

The experience and dedication you deserve



Seventy-First Annual Actuarial Report

OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

as of January 1, 2023





May 19, 2023

OSERS Board of Trustees Board of Education Omaha School Employees' Retirement System 3215 Cuming Street Omaha, NE 68131

Re: Seventy-First Annual Actuarial Report

Dear Board Members:

At your request, we have performed an actuarial valuation of the Omaha School Employees' Retirement System (OSERS) as of January 1, 2023. The major findings of the valuation are contained in this report, including the actuarial contribution rate and the additional School District contribution for the valuation year ending December 31, 2023. There have been no changes to the actuarial methods or benefit provisions from the prior valuation, but the set of economic assumptions has changed as scheduled (see page 1 of the Executive Summary).

In preparing this report, we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the System's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, member data and financial information. While we found this information to be reasonably consistent and comparable with information used in prior years, we did not audit the data. The valuation results depend on the integrity of this information. If any of this information is inaccurate or incomplete our results may be different and our calculations may need to be revised.

In order to prepare the results in this report, we have utilized actuarial models that were developed to measure liabilities and calculate actuarial costs. These models include tools that we have produced and tested, along with commercially available valuation software that we have reviewed to confirm the appropriateness and accuracy of the output. In utilizing these models, we develop and use input parameters and assumptions about future contingent events along with recognized actuarial approaches to develop the needed results. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in

OSERS Board of Trustees May 19, 2023 Page 2



plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our report, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements. The Board of Education has the final decision regarding the appropriateness of the assumptions and adopted them as indicated in Appendix C based on the experience study performed in 2021.

The actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of determining the actuarial contribution rate for the System, as specified in the Nebraska state statutes. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the System's funding requirements and goals. Determinations for purposes other than meeting these requirements may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes. For example, actuarial computations for purposes of fulfilling financial accounting requirements for the System under Governmental Accounting Standards No. 67 and No. 68 are presented in separate reports.

The consultants who worked on this assignment are pension actuaries. Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting's advice is not intended to be a substitute for qualified legal or accounting counsel.

This is to certify that the independent consulting actuaries have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems, that the valuation was prepared in accordance with principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board, and that the actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries in accordance with accepted actuarial procedures, based on the current provisions of the retirement system and on actuarial assumptions that are internally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System. We, Patrice A. Beckham, FSA and Bryan K. Hoge, FSA, are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. We are available to answer any questions on the material contained in this report or to provide explanations or further details as may be appropriate.

We herewith submit the following report and look forward to discussing it with you.

Respectfully Submitted,

Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting, LLC

Patrice A. Beckham, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA

Principal and Consulting Actuary

Patrice Beckham

Bryan K. Hoge, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA

Consulting Actuary



		<u>Page</u>
EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	1
EXHI	BITS	
1	Summary of Fund Activity (Market Value Basis)	15
2	Actuarial Value of Net Assets	16
3	Actuarial Balance Sheet	17
4	Normal Cost Rate	18
5	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	19
6	Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	20
7	Analysis of Contribution Rate	21
8	Projection of Additional District Contributions	22
9	Calculation of Actuarial Gain/(Loss)	23
10	Schedule of Contributions from the Employer and Other Contributing Entities	25
11	Schedule of Funding Progress	26
12	Solvency Test	27
13	Estimated Benefit Payments	28
14	Historical Asset Volatility Ratios	32
15	Historical Cash Flows	33
16	Liability Maturity Measurements	34
17	Comparison of Valuation Results under Alternate Investment Return Assumptions	35
APPE	NDICES	
Appe	ndix A - Historical Background	36
Appe	ndix B – Summary of Plan Provisions	47
Appe	ndix C - Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	51
Appe	ndix D - Membership Data	59



The primary purposes of performing the actuarial valuation are as follows:

- to calculate the actuarial required contribution (ARC) rate necessary to maintain the solvency of the System, as set out in the Board of Education's Funding Policy,
- to determine the additional School District contribution amount, if any, given the fixed statutory contribution rates for members, the School District (101% of members' contributions), and the State of Nebraska;
- to evaluate the funded status of the System and disclose various asset and liability measures as of the valuation date;
- to evaluate and disclose the key risks to funding the System pursuant to Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 51:
- to determine the actual versus expected experience of the System since the last valuation; and
- to analyze and report on trends in System contributions, assets, and liabilities over the past several years.

This report presents the results of the January 1, 2023 actuarial valuation of the Omaha School Employees' Retirement System (OSERS). The actuarial valuation results provide a "snapshot" view of the System's financial condition on January 1, 2023 based on the System's membership, benefit structure, and assets on that date. Key results are shown in the following table:

(\$ in millions)	January 1, 2023	January 1, 2022	Change
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	\$2,626.5	\$2,476.1	\$150.4
Actuarial Value of Assets	<u>1,592.0</u>	<u>1,562.8</u>	29.2
Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	\$1,034.5	\$913.3	\$121.2
Funded Ratio	60.61%	63.12%	(2.51%)
Total Actuarial Contribution Rate	28.82%	27.19%	1.63%
Statutory Contribution Rate	(21.66%)	(21.66%)	<u>0.00%</u>
Contribution Shortfall	7.16%	5.53%	1.63%
Projected Payroll	\$406.3	\$389.6	\$16.7
Additional District Contribution*	\$29.4	\$21.8	\$7.6

