

# ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT

City of Pittsburgh

Policemen's Relief and Pension Fund

as of

January 1, 2015

Report Date: March 30, 2016

### Table of Contents

	0
Section One: Commentary and Actuarial Disclosures	1
Section Two: Certification	8
Section Three: Valuation Highlights	9
Section Four: Summary of Plan Provisions	10
Section Five: Development of Contribution Requirements	
Table 1: Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability	13
Table 2: Actuarial Experience (Gain) Loss Determination	
Table 2b: Analysis of Change in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Table 3: Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Table 4: Required Municipal Contributions	
Table 5: Analysis of Sensitivity to Key Assumptions	
Section Six: Accounting Information	
Accumulated Plan Benefits	16
Section Seven: Actuarial Basis of Valuation	
Actuarial Assumptions	19
Actuarial Cost Method	20
Section Eight: Demographic Summaries	24
Section Nine: Plan Assets	
Combined Municipal Pension Trust Fund Calendar Year 2013	
Undivided Participation Calculation Calendar Year 2013	
Combined Municipal Pension Trust Fund Calendar Year 2014 Undivided Participation Calculation Calendar Year 2014	
Calculation of Actuarial Value of Assets	
Section Ten: Supplementary Exhibits for Plans Funded with Pension Bond Proceeds	
Table 6: Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Excluding Assets	24
Arising from Pension Bond Proceeds Table 7: Actuarial Experience (Gain) Loss Determination Excluding Assets	30
Arising from Pension Bond Proceeds	37
Table 8: Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Excluding Assets Arising from Pension Bond Proceeds	
Debt Service Schedule by Plan Year, Pension Bond Issue of March 10, 1998	39
Section Eleven: Glossary	40

.

### <u>Page</u>

.

### Section One: Commentary and Actuarial Disclosures

At the request of the City of Pittsburgh, we have completed an actuarial valuation report (AVR) for the City of Pittsburgh Policemen's Relief and Pension Fund as of January 1, 2015. This AVR is based upon participant data as of January 1, 2015 furnished to us by the City and upon asset information as of December 31, 2014 as provided by the City, Maher Duessel, CPAs and Gleason & Associates, PC.

Unless otherwise noted herein, this report has been completed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, and reflects our current understanding of applicable laws and regulatory requirements. This valuation was prepared to satisfy the funding and disclosure requirements of Act 205 of 1984. It also contains the cost components that may be used to compute the Plan's Minimum Municipal Obligation (MMO) in accordance with that law. The funded status measures in this AVR are not intended to be appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the plan's benefit obligations. This valuation should be used for no other purpose than those outlined herein.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to factors such as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

One of the cost components of the Minimum Municipal Obligation is an amortization payment calculated according to specified rules of Act 205. The minimum amortization payment under Act 205 reflects the utilization of provisions of Act 82 of 1998 for which the City qualified. Under those provisions, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 1998 is being amortized over 40 years calculated pursuant to special procedures described beginning on page 6. Bases for subsequent years are established according to the normal procedures of Act 205 of 1984 and amortized over various periods according to the source of the change in unfunded liability such as experience gains or losses, benefit changes, and assumption changes. These periods are not limited by average future service because the City qualifies for Distress Level II according to the requirements under Act 205 of 1984.

Because the Act 82 amortization methodology does not result in an actuarially appropriate funding level, this report also presents an actuarially recommended amortization payment based on a 30-year "fresh start" amortization payment commencing as of January 1, 2011 and additional amortization bases added thereafter according to the normal procedures of Act 205 of 1984. (See page 6 for further explanation.) The City's pension plan assets are aggregated into a single trust. An annual calculation is made to determine each Plan's portion of the assets. The receipts and disbursements for each Plan are added to the Plan's allocated value from the prior year. Then, the year's investment income is allocated proportionately to each Plan in accordance with procedures set forth in Act 205. As of December 31, 2014 the calculated market value of assets in the Policemen's Relief and Pension Fund is \$247,240,380. Section Nine contains exhibits illustrating the calculation of this amount.

Section Nine also shows the development of the actuarial value of assets (AVA), which is determined by using the optional alternative asset smoothing method established in Act 44 of 2009. This method sets the actuarial value of assets equal to the greater of the prior asset value (adjusted for contributions and disbursements) increased at the actuarial assumed rate of interest less 1% and market value. This gives results that are biased relative to the market value. Due to this bias, this method does not comply with actuarial standards of practice. However, by the passage of Act 44 of 2009, this method is allowable for the determination of the Minimum Municipal Obligation. We have treated this method as a prescribed method selected by the Plan Sponsor. As of January 1, 2015, the AVA is 100.8% of the market value of assets.

The City Controller obtained third-party advice from which he determined that the dedicated stream of revenue created by Ordinances 42 and 44 of 2010 can be recognized as a pension plan asset for purposes of the required actuarial report under Act 205 and the Board of Trustees of the Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund has unanimously directed us to combine the assets listed in the CAFR with the value of the revenue stream as determined by an independent accounting firm, Gleason & Associates. The value so provided is consistent with Paragraph 3.5 (Assets that are Difficult to Value) of ASOP 44, Selection and Use of Asset Valuation Methods for Pension Valuations. The Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) has accepted the Revised Actuarial Valuation Report as of January 1, 2011, and subsequent valuation reports which included the present value of the revenue stream as a pension plan asset for Act 205 actuarial valuation purposes. The inclusion of the present value of this stream of dedicated future parking revenues does not imply that it necessarily qualifies as a pension plan asset under GAS accounting or for any other purpose.

#### **2015 Results**

Certain highlights of this actuarial valuation compared with the prior AVR are shown in Section Three. The use of pension bond proceeds to reduce the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability has split the funding of the pension plan into actuarial costs and debt service. The actuarial costs consist of normal cost, administrative expense contributions and amortization payments to eliminate the remainder of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. The actuarial information used to develop contribution requirements according to the rules of Act 205 is shown in Section Five. Debt service payments repay the money borrowed and subsequently deposited into the plan. Information concerning the annual debt service is contained in Section Ten. The demographics of the Plan population are summarized in Section Eight.

	Current Year	Prior Year
	2015	2013
Normal Cost as a Percentage of Total W-2 Payroll	10.751%	11.671%
<i>Expenses</i> as a Percentage of Total W-2 Payroll	1.100%	1.200%
Minimum Amortization Payment	\$11,522,196	\$10,431,040
Actuarially Recommended Amortization Payment	\$17,784,199	\$16,375,691

The actuarial cost components as of January 1, 2015 compared to the prior year are as follows:

The change in actuarial costs from valuation to valuation can be affected by changes in Plan provisions, assumption changes, and experience changes. Pension bonds were issued in March 1998. The debt service payment for 2015 is approximately \$12.0 million.

The approximately \$1.1 million increase in the amortization payment is the result of \$644,600 of net experience gain payments (which reduce the total) expiring and a new experience loss payment of \$446,510 (explained in Experience Changes below) being added.

### **Assumption Changes**

No assumption changes were made for this actuarial valuation. Act 205 requires that the City have an experience study prepared every four years. The last experience study was done in conjunction with the January 1, 2013 actuarial valuation. The purpose of the experience study is to compare the plan's actual experience with the valuation assumptions. This comparison can indicate that actuarial assumptions should be changed as was the case with the salary increase, mortality and employee turnover assumptions beginning in 2013. A summary of the actuarial assumptions used for this valuation can be found in Section Seven.

### **Benefit Changes**

There were no benefit changes during the period from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2015. The benefits provided are summarized in Section Four of this report.

### **Experience Changes**

Plan experience during the period between valuations affects the Plan costs recognized in a valuation report. Both the normal cost and the amortization payment can change.

Normal cost is the portion of the cost for active members allocated to the current year by the actuarial cost method. Unless Plan provisions or assumptions change, normal cost usually remains fairly stable, changing only moderately from year to year. The changes that do occur relate to changes in the age and service distribution of the participant group.

As you can see from the chart on page 3, the normal cost as a percentage of W-2 payroll decreased from 11.671% in the 2013 AVR to 10.751% for 2015. This decrease was due to the difference between W-2 pay and pensionable earnings changing between valuations. The state requires the normal cost to be expressed as a percentage of total pay. Pensions for this plan are based on base wages plus longevity, which grew at a lesser rate than total wages. The normal cost was about 14.4% of pensionable earnings in both 2013 and 2015.


