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Translated to actuarial terminology, this level-cost objective means that the contribution rates must total at least the following:

Current Cost (the cost of members' service being rendered this year)
... plus ...
Interest on Unfunded Accrued Liabilities (unfunded accrued liabilities are the difference between: liabilities for service already rendered; and the accrued assets of SERS).

Computing Contributions to Support Fund Benefits. From a given schedule of benefits and from the employee data and asset data furnished him, the actuary determines the contribution rates to support the benefits, by means of an actuarial valuation and a funding method.

An actuarial valuation has a number of ingredients such as: the rate of investment return which plan assets will earn; the rates of withdrawal of active members who leave covered employment before qualifying for any monthly benefit; the rates of mortality; the rates of disability; the rates of pay increases; and the assumed age or ages at actual retirement.

In making an actuarial valuation, assumptions must be made as to what the above rates will be, for the next year and for decades in the future. The assumptions are established by the Retirement Board after consulting with the actuary.

Reconciling Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experience. Once actual experience has occurred and been observed, it will not coincide exactly with assumed experience, regardless of the wisdom of the assumptions or the skill of the actuary and the millions of calculations he made. The future can be predicted with considerable but not $100 \%$ precision, except for inflation which defies reliable prediction.

SERS copes with these continually changing differences by having annual actuarial valuations. Each actuarial valuation is a complete recalculation of assumed future experience, taking into account all past differences between assumed and actual experience. The result is continuing adiustments in financial position.


## YEARS OF TIME

$\therefore 5 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{VETTS}$ IVE. This relentlessly increasing line is the fundamental reality of retirement plan financing. It happens each time a new benefit is added for future retirements (and happens regardless of the design for contributing for benefits).

LEVEL CONTRIBUTION LINE. Determining the level contribution line requires detailed assumptions concerning a variety of experiences in future decades, including:

Economic Risk Areas
Rates of investment return
Rates of pay increase
Changes in active member group size
Non-Economic Risk Areas
Ages at actual retirement
Rates of mortality
Rates of withdrawal of active members (turnover)
Rates of disability

December 12, 1990

The Board of Trustees
School Employees Retirement System of Ohio
Columbus, Ohio
Ladies and Gentlemen:
Submitted in this report are the results of the June 30,1990 actuarial valuation of the School Employees Retirement System of Ohio, as amended.

The necessary statistical data on which the valuation was based was furnished by your Director and his staff. Their cooperation in furnishing the materials needed for this valuation is acknowledged with appreciation.

The financial assumptions used in making the actuarial valuation are shown in the Appendix of this report. The financial assumptions for Basic Banefits were revised for the June 30, 1986 valuation; the assumed premiums for Health Care coverages are changed annually as premiums are changed by health care providers (pages 42 \& 43).

Your attention is directed particularly to:
COMMENTS on pages 3A - 3B.
Financial Principles on pages 4-5;
Computed Employer Contribution Rates on pages 25 \& 31;
Short Condition Tests on pages 26 \& 33;

Respectfully submitted,


TJC/kq

## COMMENTS

General Financial Objective. A sound general financial objective for any public employee retirement plan is to establish and receive contributions which, expressed as percents of active member payroll, will remain approximately level from generation to generation of citizens.

In order to determine SERS present financial position and level contribution rates for the future, annual actuarial valuations are made.

Assumptions concerning future financial experiences are needed for an actuarial valuation. These assumptions are established by the Board after consulting with the actuary.

A program of annual actuarial gain/loss analysis is in operation; these analyses determine the relationship between assumed financial experience and actual experience, for each major risk area.

Statutory Employer Contribution Rate. The $14 \%$ of pay rate is now being allocated by SERS policy decision as follows: to Basic Benefits, the rate which will amortize unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities over 40 years, and to Health Care Benefits, the remainder of employer contributions.

## BASIC BENEFITS

On the basis of the 1990 valuation and the Basic Benefits and allocated contribution rates then in effect, it is our opinion that the Basic Benefits portion of SERS is in sound condition in accorda ice with actuarial principles of level cost financing. Supporting information is on page 25 and on page 26.

## HEALTH CARE BENEFITS

Act 290 of 1988 . A program was established to determine a minimum annual pay for use in calculating employer contribution dollars - - a health care surcharge. This program recognizes that the percent-of-payroll costs of full health care benefits are significantly higher for SERS because SERS member pays are significantly lower than the member pays in other major Ohio retirement systems. Minimum annual pay for each future year is to be determined by actuarial valuation.

By SERS policy decision such minimum annual pay is to be calculated (1) using the minimum level percent funding method and (2) using for future health care inflation the same inflation assumption used for valuing Basic Benefits.

For the year beginning July l, 1990 such minimum annual pay has been calculated to be $\$ 9,100$.

The financial development of Health Care Benefits has been cause for continuing concern.

Initially, beginning in 1974, $0.75 \%$ was the contribution rate established for Health Care Benefits, and included in a total Employer Rate then $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 0 \%}$. Health Care contribution rates have been increased at various times since 1974. The increases in health care costs have been substantially more than inflation increases (see pages 42 \& 43).

The employer contributions now being allocated to health care benefits are sufficient to provide level cost financing of the Health Care Benefits if future health care cost inflation does not exceed general price inflation. Page 31 has supporting information.

## FINANCIAL PRINCIPLES

Promises Made, and To Be Paid For. As each year is completed, SERS in effect hands an "IOU" to each member then acquiring a year of service credit -- the "IOU" says: "The School Employees Retirement System of Ohio owes you one year's worth of retirement benefits, payments in cash commencing when you qualify for retirement."

The related key financial questions are:

Which generation of taxpayers contributes the money to cover the 10U?

The present taxpayers, who receive the benefit of the member's present year of service?

Or the future taxpayers, who happen to be in Ohio at the time the IOU becomes a cash demand, years and often decades later?

The law governing SERS financing intends that this year's taxpayers contribute the money to cover the IOUs being handed out this year. By following this principle, the employer contribution rate will remain approximately level from generation to generation --- our children and our grandchildren will contribute the same percents of active payroll we contribute now.
(There are systems which have a design for deferring contributions to future taxpayers, lured by a lower contribution rate now and putting aside the consequence that the contribution rate must then relentlessly grow much greater over decades of time -- consume now and let your children face your financial pollution after you retire.)

An inevitable by-product of the level-cost design is the accumulation of reserve assets for decades and the income produced when the assets are invested. Invested assets are a by-product and not the objective. Investment income becomes in effect the 3rd contributor for benefits to employees and is interlocked with the contribution amounts required from employees and employers. -4 Left-

If the contributions to SERS are level in concept and soundly executed, the System will pay all promised benefits when due .-. the ultimate test of financial soundness. Testing for level contribution rates is the long-term test.

A short condition test is one means of checking a system's progress under its funding program. In a short condition test, the plan's present assets (cash and investments) are compared with:

1) Active member contributions on deposit;
2) The liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives;
3) The liabilities for service already rendered by active members.

In a system that has been following the discipline of level percent of payroll financing, the liabilities for active member contributions on deposit (liability 1) and the liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives (liability 2) will be fully covered by present assets (except in rare circumstances). In addition, the liabilities for service already rendered by active members (liability 3) will be partially covered by the remainder of present assets. The larger the funded portion of liability 3 , the stronger the condition of the System. Liability 3 being fully funded is rare.

Schedule 12.

BASIC BENEFITS
Short Condition Test

| June 30 | (1) Member Contr. | (2) Retired Lives | (3) <br> Present Members (Employer Financed Portion) |  | Valuation$\qquad$ | Portion of Accrued Liabilities Covered by Assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|  |  | (\$ in Millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | \$324 | \$ 829 |  | . 668 |  | \$1,116 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% |
| 1983 | 352 | 909 |  | 726 | 1,221 | 100 | 96 | 0 |
| 1984 | 396 | 1,011 |  | 759 | 1,390 | 100 | 98 | 0 |
| 1985 | 433 | 1,126 |  | 846 | 1,564 | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| 1985* | 433 | 1,101 |  | 888 | 1,564 | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| 1986 | 475 | 1,228 |  | 967 | 1,781 | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| 1987 | 524 | 1,341 |  | 1,034 | 2,007 | 100 | 100 | 14 |
| 1988 | 577 | 1,462 |  | 1,134 | 2,205 | 100 | 100 | 15 |
| 1988\# | 577 | 1,513 |  | 1,217 | 2,205 | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| 1989 | 627 | 1,696 |  | 1,335 | 2,438 | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| 1990 | 684 | 1,872 |  | 1,447 | 2,686 | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| * Revised financial assumptions. <br> \# Legislated benefit increases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The amount shown below as the "pension benefit obligation" is a standardized disclosure measure of the present value of pension benefits, adjusted for the effects of projected salary increases, estimated to be payable in the future as a result of employee service to date. The measure is the actuarial present value of credited projected benefits and is intended to (i) help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. (ii) assess progress being made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due, and (iii) allow for comparisons among public employee retirement plans. The measure is independent of the actuarial funding method used to determine contributions to the plan.

The pension benefit obligation was determined as part of an actuarial valuation of the plan as of June 30, 1990. Significant actuarial assumptions used in determining the pension benefit obligation include (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of $9.5 \%$ per year compounded annually for the period July 1, 1990 through June 30, 1991 and $7.5 \%$ per year thereafter, (b) projected salary increases of 4.5\% per year compounded annually, attributable to inflation, (c) additional projected salary increases ranging from $0.0 \%$ to $3.0 \%$ per year attributable to seniority/merit, varying by age, and (d) the assumption that retirement benefits will increase 3\% per year after retirement.

At June 30, 1990, the pension benefit obligation was $\$ 3,662,231,300$, determined as follows:

## Pension Benefit Obligation:

| Retirees <br> Survivors currently receiving benefits <br> Terminated employees not yet receiving benefits | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,790,858,192 \\ 80,792,509 \\ 55,330,435 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current employees .- |  |
| Accumulated employee contributions including allocated investment income | 683,812,888 |
| Employer financed - Vested | 996,967,870 |
| Employer financed - Non-vested | 54,469,406 |
| Total Pension Benefit Obligation | 3,662,231,300 |

During the year ended June 30, 1990 the plan experienced a net change of $\$ 315,668,474$ in the basic benefits pension benefit obligation. Of that change, so was attributable to amendments and $\$ 0$ was attributable to changes in assumptions.

