans under certain conditions. If measured on a termination basis, the liabilities would be higher and therefore the Funded Ratio would be lower than the measurement on an ongoing basis.

## Plan Funding (continued)

## Individual Employer Plan Changes

Experience Analysis

As discussed earlier, the funded ratio has decreased slightly since the last year. As shown below, the funded ratio using the actuarial value of assets has remained fairly level since 1994 very level when compared to other public retirement systems. The only exceptions were a small increase at December 31, 2006 due to an additional 6\% interest credit to the SAF and the decrease three years ago due to the 2008 investment loss. The funded ratio based on the actual fund values has been less stable.

Historical Aggregate Funded Ratio


During 2011, 83 employers changed their benefit provisions. Of these employers, 76 made changes that improved benefit provisions, and the remaining seven lowered future benefits. The most common benefit changes were retiree Cost-of-Living Adjustments (COLAs).

A detailed analysis of the sources of the rate change was performed for each current plan that was also in the December 31, 2010 valuation. There are many factors that are measured when comparing one year's expected valuation results to the actual results a year later. This is discussed in further detail in Section 2.

The primary sources for the increases in the employer contribution rates for individual employers were recognition of 2008 and 2011 investment losses and decreases in payroll (or increases less than assumed).

## Experience Analysis (continued)

The following chart shows how various factors affected the overall funding of TCDRS, as compared to the last valuation. The most significant changes are shown in bold.

| Sources of Change | $\qquad$ | Aggregate Funding Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculated Rate for 2012 (2010 Valuation) | 10.46\% | 89.4\% |
| Changes in Plan Provisions | 0.02\% | -0.1\% |
| Estimated Average Required Rate for 2012 | 10.48\% | 89.3\% |
| Expected Year-to-Year Change | 0.00\% | 0.6\% |
| Employer Lump-Sum Contributions | -0.07\% | 0.2\% |
| Investment Experience |  |  |
| Investment Income -- Current Year | 0.14\% | -0.5\% |
| Investment Income -- Prior Years | 0.32\% | -1.0\% |
| Payroll \& Salary Increases less than Expected | 0.18\% | 0.2\% |
| Termination \& Withdrawal Experience | -0.08\% | 0.2\% |
| Other | -0.08\% | -0.2\% |
| Total Change | 0.41\% | -0.5\% |
| Calculated Rate for 2013 (2011 Valuation) | 10.89\% | 88.8\% |

Both the CSARF and the Group Term Life Fund (GTLF) continue to maintain a level of assets in each fund to sufficiently support their expected benefit payments. The projected surplus for the GTLF increased over last year.

The projected surplus for the CSARF decreased slightly since the prior year. The CSARF continues to maintain a projected surplus, but at a lower level. This should continue to be monitored going forward.

There is currently one inactive plan where neither the members nor the employer are contributing to TCDRS. Adjustments in benefits may be needed based on the current and expected funding status of this plan. The unfunded amount for this plan is currently being funded by an active employer; therefore, we are recommending no adjustments be made at this time.

The actuary has determined that no inactive plans should have their supplemental benefits increased or decreased.

## Plan Data

The makeup of the valuation group changed from the 2001 to 2011 Valuations as shown by the next three tables. Note that 624 active plans reflect the addition of eight new plans in 2011, reduced by one plan that terminated and one plan that merged with another plan.

|  | Active | Inactive Plans | Total Plans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 521 | 14 | 535 |
| 2003 | 539 | 14 | 553 |
| 2004 | 544 | 15 | 559 |
| 2005 | 557 | 18 | 575 |
| 2006 | 565 | 8 | 573 |
| 2007 | 567 | 7 | 574 |
| 2008 | 585 | 1 | 586 |
| 2009 | 601 | 1 | 602 |
| 2010 | 618 | 1 | 619 |
| 2011 | 624 | 1 | 625 |


|  | Active Contributing Members | Non- <br> Contributing Members | Annuitants | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 101,415 | 29,194 | 24,862 | 155,471 |
| 2003 | 103,012 | 30,198 | 26,420 | 159,630 |
| 2004 | 104,545 | 31,177 | 28,496 | 164,218 |
| 2005 | 107,212 | 34,975 | 30,347 | 172,534 |
| 2006 | 110,791 | 39,781 | 32,440 | 183,012 |
| 2007 | 116,858 | 46,104 | 34,362 | 197,324 |
| 2008 | 120,347 | 52,188 | 36,509 | 209,044 |
| 2009 | 123,446 | 55,956 | 37,979 | 217,381 |
| 2010 | 122,889 | 59,029 | 40,836 | 222,754 |
| 2011 | 121,919 | 63,172 | 43,635 | 228,726 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Covered } \\ \text { Payroll } \\ \text { (in millions) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Contributing Members | Annual Pay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percentage Increase |
| 2002 | \$3,274.1 | 101,415 | 32,284 | 4.5\% |
| 2003 | 3,426.7 | 103,012 | 33,265 | 3.0\% |
| 2004 | 3,610.8 | 104,545 | 34,539 | 3.8\% |
| 2005 | 3,804.5 | 107,212 | 35,486 | 2.7\% |
| 2006 | 4,054.3 | 110,791 | 36,594 | 3.6\% |
| 2007 | 4,420.5 | 116,858 | 37,828 | 3.4\% |
| 2008 | 4,830.3 | 120,347 | 40,136 | 6.1\% |
| 2009 | 5,168.0 | 123,446 | 41,864 | 4.3\% |
| 2010 | 5,213.9 | 122,889 | 42,428 | 1.3\% |
| 2011 | 5,202.5 | 121,919 | 42,671 | 0.6\% |

## Plan Data (continued)

Recommended Board Action

An analysis of changes in the member group is presented in the following chart.

|  | Active <br> Contributing <br> Members | Non-Contributing <br> Members | Annuitants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| December 31, 2010 Valuation | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 , 8 3 6}$ |
| Termination with Refund | $(5,861)$ | $(2,948)$ | - |
| Termination without Refund | $(6,736)$ | 6,736 | - |
| Active/Inactive Death with Annuity | $(118)$ | $(26)$ | 144 |
| Service Retirement | $(2,757)$ | $(766)$ | 3,523 |
| Disability Retirement | $(49)$ | $(22)$ | 71 |
| Annuitant Death without Beneficiary | - | - | $(770)$ |
| New Entrants | 13,507 | 2,044 | - |
| Rehires | 1,044 | $(875)$ | $(169)$ |
| Total Change | $\mathbf{( 9 7 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 9 9}$ |
| December 31, 2011 Valuation | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 6 3 5}$ |

We recommend the Board adopt the following:
(1) Approve the required pension plan contribution rates for 2013 for plans with no changes in 2012 and for plans that adopt plan changes in 2012.
(2) Approve the 2013 premium rates for the Group Term Life Fund.

This page intentionally left blank.

# Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation 

December 31, 2011

## Section 2 Valuation Results



We performed an actuarial valuation for each of the 624 active (and one inactive) employers participating in TCDRS as of December 31, 2011. This section discusses the summary results for all or a specific group of plans as well as the basis for the valuation. Key results for each employer can be found in Appendix D. Detailed information for each individual employer can be found in their specific valuation report on the TCDRS website.

The purpose of the valuation is to measure the funding status and to determine the employer contribution rate based on the assumptions, benefits and membership of each separate employer plan. Funding status is measured by the funded ratio for each plan, contribution rates are based on the funding method used.

Summary Results The tables on the next few pages present:
(1) The summary of assets held by TCDRS Pension Trust Fund. Assets used to fund benefit obligations are the Employee Savings Fund (ESF), the Subdivision Accumulation Fund (SAF) and the Current Service Annuity Reserve Fund (CSARF). The Endowment Fund is used as a contingency fund. The Interest and Expense Funds are used for administrative purposes.
(2) The summary valuation results for all plans in total for both the 2010 and 2011 valuations.

## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

## Statements of Plan Net Assets <br> Pension Trust Fund <br> As of December 31, 2011 and 2010

|  |  | 2011 | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ | 15,578,298 | \$ | 5,364,443 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions |  | 76,127,357 |  | 78,794,567 |
| Investment Interest and Dividends |  | 46,004,956 |  | 63,210,152 |
| Securities-Lending Interest |  | 290,900 |  | 229,070 |
| Employer Premiums |  | 5,567,057 |  | 0 |
| Other |  | 101,893 |  | 15,103 |
| Total Receivables |  | 128,092,163 |  | 142,248,892 |
| Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets |  | 243,497 |  | 210,356 |
| Investments, at Fair Value: |  |  |  |  |
| Core Fixed Income |  | 1,854,405,852 |  | 2,785,085,041 |
| Domestic Equities |  | 3,615,724,125 |  | 3,551,404,022 |
| International Equities |  | 2,303,773,878 |  | 3,679,307,589 |
| High-Yield Debt |  | 2,519,118,347 |  | 2,284,178,182 |
| REITs |  | 526,514,264 |  | 609,132,390 |
| Absolute Return |  | 4,657,838,928 |  | 2,868,271,181 |
| TIPS |  | 447,696,666 |  | 685,469,932 |
| Private Equity |  | 996,423,456 |  | 663,769,972 |
| Private Real Estate |  | 120,762,925 |  | 56,551,186 |
| Commodities |  | 368,846,912 |  | 370,111,105 |
| Short-Term Investment Fund |  | 102,828,536 |  | 45,722,567 |
| Total Investments |  | 17,513,933,889 |  | 17,599,003,167 |
| Invested Securities-Lending Collateral |  | 133,614,519 |  | 431,387,915 |
| Capital Assets, net |  | 15,502,495 |  | 16,375,454 |
| Total Assets |  | 17,806,964,861 |  | 18,194,590,227 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Payable and Investments Payable |  | 25,885,991 |  | 14,364,793 |
| Funds Held for Optional Group Term Life Fund |  | 21,398,344 |  | 19,039,310 |
| Securities-Lending Collateral |  | 133,614,519 |  | 431,426,513 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 180,898,854 |  | 464,830,616 |
| Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits, Dec. 31 | \$ | 17,626,066,007 | \$ | 17,729,759,611 |

## Texas County \& District Retirement System <br> Actuarial Valuation

Changes in Plan Net Assets by Fund
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## Summary Actuarial Valuation Results



## Actuarial Value of Assets

## Valuation Basis

The actuarial value of assets for the SAF recognizes the difference between each year's actual and expected return of the SAF evenly over 10-year periods, subject to a $40 \%$ corridor. The actuarial value of the assets for the ESF and the CSARF are equal to the fund values.

Since the actuarial value is smoothed, it does not reflect most of the adverse investment experience from the 2008 market decline, as well as the asset loss that occurred in 2011. These losses have been somewhat offset by the strong 2009 and 2010 returns, but the SAF fund value is still about $\$ 1.6$ billion less than the actuarial value of the SAF, which represents losses to be reflected in future valuations.

| Combined Fund Value* | $\$ 17.43$ Billion |
| :--- | :---: |
| Actuarial Value of Combined Fund | $\$ 19.02$ Billion |
| Fund Value/Actuarial Assets | $92 \%$ |

* Combined Fund is ESF, SAF and CSARF.

The actuarial value of assets (AVA) shown above is the sum of the AVA for each individual employer. Details on the derivation of the actuarial value of assets are provided in the employerspecific valuation reports.

A historical comparison of the actuarial value of assets and the actual total fund values is shown below:


Each year's actuarial valuation is dependent on the key components that are used in the valuation process. These include the actuarial assumptions used to project the probability of paying out benefits in the future, the actuarial cost method used to allocate costs to current and future periods, the benefit provisions that indicate the amount of the expected benefit, and the membership data that indicate to whom the benefits may be paid.

## A. Assumptions

Each employer's valuation was based on actuarial assumptions adopted by the Board in 2009. The assumptions were studied during the 2005-2008 actuarial investigation of experience. A detailed disclosure is shown in Appendix D. Details on the assumptions for each employer are provided in the employerspecific valuation reports.

The demographic assumptions include probabilities of terminating active contributing and non-contributing membership due to withdrawal, death, disability or retirement. Withdrawal assumptions vary by age and years of service and by plan. The specific plan withdrawal assumption was based on that employer's experience during the investigative study period compared to the entire TCDRS experience.

Individual member salary increases are assumed to vary by length of service and by entry age group. The salary increases are based on both a general wage inflation component of 4.0\% and a merit, promotion and longevity component. The total salary increase over a member's career is expected to be about 5.4\% per year on average.

The economic assumptions are summarized below. The underlying price inflation assumption used to establish the economic assumptions is $3.5 \%$; however, the price inflation assumption itself is not directly used in the valuation.

| Economic Assumption |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Rate |  |  |
| Investment Return |  |  |
| Subdivision Accumulation Fund - SAF |  | $9.0 \%$ |
| Employee Savings Fund - ESF |  | $7.0 \%$ |
| Current Service Annuity Reserve Fund - |  |  |
| $\quad$ CSARF |  | $7.0 \%$ |
| Aggregate Investment Return | $8.0 \%$ |  |
| General Wage Inflation | $4.0 \%$ |  |
| Payroll Increase * | $4.0 \%$ or less |  |
| Price Inflation | $3.5 \%$ |  |
| Cost-of-Living Adjustments | $0 \%$ ** |  |

* The actual payroll increase assumption varies by plan based on experience from the last investigation of experience.
** TCDRS does not permit automatic Cost-of-Living Adjustments (COLAs) for post-retirement benefits. However ad hoc COLAs are permitted.

We believe the assumptions adopted by the Board are reasonable as long-term average expectations and collectively represent reasonable expectations of experience over the long-term future. The next investigation of experience will be performed in 2013, covering the period 2009-2012.

## B. Benefits

TCDRS is a nontraditional defined benefit plan that shares many characteristics with a cash balance plan. Plan provisions are adopted by each individual employer based on options available under the TCDRS Act. The December 31, 2011 actuarial valuation reflects benefits in effect as of January 1, 2012.

Members can retire at age 60 with five, eight or 10 years of service, or at any age with 20 or 30 years of service. Members can also retire when their age and service equals 75 or 80, depending on which option the employer adopts.

Each employer has the ability to change future benefit accruals and specific plan provisions that apply to their employees; however, prior accrued benefits cannot be reduced. The basic employer match equals the employee account balance at retirement; the accounts are then converted to annuities. In addition, the employer may provide additional benefits by matching at a higher rate than one-toone.

The member's contribution rate is an integer rate between 4\% and $7 \%$. The member's retirement benefits are based on the employee contributions made to the plan accumulated with interest. At retirement, the member's account is matched at the rate or rates selected by the employer and these total benefit credits are converted to a monthly annuity.

Details on the benefit provisions for each employer are provided in the employer-specific valuation reports.

Based on the results of each year's valuation, information is available to the individual employers about the cost to implement certain benefit increases or decreases, more generous retirement eligibility provisions, ad hoc COLAs for annuitants, and certain other changes. The COLA cost information is provided each spring with the employer's "Retirement Plan Assessment." Additional cost information is provided by TCDRS staff, upon request.

Following the valuation each year, an employer may elect to modify the plan provisions applicable to their employees for the subsequent plan year. During 2011, 83 plans made a total of 92 different benefit changes as summarized in the chart on the following page.

## B. Benefits (continued)

## C. Cost Method

| Number <br> of Changes | Type of Change |
| :---: | :--- |
| 46 | Added a one-time CPI-related COLA increase for <br> retired members' benefits |
| 13 | Increased the Employer Match Rate <br> 11 |
| Added a one-time flat percentage increase to <br> retired members' benefits |  |
| 5 | Decreased the Employer Match Rate |
| 4 | Increased the Employee Contribution Rate |
| 3 | Added Rule of 75 Retirement Eligibility |
| 2 | Increased Prior Service Credits |
| 1 | Lowered the years of service for vesting |
| 1 | Decreased the Employee Contribution Rate |
| 92 | Added a partial lump sum benefit feature |

The actuarial cost method refers to how benefits are assumed to be funded by contributions and investment income in an orderly manner. The result is that sufficient funds are expected to be accumulated by the time benefit payments commence. The determination of the employer contribution rate is based on the entry age cost method.

The entry age cost method will compute an annual cost - the normal cost rate - that is expected to accumulate funds evenly over a member's working career. For TCDRS, the rate is expressed as a percentage of pay. The normal cost as a percentage of pay is not expected to vary over the period of time the member participates in the plan. For an individual employer's plan, the total normal cost rate will remain fairly stable if the average age at hire, or entry age, of all employees remains level. All plans in TCDRS use the entry age cost method to compute annual costs.

Note that the 20-year (or 15-year) amortization of the UAAL is over a closed period. In each successive year, a new layer is set up to amortize the actuarial gain or loss or assumption change, over a new 20-year period. Plan changes are amortized over new 15-year periods. If the plan has an Overfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (OAAL), this amount is amortized over a rolling 30 -year period. That is, each year the entire OAAL is refinanced over a 30 -year period.

## C. Cost Method (continued)

D. Data

All plans use the variable-rate funding method except for Dallas County. The County's fixed-rate contribution rate has to meet the same minimum funding standards as the variable rate plans. If not, the fixed rate is determined to be inadequate, and the fixed rate needs to be increased if future benefits are not reduced.

The valuation is a snapshot measurement as of a single day, the valuation date, of the expected benefits to be paid by the employer to those members who have an interest in a future benefit payment. The valuation only considers members participating as of the valuation date and does not project or assume benefits for any employees not included in the membership on the valuation date. However, the active population of an individual employer is assumed to remain level for purposes of projecting payroll.

Thus, the measurement of the adequacy of any single employer's plan is dependent not only on the assumptions used to project benefit payments, and the plan provisions which define the benefit amounts, but also by the members actually participating on each valuation date. The following table indicates the total membership of all 624 active plans, as well as the one inactive plan, as of December 31, 2011.

|  | Active Contributing Members | NonContributing Members | Annuitants | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 98,753 | 27,819 | 23,132 | 149,704 |
| 2002 | 101,415 | 29,194 | 24,862 | 155,471 |
| 2003 | 103,012 | 30,198 | 26,420 | 159,630 |
| 2004 | 104,545 | 31,177 | 28,496 | 164,218 |
| 2005 | 107,212 | 34,975 | 30,347 | 172,534 |
| 2006 | 110,791 | 39,781 | 32,440 | 183,012 |
| 2007 | 116,858 | 46,104 | 34,362 | 197,324 |
| 2008 | 120,347 | 52,188 | 36,509 | 209,044 |
| 2009 | 123,446 | 55,956 | 37,979 | 217,381 |
| 2010 | 122,889 | 59,029 | 40,836 | 222,754 |
| 2011 | 121,919 | 63,172 | 43,635 | 228,726 |

D. Data (continued)

Funded Status

The makeup of each individual employer plan within TCDRS varies significantly, not only by benefit provisions, but also by membership size. The median number of contributing members is slightly less than 50 , so over half the employers have less than 50 contributing members. The following graph indicates the number of plans by size as measured by the number of contributing members.


As mentioned earlier, one purpose of the valuation is to measure the funding status of each plan. The funding status can be determined several ways. One measurement required by GASB (the Governmental Accounting Standards Board) is the Funded Ratio. The Funded Ratio is the value of the Actuarial Value of Assets over the Actuarial Accrued Liability, all measured as of a single date - the valuation date.

If the funded ratio is less than 100\%, the plan has a UAAL, or a measured shortfall from the expected accumulated prior years normal cost contributions. If the ratio is more than 100\%, the plan has an OAAL, or a measured excess from the expected accumulated prior years normal cost contributions.

Differences between the Actuarial Accrued Liability and the assets arise primarily due to experience different than the assumptions and benefit changes that are applied retroactively to accrued service credits.

## Funded Status (continued)

## Contribution Rates

The following graph illustrates a summary of the Funded Ratio measurement for all 624 active plans as of December 31, 2011. For comparison purposes, the Funded Ratio is also shown for the 618 plans valued as of December 31, 2010. Note that Actuarial Assets are the sum of the actuarial value of the SAF and the ESF.

Comparison of Funded Ratios (Actuarial Assets/Accrued Liability)


On a system-wide basis, the aggregate funded ratio decreased from $89.4 \%$ to $88.8 \%$. Similarly, on the individual employer level, the funded ratios of most employers declined slightly.

Another measurement of the valuation results is to study how much the employer contribution rates have changed over the past year due to experience and assumption changes. Contribution rates can also change due to benefit plan provisions. However, the following discussions of changes in rates only reflect experience changes.

As shown on the following page, $71.3 \%$ of the 624 active plans had a contribution rate increase. Of these, 37.7\% (235 plans) had a contribution rate increase greater than $0.35 \%$ of pay. Most of these increases in contribution rates were due to the recognition of investment losses from 2008 and 2011.

Changes in contribution rates are measured from the actual 2012 rate to the calculated rate for 2013. The actual 2012 rate is based on the 2010 valuation, but adjusted for any benefit changes adopted during 2011.

## Contribution Rates (continued)

28.7\% of the plans had either a decrease or no change in the total employer contribution rate since last year's valuation.

Change in Total Employer Contribution Rates Active Plans (2012 to 2013)


■decrease of more than 0.35\%

- decrease of $0.35 \%$ or less (or no change)
aincrease of $0.35 \%$ or less
$\square$ increase greater than 0.35\%

For comparison purposes, information regarding the percentage of plans with changes in variable-rate employer contribution rates from past valuations is reported below:

|  | Decrease or No Change | Increase of $0.35 \%$ or Less | Increase Greater Than 0.35\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001* | 66.5 | 24.4 | 9.1 |
| 2002 | 35.4 | 45.1 | 19.5 |
| 2003 | 92.4 | 6.2 | 1.4 |
| 2004 | 72.9 | 22.2 | 4.9 |
| 2005* | 39.0 | 35.2 | 25.8 |
| 2006 | 89.4 | 8.4 | 2.2 |
| 2007 | 58.7 | 34.9 | 6.4 |
| 2008 | 19.2 | 14.0 | 66.8 |
| 2009* | 67.9 | 27.6 | 4.5 |
| 2010 | 26.7 | 42.2 | 31.1 |
| 2011 | 28.7 | 33.6 | 37.7 |
| *Also reflects impact of new assumptions. |  |  |  |
| Note: Contribution rate changes exclude the impact of post-valuation employer-elected plan changes. |  |  |  |

## Contribution Rates (continued)

The graph below compares the number of plans in the 2010 valuation to the number in the 2011 Valuation that had a change in the total employer contribution rate as measured by the size of the change.

