

# The City of Omaha Employees' Retirement System

# Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2016



www.CavMacConsulting.com



September 16, 2016

Board of Trustees City of Omaha Employees' Retirement System 1819 Farnam Street Omaha, NE 68183

#### RE: January 1, 2016 Actuarial Valuation

Members of the Board:

In accordance with your request, we have completed an actuarial valuation of the City of Omaha Employees' Retirement System as of January 1, 2016 for the plan year ending December 31, 2016. The major findings of the valuation are contained in this report. The benefit provisions and the actuarial assumptions are unchanged from the prior valuation. However, there was a change to one of the actuarial methods in the current valuation. The Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) as of January 1, 2016 is re-established and amortized over a new closed 25-year period on a level-percent of pay basis. Unexpected changes in the UAL in future years will continue to be amortized over a new, closed 20-year period, beginning on the valuation date. We would also note that this is the first valuation report that includes members covered by the cash balance benefit structure.

In preparing this report, we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some in writing) supplied by the City's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, employee data, and financial information. We found this information to be reasonably consistent and comparable with information provided in prior years. The valuation results depend on the integrity of this information. If any of this information is inaccurate or incomplete, our calculations may need to be revised.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the System's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements.

3906 Raynor Pkwy, Suite 106, Bellevue, NE 68123 Phone (402) 905-4461 • Fax (402) 905-4464 www.CavMacConsulting.com Offices in Englewood, CO • Kennesaw, GA • Bellevue, NE



Board of Trustees September 16, 2016 Page 2

Actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of determining the actuarial contribution rates for funding the System. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the System's funding requirements and goals. Determinations for purposes other than meeting these requirements may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes. For example, actuarial computations for purposes of fulfilling financial accounting requirements for the System under Governmental Accounting Standards No. 67 and No. 68 are provided in a separate report.

The consultants who worked on this assignment are pension actuaries. CMC's advice is not intended to be a substitute for qualified legal or accounting counsel.

This is to certify that the independent consulting actuaries are members of the American Academy of Actuaries, have experience in performing valuations for public retirement plans, and meet the qualification standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. The valuation was prepared in accordance with principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board and the actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries in accordance with accepted actuarial procedures based on the current provisions of the retirement plan and on actuarial assumptions that are internally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System. The Board of Trustees has the final decision regarding the appropriateness of the assumptions and adopted them as indicated in Appendix B.

We respectfully submit the following report and look forward to discussing it with you.

Sincerely,

Patrice Beckham

Principal and Consulting Actuary

Brent a Bante

Patrice A. Beckham, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA Brent A. Banister, PhD, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA **Chief Pension Actuary** 



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summ	nary	1
Section I – Valu	ation Results	
Exhibit 1	l – Summary of Fund Activity	9
Exhibit 2	2 – Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets	
Exhibit 3	3 – Actuarial Balance Sheet	
Exhibit 4	4 – Unfunded Actuarial Liability	
Exhibit 5	5 – Schedule of Amortization Bases	14
Exhibit 6	5 – Development of 2016 Actuarial Contribution Rate	
Exhibit 7	7 – Calculation of Actuarial Gain / (Loss)	16
Exhibit 8	3 – Analysis of Experience	17
Section II – Oth	er Information	
Exhibit 9	9 – Schedule of Employer Contributions	
Exhibit 1	10 – Schedule of Funding Progress	
Appendices		
Appendix A – Su	ammary of Plan Provisions	
Appendix B – A	ctuarial Methods and Assumptions	
Appendix C – Hi	storical Summary of Membership	
Membership Dat	a for Valuation	
Membership Dat	a Reconciliation	
Schedule I	Active Members	
Schedule II	Retired Members	41
Schedule III	Beneficiaries Receiving Benefits	
Schedule IV	Deferred Vested Members	
Schedule V	Disabled Members Receiving Benefits	



This report presents the results of the January 1, 2016 actuarial valuation of the City of Omaha Employees' Retirement System. The primary purposes of performing the valuation are:

- to estimate the liabilities for the future benefits expected to be paid by the System;
- to determine the actuarial contribution rate, based on the System's funding policy;
- to measure and disclose various asset and liability measures;
- to monitor any deviation between actual System experience and experience predicted by the actuarial assumptions so that recommendations for assumption changes can be made when appropriate;
- to analyze and report on any significant trends in contributions, assets and liabilities over the past several years.

The actuarial assumptions and benefit provisions are unchanged from the prior valuation, but one of the actuarial methods was changed in this valuation. The Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) as of January 1, 2016 was re-established and amortized over a closed 25-year period on a level-percent of pay basis. In future years, new "pieces" of UAL will be amortized over a new closed 20-year period beginning on each valuation date, using the same methodology as was adopted in the last Experience Study. This change was made to better reflect the long-term financing structure now in place (changes to both benefit provisions and contributions) to eliminate the UAL. As a result of this change, the UAL contribution rate decreased by 6.226% and there is now a contribution margin of 1.324%.

The actuarial valuation results provide a "snapshot" view of the System's financial condition on January 1, 2016. The unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) in the current valuation is \$194 million, an increase of \$5 million from last year's UAL of \$189 million. Also, we would note that this is the first valuation that includes members covered under the cash balance benefit structure (applicable to those hired on or after March 1, 2015). As of January 1, 2016, 121 out of 1,194 active members are covered under the cash balance benefit structure, or about 10%.

The valuation results reflect net unfavorable experience for the past plan year as demonstrated by an unfunded actuarial liability that was higher than expected, based on the actuarial assumptions used in the January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation. Unfavorable experience on the actuarial value of assets resulted in a loss of \$3.8 million and unfavorable experience on liabilities resulted in an experience loss of \$0.4 million. Actual contributions during 2015 were lower than the actuarial contribution rate which increased the unfunded actuarial liability by \$2.4 million. This report reflects a change to the valuation methodology for records with a Qualified Domestic Relations Order (QDRO). When a new QDRO is approved, a new record is created in the data for the alternate payee which includes the amount of their benefit. In the past, this benefit amount has been valued as a system obligation. During our review of this year's data, we became aware of the fact that the member's record reflects the total benefits to be paid to both the member and the alternate payee, so the benefit amount for the alternate payee should not be valued separately. This change reduced the actuarial liability by \$1.2 million (and the beneficiary count by 14).

The System uses an asset smoothing method in the valuation process. As a result, the System's funded status and the actuarial contribution rate are based on the actuarial (smoothed) value of assets – not the pure market value. The investment return, net of expenses, on the market value of assets during 2015 was 3.1%. Coupled with the deferred investment experience from the 2015 valuation, the rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was 6.4% for 2015. Because that rate is lower than the assumed 8.0% return, it generated an actuarial loss of \$3.8 million. The actuarial value of assets exceeds the market value by \$11.3 million or 4.9% of the market value. Actual market returns over the next few years will determine



the rate at which the deferred investment loss is actually recognized. With the current deferred losses, a return of 13% on the market value of assets in 2016 would result in an 8% return on the actuarial value of assets.