The actuarial experience gain or loss computed in the current valuation reflects differences since the prior valuation between actual experience and the experience anticipated by the actuarial assumptions. For bases established in 2009 and later, Act 205 requires the amortization of experience gains or losses over a 20-year period. An experience gain will reduce the total amortization payment and an experience loss will increase the payment.

Table 2 shows the development of the experience gain/loss under the minimum funding rules of Act 205 utilizing the methodology prescribed under Act 82 of 1998 (described later in this Section). Table 2b shows the development of the same unfunded actuarial accrued liability without regard to Act 82 of 1998.

For 2015, a new experience loss base of \$4,893,338 has been established under the minimum funding rules of Act 205; a new experience loss base of \$15,435,952 was established for the actuarially recommended contribution. The primary components of the experience gain/loss are as follows:

There was a loss of \$4,986,147 due to return on the actuarial value of assets (AVA) that was less than the assumed 7.5% annual rate (under the Act 44 of 2009 smoothing method interest is credited on the AVA each year at a rate that is one percent less than the assumed interest rate of the plan). There was a demographic experience gain of \$9,067,264. The primary source of the demographic gain was salary increases that were, on average, less than the assumed 4.5% annual rate. Also contributing to the demographic gain were gains from higher than expected employee turnover and fewer disabilities than projected. Those net gains were offset by a loss from contributions that were less than required (based on the January 1, 2013 AVR) when not taking into account Act 82 (under the actuarially required contribution) and losses generated by the Act 82 amortization calculation when taking that calculation into account. A contribution loss occurs due to the advance budgeting process of Act 205 when costs increase from valuation to valuation and recognition of that increase is delayed. The City contributed more than the MMO in both 2013 and 2014.


The following chart shows the annual cost components of the plan (normal cost, administrative expenses, interest on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and principal on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability) compared to the annual contribution requirements. As you can see, contributing only the MMO does not cover the entire interest on the unfunded liability, causing the unfunded liability to grow over time even if all assumptions of the plan are realized.



### **Funded Status**

Another measure of comparison between valuations is the plan's funded ratio, the actuarial value of assets divided by the actuarial accrued liability. This ratio is currently 55.5% (refer to Table 1). As of January 1, 2013, the corresponding ratio was 56.6% so the current valuation shows a decrease of 1.1%. The funded ratio based on the market value of assets is 55.1%.

The following chart shows the present value of all future benefits expected to be paid from the plan for current participants. The area in blue represents the portion currently covered by the actuarial value of assets. The areas in blue and red represent the portion of benefits that are considered accrued under the actuarial cost method. The green portion represents the normal cost, or portion to be accrued in the current year. The purple section is the portion of benefits that current active participants are expected to accrue in the future. As you can see, this is a very mature plan.



### Act 82 of 1998

Act 82 of 1998 has a significant impact on the minimum funding requirements. We believe that the procedure for determining amortization amounts in accordance with Act 82 no longer produces an actuarially appropriate funding level. The adoption of a funding policy based on the alternative Actuarially Recommended Amortization Payment in this report is highly recommended.

Act 82 allowed the City to change the minimum funding amortization schedule for its Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability because pension bond proceeds were deposited by the City into the pension plan during 1998 that changed the ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Accrued Liability by more than 25 percent. Act 82 allowed the City to amortize the January 1, 1998 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, reduced by pension bond proceeds deposited during 1998, over a 40-year period using a special procedure that was mechanically complex but lowered the amortization payment from what it otherwise would have been. The annual amortization payment was calculated in several steps. An amortization payment was calculated that would eliminate the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability net of 1998 bond proceeds over a 40-year period using a statutory interest rate of 8.75 percent. Next, the future value of these payments at the end of the 40-year period was calculated using 8.75 percent interest. Finally, an amortization payment was calculated using 10 percent interest that would have the same future value at the end of the 40-year period as the previous calculation. The 10 percent amortization amount became the amortization payment starting in 1998.

There are several drawbacks to this approach in the long-term. Under the Act 82 amortization schedule, the outstanding balance of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for the affected 1998 base actually grows for several years, extending the funding of obligations beyond normal payment periods. For example, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for this special base has increased from \$131,617,548 as of January 1, 1998 to \$202,313,224 as of January 1, 2015 and doesn't start to decline until during the year 2024. Therefore, this amortization method does not maintain normal generational funding objectives.

Act 82 requires that valuations include a comparative interest rate tabulation. This annual tabulation compares the balance of the accumulated Act 82 amortization payments using the actual earnings of the fund during the year with the balance assuming a 10 percent rate of return. If the fund earns more than 10 percent during the year, there will be an actuarial experience gain. If the fund earns less than 10 percent, there will be an actuarial experience loss on the comparative interest rate balance. When this legislation was enacted in 1998, investment conditions were different, and an average 10 percent rate of return on a significant block of assets no longer seems reasonable. This balance grows over time and the losses from this source will tend to grow significantly. In fact, because benefits are being paid out as contributions are coming in, the comparative interest rate balance, which isn't adjusted for benefit payments, is now larger than the total market value of assets. This will likely lead to significant experience losses, an increasing pattern of amortization payments and a funding ratio which will still be well below 100% at the end of the 40-year period due to remaining balances on these losses.

By contrast, funding the plan on the basis of the actuarially recommended amortization payment is expected to result in a more level amortization schedule, that will result in higher contributions now but ultimately lower contributions, and will likely lead to a funding ratio much closer to 100% by the fixed target year.

#### Sensitivity Analysis

The actual costs of the plan will be determined by the experience of the plan over time. The present value of the projected liabilities shown in this (or any other) valuation of the plan is dependent upon the assumptions utilized. The assumed interest rate and assumed rates of retirement are two assumptions that have a significant impact on the expected costs of the plan. To highlight the effect of these assumptions on the calculated liabilities of the plan, we have included a sensitivity analysis at the end of Section Five.

### Section Two: Certification

In the actuary's opinion, the actuarial assumptions used in the valuation are reasonably related to the experience of the Plan and to reasonable expectations. They represent the actuary's best estimate of anticipated experience under the Plan. To the best of our knowledge, the report is complete and accurate, based on the data herein and the disclosures in Section One.

We will be happy to answer any questions concerning this report and provide further information as needed.

### **MOCKENHAUPT BENEFITS GROUP**

I, David H. Stimpson, am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and I meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Prepared and Certified by:

David H. Stimpson, E.A., F.C.A., M.A.A.A. Vice President of Actuarial Services

### Section Three: Valuation Highlights

Participant Count	01/01/15	01/01/13	Change
Total Active	856	883	(27)
Vested	335	161	174
Not Vested	521	722	(201)
Total In Payment Status	1,466	1,496	(30)
Retirement Benefits	654	644	10
Disability Benefits	340	360	(20)
Survivor Benefits	472	492	(20)
Deferred	35	25	10
Total	2,357	2,404	(47)
Average Monthly Benefit			
In Payment Status			
Retirement Benefits	\$ 2,409	\$ 2,335	<b>\$</b> 74
Disability Benefits	\$ 2,087	\$ 2,036	\$ 51
Survivor Benefits	<b>\$</b> 760	\$ 722	\$ 38
Deferred	\$ 2,789	\$ 2,680	\$ 109
Active Participant Averages			
Hire Age	28.7	28.8	(0.1)
Attained Age	43.8	43.8	0.0
Normal Retirement Age	52.3	52.2	0.1
Assumed Future Service	14.0	14.0	0.0
Monthly Compensation	\$5,393	\$5,238	\$155
Financial Data			
Market Value of Assets	\$ 247,240,380	\$227,007,765	\$ 20,232,615
Accumulated Employee Contributions	\$ 45,208,957	\$ 44,763,039	\$ 445,918
Cost Components			
Normal Cost as a percentage of total payrol	10.751%	11.671%	-0.920%
Expenses as a percentage of total payroll	1.100%	1.200%	-0.100%
Total	11.851%	12.871%	-1.020%
Amortization payment	\$11,522,196	\$10,431,040	\$1,091,156

