# Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Plan Administered by Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System

Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009 (With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)



## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Table of Contents	
	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	I–1
Financial Statements:	
Statements of Plan Net Assets	3
Statements of Changes in Plan Net Assets	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
Supplementary Information Required by <u>Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 25 and 50</u> :	
Schedule of Funding Progress (Exhibit I)	48
Schedule of Contributions from the Employer and Other Contributing Entities (Exhibit II)	49
Notes to Required Supplementary Information (Exhibit III)	50
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in	
Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	51
Management Letter	53



## **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Board of Trustees of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System

We have audited the accompanying statements of plan net assets of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Plan (the "Plan"), administered by the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System, which is a part of the State of Oklahoma financial reporting entity, as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of changes in plan net assets for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Plan's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As more fully described in Note 3 to the financial statements, the 2009 financial statements were restated to reflect the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 51, *Accounting and Reporting for Intangible Assets* (GASB 51). GASB 51 requires the financial statements to capitalize and amortize certain intangible assets.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the net assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the changes in the net assets of the Plan for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

(Continued)

## **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT, CONTINUED**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages I–1 through I–4 and the schedule of funding progress and the schedule of contributions from the employer and other contributing entities on pages 48–50 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by GASB, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated October 15, 2010, on our consideration of the Plan's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Finley + Cook, PLLC

Shawnee, Oklahoma October 15, 2010

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Plan administered by the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System (collectively referred to as the "System"), we offer readers of the System's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial statements of the System for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Please read it in conjunction with the System's financial statements which begin on page 3.

### **Financial Highlights**

		June 30,		
			2010	2009
			(Amounts in The	ousands)
•	Net assets of the System	\$	1,548,827	1,421,466
•	Contributions:			
	Cities		32,240	31,675
	Plan members		19,626	19,139
	Insurance premium tax		22,292	26,913
•	Net investment income (loss)		163,729	(283,519)
•	Benefits paid, including refunds and deferred option benefits		108,147	89,048
	deterred option benefits		100,177	07,040
•	Change in net assets		127,361	(297,016)

#### DISCUSSION OF THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual financial report consists of two parts: Management's Discussion and Analysis (this section) and the basic financial statements. The System is a pension trust fund of the State of Oklahoma. The financial statements are presented using the economic measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. The System's statements offer short-term and long-term financial information about the activities and operations of the System. These statements are presented in a manner similar to those of a private business. The statements of plan net assets represent the fair value of the System's assets as of the end of the fiscal year. The statements of changes in plan net assets are presented in order to show the change in net assets during the year. The activity primarily consists of contributions to the System, unrealized and realized gains and losses on investments, investment income, benefits paid, and investment and administrative expenses.

## **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, CONTINUED**

### CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARING THE CURRENT YEAR TO THE PRIOR YEAR

*Net Assets:* The following table summarizes the net assets as of June 30:

			% Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
	(Amounts in T	Thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,931	24,019	(4.5)%
Receivables	10,132	10,665	(5.0)%
Investments, at fair value	1,526,032	1,398,241	9.1%
Securities lending collateral	48,845	23,803	105.2%
Capital assets	 1,014	989	2.5%
Total assets	 1,608,954	1,457,717	10.4%
Liabilities	 60,127	36,251	65.9%
Net assets	\$ 1,548,827	1,421,466	9.0%

Investments are made in accordance with the investment policy approved by the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System Board. A more detailed description of the types of investments held and the investment policy is presented in Note 2 to the financial statements.

*Operating Income:* The following table summarizes the changes in net assets between fiscal years 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009_	% Increase (Decrease)
	(Amounts in Th	ousands)	
Additions (reductions)			
Contributions	\$ 74,158	77,727	(4.6)%
Net investment income (loss)	 163,729	(283,519)	157.7%
Total additions (reductions)	 237,887	(205,792)	215.6%
Deductions			
Benefits paid, including refunds	84,219	81,388	3.5%
Deferred option benefits	23,928	7,660	212.4%
Administrative expenses	 2,379	2,176	9.3%
Total deductions	 110,526	91,224	21.2%
Changes in net assets	\$ 127,361	(297,016)	142.9%

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, CONTINUED

#### ANALYSIS OF THE OVERALL FINANCIAL POSITION AND Results of Operations

Funding for the System is derived primarily from contributions to the System from both the cities and the police officers. In total, the contribution had decreased during the fiscal year 2010 compared to fiscal year 2009 due primarily to the fact that the amount of insurance premium taxes decreased \$4,621,000, or 17%. The System received only 14% of total insurance premium tax collected for the year ended June 30, 2010, as opposed to 17% for the year ended June 30, 2009.

The System's net yield on average assets was approximately 12% for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, as a result of the market recovering during this fiscal year. As the System accounts for its investments at fair value, increases and declines in the prices of stocks and bonds have a direct effect and impact on the net assets and operating results of the System. The System's net yield (loss) on its average assets for the years ended June 30 and the yield (loss) for the S&P 500 during the same period were as follows:

	2010	2009
System	12%	(16)%
S&P 500	14%	(26)%

Total benefit payments, including deferred option benefits, increased during the year by approximately 21%. This was primarily due to an increase in the number of individuals retiring in 2010 and their election to participate in the "Back" DROP.

Administrative expenses are composed primarily of payroll and related expenses for the employees of the System, legal fees, investment consulting fees, data processing fees, and medical and travel costs. Total administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2010, increased approximately 9.3% over the year ended June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to professional fees paid of approximately \$327,000 for software development being reclassified from an expense in 2009 to a capital asset in 2010. All other administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2010, were reduced 5% or more, in accordance with the statewide budget cut. The overall increase in administrative expenses of approximately 9% was due primarily to the situations explained above.

The System has no debt or infrastructure assets.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, CONTINUED

## DESCRIPTION OF CURRENTLY KNOWN FACTS, DECISIONS, OR CONDITIONS THAT ARE EXPECTED TO HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE FINANCIAL POSITION OR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

While the System is directly impacted by the overall stock market changes, investments are made based on the expected long-term performance and best interest of the members of the System. With over \$1.5 billion of assets and a wide range of diversity of investments, the System has the financial resources to maintain its current investment strategies while continuing to review for other investment options to benefit its members.

Presently, the System receives 14% of the total taxes collected on insurance premiums. The System received insurance premium taxes of approximately \$22 million and \$27 million in the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The System received insurance premium taxes at the rate of 17% through June 30, 2009. Commencing July 1, 2009, the rate was reduced to 14%.

### **REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the System's finances for all those with an interest. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Executive Director or Comptroller, Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System, 1001 N.W. 63<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 305, Oklahoma City, OK 73116-7335.

## STATEMENTS OF PLAN NET ASSETS

June 30,	2010	2009
	(Amounts in Thousands	
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,931	24,019
Receivables:		
Interest and dividends receivable	2,905	2,874
Contributions receivable from cities	1,440	1,464
Contributions receivable from participants	870	901
Insurance premium tax receivable	4,917	5,426
Total receivables	10,132	10,665
Investments, at fair value:		
U.S. government securities	28,338	10,522
Domestic corporate bonds	349,266	335,406
International corporate bonds	84,656	79,277
Domestic stocks	490,135	434,970
International stocks	145,685	142,340
Equity—real estate investment trusts	3,469	3,708
Alternative investments	421,008	388,618
Real estate—Columbus Square	3,475	3,400
Total investments, at fair value	1,526,032	1,398,241
Securities lending collateral	48,845	23,803
Capital assets	1,014	989
Total assets	1,608,954	1,457,717
Liabilities		
Net payable to brokers	201	369
Accounts payable	1,167	1,251
Deferred option benefits payable	9,914	10,828
Securities lending collateral	48,845	23,803
Total liabilities	60,127	36,251
Net assets held in trust for pension benefits		
(Schedule of Funding Progress is presented as		
Exhibit I)	<u>\$ 1,548,827</u>	1,421,466

See Independent Auditors' Report. See accompanying notes to financial statements.

## STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PLAN NET ASSETS

Years Ended June 30,	2010	2009
	(Amounts in Th	iousands)
Additions (reductions)		
Contributions:		
Cities	\$ 32,240	31,675
Plan members	19,626	19,139
Insurance premium tax	 22,292	26,913
Total contributions	 74,158	77,727
Investment income (loss):		
From investing activities:		
Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments	157,918	(288,656)
Interest	7,451	7,860
Dividends	8,256	8,596
Other	 233	273
Total investment income (loss)	173,858	(271,927)
Less investment expense	 (10,236)	(11,885)
Income (loss) from investing activities	 163,622	(283,812)
From securities lending activities:		
Securities lending income	134	658
Securities lending expenses:		
Borrower rebates, net	18	(250)
Management fees	 (45)	(115)
Income from securities lending activities	 107	293
Net investment income (loss)	163,729	(283,519)
Total additions (reductions)	 237,887	(205,792)
Deductions		
Benefits paid	82,799	80,238
Deferred option benefits	23,928	7,660
Refunds of contributions	1,420	1,150
Administrative expenses	2,379	2,176
Total deductions	110,526	91,224
Changes in net assets	 127,361	(297,016)
Net assets held in trust for pension benefits:		
Beginning of year, as restated	 1,421,466	1,718,482
End of year	\$ 1,548,827	1,421,466
See Independent Auditors' Report	 	

See Independent Auditors' Report. See accompanying notes to financial statements.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### June 30, 2010 and 2009

### (1) <u>NATURE OF OPERATIONS</u>

The Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System (the "System") was established by legislative act and became effective on January 1, 1981. The System is the administrator of a multiple-employer, cost-sharing defined benefit pension plan that provides participants with retirement, death, and disability benefits and a deferred option plan (the "Deferred Option"), both established by the State of Oklahoma. These plans are considered a single plan for financial reporting purposes. The System is part of the State of Oklahoma financial reporting entity and is included in the State's financial reports as a pension trust fund. The System covers substantially all police officers employed by the 131 participating municipalities and state agencies within the state of Oklahoma.

The System is a part of the State of Oklahoma financial reporting entity, which is combined with other similar funds (multiple-employer, cost-sharing) to comprise the fiduciary-pension trust funds of the State of Oklahoma.

The Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Board of Trustees (the "Board") is responsible for the operation, administration, and management of the System. The Board also determines the general investment policy of the System's assets.

#### (1) NATURE OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

The System's participants at June 30 consisted of:

	2010	2009
Retirees and beneficiaries currently		
receiving benefits	2,993	2,785
Vested members with deferred benefits	111	103
Deferred Option plan members	50	60
	3,154	2,948
Active plan members:		
Vested	2,350	2,335
Nonvested	2,576	2,648
Total active plan members	4,926	4,983
Total members	8,080	7,931
Number of participating municipalities and		
state agencies	131	130

The System administers the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Plan (the "Plan"). For report purposes, the System is deemed to be the administrator of the Plan.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u>

The following are the significant accounting policies followed by the Plan.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

The financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting, under which expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred, revenues are recorded in the accounting period in which they are earned and become measurable, and investment purchases and sales are recorded as of their trade date. The financial statements are in conformity with provisions of Statement No. 25, *Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans*, issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB 25) and Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures* (GASB 50).

The Plan is administered by the System, a part of the State of Oklahoma financial reporting entity, which together with other similar pension and retirement funds comprise the fiduciary-pension trust funds of the State of Oklahoma. Administrative expenses are paid with funds provided by operations of the Plan.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2007, GASB issued Statement No. 51, *Accounting and Reporting for Intangible Assets* (GASB 51), which requires certain intangible assets to be capitalized, specifically internally developed software. GASB 51 applies to all state and local governments and is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2009. As more fully described in Note 3, the June 30, 2009, financial statements were restated for the implementation of GASB 51.

On June 30, 2008, GASB issued Statement No. 53, *Accounting for Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments* (GASB 53), which provides guidance to governments to improve the reporting of derivative instruments in their financial statements. GASB 53 applies to all state and local governments and is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2009. The effect of implementing GASB 53 did not have a material impact on the financial reporting of the Plan.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of the Plan's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management of the Plan to make significant estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of net assets held in trust for pension benefits at the date of the financial statements and the actuarial information in Exhibits I, II, and III included in the required supplementary information as of the benefit information date, the changes in the Plan's net assets during the reporting period, and, when applicable, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **Risks and Uncertainties**

Contributions to the Plan and the actuarial information in Exhibits I, II, and III included in the required supplementary information are reported based on certain assumptions pertaining to interest rates, inflation rates, and employee compensation and demographics. Due to the changing nature of these assumptions, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in these assumptions may occur in the near term and, due to the uncertainties inherent in setting assumptions, that the effect of such changes could be material to the financial statements.

#### **Date of Subsequent Events Evaluation**

The Plan has evaluated subsequent events through October 15, 2010, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

### **Investments**

The Plan is authorized to invest in eligible investments as approved by the Board as set forth in its investment policy.

<u>Method Used to Value Investments</u>—Plan investments are reported at fair value. Short-term investments include an investment fund composed of an investment in units of a commingled trust fund of the Plan's custodial agent (which is valued at cost, which approximates fair value), commercial paper, treasury bills, and U.S. government agency securities. Debt and equity securities are reported at fair value, as determined by the Plan's custodial agent, using pricing services or prices quoted by independent brokers based on the latest reported sales prices at current exchange rates for securities traded on national or international exchanges. The fair value of the pro rata share of units owned by the Plan in equity index and commingled trust funds is determined by the respective fund trustee based on quoted sales prices of the underlying securities. The fair value of the real estate is determined from independent appraisals. Investments which do not have an established market are reported at estimated fair value.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Investments, Continued**

Net investment income (loss) includes net appreciation/(depreciation) in the fair value of investments, interest income, dividend income, investment income from real estate, securities lending income and expenses, and investment expenses, which includes investment management and custodial fees and all other significant investment related costs. Foreign currency translation gains and losses are reflected in the net appreciation (depreciation) in the fair value of investments. Investment income from real estate includes the Plan's share of income from operations, net appreciation in the fair value of the underlying real estate properties, and the Plan's real estate investment management fees. The fair value of the limited partnerships is determined by managers of the partnerships based on the values of the underlying assets.

The Plan's international investment managers enter into forward foreign exchange contracts to protect against fluctuation in exchange rates between the trade date and the settlement date of foreign investment transactions. The gains and losses on these contracts are included in income in the period in which the exchange rates change.

The Plan may invest in various traditional financial instruments that fall under the broad definition of derivatives. The Plan's derivatives may include collateralized mortgage obligations, convertible stocks and bonds, and variable rate instruments. These investments do not increase investment risk beyond allowable limits specified in the Plan's investment policy.

The Plan's investment policy provides for investments in any combinations of stocks, bonds, fixed-income securities, and other investment securities, along with investments in commingled, mutual, and index funds. Investment securities and investment securities underlying commingled or mutual fund investments are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate and market and credit risks. Due to the risks associated with certain investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in the values of investment securities may occur in the near term and such changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the statements of plan net assets.

The investment policy limits the concentration of each portfolio manager. Except as noted below, no single investment exceeds 5% of the Plan's net assets. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Plan did have more than 5% invested in U.S. government obligations; however, these obligations are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

### (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Investments, Continued**

The Plan invests in domestic equity index funds, domestic equity commingled trust funds, and international equity funds. The Plan shares the risk of loss in these funds with other participants in proportion to its respective investment. Because the Plan does not own any specific identifiable investment securities of these funds, the market risk associated with any derivative investments held in these funds is not apparent. The degree of market risk depends on the underlying portfolios of the funds, which were selected by the Plan in accordance with its investment policy guidelines, including risk assessment. The international funds invest primarily in equity securities of entities outside the United States and may enter into forward contracts to purchase or sell securities at specified dates in the future at a guaranteed price in a foreign currency to protect against fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign currency.

The following tables present the individual investments exceeding the 5%<sup>(1)</sup> threshold at June 30:

			2010	
Classification of	Name of	Shares		Fair
Investment	Investment	Held	Cost	Value
			(Amounts in Th	ousands)
Domestic corporate bonds	Pacific Alternative Asset	105,000,000	\$ 105,000	148,463
Domestic stocks	Mellon Large Cap Stock Index Fund	401,804	291,385	292,059
Alternative investments	Grosvenor Long/Short Equity Fund, LP	156,508,708	132,000	156,509

<sup>(1)</sup> While the individual investment may exceed 5% of the Plan's net assets, each investment is comprised of numerous individual securities. As such, no individual security exceeds the 5% threshold.

### (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

### **Investments, Continued**

			2009	Ð
Classification of	Name of	Shares		Fair
Investment	Investment	Held	<u>Cost</u>	Value
			(Amounts in T	housands)
Domestic corporate bonds	Pacific Alternative Asset	105,000,000	\$ 105,000	134,645
Domestic stocks	Mellon Large Cap Stock Index Fund	424,766	308,036	267,776
Domestic stocks	Attalus Long/Short Equity Fund	71,111,715	67,000	71,112
International				
corporate bonds	Loomis Sayles World Bond	6,950,046	71,997	71,029
International stocks	Mondrian International Equity Fund	3,939,387	56,861	71,848
Alternative investments	s Grosvenor Long/Short Equity Fund, LP	148,198,745	132,000	148,199

<sup>(1)</sup> While the individual investment may exceed 5% of the Plan's net assets, each investment is comprised of numerous individual securities. As such, no individual security exceeds the 5% threshold.