The change in the assets, liabilities, and contribution rate of the System over the last year are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

#### **MEMBERSHIP**

The number of active members in the 2016 valuation increased from the 2015 valuation. There were 1,194 active members in the 2016 valuation compared to 1,143 in the 2015 valuation, a 4.5% increase. The increase in the number of active members contributed to the increase in covered payroll of 6.4%. The following graph shows the number of active members in the valuation over the last ten years. The current active group is the highest in the last 10 years. When the number of active members increases, it has a positive influence on the System's funding and contribution rate. While the normal cost rate is unaffected by the size of the membership, the UAL contribution rate is favorably impacted. The UAL is amortized assuming covered payroll will grow at 4.0% per year. If total payroll grows more than 4.0%, the UAL payment is divided by payroll that is higher than expected, resulting in a lower UAL contribution rate.



#### ASSETS

As of January 1, 2016, the System had total funds of \$232.2 million, when measured on a market value basis. This was a decrease of \$6.6 million from the prior year, and represents an approximate rate of return, net of expenses, of 3.1%.

The market value of assets is not used directly in the actuarial calculation of the System's funded status and the actuarial contribution rate. An asset valuation method is used to smooth the effects of market fluctuations. The actuarial value of assets is equal to the expected asset value (based on last year's actuarial value of assets, net cash flows and a rate of return equal to the actuarial assumed rate of 8.0%) plus 25% of the difference between the actual market value and the expected asset value. See Exhibit 2 for the detailed development of the actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2016. The rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was 6.4%, resulting in an actuarial loss of \$3.8 million.



	Market V	/alue (\$M)	Actu	arial Value (\$M)
Net Assets, January 1, 2015	\$	238.7	\$	242.2
City and Member Contributions	+	19.0	+	19.0
Benefit Payments and Refunds	-	32.8	-	32.8
Investment Gain/(Loss)	+	7.3	+	15.1
Net Assets, January 1, 2016		232.2		243.5
Estimated Rate of Return		3.1%		6.4%

The components of the change in the market value and actuarial value of assets are shown below:

The net investment loss that is not recognized as of January 1, 2016 is \$11.3 million, compared with a \$3.5 million unrecognized loss in last year's valuation. The unrecognized losses of \$11.3 million will be reflected in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes over time, to the extent they are not offset by future gains. This means that earning the assumed rate of investment return of 8.0% per year (net of investment expenses) <u>on a market value basis</u> will result in small actuarial losses on the actuarial value of assets in the future.

The unrecognized investment losses represent 4.9% of the market value of assets (compared to deferred losses equal to 1.5% of the market value in the 2015 valuation). If the deferred losses were recognized immediately in the actuarial value assets, the unfunded actuarial liability would increase by \$11.3 million to \$204.9 million, the funded ratio would decrease to 53%, the actuarial contribution rate would increase from 27.526% to 28.563%, and the contribution margin would decrease to 0.287%.

A comparison of asset values on both a market and actuarial basis for the last five years is shown in the following table.

	January 1 (\$M)				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$244	\$242	\$238	\$236	\$237
Market Value of Assets	\$232	\$239	\$240	\$223	\$215
Actuarial Value/Market Value	105%	101%	99%	106%	110%



An asset smoothing method is used to mitigate the volatility in the market value of assets. By using a smoothing method, the actuarial (or smoothed) value can be either above or below the pure market value



#### **LIABILITIES**

The first step in determining the actuarial contribution rate for the System is to calculate the liabilities for all expected future benefit payments. These liabilities represent the present value of future benefits (PVFB) expected to be earned by the current System members, assuming that all actuarial assumptions are realized. Thus, the PVFB reflects service and salary increases that are expected to occur in the future before the benefit becomes payable. The PVFB for the various types of benefits provided by the System can be found in the liabilities portion of the valuation balance sheet (see Exhibit 3).

The other critical measurement of System liabilities in the valuation process is the actuarial liability (AL). This is the portion of the PVFB that will not be paid by the future normal costs (i.e. it is the portion of the PVFB that is allocated to prior service periods). As of January 1, 2016, the actuarial liability for the System was \$437.1 million.

The following chart compares the Actuarial Liability (AL) and System assets for the current and prior valuation:

	As of January 1	
	2016	2015
Actuarial Liability (AL)	\$437,133,012	\$431,160,038
Assets at Actuarial Value	\$243,516,453	\$242,248,074
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (AVA)	\$193,616,559	\$188,911,964
Funded Ratio (Actuarial Value)	56%	56%
Assets at Market Value	\$232,157,235	\$238,730,446
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (MVA)	\$204,975,777	\$192,429,592
Funded Ratio (Market Value)	53%	55%

Note that the funded ratio does not indicate whether or not the System assets are sufficient to settle benefits earned to date. The funded ratio by itself also may not be indicative of future funding requirements.

#### EXPERIENCE FOR THE 2015 PLAN YEAR

The difference between the actuarial liability and the actuarial value of assets at the same date is referred to as the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). Benefit improvements, experience gains/losses, changes in the actuarial assumptions or methods, and actual contributions made will impact the amount of the unfunded actuarial liability.

Actuarial gains (or losses) result from actual experience that is more (or less) favorable than anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions. These "experience" (or actuarial) gains or losses are reflected in the unfunded actuarial liability and are measured as the difference between the expected unfunded actuarial liability and the actual unfunded actuarial liability, taking into account any changes due to assumptions/methods or benefit provision changes. The net experience was unfavorable (a higher unfunded actuarial liability than expected). There was an actuarial loss for 2015 of \$3.8 million on the actuarial value of assets and an actuarial loss of \$0.4 million on liabilities.



The change in the unfunded actuarial liability between January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2016 is shown below (in millions):

Unfunded Actuarial Liability, January 1, 2015	189
• Expected change in UAL	(1)
Contribution shortfall in 2015	2
Investment experience	4
Demographic experience	0
Change in valuation methodology for QDROs	(1)
• Other experience	1
Unfunded Actuarial Liability, January 1, 2016	194

The amortization of the UAL was re-established on January 1, 2016 and amortized over a closed 25-year period. New "pieces" of UAL in future years will continue to be amortized over a separate 20-year period beginning on the valuation date, as adopted by the Board based on the last Experience Study. This change was made to better reflect the long-term financing structure now in place to eliminate the UAL.

#### **CONTRIBUTION LEVELS**

The actuarial contribution rate of the System is composed of two parts:

(1) Normal cost (which is the allocation of costs attributed to the current year's membership service) and,

(2) Amortization payment on the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL).

The normal cost rate is independent of the System's funded status and represents the cost, as a percent of payroll, of the benefits provided by the System which is allocated to the current year of service. The total normal cost for the System is 9.843% of pay, or \$6.1 million this year. The normal cost rate represents the long-term cost of the benefit structure for the current active members.

