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The Board of Trustees

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio
Columbus, Ohio

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Submitted in this report are the results of the June 30,1985 actuarial valuation of the School Employees Retirement System of Ohio, as amended.

The necessary statistical data on which the valuation was based was furnished by your Director and his staff.

Their cooperation in furnishing the materials needed for this valuation is acknowledged with appreciation.

The actuarial assumptions used in making the valuation are shown in the Appendix of this report. The non-economic assumptions are from the June 30,1980 revised actuarial valuation, and the economic assumptions were established for the June 30 , 1981 actuarial valuation.

Your attention is directed particularly to:
Computed Employer Contribution Rates on page 25;
Financial Principles on pages 4-5;
Short Condition Test on page 28;
COMMENTS on pages $3 A-3 B$.

Respectfully submitted,


Gerald B. Sonnenschein Richard G. Roeder
GBS: jg

## COMMENTS

General Financial Objective. A sound general financial objective for any public employee retirement plan is to establish and receive contributions which, expressed as percents of active member payroll, will remain approximately level from generation to generation of citizens.

SERS Status. Based upon the results of the June 30,1985 actuarial valuation, the general financial objective of level-contribution-percent financing will be satisfied if future financial experiences are as assumed.

Investment return and employee pay increases and health care costs are particularly important risk areas.

SERS financial strength is substantially unchanged from a year ago (supporting information is on pages 24,27 , and 28.)

Gain/Loss Annual Analysis. To keep closer watch of the relationship between actual experience and assumed experience in each major risk area, an annual Gain/Loss Analysis has been established. This program intends to provide annual information about financial experience in an understandable form.

Board Actions. During the past year the Board took further action which increased financial strength by establishing more intensive cost containment measures in the health care area.

Health Care Benefits. The financial development of this program is cause for continuing concern.

Initially, beginning in $1974,0.75 \%$ was the contribution rate established for Health Care Benefits, and included in a total Employer Rate then $12.50 \%$. Health Care contribution rates have been increased at various times since 1974. The increases in health care costs have been substantially more than inflation increases.

This 1985 valuation produced a Health Care contribution rate of $5.88 \%$. The rates supplied by Aetna are anticipated to be unchanged, mail order prescription expenses increased, and Medicare B premiums are anticipated to increase.

Promises Made, and To Be Paid For. As each year is completed, SERS in effect hands an "IOU" to each member then acquiring a year of service credit $-\infty$ the "IOU" says: "The School Employees Retirement System of Ohio owes you one year's worth of retirement benefits, payments in cash commencing when you qualify for retirement."

The related key financial questions are:

Which generation of taxpayers contributes the money to cover the IOU?

The present taxpayers, who receive the benefit of the member's present year of service?

Or the future taxpayers, who happen to be in Ohio at the time the IOU becomes a cash demand, years and often decades later?

The law governing SERS financing intends that this year's taxpayers contribute the money to cover the IOUs being handed out this year. By following this principle, the employer contribution rate will remain approximately level from generation to generation --- our children and our grandchildren will contribute the same percents of active payroll we contribute now.
(There are systems which have a design for deferring contributions to future taxpayers, lured by a lower contribution rate now and putting aside the consequence that the contribution rate must then relentlessly grow much greater over decades of time -- consume now, and let your children face your financial pollution after you retire.)

An inevitable by-product of the level-cost design is the accumulation of reserve assets, for decades, and the income produced when the assets are invested. Invested assets are a by-product and not the objective. Investment income becomes in effect the 3 rd contributor for benefits to employees, and is interlocked with the contribution amounts required from employees and employers.

Translated to actuarial terminology, this level-cost objective means that the contribution rates must total at least the following:

Current Cost (the cost of members' service being rendered this year)
... plus ...
Interest on Unfunded Accrued Liabilities (unfunded accrued liabilities are the difference between: liabilities for service already rendered; and the accrued assets of SERS).

Computing Contributions to Support Fund Benefits. From a given schedule of benefits and from the employee data and asset data furnished him, the actuary determines the contribution rates to support the benefits, by means of an actuarial valuation and a funding method.

An actuarial valuation has a number of ingredients such as: the rate of investment return which plan assets will earn; the rates of withdrawal of active members who leave covered employment before qualifying for any monthly benefit; the rates of mortality; the rates of disability; the rates of pay increases; and the assumed age or ages at actual retirement.

In making an actuarial valuation, assumptions must be made as to what the above rates will be, for the next year and for decades in the future. The assumptions are established by the Retirement Board after consulting with the actuary.

Reconciling Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experience. Once actual experience has occurred and been observed, it will not coincide exactly with assumed experience, regardless of the wisdom of the assumptions or the skill of the actuary and the millions of calculations he made. The future can be predicted with considerable but not $100 \%$ precision, except for inflation which defies reliable prediction.

SERS copes with these continually changing differences by having annual actuarial valuations. Each actuarial valuation is a complete recalculation of assumed future experience, taking into account all past differences between assumed and actual experience. The result is continuing adjustments in financial position.


## YEARS OF TIME

This relentlessly increasing line is the fundamental reality of retirement plan financing. It happens each time a new benefit is added for future retirements (and happens regardless of the design for contributing for benefits).

LEVEL CONTRIBUTION LINE. Determining the level contribution line requires detailed assumptions concerning a variety of experiences in future decades, including:

## Economic Risk Areas

Rates of investment return
Rates of pay increase
Changes in active member group size

## Non-Economic Risk Areas

Ages at actual retirement
Rates of mortality
Rates of withdrawal of active members (turnover)
Rates of disability

The financing diagram on the opposite page shows the relationship between the two fundamentally different philosophies of paying for retirement benefits: the method where contributions match cash benefit payments (or barely exceed cash benefit payments, as in the Federal Social Security program) and is thus an increasing contribution method; and the level contribution method, which equalizes contributions between the generations.

The actuarial valuation is the mathematical process by which the level contribution rate is determined, and the flow of activity constituting the valuation may be summarized as follows:
A. Covered Person Data, furnished by plan administrator

Retired lives now receiving benefits
Former employees with vested benefits not yet payable
Active employees
B. + Asset data (cash \& investments), furnished by plan administrator
C. + Assumptions concerning future financial experiences in various risk areas, which assumptions are established by the Board of Trustees after consulting with the actuary
D. + The funding method for employer contributions (the long-term planned pattern for employer contributions)
E. + Mathematically combining the assumptions, the funding method, and the data
F. = Determination of:

Plan financial position and/or
New Employer Contribution Rate
(outline last changed $6 / 30 / 84$ )

Service retirement. A member who (i) has attained age 60 years and has 5 or more years of total service credit, or (ii) has attained age 55 years and has 25 or more years of total service credit, or (iii) has 30 or more years of total service credit, may retire with a service retirement allowance.