Employer contribution rates are set by Act of the State Legislature.
The adequacy of these rates is checked annually by actuarial valuation. The actuarial funding method used in making these actuarial valuations is the entry age actuarial method; unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are amortized as a level percent of the active member payroll, over the period of future years which produces the statutory employer contribution rate. Assuming the amortization period is reasonable, the employer contribution rate so computed, expressed as a percent of active member payroll, is designed to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. The most recent completed actuarial valuation was based upon data as of June 30, 1990.

During the year ended June 30, 1990 contributions totaling $\$ 218,581,320 \ldots$ $\$ 113,492,877$ employer, $\$ 104,105,361 \mathrm{employee}$ and $\$ 983,082$ from the State -- were made in accordance with contributions determined by State Statute. The employer contributions consisted of $\$ 51,609,964$ for normal cost and $\$ 61,882,913$ for amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Employer contributions represented $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 5 \%}$ of covered payroll.

Significant actuarial assumptions used to compute contribution requirements were the same as those used to compute the standardized measure of the pension benefit obligation.

Employer Contribution Comparative Schedule

| Fiscal Year $6 / 30$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valuation } \\ & \text { Date } \\ & 6 / 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Contribution Rates As Percents of Valuation Payroll | Valuation Payroll | Dollar Contribution For Fiscal Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 1984 | 8.12\% | \$ 737,375,080 | \$ 75,682,790 |
| 1986 | 1985 | 8.13 | 804,230,073 | 87,450,445 |
| 1987 | 1986 | 9.00 | 869,111,274 | 84,047,360 |
| 1988 | 1987 | 9.00 | 931,385,997 | 96,793,157 |
| 1989 | 1988 | 9.58 | 981,837,995 | 104,772,920 |
| 1990 | 1989 | 9.72 | 1,055,418,490 | 113,492,877 |

HEALTH CARE BENEFITS

Health Care Insurance. 10 years of service credit required. Health insurance premiums are paid on behalf of each qualified individual receiving a monthly allowance from SERS, qualified survivor of deceased retirant or qualified survivor of deceased employee. Beginning for members retiring August 1, 1989 and later the member will pay a portion of the medical premiums.

| Years of <br> Service at <br> Retirement | Member <br> Portion |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10-14$ | $75 \%$ |
| $15-19$ | 50 |
| $20-24$ | 25 |
| $25+$ | 0 |

This provision will be phased-in over 5 years and will not change once a member has retired. If the retirant or survivor elects to cover his dependents, the monthly retirement allowance is reduced by $50 \%$ of the insurance premiums for the dependents. The retired member's reduction will increase from $50 \%$ to $70 \%$ during a 5 year phase-in period beginning August 1, 1989.

The premiums provide coverages which may be changed from time to time. Effective January 1, 1983 an annual deductible was introduced. The deductible was increased effective January 1, 1985 and January 1, 1988. Second opinion and precertification requirements went into effect January 1, 1987. Effective January 1, 1987 health care is provided to a beneficiary of a deceased retirant only if the beneficiary was the retirant's spouse or dependent child.

Medicare Part B. Each retirant or survivor is reimbursed $\$ 24.80$ per month for Part B Medicare premiums.

Mail Order Prescriotions. Health benefits include mail order prescription service with SERS paying the excess of the cost of each prescription over the base fee paid by the benefit recipient. The copayment was increased from $\$ 1$ to $\$ 2$ effective January 1, 1985 and to $\$ 6$ effective March 1, 1988. Effective April 1, 1989 the copayment was increased to \$8. Effective April 1, 1990 the copayment for brand name drugs is $\$ 10$; for generic drugs, $\$ 0$.

Schedule 13.

HEALTH CARE BENEFITS
Actuarial Accrued Liabilities June 30, 1990 Allocations Using Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method


The Employer Contribution Rate for Health Care Benefits has been established by the Board as the remainder of employer contributions after providing for contributions for Basic Benefits. Including the health care surcharge contribution, the allocated Health Care contribution is $5.57 \%$ of payroll. The contribution amount toward unfunded accrued liabilities is sufficient to provide level cost financing.

Schedule 14.

HEALTH CARE BENEFITS
COMPOSITION OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATE
Established By Statute \& Board Action
June 30, 1990
Contributions For
Normal cost:
Service allowances
Disability allowances
Survivor benefits (SB Fund)
Total
Contributions Expressed as
Percents of Payroll

## Schedule 15.

HEALTH CARE BENEFITS
A RELATIVE LEVEL COST INDEX*
Comparative Statement

| Valuation <br> As of <br> $6 / 30$ |  | Cost <br> Index* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1983 |  | $5.07 \%$ |
| 1984 |  | 5.43 |
| 1985 |  | 5.40 |
| 1986 |  | 6.76 |
| 1987 |  | 7.69 |
| $1988 \#$ |  | 6.48 |
| 1989 |  | 6.37 |
| 1990 |  | 6.42 |

* Index equals normal cost plus 50 year amortization of unfunded accrued liability (the 50 year period is subjective judgement; there are many other reasonable periods, as illustrated by the graph on page 46).
\# Benefit changes.

If the contributions to SERS are level in concept and soundly executed, the System will pay all promised benefits when due .-- the ultimate test of financial soundness. Testing for level contribution rates is the long-term test.

A short condition test is one means of checking a system's progress under its funding program. In a short condition test, the plan's present assets (cash and investments) are compared with:

1) The liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives;
2) The liabilities for service already rendered by active members.

In a system that has been following the discipline of level percent of payroll financing, the liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives (liability l) will be fully covered by present assets (except in rare circumstances). In addition, the liabilities for service already rendered by active members (liability 2) will be partially covered by the remainder of present assets. The larger the funded portion of liability 2, the stronger the condition of the System. Liability 2 being fully funded is rare.

Schedule 16.
health care benefits
Short Condition Test

| June 30 | Computed Actua (1) Retired | al Accrued Liabi | Valuation | Portion of Accrued <br> Liabilities Covered by Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Lives }}{\text { ( }}$ | in Present Members | Assets | (1) |  |
| 1982 | \$243 | \$193 | \$86 | 35\% | 0\% |
| 1983 | 304 | 235 | 103 | 34 | 0 |
| 1984 | 361 | 266 | 108 | 30 | 0 |
| 1985 | 386 | 295 | 120 | 31 | 0 |
| 1985* | 391 | 369 | 120 | 31 | 0 |
| 1986 | 461 | 404 | 131 | 28 | 0 |
| 1987 | 562 | 489 | 137 | 24 | 0 |
| 1988 | 623 | 569 | 139 | 22 | 0 |
| 1988* | 584 | 467 | 139 | 24 | 0 |
| 1989* | 640 | 488 | 152 | 24 | 0 |
| 1990 | 686 | 519 | 158 | 23 | 0 |

* Revised financial assumptions.
\# Benefit changes.

Schedule 17.
Composition of Health Care Costs June 30, 1990

| Benefit | Age | Recipient |  | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Retiree | Other |  |
| Medical | Under 65 | 20\% | 4\% | 24\% |
| Medical | 65 Plus | 25 | 5 | 30 |
| Medicare B | Under 65 | -- | -- | -- |
| Medicare B | 65 Plus | 16 | 2 | 18 |
| Prescription | Under 65 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Prescription | 65 Plus | 21 | 3 | 24 |
| Combined | Under 65 | 24 | 4 | 28 |
| Combined | 65 Plus | 62 | 10 | 72 |
| Combined | All | 86 | 14 | 100 |

The amount shown below as the "pension benefit obligation" is a standardized disclosure measure of the present value of pension benefits, adjusted for the effects of projected salary increases, estimated to be payable in the future as a result of employee service to date. The measure is the actuarial present value of credited projected benefits and is intended to (i) help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. (ii) assess progress being made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due, and (iii) allow for comparisons among public employee retirement plans. The measure is independent of the actuarial funding method used to determine contributions to the plan.

The pension benefit obligation was determined as part of an actuarial valuation of the plan as of June 30, 1990. Significant actuarial assumptions used in determining the pension benefit obligation include (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of $9.5 \%$ per year compounded annually for the period July 1 , 1990 through June 30, 1991 and $7.5 \%$ per year thereafter, (b) the assumption that increases in the cost of heath insurance will average $4.5 \%$ per year indefinitely.

At June 30, 1990, the pension benefit obligation was $\$ 1,134,977,510$, determined as follows:

Pension Benefit Obligation:

| Retirees | $\mathbf{6 3 2 , 5 0 2 , 1 4 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Survivors currently receiving benefits | $53,483,215$ |
| Terminated employees not yet receiving benefits | $37,135,448$ |
| Current employees -- |  |
| Accumulated employee contributions including | -- |
| allocated investment income | $397,084,384$ |
| Employer financed - Vested | $14,772,314$ |
| $\quad$ Employer financed - Non-vested | $1,134,977,510$ |

During the year ended June 30,1990 the plan experienced a net change of $\$ 70,270,525$ in the basic benefits pension benefit obligation. Of that change, $\$ 0$ was attributable to amendments and $\$ 0$ was attributable to changes in assumptions.

## CONTRIBUTIONS REQUIRED AND CONTRIBUTIONS MADE <br> - HEALTH CARE BENEFITS -

Employer contribution rates are set by Act of the State Legislature.
The adequacy of these rates is checked annually by actuarial valuation. The actuarial funding method used in making these actuarial valuations is the entry age actuarial method; unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are amortized as a level percent of the active member payroll, over the period of future years which produces the statutory employer contribution rate. Assuming the amortization period is reasonable, the employer contribution rate so computed, expressed as a percent of active member payroll, is designed to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. The most recent completed actuarial valuation was based upon data as of June 30, 1990.

During the year ended June 30, 1990 contributions totaling $\$ 67,051,494--\$ 67,051,494$ employer, $\$ 0$ employee -- were made in accordance with contributions determined by State Statute. The employer contributions consisted of $\$ 30,712,678$ for normal cost and $\$ 36,338,816$ for amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Employer contributions represented 6.35\% of covered payroll.

Significant actuarial assumptions used to compute contribution requirements were the same as those used to compute the standardized measure of the pension benefit obligation.

Employer Contribution Comparative Schedule

| Fiscal <br> Year <br> $6 / 30$ | Valuation <br> Date <br> $6 / 30$ | Contribution Rates <br> As Percents of <br> Valuation Payroll |  | Valuation <br> Payroll |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Dollar Contribution |
| :---: |
| For Fiscal Year |

APPENDIX

## APPENDIX


#### Abstract

SUMMARY OF ASSUMPTIONS USED FOR SERS ACTUARIAL VALUATIONS Assumptions Adopted by Board of Trustees After Consulting With Actuary


The actuarial assumptions used in making the valuation are shown in this Appendix of the report. The assumptions were revised as set forth in the Gabriel, Roeder, Smith and Company Investigation Report dated July 9, 1986.

ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS
The investment return rate used in making the valuations was $7.5 \%$ per year, compounded annually (net after administrative expenses), except that the SERS is assumed to earn 9.5\% per year for the period from July 1, 1990 through June 30, 1991. The real rate of return is the portion of total investment return which is more than the inflation rate. Based upon an assumed inflation rate of $4.5 \%$, the $7.5 \%$ investment return rate translates to an assumed real rate of return of $3 \%$ ( $5 \%$ for the period from July 1, 1990 through June 30, 1991).

Pay increase assumptions for individual active members are shown for sample ages in Schedule 18. Part of the assumption for each age is for merit and/or seniority increase, and the other 4.5\% recognizes inflation.

The number of active members is assumed to continue at the present number.

Iotal active member payroll is assumed to increase 4.5\% annually, which is the portion of the individual pay increase assumptions attributable to inflation.

Special assumptions for Health Care Coverages are shown in Schedule 22.
NON-ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS
The mortality table used in evaluating allowances to be paid and death before retirement benefits was the 1971 Group Annuity Mortality Table projected to 1984 unadjusted for men and set back 1 year for women. Related values are shown in Schedule 21.

The probabilities of retirement with an age and service allowance are shown in Schedule 20.

Eligibility for age and service retirement was assumed to be: age 50 with 30 or more years of service; or age 55 with 25 or more years of service, or age 60 with 5 or more years of service.

The probabilities of withdrawal from service, disablement and death-in-service are shown for sample ages in Schedule 19.

The entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation was used in determining liabilities and normal cost.

Differences in the past between assumed experience and actual experience ("actuarial gains and losses") become part of actuarial accrued liabilities.

Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are amortized to produce payments (principal \& interest) which are level percent of payroll contributions.

Employer contribution dollars were assumed to be paid in equal installments throughout the System fiscal year. Surcharge contributions are assumed to be paid during the calendar year following the end of the applicable plan year.

Present assets were valued on a market related basis, recognizing 20\% of previously unrecognized gains and losses each year. See page 19 for detail.

The data about persons now covered and about present assets were furnished by the System's administrative staff. Although examined for general reasonableness, the data was not audited by the Actuary.

The actuarial valuation computations were made by or under the supervision of a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (M.A.A.A.).

Schedule 18.

Pay Increase Assumptions for an Individual Member

|  | Increase Next Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample <br> Ages |  <br> Seniority | Base <br> (Economy) | Iotal |
| 20 |  | $3.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| 25 | 2.7 | 4.5 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 30 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 7.2 |
| 35 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 6.8 |
| 40 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
|  |  |  | 6.3 |
| 45 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| 50 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 5.5 |
| 55 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 60 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 65 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 |

Schedule 19.

Separations From Active Employment Before Age \& Service Retirement

| Sample Ages | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Death | Disability | Other | Death | Disability | Other |
| 20 | 0.05\% | 0.00\% | 13.91\% | 0.02\% | 0.00\% | 11.57\% |
| 25 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 10.67 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 8.94 |
| 30 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 6.55 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 6.59 |
| 35 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 5.43 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 5.82 |
| 40 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 4.64 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 5.07 |
| 45 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 3.84 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 4.31 |
| 50 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 3.06 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 3.55 |
| 55 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 2.27 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 2.79 |
| 60 | 1.21 | -- | 2.02 | 0.41 | -- | 2.46 |
| 65 | 1.95 | -- | 2.02 | 0.73 | -- | 2.46 |

$$
\text { Schedule } 20 .
$$

## Probabilities of Age \& Service Retirement

$\left.\begin{array}{lc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent of Eligible Active Members } \\ \text { Sample }\end{array} \\ \text { Retiring Within Next Year }\end{array}\right\}$

# Percent of Eligible Active Members 

Sample
Ages
50
55
60
65
70
75

Retiring Within Next Year Women

12\%
18
25
35
50
100

Schedule 21.

## Single Life Retirement Values

| Present Value of $\$ 1$ Monthly For Life |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ages | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| 50 | \$171.18 | \$191.98 | 27.53 | 34.60 | 77.53 | 84.60 |
| 55 | 156.74 | 180.48 | 23.28 | 29.92 | 78.28 | 84.92 |
| 60 | 140.21 | 166.28 | 19.27 | 25.34 | 79.27 | 85.34 |
| 65 | 121.85 | 149.39 | 15.55 | 20.94 | 80.55 | 85.94 |
| 70 | 102.90 | 129.87 | 12.25 | 16.79 | 82.25 | 86.79 |
| 75 | 84.92 | 108.66 | 9.50 | 13.02 | 84.50 | 88.02 |
| 80 | 67.78 | 88.12 | 7.17 | 9.85 | 87.17 | 89.85 |
| 85 | 53.37 | 69.03 | 5.43 | 7.24 | 90.43 | 92.24 |


| Sample Attained$\qquad$ | Portion of Age 60 Lives Still Alive |  | \$1,000 Benefit <br> Beginning at Age 60, Increasing 3\% Annually |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Men | Women |  |
| 60 | 100\% | 100\% | \$1,000 |
| 65 | 93 | 97 | 1,150 |
| 70 | 82 | 93 | 1,300 |
| 75 | 67 | 86 | 1,450 |
| 80 | 48 | 73 | 1,600 |
| 85 | 28 | 55 | 1,750 |

Schedule 22.

## Additional Assumptions for Health Care Coverages

Aetna conventional premium rates:

> Status
> Benefit Recipient below age 65
> Spouse below age 65*
> Benefit recipient above age 65 and eligible for Medicare Spouse above age 65 and eligible for Medicare*

| Monthly Rates Reported |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 1989 |
| \$248.10 | \$222.98 |
| 47.23 | 48.24 |
| 44.88 | 45.02 |
| 13.97 | 14.79 |

* SERS portion - figures represent $30 \%$ ultimate SERS subsidy.

Availability of Medicare Coverage: All benefit recipients were assumed to be eligible for Medicare on attainment of age 65, or immediately if retired for disability.

Election of Joint and Survivor Benefits: $25 \%$ of eligible women and $60 \%$ of eligible men are assumed to elect a joint and survivor form of payment. Survivors of these retirants will receive fully paid health care for the remainder of their lives.

Election of Spouse Health Care Coverage: 25\% of women retirants and $50 \%$ of men retirants are assumed to elect to cover spouses for health care. The System will pay the premium for dependents less a deduction during the life of the retirant.

Medicare Part B Premium Reimbursement: $\$ \mathbf{2 4 . 8 0}$ per month.

Mail Order Prescription Service: $\$ 29.61$ per month effective July 1, 1990 from $\$ 27.08$.

Premium Increases: Premiums and spouse coverage deductions (except for the fixed reimbursement for the Medicare Part B Premium) are assumed to increase 4.5\% annually, which is the inflation rate assumed for other actuarial valuation computałions.

## Schedule 23.

Health Insurance Premiums<br>Monthly \$ Reported For Annual Actuarial Valuations

| Valuation Date | Benefit Recipient |  | Spouse* |  | Mail Order | Medicare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/30 | Under 65 | $\underline{65+}$ | Under 65 | $65+$ | Prescriotion | B Premiums |
| 1980 | \$105.36 | \$31.02 | \$ 8.13 | \$ 2.51 | \$ N.A. | \$ 9.60 |
| 1981 | 123.69 | 36.42 | 17.87 | 5.44 | N.A. | 11.00 |
| 1982* | 117.82 | 25.97 | 57.34 | 7.74 | 3.05 | 12.20 |
| 19830 | 148.10 | 35.63 | 81.09 | 11.03 | 6.27 | 14.60 |
| 1984* | 148.10 | 35.63 | 81.09 | 11.03 | 8.83 | 15.50 |
| 1985* | 148.10 | 35.63 | 81.09 | 11.03 | 11.24 | 15.50 |
| 1986* | 169.86 | 35.33 | 83.38 | 19.35 | 14.55 | 17.90 |
| 1987® | 202.13 | 42.04 | 109.67 | 26.07 | 19.40 | 24.80 |
| 1988\#¢ | 208.00 | 42.00 | 45.00 | 13.80 | 24.29 | 24.80 |
| 1989 | 222.98 | 45.02 | 48.24 | 14.79 | 27.08 | 24.80 |
| 1990 | 248.10 | 44.88 | 47.23 | 13.97 | 29.61 | 24.80 |

COMPOUND ANNUAL
RATES OF INCREASE:

| Last Year | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $(2) \%$ | $(6) \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Last 5 Years | 11 | 5 | $(10)$ | 5 | 21 | 10 |
| Since $6 / 30 / 80$ | 9 | 4 | 19 | 19 | - | 10 |

* Employer portion.
\# Changes in deductible, hospital surcharge or cost containment measures.
© Changes in deduction for dependent coverage.


# Relationship of Economic Assumptions In Computing Contributions to a Retirement System 



## 1. Investment Return

An increase in this assumption reduces computed contributions. The assumption operates over all parts of an employee's lifetime.
2. Pay Base

An increase in this assumption increases computed contributions. A 1\% increase in this assumption, however, does not increase contributions by as much as a $1 \%$ increase in Investment Return reduces computed contributions, because the Pay Base assumption operates only over an employee's lifetime to retirement.

## 3. Increases After Retirement

An increase in this element increases computed contributions.

If Investment Return, Pay Base, and Increases After Retirement are each increased by equal amounts, computed contributions remain the same (except in plans using Final Average Pay as a factor in computing benefits; the multiyear average used for Final Average Pay causes computed contributions to decrease slightly).

If Investment Return and Pay Base are increased by equal amounts, with no change in Increases After Retirement, computed contributions decrease significantly.

Where benefits are fixed dollar amounts, computed contributions are significar ly reduced if Investment Return is increased.

# The Importance of the Investment Return Rate Being More Than the Inflation Rate In Order to Achleve Practical Level Contribution Rates 



> "LEVEL A CONTRIBUTIONS" occur mathematically when the investment return rate from plan assets exceeds the inflation rate. The greater the excess, the lower the Level A line will be.
> Historically, it is this assumed condition that has led to the development of and use of "actuarially sound" or "actuarial reserve" financing methods.
"Level B Contributions" occur mothematically when the investment return rate from plan assets equals the inflation rate.

Who would contribute a level rate which is the same as the ultimate contribution rate of "pay-as-you-go" financing?
"Level C Contributions" occur mothematically when the investment return rate from plan assets is less than the inflation rate. The greater the difference, the higher the Level C line would be.