^{*} Contribution amount is calculated as of August 31

There have been no changes to the actuarial methods or benefit provisions from the prior valuation, but the set of economic assumptions has changed as scheduled. As a result of the 2021 quadrennial experience study, the set of economic assumptions was changed and the Board decided to phase in the changes over four years, beginning with the January 1, 2022 valuation:



	2022 Valuation	Current (2023 Valuation)	2024 Valuation	2025 Valuation
Price Inflation	2.70%	2.60%	2.55%	2.35%
Real Return	4.70%	4.70%	4.65%	4.65%
Investment Return	7.40%	7.30%	7.20%	7.00%
General Wage Inflation	3.20%	3.10%	3.05%	2.85%
Covered Payroll Growth	3.20%	3.10%	3.05%	2.85%

The impact on the January 1, 2023 valuation results due to the scheduled changes to the set of economic assumptions was an increase in the actuarial accrued liability of \$24.7 million and an increase in the actuarial required contribution rate of 0.54% of payroll. The continued phase-in of the economic assumptions is expected to increase both the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) and the additional required contribution by the District over the next two years, absent the impact of future favorable experience. To illustrate the impact on the valuation results, if the ultimate set of economic assumptions was fully recognized in this valuation, the UAAL would increase by \$79.6 million and the total actuarial contribution rate by 1.74% of pay. The resulting additional required District contribution would increase to \$36.5 million.

The valuation results reflect net unfavorable actuarial experience for the 2022 valuation year as demonstrated by an unfunded actuarial accrued liability that was larger than expected (called an actuarial loss), based on the results and assumptions of the prior valuation. The following factors contributed to the change in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability:

- The rate of return on the market value of assets during 2022 was -11.4%, less than the assumed rate of return for that period of 7.40%. Due to the use of an asset smoothing method, all of the 2022 investment experience is not reflected immediately in the valuation. As a result, the estimated return on the actuarial value of assets for 2022 was 3.5%, which increased the UAAL by \$60 million.
- There was also a net actuarial loss of \$42 million on liabilities, which increased the UAAL. The loss is primarily the result of actual salary increases significantly higher than expected. These large increases were the result of approved increases to special pay categories, as well as stipends paid to members.
- The additional District contribution for calendar year 2022 was about \$8 million more than the actuarially determined amount of \$21.8 million which decreased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.



Membership

Over the last decade, there have been multiple changes to the benefit structure for OSERS members although the employee contribution rate is 9.78% for all members. A summary of the key provision changes is set out in the table below:

Provision	Tier 1 (Pre July 1, 2013)	Tier 2 (July 1, 2013)	Tier 3 (July 1, 2016)	Tier 4 (July 1, 2018)
Final Average Compensation (FAC)	Average of highest 3 fiscal years	Average of highest 5 fiscal years	Average of highest 5 fiscal years	Average of highest 5 fiscal years
Benefit formula	2.0% * FAC * Years of Creditable Service	2.0% * FAC * Years of Creditable Service	2.0% * FAC * Years of Creditable Service	2.0% * FAC * Years of Creditable Service
Cost of Living Adjustment	Lesser of 1.5% and actual CPI	Lesser of 1.0% and actual CPI.	Lesser of 1.0% and actual CPI.	Lesser of 1.0% and actual CPI.
	Medical COLA starting 10 years after retirement	Medical COLA starting 10 years after retirement	No medical COLA	No medical COLA
Form of payment	5 years certain and life	5 years certain and life	5 years certain and life	5 years certain and life
Normal Retirement	35 Years of Service Age 65 and 5 Years of Omaha Service Age 62 and 10 Years of Service Rule of 85 (Min age of 55)	35 Years of Service Age 65 and 5 Years of Omaha Service Age 62 and 10 Years of Service Rule of 85 (Min age of 55)	Age 65 and 5 Years of Omaha Service Rule of 85 (Min age of 55)	Age 65 and 5 Years of Omaha Service Rule of 85 (Min age of 60)
State Service Annuity	\$3.50 * Years of Service	\$3.50 * Years of Service	No state service annuity	No state service annuity

Each benefit tier has a slightly lower cost than the prior tier as evidenced in a lower normal cost rate (see Exhibit 4). Over time, as current active members covered by Tiers 1 through 3 leave covered employment and are replaced by Tier 4 members the cost of the System is expected to decrease slightly. However, it is expected to take another 10 to 15 years before the impact on the valuation results is material.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following table summarizes the System's membership, by group, in the current and prior valuation. The active member count decreased from 7,086 to 6,712 (5.3%) and the number of members receiving a benefit increased from 5,238 to 5,340 (1.9%). Despite the decrease in the total active membership, the total projected payroll increased by 4.3% from \$389.6 million in the January 1, 2022 valuation to \$406.3 million in the current valuation due to larger increases to individual salaries than expected.

SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP	Jan. 1, 2023	Jan. 1, 2022	% Chg
1. Active Members			
a. Certificated			
(1) Tier 1	2,026	2,422	(16.4)
(2) Tier 2	486	619	(21.5)
(3) Tier 3	348	453	(23.2)
(4) Tier 4	<u>1,114</u>	<u>1,190</u>	(6.4)
(5) Total	3,974	4,684	(15.2)
b. Classified			
(1) Tier 1	920	892	3.1
(2) Tier 2	291	278	4.7
(3) Tier 3	231	238	(2.9)
(4) Tier 4	<u>1,296</u>	<u>994</u>	30.4
(5) Total	2,738	2,402	14.0
c. Total Active Members			
(1) Tier 1	2,946	3,314	(11.1)
(2) Tier 2	777	897	(13.4)
(3) Tier 3	579	691	(16.2)
(4) Tier 4	<u>2,410</u>	<u>2,184</u>	10.3
(5) Total	6,712	7,086	(5.3)
2. Retirees and Disabled Members	5,056	4,954	2.1
3. Beneficiaries	284	284	0.0
4. Inactive Vested Members	1,539	1,361	13.1
5. Nonvested Terminations	1,476	1,152	28.1
6. Total	15,067	14,837	1.6



Assets

As of January 1, 2023, the System had total assets of \$1.412 billion measured on a market value basis. This was a decrease of \$214 million from the prior valuation and represents an annualized net rate of return, as provided by the Nebraska Investment Council, of -11.4%.

The market value of assets is not used directly in the calculation of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) and actuarial contribution rate. An asset valuation method, which smooths the effect of market fluctuations, is used to determine the value of assets used in the valuation. This amount, called the "actuarial value of assets", is equal to the expected asset value, based on the actuarial value in the prior valuation and the assumed investment return of 7.4% for 2022, plus 25% of the difference between the actual market value and the expected asset value. The resulting value must be no less than 80% of market value and no more than 120% of market value (referred to as a "corridor"). The corridor did not apply this year as the actuarial value of assets was 113% of market value. The actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2023 was \$1.592 billion, an increase of \$29 million from the prior year. The components of change in the actuarial and market values of assets from January 1, 2022 to January 1, 2023 are shown in the following table.


	Asset Values (\$M)		
	Market	Actuarial	
Net Assets, as of January 1, 2022	\$1,626.0	\$1,562.8	
Adjustment for Late Reporting	0.0	0.0	
Adjusted Net Assets, as of January 1, 2022	\$1,626.0	\$1,562.8	
District, State and Member Contributions	122.3	122.3	
Benefits Payments and Refunds	(147.6)	(147.6)	
Investment Return, Net of Expenses	(188.6)	<u>54.5</u>	
Preliminary Assets, January 1, 2022	\$1,412.1	\$1,592.0	
Application of Corridor	N/A	0.0	
Final Assets, as of January 1, 2023	\$1,412.1	\$1,592.0	

The dollar-weighted annualized rate of return, net of investment and administrative expenses, measured on the actuarial value of assets was approximately 3.5%. A comparison of asset values on both the market and actuarial basis in recent valuations is shown below:


	1/1/2019	1/1/2020	1/1/2021	1/1/2022	1/1/2023
Market Value of Assets	\$1,194	\$1,324	\$1,405	\$1,626	\$1,412
Actuarial Value of Assets	1,379	1,418	1,468	1,563	1,592
Actuarial Value/ Market Value	115%	107%	104%	96%	113%



Due to the unfavorable investment performance during calendar year 2022, the System has a deferred asset loss (actuarial value of assets exceeds the market value). Absent favorable investment experience in future years to offset the recognition of this deferred loss, it will work through the asset smoothing method and decrease the System's funded ratio and increase the actuarial contribution rate over time. The recognition of the deferred investment loss in future years would be expected to increase the additional School District contributions as well if no other changes were made.



With the use of an asset smoothing method, the actuarial value is expected to be both above and below the market value of assets over a long period of time. However, for most of this period, the actuarial value of assets has exceeded the market value of assets.



The historical estimated rate of return on both the actuarial and market value of assets is shown in this graph. The asset smoothing method mitigates the volatility of market value returns as shown in the rates of return on the actuarial versus market value of assets.

Liabilities

The actuarial accrued liability is that portion of the present value of future benefits that will not be paid by future employer normal costs or member contributions. The difference between this liability and asset values at the same date is referred to as the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability will be reduced if the employer's contributions exceed the employer's normal cost for the year, after allowing for interest earned on the prior balance of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Benefit improvements, experience gains and losses, and changes in actuarial assumptions and methods also impact the total actuarial accrued liability and the unfunded portion thereof.



The unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2023 is shown below:

Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$ 2,626,546,000
Actuarial Value of Assets	 1,591,983,000
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$ 1,034,563,000

Numerous factors contributed to the change in the System's UAAL during the 2022 plan year. The components are examined in the following discussion.

Actuarial gains (or losses) result from actual experience that is more (or less) favorable than anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions. These "experience" (or actuarial) gains or losses are reflected in the UAAL and are measured as the difference between the expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability and the actual unfunded actuarial accrued liability, taking into account any changes due to assumption, method or benefit provision changes. Overall, the System experienced an actuarial loss of \$101.7 million. The investment return on the actuarial value of assets of 3.5% was lower than the assumed return of 7.4% for 2022, resulting in an actuarial loss of \$59.9 million. There was also a net actuarial loss of \$41.8 million on the actuarial accrued liability. The largest source of unfavorable liability experience was larger salary increases than expected by the actuarial assumptions. Exhibit 9 shows a breakdown of the various sources of liability experience during the 2022 plan year.