The System's total actuarial contribution rate (payable as a percentage of member payroll) decreased by 6.198% of pay, to 27.526% on January 1, 2016, from 33.724% on January 1, 2015. The primary components of the change in the actuarial contribution rate are shown in the following table:

		Rate	
Tota	al Actuarial Contribution Rate, January 1, 2015	33.724	%
•	Actuarial (Gain) / Loss - Investment Experience	0.346	
•	Actuarial (Gain) / Loss - Demographic Experience	0.038	
•	Contributions Less Than Actuarial Rate	0.216	
•	Change in Normal Cost Rate	(0.038)	
•	Payroll Growth Higher than Expected	(0.402)	
•	Change in Valuation Methodology for QDROs	(0.106)	
•	Resetting the UAL Amortization Period	(6.226)	
•	Other Experience	(0.026)	
Tota	al Actuarial Contribution Rate, January 1, 2016	27.526	%



As the table above shows, the actuarial contribution rate decreased from 33.724% to 27.526%. The most significant impact on the actuarial contribution rate was re-establishing the UAL and amortizing it over a closed 25-year period. The UAL as of January 1, 2016 of \$193.6 million serves as the initial amortization base and is amortized over a closed 25-year period as a level percentage of pay. The unexpected changes that occur in the UAL in each future valuation will create a new amortization base with payments over a closed 20-year period beginning on that valuation date (see page 14 for more details). The total UAL amortization payment is the sum of the amortization payments on all of the amortization bases. For the current valuation, the resulting UAL payment is 17.683% of pay. As a result, the total contribution rate for 2016 is 27.526% of pay (9.843% + 17.683%). The scheduled contributions for the year are 28.850%, resulting in a contribution margin of 1.324%.

# **COMMENTS**

Although there were no changes to the benefit provisions from the last valuation, we would note that this is the first valuation that includes members covered under the cash balance benefit structure (applicable to those hired on or after March 1, 2015). As of January 1, 2016, 121 out of 1,194 active members are covered under the cash balance benefit structure, or about 10%. Since cash balance members make up only a small portion of the active membership, the group's impact on this year's valuation results is minimal.

There was a change to the actuarial methods in the current valuation as the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) amortization bases have been combined and re-amortized over a closed 25-year period. The dollar amount of UAL as of January 1, 2016 is now the initial amortization base and other pieces of UAL that are created in future years will be amortized over a closed 20-year period, as they were in the past. As a result of this change, the UAL contribution rate decreased by 6.226% and the actuarial contribution rate is now less than the total contribution rate by 1.324% of pay for 2016 (about \$1 million). Given the volatility inherent in investment returns from year to year and the related impact it has on the actuarial contribution rate, the contribution margin this year could revert to a contribution shortfall in future years, depending on actual experience. Given that fact and the current funded status of the System, we firmly believe that no action should be taken to reduce contributions to the System.

The return on the market value of assets in 2015 was 3.1%, which increased the deferred investment losses that existed on January 1, 2015 from \$3.5 million to \$11.3 million. The funded ratio of the system, on a market value basis, is 53% in the January 1, 2016 actuarial valuation. While the System's financial health is expected to improve in future years due to recent benefit provision and contribution changes, the impact on the System's long-term funding cannot be quantified without performing an open group projection of future valuation results. Such analysis was not performed because it is outside the regular scope of services requested by the Board and a special request was not made.

As mentioned earlier in this report, the System uses an asset smoothing method in the actuarial valuation. While this is a very common procedure for public retirement systems, it is important to be aware of the potential impact of the unrecognized investment experience. The System currently has a deferred loss of about \$11.3 million. It is valuable to compare the key valuation results from the 2016 valuation using both the actuarial and market value of assets (see following table).

	\$ Mi	illions
	Using Actuarial Value of Assets	Using Market Value of Assets
Actuarial Liability	\$437.1	\$437.1
Asset Value	243.5	232.2
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$193.6	\$204.9
Funded Ratio	55.7%	53.1%
Normal Cost Rate	9.843%	9.843%
UAL Contribution Rate	<u>17.683%</u>	18.720%
Actuarial Contribution Rate	27.526%	28.563%
Employee Contribution Rate	(10.075%)	(10.075%)
City Contribution Rate	<u>(18.775%)</u>	<u>(18.775%)</u>
Contribution Shortfall/(Margin)	(1.324%)	(0.287%)



# THE CITY OF OMAHA EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

#### PRINCIPAL VALUATION RESULTS

		<b>January 1, 2016</b>	January 1, 2015	% Chg
ME	MBERSHIP			
1.	Active Membership - Number of Members - Projected Payroll for Upcoming Fiscal Year - Average Projected Payroll - Average Attained Age - Average Entry Age	1,194 \$69,005,865 \$57,794 46.5 36.7	1,143 \$64,876,227 \$56,760 46.6 36.5	4.5 6.4 1.8 (0.2) 0.5
2.	Inactive Membership - Number of Retirees / Beneficiaries - Number of Disabled Members - Number of Deferred Vested Members - Average Annual Benefit	1,274 112 77 \$22,923	1,286 114 74 \$22,238	(0.9) (1.8) 4.1 3.1
ASS	ETS AND LIABILITIES			
1.	Net Assets - Market Value - Actuarial Value	\$232,157,235 243,516,453	\$238,730,446 242,248,074	(2.8) 0.5
2.	<ul> <li>Projected Liabilities</li> <li>Retired Members and Beneficiaries</li> <li>Disabled Members</li> <li>Other Inactive Members</li> <li>Active Members</li> <li>Total Liability</li> </ul>	\$286,934,794 21,777,439 5,120,884 <u>170,989,512</u> \$484,822,629	\$283,499,476 22,016,233 4,922,153 <u>165,303,113</u> \$475,740,975	1.2 (1.1) 4.0 3.4 1.9
3.	Actuarial Liability	437,133,012	431,160,038	1.4
4.	Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$193,616,559	\$188,911,964	2.5
5.	Funded Ratios Actuarial Value Assets / Actuarial Liability Market Value Assets / Actuarial Liability	55.71% 53.11%	56.19% 55.37%	(0.9) (4.1)
CON	NTRIBUTIONS			
1.	Normal Cost Rate	9.843%	9.881%	(0.4)
2.	UAL Contribution Rate	<u>17.683%</u>	<u>23.843%</u>	(25.8)
3.	Total Actuarial Contribution Rate (1) + (2)	27.526%	33.724%	(18.4)
4.	Less Employee Contribution Rate	(10.075%)	(10.075%)	0.0
5.	Less City Contribution Rate Per Ordinance	<u>(18.775%)</u>	<u>(18.775%)</u>	0.0
6.	Contribution Shortfall	(1.324%)	4.874%	(127.2)

# SUMMARY OF FUND ACTIVITY

# (Market Value Basis)

# For Year Ended December 31, 2015

Assets at January 1, 2015	\$	238,730,446
Receipts:		
City Contributions		12,401,231
Employee Contributions		6,584,338
Investment Earnings, Net of Expenses	_	7,213,515
Total Receipts		26,199,084
Disbursements:		
Benefit Payments		31,839,124
Refund of Contributions		930,741
Administrative Expenses	_	2,430
Total Disbursements		32,772,295
Assets as of December 31, 2015	\$	232,157,235
Annualized Net Yield		3.1%



# DETERMINATION OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

The actuarial value of assets is used to minimize the impact of annual fluctuations in the market value of investments on the contribution rate. The current asset valuation method is called the "Expected +25% Method."

The "expected value" of assets is determined by applying the investment return assumption to last year's actuarial value of assets and the net difference of receipts and disbursements for the year. The actual market value is compared to the expected value and 25% of the difference (positive or negative) is added to the expected value to arrive at the actuarial value of assets for the current year.