Who would contribute at a rate always more than the benefits paid?

TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS FOR RETIREMENT BENEFITS
USING ALTERNATE FINANCING FOR ACCRUED LIABILITIES:
------ LEVEL \% OF PAYROLL
............ FULL AMORTIZATION OF UAAL OVER 40 YEARS

-     -         - FULL AMORTIZATION OF UAAL OVER 20 YEARS


Red means a REAL Return less than 3\%
[3\% > (Total - Inflation)]

| Yewr | Common Stocks | Small <br> Company Stocke | Long-Tom Corporate Bonde | Long-Term Government Bonde | Intermed-inte-Term Government Bonde | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.s. } \\ \text { Treasury } \\ \text { Bils } \end{gathered}$ | Consume Price Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926 | 0.1162 | 0.0028 | 0.0737 | 0.0777 | 0.0538 | 0.0327 | -0.0149 |
| 1927 | 0.3749 | 0.2210 | 0.0744 | 0.0893 | 0.0452 | 0.0312 | -0.0208 |
| 1828 | 0.4381 | 0.3969 | 0.0284 | 0.0010 | 0.0092 | 0.0356 | -0.0097 |
| 1829 | -0.0842 | -0.5136 | 0.0327 | 0.0342 | 0.0601 | 0.0475 | 0.0019 |
| 1930 | -0.2490 | -0.3815 | 0.0798 | 0.0468 | 0.0671 | 0.0241 | -0.0603 |
| 1931 | -0.4334 | -0.4975 | -0.0185 | -0.0531 | -0.0232 | 0.0107 | -0.0952 |
| 1932 | -0.0819 | -0.0539 | 0.1082 | 0.1684 | 0.0881 | 0.0098 | -0.1030 |
| 1933 | 0.5399 | 1.4287 | 0.1038 | -0.0008 | 0.0182 | 0.0030 | 0.0051 |
| 1934 | -0.0144 | 0.2422 | 0.1384 | 0.1002 | 0.0900 | 0.0016 | 0.0203 |
| 1935 | 0.4787 | 0.4018 | 0.0961 | 0.0498 | 0.0701 | 0.0017 | 0.0299 |
| 1938 | 0.3392 | 0.6480 | 0.0874 | 0.0761 | 0.0306 | 0.0018 | 0.0121 |
| 1937 | -0.3503 | -0.5801 | 0.0275 | 0.0023 | 0.0156 | 0.0031 | 0.0310 |
| 1938 | 0.3112 | 0.3280 | 0.0813 | 0.0553 | 0.0823 | -0.0002 | -0.0278 |
| 1939 | -0.0041 | 0.0035 | 0.0397 | 0.0594 | 0.0452 | 0.0002 | -0.0048 |
| 1940 | -0.0978 | -0.0516 | 0.0339 | 0.0609 | 0.0296 | 0.0000 | 0.0096 |
| 1941 | -0.1159 | -0.0900 | 0.0273 | 0.0093 | 0.0049 | 0.0006 | 0.0972 |
| 1942 | 0.2034 | 0.4451 | 0.0260 | 0.0322 | 0.0194 | 0.0027 | 0.0929 |
| 1943 | 0.2590 | 0.8837 | 0.0283 | 0.0208 | 0.6281 | 0.0035 | 0.0316 |
| 1944 | 0.1975 | 0.5372 | 0.0473 | 0.0281 | 0.0180 | 0.0033 | 0.0211 |
| 1945 | 0.3644 | 0.7361 | 0.0408 | 0.1073 | 0.0222 | 0.0033 | 0.0225 |
| 1948 | -0.0807 | -0.1163 | 0.0172 | -0.0010 | 0.0100 | 0.0035 | 0.1817 |
| 1947 | 0.0571 | 0.0092 | -0.0234 | -0.0263 | 0.0091 | 0.0050 | 0.0901 |
| 1948 | 0.0550 | -0.021t | 0.0414 | 0.0340 | 0.0185 | 0.0081 | 0.0271 |
| 1949 | 0.1878 | 0.1975 | 0.0331 | 0.0846 | 0.0232 | 0.0110 | -0.0180 |
| 1950 | 0.3171 | 0.3875 | 0.0212 | 0.0006 | 0.0070 | 0.0120 | 0.0579 |
| 1951 | 0.2402 | 0.0780 | -0.0269 | -0.0394 | 0.0036 | 0.0149 | 0.0587 |
| 1952 | 0.1837 | 0.0303 | 0.0352 | 0.0116 | 0.0163 | 0.0166 | 0.0088 |
| 1953 | -0.0099 | -0.0649 | 0.0341 | 0.0363 | 0.0323 | 0.0182 | 0.0063 |
| 1954 | 0.5282 | 0.6058 | 0.0539 | 0.0719 | 0.0268 | 0.0086 | -0.0050 |
| 1955 | 0.3158 | 0.2044 | 0.0048 | -0.0130 | -0.0065 | 0.0157 | 0.0037 |
| 1956 | 0.0856 | 0.0428 | -0.068 $\dagger$ | -0.0559 | 0.0042 | 0.0246 | 0.0286 |
| 1957 | -0.1078 | -0.1457 | 0.0871 | 0.0745 | 0.0784 | 0.0314 | 0.0302 |
| 1958 | 0.4336 | 0.8489 | -0.0222 | -0.0610 | -0.0129 | 0.0154 | 0.0176 |
| 1959 | 0.1186 | 0.1640 | -0.0097 | -0.0226 | -0.0039 | 0.0295 | 0.0150 |
| 1960 | 0.0047 | -0.0329 | 0.0907 | 0.1378 | 0.1175 | 0.0266 | 0.0148 |
| 1961 | 0.2689 | 0.3209 | 0.0482 | 0.0097 | 0.0185 | 0.0213 | 0.0067 |
| 1982 | -0.0873 | -0.1190 | 0.0795 | 0.0689 | 0.0558 | 0.0273 | 0.0122 |
| 1963 | 0.2280 | 0.2357 | 0.0219 | 0.0121 | 0.0164 | 0.0312 | 0.0165 |
| 1984 | 0.1648 | 0.2352 | 0.0477 | 0.0351 | 0.0404 | 0.0354 | 0.0119 |
| 1985 | 0.1245 | 0.4175 | -0.0046 | 0.0071 | 0.0102 | 0.0393 | 0.0192 |
| 1986 | -0.1006 | -0.0701 | 0.0020 | 0.0365 | 0.0468 | 0.0476 | 0.0335 |
| 1987 | 0.2398 | 0.8357 | -0.0495 | -0.0919 | 0.0101 | 0.0421 | 0.0304 |
| 1988 | 0.1108 | 0.3597 | 0.0257 | -0.0026 | 0.0453 | 0.0521 | 0.0472 |
| 1969 | -0.0850 | -0.2505 | -0.0809 | -0.0508 | -0.0074 | 0.0658 | 0.0611 |
| 1970 | 0.0401 | -0.1743 | 0.1837 | 0.1210 | 0.1686 | 0.0653 | 0.0549 |
| 1971 | 0.1431 | 0.1650 | 0.1101 | 0.1323 | 0.0872 | 0.0439 | 0.0336 |
| 1972 | 0.1888 | 0.0443 | 0.0726 | 0.0568 | 0.0516 | 0.0384 | 0.0341 |
| 1973 | -0.1466 | -0.3090 | 0.0114 | -0.0111 | 0.0460 | 0.0693 | 0.0880 |
| 1974 | -0.2647 | -0.1995 | -0.0306 | 0.0435 | 0.0569 | 0.0800 | 0.1220 |
| 1975 | 0.3720 | 0.5282 | 0.1484 | 0.0919 | 0.0783 | 0.0580 | 0.0701 |
| 1976 | 0.2384 | 0.5738 | 0.1865 | 0.1675 | 0.1287 | 0.0508 | 0.0481 |
| 1977 | -0.0718 | 0.2538 | 0.0171 | -0.0067 | 0.0140 | 0.0512 | 0.0677 |
| 1978 | 0.0656 | 0.2346 | -0.0007 | -0.0116 | 0.0348 | 0.0718 | 0.0903 |
| 1979 | 0.1844 | 0.4346 | -0.0418 | -0.0122 | 0.0409 | 0.1038 | 0.1331 |
| 1980 | 0.3242 | 0.3988 | -0.0262 | -0.0395 | 0.0391 | 0.1124 | 0.1240 |
| 1981 | -6.0491 | 0.1388 | -0.0096 | 0.0185 | 0.0945 | 0.1471 | 0.0894 |
| 1982 | 0.2141 | 0.2801 | 0.4379 | 0.4035 | 0.2910 | 0.1054 | 0.0387 |
| 1983 | 0.2251 | 0.3987 | 0.0470 | 0.0068 | 0.0741 | 0.0880 | 0.0380 |
| 1884 | 0.0627 | -0.0667 | 0.1639 | 0.1543 | 0.1402 | 0.0985 | 0.0395 |
| 1985 | 0.3218 | 0.2468 | 0.3090 | 0.3097 | 0.2033 | 0.0772 | 0.0377 |
| 1988 | 0.1847 | 0.0885 | 0.1985 | 0.2444 | 0.1514 | 0.0616 | 0.0113 |
| 1987 | 0.0523 | -0.0930 | 0.0027 | -0.0269 | 0.0290 | 0.0547 | 0.0441 |
| 1988 | 0.1681 | 0.2287 | 0.1070 | 0.0967 | 0.0610 | 0.0635 | 0.0442 |
| 1989 | 0.3149 | 0.1018 | 0.1623 | 0.1811 | 0.1329 | 0.0837 | 0.0465 |

# Investment Return and Inflation: Past and Future 

## Inflation Distortions

Inflation's impact on Investment retum is not even from year to year. A common expectation for Real Investment Retum (Total Return minus Inflation) is in the area of $3 \%$ to $4 \%$ annualiy.