Change in the Total Employer Contribution Rates Variable-Rate Plans


A detailed analysis of the rate changes was performed as part of the 2011 valuation process for each variable-rate plan. There are many factors that are measured when comparing one year's expected valuation results to the actual results a year later. The following table lists the factors which had the most significant impact on contribution rates. Appendix A lists each variable-rate plan that had a significant rate change from the 2010 to 2011 plan that had a significant rate change from the 2010 to 2011
valuation, the amount of the rate change and the major reasons for the change.

| Sources of Contribution Rate Change <br> (Greater than 0.25\% of Payroll) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sources of Decrease |  |
| Actual vs. Expected Termination |  |
| Salary / Payroll Variation | 115 |
| Elected Rate > Actual Rate | 62 |
| Employer Lump-Sum Contribution | 36 |
| Actual vs. Expected Retirement | 26 |
| Change in Average Entry Age | 12 |
| Actual vs. Expected Retiree Mortality | 12 |
| Changes in Plan Provisions | 12 |
| Investment Income | 6 |
| $\quad$ Sources of Increase | 0 |
| \# of Plans |  |
| Investment Income | 442 |
| Salary / Payroll Variation | 68 |
| Changes in Plan Provisions | 32 |
| Actual vs. Expected Termination | 26 |
| Change in Average Entry Age | 6 |
| Actual vs. Expected Retiree Mortality | 4 |
| Actual vs. Expected Retirement | 3 |
| Actual vs. Expected Active Mortality | 0 |

## Sources of Contribution Rate Change (Greater than 0.25\% of Payroll)

## Experience Analysis - Contribution Rates

## Experience Analysis - Contribution Rates (continued)

Investment Income refers to the impact of the actual SAF return on an actuarial basis as compared to the assumed rate of $9.0 \%$.

Changes in Plan Provisions refers to the impact on the required contribution rate due to a plan change.

Actual vs. Expected Termination refers to the impact of both the probability a member ceases active employment and whether or not they withdrew their employee contributions, thereby forfeiting their right to an employer-provided benefit.

Salary I Payroll Variation refers to the impact of how the total amount of the employer's payroll changed from the prior valuation different from what was expected based on assumptions. Greater than expected increases in payroll generally mean a lower contribution rate is necessary to pay off the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

An Elected Rate > Actual Rate creates gains, or decreases in contribution rates, as the employer is contributing more than the calculated rate.

Actual vs. Expected Retiree Mortality refers to the probability a retired member dies at a certain age, and impacts the employer rates only if a supplemental annuity benefit is being paid.

Employer Lump-Sum Contribution creates gains as more employer contributions than expected were received.

Change in Average Entry Age refers to a shift in the makeup of the employer's membership group due to employees who left and returned, and others newly hired. Under the entry age cost method, a change in the average entry age results in a change in the normal cost rate.

Actual vs. Expected Active Mortality refers to the probability a non-retired member dies. More deaths than expected will generally result in a gain, creating a decrease in the employer contribution rate.

Actual vs. Expected Retirement refers to the probability a nonretired member retires. More retirements than expected will generally result in a loss, creating an increase in the employer contribution rate.
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## Section 3 Funding Adequacy Based on 2011 Results



Active Plan Funding

For active plans, the actuarially determined rate is considered an adequate rate to fund benefits, based on the nature of the funding method. All plans fund based on a variable-rate method (except for Dallas County), where their contribution rate is recalculated each year. Dallas County has slightly different funding requirements that meet or exceed the requirements for all other plans.

Variable-rate plans with an OAAL have a Funding Excess. The Funding Excess is the amount by which the actuarial value of assets exceeds the Actuarial Accrued Liability. For GASB reporting purposes, the Funding Excess must be recognized and amortized over a period of time. The amortization payment of the Funding Excess is treated as an offset to any required employer contribution rate. Thus, the resulting calculated contribution rate is less than the normal cost contribution rate.

Under Board policy, for variable-rate plans the UAAL, as of December 31, 2008, is amortized over a closed 20 years starting January 1, 2010. Subsequent changes are amortized over 20 years creating a new layer of payment, except for plan changes which are amortized over 15 years. Any OAAL is amortized over a rolling 30 years.

## Inactive Plans

There is currently one inactive plan where neither the members nor the employer are contributing to TCDRS. Adjustments in benefits may be needed based on the current and expected funding status of this plan. The unfunded amount for this plan is currently being funded by an active employer; therefore, we are recommending no adjustments be made at this time.
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## Section 4 Analysis of Retired Member Payments - CSARF



When a member retires, the accumulated contribution credits in all of his or her accounts in TCDRS are converted into a monthly benefit. The monthly benefit amount is determined to be paid as two types of annuities. The current service annuity is based on the employee's account value and the first 100\% employer matching contributions. The supplemental benefit amount is based on all other account values, including employer matching contributions in excess of $100 \%$, if any.

All supplemental benefit annuity payments are made from the Subdivision Accumulation Fund (SAF). However, at the time of retirement, all funds used to determine the current service annuity are transferred from the applicable employer's SAF accounts and the member's Employee Savings Fund (ESF) account into the Current Service Annuity Reserve Fund (CSARF), which is a pooled fund. Thus, no actuarial gains or loses occurring for the current service annuity payments are reflected in an individual employer's valuation. Each year, the actuary reviews the funding status of the pooled CSARF to determine if the fund is adequate to pay all projected current service annuity payments.

The following table summarizes the changes in the CSARF. Based on the value of the expected benefits as of December 31, 2011, there was an actuarial loss during the year of $\$ 18.5$ million due to fewer deaths than expected by the assumptions. Combined with interest, this resulted in a small decrease in the dollar amount of the surplus. The CSARF is still expected to be sufficient to fund all future payments for current retirees; however, the margin is decreasing, so this should be reviewed closely with the next investigation of experience.

| CSARF Experience | (All values in millions) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $12 / 31 / 2011$ <br> Valuation | $12 / 31 / 2010$ <br> Valuation |
| Beginning Surplus | $\$ 88.0$ | $\$ 91.2$ |
| Interest | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| Experience Gain / (Loss) | $(18.5)$ | $(9.6)$ |
| Change in Assumptions | - | - |
| Ending Surplus | $\$ 75.7$ | $\$ 88.0$ |
| Total CSARF Liability | $\$ 4,678.8$ | $\$ 4,181.6$ |
| Surplus as a Percentage <br> of Total Liability | $1.6 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
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## Section 5 Group Term Life Fund



The TCDRS Act provides a group term life insurance benefit. The benefit coverage can be adopted by the employers for either their current eligible active employees or for both their eligible active and retired employees.

Active employees are insured for an amount equal to their total compensation from the past twelve months of employment. Retired employees are insured for a fixed amount of \$5,000. Life insurance benefits are paid as a lump sum payment.

An annual valuation is performed to determine the premium rates for active and retired employees based on age and gender. An individual employer's premium is then based on the demographic makeup and salaries of its covered employee group.

The Group Term Life Fund (GTLF) is pooled with the TCDRS funds for investment purposes, but its benefit obligations are separate from the TCDRS obligations.

The following graph shows a comparison of the GTLF rates for all active employers, including those that do not participate in the GTLF. On average, there was a slight increase in calculated employer premium rates based on the 2011 valuation results. A full listing of the GTLF contribution rates is shown in Appendix C.

Change in Group Term Life Rates


Increase/(Decrease) in Contribution Rates

## Group Term Life Fund Experience

The table below reports the financial condition of the GTLF as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2011. During 2011, the GTLF experience was positive, since contributions exceeded the benefit payments. The current surplus amount should be adequate to cover any adverse experience during 2012.
One large active employer discontinued GTL coverage effective January 1, 2012, causing a large decrease in the number of employees covered.

|  | For Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| 1. Fund at the beginning of the year | \$ 19,253,775 | \$ 15,298,540 |
| 2. Employer premiums paid | 5,927,549 | 7,340,463 |
| 3. Income from regular interest | 1,376,030 | 1,152,389 |
| 4. Total Assets Before Payments | \$ 26,557,354 | \$ 23,791,392 |
| 5. Supplemental death benefit payments made during the year | \$ 4,852,898 | \$ 4,537,617 |
| 6. Less payments in the year for deaths occurring in the previous year | $(387,588)$ | $(669,618)$ |
| 7. Plus payments in the following year for deaths occurring in the current year | 253,888 | 387,588 |
| 8. Total incurred death benefits (actual benefits) | 4,719,198 | 4,255,587 |
| 9. Surplus at the end of the year (4. -5.) | \$ 21,704,456 | \$ 19,253,775 |
| 10. Expected benefits during the year | \$ 5,927,549 | \$ 7,340,463 |
| 11. Ratio of incurred benefits to premiums (8. / 2.) | 0.796 | 0.580 |
| 12. Ratio of ending surplus to premiums (9. / 2.) | 3.662 | 2.623 |
| Number of employees covered at the end of the year | 38,313 | 58,126 |
| Number of employers participating at the end of the year | 269 | 270 |
| Weighted average GTL contribution rate (based on prior year's covered payroll) | 0.29\% | 0.35\% |
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| Section 6 | Glossary |
| :--- | :--- | | The following definitions include excerpts from a list adopted in 1994 by |
| :--- |
| the major actuarial organizations in the United States. In some cases, |
| the definitions have been modified for specific applicability to TCDRS |
| and include terms used exclusively by TCDRS. Defined terms are |
| capitalized throughout this Section. |

## Employer Contribution Rate

Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method

Fixed-Rate Plan

## Multiple Matching <br> Benefits

Normal Cost

Normal Cost
Contribution Rate

Plan Year
Prior Service Benefits

Projected Benefits

## Overfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (OAAL)

The sum of the Normal Cost Contribution Rate and the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Contribution Rate. Both rates are expressed as a percent of compensation. The calculated Employer Contribution Rate will go into effect, one year after the Valuation Date.

A method under which the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits of each individual included in an Actuarial Valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings or service of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value not provided for at a Valuation Date by the Actuarial Present Value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Accrued Liability.
A plan of retirement, death, and disability benefits for which the employer adopts an employee contribution rate ( $4 \%, 5 \%, 6 \%$, or $7 \%$ ), which also becomes the Employer Contribution Rate. The actuary determines the plan of benefits that can be adequately financed by the commitment of the employer to contribute the same amount as the member employees. The plan of benefits, adopted at plan inception and when benefit increases are adopted, is limited by statute to benefits that allow the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability to be amortized over a period of no more than 20 years. If a plan has had adverse experience (i.e., predominantly actuarial losses over time), the law has provisions which allow the employer to contribute at a rate above the employee contribution rate or to reduce benefits accruing in the future. Currently, there is only one fixed-rate plan, Dallas County. The County's fixed-rate contribution rate has to meet the same minimum funding standards as the variable rate plans.
Benefits attributable to an amount provided by the employer as a percentage of the member's accumulated deposits in excess of the Current Service Benefit matching amount.

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

A rate equal to the Actuarial Present Value at hire of Projected Benefits divided by the Actuarial Present Value at hire of anticipated future compensation. It is calculated for each contributing member, and the average is determined, weighted by compensation.
A 12-month period beginning January 1 and ending December 31.
Benefits attributable to an amount provided by the employer for service rendered by an employee prior to the date of participation of the employer in the System.

Those pension plan benefit amounts which are expected to be paid at various future times under a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions, taking into account such items as the effect of advancement in age and past and anticipated future compensation and service credits.
The excess, if any, of the Actuarial Value of Assets over the Actuarial Accrued Liability. Standard actuarial terminology defines this as the "Funding Excess". TCDRS uses the term "Overfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability".

## Supplemental Contribution Rate

Total Fund Value

Unfunded Actuarial
Accrued Liability
(UAAL)

UAAL Contribution Rate

Valuation Date

Variable-Rate Plan

Fixed-rate plans contribute the same percent of payroll as the members. In cases where this contribution is not sufficient to adequately fund the plan, an additional contribution may be required. This is referred to as the Supplemental Contribution Rate.

The sum of the fund value of the ESF, SAF and CSARF as of the valuation date.

The excess, if any, of the Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

Variable-Rate plans: The level percent of covered payroll to amortize the UAAL over a closed period of 20 years ( 15 years for plan changes). If the plan has an Overfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, it is amortized over an open period of 30 years as a negative UAAL, and the resulting negative UAAL Contribution Rate is offset against the Normal Cost Contribution Rate.

Fixed-Rate plans: The fixed Employer Contribution Rate, including any Supplemental Contribution Rate, minus the Normal Cost Contribution Rate.
The date upon which the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, and Actuarial Value of Assets are determined. Generally, the Valuation Date will coincide with the ending of a Plan Year.

A plan of retirement, death and disability benefits adopted by the employer for which the actuary annually determines the Employer Contribution Rate so that the rate is expected to remain approximately level as a percent of the employer's covered payroll from year to year. If there are predominantly actuarial gains over time, the rate will decrease from year to year. Conversely, predominantly actuarial losses over time will cause the rate to increase from year to year.
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Appendix A Summary Valuation Results by Individual Employer

| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 638 | Acton Municipal Utility District | 7.37\% | 7.34\% | 0.03\% | 88\% | 87\% | 1\% |
| 789 | Agua Special Utility District | 5.39\% | 5.48\% | (0.09\%) | 60\% | N/A | N/A |
| 615 | Alamo Area Council of Governments | 8.08\% | 8.03\% | 0.05\% | 87\% | 84\% | 3\% |
| 721 | Alamo Regional Mobility Authority | 10.21\% | 10.89\% | (0.68\%) | 99\% | 94\% | 5\% |
| 100 | Anderson County | 9.60\% | 9.25\% | 0.35\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 691 | Anderson County Central Appraisal District | 6.95\% | 6.86\% | 0.09\% | 85\% | 83\% | 2\% |
| 101 | Andrews County | 15.36\% | 14.34\% | 1.02\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |
| 684 | Angelina \& Nacogdoches Counties WCID \#1 | 8.01\% | 7.92\% | 0.09\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 102 | Angelina County | 9.54\% | 9.30\% | 0.24\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 502 | Angelina County Appraisal District | 10.97\% | 9.75\% | 1.22\% | 92\% | 94\% | (2\%) |
| 576 | Angleton Drainage District | 9.47\% | 8.87\% | 0.60\% | 91\% | 90\% | 1\% |
| 614 | Aquilla Water Supply District - Hill County | 9.73\% | 9.47\% | 0.26\% | 83\% | 90\% | (7\%) |
| 103 | Aransas County | 8.20\% | 8.03\% | 0.17\% | 90\% | 89\% | 1\% |
| 459 | Aransas County Appraisal District | 18.28\% | 15.19\% | 3.09\% | 80\% | 87\% | (7\%) |
| 668 | Aransas County Navigation District \#1 | 11.31\% | 11.15\% | 0.16\% | 92\% | 91\% | 1\% |
| 104 | Archer County | 8.01\% | 8.07\% | (0.06\%) | 87\% | 86\% | 1\% |
| 503 | Archer County Appraisal District | 8.72\% | 6.98\% | 1.74\% | 99\% | 102\% | (3\%) |
| 105 | Armstrong County | 5.91\% | 5.51\% | 0.40\% | 102\% | 105\% | (3\%) |
| 106 | Atascosa County | 7.65\% | 7.24\% | 0.41\% | 90\% | 92\% | (2\%) |
| 551 | Atascosa County Appraisal District | 9.42\% | 9.45\% | (0.03\%) | 92\% | 89\% | 3\% |
| 107 | Austin County | 8.54\% | 8.16\% | 0.38\% | 89\% | 89\% | 0\% |
| 461 | Austin County Appraisal District | 7.61\% | 6.97\% | 0.64\% | 104\% | 106\% | (2\%) |
| 597 | Bacliff Municipal Utility District | 6.57\% | 6.08\% | 0.49\% | 91\% | 93\% | (2\%) |
| 108 | Bailey County | 6.21\% | 6.11\% | 0.10\% | 87\% | 86\% | 1\% |
| 109 | Bandera County | 9.41\% | 9.08\% | 0.33\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 110 | Bastrop County | 9.52\% | 9.17\% | 0.35\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 812 | Bastrop County ESD \#1 | 4.38\% | 4.38\% | 0.00\% | 109\% | N/A | N/A |
| 111 | Baylor County | 9.00\% | 8.91\% | 0.09\% | 89\% | 89\% | 0\% |
| 685 | Baylor County Appraisal District | 6.73\% | 6.69\% | 0.04\% | 91\% | 90\% | 1\% |
| 613 | Bayview Irrigation District \#11 | 5.79\% | 6.34\% | (0.55\%) | 94\% | 83\% | 11\% |
| 690 | Bayview Municipal Utility District | 2.67\% | 2.57\% | 0.10\% | 108\% | 112\% | (4\%) |
| 112 | Bee County | 7.28\% | 7.19\% | 0.09\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |
| 113 | Bell County | 12.14\% | 11.63\% | 0.51\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 506 | Bell County Appraisal District | 10.49\% | 9.72\% | 0.77\% | 85\% | 87\% | (2\%) |
| 418 | Bell County WCID \#1 | 5.10\% | 5.30\% | (0.20\%) | 89\% | 88\% | 1\% |
| 708 | Benbrook Water Authority | 7.43\% | 7.41\% | 0.02\% | 84\% | 82\% | 2\% |
| 472 | Bexar Appraisal District | 12.53\% | 12.05\% | 0.48\% | 92\% | 92\% | 0\% |
| 114 | Bexar County | 12.09\% | 11.30\% | 0.79\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 828 | Bexar County ESD 7 | 5.58\% | 5.82\% | (0.24\%) | 61\% | N/A | N/A |
| 544 | Bexar County WCID \#10 | 6.91\% | 6.32\% | 0.59\% | 86\% | 92\% | (6\%) |
| 716 | Bexar Metro 9-1-1 Network District | 7.22\% | 9.57\% | (2.35\%) | 100\% | 102\% | (2\%) |
| 737 | Bexar-Medina-Atascosa WCID \#1 | 9.57\% | 9.55\% | 0.02\% | 82\% | 75\% | 7\% |
| 616 | Bistone Municipal WSD - Limestone County | 13.33\% | 10.96\% | 2.37\% | 85\% | 88\% | (3\%) |
| 115 | Blanco County | 8.59\% | 8.47\% | 0.12\% | 86\% | 86\% | 0\% |
| 116 | Borden County | 15.06\% | 15.34\% | (0.28\%) | 75\% | 74\% | 1\% |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 525 | Borden County Appraisal District | 4.11\% | 4.25\% | (0.14\%) | 188\% | 211\% | (23\%) |
| 117 | Bosque County | 2.18\% | 2.08\% | 0.10\% | 113\% | 116\% | (3\%) |
| 521 | Bosque County Central Appraisal District | 10.89\% | 10.14\% | 0.75\% | 95\% | 96\% | (1\%) |
| 118 | Bowie County | 9.75\% | 9.21\% | 0.54\% | 88\% | 90\% | (2\%) |
| 119 | Brazoria County | 11.44\% | 10.96\% | 0.48\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 508 | Brazoria County Appraisal District | 11.57\% | 10.68\% | 0.89\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 413 | Brazoria County Cons. and Rec. District \#3 | 17.56\% | 16.87\% | 0.69\% | 77\% | 77\% | 0\% |
| 424 | Brazoria County Drainage District \#4 | 9.67\% | 9.17\% | 0.50\% | 100\% | 103\% | (3\%) |
| 681 | Brazoria County Drainage District \#5 | 3.58\% | 3.43\% | 0.15\% | 92\% | 92\% | 0\% |
| 120 | Brazos County | 13.00\% | 12.37\% | 0.63\% | 81\% | 82\% | (1\%) |
| 689 | Brazos County Appraisal District | 13.20\% | 12.58\% | 0.62\% | 84\% | 83\% | 1\% |
| 600 | Brazos County ECD | 8.10\% | 8.21\% | (0.11\%) | 95\% | 93\% | 2\% |
| 744 | Brazos River Authority | 7.85\% | 7.86\% | (0.01\%) | 83\% | 78\% | 5\% |
| 806 | Brazos Valley Council of Governments | 6.66\% | 6.66\% | 0.00\% | 57\% | N/A | N/A |
| 121 | Brewster County | 10.24\% | 10.18\% | 0.06\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 581 | Brewster County Appraisal District | 10.18\% | 10.70\% | (0.52\%) | 83\% | 81\% | 2\% |
| 745 | Bright Star-Salem Special Utility District | 3.44\% | 3.56\% | (0.12\%) | 101\% | 97\% | 4\% |
| 122 | Briscoe County | 7.70\% | 7.88\% | (0.18\%) | 94\% | 94\% | 0\% |
| 123 | Brooks County | 7.79\% | 7.05\% | 0.74\% | 105\% | 108\% | (3\%) |
| 554 | Brookshire - Katy Drainage District | 3.55\% | 3.09\% | 0.46\% | 102\% | 108\% | (6\%) |
| 522 | Brookshire Municipal Water District | 6.14\% | 5.20\% | 0.94\% | 99\% | 101\% | (2\%) |
| 124 | Brown County | 7.17\% | 6.91\% | 0.26\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 702 | Brownsville Irrigation District | 5.79\% | 6.06\% | (0.27\%) | 88\% | 84\% | 4\% |
| 642 | Brushy Creek MUD - Williamson County | 6.42\% | 6.62\% | (0.20\%) | 107\% | 100\% | 7\% |
| 125 | Burleson County | 8.13\% | 7.83\% | 0.30\% | 81\% | 82\% | (1\%) |
| 609 | Burnet Central Appraisal District | 19.51\% | 18.46\% | 1.05\% | 75\% | 75\% | 0\% |
| 126 | Burnet County | 12.15\% | 11.61\% | 0.54\% | 78\% | 79\% | (1\%) |
| 127 | Caldwell County | 4.67\% | 4.44\% | 0.23\% | 92\% | 93\% | (1\%) |
| 718 | Caldwell County Appraisal District | 9.15\% | 9.08\% | 0.07\% | 74\% | 80\% | (6\%) |
| 128 | Calhoun County | 11.19\% | 10.72\% | 0.47\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 709 | Calhoun County Appraisal District | 7.65\% | 7.52\% | 0.13\% | 89\% | 87\% | 2\% |
| 788 | Calhoun County E911 ECD | 11.10\% | 11.10\% | 0.00\% | 75\% | N/A | N/A |
| 129 | Callahan County | 6.85\% | 6.37\% | 0.48\% | 87\% | 89\% | (2\%) |
| 542 | Callahan County Appraisal District | 6.17\% | 6.08\% | 0.09\% | 92\% | 93\% | (1\%) |
| 130 | Cameron County | 9.19\% | 8.83\% | 0.36\% | 89\% | 90\% | (1\%) |
| 618 | Cameron County Appraisal District | 12.68\% | 11.97\% | 0.71\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 692 | Cameron County Drainage District \#1 | 4.79\% | 4.28\% | 0.51\% | 99\% | 107\% | (8\%) |
| 664 | Cameron County Drainage District \#3 | 4.99\% | 4.98\% | 0.01\% | 94\% | 93\% | 1\% |
| 686 | Cameron County Drainage District \#5 | 9.35\% | 9.27\% | 0.08\% | 91\% | 90\% | 1\% |
| 462 | Cameron County Irrigation District \#2 | 5.80\% | 5.77\% | 0.03\% | 88\% | 90\% | (2\%) |
| 590 | Cameron County Irrigation District \#6 | 6.56\% | 5.32\% | 1.24\% | 90\% | 96\% | (6\%) |
| 670 | Camp Central Appraisal District | 3.73\% | 3.83\% | (0.10\%) | 103\% | 103\% | 0\% |
| 131 | Camp County | 11.58\% | 11.28\% | 0.30\% | 81\% | 81\% | 0\% |
| 132 | Carson County | 9.16\% | 8.95\% | 0.21\% | 84\% | 84\% | 0\% |
| 133 | Cass County | 10.66\% | 9.68\% | 0.98\% | 82\% | 81\% | 1\% |
| 610 | Cass County Appraisal District | 9.72\% | 9.95\% | (0.23\%) | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 134 | Castro County | 10.12\% | 9.07\% | 1.05\% | 91\% | 94\% | (3\%) |
| 719 | Central Appraisal District of Bandera County | 9.10\% | 8.98\% | 0.12\% | 83\% | 86\% | (3\%) |
| 635 | Central Appraisal District of Johnson County | 11.01\% | 11.21\% | (0.20\%) | 86\% | 84\% | 2\% |
| 602 | Central Appraisal District of Taylor County | 8.36\% | 8.07\% | 0.29\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 712 | Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority | 12.01\% | 12.30\% | (0.29\%) | 103\% | 102\% | 1\% |
| 648 | Central WCID - Angelina County | 11.76\% | 7.72\% | 4.04\% | 82\% | 81\% | 1\% |
| 135 | Chambers County | 13.81\% | 13.16\% | 0.65\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 531 | Chambers County Appraisal District | 5.40\% | 5.25\% | 0.15\% | 106\% | 108\% | (2\%) |
| 548 | Chambers County Public Hospital District | 5.35\% | 4.85\% | 0.50\% | 99\% | 104\% | (5\%) |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 136 | Cherokee County | 9.18\% | 8.88\% | 0.30\% | 81\% | 82\% | (1\%) |
| 137 | Childress County | 4.10\% | 4.04\% | 0.06\% | 86\% | 85\% | 1\% |
| 511 | Childress County Appraisal District | 6.76\% | 6.27\% | 0.49\% | 78\% | 79\% | (1\%) |
| 582 | Childress County Hospital District | 4.73\% | 4.59\% | 0.14\% | 99\% | 100\% | (1\%) |
| 138 | Clay County | 10.59\% | 10.55\% | 0.04\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |
| 485 | Clay County Appraisal District | 17.24\% | 15.52\% | 1.72\% | 64\% | 71\% | (7\%) |
| 703 | Coastal Bend GCD | 6.27\% | 6.23\% | 0.04\% | 96\% | 95\% | 1\% |
| 722 | Coastal Plains GCD | 5.58\% | 5.55\% | 0.03\% | 97\% | 96\% | 1\% |
| 139 | Cochran County | 11.69\% | 12.32\% | (0.63\%) | 83\% | 80\% | 3\% |
| 477 | Cochran County Appraisal District | 5.03\% | 6.07\% | (1.04\%) | 110\% | 103\% | 7\% |
| 140 | Coke County | 12.98\% | 13.91\% | (0.93\%) | 76\% | 74\% | 2\% |
| 751 | Coke County Appraisal District | 7.80\% | 7.80\% | 0.00\% | 89\% | 85\% | 4\% |
| 141 | Coleman County | 6.68\% | 6.40\% | 0.28\% | 93\% | 95\% | (2\%) |
| 142 | Collin County | 8.01\% | 7.64\% | 0.37\% | 99\% | 88\% | 11\% |
| 457 | Collin County Central Appraisal District | 15.00\% | 14.68\% | 0.32\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 143 | Collingsworth County | 12.50\% | 11.71\% | 0.79\% | 79\% | 80\% | (1\%) |
| 144 | Colorado County | 10.82\% | 10.56\% | 0.26\% | 81\% | 80\% | 1\% |
| 623 | Comal Appraisal District | 12.20\% | 11.98\% | 0.22\% | 84\% | 82\% | 2\% |
| 145 | Comal County | 10.41\% | 10.01\% | 0.40\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 775 | Comal County ESD \#3 | 4.13\% | 4.45\% | (0.32\%) | 71\% | 77\% | (6\%) |
| 827 | Comal County ESD \#4 Spring Branch F\&R | 4.43\% | 4.60\% | (0.17\%) | 47\% | N/A | N/A |
| 824 | Comal County ESD \#5 | 6.29\% | 6.38\% | (0.09\%) | 48\% | N/A | N/A |
| 146 | Comanche County | 8.69\% | 8.42\% | 0.27\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 762 | Combined Consumers SUD | 3.26\% | 3.32\% | (0.06\%) | 84\% | 77\% | 7\% |
| 147 | Concho County | 7.22\% | 7.34\% | (0.12\%) | 100\% | 99\% | 1\% |
| 636 | Concho County Hospital District | 4.96\% | 4.95\% | 0.01\% | 89\% | 89\% | 0\% |
| 759 | Concho Valley Council of Governments | 12.53\% | 12.84\% | (0.31\%) | 66\% | 52\% | 14\% |
| 148 | Cooke County | 9.88\% | 9.57\% | 0.31\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 487 | Cooke County Appraisal District | 11.36\% | 10.18\% | 1.18\% | 94\% | 97\% | (3\%) |
| 149 | Coryell County | 10.15\% | 9.82\% | 0.33\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 150 | Cottle County | 4.48\% | 5.22\% | (0.74\%) | 99\% | 95\% | 4\% |
| 727 | Cow Creek GCD | 6.59\% | 6.54\% | 0.05\% | 95\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| 151 | Crane County | 20.56\% | 21.07\% | (0.51\%) | 75\% | 94\% | (19\%) |
| 757 | Crane County Hospital District | 9.34\% | 9.27\% | 0.07\% | 77\% | 67\% | 10\% |
| 152 | Crockett County | 9.72\% | 9.18\% | 0.54\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 409 | Crockett County WCID \#1 | 4.83\% | 6.10\% | (1.27\%) | 100\% | 96\% | 4\% |
| 153 | Crosby County | 10.83\% | 10.66\% | 0.17\% | 76\% | 76\% | 0\% |
| 532 | Crosby County Appraisal District | 1.76\% | 1.88\% | (0.12\%) | 138\% | 142\% | (4\%) |
| 603 | Crosby Municipal Utility District | 2.49\% | 1.49\% | 1.00\% | 115\% | 117\% | (2\%) |
| 710 | Cross Roads Special Utility District | 3.70\% | 3.99\% | (0.29\%) | 101\% | 98\% | 3\% |
| 154 | Culberson County | 7.09\% | 6.67\% | 0.42\% | 94\% | 96\% | (2\%) |
| 155 | Dallam County | 17.84\% | 17.34\% | 0.50\% | 71\% | 80\% | (9\%) |
| 771 | Dallam County Appraisal District | 6.09\% | 6.06\% | 0.03\% | 84\% | 76\% | 8\% |
| 467 | Dallas Central Appraisal District | 16.35\% | 15.33\% | 1.02\% | 85\% | 87\% | (2\%) |
| 156 | Dallas County | 10.80\% | 10.00\% | 0.80\% | 86\% | 88\% | (2\%) |
| 430 | Dallas County Park Cities MUD | 11.69\% | 11.43\% | 0.26\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 687 | Dallas County WCID \#6 | 4.68\% | 4.86\% | (0.18\%) | 95\% | 93\% | 2\% |
| 157 | Dawson County | 12.36\% | 12.18\% | 0.18\% | 75\% | 74\% | 1\% |
| 463 | Dawson County Central Appraisal District | 6.60\% | 5.87\% | 0.73\% | 103\% | 105\% | (2\%) |
| 158 | Deaf Smith County | 11.06\% | 10.90\% | 0.16\% | 84\% | 84\% | 0\% |
| 578 | Deaf Smith County Hospital District | 0.85\% | 0.64\% | 0.21\% | 111\% | 114\% | (3\%) |
| 159 | Delta County | 6.59\% | 6.74\% | (0.15\%) | 87\% | 86\% | 1\% |
| 734 | Delta County Municipal Utility District | 3.83\% | 4.69\% | (0.86\%) | 112\% | 97\% | 15\% |
| 732 | Delta Lake Irrigation District | 3.74\% | 3.87\% | (0.13\%) | 87\% | 83\% | 4\% |
| 583 | Denco Area 9-1-1 District - Denton County | 10.60\% | 10.81\% | (0.21\%) | 89\% | 89\% | 0\% |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 482 | Denton Central Appraisal District | 8.89\% | 8.96\% | (0.07\%) | 99\% | 99\% | 0\% |
| 160 | Denton County | 11.15\% | 10.72\% | 0.43\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 758 | Denton County Fresh WSD 1A | 8.10\% | 8.00\% | 0.10\% | 88\% | 86\% | 2\% |
| 161 | DeWitt County | 6.88\% | 6.82\% | 0.06\% | 89\% | 89\% | 0\% |
| 466 | DeWitt County Appraisal District | 8.60\% | 8.20\% | 0.40\% | 93\% | 94\% | (1\%) |
| 162 | Dickens County | 10.54\% | 10.12\% | 0.42\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 764 | Dickens County Appraisal District | 9.05\% | 9.03\% | 0.02\% | 96\% | 95\% | 1\% |
| 163 | Dimmit County | 8.38\% | 8.19\% | 0.19\% | 92\% | 94\% | (2\%) |
| 164 | Donley County | 6.33\% | 6.07\% | 0.26\% | 88\% | 88\% | 0\% |
| 165 | Duval County | 6.08\% | 6.07\% | 0.01\% | 78\% | 78\% | 0\% |
| 825 | East Medina County Special Utility District | 3.72\% | 3.66\% | 0.06\% | 46\% | N/A | N/A |
| 166 | Eastland County | 11.81\% | 11.27\% | 0.54\% | 78\% | 80\% | (2\%) |
| 593 | Eastland County Appraisal District | 12.55\% | 13.94\% | (1.39\%) | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 167 | Ector County | 14.82\% | 13.48\% | 1.34\% | 87\% | 89\% | (2\%) |
| 580 | Ector County Hospital District | 10.14\% | 9.66\% | 0.48\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 448 | Edwards Aquifer Authority - Bexar County | 5.91\% | 5.72\% | 0.19\% | 89\% | 90\% | (1\%) |
| 628 | Edwards Central Appraisal District | 9.86\% | 9.77\% | 0.09\% | 98\% | 99\% | (1\%) |
| 168 | Edwards County | 10.16\% | 10.29\% | (0.13\%) | 87\% | 85\% | 2\% |
| 819 | El Paso Central Appraisal District | 5.74\% | 6.01\% | (0.27\%) | 62\% | N/A | N/A |
| 170 | El Paso County | 14.41\% | 13.85\% | 0.56\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |
| 567 | El Paso County 9-1-1 District | 12.54\% | 12.39\% | 0.15\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 541 | El Paso County Hospital District | 6.26\% | 6.13\% | 0.13\% | 85\% | 85\% | 0\% |
| 169 | Ellis County | 10.07\% | 9.77\% | 0.30\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 624 | ECD of Ector County | 16.94\% | 16.95\% | (0.01\%) | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 171 | Erath County | 10.81\% | 10.42\% | 0.39\% | 84\% | 84\% | 0\% |
| 172 | Falls County | 10.40\% | 10.36\% | 0.04\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |
| 563 | Falls County Appraisal District | 2.08\% | 1.07\% | 1.01\% | 130\% | 132\% | (2\%) |
| 173 | Fannin County | 10.28\% | 9.88\% | 0.40\% | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 644 | Fannin County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.28\% | (0.10\%) | 126\% | 129\% | (3\%) |
| 174 | Fayette County | 9.91\% | 9.74\% | 0.17\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 175 | Fisher County | 8.03\% | 8.15\% | (0.12\%) | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 432 | Fisher County Hospital District | 3.74\% | 3.04\% | 0.70\% | 102\% | 107\% | (5\%) |
| 176 | Floyd County | 14.48\% | 14.85\% | (0.37\%) | 72\% | 75\% | (3\%) |
| 474 | Fort Bend Central Appraisal District | 12.27\% | 11.46\% | 0.81\% | 90\% | 91\% | (1\%) |
| 178 | Fort Bend County | 11.55\% | 11.12\% | 0.43\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 782 | Fort Bend County WCID \#2 | 2.55\% | 2.55\% | 0.00\% | 69\% | N/A | N/A |
| 769 | Four Way Special Utility District | 3.78\% | 4.00\% | (0.22\%) | 85\% | 78\% | 7\% |
| 179 | Franklin County | 10.80\% | 10.56\% | 0.24\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 180 | Freestone County | 18.55\% | 17.67\% | 0.88\% | 69\% | 68\% | 1\% |
| 693 | Freestone County Appraisal District | 13.03\% | 13.16\% | (0.13\%) | 80\% | 77\% | 3\% |
| 181 | Frio County | 6.70\% | 6.41\% | 0.29\% | 98\% | 99\% | (1\%) |
| 509 | Frio County Appraisal District | 10.49\% | 9.76\% | 0.73\% | 89\% | 91\% | (2\%) |
| 182 | Gaines County | 11.15\% | 10.98\% | 0.17\% | 79\% | 80\% | (1\%) |
| 761 | Gaines County Appraisal District | 7.39\% | 7.41\% | (0.02\%) | 74\% | 66\% | 8\% |
| 546 | Galveston Central Appraisal District | 17.04\% | 16.09\% | 0.95\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 183 | Galveston County | 10.49\% | 9.78\% | 0.71\% | 88\% | 90\% | (2\%) |
| 547 | Galveston County Consolidated Drainage District | 11.69\% | 11.62\% | 0.07\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 464 | Galveston County Drainage District \#1 | 9.13\% | 8.57\% | 0.56\% | 98\% | 100\% | (2\%) |
| 433 | Galveston County Drainage District \#2 | 15.59\% | 14.33\% | 1.26\% | 92\% | 94\% | (2\%) |
| 589 | Galveston County ECD | 13.58\% | 13.56\% | 0.02\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 821 | Galveston County Fresh WSD \#6 | 5.29\% | 4.81\% | 0.48\% | 23\% | N/A | N/A |
| 752 | Galveston County Health District | 4.26\% | 4.41\% | (0.15\%) | 94\% | 86\% | 8\% |
| 407 | Galveston County WCID \#1 | 11.63\% | 11.11\% | 0.52\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 473 | Garza Central Appraisal District | 9.94\% | 9.29\% | 0.65\% | 93\% | 94\% | (1\%) |
| 184 | Garza County | 8.17\% | 7.70\% | 0.47\% | 88\% | 88\% | 0\% |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 185 | Gillespie County | 10.69\% | 10.55\% | 0.14\% | 84\% | 83\% | 1\% |
| 186 | Glasscock County | 8.15\% | 21.39\% | (13.24\%) | 98\% | 65\% | 33\% |
| 187 | Goliad County | 6.55\% | 6.43\% | 0.12\% | 95\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| 188 | Gonzales County | 11.88\% | 12.14\% | (0.26\%) | 74\% | 78\% | (4\%) |
| 498 | Gonzales County Appraisal District | 9.96\% | 9.96\% | 0.00\% | 97\% | 97\% | 0\% |
| 189 | Gray County | 8.58\% | 8.12\% | 0.46\% | 87\% | 89\% | (2\%) |
| 518 | Gray County Appraisal District | 8.83\% | 8.25\% | 0.58\% | 98\% | 100\% | (2\%) |
| 475 | Grayson Central Appraisal District | 13.69\% | 13.14\% | 0.55\% | 85\% | 86\% | (1\%) |
| 190 | Grayson County | 13.33\% | 12.68\% | 0.65\% | 78\% | 79\% | (1\%) |
| 528 | Greater Harris County 9-1-1 Emergency Network | 11.40\% | 12.72\% | (1.32\%) | 92\% | 84\% | 8\% |
| 429 | Greenbelt M\&IW Authority - Donley County | 10.21\% | 10.34\% | (0.13\%) | 88\% | 86\% | 2\% |
| 191 | Gregg County | 10.55\% | 10.08\% | 0.47\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 192 | Grimes County | 7.71\% | 7.30\% | 0.41\% | 96\% | 98\% | (2\%) |
| 483 | Grimes County Appraisal District | 11.73\% | 10.89\% | 0.84\% | 93\% | 94\% | (1\%) |
| 587 | Guadalupe Appraisal District | 12.00\% | 12.01\% | (0.01\%) | 85\% | 84\% | 1\% |
| 193 | Guadalupe County | 10.34\% | 9.93\% | 0.41\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 526 | Gulf Coast Water Authority - Galveston County | 8.67\% | 7.47\% | 1.20\% | 94\% | 96\% | (2\%) |
| 194 | Hale County | 14.26\% | 13.55\% | 0.71\% | 75\% | 75\% | 0\% |
| 195 | Hall County | 9.02\% | 8.23\% | 0.79\% | 83\% | 88\% | (5\%) |
| 787 | Hall County Appraisal District | 3.69\% | 3.95\% | (0.26\%) | 88\% | N/A | N/A |
| 196 | Hamilton County | 6.93\% | 6.39\% | 0.54\% | 85\% | 86\% | (1\%) |
| 197 | Hansford County | 12.61\% | 12.20\% | 0.41\% | 85\% | 84\% | 1\% |
| 585 | Hansford County Hospital District | 3.88\% | 3.82\% | 0.06\% | 94\% | 94\% | 0\% |
| 198 | Hardeman County | 6.02\% | 5.65\% | 0.37\% | 96\% | 97\% | (1\%) |
| 199 | Hardin County | 13.32\% | 12.82\% | 0.50\% | 78\% | 79\% | (1\%) |
| 527 | Hardin County Appraisal District | 13.16\% | 12.93\% | 0.23\% | 88\% | 88\% | 0\% |
| 571 | Harlingen Irrigation District Cameron County \#1 | 4.20\% | 4.09\% | 0.11\% | 95\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| 200 | Harris County | 11.59\% | 10.67\% | 0.92\% | 86\% | 88\% | (2\%) |
| 598 | Harris County Appraisal District | 12.68\% | 12.49\% | 0.19\% | 87\% | 86\% | 1\% |
| 797 | Harris County Housing Authority | 10.12\% | 9.95\% | 0.17\% | 70\% | N/A | N/A |
| 569 | Harris County WCID \#1 | 13.12\% | 13.00\% | 0.12\% | 85\% | 85\% | 0\% |
| 625 | Harris County WCID \#50 | 6.16\% | 5.72\% | 0.44\% | 83\% | 85\% | (2\%) |
| 201 | Harrison County | 11.31\% | 10.87\% | 0.44\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 202 | Hartley County | 10.37\% | 9.92\% | 0.45\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 520 | Hartley County Appraisal District | 10.53\% | 9.49\% | 1.04\% | 94\% | 96\% | (2\%) |
| 203 | Haskell County | 10.00\% | 9.79\% | 0.21\% | 75\% | 75\% | 0\% |
| 552 | Haskell Memorial Hospital District | 1.90\% | 1.62\% | 0.28\% | 114\% | 142\% | (28\%) |
| 204 | Hays County | 11.32\% | 10.89\% | 0.43\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 799 | Hays County Emergency Services District \#6 | 5.87\% | 5.68\% | 0.19\% | 74\% | N/A | N/A |
| 205 | Hemphill County | 11.14\% | 11.70\% | (0.56\%) | 84\% | 83\% | 1\% |
| 640 | Hemphill County Appraisal District | 11.29\% | 11.36\% | (0.07\%) | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% |
| 529 | Hemphill County Hospital District | 7.29\% | 7.35\% | (0.06\%) | 103\% | 103\% | 0\% |
| 206 | Henderson County | 12.75\% | 12.06\% | 0.69\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |
| 746 | Henderson County 9-1-1 Comm. District | 10.90\% | 10.94\% | (0.04\%) | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| 704 | Henderson County Appraisal District | 11.41\% | 11.73\% | (0.32\%) | 78\% | 72\% | 6\% |
| 414 | Hidalgo and Cameron Counties Irrigation Dist. \#9 | 0.86\% | 0.38\% | 0.48\% | 110\% | 112\% | (2\%) |
| 207 | Hidalgo County | 10.32\% | 9.95\% | 0.37\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 516 | Hidalgo County Appraisal District | 11.62\% | 11.15\% | 0.47\% | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 401 | Hidalgo County Drainage District \#1 | 11.84\% | 11.26\% | 0.58\% | 85\% | 87\% | (2\%) |
| 713 | Hidalgo County Irrigation District \#1 | 4.15\% | 4.11\% | 0.04\% | 87\% | 86\% | 1\% |
| 438 | Hidalgo County Irrigation District \#2 | 9.91\% | 9.10\% | 0.81\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 486 | Hidalgo County Irrigation District \#6 | 6.63\% | 7.07\% | (0.44\%) | 96\% | 93\% | 3\% |
| 674 | High Plains Underground WCD \# 1 | 6.06\% | 5.89\% | 0.17\% | 91\% | 95\% | (4\%) |
| 208 | Hill County | 7.52\% | 7.19\% | 0.33\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |
| 209 | Hockley County | 13.15\% | 12.97\% | 0.18\% | 78\% | 78\% | 0\% |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 728 | Hockley County Appraisal District | 9.54\% | 9.92\% | (0.38\%) | 76\% | 71\% | 5\% |
| 210 | Hood County | 7.13\% | 7.56\% | (0.43\%) | 93\% | 88\% | 5\% |
| 211 | Hopkins County | 12.67\% | 12.39\% | 0.28\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 661 | Hopkins County Appraisal District | 5.09\% | 4.68\% | 0.41\% | 109\% | 113\% | (4\%) |
| 212 | Houston County | 6.60\% | 6.45\% | 0.15\% | 89\% | 90\% | (1\%) |
| 694 | Houston County Appraisal District | 10.92\% | 10.96\% | (0.04\%) | 76\% | 73\% | 3\% |
| 213 | Howard County | 15.85\% | 15.63\% | 0.22\% | 73\% | 74\% | (1\%) |
| 214 | Hudspeth County | 3.97\% | 4.09\% | (0.12\%) | 103\% | 101\% | 2\% |
| 215 | Hunt County | 10.04\% | 9.76\% | 0.28\% | 85\% | 85\% | 0\% |
| 749 | Hunt County Appraisal District | 9.45\% | 9.45\% | 0.00\% | 76\% | 70\% | 6\% |
| 216 | Hutchinson County | 11.03\% | 10.83\% | 0.20\% | 89\% | 90\% | (1\%) |
| 778 | Hutchinson County Appraisal District | 4.55\% | 4.82\% | (0.27\%) | 87\% | 74\% | 13\% |
| 711 | Iraan General Hospital District | 7.62\% | 7.40\% | 0.22\% | 88\% | 87\% | 1\% |
| 217 | Irion County | 10.12\% | 9.89\% | 0.23\% | 91\% | 91\% | 0\% |
| 218 | Jack County | 10.32\% | 9.66\% | 0.66\% | 81\% | 82\% | (1\%) |
| 592 | Jack County Appraisal District | 8.28\% | 9.25\% | (0.97\%) | 89\% | 87\% | 2\% |
| 219 | Jackson County | 9.77\% | 9.39\% | 0.38\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 770 | Jackson County Appraisal District | 4.23\% | 4.26\% | (0.03\%) | 78\% | 67\% | 11\% |
| 441 | Jackson County County-Wide Drainage District | 14.48\% | 13.18\% | 1.30\% | 91\% | 93\% | (2\%) |
| 220 | Jasper County | 16.48\% | 15.81\% | 0.67\% | 69\% | 70\% | (1\%) |
| 657 | Jasper County WCID \#1 | 5.99\% | 6.23\% | (0.24\%) | 92\% | 92\% | 0\% |
| 221 | Jeff Davis County | 5.61\% | 5.62\% | (0.01\%) | 103\% | 104\% | (1\%) |
| 222 | Jefferson County | 15.83\% | 14.95\% | 0.88\% | 76\% | 77\% | (1\%) |
| 404 | Jefferson County Drainage District \#3 | 12.09\% | 10.51\% | 1.58\% | 87\% | 95\% | (8\%) |
| 408 | Jefferson County Drainage District \#6 | 14.56\% | 13.68\% | 0.88\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 405 | Jefferson County Drainage District \#7 | 15.79\% | 14.98\% | 0.81\% | 77\% | 77\% | 0\% |
| 451 | Jefferson County WCID \#10 | 8.60\% | 7.99\% | 0.61\% | 89\% | 101\% | (12\%) |
| 223 | Jim Hogg County | 3.38\% | 3.46\% | (0.08\%) | 99\% | 98\% | 1\% |
| 680 | Jim Hogg County Appraisal District | 7.79\% | 7.60\% | 0.19\% | 100\% | 102\% | (2\%) |
| 656 | Jim Hogg County ESD \#1 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 129\% | 133\% | (4\%) |
| 641 | Jim Hogg County WCID \#2 | 7.08\% | 6.49\% | 0.59\% | 88\% | 88\% | 0\% |
| 224 | Jim Wells County | 11.19\% | 11.15\% | 0.04\% | 86\% | 86\% | 0\% |
| 225 | Johnson County | 9.81\% | 9.41\% | 0.40\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 741 | Jonah Water Special Utility District | 2.60\% | 2.67\% | (0.07\%) | 90\% | 87\% | 3\% |
| 226 | Jones County | 15.33\% | 14.54\% | 0.79\% | 74\% | 78\% | (4\%) |
| 496 | Jones County Appraisal District | 8.71\% | 8.20\% | 0.51\% | 89\% | 90\% | (1\%) |
| 227 | Karnes County | 13.21\% | 13.22\% | (0.01\%) | 78\% | 80\% | (2\%) |
| 524 | Karnes County Appraisal District | 10.89\% | 11.17\% | (0.28\%) | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 455 | Karnes County Hospital District | 7.01\% | 7.19\% | (0.18\%) | 95\% | 94\% | 1\% |
| 228 | Kaufman County | 9.43\% | 8.94\% | 0.49\% | 86\% | 86\% | 0\% |
| 662 | Kaufman County Appraisal District | 9.03\% | 8.82\% | 0.21\% | 92\% | 91\% | 1\% |
| 671 | Kendall Appraisal District | 7.88\% | 7.60\% | 0.28\% | 91\% | 95\% | (4\%) |
| 229 | Kendall County | 7.44\% | 7.34\% | 0.10\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 619 | Kendall County WCID \#1 | 12.10\% | 11.75\% | 0.35\% | 78\% | 77\% | 1\% |
| 230 | Kenedy County | 17.46\% | 17.04\% | 0.42\% | 76\% | 77\% | (1\%) |
| 231 | Kent County | 15.11\% | 15.46\% | (0.35\%) | 77\% | 74\% | 3\% |
| 594 | Kent County Tax Appraisal District | 16.66\% | 15.96\% | 0.70\% | 89\% | 87\% | 2\% |
| 232 | Kerr County | 11.64\% | 11.12\% | 0.52\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 653 | Kerr Emergency 9-1-1 Network | 7.43\% | 6.71\% | 0.72\% | 113\% | 117\% | (4\%) |
| 233 | Kimble County | 7.81\% | 7.90\% | (0.09\%) | 96\% | 94\% | 2\% |
| 234 | King County | 9.39\% | 8.78\% | 0.61\% | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% |
| 755 | King County Appraisal District | 5.82\% | 10.62\% | (4.80\%) | 205\% | 107\% | 98\% |
| 235 | Kinney County | 7.50\% | 7.23\% | 0.27\% | 97\% | 99\% | (2\%) |
| 579 | Kinney County Appraisal District | 12.29\% | 16.38\% | (4.09\%) | 59\% | 56\% | 3\% |
| 236 | Kleberg County | 6.09\% | 5.45\% | 0.64\% | 90\% | 89\% | 1\% |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 237 | Knox County | 6.16\% | 5.88\% | 0.28\% | 99\% | 101\% | (2\%) |
| 241 | La Salle County | 10.54\% | 10.37\% | 0.17\% | 88\% | 92\% | (4\%) |
| 540 | Laguna Madre WD - Cameron County | 13.79\% | 13.47\% | 0.32\% | 77\% | 78\% | (1\%) |
| 514 | Lakeway MUD - Travis County | 11.84\% | 10.88\% | 0.96\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 238 | Lamar County | 11.50\% | 11.03\% | 0.47\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 808 | Lamar County Appraisal District | 7.75\% | 8.01\% | (0.26\%) | 55\% | N/A | N/A |
| 239 | Lamb County | 11.14\% | 10.61\% | 0.53\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 650 | Lampasas Central Appraisal District | 9.35\% | 8.89\% | 0.46\% | 90\% | 92\% | (2\%) |
| 240 | Lampasas County | 14.79\% | 14.49\% | 0.30\% | 78\% | 79\% | (1\%) |
| 731 | LaSalle County Appraisal District | 11.64\% | 11.72\% | (0.08\%) | 86\% | 83\% | 3\% |
| 439 | Lavaca - Navidad River Auth. - Jackson County | 10.12\% | 10.08\% | 0.04\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 242 | Lavaca County | 15.07\% | 14.58\% | 0.49\% | 78\% | 77\% | 1\% |
| 243 | Lee County | 8.31\% | 7.89\% | 0.42\% | 85\% | 85\% | 0\% |
| 244 | Leon County | 2.80\% | 3.11\% | (0.31\%) | 115\% | 113\% | 2\% |
| 468 | Leon County Central Appraisal District | 2.91\% | 2.17\% | 0.74\% | 105\% | 109\% | (4\%) |
| 245 | Liberty County | 15.70\% | 15.25\% | 0.45\% | 76\% | 76\% | 0\% |
| 481 | Liberty County Central Appraisal District | 11.46\% | 10.74\% | 0.72\% | 91\% | 93\% | (2\%) |
| 246 | Limestone County | 5.97\% | 5.72\% | 0.25\% | 97\% | 98\% | (1\%) |
| 695 | Limestone County Appraisal District | 9.97\% | 10.03\% | (0.06\%) | 89\% | 87\% | 2\% |
| 247 | Lipscomb County | 12.61\% | 12.31\% | 0.30\% | 79\% | 78\% | 1\% |
| 248 | Live Oak County | 12.24\% | 12.32\% | (0.08\%) | 81\% | 81\% | 0\% |
| 591 | Live Oak County Appraisal District | 11.11\% | 10.89\% | 0.22\% | 85\% | 86\% | (1\%) |
| 249 | Llano County | 8.29\% | 8.08\% | 0.21\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 250 | Loving County | 9.81\% | 14.18\% | (4.37\%) | 102\% | 89\% | 13\% |
| 513 | Loving County Appraisal District | 10.50\% | 11.32\% | (0.82\%) | 111\% | 108\% | 3\% |
| 756 | Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District | 14.40\% | 14.11\% | 0.29\% | 92\% | 87\% | 5\% |
| 714 | Lower Valley Water District | 8.96\% | 9.14\% | (0.18\%) | 93\% | 89\% | 4\% |
| 499 | Lubbock Central Appraisal District | 12.03\% | 10.81\% | 1.22\% | 91\% | 92\% | (1\%) |
| 251 | Lubbock County | 10.24\% | 9.83\% | 0.41\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 425 | Lubbock County WCID \#1 | 5.17\% | 3.41\% | 1.76\% | 138\% | 143\% | (5\%) |
| 558 | Lubbock Emergency Communication District | 9.69\% | 9.40\% | 0.29\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |
| 647 | Lubbock Reese Redevelopment Authority | 2.25\% | 1.84\% | 0.41\% | 116\% | 123\% | (7\%) |
| 639 | Lumberton Municipal Utility District | 6.73\% | 6.58\% | 0.15\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 252 | Lynn County | 2.10\% | 1.78\% | 0.32\% | 112\% | 115\% | (3\%) |
| 497 | Lynn County Appraisal District | 7.32\% | 6.93\% | 0.39\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 442 | Lynn County Hospital District | 5.41\% | 5.26\% | 0.15\% | 100\% | 101\% | (1\%) |
| 630 | Macedonia - Eylau MUD - Bowie County | 7.40\% | 6.81\% | 0.59\% | 93\% | 92\% | 1\% |
| 500 | Mackenzie MWA - Briscoe County | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 111\% | 111\% | 0\% |
| 256 | Madison County | 5.81\% | 5.85\% | (0.04\%) | 84\% | 84\% | 0\% |
| 596 | Madison County Appraisal District | 8.34\% | 7.79\% | 0.55\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 257 | Marion County | 9.76\% | 9.56\% | 0.20\% | 92\% | 93\% | (1\%) |
| 675 | Marion County Appraisal District | 1.65\% | 1.82\% | (0.17\%) | 148\% | 153\% | (5\%) |
| 658 | Marshall-Harrison County Health District | 1.77\% | 2.28\% | (0.51\%) | 137\% | 148\% | (11\%) |
| 258 | Martin County | 12.73\% | 11.99\% | 0.74\% | 79\% | 83\% | (4\%) |
| 595 | Martin County Appraisal District | 11.16\% | 10.80\% | 0.36\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 259 | Mason County | 9.60\% | 9.26\% | 0.34\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 260 | Matagorda County | 13.78\% | 13.18\% | 0.60\% | 79\% | 80\% | (1\%) |
| 678 | Matagorda County Drainage District | 11.21\% | 11.94\% | (0.73\%) | 81\% | 78\% | 3\% |
| 440 | Matagorda County Hospital District | 4.98\% | 4.54\% | 0.44\% | 99\% | 101\% | (2\%) |
| 677 | Matagorda County Navigation District \#1 | 7.49\% | 8.32\% | (0.83\%) | 120\% | 121\% | (1\%) |
| 261 | Maverick County | 9.35\% | 9.16\% | 0.19\% | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 729 | Maverick County Hospital District | 8.16\% | 9.48\% | (1.32\%) | 123\% | 115\% | 8\% |
| 453 | Maverick County WCID \#1 | 2.87\% | 3.12\% | (0.25\%) | 98\% | 97\% | 1\% |
| 253 | McCulloch County | 4.90\% | 4.48\% | 0.42\% | 106\% | 110\% | (4\%) |
| 512 | McCulloch County Appraisal District | 10.39\% | 9.23\% | 1.16\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 254 | McLennan County | 16.30\% | 15.62\% | 0.68\% | 77\% | 78\% | (1\%) |
| 725 | McLennan County 9-1-1 Emerg. Assist. Dist. | 12.35\% | 12.36\% | (0.01\%) | 99\% | 99\% | 0\% |
| 491 | McLennan County Appraisal District | 12.15\% | 11.41\% | 0.74\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 679 | McLennan County WCID \#2 | 2.03\% | 1.60\% | 0.43\% | 139\% | 135\% | 4\% |
| 255 | McMullen County | 9.14\% | 10.01\% | (0.87\%) | 78\% | 78\% | 0\% |
| 262 | Medina County | 7.51\% | 7.12\% | 0.39\% | 90\% | 93\% | (3\%) |
| 705 | Medina County 911 District | 6.92\% | 6.74\% | 0.18\% | 99\% | 100\% | (1\%) |
| 535 | Medina County Appraisal District | 7.25\% | 7.32\% | (0.07\%) | 92\% | 91\% | 1\% |
| 419 | Memorial Medical Center - Calhoun County | 7.77\% | 7.46\% | 0.31\% | 93\% | 93\% | 0\% |
| 263 | Menard County | 8.75\% | 8.52\% | 0.23\% | 91\% | 91\% | 0\% |
| 743 | Mesa Underground WCD | 5.99\% | 5.99\% | 0.00\% | 93\% | 91\% | 2\% |
| 669 | Middle Rio Grande Development Council | 17.74\% | 15.79\% | 1.95\% | 81\% | 79\% | 2\% |
| 492 | Midland Central Appraisal District | 13.21\% | 12.07\% | 1.14\% | 92\% | 93\% | (1\%) |
| 264 | Midland County | 10.94\% | 10.55\% | 0.39\% | 82\% | 84\% | (2\%) |
| 570 | Midland Emergency Communication District | 13.75\% | 13.36\% | 0.39\% | 87\% | 86\% | 1\% |
| 265 | Milam County | 9.03\% | 8.67\% | 0.36\% | 84\% | 84\% | 0\% |
| 617 | Mills Central Appraisal District | 4.21\% | 3.62\% | 0.59\% | 104\% | 111\% | (7\%) |
| 266 | Mills County | 11.22\% | 11.04\% | 0.18\% | 78\% | 79\% | (1\%) |
| 267 | Mitchell County | 10.75\% | 10.42\% | 0.33\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 484 | Mitchell County Appraisal District | 14.28\% | 18.25\% | (3.97\%) | 78\% | 81\% | (3\%) |
| 268 | Montague County | 14.73\% | 14.33\% | 0.40\% | 77\% | 79\% | (2\%) |
| 504 | Montague County Tax Appraisal District | 14.76\% | 14.16\% | 0.60\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 605 | Montgomery Central Appraisal District | 14.99\% | 14.75\% | 0.24\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 269 | Montgomery County | 11.22\% | 10.87\% | 0.35\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 667 | Montgomery County ECD | 14.90\% | 14.95\% | (0.05\%) | 83\% | 81\% | 2\% |
| 696 | Montgomery County ESD No 3 | 4.53\% | 4.51\% | 0.02\% | 90\% | 88\% | 2\% |
| 651 | Montgomery County ESD \#1 | 12.08\% | 12.62\% | (0.54\%) | 96\% | 94\% | 2\% |
| 800 | Montgomery County ESD \#8 | 4.26\% | 4.05\% | 0.21\% | 81\% | N/A | N/A |
| 763 | Montgomery County Hospital District | 6.55\% | 6.52\% | 0.03\% | 76\% | 68\% | 8\% |
| 804 | Montgomery County Housing Authority | 13.47\% | 12.84\% | 0.63\% | 91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 270 | Moore County | 11.46\% | 11.15\% | 0.31\% | 81\% | 82\% | (1\%) |
| 733 | Moore County Appraisal District | 5.78\% | 6.65\% | (0.87\%) | 107\% | 98\% | 9\% |
| 412 | Moore County Hospital District | 5.16\% | 5.06\% | 0.10\% | 105\% | 106\% | (1\%) |
| 271 | Morris County | 11.80\% | 11.94\% | (0.14\%) | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 738 | Mustang Special Utility District | 3.01\% | 2.86\% | 0.15\% | 95\% | 98\% | (3\%) |
| 273 | Nacogdoches County | 9.87\% | 9.16\% | 0.71\% | 86\% | 88\% | (2\%) |
| 515 | Navarro Central Appraisal District | 12.69\% | 11.66\% | 1.03\% | 81\% | 83\% | (2\%) |
| 274 | Navarro County | 10.16\% | 9.67\% | 0.49\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 572 | Newton Central Appraisal District | 15.09\% | 14.05\% | 1.04\% | 84\% | 86\% | (2\%) |
| 275 | Newton County | 8.10\% | 7.68\% | 0.42\% | 91\% | 92\% | (1\%) |
| 276 | Nolan County | 10.22\% | 9.75\% | 0.47\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 556 | North Central Texas Municipal Water Authority | 8.41\% | 8.09\% | 0.32\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 646 | North Texas Tollway Authority | 8.29\% | 9.10\% | (0.81\%) | 101\% | 95\% | 6\% |
| 562 | Northeast Texas Municipal Water District | 4.16\% | 4.24\% | (0.08\%) | 102\% | 102\% | 0\% |
| 632 | Northeast Texas Public Health District | 4.27\% | 4.19\% | 0.08\% | 108\% | 109\% | (1\%) |
| 277 | Nueces County | 11.68\% | 10.78\% | 0.90\% | 86\% | 88\% | (2\%) |
| 683 | Nueces County Appraisal District | 11.74\% | 11.74\% | 0.00\% | 85\% | 82\% | 3\% |
| 400 | Nueces County Drainage District \#2 | 3.03\% | 2.51\% | 0.52\% | 111\% | 111\% | 0\% |
| 791 | Nueces County ESD \#2 | 10.23\% | 10.52\% | (0.29\%) | 66\% | N/A | N/A |
| 416 | Nueces County WCID \#3 | 7.49\% | 7.43\% | 0.06\% | 89\% | 89\% | 0\% |
| 450 | Nueces County WCID \#4 | 14.30\% | 15.00\% | (0.70\%) | 86\% | 85\% | 1\% |
| 278 | Ochiltree County | 12.04\% | 11.62\% | 0.42\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 279 | Oldham County | 14.37\% | 13.73\% | 0.64\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |
| 517 | Oldham County Appraisal District | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 110\% | 111\% | (1\%) |
| 280 | Orange County | 13.09\% | 12.44\% | 0.65\% | 82\% | 83\% | (1\%) |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 490 | Orange County Appraisal District | 11.71\% | 10.46\% | 1.25\% | 90\% | 92\% | (2\%) |
| 421 | Orange County Drainage District | 12.36\% | 11.92\% | 0.44\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 665 | Orange County ESD \# 1 | 3.27\% | 3.12\% | 0.15\% | 98\% | 99\% | (1\%) |
| 660 | Orange County Navigation and Port District | 6.14\% | 6.14\% | 0.00\% | 92\% | 92\% | 0\% |
| 631 | Orange County WCID \#1 | 4.16\% | 3.85\% | 0.31\% | 101\% | 104\% | (3\%) |
| 730 | Palo Duro River Authority | 7.85\% | 7.81\% | 0.04\% | 97\% | 97\% | 0\% |
| 723 | Palo Pinto Appraisal District | 6.14\% | 6.23\% | (0.09\%) | 86\% | 82\% | 4\% |
| 281 | Palo Pinto County | 11.13\% | 10.93\% | 0.20\% | 82\% | 81\% | 1\% |
| 282 | Panola County | 13.89\% | 14.90\% | (1.01\%) | 87\% | 83\% | 4\% |
| 283 | Parker County | 10.55\% | 9.94\% | 0.61\% | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 717 | Parker County Appraisal District | 10.58\% | 10.25\% | 0.33\% | 73\% | 69\% | 4\% |
| 754 | Parker County Special Utility District | 3.56\% | 3.64\% | (0.08\%) | 103\% | 102\% | 1\% |
| 284 | Parmer County | 8.50\% | 8.65\% | (0.15\%) | 83\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 747 | Parmer County Appraisal District | 4.18\% | 4.83\% | (0.65\%) | 110\% | 98\% | 12\% |
| 765 | Pecan Valley GCD | 6.41\% | 7.67\% | (1.26\%) | 118\% | 76\% | 42\% |
| 285 | Pecos County | 9.18\% | 8.89\% | 0.29\% | 85\% | 88\% | (3\%) |
| 494 | Pecos County Appraisal District | 9.39\% | 8.23\% | 1.16\% | 93\% | 96\% | (3\%) |
| 774 | Pecos County WCID | 6.21\% | 6.09\% | 0.12\% | 88\% | 87\% | 1\% |
| 796 | Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission | 3.76\% | 3.72\% | 0.04\% | 116\% | N/A | N/A |
| 673 | Permian Regional Medical Center | 8.28\% | 8.14\% | 0.14\% | 93\% | 92\% | 1\% |
| 707 | Pineywoods Groundwater Conservation District | 11.48\% | 11.31\% | 0.17\% | 90\% | 89\% | 1\% |
| 697 | Polk Central Appraisal District | 11.67\% | 11.97\% | (0.30\%) | 93\% | 90\% | 3\% |
| 286 | Polk County | 11.70\% | 11.44\% | 0.26\% | 89\% | 89\% | 0\% |
| 739 | Polk County Fresh Water Supply District \#2 | 5.96\% | 6.35\% | (0.39\%) | 130\% | 133\% | (3\%) |
| 676 | Port of Bay City Authority | 10.08\% | 9.94\% | 0.14\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |
| 449 | Port of Beaumont Navigation District | 12.52\% | 12.11\% | 0.41\% | 86\% | 86\% | 0\% |
| 620 | Port of Corpus Christi Authority | 7.79\% | 7.57\% | 0.22\% | 83\% | 82\% | 1\% |
| 622 | Port of Port Arthur Navigation District | 1.62\% | 2.55\% | (0.93\%) | 114\% | 109\% | 5\% |
| 726 | Post Oak Savannah GCD | 5.15\% | 5.21\% | (0.06\%) | 100\% | 99\% | 1\% |
| 560 | Potter - Randall County ECD | 14.89\% | 14.23\% | 0.66\% | 86\% | 90\% | (4\%) |
| 287 | Potter County | 12.67\% | 12.10\% | 0.57\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 626 | Presidio Appraisal District | 2.90\% | 2.76\% | 0.14\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |
| 288 | Presidio County | 6.49\% | 6.10\% | 0.39\% | 100\% | 102\% | (2\%) |
| 289 | Rains County | 7.97\% | 7.93\% | 0.04\% | 95\% | 96\% | (1\%) |
| 537 | Rains County Appraisal District | 11.32\% | 10.49\% | 0.83\% | 93\% | 95\% | (2\%) |
| 785 | Rains County ESD \#1 | 10.98\% | 10.97\% | 0.01\% | 77\% | N/A | N/A |
| 290 | Randall County | 9.52\% | 9.17\% | 0.35\% | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 564 | Randall County Appraisal District | 13.23\% | 11.91\% | 1.32\% | 91\% | 90\% | 1\% |
| 406 | Rankin County Hospital District - Upton County | 6.70\% | 5.85\% | 0.85\% | 100\% | 105\% | (5\%) |
| 291 | Reagan County | 11.47\% | 11.36\% | 0.11\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 445 | Reagan Hospital District | 7.38\% | 7.54\% | (0.16\%) | 91\% | 89\% | 2\% |
| 292 | Real County | 13.59\% | 13.60\% | (0.01\%) | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 505 | Red Bluff WPCD - Reeves County | 12.08\% | 12.10\% | (0.02\%) | 76\% | 76\% | 0\% |
| 435 | Red River Authority | 3.08\% | 2.72\% | 0.36\% | 114\% | 116\% | (2\%) |
| 293 | Red River County | 8.80\% | 8.71\% | 0.09\% | 94\% | 93\% | 1\% |
| 294 | Reeves County | 6.43\% | 6.56\% | (0.13\%) | 104\% | 103\% | 1\% |
| 786 | Reeves County Appraisal District | 5.27\% | 5.27\% | 0.00\% | 89\% | N/A | N/A |
| 588 | Reeves County Hospital District | 5.81\% | 5.66\% | 0.15\% | 100\% | 101\% | (1\%) |
| 295 | Refugio County | 6.79\% | 6.72\% | 0.07\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 543 | Refugio County Drainage District \#1 | 7.57\% | 8.48\% | (0.91\%) | 85\% | 83\% | 2\% |
| 736 | Refugio Groundwater Conservation District | 0.15\% | 0.00\% | 0.15\% | 150\% | 155\% | (5\%) |
| 801 | Rio Grande Council of Governments | 6.88\% | 7.32\% | (0.44\%) | 39\% | N/A | N/A |
| 296 | Roberts County | 8.01\% | 7.44\% | 0.57\% | 91\% | 92\% | (1\%) |
| 297 | Robertson County | 7.60\% | 7.34\% | 0.26\% | 89\% | 90\% | (1\%) |
| 698 | Rockwall Central Appraisal District | 10.87\% | 11.20\% | (0.33\%) | 86\% | 83\% | 3\% |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 298 | Rockwall County | 6.63\% | 6.62\% | 0.01\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| 299 | Runnels County | 8.62\% | 8.36\% | 0.26\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 300 | Rusk County | 8.61\% | 8.34\% | 0.27\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 612 | Rusk County Appraisal District | 8.51\% | 8.33\% | 0.18\% | 98\% | 99\% | (1\%) |
| 301 | Sabine County | 5.21\% | 4.78\% | 0.43\% | 106\% | 109\% | (3\%) |
| 568 | Sabine Pass Port Authority | 7.38\% | 7.20\% | 0.18\% | 86\% | 86\% | 0\% |
| 706 | Sabine-Neches Nav. Dist. of Jefferson County | 9.72\% | 9.52\% | 0.20\% | 87\% | 84\% | 3\% |
| 302 | San Augustine County | 5.58\% | 5.21\% | 0.37\% | 98\% | 102\% | (4\%) |
| 303 | San Jacinto County | 9.56\% | 9.24\% | 0.32\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 553 | San Jacinto County Central Appraisal District | 6.34\% | 6.05\% | 0.29\% | 106\% | 110\% | (4\%) |
| 304 | San Patricio County | 10.23\% | 9.69\% | 0.54\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 495 | San Patricio County Appraisal District | 7.75\% | 9.57\% | (1.82\%) | 96\% | 93\% | 3\% |
| 426 | San Patricio County Drainage District | 23.41\% | 21.30\% | 2.11\% | 64\% | 69\% | (5\%) |
| 750 | San Patricio County Navigation District \#1 | 11.95\% | 12.12\% | (0.17\%) | 71\% | 60\% | 11\% |
| 422 | San Patricio Municipal Water District | 8.58\% | 8.50\% | 0.08\% | 85\% | 85\% | 0\% |
| 305 | San Saba County | 9.24\% | 8.70\% | 0.54\% | 90\% | 92\% | (2\%) |
| 766 | Santo Special Utility District | 14.01\% | 14.07\% | (0.06\%) | 73\% | 64\% | 9\% |
| 306 | Schleicher County | 11.89\% | 11.17\% | 0.72\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 307 | Scurry County | 8.54\% | 7.81\% | 0.73\% | 95\% | 97\% | (2\%) |
| 760 | Scurry County Hospital District | 7.04\% | 7.50\% | (0.46\%) | 92\% | 80\% | 12\% |
| 308 | Shackelford County | 16.55\% | 15.39\% | 1.16\% | 81\% | 82\% | (1\%) |
| 470 | Shackelford County Appraisal District | 7.63\% | 6.53\% | 1.10\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |
| 309 | Shelby County | 8.43\% | 8.06\% | 0.37\% | 85\% | 87\% | (2\%) |
| 627 | Shelby County Appraisal District | 3.46\% | 3.20\% | 0.26\% | 100\% | 101\% | (1\%) |
| 310 | Sherman County | 15.59\% | 15.46\% | 0.13\% | 76\% | 76\% | 0\% |
| 469 | Sherman County Appraisal District | 7.37\% | 6.80\% | 0.57\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |
| 311 | Smith County | 6.56\% | 6.12\% | 0.44\% | 84\% | 86\% | (2\%) |
| 555 | Smith County 9-1-1 Communications District | 5.33\% | 4.27\% | 1.06\% | 105\% | 107\% | (2\%) |
| 606 | Smith County Appraisal District | 5.97\% | 7.08\% | (1.11\%) | 105\% | 102\% | 3\% |
| 312 | Somervell County | 14.27\% | 13.50\% | 0.77\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 507 | Somervell County Central Appraisal District | 7.18\% | 7.01\% | 0.17\% | 103\% | 104\% | (1\%) |
| 699 | Somervell County Water District | 12.86\% | 12.75\% | 0.11\% | 94\% | 92\% | 2\% |
| 795 | South Plains Association of Governments | 7.69\% | 8.10\% | (0.41\%) | 37\% | N/A | N/A |
| 645 | South Texas Development Council | 11.04\% | 10.68\% | 0.36\% | 79\% | 76\% | 3\% |
| 768 | Southeast Texas GCD | 13.02\% | 12.96\% | 0.06\% | 92\% | 89\% | 3\% |
| 313 | Starr County | 8.19\% | 7.78\% | 0.41\% | 79\% | 79\% | 0\% |
| 536 | Starr County Appraisal District | 12.04\% | 11.84\% | 0.20\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 314 | Stephens County | 9.22\% | 9.25\% | (0.03\%) | 91\% | 90\% | 1\% |
| 315 | Sterling County | 8.26\% | 8.87\% | (0.61\%) | 106\% | 102\% | 4\% |
| 316 | Stonewall County | 9.45\% | 9.47\% | (0.02\%) | 86\% | 89\% | (3\%) |
| 724 | Stonewall County Appraisal District | 6.90\% | 7.20\% | (0.30\%) | 100\% | 97\% | 3\% |
| 458 | Stonewall Memorial Hospital District | 2.31\% | 2.08\% | 0.23\% | 97\% | 100\% | (3\%) |
| 539 | Stratford Hospital District - Sherman County | 3.44\% | 3.51\% | (0.07\%) | 112\% | 111\% | 1\% |
| 317 | Sutton County | 6.20\% | 5.76\% | 0.44\% | 99\% | 101\% | (2\%) |
| 573 | Sutton County Hospital District | 7.55\% | 7.28\% | 0.27\% | 94\% | 96\% | (2\%) |
| 318 | Swisher County | 10.98\% | 10.06\% | 0.92\% | 80\% | 84\% | (4\%) |
| 460 | Swisher County Appraisal District | 16.66\% | 14.49\% | 2.17\% | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 607 | Tarrant Appraisal District | 10.75\% | 10.90\% | (0.15\%) | 86\% | 85\% | 1\% |
| 545 | Tarrant Co 9-1-1 Emergency Assistance District | 7.93\% | 7.82\% | 0.11\% | 101\% | 100\% | 1\% |
| 319 | Tarrant County | 13.84\% | 12.99\% | 0.85\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 574 | Tax Appraisal District of Cottle County | 6.27\% | 5.88\% | 0.39\% | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% |
| 320 | Taylor County | 9.52\% | 8.95\% | 0.57\% | 79\% | 81\% | (2\%) |
| 321 | Terrell County | 15.30\% | 15.50\% | (0.20\%) | 79\% | 78\% | 1\% |
| 753 | Terrell County WCID \#1 | 3.79\% | 4.27\% | (0.48\%) | 121\% | 118\% | 3\% |
| 322 | Terry County | 9.03\% | 9.08\% | (0.05\%) | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |


| Employer | Employer | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 402 | Terry Memorial Hospital District | 4.35\% | 4.07\% | 0.28\% | 105\% | 107\% | (2\%) |
| 437 | Texas Association of Counties | 3.66\% | 3.51\% | 0.15\% | 125\% | 126\% | (1\%) |
| 354 | Texas County \& District Retirement System | 10.48\% | 10.28\% | 0.20\% | 86\% | 86\% | 0\% |
| 634 | Texas Eastern 9-1-1 Network | 8.01\% | 7.89\% | 0.12\% | 99\% | 100\% | (1\%) |
| 772 | The Housing Authority of the City of Abilene | 8.11\% | 8.42\% | (0.31\%) | 89\% | 76\% | 13\% |
| 777 | The Housing Authority of the City of Huntington | 2.93\% | 3.01\% | (0.08\%) | 86\% | 73\% | 13\% |
| 323 | Throckmorton County | 10.50\% | 10.01\% | 0.49\% | 82\% | 84\% | (2\%) |
| 324 | Titus County | 10.50\% | 9.99\% | 0.51\% | 91\% | 92\% | (1\%) |
| 742 | Titus County Appraisal District | 4.47\% | 5.14\% | (0.67\%) | 97\% | 87\% | 10\% |
| 501 | Titus County Fresh Water Supply District | 12.03\% | 10.70\% | 1.33\% | 89\% | 92\% | (3\%) |
| 325 | Tom Green County | 8.20\% | 7.90\% | 0.30\% | 86\% | 86\% | 0\% |
| 601 | Travis Central Appraisal District | 16.04\% | 15.68\% | 0.36\% | 77\% | 77\% | 0\% |
| 326 | Travis County | 12.89\% | 12.27\% | 0.62\% | 84\% | 85\% | (1\%) |
| 720 | Travis County ESD \#1 NLT Fire \& Rescue | 4.22\% | 4.44\% | (0.22\%) | 101\% | 105\% | (4\%) |
| 666 | Travis County WCID - Point Venture | 3.69\% | 3.54\% | 0.15\% | 99\% | 100\% | (1\%) |
| 659 | Tri-County Special Utility District | 4.40\% | 4.21\% | 0.19\% | 96\% | 97\% | (1\%) |
| 633 | Trinity Bay Conservation District | 13.36\% | 13.62\% | (0.26\%) | 74\% | 75\% | (1\%) |
| 327 | Trinity County | 10.20\% | 9.74\% | 0.46\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 735 | Two Way Special Utility District | 10.42\% | 10.67\% | (0.25\%) | 92\% | 91\% | 1\% |
| 328 | Tyler County | 10.59\% | 9.96\% | 0.63\% | 86\% | 88\% | (2\%) |
| 471 | Tyler County Appraisal District | 9.69\% | 8.80\% | 0.89\% | 99\% | 102\% | (3\%) |
| 561 | United Irrigation District - Hidalgo County | 6.47\% | 5.94\% | 0.53\% | 97\% | 99\% | (2\%) |
| 834 | Upper Brushy Creek WCID | 7.44\% | 7.25\% | 0.19\% | 192\% | N/A | N/A |
| 329 | Upshur County | 10.70\% | 10.18\% | 0.52\% | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 330 | Upton County | 5.90\% | 5.82\% | 0.08\% | 94\% | 93\% | 1\% |
| 682 | Upton County Appraisal District | 7.77\% | 8.12\% | (0.35\%) | 97\% | 96\% | 1\% |
| 331 | Uvalde County | 9.48\% | 9.13\% | 0.35\% | 87\% | 89\% | (2\%) |
| 332 | Val Verde County | 11.07\% | 10.82\% | 0.25\% | 86\% | 87\% | (1\%) |
| 663 | Valley MUD \#2 - Cameron County | 5.53\% | 5.57\% | (0.04\%) | 84\% | 92\% | (8\%) |
| 586 | Valwood Improvement Authority - Dallas County | 7.42\% | 7.09\% | 0.33\% | 88\% | 88\% | 0\% |
| 333 | Van Zandt County | 8.01\% | 7.82\% | 0.19\% | 89\% | 90\% | (1\%) |
| 672 | Van Zandt County Appraisal District | 8.55\% | 8.60\% | (0.05\%) | 93\% | 91\% | 2\% |
| 420 | Velasco Drainage District - Brazoria County | 9.30\% | 8.15\% | 1.15\% | 85\% | 88\% | (3\%) |
| 334 | Victoria County | 10.13\% | 9.63\% | 0.50\% | 83\% | 85\% | (2\%) |
| 423 | Victoria County Drainage District \#3 | 13.36\% | 14.19\% | (0.83\%) | 92\% | 92\% | 0\% |
| 767 | Victoria County GCD | 5.34\% | 5.81\% | (0.47\%) | 106\% | 78\% | 28\% |
| 335 | Walker County | 11.81\% | 11.33\% | 0.48\% | 80\% | 80\% | 0\% |
| 748 | Walker County Special Utility District | 4.96\% | 11.56\% | (6.60\%) | 94\% | 87\% | 7\% |
| 336 | Waller County | 8.12\% | 7.82\% | 0.30\% | 88\% | 89\% | (1\%) |
| 773 | Waller County Appraisal District | 9.79\% | 10.32\% | (0.53\%) | 84\% | 64\% | 20\% |
| 337 | Ward County | 14.17\% | 14.04\% | 0.13\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 565 | Ward County Central Appraisal District | 7.56\% | 7.00\% | 0.56\% | 94\% | 95\% | (1\%) |
| 444 | Ward Memorial Hospital | 8.51\% | 7.95\% | 0.56\% | 94\% | 96\% | (2\%) |
| 338 | Washington County | 11.74\% | 11.44\% | 0.30\% | 81\% | 81\% | 0\% |
| 339 | Webb County | 10.32\% | 10.00\% | 0.32\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 604 | Webb County Appraisal District | 6.19\% | 6.01\% | 0.18\% | 92\% | 92\% | 0\% |
| 443 | West Central Texas Council of Governments | 12.40\% | 11.10\% | 1.30\% | 87\% | 89\% | (2\%) |
| 410 | West Central Texas Municipal Water District | 9.13\% | 8.89\% | 0.24\% | 83\% | 87\% | (4\%) |
| 454 | West Jefferson County Municipal Water District | 13.36\% | 12.35\% | 1.01\% | 87\% | 88\% | (1\%) |
| 688 | West Nueces -Las Moras Soil and WCD \#236 | 2.89\% | 1.73\% | 1.16\% | 106\% | 108\% | (2\%) |
| 340 | Wharton County | 12.42\% | 11.75\% | 0.67\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 621 | Wharton County WCID \#1 | 5.36\% | 6.04\% | (0.68\%) | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% |
| 341 | Wheeler County | 8.85\% | 8.69\% | 0.16\% | 90\% | 91\% | (1\%) |
| 476 | Wheeler County Appraisal District | 11.80\% | 10.60\% | 1.20\% | 83\% | 86\% | (3\%) |
| 427 | White River MWD - Dickens County | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 132\% | 120\% | 12\% |