1.	Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2015	\$ 242,248,074
2.	Actual Receipts / Disbursements	
	a. Total Contributions	18,985,569
	b. Benefit Payments/Other	(32,769,865)
	c. Net Change	 (13,784,296)
3.	Expected Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2016 [(1) * 1.08] + [(2c) * $1.08^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ]	247,302,859
4.	Market Value of Assets as of January 1, 2016	232,157,235
5.	Excess of Market Value over Expected Actuarial Value as of January 1, 2016	(15,145,624)
6.	Preliminary Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2016 [ (3) + 25% of (5) ]	243,516,453
7.	20% Calculation of Corridor	
	a. 80% of (4)	185,725,788
	b. 120% of (4)	278,588,682
8.	Final Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2016	
	(6) but not $<$ (7a) nor $>$ (7b)	\$ 243,516,453
9.	Rate of Return on Actuarial Value of Assets	6.4%



# EXHIBIT 2 (continued)

A historical comparison of the market and actuarial value of assets is shown below:

	Market Value	Actuarial Value	
Date	of Assets (MVA)	of Assets (AVA)	AVA / MVA
1/1/2008	\$294,658,022	\$283,243,750	96.13%
1/1/2009	204,452,506	245,343,007	120.00%
1/1/2010	213,219,632	240,109,413	112.61%
1/1/2011	232,346,583	240,291,310	103.42%
1/1/2012	215,434,784	236,741,347	109.89%
1/1/2013	223,233,088	235,591,941	105.54%
1/1/2014	240,342,815	237,579,690	98.85%
1/1/2015	238,730,446	242,248,074	101.47%
1/1/2016	232,157,235	243,516,453	104.89%





# ACTUARIAL BALANCE SHEET

An actuarial statement of the status of the System in balance sheet form as of January 1, 2016 is as follows:

Current assets (actuarial value)	\$ 243,516,453
Present value of future normal costs	47,689,617
Present value of future employer contributions to fund unfunded actuarial liability	 193,616,559
Total Assets	\$ 484,822,62

# **Liabilities**

Present value of future retirement benefits for:

Active employees	\$	156,087,296	
Retired employees, contingent annuitants			
and spouses receiving benefits		286,934,794	
Deferred vested employees		4,920,344	
Inactive employees due refunds		200,540	
Inactive employees – disabled		21,777,439	
Total	_		\$ 469,920,413
Present value of future death benefits payable			
upon death of active members			2,579,219
Present value of future benefits payable upon			
termination of active members			12,322,997
Total Liabilities			\$ 484,822,629



# UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL LIABILITY

As of January 1, 2016

The actuarial liability is the portion of the present value of future benefits which will not be paid by future normal costs. The actuarial value of assets is subtracted from the actuarial liability to determine the unfunded actuarial liability.

1.	Present Value of Future Benefits	\$	484,822,629
2.	Present Value of Future Normal Costs	-	47,689,617
3.	Actuarial Liability (1) – (2)		437,133,012
4.	Actuarial Value of Assets	-	243,516,453
5.	Unfunded Actuarial Liability (3) – (4)	\$	193,616,559
6.	Funded Ratio (4) /(3)		55.71%



# SCHEDULE OF AMORTIZATION BASES

The System amortizes the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) using a "layered" approach for the UAL where the UAL as of January 1, 2016 is amortized over a closed amortization period of 25 years. Changes to the UAL in subsequent years are set up as a new amortization base with payments determined as a level percentage of payroll over a closed 20 year period beginning on that valuation date. The total UAL payment is the sum of the amortization payments on each of the amortization bases.

		January 1, 2016		Outstanding	Annual
Amortization Bases	Original Amount	Remaining Years	Year of Last Payment	Balance as of January 1, 2016	Contribution (mid-year)
2016 Initial UAL Base	\$ 193,616,559	25	2041	\$ 193,616,559	\$ 12,202,087
Total				\$ 193,616,559	\$ 12,202,087



# DEVELOPMENT OF 2016 ACTUARIAL CONTRIBUTION RATE

The actuarial cost method used to determine the required level of annual contributions to support the expected benefits is the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. Under this method, the total cost is comprised of the normal cost rate and the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) payment. The System is financed by contributions from the employees and the City.

1. (a)	Normal Cost	\$ 6,149,062
(b)	Expected Payroll in 2016 for Current Actives	\$ 62,471,369
(c)	Normal Cost Rate (a) / (b)	9.843%
2.	Unfunded Actuarial Liability	
	at Valuation Date	\$ 193,616,559
3.	Unfunded Actuarial Liability Payment	\$ 12,202,087
4.	Total Projected Payroll for 2016	\$ 69,005,865
5.	Unfunded Actuarial Liability Payment as Percent of Pay (3) / (4)	17.683%
6.	Total Contribution Rate (1c) + (5)	27.526%
7.	Employee Contribution Rate	10.075%
8.	City Contribution Rate	18.775%
9.	Contribution Shortfall/(Margin) (6) $-$ (7) $-$ (8)	(1.324%)



# CALCULATION OF ACTUARIAL GAIN/(LOSS) For Plan Year Ending December 31, 2015

# **Liabilities**

1.	Actuarial liability as of January 1, 2015	\$ 431,160,038
2.	Normal cost for 2015	5,822,238
3.	Interest at 8.00% on (1) and (2) to December 31, 2015	34,958,582
4.	Benefit payments during 2015	(32,769,865)
5.	Interest on benefit payments	(1,285,578)
6.	Change in valuation methodology for QDROs	(1,164,213)
7.	Expected actuarial liability as of December 31, 2015	\$ 436,721,202
8.	Actuarial liability as of December 31, 2015	\$ 437,133,012
As	<u>sets</u>	
9.	Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2015	\$ 242,248,074
10.	Contributions during 2015	18,985,569
11.	Benefit payments during 2015	(32,769,865)
12.	Interest on items $(9)$ , $(10)$ and $(11)$	18,839,081
13.	Expected actuarial value of assets as of December 31, 2015	\$ 247,302,859
14.	Actual actuarial value of assets as of December 31, 2015	\$ 243,516,453
<u>Ga</u>	<u>uin / (Loss)</u>	
15.	Expected unfunded actuarial liability / (surplus)	
	(7) – (13)	\$ 189,418,343
16.	Actual unfunded actuarial liability / (surplus)	
	(8) - (14)	193,616,559
17.	Actuarial Gain / (Loss)	
	(15) - (16)	(4,198,216)
18.	Actuarial Gain / (Loss) on Actuarial Assets	
	(14) - (13)	(3,786,406)
19.	Actuarial Gain / (Loss) on Actuarial Liability	
	(7) – (8)	\$ (411,810)



# ANALYSIS OF EXPERIENCE

The purpose of conducting an actuarial valuation of a retirement plan is to estimate the costs and liabilities for the benefits expected to be paid from the plan, to determine the annual level of contributions for the current plan year that should be made to support these benefits, and finally, to analyze the plan's experience. The costs and liabilities of this retirement plan depend not only upon the benefit formula and plan provisions but also upon factors such as the investment return on the system assets, mortality rates among active and retired members, withdrawal and retirement rates among active members, and rates at which salaries increase.

The actuarial assumptions employed as to these and other contingencies in the current valuation are set forth in Appendix B of this report.

Since the overall results of the valuation will reflect the choice of assumptions made, periodic studies of the various components comprising the plan's experience are conducted in which the experience for each component is analyzed in relation to the assumption used for that component (called an experience study). This summary is not intended to be an actual "experience study" but rather an analysis of sources of gain and loss in the past plan year.