In the last 25 years Real Return was not only short of that mark, it was actually negative for parts of that period.

| No. Yeare/ Ended December | $\begin{aligned} & \text { menetion } \\ & \text { CCRD. } \end{aligned}$ | Annual invertmemt Peturn (including inoome) <br> - REAL RETURN (Total after hmetion) - . |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bonde (tone Tecm) |  | Cesh Equiviloms fre: Blys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stocks } \\ & \text { RPP So0) } \end{aligned}$ | sample EUND: |
|  |  |  | Corporate (sal Bro) |  |  |  |
| 5/1984 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 9.4 | 6.7 |
| 5/1969 | 3.8 | -5.6 | -5.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | -1.8 |
| 5/1974 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.7 | -8.3 | -3.6 |
| 5/1979 | 8.1 | -3.5 | -2.1 | -1.3 | 6.2 | 1.9 |
| 5/1984 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 6.3 |
| 5/1989 | 3.7 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 3.0 | 18.1 | 12.5 |
| 1/1985 | 3.8 | 26.2 | 26.1 | 3.8 | 27.4 | 24.4 |
| 1/1986 | 1.1 | 23.0 | 18.6 | 5.0 | 17.2 | 16.6 |
| 1/1987 | 4.4 | -6.7 | -4.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 | -1.5 |
| 1/1988 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 11.9 | 8.2 |
| 1/1989 | 4.7 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 3.5 | 25.6 | 16.8 |
| 25/1989 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 2.9 |

* 10\% Cash Equivalents $+45 \%$ Fixed hoome $+45 \%$ Stocks (only one of many reassonable samples).

For most pension plans, Beneft increases After Retirement have fallen short of keeping up with infation. The retired life group has been hurt more than the active life group. The investmemt return necessary for the indexing of benefits after retirement has not been realized (and probably cannot be realized during a period of large inflation).

## Changes In Economic Assumptions

## Within An Economic Environment of Infiation

There is powerful mothation to increase assumed Investment Return used in actuarial calculations, with or without a related increase in Employee Pay Base, because such an assumption change decreases computed contributions. A contribution rate decrease (i) offers relief for employer budget problems and/or (ii) offers a "no-cost" way to provide beneft increases.

The wisdom of Investment Return assumed for the future can be determined only by future events. Will the investment record of the next 25 years be the same as the last 25 years? Better? Worse?





# Table 10. Selected Economic Assumptions by Alternative Calendar Years 1960-2065 

| Celendar Yeer | Average annual percentege increase in - |  |  | Reelwage differential 3 (percent) | Average annual intereat rete ${ }^{4}$ (percent) | Average ennual unemployment rats 5 (percent) | Average annual percentage incresese in labor force ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reel GNP ${ }^{1}$ | Average annual wage in covered employment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Concurmer } \\ & \text { Price } 2 \\ & \text { Index } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Peat Experience: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960-64............. | 3.9 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 1.3 |
| 1965-69............. | 4.4 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 2.1 |
| 1970-74............. | 2.4 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 2.3 |
| 1975................... | -1.3 | 6.7 | 9.2 | -2.5 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 1.9 |
| 1976................... | 4.9 | 8.7 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 2.4 |
| 1977................... | 4.7 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 2.9 |
| 1978................... | 5.3 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 3.2 |
| 1979................... | 2.5 | 9.8 | 11.4 | - 1.6 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 2.6 |
| 1980................... | -0.2 | 9.0 | 13.5 | -4.5 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 1.9 |
| 198 1................... | 1.9 | 9.7 | 10.2 | -0.6 | 13.3 | 7.6 | 1.6 |
| 1982................... | -2.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 12.8 | 9.7 | 1.4 |
| 1983................... | 3.6 | 75.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 1.2 |
| 1984.................. | 6.4 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 12.4 | 7.5 | 1.8 |
| 1985................... | 3.0 | 74.3 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 10.8 | 7.2 | 1.7 |
| 1986.................. | 3.4 | 74.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 |
| 1987................... | 3.7 | 75.0 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 1.7 |
| 1988.................. | 4.4 | ${ }^{7} 5.1$ | 4.0 | 1.1 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 1.4 |
| 1989................... | 2.9 | ${ }^{7} 6.3$ | 4.8 | 1.5 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| Aternative li-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990................... | 1.9 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 1.0 |
| 199 1................... | 2.4 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 1.1 |
| 1992................... | 2.4 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 1.0 |
| 1993................... | 2.1 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 0.9 |
| 1994................... | 2.2 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 0.9 |
| 1995................... | 2.3 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 0.9 |
| 1996................... | 2.3 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 0.9 |
| 1997................... | 2.3 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 0.9 |
| 1998.................. | 2.3 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 0.8 |
| 1999................... | 2.3 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 0.8 |
| 2020................... | 1.8 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.6 |
| 2010 \& later........ | 81.8 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.3 |

1 The real GNP (gross national product) is the value of total output of goods and services, expressed in 1982 dollars.
2 The Consumer Price Index is the average of the 12 monthly values of the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W).

3 The real-wage differential is the difference between the percentage increases, before rounding, in (1) the overage annual wage in covered employment, and (2) the average onnual Consumer Price Index.

4 The overage annual interest rate is the average of the nominal interest rates, which, in practice, ore compounded semiannually, for special publie-debt obllgations issuable to the trust funds in each of the 12 months of the year.

5 Through 1999, the rates shown are unadjusted clvilian unemployment rates. After 1999, the rates are total rates (including military personnel), adjusted by age and sex bosed on the estimated total labor foree on Juty 1, 1989.

6 Labar force is the total for the U.S. (including military personnel) and reflects the average of the monthly numbers of persons on the labor force for each year.

7 Proliminary
8 This value is for 2010 . The onnual percentage increase in labor force ond real GNP is assumed to continue to change after 2010 for each alternative to reflect the dependence of labor force growth on the size and age-sex distribution of the population. The increases in real GNP for 2065 are 2.7, 1.8, 1.5, and 0.5 percent for alternatives I, II-A, II-B, and III, respectively. The changes in fotal labor force for 2065 are $0.5,0.0,0.0$, and -0.6 percent for alternatives I, II-A, II-B, and III, respectively.

# Table 11. Selected Demographic Assumptions by Alternative Calendar Years 1940-2065 

| Celender Year | Totel fertilly rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age-eex-adjusted } \\ & \text { death rate } \\ & \text { (per 100,000) } \end{aligned}$ | Lit expectancy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Atbith |  | At ${ }^{\text {ge }} 85$ |  |
|  |  |  | Maie | Fernale | Malo | Fernale |
| Past Experience: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1940................... | 2.23 | 1,532.8 | 61.4 | 65.7 | 11.9 | 13.4 |
| 1945................... | 2.42 | 1,366.4 | 62.9 | 68.4 | 12.6 | 14.4 |
| 1950................... | 3.03 | 1,225.3 | 65.6 | 71.1 | 12.8 | 15.1 |
| 1955................... | 3.50 | 1,134.2 | 66.7 | 72.8 | 13.1 | 15.6 |
| 1960................... | 3.61 | 1,128.6 | 66.7 | 73.2 | 12.9 | 15.9 |
| 1965................... | 2.88 | 1,103.6 | 66.8 | 73.8 | 12.9 | 16.3 |
| 1970................... | 2.43 | 1,04 1.8 | 67.1 | 74.9 | 13.1 | 17.1 |
| 1975................... | 1.77 | 934.0 | 68.7 | 76.6 | 13.7 | 18.0 |
| 1976................... | 1.74 | 923.2 | 69.1 | 76.8 | 13.7 | 18.1 |
| 1977.................. | 1.80 | 898.0 | 69.4 | 77.2 | 13.9 | 18.3 |
| 1978................... | 1.76 | 892.4 | 69.6 | 77.3 | 13.9 | 18.3 |
| 1979................... | 1.82 | 864.2 | 70.0 | 77.7 | 14.2 | 18.6 |
| 1980.................. | 1.85 | 878.0 | 69.9 | 77.5 | 14.0 | 18.4 |
| 198 1................... | 1.83 | 853.4 | 70.4 | 77.9 | 14.2 | 18.6 |
| 1982................... | 1.83 | 827.8 | 70.8 | 78.2 | 14.5 | 18.8 |
| 1983.................. | 1.81 | 835.0 | 70.9 | 78.1 | 14.3 | 18.6 |
| 1984................... | 1.80 | 828.2 | 71.1 | 78.2 | 14.4 | 18.7 |
| 1985................... | 1.84 | 830.0 | 71.1 | 78.2 | 14.4 | 18.6 |
| 1986. | 1.84 | 821.8 | 71.2 | 78.3 | 14.5 | 18.7 |
| 1987................... | 1.88 | 808.5 | 71.5 | 78.4 | 14.9 | 18.7 |
| 1988................... | 1.91 | 801.1 | 71.6 | 78.6 | 14.9 | 18.8 |
| Aternative ILA and It-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989................... | 1.91 | 801.9 | 71.7 | 78.7 | 15.0 | 18.9 |
| 1990................... | 1.91 | 794.5 | 71.8 | 78.9 | 15.1 | 19.0 |
| 1995................... | 1.91 | 756.3 | 72.1 | 79.5 | 15.4 | 19.3 |
| 2000.................. | 1.91 | 725.1 | 72.7 | 80.1 | 15.6 | 19.6 |
| 2005.................. | 1.91 | 694.5 | 73.5 | 80.5 | 15.8 | 19.8 |
| $2010 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1.90 | 673.2 | 74.1 | 80.8 | 16.0 | 20.1 |
| 2015.................. | 1.90 | 656.6 | 74.4 | 81.1 | 16.2 | 20.3 |
| 2020.................. | 1.90 | 641.1 | 74.6 | 81.4 | 16.4 | 20.5 |
| 2025................... | 1.90 | 626.3 | 74.9 | 81.7 | 16.6 | 20.7 |
| 2030.................. | 1.90 | 611.9 | 75.2 | 82.0 | 16.8 | 20.9 |
| 2035.................. | 1.90 | 598.1 | 75.5 | 82.3 | 16.9 | 21.1 |
| 2040................... | 1.90 | 584.8 | 75.7 | 82.6 | 17.1 | 21.4 |
| 2045................... | 1.90 | 571.9 | 76.0 | 82.8 | 17.3 | 21.6 |
| 2050................... | 1.90 | 559.5 | 76.3 | 83.1 | 17.5 | 21.8 |
| 2055................... | 1.90 | 547.5 | 76.5 | 83.4 | 17.7 | 22.0 |
| 2060................... | 1.90 | 536.0 | 76.8 | 83.6 | 17.8 | 22.2 |
| 2065.................. | 1.90 | 524.8 | 77.0 | 83.9 | 18.0 | 22.4 |

Figure 1

## Short Term HI Trust Fund Ratios



* The trust fund is depleted in 2018 under Alternative I .

Note: The trust fund ratio is defined as the ratio of assets at the beginning of the year to disbursements during the year.

Figure 2
Estimated HI Costs and Tax Rates
\% of Taxable Payroll


Note: HI projected costs shown are expenditures attributable to insured beneficiaries only, on an incurred basis, without an allowance for building and

Figure 2

## Actuarial Status of the SMI Trust Fund



Note: The actuarial status of the SMI Trust Fund is measured by the ratio of the end of year surplus or deficit to the following year incurred expenses.