### Section Four: Summary of Plan Provisions

Plan Year

Plan Established

### Principal Definitions

Employee

Retirement Benefit Commencement Date

Service Increment

Service

Normal Form of Payment

Participation Requirements Entry Date Compensation Average Compensation

Members hired after December 31, 1991

#### Normal Retirement

Eligibility Monthly Benefit

- Twelve-month period beginning January 1 and ending December 31
- September 1, 1935
- Any person employed by the City of Pittsburgh Bureau of Police, including all substitute uniformed employees of the Bureau.
- Assumed to be the first day of the month coincident with or next following eligibilityfor and election to retire
- An additional monthly benefit of \$20 for each completed year of service between 20 and 25 years, plus \$25 for each year of service in excess of 25 years
- Assumed to be completed years of service calculated from date of hire through date of retirement or severance, plus periods of service purchased
- Monthly pension benefit payable for life
- Date of hire
- Base wages and longevity pay
- Compensation averaged over the 12month period prior to retirement or severance.
- Compensation averaged over 36 months prior to retirement or severance.
- Later of age 50 or Completion of 20 years of service
- Equal to 50% of average compensation plus service increment if any

### Disability

Eligibility

Benefit . Amount

Members Hired after December 31, 1991

Benefit Commencement Date

Vesting

Terminated Participants

Death Benefits Accidental Death

- Children Benefits (No surviving spouse/ or discontinued payment to surviving spouse)

- Permanent disablement in line of duty or
- Permanent disablement (not in line of duty) after completing 10 years of service
- ✓ 50% of earnings in year prior to disablement
- Sum of this benefit and member's workers' compensation benefit shall not exceed member's regular salary at time of disablement
- First day of calendar month following determination of disablement *and*
- Continuing for the duration of disability prior to normal retirement date and life thereafter
- If member completed 20 years of service, may collect normal retirement benefit based on average compensation at termination (providing terminated member continues contributions at rate in effect at termination)
- Benefit deferred to age 50
- If contributions continue at same rate in effect at termination and continue to age 50, member may receive monthly benefit based on rate of pay in effect had officer worked until age 50
- Benefit plus return of member's accumulated contributions
- Benefit plus workers' compensation or other payments equal to 50% of member's wages at death
- Payable for 500 weeks or until surviving spouse dies
- If no surviving spouse or unmarried children, dependent parents receive payments
- Unmarried child under age 18 receives payments equal to 25% of payments to spouse

Death Prior to Retirement Active service/ not accidental

Death .After Retirement

**Employee Contributions** 

Refund

- Total payments to one family may not exceed 50% of member's wages at time of death
- \$60 minimum monthly payment if only one child
- If maximum amount payable, divide equally among entitled children
- Payments terminate when child reaches age 18, dies, marries
- Payments may continue indefinitely to incompetent child
- If so elected, spouse paid benefit equal to 50% of pension member would have received if retired on date of death
- No election, accumulated contributions without interest paid to beneficiary or estate
- If so elected, spouse paid benefit equal to 50% of pension member was receiving
- No surviving spouse, benefit may be paid to surviving children or dependent parents
- Participants will contribute 6.0 percent of their compensation plus \$1 per month. Members who elect the surviving spouse benefit contribute an additional 1/2 percent of compensation. The \$1 per month contribution will cease at age 65.
- -Accumulated contributions without interest

### Table 1: Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability

Normal Cost	
Retirement Benefits	\$4,933,326
Disability Benefits	2,495,537
Preretirement Death Benefits	134,573
Refunds of Contributions	305,523
Vested Withdrawal Benefits	<u>85,906</u>
Total	\$7,954,865

#### **Actuarial Accrued Liability**

Actuarial Present Value of Benefits at Attained Age

	Deferred	<u>In Payment</u>	<u>Active</u>	<u>All</u>
<b>Retirement Benefits</b>	\$11,675,806	\$168,931,626	\$173,065,025	\$353,672,457
Disability Benefits	0	81,036,833	48,668,167	129,705,000
Survivor Benefits	0	31,036,339	0	31,036,339
Preretirement Death Benefits	0	0	1,797,009	1,797,009
<b>Refunds of Contributions</b>	0	0	1,695,411	1,695,411
Vested Withdrawal Benefits	0	0	<u>1,773,661</u>	1,773,661
Total	\$11,675,806	\$281,004,798	\$226,999,273	\$519,679,877
Actuarial Present Value of Future	Normal Costs			(\$70,586,000) \$449,093,877
Actuarial Accrued Liability				<b>7449,093,</b> 077
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued	l Liability			
Actuarial Accrued Liability				\$449,093,877
Actuarial Value of Assets				<u>(249,288,242)</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued L	iability			\$199,805,635 *
Funded Ratio				55.5% *

\* The unfunded actuarial accrued liability based on the market value of assets is \$201,853,497 and the funded ratio based on the market value of assets is 55.1%.

### Table 2: Actuarial Experience (Gain) Loss Determination

### **Reconciliation of Funded Status**

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 20			\$191,149,992
	2013	2014	
Normal Cost Assumed	\$8,001,980	\$8,322,430	16,324,410
Assumed Admin Expenses	822,740	859,763	1,682,503
Interest Charged at Valuation Rate			31,809,729
Contributions Made			
- Municipality	\$6,649,588	\$7,399,611	
- State Aid Allocated	6,578,550	6,665,568	
- Employees	<u>3,670,456</u>	3,691,958	\$(34,655,730)
Interest Credited at Valuation Rate			(2,935,183)
Special Adjustment Because of Higher Act 82 Interest Ra	ate		(15,335,630)
Expected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Before A			\$188,040,091
Experience from Investment Return			
- Comparative Interest Rate Amortization Tab. (Ga	in) Loss	\$(8,124,629)	
- Other Investment Return (Gain) Loss		28,555,604	20,430,975
Experience (Gain) Loss from all Other Sources			(8,665,431)
Increase (Decrease) in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liab	oility		
- Benefit Modifications for Actives	,	<b>\$</b> 0	
- Benefit Modifications for Retirees		0	
- Changes in Actuarial Assumptions		0	0
Actual Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability			\$199,805,635
Loss (Gain) to be Amortized			
Experience (Gain) Loss from January 1, 2013			\$ 11,765,544
Actuarially Required Contributions with Interest		\$30,718,707	··· · · · · ·
Actual Contributions with Interest		(37,590,913)	
Contribution (Gain) Loss		<u> </u>	(6,872,206)
Loss (Gain) to be Amortized			\$ 4,893,338
Companying Internet Data Americanian Tabulatian			
Comparative Interest Rate Amortization Tabulation	2013	2014	
Balance Calculated Using Actual Investment Return Act 82 Amortization Balance at January 1	\$270,726,927		
÷ ,		\$315,969,512 7,746,181	
Act 82 Amortization Payment	<u>7,746,181</u>		
Comparative Interest Rate Balance at January 1 Actual Investment Return on Balance	\$278,473,108	\$323,715,693	
	37,496,404	<u>29,882,196</u>	6252 507 000
Actual Act 82 Amort. Balance at December 31	\$315,969,512	\$353,597,889	\$353,597,889
Balance Calculated Using 10 Percent Investment Return	_		
Comparative Interest Rate Balance at January 1	\$278,473,108	\$314,066,600	
Interest at 10 Percent	27,847,311	<u>31,406,660</u>	
Comparative Act 82 Amort. Bal. at December 31	\$306,320,419	\$345,473,260	\$345,473,260
Comparative Interest Rate Amortization Tabulation (Gain) Loss	r		\$ (8,124,629)