The change in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability between January 1, 2022 and January 1, 2023 is shown in the following table (in millions):


Change in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$M)	
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, January 1, 2022	\$913
Expected Change in UAAL	
- Amortization Method	10
- Contributions greater than the actuarial required contribution	(8)
Investment Experience	60
Liability Experience	42
Assumption Changes	25
Other Experience	<u>(7)</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, January 1, 2023	\$1,035

An evaluation of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability on a pure-dollar basis may not provide a complete analysis since only the difference between the assets and liabilities (which are both large numbers) is reflected. Another way to evaluate the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and the progress made in its funding is to track the funded status, the ratio of the actuarial value of assets to the actuarial accrued liability. Note that the funded ratio does not necessarily indicate whether or not additional funding is needed, nor does it indicate whether or not the plan has sufficient funds to settle all current obligations.



The funded status of OSERS is shown below (in millions):

	1/1/18	1/1/19	1/1/20	1/1/21	1/1/22	1/1/23
Using Actuarial Value of Assets:						
Funded Ratio (AVA/AAL)	64%	63%	63%	62%	63%	61%
Unfunded AAL (AAL - AVA)	\$771	\$814	\$848	\$914	\$913	\$1,035
Using Market Value of Assets:						
Funded Ratio (MVA/AAL)	58%	54%	58%	59%	66%	54%
Unfunded AAL (AAL - MVA)	\$902	\$999	\$942	\$976	\$850	\$1,214



Changes in actuarial assumptions and methods, coupled with investment returns below the assumed rate and contributions below the actuarial rate significantly reduced the funded ratio over much of this period. However, with the Board's current funding policy and the statutory requirement for the full actuarial contribution to be made, the funded ratio is expected to increase in the future, assuming all assumptions are met.

Contributions

The actuarial contribution rate for the System consists of:

- a "normal cost" for the portion of projected liabilities allocated by the actuarial cost method to service of members during the year following the valuation date,
- an "administrative expense contribution rate" for the expenses expected to be paid from the trust during the year following the valuation date,
- an "unfunded actuarial accrued liability contribution" for the excess of the portion of projected liabilities allocated to service to date over the actuarial value of assets.

The actuarial contribution rate is computed based on the Board of Education's Funding Policy. On that basis, the actuarial contribution rate (Item 4 in the following table) is equal to the sum of the normal cost rate, the administrative expense rate, and the amortization payment on the UAAL. Effective with the January 1, 2017 valuation, OSERS began to amortize the UAAL using a "layered" approach. Under this method, the UAAL is split into pieces or layers; the initial or legacy UAAL was amortized, as a level-percent of payroll, over a closed 30-year period that began with the September 1, 2013 valuation (27 years remained as of the January 1, 2017 valuation). All ensuing UAAL bases were to be amortized, as a level-percent of payroll, over a new 25-year period commencing on the respective valuation date. At the March 6, 2019 meeting, the OSERS Board of Trustees modified the System's Funding Policy to reset the legacy



amortization base to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of January 1, 2019 with payments calculated as a level percentage of payroll over a closed 30-year period. New layers of UAAL that occurred in the future were also to be amortized over new 30-year periods. As a result of the quadrennial experience study performed in 2021, effective with the January 1, 2022 valuation, new amortization bases will be amortized as a level-percent of pay over a closed 25-year period.

The actuarial contribution rate for the plan year ending December 31, 2023, and the resulting additional School District contribution, is computed based on the January 1, 2023 actuarial valuation. The ongoing, fixed contributions to the System are set by state statute and are shown below in item 5, "Statutory Contribution Rate". They include the member contribution rate of 9.78%, the State contribution rate of 2.00%, and the School District contribution rate which is 101% of the member contribution rate.

Based on the results of this valuation, the District's additional contribution for the 2023 plan year is 7.16%, or \$29.4 million, as shown in the table below:

	Actuarial Valuation		
Contribution Rate	1/1/2023	1/1/2022	
1. Normal Cost	12.61%	12.59%	
2. Administrative Expenses	0.24%	0.24%	
3. UAAL Contribution	<u>15.97%</u>	<u>14.36%</u>	
4. Total Actuarial Contribution Rate	28.82%	27.19%	
5. Statutory Contribution Rate	21.66%	21.66%	
6. Contribution Shortfall / (Margin) (4)-(5)	7.16%	5.53%	
7. Additional District Contribution (\$M)	\$29.4	\$21.8	

Various factors resulted in a net increase in the actuarial contribution rate from the prior valuation. Overall, the total contribution rate has increased by 1.63%, as shown in the following table.