Final average salary ("FAS") means the average of the annual earnings for the 3 highest years of compensation.

Service retirement allowance. A retiring member's service allowance is equal to total Ohio service credit times the greater of $\$ 86$, or $2.0 \%$ of FAS. The allowance is then adjusted by factors based on attained age or years of service as determined in the following schedule:

|  | Years of <br> Birthday |  |  | OR | Total Service <br> Credit | Percentage of <br> Base Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 25 | $75 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 26 | 80 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 27 | 85 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  | 88 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 | 90 |  |  |  |  |
| 62 |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 29 | 94 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum allowance is $90 \%$ of FAS.

Disability retirement. Upon becoming permanently disabled, after completion of at least 5 years of total service credit, but before attaining age 60 , a member will receive a disability allowance computed in the same manner as a service allowance for a 65 year old, based upon the service the member would have had if he remained in employment to age 60. Maximum allowance is $75 \%$ of FAS, minimum allowance is $30 \%$ of FAS.

Death while eligible to retire. If a member dies in service after becoming eligible to retire with a service allowance and leaves a surviving spouse or other sole dependent beneficiary, the survivor receives the same amount that would have been paid had the member retired the last day of the month of death and elected the $100 \%$ joint and survivor form of payment.

Survivor (death-in-service) allowances. Upon the death of a member with at least $11 / 2$ years of Ohio service credit and with at least $1 / 4$ year of Ohio contributing service credit within the $21 / 2$ years prior to the date of death, the following allowances are payable:
(a) Spouse without dependent child: A monthly allowance, commencing at age 62 , or age 50 if the deceased member had 10 or more years of Ohio service credit. Allowance equals $25 \%$ of the deceased member's FAS. Minimum monthly allowance is $\$ 96$, or $\$ 106$ if deceased member had 10 or more years of Ohio service credit. Allowance terminates upon remarriage before age 62.
(b) Spouse with dependent child: An allowance of $40 \%$ of FAS is payable to the spouse of a deceased member while caring for 1 dependent child, with a minimum monthly allowance of $\$ 186$. Allowance is $50 \%$ of FAS if 2 dependent children, or $55 \%$ of FAS if 3 dependent children, or $60 \%$ of FAS if 4 or more dependent children. Minimum monthly allowance is $\$ 236$ for 2 or more children. A dependent child is defined to be an unmarried child under the age of 18 , or 22 if attending an approved school.
(c) Orphans: A monthly allowance payable to each orphan child of the deceased member who is unmarried and under the age of 18 , or 22 if attending an approved school. Allowances equal $25 \%$ of the deceased member's FAS for 1 child, an equal share of $40 \%$ of FAS if there are 2 children, an equal share of $50 \%$ of FAS if there are 3 children, an equal share of $55 \%$ of FAS if there are 4 children, or an equal share of $60 \%$ of final average salary if there are 5 or more children. Minimum monthly allowance is $\$ 96$ for 1 child, $\$ 186$ for 2 children, and $\$ 236$ for 3 or more children.
(d) Dependent parent's allowance: A monthly allowance is payable to a dependent parent age 65 or more (earlier if mentally or physically incompetent) who received at least one-half support from the member during the 12 month period immediately preceding the member's death. Allowance equals $25 \%$ of FAS for 1 parent with a minimum monthly allowance of $\$ 96$, and $40 \%$ of FAS shared equally for 2 parents with minimum monthly allowances totaling \$186. If there are other qualified beneficiaries, a dependent parent receives a share of a total allowance indicated as in (b) above counting all qualified beneficiaries.

Death after retirement benefit. A $\$ 500$ benefit is paid upon the death of each retirant. Upon the death of a disability retirant, a survivor allowance (described earlier) is paid.

## Post-retirement increases.

Annual. Each July after June 30,1971 or the annual anniversary established 12 months after the initial date of retirement, each allowance is recomputed to be equal to the initial allowance increased by $3.0 \%$ for each completed year of retirement. The maximum recomputed allowance equals the initial allowance adjusted for increases in the Consumers Price Index. The minimum recomputed allowance equals the initial allowance.

One time. Effective February 1, 1984 retirement allowances in payment status were increased by $5 \%$.

Deferred benefits. If a member with at least 5 calendar years of contributing service credit leaves service before being eligible for an immediate monthly allowance and does not withdraw any part of his accumulated contributions, he will be entitled to a deferred allowance at age 60. The amount of the allowance is based on his credited service and final average salary at termination of employment.

Health Care Insurance, 10 years of service credit required. Health insurance premiums are paid on behalf of each individual receiving a monthly allowance from SERS. Spouses and children may be covered. If the retirant or survivor elects to cover his dependents, the monthly retirement allowance is reduced by approximately onehalf the premium for dependent coverage.

The premiums provide coverages which may be changed from time to time. Effective $1 / 1 / 83$ an annual deductible was introduced. The deductible and prescription co-payment were increased effective January 1, 1985.

Medicare Part B. Each retirant or survivor is reimbursed for Part B Medicare premiums.

Member contributions. Each member contributes $8.75 \%$ of his pay, by payroll deductions. This rate was established by the Board of Trustees effective July 1, 1983. The maximum statutory rate is $10 \%$.

Refund of members accumulated contributions. In the event a member leaves service before any monthly benefits are payable on his behalf, his accumulated contributions are refunded.

Employer contributions. Each employer contributes the remaining amount necessary to finance SERS benefits. Employer contributions are expressed as percents of member covered payro11. The maximum statutory rate is $14 \%$. The present $14 \%$ employer rate was established by the Board of Trustees effective July 1, 1983.

Retired members and survivors included in the valuation totaled 38,708 . The 35,899 retirants and survivors of retirants as of July 1,1985 were receiving annual benefits totaling $\$ 105,702,581$ from the Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund. The 2,809 survivors of deceased active members as of July 1,1985 were receiving annual benefits totaling $\$ 7,823,860$ from the Survivor Benefit Fund.