| Employer Number | Employer <br> Name | Required Contribution Rate |  |  | Funded Ratio at December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 | 2012 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| 740 | Wichita Appraisal District | 7.95\% | 8.32\% | (0.37\%) | 99\% | 95\% | 4\% |
| 342 | Wichita County | 12.20\% | 11.82\% | 0.38\% | 77\% | 78\% | (1\%) |
| 446 | Wichita County Water Improvement District \#2 | 13.18\% | 12.47\% | 0.71\% | 82\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 559 | Wichita-Wilbarger 9-1-1 District | 12.00\% | 11.95\% | 0.05\% | 92\% | 91\% | 1\% |
| 655 | Wickson Creek SUD - Brazos County | 7.61\% | 7.84\% | (0.23\%) | 96\% | 95\% | 1\% |
| 343 | Wilbarger County | 11.82\% | 11.23\% | 0.59\% | 80\% | 81\% | (1\%) |
| 715 | Wilbarger County Appraisal District | 5.04\% | 8.21\% | (3.17\%) | 156\% | 109\% | 47\% |
| 530 | Wilbarger County Hospital District | 2.11\% | 1.95\% | 0.16\% | 107\% | 110\% | (3\%) |
| 344 | Willacy County | 8.46\% | 8.36\% | 0.10\% | 93\% | 94\% | (1\%) |
| 575 | Willacy County Appraisal District | 16.11\% | 15.93\% | 0.18\% | 80\% | 80\% | 0\% |
| 652 | Willacy County Housing Authority | 0.95\% | 0.29\% | 0.66\% | 195\% | 219\% | (24\%) |
| 608 | Williamson Central Appraisal District | 16.12\% | 15.71\% | 0.41\% | 81\% | 81\% | 0\% |
| 345 | Williamson County | 12.26\% | 11.96\% | 0.30\% | 85\% | 85\% | 0\% |
| 798 | Williamson County ESD \#3 | 3.84\% | 3.97\% | (0.13\%) | 89\% | N/A | N/A |
| 346 | Wilson County | 8.73\% | 8.59\% | 0.14\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 479 | Wilson County Appraisal District | 9.81\% | 9.14\% | 0.67\% | 90\% | 93\% | (3\%) |
| 347 | Winkler County | 11.41\% | 11.43\% | (0.02\%) | 88\% | 87\% | 1\% |
| 533 | Winkler County Appraisal District | 10.77\% | 10.81\% | (0.04\%) | 93\% | 93\% | 0\% |
| 348 | Wise County | 10.40\% | 9.98\% | 0.42\% | 85\% | 85\% | 0\% |
| 493 | Wise County Appraisal District | 6.60\% | 6.71\% | (0.11\%) | 104\% | 104\% | 0\% |
| 349 | Wood County | 10.95\% | 10.61\% | 0.34\% | 83\% | 84\% | (1\%) |
| 700 | Wood County Appraisal District | 9.11\% | 8.85\% | 0.26\% | 88\% | 87\% | 1\% |
| 350 | Yoakum County | 9.53\% | 9.33\% | 0.20\% | 79\% | 78\% | 1\% |
| 776 | Yoakum County Appraisal District | 9.13\% | 9.08\% | 0.05\% | 89\% | 84\% | 5\% |
| 351 | Young County | 9.35\% | 9.05\% | 0.30\% | 87\% | 87\% | 0\% |
| 352 | Zapata County | 9.19\% | 8.75\% | 0.44\% | 95\% | 96\% | (1\%) |
| 649 | Zapata County Appraisal District | 8.70\% | 8.41\% | 0.29\% | 82\% | 81\% | 1\% |
| 353 | Zavala County | 9.26\% | 8.67\% | 0.59\% | 97\% | 99\% | (2\%) |
| 566 | Zavala County Appraisal District | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 133\% | 137\% | (4\%) |

## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011

## Appendix B Employers with a Significant Rate Change

The sources of increase/decrease listed on the following pages are defined in Section 2 of the report.

# Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation 

December 31, 2011
Variable-Rate Plans with an Increase of 2.00\% or More

## Counties

| Employer <br> Number <br> Employer Name$\quad$Rate <br> Increase$\quad$ Sources of Increase |
| :--- |

None

# Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation 

December 31, 2011

## Variable-Rate Plans with a Decrease of $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 \%}$ or More

## Counties

| Employer <br> Number | Employer Name |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Rate <br> Decrease |  | Sources of Decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011

## Variable-Rate Plans with an Increase of 2.00\% or More

Districts

| Employer Number | Employer Name | Rate Increase | Sources of Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 459 | Aransas County Appraisal District | 3.09\% | Investment Return <br> Payroll Variation <br> Actual vs. Expected Retirement |
| 616 | Bistone Municipal WSD - Limestone County | 2.37\% | Investment Return Payroll Variation |
| 648 | Central WCID - Angelina County | 4.04\% | Payroll Variation |
| 426 | San Patricio County Drainage District | 2.11\% | Investment Return |
| 460 | Swisher County Appraisal District | 2.17\% | Investment Return Payroll Variation |

## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011

## Variable-Rate Plans with a Decrease of 2.00\% or More

Districts

| Employer Number | Employer Name | Rate Decrease | Sources of Decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 716 | Bexar Metro 9-1-1 Network District | -2.35\% | Employer Lump Sum Contribution |
| 755 | King County Appraisal District | -4.80\% | Actual vs. Expected Termination |
| 579 | Kinney County Appraisal District | -4.09\% | Payroll Variation |
| 484 | Mitchell County Appraisal District | -3.97\% | Payroll Variation |
| 748 | Walker County Special Utility District | -6.60\% | Employer Lump Sum Contribution Payroll Variation |
| 715 | Wilbarger County Appraisal District | -3.17\% | Actual vs. Expected Termination |

## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011

Appendix C Group Term Life Fund Contribution Rates for 2013

|  |  | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employer <br> Number | Employer Name | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 638 | Acton Municipal Utility District | 0.26\% | 0.29\% |
| 789 | Agua Special Utility District | 0.16\% | 0.16\% |
| 615 | Alamo Area Council of Governments | 0.23\% | 0.26\% |
| 721 | Alamo Regional Mobility Authority | 0.29\% | 0.30\% |
| 100 | Anderson County | 0.25\% | 0.42\% |
| 691 | Anderson County Central Appraisal District | 0.46\% | 0.46\% |
| 101 | Andrews County | 0.24\% | 0.54\% |
| 684 | Angelina \& Nacogdoches Counties WC \& ID \#1 | 0.34\% | 0.34\% |
| 102 | Angelina County | 0.23\% | 0.42\% |
| 502 | Angelina County Appraisal District | 0.25\% | 0.38\% |
| 576 | Angleton Drainage District | 0.36\% | 0.38\% |
| 614 | Aquilla Water Supply District - Hill County | 1.06\% | 1.60\% |
| 103 | Aransas County | 0.25\% | 0.35\% |
| 459 | Aransas County Appraisal District | 0.23\% | 0.29\% |
| 668 | Aransas County Navigation District \#1 | 0.26\% | 0.26\% |
| 104 | Archer County | 0.31\% | 0.55\% |
| 503 | Archer County Appraisal District | 0.07\% | 0.66\% |
| 105 | Armstrong County | 0.42\% | 0.70\% |
| 106 | Atascosa County | 0.23\% | 0.35\% |
| 551 | Atascosa County Appraisal District | 0.14\% | 0.24\% |
| 107 | Austin County | 0.24\% | 0.43\% |
| 461 | Austin County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.24\% |
| 597 | Bacliff Municipal Utility District | 0.22\% | 0.23\% |
| 108 | Bailey County | 0.35\% | 0.68\% |
| 109 | Bandera County | 0.31\% | 0.44\% |
| 110 | Bastrop County | 0.20\% | 0.28\% |
| 812 | Bastrop County Emergency Services District \#1 | 0.09\% | 0.09\% |
| 111 | Baylor County | 0.50\% | 0.99\% |
| 685 | Baylor County Appraisal District | 0.45\% | 0.53\% |
| 613 | Bayview Irrigation District \#11 | 0.24\% | 1.62\% |
| 690 | Bayview Municipal Utility District | 0.22\% | 0.22\% |
| 112 | Bee County | 0.26\% | 0.36\% |
| 113 | Bell County | 0.21\% | 0.32\% |
| 506 | Bell County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.33\% |
| 418 | Bell County WCID \#1 | 0.23\% | 0.33\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 708 | Benbrook Water Authority | 0.24\% | 0.26\% |
| 472 | Bexar Appraisal District | 0.21\% | 0.29\% |
| 114 | Bexar County | 0.19\% | 0.26\% |
| 828 | Bexar County Emergency Services District 7 | 0.07\% | 0.07\% |
| 544 | Bexar County WCID \#10 | 0.31\% | 0.47\% |
| 716 | Bexar Metro 9-1-1 Network District | 0.62\% | 0.62\% |
| 737 | Bexar-Medina-Atascosa WCID \#1 | 0.20\% | 0.23\% |
| 616 | Bistone Municipal WSD - Limestone County | 0.25\% | 0.33\% |
| 115 | Blanco County | 0.57\% | 0.82\% |
| 116 | Borden County | 0.48\% | 0.81\% |
| 525 | Borden County Appraisal District | 0.06\% | 0.44\% |
| 117 | Bosque County | 0.42\% | 0.60\% |
| 521 | Bosque County Central Appraisal District | 0.49\% | 0.52\% |
| 118 | Bowie County | 0.37\% | 0.55\% |
| 119 | Brazoria County | 0.21\% | 0.32\% |
| 508 | Brazoria County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.31\% |
| 413 | Brazoria County Cons. and Rec. District \#3 | 0.19\% | 0.37\% |
| 424 | Brazoria County Drainage District \#4 | 0.22\% | 0.30\% |
| 681 | Brazoria County Drainage District \#5 | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| 120 | Brazos County | 0.23\% | 0.30\% |
| 689 | Brazos County Appraisal District | 0.23\% | 0.24\% |
| 600 | Brazos County ECD | 0.08\% | 0.08\% |
| 744 | Brazos River Authority | 0.32\% | 0.33\% |
| 806 | Brazos Valley Council of Governments | 0.24\% | 0.24\% |
| 121 | Brewster County | 0.35\% | 0.54\% |
| 581 | Brewster County Appraisal District | 0.10\% | 0.13\% |
| 745 | Bright Star-Salem Special Utility District | 0.08\% | 0.08\% |
| 122 | Briscoe County | 0.34\% | 1.00\% |
| 123 | Brooks County | 0.38\% | 0.71\% |
| 554 | Brookshire - Katy Drainage District | 0.91\% | 0.91\% |
| 522 | Brookshire Municipal Water District | 0.13\% | 0.16\% |
| 124 | Brown County | 0.27\% | 0.40\% |
| 702 | Brownsville Irrigation District | 0.31\% | 0.36\% |
| 642 | Brushy Creek MUD - Williamson County | 0.19\% | 0.21\% |
| 125 | Burleson County | 0.38\% | 0.68\% |
| 609 | Burnet Central Appraisal District | 0.23\% | 0.31\% |
| 126 | Burnet County | 0.31\% | 0.47\% |
| 127 | Caldwell County | 0.22\% | 0.39\% |
| 718 | Caldwell County Appraisal District | 0.13\% | 0.15\% |
| 128 | Calhoun County | 0.36\% | 0.49\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 709 | Calhoun County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.18\% |
| 788 | Calhoun County E911 ECD | 0.07\% | 0.07\% |
| 129 | Callahan County | 0.52\% | 0.97\% |
| 542 | Callahan County Appraisal District | 0.32\% | 0.42\% |
| 130 | Cameron County | 0.17\% | 0.25\% |
| 618 | Cameron County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.23\% |
| 692 | Cameron County Drainage District \#1 | 0.37\% | 0.37\% |
| 664 | Cameron County Drainage District \#3 | 0.57\% | 0.59\% |
| 686 | Cameron County Drainage District \#5 | 0.21\% | 0.21\% |
| 462 | Cameron County Irrigation District \#2 | 0.14\% | 0.64\% |
| 590 | Cameron County Irrigation District \#6 | 0.40\% | 1.29\% |
| 670 | Camp Central Appraisal District | 0.15\% | 0.15\% |
| 131 | Camp County | 0.47\% | 0.92\% |
| 132 | Carson County | 0.39\% | 0.78\% |
| 133 | Cass County | 0.29\% | 0.58\% |
| 610 | Cass County Appraisal District | 0.15\% | 0.42\% |
| 134 | Castro County | 0.75\% | 1.19\% |
| 719 | Central Appraisal District of Bandera County | 0.22\% | 0.22\% |
| 635 | Central Appraisal District of Johnson County | 0.17\% | 0.22\% |
| 602 | Central Appraisal District of Taylor County | 0.28\% | 0.38\% |
| 712 | Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority | 0.24\% | 0.24\% |
| 648 | Central WCID - Angelina County | 0.15\% | 0.51\% |
| 135 | Chambers County | 0.27\% | 0.47\% |
| 531 | Chambers County Appraisal District | 0.11\% | 0.19\% |
| 548 | Chambers County Public Hospital District | 0.16\% | 0.18\% |
| 136 | Cherokee County | 0.31\% | 0.54\% |
| 137 | Childress County | 0.34\% | 0.46\% |
| 511 | Childress County Appraisal District | 0.11\% | 1.26\% |
| 582 | Childress County Hospital District | 0.13\% | 0.18\% |
| 138 | Clay County | 0.34\% | 0.80\% |
| 485 | Clay County Appraisal District | 0.87\% | 1.15\% |
| 703 | Coastal Bend GCD | 0.06\% | 0.06\% |
| 722 | Coastal Plains GCD | 0.10\% | 0.10\% |
| 139 | Cochran County | 0.45\% | 0.83\% |
| 477 | Cochran County Appraisal District | 0.08\% | 0.46\% |
| 140 | Coke County | 0.44\% | 0.90\% |
| 751 | Coke County Appraisal District | 0.29\% | 0.29\% |
| 141 | Coleman County | 0.31\% | 0.83\% |
| 142 | Collin County | 0.21\% | 0.25\% |
| 457 | Collin County Central Appraisal District | 0.23\% | 0.28\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 143 | Collingsworth County | 0.34\% | 0.81\% |
| 144 | Colorado County | 0.30\% | 0.47\% |
| 623 | Comal Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.23\% |
| 145 | Comal County | 0.21\% | 0.31\% |
| 775 | Comal County ESD \#3 | 0.07\% | 0.07\% |
| 827 | Comal County ESD \#4 Spring Branch F\&R | 0.06\% | 0.06\% |
| 824 | Comal County ESD \#5 | 0.13\% | 0.13\% |
| 146 | Comanche County | 0.37\% | 0.55\% |
| 762 | Combined Consumers Special Utility District | 0.10\% | 0.10\% |
| 147 | Concho County | 0.31\% | 0.55\% |
| 636 | Concho County Hospital District | 0.23\% | 0.30\% |
| 759 | Concho Valley Council of Governments | 0.32\% | 0.33\% |
| 148 | Cooke County | 0.22\% | 0.32\% |
| 487 | Cooke County Appraisal District | 0.26\% | 0.65\% |
| 149 | Coryell County | 0.26\% | 0.48\% |
| 150 | Cottle County | 0.52\% | 0.87\% |
| 727 | Cow Creek Groundwater Conservation District | 0.10\% | 0.10\% |
| 151 | Crane County | 0.26\% | 0.72\% |
| 757 | Crane County Hospital District | 0.17\% | 0.17\% |
| 152 | Crockett County | 0.41\% | 0.60\% |
| 409 | Crockett County WCID \#1 | 0.53\% | 0.64\% |
| 153 | Crosby County | 0.30\% | 0.59\% |
| 532 | Crosby County Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.32\% |
| 603 | Crosby Municipal Utility District | 0.15\% | 0.16\% |
| 710 | Cross Roads Special Utility District | 0.26\% | 0.29\% |
| 154 | Culberson County | 0.21\% | 0.40\% |
| 155 | Dallam County | 0.25\% | 0.43\% |
| 771 | Dallam County Appraisal District | 0.19\% | 0.19\% |
| 467 | Dallas Central Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.26\% |
| 156 | Dallas County | 0.19\% | 0.29\% |
| 430 | Dallas County Park Cities MUD | 0.39\% | 0.43\% |
| 687 | Dallas County WCID \#6 | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| 157 | Dawson County | 0.28\% | 0.54\% |
| 463 | Dawson County Central Appraisal District | 0.12\% | 0.51\% |
| 158 | Deaf Smith County | 0.24\% | 0.45\% |
| 578 | Deaf Smith County Hospital District | 0.16\% | 0.24\% |
| 159 | Delta County | 0.40\% | 0.76\% |
| 734 | Delta County Municipal Utility District | 0.18\% | 0.18\% |
| 732 | Delta Lake Irrigation District | 0.34\% | 0.37\% |
| 583 | Denco Area 9-1-1 District - Denton County | 0.15\% | 0.16\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 482 | Denton Central Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.22\% |
| 160 | Denton County | 0.22\% | 0.27\% |
| 758 | Denton County Fresh WSD 1A | 0.13\% | 0.13\% |
| 161 | DeWitt County | 0.24\% | 0.55\% |
| 466 | DeWitt County Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.20\% |
| 162 | Dickens County | 0.49\% | 1.03\% |
| 764 | Dickens County Appraisal District | 0.41\% | 0.41\% |
| 163 | Dimmit County | 0.18\% | 0.27\% |
| 164 | Donley County | 0.56\% | 0.98\% |
| 165 | Duval County | 0.64\% | 0.87\% |
| 825 | East Medina County Special Utility District | 0.18\% | 0.18\% |
| 166 | Eastland County | 0.28\% | 0.52\% |
| 593 | Eastland County Appraisal District | 0.36\% | 0.39\% |
| 167 | Ector County | 0.24\% | 0.57\% |
| 580 | Ector County Hospital District | 0.15\% | 0.19\% |
| 448 | Edwards Aquifer Authority - Bexar County | 0.24\% | 0.24\% |
| 628 | Edwards Central Appraisal District | 0.21\% | 0.21\% |
| 168 | Edwards County | 0.57\% | 0.81\% |
| 819 | El Paso Central Appraisal District | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| 170 | El Paso County | 0.19\% | 0.25\% |
| 567 | El Paso County 9-1-1 District | 0.24\% | 0.27\% |
| 541 | El Paso County Hospital District | 0.15\% | 0.19\% |
| 169 | Ellis County | 0.23\% | 0.32\% |
| 624 | ECD of Ector County | 0.20\% | 0.25\% |
| 171 | Erath County | 0.30\% | 0.37\% |
| 172 | Falls County | 0.29\% | 0.52\% |
| 563 | Falls County Appraisal District | 0.38\% | 0.56\% |
| 173 | Fannin County | 0.26\% | 0.43\% |
| 644 | Fannin County Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.17\% |
| 174 | Fayette County | 0.22\% | 0.35\% |
| 175 | Fisher County | 0.91\% | 1.50\% |
| 432 | Fisher County Hospital District | 0.22\% | 0.33\% |
| 176 | Floyd County | 0.18\% | 0.55\% |
| 474 | Fort Bend Central Appraisal District | 0.24\% | 0.31\% |
| 178 | Fort Bend County | 0.21\% | 0.26\% |
| 782 | Fort Bend County WCID \#2 | 0.25\% | 0.25\% |
| 769 | Four Way Special Utility District | 0.12\% | 0.12\% |
| 179 | Franklin County | 0.48\% | 0.71\% |
| 180 | Freestone County | 0.39\% | 0.59\% |
| 693 | Freestone County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.22\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 181 | Frio County | 0.23\% | 0.44\% |
| 509 | Frio County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.26\% |
| 182 | Gaines County | 0.37\% | 0.65\% |
| 761 | Gaines County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.25\% |
| 546 | Galveston Central Appraisal District | 0.43\% | 0.55\% |
| 183 | Galveston County | 0.22\% | 0.35\% |
| 547 | Galveston County Consolidated Drainage District | 0.17\% | 0.31\% |
| 464 | Galveston County Drainage District \#1 | 0.23\% | 0.62\% |
| 433 | Galveston County Drainage District \#2 | 0.18\% | 0.46\% |
| 589 | Galveston County ECD | 0.30\% | 0.30\% |
| 821 | Galveston County Fresh WSD \#6 | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| 752 | Galveston County Health District | 0.22\% | 0.22\% |
| 407 | Galveston County WCID \#1 | 0.17\% | 0.29\% |
| 473 | Garza Central Appraisal District | 0.36\% | 0.77\% |
| 184 | Garza County | 0.36\% | 0.53\% |
| 185 | Gillespie County | 0.33\% | 0.48\% |
| 186 | Glasscock County | 0.29\% | 0.50\% |
| 187 | Goliad County | 0.20\% | 0.61\% |
| 188 | Gonzales County | 0.28\% | 0.51\% |
| 498 | Gonzales County Appraisal District | 0.27\% | 0.29\% |
| 189 | Gray County | 0.37\% | 0.77\% |
| 518 | Gray County Appraisal District | 0.08\% | 0.23\% |
| 475 | Grayson Central Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.35\% |
| 190 | Grayson County | 0.23\% | 0.39\% |
| 528 | Greater Harris County 9-1-1 Emergency Network | 0.16\% | 0.17\% |
| 429 | Greenbelt M\&IW Authority - Donley County | 0.23\% | 0.48\% |
| 191 | Gregg County | 0.23\% | 0.38\% |
| 192 | Grimes County | 0.36\% | 0.54\% |
| 483 | Grimes County Appraisal District | 0.28\% | 0.37\% |
| 587 | Guadalupe Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.20\% |
| 193 | Guadalupe County | 0.22\% | 0.31\% |
| 526 | Gulf Coast Water Authority - Galveston County | 0.70\% | 0.86\% |
| 194 | Hale County | 0.23\% | 0.53\% |
| 195 | Hall County | 0.88\% | 1.40\% |
| 787 | Hall County Appraisal District | 0.10\% | 0.33\% |
| 196 | Hamilton County | 0.23\% | 0.47\% |
| 197 | Hansford County | 0.35\% | 0.67\% |
| 585 | Hansford County Hospital District | 0.15\% | 0.21\% |
| 198 | Hardeman County | 0.38\% | 0.63\% |
| 199 | Hardin County | 0.30\% | 0.50\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 527 | Hardin County Appraisal District | 0.37\% | 0.44\% |
| 571 | Harlingen Irrigation District Cameron County \#1 | 0.34\% | 0.48\% |
| 200 | Harris County | 0.21\% | 0.28\% |
| 598 | Harris County Appraisal District | 0.24\% | 0.29\% |
| 797 | Harris County Housing Authority | 0.16\% | 0.16\% |
| 569 | Harris County WCID \#1 | 0.20\% | 0.27\% |
| 625 | Harris County WCID \#50 | 0.18\% | 0.32\% |
| 201 | Harrison County | 0.27\% | 0.44\% |
| 202 | Hartley County | 0.28\% | 0.58\% |
| 520 | Hartley County Appraisal District | 0.24\% | 0.49\% |
| 203 | Haskell County | 0.40\% | 0.92\% |
| 552 | Haskell Memorial Hospital District | 0.19\% | 0.38\% |
| 204 | Hays County | 0.19\% | 0.24\% |
| 799 | Hays County Emergency Services District \#6 | 0.14\% | 0.14\% |
| 205 | Hemphill County | 0.33\% | 0.52\% |
| 640 | Hemphill County Appraisal District | 0.24\% | 0.28\% |
| 529 | Hemphill County Hospital District | 0.13\% | 0.20\% |
| 206 | Henderson County | 0.25\% | 0.41\% |
| 746 | Henderson County 9-1-1 Communications District | 0.61\% | 0.61\% |
| 704 | Henderson County Appraisal District | 0.23\% | 0.24\% |
| 414 | Hidalgo and Cameron Counties Irrigation Dist. \#9 | 0.36\% | 0.67\% |
| 207 | Hidalgo County | 0.17\% | 0.23\% |
| 516 | Hidalgo County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.29\% |
| 401 | Hidalgo County Drainage District \#1 | 0.29\% | 0.44\% |
| 713 | Hidalgo County Irrigation District \#1 | 0.60\% | 0.61\% |
| 438 | Hidalgo County Irrigation District \#2 | 0.27\% | 0.48\% |
| 486 | Hidalgo County Irrigation District \#6 | 0.28\% | 0.61\% |
| 674 | High Plains Underground WCD \# 1 | 0.53\% | 0.55\% |
| 208 | Hill County | 0.25\% | 0.41\% |
| 209 | Hockley County | 0.32\% | 0.64\% |
| 728 | Hockley County Appraisal District | 0.19\% | 0.22\% |
| 210 | Hood County | 0.26\% | 0.34\% |
| 211 | Hopkins County | 0.29\% | 0.48\% |
| 661 | Hopkins County Appraisal District | 0.13\% | 0.22\% |
| 212 | Houston County | 0.42\% | 0.61\% |
| 694 | Houston County Appraisal District | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| 213 | Howard County | 0.25\% | 0.53\% |
| 214 | Hudspeth County | 0.51\% | 0.73\% |
| 215 | Hunt County | 0.22\% | 0.35\% |
| 749 | Hunt County Appraisal District | 0.25\% | 0.26\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 216 | Hutchinson County | 0.31\% | 0.56\% |
| 778 | Hutchinson County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.20\% |
| 711 | Iraan General Hospital District | 0.18\% | 0.18\% |
| 217 | Irion County | 0.58\% | 0.86\% |
| 218 | Jack County | 0.34\% | 0.57\% |
| 592 | Jack County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.31\% |
| 219 | Jackson County | 0.27\% | 0.50\% |
| 770 | Jackson County Appraisal District | 0.12\% | 0.12\% |
| 441 | Jackson County County-Wide Drainage District | 0.40\% | 0.55\% |
| 220 | Jasper County | 0.24\% | 0.49\% |
| 657 | Jasper County WCID \#1 | 0.28\% | 0.30\% |
| 221 | Jeff Davis County | 0.36\% | 0.59\% |
| 222 | Jefferson County | 0.23\% | 0.37\% |
| 404 | Jefferson County Drainage District \#3 | 0.43\% | 1.90\% |
| 408 | Jefferson County Drainage District \#6 | 0.33\% | 0.39\% |
| 405 | Jefferson County Drainage District \#7 | 0.23\% | 0.34\% |
| 451 | Jefferson County WCID \#10 | 0.15\% | 0.28\% |
| 223 | Jim Hogg County | 0.32\% | 0.58\% |
| 680 | Jim Hogg County Appraisal District | 0.33\% | 0.33\% |
| 656 | Jim Hogg County ESD \#1 | 0.20\% | 0.20\% |
| 641 | Jim Hogg County WCID \#2 | 0.38\% | 0.43\% |
| 224 | Jim Wells County | 0.32\% | 0.47\% |
| 225 | Johnson County | 0.26\% | 0.40\% |
| 741 | Jonah Water Special Utility District | 0.18\% | 0.18\% |
| 226 | Jones County | 0.26\% | 0.66\% |
| 496 | Jones County Appraisal District | 0.12\% | 0.36\% |
| 227 | Karnes County | 0.37\% | 0.74\% |
| 524 | Karnes County Appraisal District | 0.16\% | 0.51\% |
| 455 | Karnes County Hospital District | 0.16\% | 0.21\% |
| 228 | Kaufman County | 0.29\% | 0.37\% |
| 662 | Kaufman County Appraisal District | 0.19\% | 0.26\% |
| 671 | Kendall Appraisal District | 0.31\% | 0.35\% |
| 229 | Kendall County | 0.38\% | 0.47\% |
| 619 | Kendall County WCID \#1 | 0.15\% | 0.22\% |
| 230 | Kenedy County | 0.29\% | 0.40\% |
| 231 | Kent County | 0.34\% | 0.71\% |
| 594 | Kent County Tax Appraisal District | 0.41\% | 1.10\% |
| 232 | Kerr County | 0.25\% | 0.37\% |
| 653 | Kerr Emergency 9-1-1 Network | 0.33\% | 0.33\% |
| 233 | Kimble County | 0.24\% | 0.55\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 234 | King County | 0.63\% | 1.11\% |
| 755 | King County Appraisal District | 0.04\% | 0.04\% |
| 235 | Kinney County | 0.34\% | 0.49\% |
| 579 | Kinney County Appraisal District | 0.43\% | 0.99\% |
| 236 | Kleberg County | 0.23\% | 0.46\% |
| 237 | Knox County | 0.25\% | 0.78\% |
| 241 | La Salle County | 0.20\% | 0.32\% |
| 540 | Laguna Madre Water District - Cameron County | 0.19\% | 0.39\% |
| 514 | Lakeway MUD - Travis County | 0.19\% | 0.31\% |
| 238 | Lamar County | 0.24\% | 0.36\% |
| 808 | Lamar County Appraisal District | 0.33\% | 0.35\% |
| 239 | Lamb County | 0.24\% | 0.40\% |
| 650 | Lampasas Central Appraisal District | 0.24\% | 0.27\% |
| 240 | Lampasas County | 0.36\% | 0.55\% |
| 731 | LaSalle County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.22\% |
| 439 | Lavaca - Navidad River Authority - Jackson County | 0.29\% | 0.36\% |
| 242 | Lavaca County | 0.26\% | 0.42\% |
| 243 | Lee County | 0.50\% | 0.68\% |
| 244 | Leon County | 0.53\% | 0.72\% |
| 468 | Leon County Central Appraisal District | 0.33\% | 0.36\% |
| 245 | Liberty County | 0.31\% | 0.46\% |
| 481 | Liberty County Central Appraisal District | 0.32\% | 0.42\% |
| 246 | Limestone County | 0.25\% | 0.38\% |
| 695 | Limestone County Appraisal District | 0.21\% | 0.23\% |
| 247 | Lipscomb County | 0.31\% | 0.63\% |
| 248 | Live Oak County | 0.31\% | 0.67\% |
| 591 | Live Oak County Appraisal District | 0.28\% | 0.34\% |
| 249 | Llano County | 0.38\% | 0.58\% |
| 250 | Loving County | 0.63\% | 0.96\% |
| 513 | Loving County Appraisal District | 0.30\% | 0.61\% |
| 756 | Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District | 0.57\% | 0.57\% |
| 714 | Lower Valley Water District | 0.26\% | 0.27\% |
| 499 | Lubbock Central Appraisal District | 0.21\% | 0.30\% |
| 251 | Lubbock County | 0.20\% | 0.29\% |
| 425 | Lubbock County WCID \#1 | 0.28\% | 0.35\% |
| 558 | Lubbock Emergency Communication District | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| 647 | Lubbock Reese Redevelopment Authority | 0.54\% | 0.57\% |
| 639 | Lumberton Municipal Utility District | 0.16\% | 0.18\% |
| 252 | Lynn County | 0.58\% | 0.77\% |
| 497 | Lynn County Appraisal District | 0.14\% | 1.08\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 442 | Lynn County Hospital District | 0.13\% | 0.22\% |
| 630 | Macedonia - Eylau MUD - Bowie County | 0.35\% | 0.35\% |
| 500 | Mackenzie MWA - Briscoe County | 0.51\% | 0.93\% |
| 256 | Madison County | 0.87\% | 1.14\% |
| 596 | Madison County Appraisal District | 0.58\% | 0.69\% |
| 257 | Marion County | 0.35\% | 0.57\% |
| 675 | Marion County Appraisal District | 0.13\% | 0.18\% |
| 658 | Marshall-Harrison County Health District | 0.09\% | 0.41\% |
| 258 | Martin County | 0.36\% | 0.60\% |
| 595 | Martin County Appraisal District | 0.23\% | 0.36\% |
| 259 | Mason County | 0.49\% | 0.80\% |
| 260 | Matagorda County | 0.27\% | 0.53\% |
| 678 | Matagorda County Drainage District | 0.18\% | 0.26\% |
| 440 | Matagorda County Hospital District | 0.15\% | 0.32\% |
| 677 | Matagorda County Navigation District \#1 | 0.26\% | 0.70\% |
| 261 | Maverick County | 0.24\% | 0.30\% |
| 729 | Maverick County Hospital District | 0.22\% | 0.22\% |
| 453 | Maverick County WCID \#1 | 0.60\% | 0.86\% |
| 253 | McCulloch County | 0.55\% | 0.74\% |
| 512 | McCulloch County Appraisal District | 0.07\% | 0.08\% |
| 254 | McLennan County | 0.21\% | 0.34\% |
| 725 | McLennan County 9-1-1 Emerg. Assist. Dist. | 0.36\% | 0.36\% |
| 491 | McLennan County Appraisal District | 0.24\% | 0.45\% |
| 679 | McLennan County WCID \#2 | 0.25\% | 0.33\% |
| 255 | McMullen County | 0.45\% | 0.70\% |
| 262 | Medina County | 0.32\% | 0.44\% |
| 705 | Medina County 911 District | 0.24\% | 0.24\% |
| 535 | Medina County Appraisal District | 0.25\% | 0.29\% |
| 419 | Memorial Medical Center - Calhoun County | 0.13\% | 0.25\% |
| 263 | Menard County | 0.33\% | 0.49\% |
| 743 | Mesa Underground Water Conservation District | 0.69\% | 0.69\% |
| 669 | Middle Rio Grande Development Council | 0.28\% | 0.33\% |
| 492 | Midland Central Appraisal District | 0.31\% | 0.40\% |
| 264 | Midland County | 0.24\% | 0.37\% |
| 570 | Midland Emergency Communication District | 0.19\% | 0.19\% |
| 265 | Milam County | 0.30\% | 0.49\% |
| 617 | Mills Central Appraisal District | 0.89\% | 0.92\% |
| 266 | Mills County | 0.26\% | 0.56\% |
| 267 | Mitchell County | 0.37\% | 0.62\% |
| 484 | Mitchell County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 1.54\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 268 | Montague County | 0.24\% | 0.50\% |
| 504 | Montague County Tax Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.41\% |
| 605 | Montgomery Central Appraisal District | 0.29\% | 0.38\% |
| 269 | Montgomery County | 0.22\% | 0.28\% |
| 667 | Montgomery County ECD | 0.36\% | 0.38\% |
| 696 | Montgomery County ESD No 3 | 0.09\% | 0.09\% |
| 651 | Montgomery County ESD \#1 | 0.09\% | 0.09\% |
| 800 | Montgomery County ESD \#8 | 0.10\% | 0.10\% |
| 763 | Montgomery County Hospital District | 0.11\% | 0.11\% |
| 804 | Montgomery County Housing Authority | 0.46\% | 0.46\% |
| 270 | Moore County | 0.20\% | 0.37\% |
| 733 | Moore County Appraisal District | 0.15\% | 0.15\% |
| 412 | Moore County Hospital District | 0.14\% | 0.19\% |
| 271 | Morris County | 0.50\% | 0.83\% |
| 738 | Mustang Special Utility District | 0.12\% | 0.12\% |
| 273 | Nacogdoches County | 0.20\% | 0.35\% |
| 515 | Navarro Central Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.36\% |
| 274 | Navarro County | 0.22\% | 0.34\% |
| 572 | Newton Central Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.24\% |
| 275 | Newton County | 0.43\% | 0.66\% |
| 276 | Nolan County | 0.45\% | 0.64\% |
| 556 | North Central Texas Municipal Water Authority | 0.17\% | 0.31\% |
| 646 | North Texas Tollway Authority | 0.20\% | 0.22\% |
| 562 | Northeast Texas Municipal Water District | 0.28\% | 0.36\% |
| 632 | Northeast Texas Public Health District | 0.18\% | 0.25\% |
| 277 | Nueces County | 0.24\% | 0.40\% |
| 683 | Nueces County Appraisal District | 0.26\% | 0.29\% |
| 400 | Nueces County Drainage District \#2 | 0.36\% | 0.53\% |
| 791 | Nueces County ESD \#2 | 0.14\% | 0.14\% |
| 416 | Nueces County WCID \#3 | 0.25\% | 0.40\% |
| 450 | Nueces County WCID \#4 | 0.19\% | 0.28\% |
| 278 | Ochiltree County | 0.45\% | 0.68\% |
| 279 | Oldham County | 0.34\% | 0.88\% |
| 517 | Oldham County Appraisal District | 0.39\% | 0.49\% |
| 280 | Orange County | 0.24\% | 0.36\% |
| 490 | Orange County Appraisal District | 0.22\% | 0.34\% |
| 421 | Orange County Drainage District | 0.34\% | 0.41\% |
| 665 | Orange County ESD \# 1 | 0.15\% | 0.15\% |
| 660 | Orange County Navigation and Port District | 0.43\% | 0.43\% |
| 631 | Orange County WCID \#1 | 0.25\% | 0.29\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 730 | Palo Duro River Authority | 0.32\% | 0.32\% |
| 723 | Palo Pinto Appraisal District | 0.12\% | 0.13\% |
| 281 | Palo Pinto County | 0.24\% | 0.38\% |
| 282 | Panola County | 0.33\% | 0.53\% |
| 283 | Parker County | 0.29\% | 0.36\% |
| 717 | Parker County Appraisal District | 0.21\% | 0.23\% |
| 754 | Parker County Special Utility District | 0.13\% | 0.13\% |
| 284 | Parmer County | 0.38\% | 0.78\% |
| 747 | Parmer County Appraisal District | 0.07\% | 0.07\% |
| 765 | Pecan Valley GCD | 1.45\% | 1.57\% |
| 285 | Pecos County | 0.19\% | 0.33\% |
| 494 | Pecos County Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.58\% |
| 774 | Pecos County WCID | 0.38\% | 0.38\% |
| 796 | Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission | 0.21\% | 0.21\% |
| 673 | Permian Regional Medical Center | 0.27\% | 0.28\% |
| 707 | Pineywoods Groundwater Conservation District | 0.56\% | 0.56\% |
| 697 | Polk Central Appraisal District | 0.27\% | 0.29\% |
| 286 | Polk County | 0.29\% | 0.42\% |
| 739 | Polk County Fresh Water Supply District \#2 | 0.16\% | 0.16\% |
| 676 | Port of Bay City Authority | 0.09\% | 0.09\% |
| 449 | Port of Beaumont Navigation District | 0.32\% | 0.46\% |
| 620 | Port of Corpus Christi Authority | 0.30\% | 0.40\% |
| 622 | Port of Port Arthur Navigation District | 0.37\% | 0.41\% |
| 726 | Post Oak Savannah GCD | 0.17\% | 0.17\% |
| 560 | Potter - Randall County ECD | 0.10\% | 0.19\% |
| 287 | Potter County | 0.18\% | 0.29\% |
| 626 | Presidio Appraisal District | 0.07\% | 0.07\% |
| 288 | Presidio County | 0.21\% | 0.42\% |
| 289 | Rains County | 0.31\% | 0.47\% |
| 537 | Rains County Appraisal District | 0.26\% | 0.38\% |
| 785 | Rains County ESD \#1 | 0.22\% | 0.22\% |
| 290 | Randall County | 0.18\% | 0.26\% |
| 564 | Randall County Appraisal District | 0.26\% | 0.38\% |
| 406 | Rankin County Hospital District - Upton County | 0.21\% | 0.49\% |
| 291 | Reagan County | 0.20\% | 0.36\% |
| 445 | Reagan Hospital District | 0.16\% | 0.28\% |
| 292 | Real County | 0.42\% | 0.93\% |
| 505 | Red Bluff WPCD - Reeves County | 0.26\% | 1.99\% |
| 435 | Red River Authority | 0.20\% | 0.26\% |
| 293 | Red River County | 0.38\% | 0.78\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 294 | Reeves County | 0.24\% | 0.29\% |
| 786 | Reeves County Appraisal District | 0.07\% | 0.07\% |
| 588 | Reeves County Hospital District | 0.22\% | 0.26\% |
| 295 | Refugio County | 0.25\% | 0.54\% |
| 543 | Refugio County Drainage District \#1 | 0.37\% | 1.45\% |
| 736 | Refugio Groundwater Conservation District | 0.08\% | 0.08\% |
| 801 | Rio Grande Council of Governments | 0.25\% | 0.25\% |
| 296 | Roberts County | 0.35\% | 0.64\% |
| 297 | Robertson County | 0.30\% | 0.45\% |
| 698 | Rockwall Central Appraisal District | 0.24\% | 0.27\% |
| 298 | Rockwall County | 0.25\% | 0.32\% |
| 299 | Runnels County | 0.44\% | 0.85\% |
| 300 | Rusk County | 0.39\% | 0.59\% |
| 612 | Rusk County Appraisal District | 0.36\% | 0.42\% |
| 301 | Sabine County | 0.62\% | 0.82\% |
| 568 | Sabine Pass Port Authority | 0.29\% | 0.44\% |
| 706 | Sabine-Neches Nav. Dist. of Jefferson County | 0.28\% | 0.32\% |
| 302 | San Augustine County | 0.55\% | 0.72\% |
| 303 | San Jacinto County | 0.29\% | 0.49\% |
| 553 | San Jacinto County Central Appraisal District | 0.17\% | 0.28\% |
| 304 | San Patricio County | 0.28\% | 0.42\% |
| 495 | San Patricio County Appraisal District | 0.13\% | 0.35\% |
| 426 | San Patricio County Drainage District | 0.33\% | 0.50\% |
| 750 | San Patricio County Navigation District \#1 | 0.09\% | 0.09\% |
| 422 | San Patricio Municipal Water District | 0.55\% | 0.70\% |
| 305 | San Saba County | 0.32\% | 0.67\% |
| 766 | Santo Special Utility District | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| 306 | Schleicher County | 0.49\% | 0.65\% |
| 307 | Scurry County | 0.36\% | 0.80\% |
| 760 | Scurry County Hospital District | 0.12\% | 0.13\% |
| 308 | Shackelford County | 0.28\% | 0.49\% |
| 470 | Shackelford County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.48\% |
| 309 | Shelby County | 0.55\% | 0.79\% |
| 627 | Shelby County Appraisal District | 0.29\% | 0.32\% |
| 310 | Sherman County | 0.26\% | 0.59\% |
| 469 | Sherman County Appraisal District | 0.32\% | 0.60\% |
| 311 | Smith County | 0.20\% | 0.30\% |
| 555 | Smith County 9-1-1 Communications District | 0.19\% | 0.20\% |
| 606 | Smith County Appraisal District | 0.21\% | 0.23\% |
| 312 | Somervell County | 0.29\% | 0.43\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 507 | Somervell County Central Appraisal District | 0.09\% | 0.22\% |
| 699 | Somervell County Water District | 0.32\% | 0.32\% |
| 795 | South Plains Association of Governments | 0.20\% | 0.20\% |
| 645 | South Texas Development Council | 0.91\% | 0.94\% |
| 768 | Southeast Texas GCD | 0.15\% | 0.15\% |
| 313 | Starr County | 0.25\% | 0.39\% |
| 536 | Starr County Appraisal District | 0.15\% | 0.22\% |
| 314 | Stephens County | 0.49\% | 0.64\% |
| 315 | Sterling County | 0.36\% | 0.66\% |
| 316 | Stonewall County | 0.37\% | 0.99\% |
| 724 | Stonewall County Appraisal District | 0.44\% | 0.44\% |
| 458 | Stonewall Memorial Hospital District | 0.24\% | 0.34\% |
| 539 | Stratford Hospital District - Sherman County | 0.15\% | 0.18\% |
| 317 | Sutton County | 0.37\% | 0.50\% |
| 573 | Sutton County Hospital District | 0.13\% | 0.19\% |
| 318 | Swisher County | 0.37\% | 0.82\% |
| 460 | Swisher County Appraisal District | 0.25\% | 0.49\% |
| 607 | Tarrant Appraisal District | 0.30\% | 0.38\% |
| 545 | Tarrant Co 9-1-1 Emergency Assistance District | 0.31\% | 0.31\% |
| 319 | Tarrant County | 0.23\% | 0.32\% |
| 574 | Tax Appraisal District of Cottle County | 0.36\% | 0.36\% |
| 320 | Taylor County | 0.22\% | 0.34\% |
| 321 | Terrell County | 0.30\% | 0.39\% |
| 753 | Terrell County WCID \#1 | 0.36\% | 0.36\% |
| 322 | Terry County | 0.34\% | 0.59\% |
| 402 | Terry Memorial Hospital District | 0.14\% | 0.29\% |
| 437 | Texas Association of Counties | 0.28\% | 0.31\% |
| 354 | Texas County \& District Retirement System | 0.21\% | 0.23\% |
| 634 | Texas Eastern 9-1-1 Network | 0.36\% | 0.36\% |
| 772 | The Housing Authority of the City of Abilene | 0.18\% | 0.18\% |
| 777 | The Housing Authority of the City of Huntington | 0.17\% | 0.17\% |
| 323 | Throckmorton County | 0.48\% | 0.75\% |
| 324 | Titus County | 0.23\% | 0.39\% |
| 742 | Titus County Appraisal District | 0.14\% | 0.16\% |
| 501 | Titus County Fresh Water Supply District | 0.22\% | 0.43\% |
| 325 | Tom Green County | 0.22\% | 0.35\% |
| 601 | Travis Central Appraisal District | 0.21\% | 0.29\% |
| 326 | Travis County | 0.19\% | 0.24\% |
| 720 | Travis County ESD \#1 NLT Fire \& Rescue | 0.14\% | 0.15\% |
| 666 | Travis County WCID - Point Venture | 0.11\% | 0.11\% |