### Table 2b: Analysis of Change in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

### Reconciliation of Funded Status – Without Regard to Act 82

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2013			\$191,149,992
Normal Cost Assumed Assumed Admin Expenses Interest Charged at Valuation Rate Contributions Made	<b>2013</b> \$8,001,980 822,740	<b>2014</b> \$8,322,430 859,763	16,324,410 1,682,503 31,809,729
- Municipality - State Aid Allocated - Employees	\$6,649,588 6,578,550 <u>3,670,456</u>	\$7,399,611 6,665,568 <u>3,691,958</u>	\$(34,655,730)
Interest Credited at Valuation Rate			(2,424,152)
Expected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Before Ad	ustments		\$203,886,752
Change in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability due to Experience (Gain) Loss - from Investment Return - from all Other Sources Benefit Modifications for Actives Benefit Modifications for Retirees Changes in Actuarial Assumptions	-	\$4,986,147 <u>(9,067,264)</u>	(4,081,117) 0 0 0
Actual Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability			<u>\$199,805,635</u>
<b>Loss (Gain) to be Amortized</b> Experience Loss (Gain) Actuarially Required Contributions with Interest Actual Contributions with Interest		\$56,596,951	\$ (4,081,117)
Contribution (Gain) Loss Loss (Gain) to be Amortized		<u>(37,079,882)</u>	<u>19,517,069</u> \$ 15,435,952

Source	Original Amount	Year Est.	Target Year	Remaining Balance	Remaining Payments	Annual Amount
Initial	\$131,617,548	1998	2037	\$202,313,224	23	\$7,746,181
Assumption Change	\$(2,912,234)	1998	2017	\$(782,118)	3	\$(279,771)
Experience Loss	21,763,126	2001	2015	2,394,048	1	2,394,048
Assumption Change	597,864	2002	2021	320,529	7	56,293
Experience Loss	3,850,682	2002	2016	813,052	2	421,220
Investment Loss	18,838,751	2002	2032	15,227,552	18	1,459,422
Assumption Change	(4,706,925)	2003	2022	(2,777,826)	8	(441,163)
Experience Loss	7,363,935	2003	2017	2,239,682	3	801,155
Investment Loss	26,217,850	2003	2032	21,939,381	18	2,102,690
Assumption Change	(369,251)	2005	2024	(253,422)	10	(34,344)
Experience Loss	14,390,731	2005	2019	6,748,049	5	1,551,516
Experience Gain	(542,011)	2007	2021	(329,914)	7	(57,942)
Assumption Change	(544,220)	2009	2028	(455,620)	14	(49,926)
Experience Loss	40,957,810	2009	2028	34,289,744	14	3,757,434
Assumption Change	(3,468,835)	2011	2025	(2,883,647)	11	(366,686)
Experience Loss	(124,186,299)	2011	2030	(111,647,314)	16	(11,361,143)
Assumption Change	41,759,441	2013	2027	38,441,820	13	4,400,755
Experience Gain	(11,222,672)	2013	2032	(10,684,923)	18	(1,024,053)
Agg. Changes through Last Valuation	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$(7,400,927)	N/A	\$3,329,505
Assumption Change	N/A					
Ben. Mod Actives	N/A					
Ben. Mod Retired	N/A					
Experience Loss	\$4,893,338	2015	2034	\$4,893,338	20	\$446,510
Agg. Change-2015	\$4,893,338	2015	2034	\$4,893,338	20	\$446,510
Aggregate Changes	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$(2,507,589)	N/A	\$3,776,015
Aggregate	N/A	N/A		\$199,805,635		\$11,522,196

#### Details of the Calculation of Act 82 Payment

Act 82 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$ 131,617,548
40-Year Amortization Payment	\$ 10,972,874
Future Value at end of 40-Year period	\$ 3,771,242,164
Payment to provide the same future value with 10% annual earnings	\$ 7,746,181

### **Table 4: Municipal Contributions**

### Required Municipal Contributions (Reflecting Act 82 of 1998)

The Financial Requirement of the Plan is based on the Normal Cost Percentage and other components shown below. The Normal Cost Percentage is applied to the payroll of the members for the applicable fiscal year.

Normal Cost (Table 1)	\$ 7,954,865
Total Annual Payroll	\$73,991,416
Percentages for Budget	
Normal Cost (Normal Cost divided by Total Annual Payroll)	10.751%
Administrative Expense (as a % of Payroll)	1.100%
Gross Normal Cost	11.851%
Net Amortization Payment (Table 3)	\$11,522,196
Funding Adjustment	<b>\$</b> 0

### Amortization Payment for Actuarially Recommended Contribution

See Section One for further explanation of the basis of this recommendation.

Source	Original Amount	Year Est.	Target Year	Remaining Balance	Remaining Payments	Annual Amount
Initial	\$155,241,257	2011	2040	\$148,784,413	26	\$12,248,710
Experience Gain	(3,000,313)	2013	2032	(2,856,550)	18	(273,774)
Assumption Change	41,759,441	2013	2027	38,441,820	13	4,400,755
Experience Loss	15,435,952	2015	2034	15,435,952	20	1,408,508
Aggregate			2036	\$ 199,805,635	22	\$ 17,784,199

### Table 5: Analysis of Sensitivity to Key Assumptions

The actual costs of the plan will be determined by the experience of the plan over time. The present value of the projected liabilities shown in this (or any other) valuation of the plan is dependent upon the assumptions utilized. The assumed interest rate and assumed rates of retirement are two assumptions that have a significant impact on the expected costs of the plan.

To highlight the effect of these assumptions, we have calculated the normal cost and projected liabilities of the plan assuming a one percent decrease and increase in the assumed interest rate and assuming that participants retire at twice the assumed rates.

### **Interest Rate Sensitivity**

	<u>1% Decrease</u>	Current %	<u>1% Increase</u>
Interest Rate	6.5%	7.5%	8.5%
Normal Cost	\$9,826,445	\$7,954,865	\$6,492,695
Actuarial Accrued Liability Actuarial Value of Assets Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$494,026,378 (249,288,242) \$244,738,136	\$449,093,877 <u>(249,288,242)</u> \$199,805,635	\$410,688,737 <u>(249,288,242)</u> \$161,400,495
Funded Ratio	50.5%	55.5%	60.7%

### **Retirement Assumption Sensitivity**

	Current Retirement Rates	Double the Current Retirement Rates
Normal Cost	\$7,954,865	\$8,855,342
Actuarially Accrued Liability Actuarial Value of Assets Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$ 449,093,877 ( <u>249,288,242)</u> \$ 199,805,635	\$ 470,110,051 (249,288,242) \$ 220,821,809
Funded Ratio	55.5%	53.0%

### Section Six: Accounting Information

accumulated Plan Benefits		<u>01/01/15</u>	<u>01/01/13</u>
Assets at Market Value		<u>\$ 247,240,380</u>	<u>\$ 227,007,765</u>
Actuarial Present Value of Vested Benefits			
Retired	\$281,004,798		
Deferred	11,675,806		
Employee Contributions	5,824,549		
Active	108,648,092		
Total		<u>\$407,153,245</u>	<u>\$375,655,499</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Present			
Value of Vested Benefits		<u>\$159,912,865</u>	<u>\$148,647,734</u>
Actuarial Present Value of Accrued Benefits			
Retired	\$281,004,798		
Deferred	11,675,806		
Employee Contributions	915,758		
Active	134,985,086		
Total		<u>\$428,581,448</u>	<u>\$418,214,101</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Present			
Value of Accrued Benefits		<u>\$181,341,068</u>	<u>\$191,206,336</u>

### Section Seven: Actuarial Basis of Valuation

### Actuarial Assumptions: January 1, 2015

#### Economic

Interest Rate

7.50% increase per year

This assumption represents the expected long-term rate of return, including inflation at 3.0%. It is based on the current investment policy of the plan and expected returns for the asset classes. This assumption was first adopted for the January 1, 2013 actuarial valuation report.

Salary Projection

4.50% increase per year Merit and Longevity Increases: 1.50% per year Inflation: 3.0% increase per year

This assumption represents expectations of future salary increases. Allowance is made not only for base rate changes but also for such factors as merit increases, longevity increases, and promotions, which may occur over a participant's career. This assumption is based on an analysis of past salary increases and expectations of future wage increases and inflation. This assumption is based on recommendations from the January 1, 2013 experience study.