Schedule 1.
Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund
Retirants and Beneficiaries June 30, 1985

Type of Benefit, Annual Amount and Actuarial Liabilities

| \% of Current Total \$ |  |  |  |  | Current | Actuarial Liabilities* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Number | Base <br> Allowances | H.B. 204 and 284 | Post-Retire. Increases |  |  |

SUPERANNUATION RETIREMENT
Straight Life Allowance - Benefit Terminating at Death

| Men | 5,013 | $86.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $\$ 17,273,276$ | $\$ 135,906,363$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Women | $\frac{16,240}{21,253}$ | 86.5 | 1.7 | 11.8 | $38,175,455$ | $\frac{378,421,572}{514,327,935}$ |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | $55,448,731$ | $514,32,93$ |

Option II Allowance - Joint and Survivor Benefits

| Men | 5,177 | 89.3 | 0.7 | 10.0 | $22,643,381$ | $262,914,951$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | $\frac{3,089}{8,266}$ | 90.3 |  | 0.4 |  |  |
| Totals | 8.3 | $\frac{7,636,937}{30,280,318}$ | $\frac{93,805,285}{356,720,236}$ |  |  |  |

Option III Allowance - Life Benefits With Guaranteed Periods

| Men | 853 | 85.2 | 1.4 | 13.4 | $2,930,943$ | $24,755,830$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 891 | 85.6 | 1.3 | 13.1 | $2,032,481$ | $20,997,027$ |
|  | 1,744 |  |  |  | $4,963,424$ | $45,752,857$ |

Allowance to Survivor Beneficiary of Deceased Superannuation Retirant Who Elected Option II - Life Benefit

| Men | 189 | 83.1 | 3.9 | 13.0 | 279,924 | $2,147,129$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 1,567 | 82.3 | 3.8 | 13.9 | $3,701,453$ | $\frac{33,925,272}{3,981,377}$ | $\frac{36,072,401}{}$ |

Allowance to Survivor Beneficiary of Deceased Superannuation Retirant Who Elected Option III - Guaranteed Period Only

| Men | 36 | 85.0 | 0.5 | 14.5 | 57,272 | 186,057 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 90 | 83.8 | 0.2 | 16.0 | 257,118 | $1,017,903$ |
| Totals | 126 |  |  | 314,390 | $1,203,960$ |  |

* Includes lump sum death benefit.

Schedule 1. - completed

Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund

Retirants and Beneficiaries June 30, 1985
Type of Benefit, Annual Amount and Actuarial Liabilities

| Group | Number | \% of Current Total \$ |  |  | Current <br> Total \$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actuarial } \\ \text { Liabilities* } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base Allowances | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H. B. } 204 \\ & \text { and } 284 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```Post-Retire. Increases``` |  |  |
|  |  | Total for | Superann | tion Allowanc | Being Paid |  |
| Men | 11,268 | 87.7\% | 1.1\% | 11.2\% | \$ 43,184,796 | \$ 425,910,330 |
| Women | 21,877 | 86.7 | 1.6 | 11.7 | 51,803,444 | 528,167,059 |
| Totals | 33,145 |  |  |  | 94,988,240 | 954,077,389 |

DISABILITY RETIREMENT
Straight Life Allowance - Benefit Terminating at Death

| Men | 1,128 | 87.8 | 0.9 | 11.3 | 5,988,836 | 58,226,819 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | 1,626 | 87.8 | 1.1 | 11.1 | 4,725,505 | 50,314,843 |
| Totals | 2,754 |  |  |  | 10,714,341 | 108,541,662 |

TOTAL BENEFITS BEING PAID FROM ANNUITY AND PENSION RESERVE FUND

| Men | 12,396 | 87.7 | 1.1 | 11.2 | $49,173,632$ | $484,137,149$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women | 23,503 | 86.8 | 1.6 | 11.6 | $\frac{56,528,949}{105,702,581}$ | $\frac{578,481,902}{1,062,619,051}$ |
| Totals | 35,899 |  |  |  |  |  |

* Includes lump sum death benefit.


## Schedule 2.

Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund
Retirants June 30, 1985
Current Annual Total \$ By Attained Ages

| AttainedAges | Superannuation |  | Disability |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Annual <br> Total \$ | No. | Annual <br> Total \$ | No. | Annual <br> Total \$ |
| 25-29 |  | \$ | 4 | \$ 35,282 | 4 | 35,282 |
| 30-34 |  |  | 8 | 75,696 | 8 | 75,696 |
| 35-39 |  |  | 40 | 277,811 | 40 | 277,811 |
| 40-44 |  |  | 67 | 355,509 | 67 | 355,509 |
| 45-49 | 8 | 67,975 | 177 | 994,400 | 185 | 1,062,375 |
| 50-54 | 76 | 906,176 | 334 | 1,581,859 | 410 | 2,488,035 |
| 55-59 | 384 | 3,140,610 | 597 | 2,421,058 | 981 | 5,561,668 |
| 60-64 | 5,462 | 17,033,080 | 748 | 2,870,610 | 6,210 | 19,903,690 |
| 65-69 | 8,825 | 26,545,532 | 501 | 1,433,109 | 9,326 | 27,978,641 |
| 70-74 | 7,807 | 20,985,482 | 182 | 430,497 | 7,989 | 21,415,979 |
| 75-79 | 4,751 | 11,976,103 | 72 | 171,401 | 4,823 | 12,147,504 |
| 80-84 | 2,380 | 5,849,898 | 20 | 51,371 | 2,400 | 5,901,269 |
| 85-89 | 1,054 | 2,759,362 | 4 | 15,768 | 1,058 | 2,775,130 |
| 90-94 | 412 | 1,086,158 |  |  | 412 | 1,086,158 |
| 95-99 | 82 | 267,562 |  |  | 82 | 267,562 |
| 100 | 5 | 21,437 |  |  | 5 | 21,437 |
| 101 | 6 | 17,204 |  |  | 6 | 17,204 |
| 102 | 2 | 8,293 |  |  | 2 | 8,293 |
| 103 | 2 | 4,975 |  |  | 2 | 4,975 |
| 104 | 2 | 4,456 |  |  | 2 | 4,456 |
| 105 | 1 | 4,379 |  |  | 1 | 4,379 |
| 108 | 1 | 5,507 |  |  | 1 | 5,507 |
| 109 | 1 | 1,156 |  |  | 1 | 1,156 |
| 110 | 1 | 1,658 |  |  | 1 | 1,658 |
| 111 | 1 | 5,390 |  |  | 1 | 5,390 |
| Totals | 1,263 | 90,692,393 | 2,754 | 10,714,371 | 4,017 | 101,406,764 |