Total Actuarial Contribution Rate	
Total Contribution Rate as of January 1, 2022	27.19%
Contributions Different Than Actuarial Rate	(0.12%)
Investment Experience	0.95%
Liability Experience	0.66%
Change in Normal Cost Rate	(0.12%)
Payroll Growth Different Than Expected	(0.18%)
Assumption Changes	0.54%
Other Experience	(0.10%)
Total Contribution Rate as of January 1, 2023	28.82%



The difference in the actuarial contribution rate and the statutory contribution rate results in a contribution shortfall for 2023 of 7.16% of covered payroll, or \$29.4 million. Due to the unfavorable investment experience on the market value of assets for the year ending December 31, 2022, the \$63.3 million of deferred investment gain in the prior valuation has been eliminated and a deferred investment loss of \$179.8 million currently exists (actuarial value exceeds the market value of assets). Absent favorable investment experience in future years to offset the recognition of the deferred investment loss, the actuarial contribution rate is expected to increase as the deferred investment experience is reflected through the asset smoothing method. If this occurs, the System's funded status is expected to decrease and the actuarial contribution rate shortfall is expected to increase. The following table illustrates the impact of the deferred investment experience and the phase-in of the economic assumptions on the District's additional contribution, if all assumptions are met in the future (\$ in millions):

		Actuarial	Member			District
Year Ended	Total	Recommended	and State	District	District	Additional
December 31,	Payroll	Contribution	Statutory	Statutory	Additional	(August 31)
2023	\$406.3	28.82%	11.78%	9.88%	7.16%	\$29.4
2024	419.5	30.02%	11.78%	9.88%	8.36%	35.5
2025	430.7	31.62%	11.78%	9.88%	9.96%	43.4
2026	443.4	31.95%	11.78%	9.88%	10.29%	46.1
2027	456.0	32.23%	11.78%	9.88%	10.57%	48.7
2028	468.7	32.43%	11.78%	9.88%	10.77%	51.1
2029	481.9	32.56%	11.78%	9.88%	10.90%	53.1

Favorable/unfavorable experience such as future investment returns above/below the assumed rate of return will decrease/increase the amount of the additional District Contribution.

Comments

The System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability increased from \$913.3 million in the January 1, 2022 valuation to \$1,034.6 million in the January 1, 2023 actuarial valuation, and the funded ratio decreased from 63% to 61%. Net unfavorable experience occurred during the 2022 valuation year, the result of a \$59.9 million actuarial loss on assets and a \$41.8 million net actuarial loss on liabilities. This experience increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and the payment thereon. The additional contribution made by the School District in 2022 was \$29.5 million, \$7.7 million higher than the additional actuarial contribution of \$21.8 million. The higher contribution by the District served to decrease the unfunded actuarial accrued liability more quickly than scheduled by the System's funding policy.

The Nebraska statutes provide that the School District shall contribute the greater of (a) one hundred and one percent of the contributions made by the employees or (b) such amount as may be necessary to maintain the solvency of the System, as determined annually by the Board of Education upon recommendation of the actuary. The Board of Education has adopted a Funding Policy that sets the criteria for determining the contribution amount necessary to maintain the solvency of the System. On this basis, the Actuarial Contribution Rate for the valuation year ending December 31, 2023 is 28.82% of payroll. The total of contributions expected to be paid by members, the State, and the School District for valuation year ending December 31, 2023 is 21.66% of payroll, so the actuarial contribution rate for 2023



exceeds the statutory contribution rates by 7.16% of payroll, or \$29.4 million. This contribution shortfall of \$29.4 million represents the additional required contribution by the School District needed for the 2023 plan year. Given the System's current funded status, the additional District contribution is expected to be needed for many years.

The deferred investment loss (actuarial value less market value of assets) is \$179.8 million as of January 1, 2023. Absent favorable investment experience in future years, the deferred investment loss will eventually be reflected in the actuarial value of assets in future years. While the use of an asset smoothing method is common for public retirement systems, it is important to identify the potential impact of the deferred investment experience. This is accomplished by comparing the key valuation results using both the actuarial and market value of assets:

	Using Actuarial Value of Assets	Using Market Value of Assets
	Φο (ο (τ (ο ο ο ο	Φ 2 62.6 7.46 00.0
Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$2,626,546,000	\$2,626,546,000
Asset Value	<u>1,591,983,000</u>	<u>1,412,144,000</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$1,034,563,000	\$1,214,402,000
Funded Ratio	60.61%	53.76%
Normal Cost Rate	12.61%	12.61%
Administrative Expense Rate	0.24%	0.24%
UAAL Contribution Rate	<u>15.97%</u>	<u>18.82%</u>
Actuarial Contribution Rate	28.82%	31.67%
Total Statutory Contribution Rate	<u>(21.66%)</u>	<u>(21.66%)</u>
Contribution Shortfall	7.16%	10.01%
Additional District Contribution	\$29,432,198	\$41,147,529

A typical retirement plan faces many different risks. The term "risk" is most commonly associated with an outcome with undesirable results. However, in the actuarial world risk can be translated as uncertainty. The actuarial valuation process uses many actuarial assumptions to project how future contributions and investment returns will meet the cash flow needs for future benefit payments. Of course, we know that actual experience will not unfold exactly as anticipated by the assumptions and that uncertainty, whether favorable or unfavorable, creates risk. Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 51 defines risk as the potential of actual future measurements to deviate from expected results due to actual experience that is different than the actuarial assumptions. Risk evaluation is an important part of managing a defined benefit plan. Please see the Risk Considerations section of this report for an in-depth discussion of the specific risks facing OSERS.

We conclude this executive summary by presenting comparative statistics and actuarial information from both the January 1, 2022 and January 1, 2023 valuations.