## (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Repurchase/Reverse Repurchase Agreement**

The Plan has a master repurchase/reverse repurchase agreement. Under the agreement, the Plan may enter into a purchase/sale of a security with a simultaneous agreement to resell/repurchase the security at a specified future date and price. The Plan did not enter into any transactions under this agreement during fiscal year 2010 or 2009.

### **Capital Assets**

Capital assets, which consist of internally generated software, are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the related asset (20 years). Depreciation of the new software will begin in fiscal year 2011.

### **Income Taxes**

The Plan is exempt from federal and state income taxes.

### Plan Termination

In the event the Plan terminates, the Oklahoma Statutes contain no provision for the order of distribution of net assets of the Plan. Plan termination would take an act of the Oklahoma Legislature, at which time the order of distribution of net assets would be addressed.

### (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Administrative Items**

#### **Operating Leases**

The Plan had an operating lease which ended June 30, 2010. The lease has been renewed for the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. Total lease expense was approximately \$78,000 each year for 2010 and 2009.

#### Compensated Absences

Employees of the System earn annual vacation leave at the rate of 10 hours per month for up to 5 years of service, 12 hours per month for service of 5 to 10 years, 13.3 hours per month for service of 10 to 20 years, and 16.7 hours per month for over 20 years of service. Unused annual leave may be accumulated to a maximum of 480 hours. All accrued leave is payable upon termination, resignation, retirement, or death. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$113,000 and \$112,000, respectively, was included in accounts payable as the accrual for compensated absences.

The changes in the accrual for compensated absences for the years ended June 30 were as follows:

		2010	2009
Balance at beginning of year Additions Amount used	\$	112,325 43,411 (42,996)	127,289 46,372 (61,336)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$</u>	112,740	112,325

### (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

### Administrative Items, Continued

#### Retirement Expense

The employees of the System are eligible to participate in the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement Plan, which is administered by the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a multiple-employer, cost-sharing public retirement defined benefit pension plan. OPERS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to its plan members and beneficiaries. OPERS issues a publicly available financial report which includes financial statements and required supplementary information for OPERS. That report may be obtained by writing to the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement System, 5801 N. Broadway Extension, Suite 400, Oklahoma City, OK 73118.

Employees of the System are required to contribute 3.5% of their annual covered salary. The System is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate, which was 15.5% and 14.5% of annual covered payroll as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. During 2010, 2009, and 2008, a total of \$121,050, \$122,449 and \$112,670, respectively, was paid to OPERS. The System's and employees' portions of those amounts were as follows:

		2010	2009	2008
System portion Employee portion	\$	99,784 21,266	97,319 25,130	89,002 23,668
Employee portion	\$	121,050	122,449	112,670
	φ	121,030	122,449	112,070

#### **Risk Management**

The Risk Management Division of the Department of Central Services (the "Division") is empowered by the authority of Title 74 O.S. Supp. 1993, Section 85.34 et seq. The Division is responsible for the acquisition and administration of all insurance purchased by the State or administration of any self-insurance plans and programs adopted for use by the State for certain organizations and bodies outside of state government, at the sole expense of such organizations and bodies.

### (2) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

### Administrative Items, Continued

#### Risk Management, Continued

The Division is authorized to settle claims of the State and shall govern the dispensation and/or settlement of claims against a political subdivision. In no event shall self-insurance coverage provided by the State, an agency, or other covered entity exceed the limitations on the maximum dollar amount of liability specified by the Oklahoma Government Tort Claims Act, as provided by Title 51 O.S. Supp. 1988, Section 154. The Division oversees the collection of liability claims owed to the State incurred as the result of a loss through the wrongful or negligent act of a private person or other entity.

The Division is also charged with the responsibility to immediately notify the attorney general of any claims against the State presented to the Division. The Division purchases insurance policies through third-party insurance carriers that ultimately inherit the risk of loss. The Division annually assesses each State agency, including the System, their pro rata share of the premiums purchased. The System has no obligations to any claims submitted against the System.

### (3) <u>RESTATEMENT OF PRIOR YEAR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>

The 2009 financial statements have been restated to reflect the implementation of GASB 51 relating to the capitalization of certain intangible assets.

The effects of the change on the financial statements were as follows:

	Capital	Changes in	Net Assets	Net Assets
	Assets	Net Assets	Beginning of Year	End of Year
		(Amounts	in Thousands)	
As previously reported	\$ -	(297,343)	1,717,820	1,420,477
Effect of change	 989	327	662	989
As restated	\$ 989	(297,016)	1,718,482	1,421,466

The Plan, prior to implementing GASB 51, had been expensing the costs relating to the implementation of the new software system. Expensing the cost was in accordance with existing accounting standards. GASB 51 requires internally generated computer software to be capitalized and amortized over its useful life. GASB 51 became effective in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, and the provisions of GASB 51 are required to be applied retroactively. As such, a restatement was required.

### (4) <u>DESCRIPTION OF PLAN</u>

The following brief description of the Plan is provided for general information purposes only. Participants should refer to the Oklahoma Statutes for more complete information.

#### **General**

The Plan is a multiple-employer, cost-sharing defined benefit pension plan covering members who have actively participated in being a police officer for an Oklahoma municipality or state agency which is a member of the Plan.

#### **Contributions**

The contribution requirements of the Plan are at an established rate determined by Oklahoma statute and are not based on actuarial calculations.

An eligible municipality may join the Plan on the first day of any month. Upon approval by the Board, its membership is irrevocable. All persons employed as police officers are required to participate in the Plan upon initial employment with the police department of the participating municipality. The Oklahoma Legislature has authority to establish and amend contribution amounts. Until July 1, 1991, each municipality contributed to the System 10% of the actual base salary of each participant employed by the municipality. Beginning July 1, 1991, municipality contributions increased by 1/2% per year and continued this increase until July 1, 1996, when the contribution level reached 13%, which it remains at currently. Each participant of the Plan contributes 8% of their actual paid base salary. Additional funds are provided to the Plan by the State of Oklahoma through an allocation of the tax on premiums collected by insurance companies operating in Oklahoma and by the net investment income generated on assets held by the Plan. The Plan is responsible for paying administrative costs. Administrative costs of the Plan.

### (4) <u>DESCRIPTION OF PLAN, CONTINUED</u>

### **Funded Status and Funding Progress**

### 2010

As of July 1, 2010, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the Plan was 74.9% funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$2.3 billion, and the actuarial value of assets was \$1.8 billion, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$587 million. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Plan) was \$250 million, and the ratio of UAAL to covered payroll was 235.3%.

#### 2009

As of July 1, 2009, the Plan was 76.3% funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$2.3 billion, and the actuarial value of assets was \$1.7 billion, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$535 million. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Plan) was \$254 million, and the ratio of UAAL to covered payroll was 210.9%.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

### **Actuarial Methods and Assumptions**

### 2010

In the July 1, 2010, actuarial valuation, the entry age actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included (a) a 7.5% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) and (b) projected salary increases ranging from 5% to 19% per year. Both (a) and (b) included an inflation component of 3%. The projection of benefits for financial accounting purposes also does not explicitly incorporate the potential effects of any limitation on the State's contribution rate disclosed above under *Contributions*. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that spread the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a 5-year period. The UAAL is being amortized as a level dollar amount on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period at July 1, 2010, was 8 years.

### (4) <u>DESCRIPTION OF PLAN, CONTINUED</u>

### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions, Continued

#### 2009

In the July 1, 2009, actuarial valuation, the entry age actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included (a) a 7.5% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) and (b) projected salary increases ranging from 5% to 19% per year. Both (a) and (b) included an inflation component of 3%. The projection of benefits for financial accounting purposes also does not explicitly incorporate the potential effects of any limitation on the State's contribution rate disclosed above under *Contributions*. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that spread the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a 5-year period. The UAAL is being amortized as a level dollar amount on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period at July 1, 2009, was 9 years.