#### Gain/(Loss) By Source

The System experienced a net actuarial loss on liabilities of 412,000 during the plan year ended December 31, 2015, and an actuarial loss on assets of \$3,786,000. The net actuarial loss was \$4,198,000. The major components of this net actuarial experience gain are shown below:

Liability Sources	Gain/(Loss)
Salary Increases	\$ 927,000
Mortality	259,000
Terminations	(511,000)
Retirements	396,000
Disability	(822,000)
New Entrants/Rehires	(259,000)
Miscellaneous	(402,000)
Total Liability Gain/(Loss)	\$ (412,000)
Asset Gain/(Loss)	\$ (3,786,000)
Total Actuarial Gain/(Loss)	\$ (4,198,000)



### **SECTION II**

#### **OTHER INFORMATION**

The actuarial liability is a measure intended to help the reader assess (i) a retirement system's funded status on an ongoing concern basis and (ii) progress being made toward accumulating the assets needed to pay benefits as due. Allocation of the actuarial present value of projected benefits between past and future service was based on service using the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method. Assumptions, including projected pay increases, were the same as used to determine the System's level percent of payroll annual required contribution between entry age and assumed exit age. Entry age was established by subtracting credited service from current age on the valuation date. The actuarial assumptions used in determining the actuarial liability as of January 1, 2016 can be found in Appendix B.

In the past, Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 25, *Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans*, and Statement No. 27, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers*, applied to the preparation of financial reports of pension plans for state and local governments. GASB 67, which was first effective for the plan year ended December 31, 2014, replaced GASB 25 and GASB 68 has replaced GASB 27 for fiscal year end 2015.

GASB 67 separates accounting from funding by creating disclosure and reporting requirements that may or may not be consistent with the basis used for funding the System. A separate report that contains all of the information and exhibits of an actuarial nature that are necessary for the System's financial reporting under GASB 67 and the City's financial reporting under GASB 68 are prepared annually.



Fiscal Year Ending	Annual Required Contribution* (a)	Total Employer Contribution* (b)	Percentage of ARC Contributed* (b/a)
12/31/2005	\$ 6,877,913	\$ 4,500,192	65.43%
12/31/2006	6,213,801	4,145,033	66.71%
12/31/2007	8,883,617	4,975,039	56.00%
12/31/2008	9,212,669	5,374,082	58.33%
12/31/2009	12,893,331	5,310,754	41.19%
12/31/2010	14,149,386	5,717,610	40.41%
12/31/2011	14,564,847	6,618,110	45.44%
12/31/2012	15,658,045	7,216,050	46.09%
12/31/2013	17,406,168	7,194,482	41.33%
12/31/2014	17,162,883	12,326,643	71.82%
12/31/2015	14,676,786	12,401,231	84.50%

# SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

\*Information prior to 2011 was provided by the prior actuary and has not been reviewed or verified by Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting.



Actuarial Valuation Date <sup>1</sup>	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (P/R) (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered P / R [(b-a)/c]
12/31/2006	\$292,000,000	\$361,700,000	\$ 69,700,000	80.7%	\$48,200,000	144.6%
12/31/2007	294,700,000	369,000,000	74,300,000	79.9%	54,000,000	137.6%
12/31/2008	204,500,000	387,700,000	183,200,000	52.7%	56,400,000	324.8%
12/31/2009	213,200,000	402,800,000	189,600,000	52.9%	55,700,000	340.4%
12/31/2010	232,400,000	414,500,000	182,100,000	56.1%	56,700,000	321.2%
1/1/2011	240,291,310	409,442,601	169,151,291	58.7%	59,235,591	285.6%
1/1/2012	236,741,347	420,810,359	184,069,012	56.3%	62,825,685	293.0%
1/1/2013	235,591,941	436,270,409	200,678,468	54.0%	63,327,394	316.9%
1/1/2014	237,579,690	442,754,113	205,174,423	53.7%	63,413,206	323.6%
1/1/2015	242,248,074	431,160,038	188,911,964	56.2%	64,876,227	291.2%
1/1/2016	243,516,453	437,133,012	193,616,559	55.7%	69,005,865	280.6%

# SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

1. Results prior to 2011 were provided by the prior actuary and were reported at the end of the year rather than the valuation date.



#### SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Effective Date: Section 22 - 21

Active Member: Section 22 – 24 and 25

Final Average Compensation (FAC): Section 22 - 32

Member Contributions: Section 22 – 26(a)

City of Omaha Contributions: Section 22 – 26(e)

Service Credits Section 22 – 28 and 29 January 1, 1949

All City employees except: policemen, firemen, persons paid on a contractual or fee basis, seasonal, temporary and part-time employees, and elected officials who do not make written application.

Highest 78 pay periods in the employee's last 130 pay periods of employment divided by three for members who are within five years of normal retirement as of March 1, 2015 under the eligibility criteria set forth in the 2009 through 2012 labor agreements; or the last 130 pay periods divided by five for all other employees. Minimum FAC, regardless of retirement date, shall never be less than the FAC determined as of 2/28/2015 (highest consecutive 26 pay periods in 130 pay periods prior to 2/28/2015).

Each member will contribute 10.075% of total compensation.

The City will contribute a percentage of each member's total compensation as shown in the following table.

Year	Percent Contributed
2013	13.775%
2014	17.775%
2015	18.775%

The member shall receive membership service credit for each full pay period of employment. Intervening periods of military service in time of emergency shall be counted, provided the member is honorably discharged and returns to work within 90 days after such discharge.

Membership credits shall be earned by those receiving a disability pension. However, the total credited service will not exceed 30, unless more than 30 years were earned as an active member.



#### SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS (continued)

Service Retirement Eligibility: Section 22 - 30 Members who are within five years of normal retirement as of March 1, 2015 under the eligibility criteria set forth in the 2009 through 2012 labor agreement will remain eligible for a service retirement if (a) they are age 60 with five years of service or (b) meet the Rule of 80 with a minimum age of 50. A member is eligible for a service retirement after reaching age 55 with five years of service, but the pension is reduced 8% per year for years prior to age 60.

Members who are more than five but less than ten years of normal retirement as of March 1, 2015 under the eligibility criteria set forth in the 2009 through 2012 labor agreement are eligible to retire after age 55 if their age plus service is 85 or more (Rule of 85). Otherwise, a member is eligible to retire after age 57 with five years of service, but the pension is reduced 8% per year for years prior to age 62.

Members who are <u>not</u> within ten years of normal retirement as of March 1, 2015 under the eligibility criteria set forth in the 2009 through 2012 labor agreement, are eligible to retire after age 55 if their age plus service is 85 or more (Rule of 85). Otherwise, such member is eligible to retire after age 60 with five years of service, but the pension is reduced 8% per year for years prior to age 65.

Members who are hired on or after March 1, 2015 are eligible to retire after age 55 with ten years of service.

For members hired before March 1, 2015, a monthly pension equal to 2.25% of Final Average Compensation times years of service during and before 2014, plus 1.90% for years of service during and after 2015.

For members hired on or after March 1, 2015, the system shall establish and maintain a "cash balance account" for each employee. The cash balance account shall be equal to the sum of the employee's pay credits, interest credits and dividends, which are explained further in the following paragraphs.

Service Retirement Pension: Section 22 - 32



#### SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS (continued)

*Interest Credits and Dividends:* On the last day of each plan year, each cash balance account shall receive an interest credit equal to 4.0% of the balance at the beginning of the plan year. Additionally, each account may be credited with a dividend equal to 75% of the System's investment return, on a market value basis, that is over 7.0% on a rolling five-year return. The dividend is capped at 3.0% until January 1, 2020.