Computed actuarial accrued liabilities are one of the results of the actuarial valuation.

Schedule 10.<br>BASIC BENEFITS<br>Actuarial Accrued Liabilities June 30, 1990 Allocations Using Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method

Entry Age
Actuarial
Present Value of
Accrued Liabilities
Future monthly benefits and death benefits to present retirants and survivors
$\$ 1,871,650,701$

Monthly benefits and refunds to present inactive members 55,330,435

Service allowances to present active members
1,931,671,378
Disability allowances to present active members
53,972,457
Death-after-retirement benefit (\$500) on behalf of present active members
$1,532,489$
Survivor benefits on behalf of present active members who die before retiring 52,685,464

Refunds of member contributions of present active members

36,426,869
Benefits for present active members
$2,076,288,657$

Entry Age Liabilities For Present Covered Persons 4,003,269,793

Valuation Assets
$2,685,726,610$

Liabilities to be Covered By Future Contributions $\quad 1,317,543,183$

The Employer Contribution Rate for Basic Benefits has been established by the Board as normal cost plus a 40 year amortization of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities. Please see page 46 for a graph showing the relationship between level cost financing and amortization periods.

Schedule 11.

## BASIC BENEFITS

COMPOSITION OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATE
Established By Statute \& Board Action
June 30, 1990

Contributions Expressed as
Percents of Payroll

## Normal cost:

Service allowances 10.29\%

Disability allowances
0.78

Survivor benefits (SB Fund)
0.55
$\$ 500$ death benefit Total
0.02
11.64

Member contributions:
9.00

Less: Future refunds $\quad \frac{2.22}{6.78}$
Available for allowances
6.78

Employer Normal Cost 4.86

Unfunded Accrued Liabilities
Minimum level \% financing 3.33
Additional amount to fund over 40 years Total
1.59
4.92

EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATE
ALLOCATED TO BASIC BENEFITS
9.78

## THE ACTUARIAL VALUATION PROCESS

The financing diagram on the opposite page shows the relationship between the two fundamentally different philosophies of paying for retirement benefits: the method where contributions match cash benefit payments (or barely exceed cash benefit payments, as in the Federal Social Security program) and is thus an increasing contribution method; and the level contribution method, which equalizes contributions between the generations.

The actuarial valuation is the mathematical process by which the level contribution rate is determined, and the flow of activity constituting the valuation may be summarized as follows:
A. Covered Person Data, furnished by plan administrator

Retired lives now receiving benefits
Former employees with vested benefits not yet payable Active employees
B. + Asset data (cash \& investments), furnished by plan administrator
C. + Assumptions concerning future financial experiences in various risk areas, which assumptions are established by the Board of Trustees after consulting with the actuary
D. + The funding method for employer contributions (the long-term planned pattern for employer contributions)
E. + Mathematically combining the assumptions, the funding method, and the data
F. = Determination of:

Plan financial position and/or
New Employer Contribution Rate

DATA FURNISHED

Retired members and survivors included in the valuation totaled 47,317. The 44,205 retirants and survivors of retirants as of June 30 , 1990 were receiving annual benefits totaling $\$ 172,789,279$ from the Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund. The 3,112 survivors of deceased active members as of June 30,1990 were receiving annual benefits totaling $\$ 10,320,536$ from the Survivor Benefit Fund.

Schedule 1.
Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund Retirants and Beneficiaries June 30, 1990

Type of Benefit, Annual Amount and Basic Benefit Actuarial Liabilities

| Group | Number | \% of Current Total \$ |  |  | Current Total S | Actuarial <br> Liabilities* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ \text { Allowances } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.B. } 204 \\ & \text { and } 284 \end{aligned}$ | Post-Retire. Increases |  |  |
|  |  | SUPERANNUATION RETIREMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Straight Life Allowance - Benefit Terminating at Death |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 4,875 | 82.9\% | 0.6\% | 16.5\% | \$22,880,708 | \$178,192,176 |
| Women | 20,429 | 84.4 | 0.6 | 15.0 | 63,716,564 | 643,348,585 |
| Totals | 25,304 |  |  |  | 86,597,272 | 821,540,761 |

Option II Allowance - Joint and Survivor Benefits

| Men | 6,317 | 87.3 | 0.2 | 12.5 | $39,065,518$ | $460,327,605$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 4,757 | 88.7 | 0.1 | 11.2 | $\frac{15,889,136}{54,954,654}$ | $\frac{193,628,591}{653,956,196}$ |

Option III Allowance - Life Benefits With Guaranteed Periods

| Men | 738 | 80.3 | 0.7 | 19.0 | $3,103,224$ | $24,398,164$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 971 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,709 | 81.6 | 0.7 | 17.7 | $\frac{2,724,970}{2,7}$ | $27,396,603$ |
| Totals |  |  |  | $5,828,194$ | $51,794,767$ |  |

Allowance to Survivor Beneficiary of Deceased Superannuation Retirant Who Elected Option II - Life Benefit

| Men | 338 | 80.3 | 1.4 | 18.3 | 647,294 | $5,059,951$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 2,306 | 77.7 | 1.8 | 20.5 | $\frac{6,817,486}{7,464,780}$ | $\frac{62,032,727}{67,092,678}$ |
| Totals | 2,644 |  |  |  |  |  |

Allowance to Survivor Beneficiary of Deceased Superannuation Retirant Who Elected Option III - Guaranteed Period Only

| Men | 33 | 83.7 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 100,848 | 447,353 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 92 | 79.9 | 0.1 | 20.0 | $-378,884$ | $1,366.114$ |
|  | 125 |  |  |  | 479,732 | $1,813,467$ |

* Includes allowance and lump sum death benefit.

Schedule 1. - completed<br>Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund<br>Retirants and Beneficiaries June 30, 1990<br>Type of Benefit, Annual Amount<br>and Basic Benefit Actuarial Liabilities

| Group | Number | \% of Current Total \$ |  |  | Current <br> Total \$ | Actuarial <br> Liabilities* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ \text { Allowances } \end{gathered}$ | H.B. 204 and 284 | Post-Retire. Increases |  |  |
| Total for Superannuation Allowances Being Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 12,301 | 85.4\% | 0.4\% | 14.2\% | \$ 65,797,592 | \$ 668,425,249 |
| Women | 28,555 | 84.5 | 0.6 | 14.9 | 89,527,040 | 927,772,620 |
| Totals | 40,856 |  |  |  | 155,324,632 | 1,596,197,869 |

## DISABILITY RETIREMENT

Straight Life Allowance - Benefit Terminating at Death

| Men | 1,244 | 85.6 | 0.4 | 14.0 | $9,233,545$ | $96,228,535$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | $\frac{2,105}{}$ | 85.5 | 0.5 | 14.0 | $-8,231,102$ | $98,431,788$ |
|  | 3,349 |  |  |  | $17,464,647$ | $194,660,323$ |

TOTAL BENEFITS BEING PAID FROM ANNUITY AND PENSION RESERVE FUND

| Men | 13,545 | 85.4 | 0.4 | 14.2 | $75,031,137$ | $764,653,784$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 30,660 | 84.6 | 0.6 | 14.8 | $\frac{97,758,142}{1,8}$ | $\frac{1,026,204,408}{1,790,858,192}$ |

* Includes allowance and lump sum death benefit.


## Schedule 2.

Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund
Retirants June 30, 1990
Current Annual Total \$ By Attained Ages

| Attained$\qquad$ Ages | Superannuation |  | Disability |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Total } \$ \end{aligned}$ | No. | Annual <br> Total S | No. | Annual Total $\$$ |
| 25-29 |  | \$ | 2 | \$ 26,890 | 2 | \$ 26,890 |
| 30-34 |  |  | 20 | 193,495 | 20 | 193,495 |
| 35-39 |  |  | 45 | 539,710 | 45 | 539,710 |
| 40-44 |  |  | 107 | 1,020,509 | 107 | 1,020,509 |
| 45-49 | 6 | 92,942 | 191 | 1,372,009 | 197 | 1,464,951 |
| 50-54 | 109 | 1,852,398 | 388 | 2,559,390 | 497 | 4,411,788 |
| 55-59 | 435 | 5,484,976 | 610 | 3,436,997 | 1,045 | 8,921,973 |
| 60-64 | 5,578 | 25,709,266 | 793 | 3,884,545 | 6,371 | 29,593,811 |
| 65-69 | 10,183 | 43,075,837 | 600 | 2,616,733 | 10,783 | 45,692,570 |
| 70-74 | 9,323 | 33,990,466 | 404 | 1,313,058 | 9,727 | 35,303,524 |
| 75-79 | 6,767 | 20,945,899 | 131 | 333,807 | 6,898 | 21,279,706 |
| 80-84 | 3,591 | 10,262,086 | 47 | 127,636 | 3,638 | 10,389,722 |
| 85-89 | 1,465 | 4,099,247 | 10 | 29,570 | 1,475 | 4,128,817 |
| 90-94 | 474 | 1,395,865 | 1 | 10,298 | 475 | 1,406,163 |
| 95-99 | 123 | 358,831 |  |  | 123 | 358,831 |
| 100 | 8 | 26,737 |  |  | 8 | 26,737 |
| 101 | 7 | 27,885 |  |  | 7 | 27,885 |
| 102 | 3 | 8,484 |  |  | 3 | 8,484 |
| 103 | 2 | 8,978 |  |  | 2 | 8,978 |
| 104 | 2 | 3,709 |  |  | 2 | 3,709 |
| 105 | 3 | 10,618 |  |  | 3 | 10,618 |
| 106 | 3 | 13,176 |  |  | 3 | 13,176 |
| 108 | 3 | 7,632 |  |  | 3 | 7,632 |
| 109 | 2 | 5,088 |  |  | 2 | 5,088 |
| Totals | 38,087 | \$147,380,120 | 3,349 | \$17,464,647 | 41,436 | \$164,844,767 |