	Jan. 1, 2023	Jan. 1, 2022	% Chg
SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP			
Active Membership Number of Members Projected Payroll for Upcoming Fiscal Year Average Projected Salary	6,712	7,086	(5.3)
	\$406.3M	\$389.6M	4.3
	60,528	54,980	10.1
 2. Inactive Membership Number Not in Pay Status Number of Retirees/Beneficiaries/Disableds Total Annual Benefits in Pay 	3,015	2,513	20.0
	5,340	5,238	1.9
	\$144.9M	\$140.2M	3.4
ASSETS AND LIABILITIES			
Net Assets Market Value Actuarial Value	\$1,412M	\$1,626M	(13.2)
	1,592M	1,563M	1.9
 2. Projected Liabilities - Retired Members - Inactive Members - Active Members - Total Liability 	\$1,506M	\$1,459M	3.2
	91M	70M	30.0
	<u>1,484M</u>	<u>1,386M</u>	7.1
	3,081M	2,915M	5.7
3. Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	\$2,627M	\$2,476M	6.1
4. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$1,035M	\$913M	13.4
5. Funded Ratio a. Actuarial Value Assets/AAL b. Market Value Assets/AAL	60.61%	63.12%	(4.0)
	53.76%	65.67%	(18.1)
SYSTEM CONTRIBUTIONS 1. Total Actuarial Contribution Rate	28.82%	27.19%	6.0
Statutory Contribution Rate a. Member Contribution Rate b. Employer Contribution Rate c. State Contribution Rate d. Total	9.78%	9.78%	0.0
	9.88%	9.88%	0.0
	<u>2.00%</u>	<u>2.00%</u>	0.0
	21.66%	21.66%	0.0
3. Contribution Shortfall/(Margin) (1.) - (2.d.)4. Additional District Contribution*	7.16%	5.53%	29.5
	\$29,432,198	\$21,801,926	35.0

M = (\$)Millions

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

^{*} Contribution amount is calculated as of August 31



HISTORICAL CHANGES IN THE OSERS UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY

(dollars in millions)

_	Valuation Date											
	9/1/03	9/1/04	9/1/05	9/1/06	9/1/07	9/1/08	9/1/09	9/1/10	9/1/11	9/1/12	9/1/13	9/1/14
Prior Valuation UAAL	163	191	223	240	246	138	198	349	390	406	437	455
Amortization Method	4	5	6	7	5	3	4	6	2	8	9	10
Actual Contributions												
Less than ARC	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	2	4	0	2	0
More than ARC	0	0	0	(2)	0	(7)	(2)	0	0	(4)	0	(4)
Actual vs Expected Experience												
Investment	27	23	1	(10)	(29)	33	151	42	26	20	12	(6)
Salary	(5)	(6)	(1)	4	1	1	0	(13)	(15)	(12)	(6)	(8)
Retirement	3	0	3	2	2	3	(2)	(4)	(1)	4	4	6
Mortality	2	5	4	3	3	1	(2)	0	(2)	2	(2)	(1)
Termination of Employment	(4)	(1)	2	3	1	7	2	3	2	0	1	(1)
Other	1	3	0	(1)	(3)	(1)	0	0	0	13	(8)	(5)
Benefit Changes	0	0	0	0	$(3)^2$	0	0	0	0	0	(4)	0
Assumption Changes	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	10	0
Change to Actuarial Methods	0	31	0	0	$(88)^3$	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Data Refinement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Change for Year End	28	32	17	6	(108)	60	151	41	16	31	18	(9)
UAAL on Valuation Date	191	223	240	246	138	198	349	390	406	437	455	446

¹Included part-time members who are vested

²Increase in member contribution rate

³Actuarial asset value reset to market value



HISTORICAL CHANGES IN THE OSERS UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY (CONT.)

(dollars in millions)

					Valu	ation Date			
- -	9/1/15	1/1/17	1/1/18	1/1/19	1/1/20	1/1/21	1/1/22	1/1/23	Total
Prior Valuation UAAL	446	486	713	771	814	848	914	913	
Amortization Method	9	12	7	7	12	11	11	10	148
Actual Contributions									
Less than ARC	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	16
More than ARC	(5)	(4)	0	0	(3)	(2)	(2)	(8)	(43)
Actual vs Expected Experience									
Investment	34	63	44	62	31	21	(21)	60	584
Salary	(3)	*	3	(29)	(12)	(10)	19	62	(30)
Retirement	9	*	7	6	8	8	5	3	66
Mortality	2	*	(1)	6	6	(4)	(1)	(3)	18
Termination of Employment	(2)	*	(1)	(6)	(8)	(5)	(13)	(23)	(43)
Other	(4)	(6)	(4)	(3)	0	(2)	2	(4)	(22)
Benefit Changes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(7)
Assumption Changes	0	138	0	0	0	0	0	25	193
Change to Actuarial Methods	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(80)
Data Refinement	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	0	49
Total Change for Year End	40	227*	58	43	34	66	(1)	122	
UAAL on Valuation Date	486	713	771	814	848	914	913	1,035	

^{*} Not calculated. Total liability experience was a \$24 million loss, which is included in the total change at year end.

Note: Although a total column is shown, the amounts in each year are not additive because they are calculated on each valuation date and, therefore, represent a value at a different point in time.