*Pay Credits:* On the last day of each plan year, each cash balance account shall receive a pay credit equal to the following percentages of the member's pensionable earnings for the plan year:

Years of Service	Percentage
Less Than 8	13.0%
8 – 15	14.0%
16 – 23	15.0%
24 or More	16.0%

**Disability Benefits:** 

1. Non-Service Related Section 22 - 35

2. Service-Related Section 22 - 35 An employee who sustains an injury or illness not in the line of duty and as a result becomes unfit for active duty shall be granted a non-service-connected disability retirement of 1.50% multiplied by the employee's years of service multiplied by their Final Average Compensation. Members who were hired before March 1, 2015 are eligible for this benefit with five years of service. Members who were hired on or after March 1, 2015 are eligible for this benefit with ten years of service.

An employee who is a member of the system who sustains an injury or illness in the line of duty and as a result becomes unfit for active duty shall be granted a service-connected disability retirement of 1.75% multiplied by the employee's years of service multiplied by their Final Average Compensation. This benefit is available only if the member has served a minimum of six months of service.



# SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS (continued)

Spouse's Pension:

1. Death of Active Member Section 22 - 36 For members hired before March 1, 2015, a monthly pension equal to 75% of the member's accrued pension is paid to the surviving spouse until death or remarriage. The member must have had five years of service or had a service-connected death and six months of service.

For members hired on or after March 1, 2015, a lump sum payment of the member's full cash balance account if the member had ten or more years of service prior to death. If the member had less than ten years of service prior to death, then the surviving spouse is eligible to receive a lump sum payment equal to the member's contributions with 4.0% interest.

For members hired before March 1, 2015, if the surviving spouse was legally married to the member for at least one year, then they shall be entitled to 75% of the pension the member was receiving or was eligible to receive at the time of death. Upon the spouse's remarriage, all benefits cease.

For members hired before March 1, 2015, upon the death of the active or retired member, the following benefit will be paid to the surviving children until age 18 or prior to death or marriage, except that if a child is totally disabled, the full pension continues until the cessation of total disability or dependency for support whichever occurs first:

Number of	Percentage
Dependent Children	of Accrued Benefit
1	5%
2	10%
3	15%
4 or more	20%

 Death of a Member Eligible for Retirement or Death of Retired Member Section 22 - 36

Children's Pension: Section 22 - 36



#### SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS (continued)

Lump Sum Death Benefits:

 Active Member without Eligible Dependents Section 22 - 37 Accumulated member's contributions, plus \$5,000.

 Retired Member without Eligible Dependents Section 22 - 37 Accumulated member's contribution less previous pension payments made, plus \$5000.

- Active Member with Eligible Dependents: \$5,000 Section 22 - 37
- 4. Retired Member with Eligible Dependents \$5,000 Section 22 - 37
- Vesting:

Section 22 – 39

Section 22 - 40

For members who were hired before March 1, 2015, upon severance of employment with less than five years of service and prior to obtaining eligibility under Section 22 - 30, a refund of such member's accumulated contributions, including credited interest, will be paid.

For members who were hired on or after March 1, 2015, upon severance of employment with less than ten years of service and prior to obtaining eligibility under Section 22 - 30, a refund of such member's accumulated contributions, including 4.0% interest, will be paid.

For members who were hired before March 1, 2015, upon severance of employment with more than five years of service and prior to obtaining eligibility for retirement, the member may elect, in lieu of receiving a refund of contributions, to receive a monthly pension, reduced for early retirement if applicable. Such deferred pension shall be based on service credited to the date of severance.



# SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS (continued)

For members who were hired on or after March 1, 2015, upon severance of employment with more than ten years of service and prior to obtaining eligibility for retirement, the member may elect, in lieu of receiving a refund of contributions, to leave their contributions in the System and thereby be eligible for a deferred service retirement pursuant to Section 22 - 40.

Retirees (including widows, widowers and children) receive a supplemental pension (Cost of Living Adjustment – COLA) after five years equal to the lesser of 3% or \$50 per month. The COLA is granted for the full remaining period that benefits are payable. No COLAs will be available for members who retire after January 28, 1998.

Supplemental Pension: Section 22 – 123



#### **ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS**

#### Actuarial Cost Method

Valuation of the System uses the "*entry age-normal*" cost method. Under this actuarial method, the value of future costs attributable to future employment of participants is determined. This is called <u>present value</u> <u>of future normal costs</u>. The following steps indicate how this is determined for benefits expected to be paid upon normal retirement.

- 1. The expected pension benefit at normal retirement is determined for each participant.
- 2. A <u>normal cost</u>, as a level percent of pay, is determined for each participant assuming that such level percent is paid from the employee's entry age into employment to his normal retirement. This normal cost is determined so that its accumulated value at normal retirement is sufficient to provide the expected pension benefits.
- 3. The sum of the normal costs for all participants for one year determines the total normal cost of the System for one year.
- 4. The value of future payments of normal cost in future years is determined for each participant based on his years of service to normal retirement age.
- 5. The sum of the value of future payments of normal cost for all participants determines the present value of future normal costs.

The value of future costs attributable to past employment of participants, which is called the actuarial liability, is equal to the present value of benefits less the present value of future normal costs. The unfunded actuarial liability is equal to the excess of the actuarial liability over assets.

As experience develops with the System, actuarial gains and losses result. These actuarial gains and losses indicate the extent to which actual experience is deviating from that expected on the basis of the actuarial assumptions. In each year, as they occur, actuarial gains and losses are recognized in the unfunded actuarial liability as of the valuation date.

#### Actuarial Value of Assets

The actuarial value of assets is equal to the expected asset value (based on last year's actuarial value of assets, net cash flows and a rate of return equal to the actuarial assumed rate of 8.0%) plus 1/4 of the difference between the actual market value and the expected asset value. The actuarial value of assets cannot exceed 120% or fall below 80% of the market value of assets.

#### **Unfunded Actuarial Liability Amortization Method**

The unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) is funded on a "layered" basis, with the initial base being funded as a level-percent of payroll over a 25-year closed period that began January 1, 2016. A new base is created each valuation and is equal to the additional UAL created in that year. Each base is funded as a level percent of payroll over a 20-year closed period.



#### ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Interest:	8.00% per year, net of investment expenses.
Interest:	8.00% per year, net of investment expenses.

Inflation:

3.25% per year, net of investment expenses.

Cash Balance Accounts: 6.25% per year

**Salary Increases:** 

**Interest Credited to** 

		Ann F			
	Years of Service	Inflation	Productivity	Merit & Longevity	Total Increase
	1	3.25%	.75%	5.0%	9.0%
	5	3.25%	.75%	1.5%	5.5%
	10	3.25%	.75%	1.0%	5.0%
	15	3.25%	.75%	0.5%	4.5%
	20+	3.25%	.75%	0.0%	4.0%
Payroll Growth Assumption	4.0%				

Service Retirement Age

#### Members within 5 Years of Unreduced Retirement Eligibility as of March 1, 2015

Eligible for Unreduced Retirement							
	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	Subsequent					
Age	<b>Eligible</b>	<b>Years</b>					
50-53	40%	25%					
54-58	40%	20%					
59	35%	20%					
60	25%	20%					
61		20%					
62		30%					
63-64		25%					
65-69		30%					
70		100%					

Members eligible for Early, but not Unreduced Retirement, are assumed to retire at a rate of 5% per year from age 55 to 59.



#### ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Members within 6-10 Years of Unreduced Retirement Eligibility as of March 1, 2015

Eligible for Unreduced Retirement							
	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	Subsequent					
Age	<b>Eligible</b>	<b>Years</b>					
50-53	40%	25%					
54-60	40%	20%					
61	35%	20%					
62	35%	30%					
63-64		25%					
65-69		30%					
70		100%					

Members eligible for Early, but not Unreduced Retirement, are assumed to retire at a rate of 5% per year from age 57 to 61.

#### Members more than 10 Years from Unreduced Retirement Eligibility as of March 1, 2015

Eligible for Unreduced Retirement							
	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	Subsequent					
Age	<b>Eligible</b>	<b>Years</b>					
50-53	40%	25%					
54-61	40%	20%					
62	40%	30%					
63-64	35%	25%					
65	35%	30%					
66-69		30%					
70		100%					

Members eligible for Early, but not Unreduced Retirement, are assumed to retire at a rate of 5% per year from age 60 to 64.



# ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

#### Members Hired on or After March 1, 2015

		Probability		
	<u>Age</u>	<u> Of Retirement</u>		
	55-59	5%		
	60-61	7%		
	62-64	20%		
	65	35%		
	66	25%		
	67-69	20%		
	70	100%		
	Deferred vested member benefits at age 60.	s are assumed to begin receiving		
Decrement Timing	Middle of year			
Mortality:				
Active Members	RP-2000 Employee Tab using scale AA, set forw	le with generational improvements ard one year		
Pensioners	RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table with generational improvements using scale AA, set forward one year			
Disabled	RP-2000 Disabled Table	with generational improvements		
Disability:				
	Age	Annual Rate		
	20	0.11%		
	30	0.14%		
	40	0.19%		
	50	0.41%		
	60	1.48%		
	20% of disabilities are a	assumed to be service-connected.		
Percent Married at Death or Retirement:	75%			
Spouse Age Difference:	Husbands assumed to b	e three years older than wives.		
Number of Children per Married Member:	0			



# ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Termination:	SAMPLE R	ATES
	Years of Service	Annual Rate
	1	11.00%
	5	6.00%
	10	4.25%
	15	3.00%
	17+	2.50%
Vested Terminations		
Electing Refund:	Age	Percent
	34 and Below	100%
	35-41	70%
	42-46	50%
	47	40%
	48	30%
	49	20%
	50 and Above	0%

For members hired on or after March 1, 2015, everyone who becomes vested is expected to take a deferred annuity.

# **APPENDIX C**

# HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP

		-		<u> </u>						
Valu	ation			Active	Members				Number	
Date 1-Jan	Total Count	Number	Age	Entry Age	Average Service	Annual Pay (\$)*	Pay Increase	Disabled	Deferred Vested	Retired
2009	2,440	1,116	47.3	36.4	10.9	47,495	2.21%	122	81	1,121
2010	2,456	1,116	47.8	37.1	10.8	49,667	4.57%	124	83	1,133
2011	2,493	1,130	47.4	36.9	10.5	49,030	(1.28)%	120	82	1,161
2012	2,541	1,156	47.3	36.8	10.5	50,335	2.66%	121	77	1,187
2013	2,580	1,150	46.9	36.7	10.2	50,842	1.01%	122	75	1,233
2014	2,563	1,116	47.1	36.7	10.4	51,501	1.30%	121	77	1,249
2015	2,617	1,143	46.6	36.5	10.1	50,774	(1.41)%	114	74	1,286
2016	2,657	1,194	46.5	36.7	9.8	52,439	3.28%	112	77	1,274

The following table displays selected historical data as available.

\* Annual Pay is the actual pay reported for the prior plan year.



# MEMBERSHIP DATA FOR VALUATION

The summary of employee characteristics presented below covers the employee group as of January 1, 2016. The schedules at the end of the report show the distribution of the various employee groups by present age along with other pertinent data.

#### Total number of employees in valuation:

(a)	Active employees	1,194
(b)	Deferred vested employees	77
(c)	Disabled employees	112
(d)	Retired employees, spouses and children receiving benefits	1,274
(e)	Total employees in valuation	2,657
Averag	ge age of employees in valuation:	
(a)	Active employees Attained Age Hire Age	46.5 36.7
(b)	Deferred vested employees	48.2
(c)	Disabled employees	62.7
(d)	Retired employees	69.4
(e)	Spouses and children receiving benefits	72.5
Active	employees eligible for vested benefits as of January 1, 2016:	
(a)	Employees under age 55 with 5 or more years of service – eligible for deferred vested benefits	481
(b)	Employees age 55 and over with 5 or more years of service – eligible for early or normal retirement benefits	295
(c)	Employees eligible for refund of contributions only	418
(d)	Total	1,194

# MEMBERSHIP DATA RECONCILIATION

# January 1, 2015 to January 1, 2016

The number of members included in the valuation, as summarized in the table below, is in accordance with the data submitted by the System for eligible employees as of the valuation date.

	Active <u>Members</u>	Deferred <u>Vested</u>	Disabled	<u>Retirees</u>	<b>Beneficiaries</b>	<u>Total</u>
Members as of 1/1/2015	1,143	74	114	1,019	267	2,617
New Members	136	0	0	0	0	136
Terminations						
Rehired	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refunded	(29)	(7)	0	0	0	(36)
Terminated, refund due	(9)	0	0	0	0	(9)
Deferred Vested	(13)	13	0	0	0	0
LTD	(2)	0	2	0	0	0
Retirements	(29)	(2)	0	31	0	0
Benefits Expired	0	0	0	0	0	0
Data Corrections	0	(1)	1	0	(14)*	(14)
Deaths						
With Beneficiary	(2)	0	0	(8)	11	1
Without Beneficiary	(1)	0	(5)	(19)	(13)	(38)
Total Members 1/1/2016	1,194	77	112	1,023	251	2,657

\* 14 records for QDROs are no longer being valued.



# **SCHEDULE I**

# ACTIVE MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016 (Total)

	Cou	unt of Memb	ers	Valuatio	Valuation Salaries of Members			
Age	Males	<u>Females</u>	Total	Males	Females	<u>Total</u>		
Under 25	16	3	19	\$ 638,232	\$ 128,876	\$ 767,108		
25-29	61	31	92	2,794,883	1,377,196	4,172,079		
30-34	76	50	126	3,905,743	2,651,496	6,557,239		
35-39	91	44	135	5,325,143	2,652,100	7,977,243		
40-44	86	31	117	5,151,038	1,654,979	6,806,017		
45-49	135	40	175	8,333,118	2,173,236	10,506,354		
50-54	131	53	184	7,932,785	2,970,597	10,903,382		
55-59	118	58	176	7,384,941	3,255,621	10,640,562		
60-64	78	47	125	4,879,644	2,966,557	7,846,201		
Over 64	33	12	45	2,229,238	600,442	2,829,680		
Total	825	369	1,194	\$48,574,765	\$20,431,100	\$69,005,865		