## Schedule 3.

## Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund

Survivors of Retirants June 30, 1990

## Current Annual Total \$ By Attained Ages

| Attained$\qquad$ | Life Annuities |  | $\frac{\text { Periods Certain }}{\text { Annual }}$ |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\qquad$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Total } \$ \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Total } \$ \end{aligned}$ |
| Under 20 | 1 | \$ 769 | 2 | \$ 5,801 | 3 | \$ 6,570 |
| 20-24 | 3 | 24,165 | 1 | 5,582 | 4 | 29,747 |
| 25-29 | 2 | 3,144 | 2 | 8,086 | 4 | 11,230 |
| 30-34 | 3 | 1,219 | 1 | 1,342 | 4 | 2,561 |
| 35-39 | 12 | 32,968 | 4 | 11,037 | 16 | 44,005 |
| 40-44 | 9 | 13,384 | 6 | 19,577 | 15 | 32,961 |
| 45-49 | 14 | 39,453 | 3 | 2,304 | 17 | 41,757 |
| 50-54 | 15 | 32,135 | 4 | 6,426 | 19 | 38,561 |
| 55-59 | 59 | 274,825 | 6 | 15,174 | 65 | 289,999 |
| 60-64 | 169 | 688,431 | 12 | 37,358 | 181 | 725,789 |
| 65-69 | 401 | 1,299,305 | 29 | 111,218 | 430 | 1,410,523 |
| 70-74 | 603 | 1,686,942 | 36 | 165,860 | 639 | 1,852,802 |
| 75-79 | 625 | 1,562,259 | 16 | 73,127 | 641 | 1,635,386 |
| 80-84 | 427 | 994,428 | 1 | 13,551 | 428 | 1,007,979 |
| 85-89 | 202 | 524,655 |  |  | 202 | 524,655 |
| 90-94 | 69 | 200,895 | 2 | 3,289 | 71 | 204,184 |
| 95-99 | 26 | 78,609 |  |  | 26 | 78,609 |
| 100 | 2 | 3,534 |  |  | 2 | 3,534 |
| 101 | 1 | 974 |  |  | 1 | 974 |
| 102 | 1 | 2.686 |  |  | 1 | 2,686 |
| Totals | 2,644 | \$7,464,780 | 125 | \$479,732 | 2,769 | \$7,944,512 |

## Schedule 4.

Survivor Benefit Fund
Beneficiaries June 30, 1990
Annual Amounts and Basic Benefit Actuarial Liabilities

| Group | Number | \% of Current Total \$ |  |  | Current <br> Total S | Actuarial <br> Liabilities* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Basic Allowances | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.B. } 204 \\ & \text { and } 284 \end{aligned}$ | Post-Retire Increases |  |  |
|  |  | Benefits Being Paid From Survivor Benefit Fund |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 803 | 87.5\% | 0.1\% | 12.4\% | \$ 2,089,797 | \$17,280,311 |
| Women | 2,309 | 81.6 | 0.6 | 17.8 | 8,230,739 | 63,512,198 |
| Totals | 3,112 |  |  |  | 10,320,536 | 80,792,509 |

* Includes allowance only. Also includes liabilities for beneficiaries in blackout who are not represented in other statistics on this page.

Schedule 5.

Survivor Benefit Fund
Survivors of Deceased Active Members June 30, 1990
Current Annual Total \$ By Attained Ages

| Attained $\qquad$ Ages | No. | Annual <br> Total $\$$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20 | 23 | \$ | 87,889 |
| 20-24 | 16 |  | 67,716 |
| 25-29 | 6 |  | 37,735 |
| 30-34 | 17 |  | 148,339 |
| 35-39 | 26 |  | 134,917 |
| 40-44 | 59 |  | 326,363 |
| 45-49 | 66 |  | 336,425 |
| 50-54 | 123 |  | 573,509 |
| 55-59 | 210 |  | 902,767 |
| 60-64 | 435 |  | 1,553,778 |
| 65-69 | 624 |  | 1,935,729 |
| 70-74 | 592 |  | 1,760,159 |
| 75-79 | 459 |  | 1,205,873 |
| 80-84 | 287 |  | 723,549 |
| 85-89 | 124 |  | 354,686 |
| 90-94 | 36 |  | 134,954 |
| 95-99 | 9 |  | 36,148 |
| Totals | 3,112 |  | 0,320,536 |

Active members included in the valuation totaled 93,147 , involving an annual payroll totaling $\$ 1,118,677,047$. The schedules below and on the following 4 pages provide some detail from the data on active members.

Active Members in Valuation June 30, 1990

| Groups | Number | Annual <br> Payroll | Average $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 24,520 | \$ 429,232,381 | \$17,505 |
| Women | 68,627 | 689,444,666 | 10,046 |
| Totals | 93,147 | \$1,118,677,047 | \$12,010 |

Reporting of active members. The persons included as active members in this June 30, 1990 valuation are those who had 4 or more months of credit during the year and were listed as active in SERS records. These 93,403 persons are a reasonable approximation of the persons covered during the year ended June 30 ; excluding the summer months of July and August. The SERS active members contributing during a month (ignoring July and August) ranged from a high of 93,344 (March) to a low of 88,305 (September). Members who SERS expects to retire from another Ohio System were excluded, reducing the active group to 93,147 .

Also included in the valuation were 6,939 inactive members eligible for deferred retirement allowances (including 299 whose retirement applications were pending at June 30 ), and inactive members eligible for a contribution refund only (including 16,516 who had completed 1 or more years of employment before terminating).

Schedule 6.

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio
TOTAL Active Members as of June 30, 1990
By Attained Age and Years of Service

| Attained$\qquad$ | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Valuation Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30 Plus |  |  |  |
| Under 20 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 | \$ | 443,042 |
| 20-24 | 1,685 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,763 |  | 14,580,948 |
| 25-29 | 3,733 | 1,190 | 124 |  |  |  |  | 5,047 |  | 57,721,297 |
| 30-34 | 6,175 | 2,139 | 1,419 | 93 |  |  |  | 9,826 |  | 113,837,944 |
| 35-39 | 8,126 | 3,109 | 1,762 | 668 | 75 |  |  | 13,740 |  | 148,936,588 |
| 40-44 | 7,090 | 4,170 | 2,997 | 844 | 347 | 15 |  | 15,463 |  | 178,080,220 |
| 45-49 | 3,720 | 3,218 | 3,556 | 1,953 | 574 | 116 | 19 | 13,156 |  | 160,855,125 |
| 50-54 | 2,681 | 2,270 | 3,187 | 2,977 | 1,346 | 238 | 94 | 12,793 |  | 164,848,075 |
| 55-59 | 1,956 | 1,669 | 2,244 | 2,604 | 2,283 | 391 | 142 | 11,289 |  | 148,298,769 |
| 60 | 344 | 308 | 377 | 455 | 446 | 133 | 36 | 2,099 |  | 28,393,714 |
| 61 | 302 | 268 | 285 | 328 | 359 | 83 | 30 | 1,655 |  | 23,078,293 |
| 62 | 207 | 263 | 219 | 338 | 248 | 123 | 28 | 1,426 |  | 19,951,112 |
| 63 | 185 | 185 | 203 | 167 | 247 | 90 | 40 | 1,117 |  | 15,373,581 |
| 64 | 160 | 163 | 152 | 147 | 204 | 63 | 33 | 922 |  | 12,476,890 |
| 65 | 165 | 110 | 137 | 100 | 173 | 53 | 15 | 753 |  | 9,571,082 |
| 66 | 109 | 91 | 65 | 98 | 89 | 59 | 12 | 523 |  | 6,635,155 |
| 67 | 101 | 66 | 54 | 59 | 97 | 25 | 15 | 417 |  | 4,885,353 |
| 68 | 90 | 32 | 34 | 42 | 45 | 20 | 14 | 277 |  | 2,847,780 |
| 69 | 56 | 33 | 41 | 46 | 29 | 27 | 12 | 244 |  | 2,498,763 |
| 70 \& Over | 143 | 110 | 61 | 69 | 80 | 32 | 46 | 541 |  | 5,363,316 |
| Totals | 37,124 | 9,472 | 16,917 | 10,988 | 6,642 | 1,468 | 536 | 93,147 |  | 118,677,047 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 45.2 years.
Service: 8.5 years.
Annual Pay: $\$ 12,010$.

Schedule 7.

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio
MALE Active Members as of June 30, 1990
By Attained Age and Years of Service

| Attained Age | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Valuation <br> Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30 Plus |  |  |  |
| Under 20 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | \$ | 201,958 |
| 20-24 | 707 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 748 |  | 6,939,781 |
| 25-29 | 1,386 | 662 | 41 |  |  |  |  | 2,089 |  | 29,964,442 |
| 30-34 | 1,570 | 928 | 757 | 55 |  |  |  | 3,310 |  | 58,064,817 |
| 35-39 | 1,443 | 915 | 720 | 407 | 33 |  |  | 3,518 |  | 64,509,985 |
| 40-44 | 1,156 | 848 | 586 | 419 | 182 | 6 |  | 3,197 |  | 62,496,014 |
| 45-49 | 893 | 603 | 461 | 292 | 239 | 69 | 9 | 2,566 |  | 48,839,659 |
| 50-54 | 926 | 565 | 436 | 309 | 275 | 167 | 59 | 2,737 |  | 50,754,850 |
| 55-59 | 786 | 657 | 471 | 330 | 268 | 178 | 93 | 2,783 |  | 50,472,712 |
| 60 | 188 | 161 | 94 | 100 | 59 | 40 | 26 | 668 |  | 11,794,334 |
| 61 | 164 | 140 | 97 | 68 | 58 | 22 | 24 | 573 |  | 10,536,143 |
| 62 | 109 | 149 | 58 | 60 | 51 | 36 | 16 | 479 |  | 8,535,836 |
| 63 | 105 | 99 | 74 | 39 | 36 | 28 | 23 | 404 |  | 6,741,747 |
| 64 | 87 | 96 | 55 | 33 | 39 | 17 | 10 | 337 |  | 5,549,711 |
| 65 | 106 | 67 | 50 | 20 | 36 | 10 | 11 | 300 |  | 4,380,827 |
| 66 | 63 | 52 | 35 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 188 |  | 2,714,017 |
| 67 | 58 | 35 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 151 |  | 2,100,273 |
| 68 | 47 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 99 |  | 1,115,645 |
| 69 | 33 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 80 |  | 863,903 |
| 70 \& Over | 87 | 70 | 31 | 24 | 20 | 11 | 14 | 257 |  | 2,655,727 |
| Totals | 9,950 | 6,120 | 4,005 | 2,194 | 1,332 | 611 | 308 | 24,520 |  | 29,232,381 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 44.5 years.
Service: 8.3 years.
Annual Pay: \$17,505.

Schedule 8.