#### **Employee Characteristics**

Mortality:	RP-2000 Mortality Tables, with adjustments to reflect Pension Plan mortality experience as confirmed by experience studies. The adjusted rates are based upon the following:
Active Participants	RP-2000 Employee Mortality Rates projected with scale AA
Retired/Term Vested Participants	RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality rates adjusted by blue collar ratios, set forward one year and projected from 2005 with scale AA.
Disability Retirees	Same as Retired, but with ages set forward four years.
Surviving Beneficiaries	RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Rates adjusted by ratios of female beneficiary experience to overall female RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Rates (Appendix D of <i>RP-2000 Mortality Tables Report</i> ), set forward one year and projected from 2005 with scale AA.

Sample Base Rates (Rounded):

Age	Active Male	Male Regular	Male Disabled	Male Beneficiary
	Participant	Retiree	Retiree	
45	0.15%	0.19%	0.52%	0.20%
55	0.30%	0.77%	0.97%	0.86%
65	0.76%	1.82%	2.44%	1.91%
75	N/A	4.77%	6.41%	4.51%
85	N/A	12.64%	16.64%	12.81%

Age	Active Female Participant	Female Regular Retiree	Female Disabled Retiree	Female Beneficiary
45	0.11%	0.15%	0.22%	0.15%
55	0.25%	0.32%	0.55%	0.55%
65	0.58%	1.25%	1.68%	1.47%
75	N/A	3.38%	4.44%	3.31%
85	N/A	9.23%	12.48%	9.01%

#### Withdrawal

Rates at Sample Ages:

	Years of Service								
Age	0-2	3	4	5	6+				
20	4.08%	3.57%	3.06%	2.55%	2.04%				
25	3.97%	3.47%	2.98%	2.48%	1.98%				
30	3.80%	3.33%	2.85%	2.38%	1.90%				
35	3.52%	3.08%	2.64%	2.20%	1.76%				
40	2.63%	2.30%	1.97%	1.64%	1.31%				
45	1.33%	1.16%	0.99%	0.83%	0.66%				
50	0.30%	0.27%	0.23%	0.19%	0.15%				
55	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Sample rates:

Age	Male	Female
30	.22%	.26%
40	.50%	.98%
50	1.53%	1.94%
60	4.56%	3.49%
•		

#### Disablement

Retirement Age

Percentage of employees eligible for retirement who retire at each age:

Age	Percentage
50	20
51	20
52	12
53	12
54	10
55	10
56	10
57	10
58	10
59	10
60	10
61	10
62	10
63	10
64	10
65	100

Duty Related Mortality

Duty Related Disability

Percentage Married

Spouse Age

20% of deaths in active service are assumed to be duty related.

50% of disabilities occurring during employment are assumed to occur in the line of duty.

80% of male participants and 65% of female participants

Female spouses are assumed to be two years younger than male spouses.

### Actuarial Basis of Valuation: Actuarial Cost Method

The actuarial costs of this Plan are determined under the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method as described in Act 205 of 1984. The total contribution (the financial requirements of the Pension Plan) is made up of three components: normal cost, administrative expense and amortization payment or funding adjustment.

### Normal Cost

For each active participant covered by the Plan, normal cost is calculated to be the annual contribution necessary to completely fund the participant's pension by the participant's retirement age. Contributions are assumed to begin with the year of employment and to be a constant percentage of the participant's annual pay.

For the Plan, normal cost is expressed as a percentage of the total annual payroll of the participants used in budgeting of required contributions.

### **Administrative Expense**

Estimated annual expense to be incurred by the fund for the contribution year for which the financial requirements are determined.

### **Actuarial Accrued Liability**

Total actuarial present value of all future benefits less the actuarial present value of the future normal costs. The total Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of the valuation date is the Actuarial Accrued Liability less the total value of all assets owned by the Plan.

### **Amortization Payment**

Sum of the annual level amortization contribution requirements specified by the Act for the applicable portions of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The Plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability was re-established in 1998. In the subsequent years, experience gains and losses, changes in benefit provisions, and changes in valuation assumptions would result in increases or decreases to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. If the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is negative, the amortization payment is zero and a funding adjustment is created.

### Distribution of Active Members by Age and Service

	Years of Service										
Age	Number of People in Category										
	1	2	3	4-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	30+	Total by Age
<20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20-24	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
25-29	25	14	15	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	72
30-34	10	8	11	15	61	0	0	0	0	0	105
35-39	2	2	5	3	62	16	0	0	0	0	90
40-44	2	3	0	3	33	62	12	17	0	0	132
45-49	0	0	0	0	16	25	25	110	13	0	189
50-54	0	0	0	0	11	7	19	73	25	4	139
55-59	1	1	0	0	5	4	8	25	18	16	78
60-64	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	11	7	13	37
65+	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	4
Total	50	29	31	33	196	116	66	236	65	34	856

### Age Distribution of Deferred Vested Participants

	Persons Entitled to Deferred Benefits						
Age Group	Number of People	TotalNumber of PeopleAnnual Benefit					
< 30	0	\$0.00	\$ 0.00				
30-34	0	0.00	0.00				
35-39	0	0.00	0.00				
40-44	3	99,278.76	33,092.92				
45-49	25	834,408.00	33,376.32				
50-54	7	237,602.40	33,943.20				
55-59	0	0.00	0.00				
60-64	0	0.00	0.00				
65-69	0	0.00	0.00				
70-74	0	0.00	0.00				
75-79	0	0.00	0.00				
80-84	0	0.00	0.00				
85+	0	0.00	0.00				
Total	35	\$1,171,289,16	\$33,465.40				

	Regular Retirements							
		Total	Average					
Age Group	Number of People	Annual Benefit	Annual Benefit					
< 30	0	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00					
30-34	0	0.00	0.00					
35-39	0	0.00	0.00					
40-44	0	0.00	0.00					
45-49	0	0.00	0.00					
50-54	36	1,213,934.28	33,720.40					
55-59	59	2,019,455.16	34,228.05					
60-64	80	2,706,232.68	33,827.91					
65-69	122	3,809,520.00	31,225.57					
70-74	111	3,456,102.24	31,136.06					
75-79	97	2,661,152.52	27,434.56					
80-84	65	1,574,264.28	24,219.45					
85+	84	1,466,841.24	17,462.40					
Total	654	\$18,907,502.40	\$ 28,910.55					

	Disability Retirements				
Age Group	Number of People	Total Annual Benefit	Average Annual Benefit		
< 30	0	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00		
30-34	0	0.00	0.00		
35-39	0	0.00	0.00		
40-44	4	114,095.28	28,523.82		
45-49	30	834,461.40	27,815.38		
50-54	50	1,372,332.00	27,446.64		
55-59	32	862,626.60	26,957.08		
60-64	56	1,418,298.84	25,326.77		
65-69	43	1,097,923.56	25,533.11		
70-74	48	1,102,113.24	22,960.69		
75-79	34	842,105.04	24,767.80		
80-84	18	397,939.08	22,107.73		
85+	25	471,183.24	18,847.33		
Total	340	\$8,513,078.28	\$ 25,038.47		

Survivors				
		Total	Average	
Age Group	Number of People	Annual Benefit	Annual Benefit	
< 30	3	\$20,385.60	\$6,795.20	
30-34	1	13,475.40	13,475.40	
35-39	1	18,106.80	18,106.80	
40-44	2	19,235.40	9,617.70	
45-49	1	14,388.00	14,388.00	
50-54	4	67,852.08	16,963.02	
55-59	11	145,213.80	13,201.25	
60-64	24	282,262.20	11,760.93	
65-69	46	527,949.24	11,477.10	
70-74	62	673,585.56	10,864.28	
75-79	78	764,803.80	9,805.18	
80-84	95	841,363.92	8,856.40	
. 85+	144	913,784.76	6,345.73	
Total	472	\$4,302,406.56	\$ 9,115.2	

All Persons Receiving Benefits					
Age Group	Number of People	Total Annual Benefit	Average Annual Benefit		
< 30	3	\$20,385.60	\$6,795.20		
30-34	1	13,475.40	13,475.40		
35-39	1	18,106.80	18,106.80		
40-44	6	133,330.68	22,221.78		
45-49	31	848,849.40	27,382.24		
50-54	90	2,654,118.36	29,490.20		
55-59	102	3,027,295.56	29,679.37		
60-64	160	4,406,793.72	27,542.46		
65-69	211	5,435,392.80	25,760.16		
70-74	221	5,231,801.04	23,673.31		
75-79	209	4,268,061.36	20,421.35		
80-84	178	2,813,567.28	15,806.56		
85+	253	2,851,809.24	11,271.97		
Total	1,466	\$31,722,987.24	\$21,639.15		