SUMMARY OF FUND ACTIVITY (Market Value Basis)

For Period Ended December 31, 2022

NET ASSETS ON JANUARY 1, 2022	\$ 1,626,049,000
ADJUSTMENT FOR LATE REPORTING*	0
ADJUSTED NET ASSETS ON JANUARY 1, 2022	\$ 1,626,049,000
<u>ADDITIONS</u>	
Salary deductions	\$ 40,470,000
School District payroll-related contributions	40,875,000
School District additional contributions	29,483,000
Purchases of service	1,043,000
State service annuity receipts	2,905,000
Sec. 79-916 deposits	7,534,000
Income from investments, including realized and unrealized gains	(186,486,000)
Total additions	\$ (64,176,000)
<u>DEDUCTIONS</u>	
Retirement benefits	\$ (141,940,000)
Refunds to employees	(4,753,000)
Investment fees	(2,100,000)
Administrative expenses	(314,000)
Other	(132,000)
Personnel costs	(490,000)
Total deductions	\$ (149,729,000)
NET ASSETS ON JANUARY 1, 2023*	\$ 1,412,144,000

^{*} As provided by the Nebraska Investment Council (NIC). Please note that December 31 statements for a few of OSERS' investment managers are typically not available when the NIC investment reports are prepared. If that is the case, it is necessary for the NIC to subsequently adjust the market values in their reports to account for the late data. These adjustments, if any, are shown as an "adjustment for late reporting" in this exhibit.



ACTUARIAL VALUE OF NET ASSETS

As of January 1, 2023

1. Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2022	\$	1,562,787,000
2. Adjustment for Late Reporting		0
3. Adjusted Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2022	\$	1,562,787,000
 4. Actual Contributions/Disbursements a. Contributions b. Benefit payments and administrative expenses c. Net change 	\$ 	122,310,000 (147,629,000) (25,319,000)
5. Expected Value of Assets as of January 1, 2023	\$	1,651,929,000
6. Market Value of Assets as of January 1, 2023	\$	1,412,144,000
 7. Difference between Market and Expected Values (6) – (5) 	\$	(239,785,000)
8. Initial Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2023 (5) + [(7) x 25%]	\$	1,591,983,000
 9. Corridor as of January 1, 2023 a. 120% of Market Value of Assets as of January 1, 2023 b. 80% of Market Value of Assets as of January 1, 2023 	\$ \$	1,694,573,000 1,129,715,000
10. Final Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2023*(8), but not greater than (9a), nor less than (9b)	\$	1,591,983,000
11. Actuarial value divided by market value (10) / (6)		112.7%
12. Market value less actuarial value	\$	(179,839,000)

^{*} The estimated annual rate of return on the actuarial value of assets during 2022 is about 3.5%.



ACTUARIAL BALANCE SHEET

As of January 1, 2023

ASSETS

Total Assets	\$	3,080,921,000
Present Value of Future Normal Costs	_	454,375,000
Present Value of Contributions for Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability		1,034,563,000
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$	1,591,983,000

LIABILITIES

Retirees, Beneficiaries, and Disableds	\$ 1,506,213,000
Inactive Vesteds	82,113,000
Nonvested Terminations	8,924,000
Active Members	

Retirement benefits	\$ 1,405,507,000
Termination benefits	67,753,000
Death benefits	10,411,000

1,483,671,000

Total Liabilities \$ 3,080,921,000



NORMAL COST RATE

As of January 1, 2023

	<u>Tier 1</u>	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	<u>Total</u>
1. Normal Cost Amount					
a. Retirement	\$21,717,984	\$4,434,407	\$2,945,029	\$9,946,959	\$39,044,379
b. Termination	4,374,797	908,876	584,522	2,088,906	7,957,101
c. Mortality	<u>218,571</u>	<u>45,775</u>	33,310	<u>130,177</u>	427,833
e. Total	\$26,311,352	\$5,389,058	\$3,562,861	\$12,166,042	\$47,429,313
2. Expected Payroll for					
Current Actives During 2023	\$200,981,942	\$42,159,965	\$29,029,316	\$103,814,928	\$375,986,151
3. Normal Cost Rate (1.e.) ÷ (2)	13.09%	12.78%	12.27%	11.72%	12.61%



UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY

As of January 1, 2023

1. Present Value of Future Benefits	\$	3,080,921,000
2. Present Value of Future Normal Costs	\$_	454,375,000
 Actuarial Accrued Liability (1) – (2) 	\$	2,626,546,000
4. Actuarial Value of Assets	\$_	1,591,983,000
5. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (3) – (4)	\$	1,034,563,000



AMORTIZATION OF THE UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY (UAAL)

Effective with the January 1, 2017 valuation, OSERS began to amortize the UAAL using a "layered" approach. Under this method, the UAAL is split into pieces or layers; the initial or legacy UAAL was amortized, as a level-percent of payroll, over a closed 30-year period that began with the September 1, 2013 valuation (27 years remained as of the January 1, 2017 valuation). All ensuing UAAL bases were to be amortized, as a level-percent of payroll, over a new 25-year period commencing on the respective valuation date. At the March 6, 2019 meeting, the OSERS Board of Trustees modified the System's Funding Policy to reset the legacy amortization base to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of January 1, 2019 with payments calculated as a level percentage of payroll over a closed 30-year period. New layers of UAAL that occur in the future are also amortized over new 30-year periods. As a result of the quadrennial experience study performed in 2021, effective with the January 1, 2022 valuation, future bases will be amortized, as a level-percent of pay, over a closed 25-year period.