## Schedule 3.

## Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund

Survivors of Retirants June 30, 1985
Current Annual Total \$ By Attained Ages

| Attained Ages | Life Annuities |  |  | Periods Certain |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Total \$ } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Total \$ } \end{aligned}$ | No. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Total \$ } \end{aligned}$ |
| Under 20 | 2 | \$ | 2,664 |  | \$ | 2 | \$ | 2,664 |
| 30-34 | 1 |  | 1,411 |  |  | 1 |  | 1,411 |
| 35-39 |  |  |  | 1 | 1,992 | 1 |  | 1,992 |
| 45-49 | 1 |  | 1,224 | 1 | 2,908 | 2 |  | 4,132 |
| 50-54 | 1 |  | 819 | 2 | 5,076 | 3 |  | 5,895 |
| 55-59 | 12 |  | 58,820 | 3 | 11,309 | 15 |  | 70,129 |
| 60-64 | 55 |  | 222,228 | 7 | 21,357 | 62 |  | 243,585 |
| 65-69 | 207 |  | 554,604 | 28 | 63,114 | 235 |  | 617,718 |
| 70-74 | 425 |  | 952,812 | 49 | 119,861 | 474 |  | 1,072,673 |
| 75-79 | 469 |  | 943,651 | 24 | 76,053 | 493 |  | 1,019,704 |
| 80-84 | 292 |  | 570,423 | 11 | 12,726 | 303 |  | 583,149 |
| 85-89 | 169 |  | 391,834 |  |  | 169 |  | 391,834 |
| 90-94 | 75 |  | 178,985 |  |  | 75 |  | 178,985 |
| 95-99 | 41 |  | 90,315 |  |  | 41 |  | 90,315 |
| Totals | 1,756 |  | ,981,384 | 126 | \$314,396 | 1,882 |  | 4,295,780 |

Schedule 4.

Survivor Benefit Fund
Beneficiaries June 30, 1985
Annual Amounts and Actuarial Liabilities

| Group | Number | \% of Current Total |  |  | Current <br> Total \$ | Actuarial <br> Liabilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Basic Allowances | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H. B. } 204 \\ & \text { and } 284 \end{aligned}$ | Post-Retire. Increases |  |  |
|  |  | Benefits Bei | ng Paid Fr | Survivor Ben | fit Fund |  |
| Men | 636 | 90.0\% | 0.1\% | 9.9\% | \$1,412,203 | \$13,421,180 |
| Women | 2,173 | 85.1 | 1.0 | 13.9 | 6,411,657 | 49,575,049 |
| Totals | 2,809 |  |  |  | \$7,823,860 | \$62,996,229 |

## Schedule 5.

Survivor Benefit Fund
Survivors of Deceased Active Members June 30, 1985

Current Annual Total \$ By Attained Ages

| Attained Ages | No. | Annual <br> Total \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20 | 23 | \$ 84,151 |
| 20-24 | 9 | 19,106 |
| 25-29 | 7 | 36,777 |
| 30-34 | 13 | 48,679 |
| 35-39 | 39 | 182,910 |
| 40-44 | 60 | 278,503 |
| 45-49 | 71 | 285,613 |
| 50-54 | 124 | 445,068 |
| 55-59 | 258 | 822,001 |
| 60-64 | 432 | 1,246,003 |
| 65-69 | 600 | 1,537,029 |
| 70-74 | 504 | 1,174,632 |
| 75-79 | 364 | 820,532 |
| 80-84 | 197 | 505,906 |
| 85-89 | 82 | 252,041 |
| 90-94 | 22 | 76,934 |
| 95-99 | 4 | 7,967 |
| Totals | 2,809 | \$7,823,852 |

Active members included in the valuation totaled 86,838 , involving an annual payroll totaling $\$ 804,230,073$. The schedules below and on the following 4 pages provide some detail from the data on active members.

Active Members in Valuation June 30, 1985

| Groups | Number |  | Annual <br> Payroll |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Average |
| :---: |
| Pay |

Reporting of active members. The persons included as active members in this June 30 , 1985 valuation are those who had any covered pay in May and were listed as active in SERS records. These 86,838 persons are a reasonable approximation of the persons covered during the year ended June 30; excluding the summer months of July and August. The persons contributing during a month ranged from a high of 88,027 (November) to a low of 82,069 (September).

Also included in the valuation were 7,597 inactive members eligible for deferred retirement allowances, and 85,299 inactive members eligible for a contribution refund only.

TOTAL Active Members as of June 30, 1985
By Attained Age and Years of Service

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Attained } \\ & \text { Age } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Valuation Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 30 P1us |  | No. |  |  |
| Under 20 | 174 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174 | \$ | 910,121 |
| 20-24 | 2,550 | 257 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,807 |  | 21,874,375 |
| 25-29 | 3,967 | 1,925 | 98 |  |  |  |  | 5,990 |  | 58,045,878 |
| 30-34 | 5,198 | 2,295 | 794 | 76 |  |  |  | 8,363 |  | 75,235,401 |
| 35-39 | 6,789 | 3,731 | 1,023 | 387 | 15 |  |  | 11,945 |  | 98,561,188 |
| 40-44 | 4,903 | 4,370 | 2,249 | 588 | 127 | 24 |  | 12,261 |  | 101,966,335 |
| 45-49 | 3,390 | 3,891 | 3,202 | 1,616 | 272 | 144 | 17 | 12,532 |  | 114,170,657 |
| 50-54 | 2,363 | 2,921 | 2,978 | 2,685 | 474 | 237 | 79 | 11,737 |  | 114,260,751 |
| 55-59 | 1,977 | 2,086 | 2,452 | 3,019 | 1,099 | 412 | 150 | 11,195 |  | 114,428,915 |
| 60 | 290 | 413 | 369 | 502 | 265 | 82 | 33 | 1,954 |  | 20,338,040 |
| 61 | 252 | 287 | 374 | 417 | 280 | 109 | 39 | 1,758 |  | 19,770,296 |
| 62 | 211 | 285 | 272 | 427 | 182 | 84 | 33 | 1,494 |  | 16,222,963 |
| 63 | 142 | 194 | 260 | 235 | 169 | 77 | 36 | 1,113 |  | 12,745,597 |
| 64 | 113 | 206 | 181 | 264 | 114 | 70 | 32 | 980 |  | 10,816,324 |
| 65 | 101 | 140 | 158 | 164 | 104 | 67 | 26 | 760 |  | 8,285,412 |
| 66 | . 56 | 88 | 115 | 69 | 59 | 30 | 23 | 440 |  | 4,344,534 |
| 67 | 53 | 57 | 83 | 100 | 34 | 36 | 16 | 379 |  | 3,994,879 |
| 68 | 41 | 66 | 37 | 63 | 34 | 25 | 14 | 280 |  | 2,573,234 |
| 69 | 38 | 27 | 50 | 42 | 27 | 10 | 14 | 208 |  | 1,913,626 |
| 70 \& Over | 71 | 108 | 82 | 100 | 47 | 43 | 17 | 468 |  | 3,771,547 |
| Totals | 32,679 | 23,347 | 14,777 | 10,754 | 3,302 | 1,450 | 529 | 86,838 |  | 804,230,073 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 45.1 years.
Service: 8.1 years.
Annual Pay: $\$ 9,261$.