### **Benefits**

In general, the Plan provides defined retirement benefits based on members' final average compensation, age, and term of service. In addition, the retirement program provides for benefits upon disability and to survivors upon death of eligible members. Retirement provisions are as follows:

- The normal retirement date under the Plan is the date upon which the participant completes 20 years of credited service, regardless of age. Participants become vested upon completing 10 years of credited service as a contributing participant of the Plan. No vesting occurs prior to completing 10 years of credited service. Participants' contributions are refundable, without interest, upon termination prior to normal retirement. Participants who have completed 10 years of credited service may elect a vested benefit in lieu of having their accumulated contributions refunded. If the vested benefit is elected, the participant is entitled to a monthly retirement benefit commencing on the date the participant reaches 50 years of age or the date the participant would have had 20 years of credited service had employment continued uninterrupted, whichever is later.
- Monthly retirement benefits are calculated at 2.5% of the final average salary (defined as the average paid base salary of the officer over the highest 30 consecutive months of the last 60 months of credited service) multiplied by the years of credited service, with a maximum of 30 years of credited service considered.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (4) <u>DESCRIPTION OF PLAN, CONTINUED</u>

### **Benefits**, Continued

- Monthly benefits for participants due to permanent disability incurred in the line of duty are 2.5% of the participants' final average salary multiplied by 20 years. This disability benefit is reduced by stated percentages for partial disability based on the percentage of impairment. After 10 years of credited service, participants who retire due to disability incurred from any cause are eligible for a monthly benefit based on 2.5% of their final average salary multiplied by the years of service. This disability benefit is also reduced by stated percentages for partial disability based on the percentage of impairment. Effective July 1, 1998, once a disability benefit is granted to a participant, that participant is no longer allowed to apply for an increase in the dollar amount of the benefit at a subsequent date.
- Survivor's benefits are payable in full to the participant's beneficiary upon the death of a retired participant. The beneficiary of any active participant killed in the line of duty is entitled to a pension benefit. Effective July 1, 1999, a \$5,000 death benefit is also paid, in addition to any survivor's pension benefits under the Plan, to the participant's beneficiary or estate for active or retired members.
- The Deferred Option allows participants otherwise eligible for a normal retirement benefit to defer terminating employment and drawing retirement benefits for a period not to exceed 5 years. Under the Deferred Option, retirement benefits are calculated based on compensation and service at the time of election and a separate account is established for each participant. During the participation period, the employee's retirement benefit is credited to the participant's account along with a portion of the employer's contribution and interest. Interest is credited at a rate of 2% below the rate of return on the investment portfolio of the Plan, with a guaranteed minimum interest equal to the assumed actuarial interest of 7.5%. Employee contributions cease once participation in the Deferred Option is elected. At the conclusion of participation in the Deferred Option, the participant will receive the balance in the separate account under payment terms allowed by the Deferred Option and will then begin receiving retirement benefit payments as calculated at the time of election.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (4) **DESCRIPTION OF PLAN, CONTINUED**

### **Benefits**, Continued

- In the 2003 Legislative Session, Senate Bill 688 and House Bill 1464 created a "Back" DROP for members of the System. The "Back" DROP is a modified deferred retirement option retirement plan. The "Back" DROP allows the member flexibility by not having to commit to terminate employment within 5 years. Once a member has met their normal retirement period of 20 years, the member can choose, upon retirement, to be treated as if the member had entered into the "Back" DROP. A member, however, cannot receive credit to the "Back" DROP account based upon any years prior to when the member reached their normal retirement date. Once a member is ready to retire, the member can make the election to participate in the "Back" DROP and can receive a "Back" DROP benefit based upon up to 5 years of participation. The member's regular retirement benefit will not take into account any years of service credited to the "Back" DROP.
- In 2006, the Board approved a method of payment called the Deferred Option Payout Provision (the "Payout Provision"). The Payout Provision allows a retired member who has completed participation in the Deferred Option or the "Back" DROP the ability to leave their account balance in the Plan. The retired member's account balance will be commingled and reinvested with the total assets, and therefore the member will not be able to direct their personal investments. Written election must be made to the Board no more than 30 days following the termination of employment or within 30 days of the implementation of the policy.
- Upon participating in the Payout Provision, a retired member shall not be guaranteed a minimum rate of return on their investment. A retired member shall earn interest on their account as follows:
  - a) The retired member shall earn two percentage points below the net annual rate of return of the investment portfolio of the System.
  - b) If the portfolio earns less than a 2% rate of return, but more than zero, the retired member shall earn zero percentage points.
  - c) If the portfolio earns less than zero percentage points, there shall be a deduction from the retired member's balance equal to the net annual rate of return of the investment portfolio of the System.

Interest as earned above shall be credited to the retired member's account.

### (4) <u>DESCRIPTION OF PLAN, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Benefits**, Continued

The Oklahoma Legislature has the authority to grant percentage increases or special one-time payments to persons receiving benefits from the Plan. Additionally, certain retirees are entitled to receive a cost-of-living allowance (COLA) when a COLA is granted to active police officers in the retiree's city. Participants eligible to receive both types of benefit increases are to receive the greater of the legislative increase or the benefit increase the participant would receive pursuant to the COLA provision.

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS</u>

#### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

At June 30, cash and cash equivalents were composed of the following:

		<u>2010</u> (Amounts in 2	<u>2009</u> Thousands)
Cash on deposit with Mellon (the "Custodian")	\$		135
Short-term investments:			
OK INVEST		11,720	-
Domestic	_	11,211	23,884
Total short-term investments		22,931	23,884
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	22,931	24,019

At June 30, 2010, as a result of outstanding checks and deposits, the carrying amount of the Plan's OK INVEST account totaled \$11,719,633 and the bank balance totaled \$20,838,113. The carrying amounts of the domestic short-term investment and cash on deposit with Mellon were the same as the bank balances at June 30, 2010 and 2009.

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

### Cash and Cash Equivalents, Continued

Included in cash and cash equivalents are investments included in the State of Oklahoma's OK INVEST Portfolio. Because these investments are controlled by the State of Oklahoma and the balances change on a daily basis, they are considered cash equivalents. The balances are overnight funds consisting of U.S. agencies, mortgage-backed agencies, U.S. Treasury notes, municipal bonds, foreign bonds, tri-party repurchase agreements, certificates of deposit, and money market mutual funds. As of June 30, 2010, the investment balances were as follows:

U.S. agencies	\$ 7,984,319
Mortgage-backed agencies	7,372,081
U.S. Treasury notes	603,618
Municipal bonds	448,981
Foreign bonds	83,523
Tri-party repurchase agreements	1,336,375
Certificates of deposit	1,185,240
Money market mutual funds	 1,823,976
	\$ 20,838,113

The Plan's other short-term investments consist of temporary investments in commingled trust funds of the Plan's custodial agent, commercial paper, treasury bills, and U.S. government agency securities. The commingled trust funds are composed of high-grade money market instruments with short maturities. Each participant shares the risk of loss in proportion to their respective investment in the funds.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of a counterparty, the Plan will not be able to recover the value of its investments. Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are uninsured and uncollateralized. Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the Plan, and are held by a counterparty or the counterparty's trust department but not in the name of the Plan. While the investment policy does not specifically address custodial credit risk of deposits, it does limit the amount of cash and short-term investments to no more than 5% of each manager's portfolio. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$11,211,000 and \$24,019,000, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents was uninsured and uncollateralized. The policy also provides that investment collateral be held by a third-party custodian with whom the Plan has a current custodial agreement in the Plan's name.

#### **Foreign Currency Risk**

Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment or a deposit. The investment policy limits foreign equity investments to 10% of total net assets through its asset allocation policy. Investment in cash and cash equivalents, equities, and fixed-income securities as of June 30, 2010, is shown by monetary unit to indicate possible foreign currency risk.

	Cash and Cash		Corporate	
<u>Currency</u>	<b>Equivalents</b>	<b>Equities</b>	Bonds	<u>Total</u>
		(Amounts in Th	ousands)	
Commingled funds	\$	- 145,685	84,656	230,341

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Foreign Currency Risk, Continued**

Commingled funds are made up of the following:

• Mondrian International Equity Fund—The fund invests in international equity securities. The fund's allocation by country/region as of June 30, 2010, was as follows:

PACIFIC	
Australia	10.2%
Hong Kong	1.3%
Japan	22.4%
New Zealand	0.6%
Singapore	4.8%
Taiwan	<u>2.6</u> %
	<u>41.9</u> %
EUROPE	
Belgium	0.2%
Finland	0.4%
France	13.4%
Germany	4.8%
Italy	1.6%
Netherlands	3.1%
Spain	6.5%
Switzerland	5.4%
United Kingdom	<u>20.0</u> %
	<u>55.4</u> %
South Africa	<u>0.9</u> %
CASH	<u>1.8</u> %
	<u>100.0</u> %

#### Country/Region Allocation

#### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Foreign Currency Risk, Continued**

• Artio International Equity Group Trust Fund—The fund seeks long-term growth of capital by investing in a diversified portfolio of international equities in developed and emerging markets. The fund's average portfolio weight by geographic allocation as of June 30, 2010, was as follows:

Geographic Allocation

<u>Geographic Anocato</u>	<u>11</u>
Dollar bloc	26.69%
Developed Asia markets	0.08%
Emerging markets	23.87%
Developed Europe markets	23.06%
Japan	12.63%
United Kingdom	11.44%
Other	<u>2.23</u> %
	100.000/
	100.00%

• Loomis Sayles World Bond Fund—The fund normally invests at least 80% of its net assets in fixed-income securities. The fund invests primarily in investment grade fixed-income securities worldwide, although it may invest up to 20% of its fair value in lower rated fixed-income securities. Securities held by the fund may be denominated in any currency, may be of issuers located in countries with emerging securities markets, or may be fixed-income securities of any maturity. The fund's allocation by currency as of June 30, 2010, was as follows:

#### Currency Allocation

U.S. dollars	39.63%
Euro countries	21.99%
Japanese yen	14.74%
British pound sterling	6.19%
Non-Euro	5.96%
Canadian dollar	3.53%
Developing countries	7.16%
Australia and New Zealand	<u>0.80</u> %
	100.00%

#### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Foreign Currency Risk, Continued**

• OCM International Convertible Trust—The fund invests principally in convertible securities of foreign issuers. The funds allocation by country as of June 30, 2010, was as follows:

Australia	6.14%
Brazil	1.60%
Canada	7.01%
China	5.26%
Columbia	0.33%
Czech Republic	1.22%
Finland	1.76%
France	9.75%
Germany	4.18%
Hong Kong	1.66%
Hungary	1.73%
India	6.80%
Israel	1.59%
Italy	1.92%
Japan	5.75%
Kazakhstan	1.51%
Luxembourg	0.04%
Malaysia	4.67%
Netherlands	3.40%
Norway	6.99%
Portugal	1.18%
Russian Federation	0.23%
Singapore	3.51%
South Africa	2.17%
Korea—Republic of	0.79%
Spain	1.40%
Sweden	1.47%
Switzerland	1.54%
United Arab Emirates	0.18%
United Kingdom	<u>14.22</u> %
	100.00%

## Country Allocation

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Foreign Currency Risk, Continued**

Investment in cash and cash equivalents, foreign equities, and fixed-income securities as of June 30, 2009, is shown by monetary unit to indicate possible foreign currency risk.

	Cash and Cash		Corporate	
Currency	Equivalents	<b>Equities</b>	<b>Bonds</b>	<u>Total</u>
		(Amounts in Th	ousands)	
U.S. dollar <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ -	5,445	992	6,437
Commingled funds		136,895	78,285	215,180
	<u>\$                                    </u>	142,340	79,277	221,617

<sup>(1)</sup> Investments in international companies that maintain their financial statements and investments in U.S. dollars.

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

### **Foreign Currency Risk, Continued**

Commingled funds are made up of the following:

• Mondrian International Equity Fund—The fund invests in international equity securities. The fund's allocation by country/region as of June 30, 2009, was as follows:

PACIFIC	
Australia	11.1%
Hong Kong	3.0%
Japan	22.2%
New Zealand	0.7%
Singapore	5.4%
Taiwan	1.9%
	44.3%
EUROPE	
Belgium	0.4%
Finland	0.8%
France	12.0%
Germany	5.2%
Italy	3.1%
Netherlands	2.4%
Spain	8.3%
Switzerland	3.3%
United Kingdom	16.9%
	52.4%
South Africa	<u>0.9</u> %
CASH	<u>2.4</u> %
	<u>100.0</u> %

#### Country/Region Allocation

#### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### Foreign Currency Risk, Continued

• Artio International Equity Group Trust Fund—The fund seeks long-term growth of capital by investing in a diversified portfolio of international equities in developed and emerging markets. The fund's average portfolio weight by geographic allocation as of June 30, 2009, was as follows:

Geographic Allocation

<u>Geographic Anocation</u>	
Dollar bloc	15.75%
Developed Asia markets	1.64%
Emerging markets	17.42%
Developed Europe markets	36.48%
Japan	14.67%
United Kingdom	13.09%
Other	<u>0.95</u> %
	100.00%

• Loomis Sayles World Bond Fund—The fund normally invests at least 80% of its net assets in fixed-income securities. The fund invests primarily in investment grade fixed-income securities worldwide, although it may invest up to 20% of its fair value in lower rated fixed-income securities. Securities held by the fund may be denominated in any currency, may be of issuers located in countries with emerging securities markets, or may be fixed-income securities of any maturity. The fund's allocation by currency as of June 30, 2009, was as follows:

Currency Allocation

<u>Currency Allocation</u>				
U.S. dollars	40.55%			
Euro countries	29.26%			
Japanese yen	15.54%			
British pound sterling	5.73%			
Non-Euro	3.97%			
Canadian dollar	3.24%			
Developing countries	1.19%			
Australia and New Zealand	0.52%			
	100.00%			

### (5) CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

#### **Foreign Currency Risk, Continued**

• OCM International Convertible Trust—The fund invests principally in convertible securities of foreign issuers. The funds allocation by country as of June 30, 2009, was as follows:

Country Allocation	
Australia	4.9%
Austria	0.3%
Belgium	2.1%
Brazil	2.0%
Canada	1.6%
China	5.2%
Egypt	1.4%
Finland	2.4%
France	10.5%
Germany	4.5%
Greece	1.6%
Hong Kong	4.2%
Hungary	1.6%
India	3.8%
Israel	1.2%
Italy	3.1%
Japan	1.7%
Luxembourg	0.3%
Malaysia	7.3%
Netherlands	4.1%
Nigeria	1.1%
Norway	3.3%
Portugal	1.5%
Singapore	1.6%
South Africa	0.7%
South Korea	2.3%
Spain	2.5%
Switzerland	4.9%
United Arab Emirates	0.5%
United Kingdom	14.0%
Vietnam	0.6%
Cash and receivables over payables	<u>3.2</u> %
	100.0%

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Credit Risk**

Fixed-income securities are subject to credit risk. Credit quality rating is one method of assessing the ability of the issuer to meet its obligation. The investment portfolio for domestic fixed-income securities requires the portfolio to maintain an average of A+ or higher. For international fixed-income securities, the investment policy requires the portfolio to invest in securities equal to or better than Moody's Baa3 or Standard & Poor's BBB. Exposure to credit risk as of June 30 was as follows:

lisk as of suite 50 was as follows.		2010	
			Fair Value as a Percent of Total
	Moody's Ratings		Fixed Maturity
Investment Type	(Unless Noted)	Fair Value	Fair Value
	(Amou	ents in Thous	sands)
U.S. government securities	AGY <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,444	36.86%
	UST <sup>(2)</sup>	17,894	63.14%
Total U.S. government securities		\$ 28,338	<u>100.00</u> %
Domestic corporate bonds	$AGY^{(1)}$	\$ 38,782	11.10%
1	AAA (SP)	379	0.11%
	Aaa	9,492	2.72%
	A- (SP)	328	0.09%
	Aa1	666	0.19%
	Aa2	4,427	1.27%
	Aa3	2,654	0.76%
	A1	6,692	1.92%
	A2	11,780	3.37%
	A3	9,358	2.68%
	B1	893	0.26%
	Ba1	141	0.04%
	Ba2	242	0.07%
	Baa1	13,859	3.97%
	Baa2	9,411	2.69%
	Baa3	4,499	1.29%
	Caa1	928	0.27%
	Not Rated	234,735	67.20%
Total domestic corporate bonds		\$ 349,266	<u>100.00</u> %
			(Continued)

### (5) CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

### Credit Risk, Continued

		2010	
			Fair Value as a
			Percent of Total
	Moody's Ratings		Fixed Maturity
Investment Type	(Unless Noted)	Fair Value	Fair Value
	(Amoi	ints in Thous	ands)
International corporate bonds	Not Rated	\$ 84,656	100.00%
Total international corporate bonds		\$ 84,656	<b>100.00</b> %
<sup>(1)</sup> U.S. government agency securities			

<sup>(2)</sup> U.S. Treasury securities

### (5) CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

### Credit Risk, Continued

		2009	
			Fair Value as a
			Percent of Total
	Moody's Ratings		Fixed Maturity
Investment Type	(Unless Noted)	<u>Fair Value</u>	Fair Value
	(Amou	ents in Thouse	ands)
U.S. government securities	$AGY^{(1)}$	\$ 4,746	45.11%
-	UST <sup>(2)</sup>	5,776	54.89%
Total U.S. government securities		\$ 10,522	100.00%
	$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}^{(1)}$	<b>•</b> • • • • • • • •	12,4204
Domestic corporate bonds	AGY <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 45,018	13.42%
	AAA (SP)	2,560	0.76%
	Aaa	10,728	3.20%
	A- (SP)	625	0.19%
	Aa1	866	0.26%
	Aa2	3,690	1.10%
	Aa3	5,587	1.67%
	A1	6,022	1.80%
	A2	10,440	3.11%
	A3	10,374	3.09%
	B (SP)	761	0.23%
	Ba2	210	0.06%
	Baa1	12,968	3.87%
	Baa2	9,892	2.95%
	Baa3	2,593	0.77%
	Not Rated	213,072	<u>63.52</u> %
Total domestic corporate bonds		\$ 335,406	<u>100.00</u> %
			(Continued)

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

## Credit Risk, Continued

	2009			
				Fair Value as a
				Percent of Total
	Moody's Ratings			Fixed Maturity
Investment Type	(Unless Noted)	Fa	ir Value	Fair Value
	(Amoi	ints	in Thouse	ands)
International corporate bonds	Baa2	\$	690	0.87%
	Baa3		302	0.38%
	Not Rated		78,285	98.75%
Total international corporate bonds		\$	79,277	100.00%
<sup>(1)</sup> U.S. government agency securities				

<sup>(2)</sup> U.S. Treasury securities

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. While all investments are subject to market changes, securities invested in index funds are more sensitive to market risk. Although the investment policy does not specifically address the duration of fixed-income securities, the Plan does monitor interest rate risk by monitoring the performance of each investment manager. As of June 30, the Plan had the following investments with maturities.