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio FEMALE Active Members as of June 30, 1990

By Attained Age and Years of Service

| Attained$\qquad$Age | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Valuation Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30 Plus |  |  |  |
| Under 20 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | \$ | 241,084 |
| 20-24 | 978 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,015 |  | 7,641,167 |
| 25-29 | 2,347 | 528 | 83 |  |  |  |  | 2,958 |  | 27,756,855 |
| 30-34 | 4,605 | 1,211 | 662 | 38 |  |  |  | 6,516 |  | 55,773,127 |
| 35-39 | 6,683 | 2,194 | 1,042 | 261 | 42 |  |  | 10,222 |  | 84,426,603 |
| 40-44 | 5,934 | 3,322 | 2,411 | 425 | 165 | 9 |  | 12,266 |  | 115,584,206 |
| 45-49 | 2,827 | 2,615 | 3,095 | 1,661 | 335 | 47 | 10 | 10,590 |  | 112,015,466 |
| 50-54 | 1,755 | 1,705 | 2,751 | 2,668 | 1,071 | 71 | 35 | 10,056 |  | 114,093,225 |
| 55-59 | 1,170 | 1,012 | 1,773 | 2,274 | 2,015 | 213 | 49 | 8,506 |  | 97,826,057 |
| 60 | 156 | 147 | 283 | 355 | 387 | 93 | 10 | 1,431 |  | 16,599,380 |
| 61 | 138 | 128 | 188 | 260 | 301 | 61 | 6 | 1,082 |  | 12,542,150 |
| 62 | 98 | 114 | 161 | 278 | 197 | 87 | 12 | 947 |  | 11,415,276 |
| 63 | 80 | 86 | 129 | 128 | 211 | 62 | 17 | 713 |  | 8,631,834 |
| 64 | 73 | 67 | 97 | 114 | 165 | 46 | 23 | 585 |  | 6,927,179 |
| 65 | 59 | 43 | 87 | 80 | 137 | 43 | 4 | 453 |  | 5,190,255 |
| 66 | 46 | 39 | 30 | 87 | 77 | 49 | 7 | 335 |  | 3,921,138 |
| 67 | 43 | 31 | 34 | 47 | 85 | 16 | 10 | 266 |  | 2,785,080 |
| 68 | 43 | 17 | 22 | 35 | 39 | 15 | 7 | 178 |  | 1,732,135 |
| 69 | 23 | 16 | 34 | 38 | 23 | 24 | 6 | 164 |  | 1,634,860 |
| 70 \& Over | 56 | 40 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 21 | 32 | 284 |  | 2,707,589 |
| Totals | 27,174 | 13,352 | 12,912 | 8,794 | 5,310 | 857 | 228 | 68,627 |  | 689,444,666 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 45.5 years.
Service: 8.6 years.
Annual Pay: \$10,046.

Schedule 9.

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio Active Members as of June 30, 1990 by Annual Pay
Annual Pay

Less than $\$ 1,000$
$\$ 1,000-\$ 1,999$
$2,000-2,999$
$3,000-3,999$
$4,000-4,999$
$5,000-5,999$
$6,000-6,999$
$7,000-7,999$
$8,000-8,999$
$9,000-9,999$

10,000 - 11,999
1,236 7,687
956 5,034
994 4,116 5,110
1,657 3,715
5,372
$2,414 \quad 2,870$
5,284

5,085 4,171
9,256
10
93
25,000 - 29,999
30,000 and over
Totals Men Women Total 697 2,882 3,579 685 3,146 3,831

649 4,286 4,935
637 3,804 4,441
751 3,791 4,542
694 3,537 4,231
$749 \quad 3,639 \quad 4,388$
817 4,571 5,388
783 4,621 5,404
760 4,866 5,626

8,923
10
61
12,000 - 13,999
14,000 - 15,999
$16,000-17,999$
18,000-19,999

20,000 - 24,999
2,529
1,085
3,614
4
97


Number of Active Members

## REPORTED ASSETS

The accrued assets at June 30 , 1990 were reported to be $\$ 2,661,597,552$ (cost basis).

Fund
Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund Survivors Benefit Fund Employees Savings Fund Employers Trust Fund Total
$\qquad$
\$2,423,360,341
134,275,724
683,812,888
$\frac{(579,851,401)}{\$ 2,661,597,552}$

## VALUATION ASSETS

The value of accrued assets (cash \& investments) as of June 30, 1990 was determined on a market related basis. The method used recognizes $20 \%$ of the previously unrecognized gains and losses (both realized and unrealized). The present value of expected future payments for House Bills 284 and 204, $\$ 4,595,472$, is added to obtain valuation assets.

Derivation of Valuation Assets
(a) Cost value June 1989
\$2,455,595,491
(b) Cost value June 1990

2,661,597,552
(c) Realized gains(losses)

16,144,030
(d) Change in cost value net of (c):
(b) - (a) - (c)

189,858,031
(e) Valuation assets June 1989

2,584,175,503
(f) Preliminary valuation assets June 1990:
(d) $+(e)$

2,774,033,534
(g) Market value June 1990
(h) Unrecognized gains(losses):
(g) - (f)

3,101,176,403
(i) Adjustment toward market value: (.20) times (h)

327,142,869
(j) Total valuation assets: (f) + (i)

65,428,574
(k) Health reserve as \% of cost value assets

2,839,462,108
(l) Health care valuation assets: (j) $\times(k)$
5.5761\%
(m) Present value of HB284 and 204 contributions

158,330,970
( n ) Basic benefits valuation assets: ( j$)+(\mathrm{m})-(1)$
4,595,472
2,685,726,610

BASIC BENEFITS

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio Outline of Benefit Eligibility and Amounts

BASIC BENEFITS
(outline last changed 6/30/90)

Service retirement. A member who (i) has attained age 60 years and has 5 or more years of total service credit, or (ii) has attained age 55 years and has 25 or more years of total service credit, or (iii) has 30 or more years of total service credit, may retire with a service retirement allowance.

Final average salary ("FAS") means the average of the annual earnings for the 3 highest years of compensation.

Service retirement allowance. A retiring member's service allowance is equal to total Ohio service credit times the greater of $\$ 86$, or $2.1 \%$ of FAS (effective October 1, 1988). The allowance is then adjusted by factors based on attained age or years of service as determined in the following schedule:


Maximum allowance is $90 \%$ of FAS.

Disability retirement. Upon becoming permanently disabled, after completion of at least 5 years of total service credit, but before attaining age 60 , a member will receive a disability allowance computed in the same manner as a service allowance for a 65 year old, based upon the service the member would have had if he remained in employment to age 60. Maximum allowance is $75 \%$ of FAS, minimum allowance is $30 \%$ of FAS.

Death while eligible to retire. If a member dies in service after becoming eligible to retire with a service allowance and leaves a surviving spouse or other sole dependent beneficiary, the survivor receives the same amount that would have been paid had the member retired the last day of the month of death and elected the $100 \%$ joint and survivor form of payment.

Survivor (death-in-service) allowances. Upon the death of a member with at least 1 1/2 years of Ohio service credit and with at least $1 / 4$ year of Ohio contributing service credit within the $21 / 2$ years prior to the date of death, the following allowances are payable:
(a) Spouse without dependent child: A monthly allowance, commencing at age 62, or age 50 if the deceased member had 10 or more years of Ohio service credit. Allowance equals 25\% of the deceased member's FAS. Minimum monthly allowance is $\$ 96$, or $\$ 106$ if deceased member had 10 or more years of Ohio service credit. Allowance terminates upon remarriage before age 62.
(b) Spouse with dependent child: An allowance of $40 \%$ of FAS is payable to the spouse of a deceased member while caring for 1 dependent child, with a minimum monthly allowance of $\$ 186$. Allowance is $50 \%$ of FAS if 2 dependent children, or $55 \%$ of FAS if 3 dependent children, or $60 \%$ of FAS if 4 or more dependent children. Minimum monthly allowance is $\$ 236$ for 2 or more children. A dependent child is defined to be an unmarried child under the age of 18 , or 22 if attending an approved school.
(c) Orphans: A monthly allowance payable to each orphan child of the deceased member who is unmarried and under the age of 18 , or 22 if attending an approved school. Allowances equal $25 \%$ of the deceased member's FAS for 1 child, an equal share of $40 \%$ of FAS if there are 2 children, an equal share of $50 \%$ of FAS if there are 3 children, an equal share of $55 \%$ of FAS if there are 4 children, or an equal share of $60 \%$ of final average salary if there are 5 or more children. Minimum monthly allowance is $\$ 96$ for 1 child, $\$ 186$ for 2 children, and $\$ 236$ for 3 or more children.
(d) Dependent parent's allowance: A monthly allowance is payable to a dependent parent age 65 or more (earlier if mentally or physically incompetent) who received at least one-half support from the member during the 12 month period immediately preceding the member's death. Allowance equals $25 \%$ of FAS for 1 parent with a minimum monthly allowance of $\$ 96$, and $40 \%$ of FAS shared equally for 2 parents with minimum monthly allowances totaling $\$ 186$. If there are other qualified beneficiaries, a dependent parent receives a share of a total allowance indicated as in (b) above counting all qualified beneficiaries.

Death after retirement benefit. A $\$ 500$ benefit is paid upon the death of each retirant. Upon the death of a disability retirant, a survivor allowance (described earlier) is paid.

## Post-retirement increases.

Annual. Each July after June 30, 1971 or the annual anniversary established 12 months after the initial date of retirement, each allowance is increased to be equal to the initial allowance increased by $3.0 \%$ for each completed year of retirement; provided, the increased allowance cannot exceed the initial allowance adjusted for increases in the Consumers Price Index.

One time. Effective October 1, 1988 members who retired prior to February 1, 1983 will receive a $2 \%$ increase and members who retired from February 1, 1983 to September 1988 will receive a $5 \%$ increase.

Deferred benefits. If a member with at least 5 calendar years of contributing service credit leaves service before being eligible for an immediate monthly allowance and does not withdraw any part of his accumulated contributions, he will be entitled to a deferred allowance at age 60. The amount of the allowance is based on his credited service and final average salary at termination of employment.

Member contributions. Each member contributes $9 \%$ of his pay by payroll deductions. This rate was established by the Board of Trustees effective July 1, 1989. The maximum statutory rate is $10 \%$.

Refund of members' accumulated contributions. In the event a member leaves service before any monthly benefits are payable on his behalf, his accumulated contributions are refunded upon application.

Employer contributions. Employer contributions are expressed as percents of member covered payroll. The maximum statutory rate is $14 \%$. In addition, effective July 1, 1988, employers will pay a health care surcharge for any member whose annual pay is less than a minimum pay, determined by actuarial valuation. The surcharge is equal to $14 \%$ of the difference between the minimum pay and the member's pay, and then subject to pro-rate for partial service credit. For the year beginning July l, 1990 the minimum annual pay is $\$ 9,100$.