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio
FEMALE Active Members as of June 30, 1985
By Attained Age and Years of Service

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Attained } \\ & \text { Age } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Valuation Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 30 Plus |  |  |  |  |
| Under 20 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | \$ | 445,948 |
| 20-24 | 1,290 | 122 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,412 |  | 9,521,098 |
| 25-29 | 2,183 | 950 | 42 |  |  |  |  | 3,175 |  | 24,431,710 |
| 30-34 | 3,627 | 1,353 | 342 | 43 |  |  |  | 5,365 |  | 36,104,388 |
| 35-39 | 5,420 | 2,956 | 556 | 176 | 9 |  |  | 9,117 |  | 59,552,217 |
| 40-44 | 3,909 | 3,788 | 1,949 | 314 | 44 | 10 |  | 10,014 |  | 71,375,671 |
| 45-49 | 2,509 | 3,295 | 2,870 | 1,284 | 82 | 47 | 10 | 10,097 |  | 80,044,165 |
| 50-54 | 1,528 | 2,329 | 2,563 | 2,310 | 249 | 68 | 21 | 9,068 |  | 75,341,319 |
| 55-59 | 1,041 | 1,496 | 1,971 | 2,556 | 813 | 214 | 43 | 8,134 |  | 69,831,086 |
| 60 | 133 | 283 | 272 | 399 | 217 | 38 | 5 | 1,347 |  | 11,385,522 |
| 61 | 113 | 151 | 281 | 328 | 218 | 51 | 11 | 1,153 |  | 10,813,782 |
| 62 | 106 | 160 | 197 | 337 | 129 | 45 | 7 | -981 |  | 8,491,645 |
| 63 | 58 | 105 | 188 | 166 | 129 | 32 | 12 | 690 |  | 6,359,995 |
| 64 | 46 | 115 | 119 | 195 | 77 | 41 | 14 | 607 |  | 5,556,998 |
| 65 | 42 | 73 | 91 | 122 | 70 | 33 | 7 | 438 |  | 3,837,483 |
| 66 | 16 | 52 | 83 | 51 | 40 | 18 | 12 | 272 |  | 2,294,785 |
| 67 | 21 | 20 | 56 | 73 | 26 | 25 | 4 | 225 |  | 1,975,801 |
| 68 | 16 | 38 | 25 | 50 | 21 | 16 | 10 | 176 |  | 1,347,374 |
| 69 | 20 | 8 | 37 | 25 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 126 |  | 1,370,408 |
| 70 \& Over | 23 | 42 | 41 | 74 | 34 | 28 | 12 | 254 |  | 1,753,420 |
| Totals | 22,187 | 7,336 | 11,683 | 8,503 | 2,178 | 674 | 176 | 62,737 |  | 81,434,815 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 45.5 years.
Service: 8.2 years.
Annual Pay: $\$ 7,674$.

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio

MALE Active Members as of June 30,1985

By Attained Age and Years of Service

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Attained } \\ & \text { Age } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Valuation Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 30 | Plus |  |  |  |
| Under 20 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | \$ | 464,173 |
| 20-24 | 1,260 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,395 |  | 12,353,277 |
| 25-29 | 1,784 | 975 | 56 |  |  |  |  | 2,815 |  | 33,614,168 |
| 30-34 | 1,571 | 942 | 452 | 33 |  |  |  | 2,998 |  | 39,131,013 |
| 35-39 | 1,369 | 775 | 467 | 211 | 6 |  |  | 2,828 |  | 39,008,971 |
| 40-44 | 994 | 582 | 300 | 274 | 83 | 14 |  | 2,247 |  | 30,590,664 |
| 45-49 | 881 | 596 | 332 | 332 | 190 | 97 | 7 | 2,435 |  | 34,126,492 |
| 50-54 | 835 | 592 | 415 | 375 | 225 | 169 | 58 | 2,669 |  | 38,919,432 |
| 55-59 | 936 | 590 | 481 | 463 | 286 | 198 | 107 | 3,061 |  | 44,597,829 |
| 60 | 157 | 130 | 97 | 103 | 48 | 44 | 28 | 607 |  | 8,952,518 |
| 61 | 139 | 136 | 93 | 89 | 62 | 58 | 28 | 605 |  | 8,956,514 |
| 62 | 105 | 125 | 75 | 90 | 53 | 39 | 26 | 513 |  | 7,731,318 |
| 63 | 84 | 89 | 72 | 69 | 40 | 45 | 24 | 423 |  | 6,385,602 |
| 64 | 67 | 91 | 62 | 69 | 37 | 29. | 18 | 373 |  | 5,259,326 |
| 65 | 59 | 67 | 67 | 42 | 34 | 34 | 19 | 322 |  | 4,447,929 |
| 66 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 168 |  | 2,049,749 |
| 67 | 32 | 37 | 27 | 27 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 154 |  | 2,019,078 |
| 68 | 25 | 28 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 104 |  | 1,225,860 |
| 69 | 18 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 82 |  | 943,218 |
| 70 \& Over | 48 | 66 | 41 | 26 | 13 | 15 | 5 | 214 |  | 2,018,127 |
| Totals | 10,492 | 6,011 | 3,094 | 2,251 | 1,124 | 776 | 353 | 24,101 |  | 22,795,258 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 43.9 years.
Service: 8.0 years.
Annual Pay: $\$ 13,393$.

## Schedule 9.

Active Members as of June 30 , 1985 by Annual Pay
 ation.

Schedule 10.

Actuarial Accrued Liabilities June 30, 1985


## REPORTED ASSETS

The accrued assets at June 30,1985 were reported to be $\$ 1,675,733,161$. To this we added the present value of expected future payments for House Bills 284 and 204, $\$ 8,366,706$, resulting in valuation assets of $\$ 1,684,099,867$ as of June 30,1985 .

| Fund | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Annuity and Pension Reserve Fund | $\$ 1,413,312,555$ |
| Survivors Benefit Fund | $98,486,662$ |
| Employees Savings Fund | $432,528,863$ |
| Employers Trust Fund | $(268,594,919)$ |
| Present Value of Future State Contributions |  |
| for House Bills 284 and 204 | $8,366,706$ |

Schedule 11.

ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITIES: COMPUTED \& UNFUNDED
June 30, 1985

|  | Basic <br> Benefits | Health Care | TOTAL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computed accrued liabilities | $\$ 2,405,129,310$ |  | $\$ 680,623,504$ | $\$ 3,085,752,814$ |
| Reported assets | $\underline{1,564,157,208}$ |  | $119,942,659$ | $1,684,099,867$ |
| Unfunded Accrued Liabilities | $\$ 840,972,102$ | $\$ 560,680,845$ | $\$ 1,401,652,947$ |  |

The Employer Contribution Rate has been established by the Board as $14.00 \%$ of payroll. After subtracting the normal cost, the remaining Employer Contribution Rate is sufficient to amortize the unfunded accrued liabilities over a 36 year period (next whole year). A year ago the corresponding figure was 37 years.