| Employer Number | Employer Name | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 659 | Tri-County Special Utility District | 0.26\% | 0.26\% |
| 633 | Trinity Bay Conservation District | 0.28\% | 0.35\% |
| 327 | Trinity County | 1.09\% | 1.39\% |
| 735 | Two Way Special Utility District | 0.12\% | 0.15\% |
| 328 | Tyler County | 0.30\% | 0.51\% |
| 471 | Tyler County Appraisal District | 0.38\% | 0.47\% |
| 561 | United Irrigation District - Hidalgo County | 0.27\% | 0.62\% |
| 834 | Upper Brushy Creek WCID | 0.10\% | 0.10\% |
| 329 | Upshur County | 0.30\% | 0.50\% |
| 330 | Upton County | 0.22\% | 0.47\% |
| 682 | Upton County Appraisal District | 0.46\% | 0.53\% |
| 331 | Uvalde County | 0.30\% | 0.44\% |
| 332 | Val Verde County | 0.32\% | 0.51\% |
| 663 | Valley MUD \#2-Cameron County | 0.32\% | 0.35\% |
| 586 | Valwood Improvement Authority - Dallas County | 0.29\% | 0.29\% |
| 333 | Van Zandt County | 0.27\% | 0.52\% |
| 672 | Van Zandt County Appraisal District | 0.33\% | 0.38\% |
| 420 | Velasco Drainage District - Brazoria County | 0.21\% | 0.32\% |
| 334 | Victoria County | 0.19\% | 0.28\% |
| 423 | Victoria County Drainage District \#3 | 0.39\% | 0.59\% |
| 767 | Victoria County GCD | 0.15\% | 0.15\% |
| 335 | Walker County | 0.25\% | 0.37\% |
| 748 | Walker County Special Utility District | 0.22\% | 0.22\% |
| 336 | Waller County | 0.24\% | 0.44\% |
| 773 | Waller County Appraisal District | 0.33\% | 0.33\% |
| 337 | Ward County | 0.26\% | 0.59\% |
| 565 | Ward County Central Appraisal District | 0.36\% | 0.36\% |
| 444 | Ward Memorial Hospital | 0.19\% | 0.32\% |
| 338 | Washington County | 0.24\% | 0.35\% |
| 339 | Webb County | 0.19\% | 0.26\% |
| 604 | Webb County Appraisal District | 0.28\% | 0.31\% |
| 443 | West Central Texas Council of Governments | 0.23\% | 0.32\% |
| 410 | West Central Texas Municipal Water District | 0.31\% | 0.52\% |
| 454 | West Jefferson County Municipal Water District | 0.21\% | 0.53\% |
| 688 | West Nueces -Las Moras Soil and WCD \#236 | 0.10\% | 0.10\% |
| 340 | Wharton County | 0.34\% | 0.56\% |
| 621 | Wharton County WCID \#1 | 1.14\% | 1.14\% |
| 341 | Wheeler County | 0.35\% | 0.51\% |
| 476 | Wheeler County Appraisal District | 0.13\% | 0.56\% |
| 427 | White River MWD - Dickens County | 0.19\% | 0.54\% |


|  | EmployerName | GTLF Contribution Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employer Number |  | Actives Only | Actives and Retirees |
| 740 | Wichita Appraisal District | 0.26\% | 0.26\% |
| 342 | Wichita County | 0.21\% | 0.35\% |
| 446 | Wichita County Water Improvement District \#2 | 0.27\% | 0.79\% |
| 559 | Wichita-Wilbarger 9-1-1 District | 0.42\% | 0.77\% |
| 655 | Wickson Creek SUD - Brazos County | 0.21\% | 0.21\% |
| 343 | Wilbarger County | 0.41\% | 0.59\% |
| 715 | Wilbarger County Appraisal District | 0.12\% | 0.12\% |
| 530 | Wilbarger County Hospital District | 0.16\% | 0.22\% |
| 344 | Willacy County | 0.29\% | 0.54\% |
| 575 | Willacy County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.21\% |
| 652 | Willacy County Housing Authority | 0.07\% | 0.34\% |
| 608 | Williamson Central Appraisal District | 0.19\% | 0.26\% |
| 345 | Williamson County | 0.20\% | 0.24\% |
| 798 | Williamson County ESD \#3 | 0.11\% | 0.11\% |
| 346 | Wilson County | 0.34\% | 0.60\% |
| 479 | Wilson County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.38\% |
| 347 | Winkler County | 0.30\% | 0.59\% |
| 533 | Winkler County Appraisal District | 0.16\% | 0.33\% |
| 348 | Wise County | 0.25\% | 0.30\% |
| 493 | Wise County Appraisal District | 0.18\% | 0.49\% |
| 349 | Wood County | 0.26\% | 0.44\% |
| 700 | Wood County Appraisal District | 0.20\% | 0.20\% |
| 350 | Yoakum County | 0.22\% | 0.36\% |
| 776 | Yoakum County Appraisal District | 0.37\% | 0.44\% |
| 351 | Young County | 0.41\% | 0.60\% |
| 352 | Zapata County | 0.25\% | 0.32\% |
| 649 | Zapata County Appraisal District | 0.14\% | 0.15\% |
| 353 | Zavala County | 0.22\% | 0.44\% |
| 566 | Zavala County Appraisal District | 0.09\% | 0.26\% |

## Texas County \& District Retirement System <br> Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011

## Appendix D Summary of Recommended Assumptions

I. Economic assumptions
A. General wage increases
4.00\%
B. Aggregate Investment Return
8.00
C. Growth in membership
0.00
D. Payroll Growth
4.00 or less
E. Implied price inflation assumption
3.50
II. Demographic assumptions
A. Merit salary increases

Table D-1
B. Service retirement

Table D-2
C. Disablement

Table D-3
D. Mortality among active members

Table D-4
RP-2000 Active Employee Mortality Table* for Males +3 years
RP-2000 Active Employee Mortality Table* for Females -2 years
E. Mortality among inactive vested members, service retirees, disability retirees, and beneficiaries

Table D-5
Basis - RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table* for respective gender using generational mortality (Projection Scale AA), as adjusted:

Status of Members
Inactive Members \& Service Retirees - men

- women +0 years

Beneficiaries - men +1 years

- women +0 years


## Adjustment

+1 years
F. Mortality among disability retirees

Table D-5
RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table* for Males +2 years
RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table* for Females +2 years
G. Other terminations of employment

Table D-6
H. Retaining vested account upon termination of employment

[^1]
## I. Miscellaneous Assumptions

- Death Benefit - If the member was at least age 45 and had enough service for retirement at age 60, it is assumed there is an eligible beneficiary who will elect an actuarially equivalent annuity benefit. Otherwise, there is assumed to be a $50 \%$ probability the beneficiary will elect the annuity benefit and a $50 \%$ probability they will elect a refund of contributions.
- Retirement Age for Inactive Members - Inactive members are assumed to retire the later of age 60 and age first eligible of service retirement. Inactive members who do not have enough service to be eligible for service retirement are assumed to take an immediate refund of their contributions with interest.


## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011
Annual Increase in Salary Due to Promotion and Longevity
Table D-1: Merit Salary Increases*

| Years of Service | Entry Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before 30 | Ages 30-39 | Ages 40-49 | 50 \& Later |
| 0 | 5.25\% | 4.75\% | 4.25\% | 3.75\% |
| 1 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| 2 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 |
| 3 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 2.00 |
| 4 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 2.00 | 1.50 |
| 5 | 2.65 | 2.15 | 1.65 | 1.15 |
| 6 | 2.40 | 1.90 | 1.40 | 0.90 |
| 7 | 2.20 | 1.70 | 1.20 | 0.70 |
| 8 | 2.05 | 1.55 | 1.05 | 0.55 |
| 9 | 1.95 | 1.45 | 0.95 | 0.45 |
| 10 | 1.85 | 1.35 | 0.85 | 0.40 |
| 11 | 1.75 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.40 |
| 12 | 1.65 | 1.15 | 0.65 | 0.40 |
| 13 | 1.55 | 1.05 | 0.55 | 0.40 |
| 14 | 1.45 | 0.95 | 0.45 | 0.40 |
| 15 | 1.35 | 0.90 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 16 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 17 | 1.15 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 18 | 1.10 | 0.75 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 19 | 1.05 | 0.70 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 20 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 21 | 0.95 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 22 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 23 | 0.85 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 24 | 0.80 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 25 | 0.75 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 26 | 0.70 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 27 | 0.65 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 28 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 29 | 0.55 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 30 \& Up | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |

[^2]
## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011

## Annual Probability of Retirement

Table D-2: Service Retirement*

| Age | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| 45-49 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 50 | 12.0 | 13.0 |
| 51 | 12.0 | 13.0 |
| 52 | 13.0 | 14.0 |
| 53 | 13.0 | 14.0 |
| 54 | 13.0 | 14.0 |
| 55 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| 56 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| 57 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| 58 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| 59 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| 60 | 15.0 | 16.0 |
| 61 | 13.0 | 14.0 |
| 62 | 28.0 | 29.0 |
| 63 | 17.0 | 18.0 |
| 64 | 17.0 | 18.0 |
| 65 | 32.0 | 33.0 |
| 66 | 22.0 | 23.0 |
| 67 | 22.0 | 23.0 |
| 68 | 22.0 | 23.0 |
| 69 | 22.0 | 23.0 |
| 70 | 24.0 | 25.0 |
| 71 | 23.0 | 24.0 |
| 72 | 23.0 | 24.0 |
| 73 | 23.0 | 24.0 |
| 74* | 23.0 | 24.0 |

[^3]| Milliman | This work product was prepared solely for TCDRS. It may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. <br> Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. |
| :--- | :--- |
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## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011
Annual Probability of Disablement
Table D-3: Disability*

| Age | Occupational | All Other Causes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 25 | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 25 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 26 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 27 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 28 | 0.000 | 0.016 |
| 29 | 0.000 | 0.017 |
| 30 | 0.000 | 0.018 |
| 31 | 0.000 | 0.020 |
| 32 | 0.000 | 0.021 |
| 33 | 0.000 | 0.023 |
| 34 | 0.001 | 0.029 |
| 35 | 0.001 | 0.038 |
| 36 | 0.002 | 0.047 |
| 37 | 0.002 | 0.058 |
| 38 | 0.003 | 0.068 |
| 39 | 0.004 | 0.079 |
| 40 | 0.005 | 0.089 |
| 41 | 0.006 | 0.099 |
| 42 | 0.007 | 0.109 |
| 43 | 0.008 | 0.120 |
| 44 | 0.008 | 0.132 |
| 45 | 0.010 | 0.144 |
| 46 | 0.010 | 0.158 |
| 47 | 0.011 | 0.176 |
| 48 | 0.012 | 0.198 |
| 49 | 0.013 | 0.227 |
| 50 | 0.014 | 0.260 |
| 51 | 0.016 | 0.297 |
| 52 | 0.017 | 0.339 |
| 53 | 0.018 | 0.381 |
| 54 | 0.020 | 0.423 |
| 55 | 0.023 | 0.463 |
| 56 | 0.026 | 0.495 |
| 57 | 0.030 | 0.520 |
| 58 | 0.036 | 0.541 |
| 59 | 0.040 | 0.561 |
| 60 \& Above** | 0.040 | 0.000 |

* The probability of disablement from all other causes is applicable for members who are vested but not eligible for service retirement. Before a member is vested, only the occupational disability probabilities are applicable.


## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011

## Annual Probability of Mortality for Active Members

Table D-4: Active Death*

| Age | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 0.039\% | 0.020\% |
| 26 | 0.041 | 0.020 |
| 27 | 0.044 | 0.021 |
| 28 | 0.050 | 0.021 |
| 29 | 0.056 | 0.022 |
| 30 | 0.063 | 0.024 |
| 31 | 0.070 | 0.025 |
| 32 | 0.077 | 0.026 |
| 33 | 0.084 | 0.031 |
| 34 | 0.090 | 0.035 |
| 35 | 0.096 | 0.039 |
| 36 | 0.102 | 0.043 |
| 37 | 0.108 | 0.047 |
| 38 | 0.114 | 0.051 |
| 39 | 0.122 | 0.055 |
| 40 | 0.130 | 0.060 |
| 41 | 0.140 | 0.065 |
| 42 | 0.151 | 0.071 |
| 43 | 0.162 | 0.077 |
| 44 | 0.173 | 0.085 |
| 45 | 0.186 | 0.094 |
| 46 | 0.200 | 0.103 |
| 47 | 0.214 | 0.112 |
| 48 | 0.229 | 0.122 |
| 49 | 0.245 | 0.133 |
| 50 | 0.262 | 0.143 |
| 51 | 0.281 | 0.155 |
| 52 | 0.303 | 0.168 |
| 53 | 0.331 | 0.181 |
| 54 | 0.363 | 0.197 |
| 55 | 0.400 | 0.214 |
| 56 | 0.441 | 0.232 |
| 57 | 0.488 | 0.253 |
| 58 | 0.538 | 0.276 |
| 59 | 0.592 | 0.301 |
| 60 | 0.647 | 0.329 |
| 61 | 0.703 | 0.360 |
| 62 | 0.757 | 0.393 |
| 63 | 0.810 | 0.428 |
| 64 | 0.860 | 0.466 |
| 65 | 0.907 | 0.504 |
| 66 | 0.951 | 0.543 |
| 67 | 0.992 | 0.582 |
| 68 | 1.091 | 0.621 |
| 69 | 1.289 | 0.658 |
| 70 | 1.586 | 0.695 |

* 1) Rates are projected using Scale AA.

2) Rates are non-zero for ages $75-79$ only if the member is not eligible for service retirement.

This work product was prepared solely for TCDRS. It may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work.

# Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation 

## December 31, 2011

## Annual Probability of Post-Employment Mortality

Table D-5: Post-Employment Death ${ }^{1}$

| Age | Inactive Mortality ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | Disabled Mortality ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | Age | Inactive Mortality ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | Disabled Mortality ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.036\% | 0.019\% | 2.257\% | 0.745\% | 60 | 0.768\% | 0.506\% | 4.498\% | 2.408\% |
| 21 | 0.037 | 0.019 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 61 | 0.876 | 0.581 | 4.658 | 2.529 |
| 22 | 0.037 | 0.019 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 62 | 1.001 | 0.666 | 4.831 | 2.660 |
| 23 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 63 | 1.128 | 0.765 | 5.017 | 2.803 |
| 24 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 64 | 1.274 | 0.862 | 5.221 | 2.959 |
| 25 | 0.038 | 0.021 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 65 | 1.441 | 0.971 | 5.445 | 3.133 |
| 26 | 0.038 | 0.021 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 66 | 1.608 | 1.095 | 5.691 | 3.323 |
| 27 | 0.039 | 0.022 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 67 | 1.787 | 1.216 | 5.961 | 3.534 |
| 28 | 0.041 | 0.024 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 68 | 1.980 | 1.345 | 6.258 | 3.764 |
| 29 | 0.044 | 0.025 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 69 | 2.221 | 1.486 | 6.584 | 4.014 |
| 30 | 0.050 | 0.026 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 70 | 2.457 | 1.674 | 6.941 | 4.285 |
| 31 | 0.056 | 0.031 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 71 | 2.728 | 1.858 | 7.329 | 4.577 |
| 32 | 0.063 | 0.035 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 72 | 3.039 | 2.067 | 7.751 | 4.890 |
| 33 | 0.070 | 0.039 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 73 | 3.390 | 2.297 | 8.207 | 5.223 |
| 34 | 0.077 | 0.044 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 74 | 3.783 | 2.546 | 8.695 | 5.578 |
| 35 | 0.084 | 0.048 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 75 | 4.217 | 2.811 | 9.215 | 5.955 |
| 36 | 0.090 | 0.051 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 76 | 4.691 | 3.097 | 9.764 | 6.355 |
| 37 | 0.096 | 0.055 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 77 | 5.212 | 3.411 | 10.339 | 6.779 |
| 38 | 0.102 | 0.060 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 78 | 5.793 | 3.760 | 10.937 | 7.231 |
| 39 | 0.108 | 0.065 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 79 | 6.437 | 4.151 | 11.554 | 7.714 |
| 40 | 0.114 | 0.071 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 80 | 7.204 | 4.588 | 12.188 | 8.230 |
| 41 | 0.122 | 0.077 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 81 | 8.049 | 5.078 | 12.834 | 8.784 |
| 42 | 0.130 | 0.085 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 82 | 8.972 | 5.629 | 13.492 | 9.379 |
| 43 | 0.140 | 0.094 | 2.257 | 0.745 | 83 | 9.978 | 6.251 | 14.160 | 10.020 |
| 44 | 0.151 | 0.103 | 2.385 | 0.818 | 84 | 11.076 | 6.952 | 14.837 | 10.710 |
| 45 | 0.162 | 0.112 | 2.512 | 0.896 | 85 | 12.280 | 7.745 | 15.524 | 11.451 |
| 46 | 0.173 | 0.122 | 2.640 | 0.978 | 86 | 13.604 | 8.638 | 16.219 | 12.246 |
| 47 | 0.186 | 0.133 | 2.769 | 1.063 | 87 | 15.059 | 9.634 | 16.923 | 13.097 |
| 48 | 0.200 | 0.143 | 2.898 | 1.154 | 88 | 16.642 | 10.730 | 18.341 | 14.005 |
| 49 | 0.214 | 0.155 | 3.027 | 1.248 | 89 | 18.341 | 11.915 | 19.977 | 14.970 |
| 50 | 0.245 | 0.168 | 3.156 | 1.346 | 90 | 19.977 | 13.168 | 21.661 | 15.992 |
| 51 | 0.267 | 0.185 | 3.286 | 1.447 | 91 | 21.661 | 14.460 | 23.366 | 17.043 |
| 52 | 0.292 | 0.202 | 3.415 | 1.550 | 92 | 23.366 | 15.762 | 25.069 | 18.280 |
| 53 | 0.320 | 0.221 | 3.544 | 1.654 | 93 | 25.069 | 17.043 | 26.749 | 19.451 |
| 54 | 0.362 | 0.242 | 3.673 | 1.760 | 94 | 26.749 | 18.280 | 28.391 | 20.538 |
| 55 | 0.420 | 0.272 | 3.803 | 1.865 | 95 | 28.391 | 19.451 | 29.985 | 21.524 |
| 56 | 0.469 | 0.309 | 3.933 | 1.971 | 96 | 29.985 | 20.538 | 31.530 | 22.395 |
| 57 | 0.527 | 0.348 | 4.067 | 2.077 | 97 | 31.530 | 21.524 | 33.021 | 23.139 |
| 58 | 0.595 | 0.392 | 4.204 | 2.184 | 98 | 33.021 | 22.395 | 34.456 | 23.747 |
| 59 | 0.675 | 0.444 | 4.347 | 2.294 | 99 | 34.456 | 23.139 | 35.863 | 24.483 |

[^4]
# Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation 

December 31, 2011

## Annual Probability of Terminating Employment Middle Termination Group

Table D-6: Termination*

| Years of Service | Entry Age 20 |  | Entry Age 30 |  | Entry Age 40 |  | Entry Age 50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 0 | 33.1\% | 35.9\% | 27.4\% | 29.6\% | 24.5\% | 26.5\% | 21.6\% | 23.4\% |
| 1 | 22.1 | 23.9 | 18.2 | 19.8 | 16.3 | 17.7 | 14.4 | 15.6 |
| 2 | 16.6 | 17.9 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 13.3 | 10.8 | 11.7 |
| 3 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 9.4 |
| 4 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 7.8 |
| 5 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| 6 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| 7 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| 8 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.3 |
| 9 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| 10 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| 11 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| 12 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| 13 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 14 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| 15 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| 16 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 17 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 18 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 19 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 20 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 21 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 22 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 23 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 24 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 25 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 26 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 27 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 28 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 29 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 30 \& Later | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

*The above tables are adjusted based on termination group by multiplying by the following factors.

| Zero | $0 \%$ | Middle | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Extra-Low | $70 \%$ | Mid-High | $110 \%$ |
| Low | $80 \%$ | High | $120 \%$ |
| Mid-Low | $90 \%$ |  |  |

Note: For plans that have adopted the partial lump-sum payment option, zero termination is assumed for individuals within two years of retirement eligibility.

## Texas County \& District Retirement System Actuarial Valuation

December 31, 2011
Probability of Refund Upon Termination
Table D-7: Refund

| Years of Service | 5-Year Vesting | 8-Year Vesting | 10-Year Vesting | 12-Year Vesting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 1 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 4 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 5 | 60 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 6 | 60 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 7 | 55 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 8 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| 9 | 49 | 49 | 100 | 100 |
| 10 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 100 |
| 11 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 100 |
| 12 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| 13 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 14 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| 15 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 16 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 17 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 18 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 19 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 21 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 22 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 24 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 26 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 27 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 28 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 29* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Members with more than 29 years of service are not assumed to refund.


[^0]:    Benefits provided by the GTLF must be reported under Government Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 43 (system) and No. 45 (employer) if retirees are covered. Results for TCDRS are shown at the end of the Actuarial Section in the December 31, 2011 CAFR.

[^1]:    * All Mortality tables use generational mortality with Projection Scale AA

[^2]:    * These rates do not include the wage inflation rate of $4 \%$ per year. For example, a male member who entered the sytem at age 20 and is in his first year of service is assumed to receive a 9.46\% total annual increase in his salary. The $9.46 \%$ is a combination of the $5.25 \%$ merit increase and the $4.0 \%$ wage inflation. Note that the two components are compounded, so it is a slightly different result than just adding the two percentages.

[^3]:    *For all eligible members ages 75 \& later, retirement is assumed to occur immediately.

[^4]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Male beneficiaries have the same inactive mortality assumptions as male retirees. Similarly, female beneficiaries have the same inactive mortality assumptions as female retirees.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ The inactive mortality rates are the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Tables. Male are adjusted plus one year (i.e., a 70 -year old TCRS retiree uses the age 71 rate from the standard table). There is no adjustment for females. All mortality rates are projected using Scale AA.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Disabled mortality rates are based on the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Tables for males and females, both with a 2 -year set-forward and projected using Scale AA.

    | Milliman | This work product was prepared solely for TCDRS. It may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. <br> Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. | D-7 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- |