	2010				
	Inve	stment Matu	urities at Fair	Value (in Yea	ars)
		5 or More,		Investments	
	Less	Less	More	with No	Total Fair
Investment Type	<u>Than 5</u>	<u>Than 10</u>	<u>Than 10</u>	<u>Duration</u>	Value
	(Amounts in Thousands)				
U.S. government securities	<u>\$ 10,444</u>	10,563	7,331		28,338
Domestic corporate bonds:					
Asset-backed securities	-	455	26	-	481
CMBS	-	26	9,077	-	9,103
CMO corporate	-	-	2,869	-	2,869
Corporates and other credit	29,254	23,995	10,047	-	63,296
U.S. equity funds	-	-	-	218,662	218,662
U.S. government mortgages	157	3,669	34,956	-	38,782
Venture capital	-	-	-	5,238	5,238
U.S. fixed-income funds				10,835	10,835
Total domestic corporate bonds	29,411	28,145	56,975	234,735	349,266
International corporate bonds				84,656	84,656
	\$ 39,855	38,708	64,306	319,391	462,260

As noted above, the Plan has \$38,782 of investments in mortgages, of which \$21,714 represents FNMA loans and the remaining balance consists of FHLMC mortgages.

### (5) CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

#### Interest Rate Risk, Continued

	2009				
	Inve	Investment Maturities at Fair Value (in Years)			
	-	5 or More,		Investments	
The second second	Less	Less	More	with No	Total Fair
Investment Type	<u>Than 5</u>	<u>Than 10</u>	<u>Than 10</u>	<u>Duration</u>	Value
		(Amo	unts in Thous	sands)	
U.S. government securities	<u>\$ 288</u>	4,458	5,776		10,522
Domestic corporate bonds:					
Asset-backed securities	-	-	1,043	-	1,043
CMBS	-	-	8,221	-	8,221
CMO corporate	-	-	10,294	-	10,294
Corporates and other credit	27,668	21,666	7,561	-	56,895
U.S. equity funds	-	-	-	200,320	200,320
U.S. government mortgages	-	4,629	40,389	-	45,018
Venture capital	-	-	-	4,886	4,886
U.S. fixed-income funds	-	-	-	7,866	7,866
Private placement	863				863
Total domestic corporate bonds	28,531	26,295	67,508	213,072	335,406
International corporate bonds	690	302	_	78,285	79,277
international corporate bonds	090			10,205	19,211
	<u>\$ 29,509</u>	31,055	73,284	291,357	425,205

As noted above, the Plan has \$45,018 of investments in mortgages, of which \$25,413 represents FNMA loans and the remaining balance consists of FHLMC mortgages.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Securities Lending**

The Plan's investment policy allows the loan of securities through a lending agent to various institutions, with a simultaneous agreement to return the collateral for the same securities in the future, generally less than 30 days. There are no restrictions on the dollar amount of the loans that can be made. The collateral held and the fair value of the securities on loan for the Plan at June 30 were as follows:

			2010	
	C	Collateral	Fair Value of	Percent of
		Held	Securities on Loan	Collateral to Loan
		(Amounts in	Thousands)	
U.S. issuers	\$	48,845	47,691	<u>102</u> %
			2009	
	C	Collateral	Fair Value of	Percent of
		Held	Securities on Loan	Collateral to Loan
		(Amounts in	Thousands)	
U.S. issuers	\$	23,803	23,092	<u>103</u> %

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

### (5) <u>CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### Securities Lending, Continued

As the Plan does not have the ability to pledge or sell non-cash collateral without a borrower default, the non-cash collateral the Plan had received at June 30, 2010 and 2009, was not included in the accompanying statements of plan net assets. According to the securities lending agreement, if at the close of trading on any business day, the fair value of the collateral presently delivered by the borrower is less than 100% of the fair value of such loaned securities, the Plan shall demand the borrower deliver collateral equal to 102% for domestic securities and 105% for non-U.S. securities, at the close of the next business day. At the maturity of the loans, the Plan receives a loan premium and the securities are returned. The Plan has no credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amount the Plan owes the borrowers exceeds the amount the borrowers owe the Plan. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Plan had no losses on securities lending transactions resulting from default of a borrower or lending agent. Contracts with lending agents require them to indemnify the Plan if the borrowers fail to return the securities or otherwise fail to pay the Plan for income while the securities are on loan. The securities on loan are included in the respective investment categories in the accompanying statements of plan net assets. Cash collateral is invested in the lending agent's short-term investment pool and included as an asset in the accompanying statements of plan net assets, with an offsetting liability for the return of the collateral. The securities lending agreement sets forth credit quality standards, acceptable investments, diversification standards, and maturity and liquidity constraints for the investment fund.

The Plan's investment guidelines do not require a matching of investment maturities with loan maturities, but do establish minimum levels of liquidity and other restrictions designed to minimize the interest rate risk associated with not matching the maturities of the investments with the loans. The cash collateral investments had an average weighted maturity of 36 days and 33 days at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

#### **Foreign Currency Transactions**

The Plan has certain investment managers that trade on foreign exchanges. Foreign currency gains and losses are calculated at the transaction date using the current exchange rate, and assets are remeasured to U.S. dollars using the exchange rate as of each month end. During the year ended June 30, 2010, there were no foreign currency gains and no remeasurement losses. During the year ended June 30, 2009, foreign currency gains of approximately \$2,000 and remeasurement losses of approximately \$7,000 were included in the accompanying statements of changes in plan net assets as net depreciation in fair value of investments.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (6) **DERIVATIVES AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS**

Derivative instruments are financial contracts whose values depend on the values of one or more underlying assets, reference rates, or financial indexes. They include futures contracts, swap contracts, options contracts, and forward foreign currency exchange. The Plan's investment policy notes that in order to achieve maximum returns, the Plan may diversify between various investments, including common stocks, bonds, real estate, private equity, venture equity and other hedge fund strategies, short-term cash instruments, and other investments deemed suitable. The investment policy also requires investment managers to follow certain controls and documentation and risk management procedures. The Plan did not have any direct derivative investments at June 30, 2010. Investments in limited partnerships (alternative investments) and commingled funds may include derivatives. The Plan's investment in alternative investments are reflected at fair value, and any exposure is limited to its investment in the partnership and any unfunded commitment. Commingled funds have been reviewed to ensure they are in compliance with the Plan's investment policy.

The Plan invests in mortgage-backed securities, which are reported at fair value in the statements of plan net assets and are based on the cash flows from interest and principal payments by the underlying mortgages. As a result, they are sensitive to prepayments by mortgagees, which are likely in declining interest rate environments, thereby reducing the values of these securities. The Plan invests in mortgage-backed securities to diversify the portfolio and increase the return while minimizing the extent of risk. Details regarding interest rate risks for these investments are included under the interest rate risk disclosures.

#### (7) <u>INVESTMENT IN BUILDING AND ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS</u>

#### **Investment in Building**

The Plan owns a building (Columbus Square) originally purchased for approximately \$1.5 million, and it is held as a long-term investment. The building is accounted for at fair value based on periodic appraisals, and rental income and expenses are reported currently. The Plan utilizes part of the building for its administrative offices and charges itself rent, which is reflected as administrative expense and other investment income. The fair value of the building at June 30, 2010 and 2009, was estimated at approximately \$3.5 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

#### (7) INVESTMENT IN BUILDING AND ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

#### **Alternative Investments**

The Plan has also invested in alternative investments such as limited partnerships, limited liability companies, and real estate investment funds. The alternative investments at June 30 are summarized in the following table.