•

### Demographic Data as of January 1, 2015

### Changes in Plan Participation for Active Members

Active Members	Number
As of January 1, 2013	883
New Entrants	79
Transfer from Municipal Plan	1
Total	963
Separation from Active Service	
Transfer to Fire Plan	(1)
Separation with a Deferred Benefit	(17)
Separation without a Deferred Benefit	(26)
Disability	(8)
Death	(2)
Retirement with a Service Retirement Benefit	(53)
Total Separations	(107)
Data Adjustments	0
Active Members as of January 1, 2015	856

#### Changes in Plan Participants for Inactive Members and Survivors

	Deferred	Regular	Disability	, Survivors		Total
	Vested Retirees	Retirements	Retirement	Child	Other	
As of January 1, 2013	25	644	360	1	491	1,521
New Benefit Recipients	17	53	8	2	39	119
Death	0	(49)	(28)	0	(61)	(138)
Commencement of Deferred Benefits	(7)	7	0	0	0	0
Other Cessation of Benefits	0	(1)	0	0	0	(1)
Net Data Adjustments	0	0	0	0	0	0
As of January 1, 2015	35	654	340	3	469	1,501

### **Combined Municipal Pension Trust Fund Calendar Year 2013**

### Source of Asset Information

The assets of the Aggregated Trust for the City's pension plans are summarized in the following tables based on the information provided by the City and by Maher Duessel. As directed by the Trustees of the City of Pittsburgh Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund, the values represent a combination of the assets listed in the City's 2013 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and the present value calculated by Gleason and Associates of the dedicated stream of revenues created by City Ordinances 42 & 44 of 2010. Assets are shown at market value.

### Summary of Values for Aggregated Trust

	<u>1/1/13</u>	<u>1/1/14</u>
Invested Portfolio	\$355,308,381	\$392,505,338
Dedicated Funding from Parking Assets	252,251,944	258,658,681
Accrued Interest	534,057	495,748
Accrued Contributions	0	0
Due from City of Pittsburgh	688,949	0
Accrued Expenses and Other Payables	(2,660,148)	(2,659,393)
Market Value of Assets - Accrual Basis	\$606,123,183	\$649,000,374
<b>Summary of Transactions for the Aggrega</b> Balance as of January 1, 2013	ted Trust	\$606,123,183
Contributions Toward Pension Liability		
- Policemen's - Firemen's - Municipal	\$16,898,594 17,458,663 <u>12,837,680</u>	\$ 47,194,936
Miscellaneous and Pass Through Items		3,413,168
Interest and Dividends		5,667,616
Net Appreciation (Decline) in Fair Value of In	nvestments	73,815,088
Payments to Participants - Policemen's - Firemen's - Municipal	\$ 33,139,329 29,288,384 _22,717,512	(85,145,225)
Expenses		(2,068,392)
Balance as of December 31, 2013		\$649,000,374

	Policemen's	<u>Firemen's</u>	<u>Municipal</u>	Total
January 1, 2013 Market Value	\$227,007,765	\$199,706,235	\$179,409,183	\$606,123,183
Plan-Specific Contributions	18,181,423	17,764,846	14,598,432	50,544,700
Plan-Specific Distributions	<u>(33,524,813)</u>	(29,528,223)	(22,954,473)	<u>(86,007,509)</u>
Sub-Total	\$211,664,375	\$187,942,857	\$171,053,142	\$570,660,374
Sub-Total Percentages	37.09%	32.93%	29.98%	100.00%
Allocated Expenses	(447,345)	(397,171)	(361,591)	(1,206,108)
Allocated Investment Earnings	29,503,652	26,194,533	23,847,923	79,546,108
December 31, 2013 Market Value	\$240,720,681	\$213,740,219	\$194,539,474	\$649,000,374

### Undivided Participation Calculation Calendar Year 2013 - Accrual Basis

#### Contributions and Distributions for 2013 - Accrual Basis

<b>Plan-Specific Contributions</b>	Policemen's	<u>Firemen's</u>	<u>Municipal</u>	<u>Total</u>
General Municipal Pension System State Aid	\$6,578,550	\$6,686,690	\$4,758,184	\$18,023,424
Member Contributions	3,670,456	4,001,491	3,257,867	10,929,813
City Contributions	6,649,588	6,770,482	4,821,629	18,241,699
Pass Through Contributions	1,282,829	296,750	1,741,118	3,320,697
Miscellaneous Income	0	9,433	19,634	29,067
Total Contributions	\$18,181,423	\$17,764,846	\$14,598,432	\$ 50,544,700
Plan-Specific Distributions				

Benefit Payments to Participants	\$32,763,230	\$29,201,972	\$21,810,071	\$83,775,273
Refunds to Participants	376,099	86,412	907,441	1,369,952
Administrative Expenses	385,484	239,839	236,961	862,284
Total Distributions	\$33,524,813	\$29,528,223	\$ 22,954,473	\$86,007,509

### **Combined Municipal Pension Trust Fund Calendar Year 2014**

### Source of Asset Information

The assets of the Aggregated Trust for the City's pension plans are summarized in the following tables based on the information provided by the City and by Maher Duessel. As directed by the Trustees of the City of Pittsburgh Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund, the values represent a combination of the assets listed in the City's 2014 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and the present value calculated by Gleason and Associates of the dedicated stream of revenues created by City Ordinances 42 & 44 of 2010. Assets are shown at market value.

### Summary of Values for the Aggregated Trust

ourmany of the uncertain ingeregated frast	1/1/14	1/1/15
Invested Portfolio	\$ 392,505,338	\$ 394,224,222
Dedicated Funding from Parking Assets	258,658,681	278,702,580
Accrued Interest	495,748	502,471
Accrued Contributions	0	0
Due From City of Pittsburgh	0	0
Accrued Expenses and Other Payables	(2,659,393)	(2,661,230)
Market Value of Assets – Accrual Basis	\$ 649,000,374	\$ 670,768,043

#### Summary of Transactions for the Aggregated Trust Balance as of January 1, 2014

Dalance as of January 1, 2014		\$ 649,000,574
Contributions Toward Pension Liability		
-Policemen's	\$ 17,757,137	
-Firemen's	18,257,340	
-Municipal	13,433,071	\$ 49,447,548
Miscellaneous and Pass Through Items		3,451,063
Interest and Dividends		5,416,814
Net Appreciation (Decline) in Fair Value of Inv	estments	52,831,293
Payments to Participants		
-Policemen's	\$ 33,249,644	
-Firemen's	29,961,861	
-Municipal	24,182,938	(87,394,443)
Expenses		<u>(1,984,606)</u>
Balance as of December 31, 2014		\$ 670,768,043

\$ 649 000 374

	Policemen's	<u>Firemen's</u>	<u>Municipal</u>	Total
January 1, 2014 Market Value	\$240,720,681	\$213,740,219	\$194,539,474	\$649,000,374
Plan-Specific Contributions	19,015,957	18,523,046	15,199,903	52,738,906
Plan-Specific Distributions	<u>(33,612,436)</u>	(30,214,219)	<u>(24,432,098</u> )	(88,258,753)
Sub-Total	\$ 226,124,202	\$ 202,049,046	\$ 185,307,279	\$ 613,480,527
Sub-Total Percentages	36.86%	32.93%	30.21%	100.00%
Allocated Expenses	(412,941)	(368,913)	(338,441)	(1,120,296)
Allocated Investment Earnings	21,529,119	19,233,692	17,645,001	58,407,812
	\$247 240 280	6000 012 004	6202 (12 828	\$670 769 042
December 31, 2014 Market Value	\$247,240,380	\$220,913,824	\$202,613,838	\$670,768,043