Schedule 12.

COMPOSITION OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATE
Established By Statute \& Board Action
\& COMPUTED AMORTIZATION PERIOD
June 30, 1985

| Contributions For | Contributions Expressed as Percents of Payroll |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health \& Medicare Premiums | Total |
| Current cost: |  |  |
| Service allowances |  | 9.16\% |
| Disability allowances |  | 0.59 |
| Survivor benefits (SB Fund) |  | 0.42 |
| \$500 death benefit |  | 0.03 |
| Health \& Medicare Premiums | 2.79\% | 2.79 |
| Total |  | $\overline{12.99}$ |
| Member contributions: |  | 8.75 |
| Less: Future refunds |  | 2.03 |
| Available for allowances |  | 6.72 |
| Employer Current Cost | 2.79 | 6.27 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liabilities, |  |  |
| Over 36 future years | 3.09 | 7.73 |
| EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATE | 5.88\% | 14.00\% |

Almost every pension plan (public or private) has "unfunded accrued liabilities", so whatever they are, they aren't rare. Since the term is not part of everyday conversation, it needs some definition.
"Accrued liabilities" are the present value $\$$ of plan promises to pay benefits in the future based upon service already rendered -- a liability has been established ("accrued") because the service has been rendered but the resulting monthly cash benefit may not be payable until years in the future. Accrued 1 iabilities $\$$ are the result of complex mathematical calculations, which are made annually by the plan's actuary (which is the name given to the specialist who makes such calculations).

If "accrued liabilities" at any time exceed the plan's accrued assets (cash \& investments), the difference is "unfunded accrued liabilities". This is the common condition. If the plan's assets equalled the plan's "accrued liabilities", the plan would be termed "fully funded". This is a rare condition.

Each time a plan adds a new benefit which applies to service already rendered, an "accrued liability" is created, which is also an "unfunded accrued liability" because the plan can't print instant cash to cover the accrued liability. Payment for such unfunded accrued liabilities is spread over a period of years, commonly in the 25-60 year range.

Unfunded accrued liabilities can occur in another way: if actual financial experience is less favorable than assumed financial experience, the difference is added to unfunded accrued liabilities. In plans where plan benefits are directly related to an employee's pay near time of retirement (a common plan provision) rather than his average pay throughout his working career, unfunded accrued liabilities have been increasing in recent years because unexpected rates of pay increase have created additional accrued liabilities which could not be matched by reasonable investment results. Some of these unexpected pay increases are the direct result of inflation, which is a very destructive force on financial stability.

The existence of unfunded accrued liabilities is not bad, then (any more than a mortgage on your house is "bad"), but the changes from year to year in amount of unfunded accrued liabilities are important --- "bad" or "good" or somewhere in between.

Nor are unfunded accrued liabilities a bill payable immediately (any more than your total mortgage is payable immediately), but it is important that policy-makers prevent the amount from becoming unreasonably high and it is vital that your plan have a sound method for making payments toward them so that they are controlled. The existence of large amounts of unfunded accrued liabilities indicates that total contributions in past years were less than level --- an almost certain history if retired life liabilities are not fully funded now. -26 Left-

Each time the employer adopts a higher level of benefit, unfunded liabilities are created. Level-contribution financing requires that these additional liabilities be financed systematically over a period of future years.

In an inflationary economy the value of dollars is decreasing. This environment results in employee pays increasing in dollar amounts, retirement benefits increasing in dollar amounts, and then, unfunded accrued liabilities increasing in dollar amounts, all at a time when the actual substance of these items may be decreasing. Looking at just the dollar amounts of unfunded accrued liabilities can be misleading. Unfunded accrued liability dollars divided by active employee payroll provides an index which helps understanding. The smaller the ratio of unfunded liabilities to active member payroll, the stronger the system. Observation of this relative index over a period of years will give an indication of whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker.

Schedule 13.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities
(\$ in millions)

| June 30 | Computed Actuarial Accrued Liabilities | Valuation $\qquad$ | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities (UAAL) | Active <br> Member <br> Payroll | UAAL : Active <br> Member Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981* | \$2,025 | \$1,088 | \$ 937 | \$656 | 1.43 |
| 1982\# | 2,257 | 1,202 | 1,055 | 652 | 1.62 |
| 1983 | 2,527 | 1,324 | 1,202 | 683 | 1.76 |
| 1984 | 2,793 | 1,498 | 1,295 | 737 | 1.76 |
| 1985 | 3,086 | 1,684 | 1,402 | 804 | 1.74 |

* Revised financial assumptions.
\# Revised method of determining active members \& related payroll. Previous method would have produced an index of 1.58 .

If the contributions to SERS are level in concept and soundly executed, the System will pay all promised benefits when due --- the ultimate test of financial soundness. Testing for level contribution rates is the long-term test.

A short condition test is one means of checking a system's progress under its funding program. In a short condition test, the plan's present assets (cash and investments) are compared with:

1) Active member contributions on deposit;
2) The liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives;
3) The liabilities for service already rendered by active members.

In a system that has been following the discipline of level percent of payroll financing, the liabilities for active member contributions on deposit (liability 1 ) and the liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives (liability 2 ) will be fully covered by present assets (except in rare circumstances). In addition, the liabilities for service already rendered by active members (liability 3 ) will be partially covered by the remainder of present assets. The larger the funded portion of 1 iability 3 , the stronger the condition of the System. Liability 3 being fully funded is rare.

Schedule 14.

## Short Condition Test

| June 30 | Computed Actuarial Accrued Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) <br> Member <br> Contr. | (2) <br> Retired Lives | Prese (Employ | (3) <br> ent Me yer Fi ortion | Valuation Assets | Portion of Accrued Liabilities Covered by Assets |  |  |
| June 30 |  | $\frac{\text { Lives }}{\text { ( }{ }^{\text {in }}}$ | $\frac{\text { Por }}{\text { llions) }}$ |  |  | (1) | $\underline{ }$ | (3) |
| 1981* | \$298 | \$ 959 |  | \$ 768 | \$1,088 | 100\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| 1982 | 324 | 1,072 |  | 861 | 1,202 | 100 | 82 | 0 |
| 1983 | 352 | 1,214 |  | 940 | 1,324 | 100 | 80 | 0 |
| 1984 | 396 | 1,371 |  | 1,026 | 1,498 | 100 | 80 | 0 |
| 1985 | 433 | 1,512 |  | 1,141 | 1,684 | 100 | 83 | 0 |

[^0]SUMMARY OF
ASSUMPTIONS USED FOR $\overline{\text { SERS }}$ ACTUARIAL VALUATIONS
Assumptions Adopted by Board of Trustees After Consulting With Actuary

The actuarial assumptions used in making the valuation are shown in this Appendix of the report. The non-economic assumptions are from the June 30,1980 revised actuarial valuation, and the economic assumptions were established for the June 30,1981 actuarial valuation.

ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS

The investment return rate used in making the valuations was $7.5 \%$ per year, compounded annually (net after administrative expenses). The real rate of return is the portion of total investment return which is more than the inflation rate. Based upon an assumed inflation rate of $4.5 \%$, the $7.5 \%$ investment return rate translates to an assumed real rate of return of $3 \%$.

Pay increase assumptions for individual active members are shown for sample ages in Schedule 15. Part of the assumption for each age is for merit and/or seniority increase, and the other $4.5 \%$ recognizes inflation.

The number of active members is assumed to continue at the present number.

Total active member payroll is assumed to increase $4.5 \%$ annually, which is the portion of the individual pay increase assumptions attributable to inflation.

Speclal assumptions for Health Care Coverages are shown in Schedule 19.

NON-ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS The mortality table, for post-retirement mortality, used in evaluating allowances to be paid was the 1955 American Annuity Table, set ahead 1 year for men and set back 5 years for women. Related values are shown in Schedule 18.

The probabilities of retirement with an age and service allowance are shown in Schedule 17.

Eligibility for age and service retirement was assumed to be: age 50 with 30 or more years of service; or age 55 with 25 or more years of service, or age 60 with 5 or more years of service.

The probabilities of withdrawal from service, disablement and death-in-service are shown for sample ages in Schedule 16.

The entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation was used in determining liabilities and normal cost.

Differences in the past between assumed experience and actual experience ("actuarial gains and losses") become part of actuarial accrued liabilities.

Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are amortized to produce payments (principal \& interest) which are level percent of payroll contributions. Employer contribution dollars were assumed to be paid in equal instalments throughout the System fiscal year.

Present assets (cash \& investments) were valued at cost.

The data about persons now covered and about present assets were furnished by the System's administrative staff. Although examined for general reasonableness, the data was not audited by the Actuary.

The actuarial valuation computations were made by or under the supervision of a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (M.A.A.A.).

Schedule 15.

Pay Increase Assumptions for an Individual Member

|  | Increase Next Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample <br> Ages |  <br> Seniority | Base <br> (Economy) | Total |
| 20 |  | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| 25 | 2.7 | $4.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| 30 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 7.2 |
| 35 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 6.8 |
| 40 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
|  |  | 4.5 | 6.3 |
| 45 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 50 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| 55 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 5.5 |
| 60 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 65 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
|  |  |  |  |

Schedule 16.

Separations From Active Employment Before Age \& Service Retirement

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Active Members Separating Within the Next Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
|  | Death | Disability | Other | Death | Disability | Other |
| 20 | 0.04\% | 0.00\% | 13.91\% | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 11.57\% |
| 25 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 10.67 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 8.94 |
| 30 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 6.55 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 6.59 |
| 35 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 5.43 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 5.82 |
| 40 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 4.64 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 5.07 |
| 45 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 3.84 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 4.31 |
| 50 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 3.06 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 3.55 |
| 55 | 0.43 | 0.63 | 2.27 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 2.79 |
| 60 | 0.85 | . | 2.02 | 0.32 | , | 2.46 |
| 65 | 1.11 | -- | 2.02 | 0.42 | - | 2.46 |

Schedule 17.

Probabilities of Age \& Service Retirement
Men Members

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Ages } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Eligible Active Members Retiring Within Next Year Years of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 or more | 25-29 | Under 25 |
| 50 | 13.5\% | -- \% | - \% |
| 55 | 13.5 | 4.0 | -- |
| 60 | 13.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 65 | 32.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 |
| $70^{-}$ | 28.0 | 28.0 | 20.0 |
| 75 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 20.0 |
| 80 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Women Members
$\qquad$

Percent of Eligible Active Members
Retiring Within Next Year
Years of Service
Sample
Ages

50
55
60
65
70
75
80

| 30 or more | 25-29 | Under 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.0\% | -- \% | \% |
| 13.0 | 7.0 | -- |
| 23.0 | 15.0 | 13.0 |
| 29.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 29.0 | 19.0 | 17.0 |
| 29.0 | 19.0 | 17.0 |
| 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Schedule 18.

Single Life Retirement Values

Sample Attained Ages

Present Value of $\$ 1$
Monthly For Life
Increasing 3.0\% Annually
(1st Increase After 1 Year)

| Men |  | Women |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 169.59$ |  | $\$ 184.56$ |
| 154.57 |  | 172.31 |
| 137.64 |  | 157.75 |
| 119.79 |  | 141.13 |
| 101.70 |  | 123.40 |
| 84.10 |  | 105.30 |
| 67.68 | 87.55 |  |
| 53.00 |  | 70.84 |


| Future Life <br> Expectancy (Years) |  | Expected <br> Total <br> Lifetime |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men | Women |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.05 | 32.39 |  | 77.05 | 82.39 |
| 22.79 | 27.93 |  | 77.79 | 82.93 |
| 18.80 | 23.62 |  | 78.80 | 83.62 |
| 15.22 | 19.57 |  | 80.22 | 84.57 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.07 | 15.90 |  | 82.07 | 85.90 |
| 9.38 | 12.66 |  | 84.38 | 87.66 |
| 7.14 | 9.88 |  | 87.14 | 89.88 |
| 5.32 | 7.55 |  | 90.32 | 92.55 |

Portion of

Sample Attained Ages 60
65
70
75
80
85

Age 60 Lives Still Alive Men Women

100\% 100\%
89 77
62
44
26

96
89
78
64
47
\$1,000 Benefit
Beginning at Age 60, Increasing 3\% Annually
\$1,000
1,150
1,300
1,450
1,600
1,750

Schedule 19.

## Additional Assumptions for Health Care Coverages

## Premium rates:

| Status | Monthly Rates Reported |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1984 |
| Benefit Recipient below age 65 | \$148.10 | \$148.10 |
| Spouse below age 65* | 81.09 | 81.09 |
| Benefit recipient above age 65 and eligible for Medicare | 35.63 | 35.63 |
| Spouse above age 65 and eligible for Medicare* | 11.03 | 11.03 |
| Mail order prescription service | 11.24 | 8.83 |

## * System portion.

Availability of Medicare Coverage: All benefit recipients were assumed to be eligible for Medicare on attainment of age 65 , or immediately if retired for disability.

Election of Joint and Survivor Benefits: $25 \%$ of eligible retirants are assumed to elect a joint and survivor form of payment. Survivors of these retirants will receive fully paid health care for the remainder of their lives.