		Fair Mark	et Value
Investment	<u>Purpose</u>	2010	$\frac{2009}{1}$
Accel Europe, LP	Invests in companies that are organized outside the United States.	\$ (Amounts in 2 6,737	6,698
Arsenal Capital Partners, L.P.	Invests in portfolio companies.	3,264	5,531
Arsenal II	Invests in manufacturing, specialty chemicals, and healthcare industry.	7,670	3,664
BBT Overseas Partners, LP	Invests in equity securities and financial acquisitions.	709	709
Calera Partners III, LP	Invests in equity securities.	5,456	6,365
Calera Partners IV, LP	Invests in equity securities.	3,719	585
FirstMark III, LP	Invests in equity securities.	8,064	8,656
FirstMark IV	Invests in equity securities.	3,937	2,749
FMVP General Partners II, LLC	Invests in the securities of technology and development stage companies.	115	185
Grosvenor Long/Short Equity Fund, LP	Invests in domestic and international securities.	156,509	148,199
Hicks, Muse, Tate & Furst Equity Fund V, LP	Invests in private equity securities and leveraged acquisitions.	2,315	3,345 (Continued)
			(00000000)

#### (7) INVESTMENT IN BUILDING AND ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

### **Alternative Investments, Continued**

		Fair Marke	et Value
Investment	Purpose	2010	2009
		(Amounts in T	Thousands)
HM Capital Sector Performance	Invests primarily in debt and equity securities.	9,562	8,340
JP Morgan Chase Bank Strategic Property Fund	Invests in real estate investments owned directly or through partnership interests and mortgage loans on income- producing real estate.	34,372	39,467
Knightsbridge Venture Capital VI	Invests in early stage U.S. venture capital partnership.	7,373	5,987
Levine Leichtman Capital Partners III, LP	Invests in securities of middle market companies.	6,682	7,346
Levine Leichtman Capital Partners IV, LP	Invests in public and private securities in companies conducting substantial operations.	1,402	1,136
Lexington Capital Partners	Invests in private equity.	12,068	10,387
LightSpeed Venture Partners VI, LP	Invests in securities issued primarily in start-ups, early stage ventures, and expansion stage companies focusing on technology.	4,060	4,502
Marathon Fund IV, LP	To acquire, manage, and resell controlling interests in middle market companies.	1,210	2,176
	-		
Marathon Fund V, LP	Invests in portfolio companies.	9,977	9,176
			(Continued)

### (7) INVESTMENT IN BUILDING AND ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

		Fair Marke	t Value
Investment	Purpose	2010	2009
		(Amounts in T	housands)
Newstone Capital	Invests in leveraged buyouts, recapitalization, and later- stage growth financing.	4,277	3,752
Oaktree Fund VII	Invests in companies undergoing or having undergone reorganization or restructuring.	6,866	6,005
Oaktree Fund VIIb	Invests in companies undergoing or having undergone reorganization or restructuring.	9,324	6,378
OCM Opportunities Fund II, LP	Invests in distressed debt.	5	7
OCM Opportunities Fund III, LP	Invests in entities experiencing financial		
	difficulties.	92	77
OCM Opportunities Fund IV, LP	Invests in distressed debt.	48	50
OCM Opportunities Fund V, LP	Invests in distressed debt.	867	754
OCM Opportunities Fund VI, LP	Invests in distressed debt.	3,282	4,416
OCM Opportunities Fund VIII, LP	Invests in distressed debt.	2,111	-
One-Focus Technology Opportunity Partners, LP	Invests primarily in the technology sector.	_	234
r r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			(Continued)

#### **Alternative Investments, Continued**

### (7) INVESTMENT IN BUILDING AND ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

		Fair Marke	t Value
Investment	Purpose	2010	2009
		(Amounts in T	housands)
Peak Partners, LP	Speculative trading of commodity futures contracts. Options on futures contracts and forward contracts.	29,568	29,218
PruTimber Fund II, LP	Invests in timber.	11	469
Siguler Guff Distressed Opportunities Fund, L.L.C.	Invests in securities of companies undergoing distress, operating difficulties, and significant reconstructing.	10,820	15,882
Siguler Guff Distressed Opportunities Fund II, LP	Invests in securities of companies undergoing distress, operating difficulties, and significant reconstructing.	19,565	15,430
Siguler Guff Distressed Opportunities Fund III, LP	Invests in securities of companies undergoing distress, operating difficulties, and significant reconstructing.	12,388	7,033
Sun Capital	Invests in privately negotiated subordinated		
	debt and equity securities.	4,197	1,826
			(Continued)

### **Alternative Investments, Continued**

### (7) INVESTMENT IN BUILDING AND ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

### **Alternative Investments, Continued**

		Fair Mark	et Value
Investment	Purpose	<u>2010</u> (Amounts in T	<u>2009</u> Thousands)
TCW/Cresent Mezzanine Partners III, LP	Invests in privately negotiated subordinated debt and equity securities.	1,837	1,714
TCW/Cresent Mezzanine Partners IV, LP	Invests in privately negotiated subordinated debt and equity securities.	6,850	7,067
TCW/Cresent Mezzanine Partners V, LP	Invests in privately negotiated subordinated debt and equity securities.	4,084	1,973
Thompson Street Capital Partners	Private investment in companies.	8,371	3,355
Venture Lending & Leasing III, LLC	Debt financing and direct investment in equity securities of venture capital-backed companies.	561	694
Warburg Pincus	Making private equity and related investments.	6,731	3,864
Weathergage Venture Capital	Invests in information technology and life science funds.	2,336	1,402
Weiss, Peck, & Greer Venture Associates V, LLC	Invests in the securities of technology and development stage companies.	1,616	1,815
		\$ 421,008	388,618

### (7) INVESTMENT IN BUILDING AND ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

#### **Alternative Investments, Continued**

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Plan had a remaining commitment to fund approximately \$86 million and \$105 million, respectively, in various partnerships and limited liability companies.

Several of the limited partnerships invest in equity securities outside of the United States and may enter into forward contracts to purchase or sell securities at specified dates in the future at a guaranteed price in a foreign currency to protect against fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign currency. In addition, some of the partnerships may engage in hedging transactions involving derivative instruments as a part of their investment strategy.

#### (8) <u>CAPITAL ASSETS</u>

The Plan has only one class of capital assets, consisting of software. A summary as of June 30 is as follows:

	Balance at June 30, 2009		Additions	<u>Disposals</u>	Balance at June 30, 2010
Cost Accumulated amortization	\$	989,045		- 	1,014,045
Capital assets, net	\$	989,045	25,000		1,014,045
	Balance at June 30, 2008		Additions	<u>Disposals</u>	Balance at June 30, 2009
Cost Accumulated amortization	\$	661,824 -	327,221	-	989,045
Capital assets, net	\$	661,824	327,221		989,045

### (9) <u>DEFERRED OPTION BENEFITS PAYABLE</u>

As noted previously, the Plan has Deferred Option, "Back" DROP, and Payout Provision benefits available to its members. A summary of the changes in the liability for the various options is as follows:

	2010				
	Deferred		"Back"	Payout	
	<u>(</u>	<u>Option</u>	DROP	Provision	Total
	_		(Amounts in		
Beginning balance	\$	9,274	323	1,231	10,828
Employer contributions		250	1,505	-	1,755
Member contributions		-	1,853	-	1,853
Plan reassignments		(145)	(502)	647	-
Deferred benefits		1,944	12,992	-	14,936
Payments		(5,847)	(18,995)	-	(24,842)
Interest		750	4,513	121	5,384
Ending balance	\$	6,226	1,689	1,999	9,914
			200		
	Deferred		"Back"	Payout	
	<u>Option</u>		DROP	Provision	<u>Total</u>
			(Amounts in	Thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$	13,419	758	2,152	16,329
Employer contributions		338	345	-	683
Member contributions		-	425	-	425
Deferred benefits		2,489	2,817	-	5,306
Payments		(7,702)	(4,822)	(637)	(13,161)
Interest		730	800	(284)	1,246
Ending balance	\$	9,274	323	1,231	10,828

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (10) PLAN TERMINATION AND STATE FUNDING

The Plan has not developed an allocation method if it were to terminate. The Oklahoma Legislature is required by statute to make such appropriation as necessary to assure that benefit payments are made.

A suggested minimum contribution from the State of Oklahoma is computed annually by an actuary hired by the State of Oklahoma. However, funding by the State of Oklahoma to the Plan is based on statutorily determined amounts rather than the actuarial calculations of the amount required to fund the Plan.