### **Contributions and Distributions for 2014 - Accrual Basis**

Plan-Specific Contributions	Policemen's	<u>Firemen's</u>	<u>Municipal</u>	<u>Total</u>
General Municipal Pension System State Aid	\$6,665,568	\$6,839,897	\$4,758,094	\$18,263,559
Member Contributions	3,691,958	3,824,343	3,392,950	10,909,251
City Contributions	7,399,611	7,593,100	5,282,027	20,274,738
Pass Through Contributions	1,258,820	256,300	1,748,781	3,263,901
Miscellaneous Income	0	9,406	18,051	27,457
Total Contributions	\$19,015,957	\$18,523,046	\$15,199,903	\$52,738,906
Plan-Specific Distributions				
Benefit Payments to Participants	\$32,994,914	\$29,832,523	\$23,627,122	\$86,454,559
Refunds to Participants	254,730	129,338	555,816	939,884
Administrative Expenses	362,792	252,358	249,160	864,310
Total Distributions	\$33,612,436	\$30,214,219	\$24,432,098	\$88,258,753

### **Calculation of Actuarial Value of Assets**

### **Description** of Method

The Actuarial Value of Assets is the greater of the Market Value of Assets or the value determined by a Tabular Smoothing Method which takes the Actuarial Value of Assets from the prior valuation report and brings it forward using a specified interest rate. The Actuarial Value of Assets in the prior report, contributions by year, and annual disbursements are each credited with interest at a rate of one percent less than the prior valuation interest rate assumption. The resulting value is further subject to a minimum of 80 percent and a maximum of 120 percent of the market value of assets.

### **Development of the Actuarial Value of Assets**

Market Value of Assets at January 1, 2015	\$ 247,240,380
Actuarial Value of Assets at January 1, 2013	\$248,871,901
Contributions During 2013	18,181,423
Disbursements During 2013	(33,972,158)
Interest Credited During 2013	<u>    15,603,208</u>
Tabular Smoothing Value of Assets at January 1, 2014	\$248,684,374
Tabular Smoothing Value of Assets at January 1, 2014	\$248,684,374
Contributions During 2014	19,015,957
Disbursements During 2014	· (34,025,377)
Interest Credited During 2014	<u> </u>
Tabular Smoothing Value of Assets at January 1, 2015	\$249,288,242
Low Limit: 80% of Market Value	\$197,792,304
High Limit: 120% of Market Value	\$296,688,456
Actuarial Value of Assets at January 1, 2015	\$249,288,242

### Section Ten: Supplementary Exhibits for Plans Funded With Pension Bond Proceeds

Table 6: Unfunded Actuarial According from Pension	-	iding Assets	
Assets Excluding Pension Bond Proc Assets Excluding Bond Proceeds at Ja			\$ 124,155,473
Receipts Employer Contributions Employee Contributions State Aid Investment Income Net Appreciation Pass Through Contributions Total Receipts Disbursements Monthly Benefit Payments Refund of Employee Contributions Administrative Expenses Pass Through Payments	<b>2013</b> \$ 6,649,588 3,670,456 6,578,550 1,063,084 14,462,360 <u>1,282,829</u> \$31,480,401 376,099 681,931 <u>1,282,829</u>	<b>2014</b> \$ 7,399,611 3,691,958 6665,568 987,141 9,660,611 <u>1,258,820</u> \$ 31,736,094 <u>254,730</u> 644,329 <u>1,258,820</u>	\$63,370,576
Total Disbursements			(67,715,234)
Assets Excluding Bond Proceeds at J	anuary 1, 2015		\$119,810,815
Development of Actuarial Value o	f Assets Excluding	Bond Proceeds	
Market Value of Assets Excluding Bond	Proceeds at January 1,	2015	\$119,810,815
Actuarial Value of Assets Excluding Bor Contributions During 2013 Disbursements During 2013 Interest Credited During 2013 Tabular Smoothing Value of Assets at Ja		1, 2013	\$124,611,822 18,181,423 (33,821,261) <u>7,531,130</u> \$116,503,114
Tabular Smoothing Value of Assets at Ja Contributions During 2014 Disbursements During 2014 Interest Credited During 2014 Tabular Smoothing Value of Assets at Ja	\$116,503,114 19,015,957 (33,893,973) <u>7,025,710</u> \$108,650,808		
	cent of Market Value ercent of Market Value		\$95,848,652 \$143,772,978
Actuarial Value of Assets Excluding	<b>\$</b> 119,810,815		
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Actuarial Accrued Liability (Table 1) Actuarial Value of Assets Excluding B Adjusted Unfunded Actuarial Accrued	ond Proceeds at Januar		\$449,093,877 <u>(119,810,815)</u> \$329,283,062

## Table 6: Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Excluding Assets

## Table 7: Actuarial Experience (Gain) Loss Determination Excluding Assets Arising from Pension Bond Proceeds

.

#### **Reconciliation of Funded Status**

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2	\$315,410,071		
Normal Cost/Administrative Expenses Assumed Interest Charged at Valuation Rate Contributions Made	<b>2013</b> \$ 8,824,720	<b>2014</b> \$ 9,182,193	18,006,913 51,147,703
- Municipality	\$6,649,588	\$7,399,611	
- State Aid Allocated	6,578,550	6,665,568	
- Employees	3,670,456	<u>3,691,958</u>	\$(34,655,731)
Interest Credited at Valuation Rate			(2,935,182)
Special Adjustment Because of Higher Act 82 Interest	Rate		<u>(29,248,614)</u>
Expected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Before Experience from Investment Return	Adjustments		\$317,725,160
- Comparative Interest Rate Amortization Tab	. (Gain) Loss	\$(15,495,557)	
- Other Investment Return (Gain) Loss		<u> </u>	19,635,838
Experience (Gain) Loss from all Other Sources			(8,077,936)
Increase (Decrease) in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Lia	ability:		
- Benefit Modifications for Actives		<b>\$</b> 0	
- Benefit Modifications for Retirees		0	
- Changes in Actuarial Assumptions		_0	0
Actual Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability			<u>\$329,283,062</u>
Loss (Gain) to be Amortized			
Experience (Gain) Loss from January 1, 2013			\$ 11,557,902
Actuarially Required Contributions and Bond Proceeds	s with Interest	\$ 23,859,410	
Actual Contributions with Interest		<u>(37,590,913)</u>	
Contribution (Gain) Loss			<u>(13,731,503)</u>
Loss (Gain) to be Amortized			\$ (2,173,601)
Comparative Interest Rate Amortization Tabulatio	n		
Balance Calculated Using Actual Investment Return	2013	2014	
Act 82 Amortization Balance at January 1	\$516,339,231	\$ 602,627,365	
Act 82 Amortization Payment	14,773,769	14,773,769	
Comparative Interest Rate Balance on January 1	\$531,113,000	\$617,401,134	
Actual Investment Return on Balance	71,514,365	_56,992,299	
Actual Act 82 Amort. Balance at December 31	\$602,627,365	\$674,393,433	\$674,393,433
Balance Calculated Using 10 Percent Investment Return	<b>0504 440</b> 000		
Comparative Interest Rate Balance at January 1	\$531,113,000	\$ 598,998,069	
Interest at 10 Percent	53,111,300	<u>59,899,807</u>	£(50.007.07/
Comparative Act 82 Amort. Bal. at December 31	\$584,224,300	\$658,897,876	\$658,897,876
Comparative Interest Rate Amortization Tabulation (Gain) La	255		\$(15,495,557)

## Table 8: Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability ExcludingAssets Arising from Pension Bond Proceeds