Election of Spouse Health Care Coverage: $25 \%$ of retirants are assumed to elect to cover spouses for health care. The System will pay approximately one-half the premium for dependents during the life of the retirant.
$\frac{\text { Medicare Part B Premium: }}{1985 \text {, from } \$ 15.50 .} \$ 16.20$ per month assumed to be effective January 1 ,

Premium Increases: Premiums are assumed to increase $4.5 \%$ annually, which is the inflation rate assumed for other actuarial valuation computations.

(1) Investment Return. An increase in this assumption reduces computed contributions. The assumption operates over all parts of an employee's lifetime.
(2) Pay Base. An increase in this assumption increases computed contributions. A $1 \%$ increase in this assumption, however, does not increase contributions by as much as a $1 \%$ increase in Investment Return reduces computed contributions, because the Pay Base assumption operates only over an employee's lifetime to retirement.
(3) Increases After Retirement. An increase in this element increases computed contributions.

If Investment Return, Pay Base, and Increases After Retirement are each increased by equal amounts, computed contributions remain the same (except in plans using Final Average Pay as a factor in computing benefits; the multi-year average used for Final Average Pay causes computed contributions to decrease slightly).

If Investment Return and Pay Base are increased by equal amounts, with no change in Increases After Retirement, computed contributions decrease - significantly.

Where benefits are fixed dollar amounts, computed contributions are significantly reduced if Investment Return is increased.

> "LEVEL A CONTRIBUTIO" occur mathematically when the investment return rate from plan assets exceeds the inflation rate. The greater the excess, the lower the Level A line will be.

> Historically, it is this assumed condition that has led to the development of and use of "actuarially sound" or "actuarial reserve" financing methods.

"Level B Contributions" occur mathematically when the investment return rate from plan assets equals the inflation rate.

Who would contribute a level rate which is the same as the ultimate contribution rate of "pay-as-you-go" financing?
"Level C Contributions" occur mathematically when the investment return rate from plan assets is less than the inflation rate. The greater the difference, the higher the Level $C$ line would be.

Who would contribute at a rate always more than the benefits paid?

Inflation continues to be the most threatening outside force to SERS stability (and every other public or private pension plan). For retired people, the purchasing power of their monthly benefit is reduced. Liabilities for non-retired members increase because member pay levels are increased. These inflation impairments have been covered only partially by the added investment return available from our inflated economy.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } 6-30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inflation } \\ & \text { (CPI) } \end{aligned}$ | Required <br> Investment Return (Of Total Fund) to Equal a REAL <br> RETURN OF 3\% Annually |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 14.3\% | 17.3\% |
| 1981 | 9.6 | 12.6 |
| 1982 | 7.1 | 10.1 |
| 1983 | 2.6 | 5.6 |
| 1984 | 4.2 | 7.2 |
| 1985 | 3.7 | 6.7 |

For the mathematics of level cost financing to work, the investment return rate must be more than the inflation rate. This real rate of return was possible in the last year but not for the last ten years, because of the disturbances in the investment market places caused by inflation. The destructiveness of inflation is immense. Inflation is the enemy over which SERS has no direct control.

| Type of | The Investment Universe (years ended 6/30/85) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual Ret | Over La | 10 YEAR |
|  | Five Years | Ten Years | REAL RETURN |
| Fixed Income Yardstick | 11.7\% | 9.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Salomon Brothers Long-Term Bonds | 12.4 | 9.0 | 1.8 |
| Consumer Price Index (Inflation) | 5.4 | 7.2 | N/A |
| Total Equity Yardstick | 15.0 | 11.7 | 4.5 |
| Standard \& Poor's 500 Stocks | 16.4 | 12.5 | 5.3 |

These values were calculated because they are used in making reporting and disclosure presentations established by regulatory groups.

School Employees Retirement System of Ohio
Actuarial Present Value of Credited Projected Benefits

The total actuarial present values of credited projected benefits were $\$ 2,913,480,931$ at June 30, 1985, consisting of:

> \% of Active Member

|  | Amount | Payrol1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial present value of credited projected benefits payable to: |  |  |
| Current retirants and beneficiaries | \$1;511,799,217 | 188\% |
| Terminated vested members | 111,168,934 | 14 |
| Total | \$1,622,968,151 | 202\% |
| Actuarial present value of credited projected benefits for active members: |  |  |
| Member contributions | \$ 432,528,863 | 54\% |
| Employer financed portion | 857,983,917 | 106 |
| Total | \$1,290,512,780 | 160\% |
| Total actuarial present value of credited projected benefits | \$2,913,480,931 | 362\% |





# Table 3.-Summary of Economic and Demographic Assumptions 

## Percentage increase over previous year in average annual-

Earnings Average unin cov- Consumer employment Real ered em- price Real ered em- price rate ferility Total Year GNP1 ployment index (percent) rate ${ }^{2}$

Optimistic assumptions

| 1984................. | 6.8 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 1.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985...................... | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 1.8 |
| 1990................. | 3.2 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 1.9 |
| 2000................. | 3.8 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 2.2 |
| 2010 \& later....... | 3.1 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 2.3 |
|  | Intermediate II-A assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| 1984.................. | 6.8 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 1.8 |
| 1985................... | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 1.8 |
| 1990................... | 2.8 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 1.8 |
| 2000............... | 3.1 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 1.9 |
| 2010 \& later....... | 2.5 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 2.0 |
|  | Intermediate II-B assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| 1984................. | 6.8 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 1.8 |
| 1985.................. | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 6.9 | 1.8 |
| 1990.................. | 2.5 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 1.8 |
| 2000................. | 2.6 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 1.9 |
| 2010 \& later....... | 2.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 |

Pessimistic assumptions

| 1984.................... | 6.8 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 1.8 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1985................ | .7 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 1.8 |
| $1990 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 4.0 | 7.1 | 4.6 | 7.9 | 1.7 |
| $2000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1.9 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 1.6 |
| 2010 \& later...... | 1.4 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 1.6 |

'Gross National Product (the total output of goods and services) expressed in constant dollars. The percentage increase in real GNP is assumed to change after 2010. The values for 2060 are 3.2, 2.3, 1.9, and 0.6 percent for the optimistic, intermediate $\|-A$, intermediate $\| \cdot B$, and pessimistic assumptions, respectively.
${ }^{2}$ The number of childran who would be born to a woman in her lifetime based on the birth rates at each age in the year shown (if she were to survive the entire child-bearing period).

Figure 2


[^1]
[^0]:    * Revised financial assumptions.

[^1]:    Calendar Year
    half year's outgo after accounting for the offsetting effect of interest earnings. trust fund balance at the level of a half year's outgo after accounting for the offsetting effect of interest earnings.