#### (11) FEDERAL INCOME TAX STATUS

As an instrumentality of the State of Oklahoma, the Plan is tax-exempt. It is not subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The Plan has received favorable determination from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regarding its tax-exempt status. The Plan has been amended since receiving the determination letter. However, the Plan administrator believes that the Plan is designed and is currently being operated in substantial compliance with the applicable requirements of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### (12) HISTORICAL INFORMATION

Historical trend information designed to provide information about the Plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in Exhibits I and II.

#### (13) <u>LEGISLATIVE AMENDMENTS</u>

The following is a summary of significant plan provision changes that were enacted by the Oklahoma Legislature during 2010 and 2009:

2010

• Senate Bill 1989—contained the required language necessary for the System to remain an IRS qualified plan.

2009

• House Bill 3112—effective July 1, 2008, provided a 4% COLA for members receiving benefits as of June 30, 2007.

#### (14) <u>CONTINGENCIES</u>

The Plan is involved in legal proceedings in the normal course of operations, none of which, in the opinion of management, will have a material effect on the net assets or changes in net assets of the Plan.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENTAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD STATEMENTS NO. 25 AND 50

## SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

(In Millions)

June 30, 2010

Actuarial Valuation Date	V	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b-a)/c] <sup>(1)</sup>
June 30, 2001	\$	1,319	1,443	124	91.4%	154	81.1%
June 20, 2002		1,370	1,554	184	88.2%	160	114.9%
June 30, 2003		1,392	1,647	255	84.5%	171	149.5%
June 30, 2004		1,400	1,727	327	81.1%	176	186.4%
June 30, 2005		1,424	1,812	388	78.6%	189	205.3%
June 30, 2006		1,490	1,910	420	78.0%	204	205.6%
June 30, 2007		1,627	2,036	409	79.9%	221	184.8%
June 30, 2008		1,752	2,132	380	82.2%	240	158.5%
June 30, 2009		1,718	2,253	535	76.3%	254	210.9%
June 30, 2010		1,754	2,341	587	74.9%	250	235.3%

<sup>(1)</sup> The amounts shown in the table above are rounded. The percentages shown are calculated on the actual amounts rather than on the rounded amounts.

See Independent Auditors' Report.

See accompanying notes to required supplementary information.

#### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES (In Thousands)

June 30, 2010

	Annual Required	Employer	State		Percentage
Year Ended	Contributions	Contributions	Contributions	Total	Contributed
June 30, 2001	\$ 53,043	21,414	18,638	40,052	76%
June 30, 2002	54,918	22,411	19,811	42,222	77%
June 30, 2003	71,705	23,738	20,400	44,138	62%
June 30, 2004	63,511	23,915	-	23,915	38%
June 30, 2005	73,756	25,001	23,730	48,731	66%
June 30, 2006	85,391	26,490	23,584	50,074	59%
June 30, 2007	95,082	28,258	28,122	56,380	59%
June 30, 2008	100,561	30,061	26,020	56,081	56%
June 30, 2009	102,610	31,675	26,913	58,588	57%
June 30, 2010	132,456	32,240	22,292	54,532	41%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

See accompanying notes to required supplementary information.

# NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### June 30, 2010

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of an actuarial valuation by an independent enrolled actuary (Buck Consultants) at the dates indicated. Additional information as of the June 30, 2010, valuation follows:

	<u>Assumptions</u>
Actuarial cost method: Amortization method:	Entry age Level dollar—closed
Remaining amortization:	8 years
Asset valuation method:	5-year smoothed
<u>Actuarial assumptions</u> Investment rate of return: Projected salary increases*: Cost-of-living adjustments:	<ul> <li>7.5%</li> <li>5% to 19%</li> <li>Police officers eligible to receive increased benefits according to repealed Section 50-120 of Title 11 of the Oklahoma Statutes pursuant to a court order receive an adjustment of 1/3 to 1/2 of the increase or decrease of any adjustment to the base salary of a regular police officer, based on an increase in base salary equal to the average increase over the 5 prior years.</li> </ul>
	Members not eligible for this automatic increase are assumed to receive a 2% annual increase in benefits during each year of retirement.

\* Includes inflation at 3%.

### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN <u>ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</u>

To the Board of Trustees of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System

We have audited the financial statements of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Plan (the "Plan") administered by the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System (the "System"), which is a part of the State of Oklahoma financial reporting entity, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated October 15, 2010, which includes an explanatory paragraph disclaiming an opinion on required supplementary information. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Plan's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plan's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plan's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

(Continued)

### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN <u>ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Plan's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the Plan in a separate letter dated October 15, 2010.

This report is intended solely for the information and the use of the Board of Trustees, management of the Plan, and the State of Oklahoma and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Finley + Cook, PLLC

Shawnee, Oklahoma October 15, 2010



To the Board of Trustees of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Plan (the "Plan"), administered by the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System (the "System"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, we considered the Plan's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plan's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and, therefore, there can be no assurance that all such deficiencies have been identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, during our audit we became aware of additional matters that are opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency. The memorandum that accompanies this letter summarizes our comment and suggestions regarding those matters.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, management of the Plan, and the State of Oklahoma and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Finley + Cook, PLLC

Shawnee, Oklahoma October 15, 2010

1421 East 45<sup>th</sup> Street • Shawnee, OK 74804 P: 405.878.7300 • www.finley-cook.com • F: 405.395.3300

### MANAGEMENT LETTER COMMENT

June 30, 2010

#### PENSION GOLD SYSTEM

#### <u>Comment</u>

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, the Plan implemented its new software, Pension Gold. The Plan performed parallel processing with the old software, Legacy, and the new software, Pension Gold, for the entire fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. In the performance of our testwork, we compared the reports generated by the Legacy system to the reports generated by the Pension Gold system to ensure that both systems agreed. We noted that there appeared to be reporting issues with some of the reports generated by the Pension Gold system. Upon further review by the Plan's personnel, it appeared that the Pension Gold system contained all of the correct information and that the differences between Pension Gold and Legacy were due to the way that the Pension Gold system was pulling the information when reports were generated. The Plan has identified the cause of the differences and is in the process of addressing these reporting issues with Pension Gold. Once these issues are addressed and corrected, we recommend that the reports be generated again and compared for accuracy.

#### <u>Response</u>

Management agrees and has requested the audit firm to review the various reports and other items at a later date.



October 15, 2010

To the Board of Trustees of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System

We have audited the financial statements the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement Plan administered by the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System (collectively referred to as the "System") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated October 15, 2010. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and *Government Auditing Standards*, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our engagement letter to you dated March 8, 2010. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

### **Significant Audit Findings**

### Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management has the responsibility for selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the System are described in Note 2 to the financial statements. The application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended June 30, 2010, except for the adoption of GASB 51, as noted below. We noted no transactions entered into by the System during the year that were both significant and unusual, and of which, under professional standards, we are required to inform you, or transactions for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

In June 2007, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 51, *Accounting and Reporting for Intangible Assets* (GASB 51), which requires certain intangible assets to be capitalized, specifically internally developed software. GASB 51 applies to all state and local governments and is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2009. The June 30, 2009, financial statements were restated for the implementation of GASB 51.

On June 30, 2008, GASB issued Statement No. 53, *Accounting for Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments* (GASB 53), which provides guidance to governments to improve the reporting of derivative instruments in their financial statements. GASB 53 applies to all state and local governments and is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2009. The effect of implementing GASB 53 did not have a material impact on the financial reporting of the Plan.

To the Board of Trustees of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System October 15, 2010 Page -2-

### Significant Audit Findings, Continued

### Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices, Continued

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate affecting the financial statements was:

Management's estimate of the market value of investments is based on the investment custodian. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the estimate of investment market value and determined that they were reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

### Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

#### Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

#### Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditors' report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

#### Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated October 15, 2010.

To the Board of Trustees of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System October 15, 2010 Page -3-

### Significant Audit Findings, Continued

#### Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the System's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditors' opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

#### Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management prior to retention as the System's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

#### **Other Required Communications**

We as independent auditors are required to:

- a. Communicate significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control to the audit committee or its equivalent.
- b. Report directly to the audit committee (or equivalent) any fraud that causes a material misstatement of the financial statements and any fraud involving senior management. Fraud perpetrated by lower-level employees is also to be reported if it resulted in an individually significant misstatement.
- c. Report illegal acts that come to our attention (except those that are clearly inconsequential).

We have nothing to report.

To the Board of Trustees of the Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System October 15, 2010 Page -4-

This information is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, management of the Plan, and the State of Oklahoma and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sincerely,

FINLEY & COOK, PLLC CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Nathan Atchison Partner

# SUMMARY OF UNCORRECTED FINANCIAL STATEMENT MISSTATEMENTS

June 30, 2010

None.