Source	Original Amount	Year Est.	Target Year	Remaining Balance	Remaining Payments	Annual Amount
Initial	\$251,025,283	1998	2037	\$385,858,382	23	\$14,773,769
Assumption Change	\$(2,912,234)	1998	2017	\$(782,118)	3	\$(279,771)
Experience Loss	6,608,820	2001	2017	727,001	1	727,001
Assumption Change	597,864	2001	2013	320,529	7	56,294
Experience Loss	4,011,726	2002	2021	847,056	2	438,836
Investment Loss	4,719,077	2002	2010	3,814,478	18	365,583
Assumption Change	(4,706,925)	2002	2032	(2,777,826)	8	(441,163)
Experience Loss	7,791,758	2003	2022	2,369,801	3	847,700
Investment Loss	5,247,684	2003	2032	4,391,321	18	420,868
Assumption Change	(369,251)	2005	2032	(253,422)	10	(34,344)
Experience Loss	32,464,355	2005	2019	15,223,066	5	3,500,097
Experience Gain	(10,320,889)	2005	2015	(6,282,164)	7	(1,103,325)
Assumption Change	23,816,931	2009	2028	19,939,457	14	2,184,945
Experience Gain	(2,009,753)	2009	2028	(1,682,559)	14	(184,373)
Assumption Change	(3,468,835)	2011	2025	(2,883,647)	11	(366,686)
Experience Gain	(131,931,824)	2011	2020	(118,610,779)	16	(12,069,740)
Assumption Change	41,759,441	2013	2027	38,441,820	13	4,400,755
Experience Gain	(7,566,281)	2013	2032	(7,203,733)	18	(690,412)
Agg. Changes through Last Valuation	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$(54,401,719)	N/A	\$(2,227,735)
Assumption Change	N/A					
Ben. ModActives	N/A					
Ben. ModRetired	N/A					
Experience Gain	\$(2,173,601)	2015	2034	\$(2,173,601)	20	\$(198,338)
Agg. Change - 2015	N/A	N/A	2034	\$(2,173,601)	20	\$(198,338)
Aggregate Changes	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$(56,575,320)	N/A	\$(2,426,073)
Aggregate	N/A	N/A		\$329,283,062		\$12,347,696
Details of the Calculation Act 82 Unfunded Actuar 40-Year Amortization Pa Future Value at End of Payment to Provide the	rial Accrued Liabili ayment 40-Year Period	ty	% Annual E	Earnings	\$ 251,025,283 \$ 20,927,824 \$ 7,192,636,133 \$ 14,773,769	

	Date of Original Borrowing	Total Principal Borrowed	Total Principal to this Plan	Percentage to this Plan	Date of Refinancing
··· <u>·····</u>	3/10/98	\$255,865,000	\$120,512,415.10	47.6%	N/A
Plan Year	Req. Prin.Pymt.	Req.Int.Pymt.	Annual Debt Service	Disc.Amort.	Prin.Balance at Val Date
1998		\$3,921,658.75	\$3,921,658.75	\$ 0	\$120,512,415.10
1999	\$471,000.00	7,830,011.75	8,301,011.75	0	120,512,415.10
2000	471,000.00	7,803,518.00	8,274,518.00	0	120,041,415.10
2001	471,000.00	7,776,882.95	8,247,882.95	0	119,570,415.10
2002	471,000.00	7,749,753.35	8,220,753.35	0	119,099,415.10
2003	471,000.00	7,722,411.80	8,193,411.80	0	118,628,415.10
2004	471,000.00	7,694,787.65	8,165,787.65	0	118,157,415.10
2005	1,179,855.01	7,645,426.83	8,825,281.84	0	117,686,415.10
2006	1,092,720.01	7,576,976.41	8,669,696.42	0	116,506,560.09
2007	1,158,660.01	7,508,582.50	8,667,242.51	0	115,413,840.08
2008	1,208,115.01	7,436,244.85	8,644,359.86	0	114,255,180.07
2009	1,304,670.00	7,353,582.89	8,658,252.89	0	113,047,065.06
2010	1,417,710.00	7,263,616.00	8,681,326.00	0	111,742,395.06
2011	1,521,330.00	7,171,771.00	8,693,101.00	0	110,324,685.06
2012	3,716,190.01	7,007,169.44	10,723,359.45	0	108,803,355.06
2013	5,173,935.00	6,725,837.03	11,899,772.03	0	105,087,165.05
2014	5,505,990.01	6,382,619.91	11,888,609.92	0	99,913,230.05
2015	5,988,765.01	6,009,040.37	11,997,805.38	0	94,407,240.04
2016	6,386,760.00	5,606,835.82	11,993,595.82	0	88,418,475.03
2017	8,593,395.01	5,119,980.78	13,713,375.79	0	82,031,715.03
2018	6,233,685.01	4,638,100.67	10,871,785.68	0	73,438,320.02
2019	9,434,130.01	4,124,179.63	13,558,309.64	0	67,204,635.01
2020	10,079,400.01	3,480,233.14	13,559,633.15	0	57,770,505.00
2021	10,767,060.01	2,792,299.96	13,559,359.97	0	47,691,104.99
2022	11,504,175.00	2,057,349.21	13,561,524.21	0	36,924,044.98
2023	12,290,744.99	1,272,116.86	13,562,861.85	0	25,419,869.98
2024	13,129,124.99	433,261.15	13,562,386.14	0	13,129,124.99

### Debt Service Schedule by Plan Year Pension Bond Issue of March 10, 1998

### **Accrued Benefit**

The portion of the participant's retirement benefit that is attributable to service completed before the calculation date. The calculation typically uses actual service as of the calculation date and may involve other factors such as average pay at the determination date and projected service through the retirement eligibility date.

### Act 205 of 1984

Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act of December 18, 1984, P.L. 1005, No. 205. The Act controls pension funding in Pennsylvania. This Act also provides for reporting of actuarial information and for a recovery program for qualifying municipalities.

### **Actuarial Accrued Liability**

The portion of the actuarial cost assigned to prior years.

### **Actuarial Assumptions**

Factors used by the actuary to forecast future events. These factors include items relating to future economic conditions, the survival of the participants and their beneficiaries, and the length of employment.

### **Actuarial Cost Method**

A means of assigning costs to periods of employment. This method is used to determine a funding level that will provide sufficient assets to pay benefits for each participant upon retirement. Act 205 specifies that the entry age normal cost method, as described in the Act, should be used for this determination.

### **Actuarial Experience Gain or Loss**

The effect on the actuarial accrued liability of differences between events as predicted by the actuarial assumptions and those that actually occurred. This difference can increase or decrease the contribution in future years.

### **Actuarial Present Value**

The lump sum value that is equivalent to an expected series of future payments. This value is determined by using the actuarial assumptions. An actuarial present value, as of the valuation date, represents the amount of funds that would be sufficient to provide the series of payments, if experience precisely matches the actuarial assumptions.

### **Actuarial Value of Assets**

The value of current plan assets which is used by the actuary to evaluate the current funding status and determine future funding requirements. Under Act 205, a corridor limitation requires that this value be between 80 and 120 percent of the fair market value of the assets except for certain temporary periods for which an expanded corridor of between 70 and 130 percent of the fair market value applies.

### **Administrative Expenses**

The average of expenses to administer the plan that is paid in the year preceding the most recent valuation and the anticipated expenses for the year following this valuation. The average is converted to a percentage of payroll and used as part of the Minimum Municipal Obligation calculation.

### **Amortization Payment**

The annual payment required to eventually eliminate the unfunded actuarial accrued liability according to the schedule established in Act 205.

### **Funded Ratio**

The actuarial value of assets divided by the actuarial accrued liability.

### **Funding Adjustment**

Occurs when the actuarial value of assets exceeds the actuarial accrued liability; it is defined by Act 205 as 10 percent of the excess. This adjustment reduces the amount that must be contributed to the pension plan.

### **General Municipal Pension System State Aid**

Annually municipalities receive a portion of the insurance premium tax levied on casualty insurance companies headquartered outside of Pennsylvania. If they have paid firefighters, they also receive a portion of the premium tax on out-of-state fire insurance companies. These taxes are distributed according to formula contained in Act 205.

### **Minimum Municipal Obligation**

The amount that must be contributed to a pension plan by a municipality for a given year. The calculation of this amount uses the normal cost, anticipated administrative expenses, amortization payment or funding adjustment, and anticipated employee contributions to determine a municipality's contribution requirement. General Municipal Pension System State Aid may be used to reduce the contribution.

### Normal Cost

The actuarial cost assigned to a given year to pay for the portion of the anticipated benefit derived from service during that year.

### **Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability**

The amount by which the actuarial accrued liability exceeds the actuarial value of assets. A valuation will identify the value of changes in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability that result from changes in plan benefits, actuarial assumptions, or actuarial gains and losses. A zero or negative unfunded actuarial accrued liability does not mean that no future contributions are required, only that the current accumulation of plan assets is deemed on or ahead of schedule.

### Vesting

The participant's non-forfeitable right to receive a benefit, provided that the participant survives until benefit eligibility.