#### ACTIVE MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016 (Total)

					Service					
Age	Under 5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35	35-40	Over 40	Total
Under 25	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
25-29	78	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	92
30-34	80	40	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	126
35-39	66	45	20	4	0	0	0	0	0	135
40-44	43	41	18	11	4	0	0	0	0	117
45-49	47	49	25	36	16	2	0	0	0	175
50-54	34	37	27	36	25	21	3	1	0	184
55-59	33	47	26	23	25	14	6	2	0	176
60-64	16	27	20	28	20	8	4	2	0	125
Over 64	2	14	6	7	8	4	4	0	0	45
Total	418	314	148	145	98	49	17	5	0	1,194





#### ACTIVE MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016 (Hired before March 1, 2015)

	Cou	unt of Memb	ers	Valuation Salaries of Members			
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
Under 25	9	2	11	\$ 373,488	\$ 86,382	\$ 459,870	
25-29	43	22	65	2,050,512	1,067,083	3,117,595	
30-34	63	40	103	3,352,184	2,236,621	5,588,805	
35-39	80	39	119	4,774,953	2,432,780	7,207,733	
40-44	80	29	109	4,838,002	1,562,986	6,400,988	
45-49	124	31	155	7,857,331	1,700,890	9,558,221	
50-54	124	51	175	7,536,765	2,904,245	10,441,010	
55-59	114	55	169	7,234,148	3,163,718	10,397,866	
60-64	78	45	123	4,879,644	2,910,789	7,790,433	
Over 64	33	11	44	2,229,238	556,691	2,785,929	
Total	748	325	1,073	\$45,126,265	\$18,622,185	\$63,748,450	







#### ACTIVE MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016 (Hired before March 1, 2015)

					Service					
Age	Under 5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35	35-40	Over 40	Total
Under 25	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
25-29	51	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65
30-34	57	40	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	103
35-39	50	45	20	4	0	0	0	0	0	119
40-44	35	41	18	11	4	0	0	0	0	109
45-49	27	49	25	36	16	2	0	0	0	155
50-54	25	37	27	36	25	21	3	1	0	175
55-59	26	47	26	23	25	14	6	2	0	169
60-64	14	27	20	28	20	8	4	2	0	123
Over 64	1	14	6	7	8	4	4	0	0	44
Total	297	314	148	145	98	49	17	5	0	1,073





# ACTIVE MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016 (Hired on or after March 1, 2015)

	Cou	int of Memb	ers	Valuati	Valuation Salaries of Members				
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total			
Under 25	7	1	8	\$ 264,744	\$ 42,494	\$ 307,238			
25-29	18	9	27	744,371	310,113	1,054,484			
30-34	13	10	23	553,559	414,875	968,434			
35-39	11	5	16	550,190	219,320	769,510			
40-44	6	2	8	313,036	91,993	405,029			
45-49	11	9	20	475,787	472,346	948,133			
50-54	7	2	9	396,020	66,352	462,372			
55-59	4	3	7	150,793	91,903	242,696			
60-64	0	2	2	0	55,768	55,768			
Over 64	0	1	1	0	43,751	43,751			
Total	77	44	121	\$3,448,500	\$1,808,915	\$5,257,415			







#### ACTIVE MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016 (Hired on or after March 1, 2015)

Service										
Age	Under 5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35	35-40	Over 40	Total
Under 25	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
25-29	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
30-34	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
35-39	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
40-44	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
45-49	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
50-54	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
55-59	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
60-64	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Over 64	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	121	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	121





# **SCHEDULE II**

	Со	unt of Retire	ees		Current Monthly Benefits			
Age	Males	Females	Total	_	Males	<u>Females</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Under 60	41	34	75		\$ 122,992	\$98,369	\$ 221,361	
60-64	148	82	230		407,181	191,649	598,830	
65-69	217	106	323		537,022	210,981	748,003	
70-74	109	47	156		216,619	81,437	298,056	
75-79	84	30	114		153,938	38,894	192,832	
80-84	41	18	59		74,291	22,009	96,300	
85-89	29	11	40		49,252	11,901	61,153	
Over 89	13	13	26		23,796	11,835	35,631	
Total	682	341	1,023	_	\$1,585,091	\$667,075	\$2,252,166	

#### **RETIRED MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016**







# **SCHEDULE III**

# **BENEFICIARIES RECEIVING BENEFITS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016**

Coun	t of Benefici	aries		Current Monthly Benefits				
Males	<u>Females</u>	Total		Males	<u>Females</u>	<u>Total</u>		
4	24	28		\$ 1,424	\$ 18,888	\$ 20,312		
6	19	25		5,299	22,601	27,900		
7	39	46		6,059	52,124	58,183		
0	33	33		0	48,599	48,599		
0	33	33		0	46,984	46,984		
2	36	38		2,707	42,379	45,086		
2	24	26		2,335	20,697	23,032		
2	20	22		2,028	13,218	15,246		
23	228	251		\$19,852	\$265,490	\$285,342		
	Coun <u>Males</u> 4 6 7 0 0 2 2 2 23	Males         Females           4         24           6         19           7         39           0         33           0         33           2         36           2         20           23         228	Males         Females         Total           4         24         28           6         19         25           7         39         46           0         33         33           0         33         33           2         36         38           2         24         26           2         24         26           2         36         38           2         20         22           23         228         251	Males         Females         Total           4         24         28           6         19         25           7         39         46           0         33         33           0         33         33           2         36         38           2         24         26           2         20         22           23         228         251	Count of BeneficiariesCurrentMalesFemalesTotalMales42428 $\$$ 1,424619255,299739466,059033330033330236382,707224262,335220222,02823228251\$19,852	Count of BeneficiariesCurrent Monthly BerMalesFemalesTotalMalesFemales42428 $\$$ 1,424 $\$$ 18,888619255,29922,601739466,05952,12403333048,59903333046,984236382,70742,379224262,33520,697220222,02813,21823228251\$19,852\$265,490		







# SCHEDULE IV

# DEFERRED VESTED MEMBERS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016

	Cou	unt of Memb	pers	Exped	Expected Monthly Benefit				
Age	Males	<b>Females</b>	Total	Males	Females	Total			
Under 25	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0			
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0			
30-34	2	5	7	1,275	2,875	4,150			
35-39	3	2	5	3,729	1,508	5,237			
40-44	4	7	11	3,991	6,563	10,554			
45-49	12	5	17	10,614	5,669	16,283			
50-54	9	6	15	9,336	6,537	15,873			
55-59	7	11	18	7,443	12,972	20,415			
Over 59	2	2	4	2,490	1,006	3,496			
Total	39	38	77	\$38,878	\$37,130	\$76,008			



# SCHEDULE V

# DISABLED MEMBERS RECEIVING BENEFITS AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016

	Cou	ant of Memb	ers	Curr	Current Monthly Benefit				
Age	Males	Females	<u>Total</u>	Males	Females	<u>Total</u>			
Under 25	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0			
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0			
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0			
35-39	0	0	0	0	0	0			
40-44	3	1	4	5,639	2,052	7,691			
45-49	5	0	5	8,592	0	8,592			
50-54	12	1	13	22,682	1,319	24,001			
55-59	21	3	24	39,094	5,435	44,529			
Over 59	52	14	66	77,374	18,948	96,322			
Total	93	19	112	\$153,381	\$27,754	\$181,135			