Amortization Bases	Original Amount	1/1/2023 Remaining Payments	Date of Last Payment	Outstanding Balance as of 1/1/2023	(Annual Contribution*
2019 UAAL Base	\$ 814,069,000	26	1/1/2048	\$ 855,810,324	\$	53,723,622
2020 Experience Base	21,863,793	27	1/1/2049	22,736,086		1,397,276
2021 Experience Base	54,475,149	28	1/1/2050	55,965,694		3,371,390
2022 Assumption Change Base	130,000	24	1/1/2046	130,969		8,614
2022 Experience Base	(11,662,141)	24	1/1/2046	(11,749,043)		(772,769)
2023 Assumption Change Base	24,662,000	25	1/1/2047	24,662,000		1,583,527
2023 Experience Base	87,006,970	25	1/1/2047	87,006,970		5,586,646
Total				\$ 1,034,563,000	\$	64,898,306

^{*} Contribution amount reflects mid-year timing.

1. Total UAAL Amortization Payments

\$ 64,898,306

2. Projected Payroll for plan year ending December 31, 2023

\$ 406,265,297

3. UAAL Amortization Payment Rate

15.97%



ANALYSIS OF CONTRIBUTION RATE

The System is financed by contributions from the members, the School District and the State. Effective September 1, 2013, the members contribute 9.78% of pay. The District is obligated to pay the greater of (a) one hundred and one percent of the member contributions or (b) such amount as may be necessary to maintain the solvency of the System. Under the Funding Policy adopted by the Board in May, 2013, the Actuarial Recommended Contribution rate (ARC) is the normal cost rate plus the contribution necessary to amortize the UAAL. Effective July 1, 2014, the State of Nebraska contributes 2.0% of pay.

1. Normal Cost Rate	12.61%
2. Administrative Expenses	0.24%
3. UAAL Contribution Rate	15.97%
4. Actuarial Recommended Contribution Rate (1) + (2) + (3)	28.82%
5. Statutory Contribution Rate:	
(a) Member	9.78%
(b) District	9.88%
(c) State	2.00%
(d) Total	21.66%
6. Contribution Shortfall	7.16%
(4) - (5d)	
7. Additional District Contribution at August 31, 2023 (6) * \$406,265,297 * (1.073 ^ (2/12))	\$ 29,432,198



PROJECTION OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS

The projections below are based on the open group projection model prepared in conjunction with the January 1, 2023 actuarial valuation. It is assumed that all actuarial assumptions are met each year in the future, including the assumed rate of return on the market value of assets. The projections also assume the number of active members remains constant in the future. To the extent actual experience differs from that assumed, the actual valuation results in future years will also differ and the additional contribution required by the District will vary from the amounts shown below. The projections are not intended to predict the specific amount of the additional District contributions in the future, but rather to indicate the general trend and magnitude of such contributions if the actuarial assumptions are met.

	Actuarial	Member			District
Total	Recommended	and State	District	District	Additional
Payroll	Contribution	Statutory	Statutory	Additional	(August 31)
\$406,265,297	28.82%	11.78%	9.88%	7.16%	\$29,432,198
419,534,405	30.02%	11.78%	9.88%	8.36%	35,481,856
430,706,120	31.60%	11.78%	9.88%	9.94%	43,297,690
443,475,655	31.94%	11.78%	9.88%	10.28%	46,106,292
456,024,284	32.21%	11.78%	9.88%	10.55%	48,656,148
468,748,990	32.41%	11.78%	9.88%	10.75%	50,961,958
481,895,962	32.53%	11.78%	9.88%	10.87%	52,976,118
	Payroll \$406,265,297 419,534,405 430,706,120 443,475,655 456,024,284 468,748,990	Total PayrollRecommended Contribution\$406,265,29728.82%419,534,40530.02%430,706,12031.60%443,475,65531.94%456,024,28432.21%468,748,99032.41%	Total PayrollRecommended Contributionand State Statutory\$406,265,29728.82%11.78%419,534,40530.02%11.78%430,706,12031.60%11.78%443,475,65531.94%11.78%456,024,28432.21%11.78%468,748,99032.41%11.78%	Total Payroll Recommended Contribution and State Statutory District Statutory \$406,265,297 28.82% 11.78% 9.88% 419,534,405 30.02% 11.78% 9.88% 430,706,120 31.60% 11.78% 9.88% 443,475,655 31.94% 11.78% 9.88% 456,024,284 32.21% 11.78% 9.88% 468,748,990 32.41% 11.78% 9.88%	Total Payroll Recommended Contribution and State Statutory District District Additional \$406,265,297 28.82% 11.78% 9.88% 7.16% 419,534,405 30.02% 11.78% 9.88% 8.36% 430,706,120 31.60% 11.78% 9.88% 9.94% 443,475,655 31.94% 11.78% 9.88% 10.28% 456,024,284 32.21% 11.78% 9.88% 10.55% 468,748,990 32.41% 11.78% 9.88% 10.75%

Favorable/unfavorable experience such as future investment returns above/below the assumed rate of return will decrease/increase the amount of the additional District Contribution.



CALCULATION OF ACTUARIAL GAIN/(LOSS)

The overall actuarial gain/(loss) is comprised of both a liability gain/(loss) and an actuarial asset gain/(loss). Each of these represents the difference between the expected and actual values as of January 1, 2023.

1.	Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability		
	a. Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2022	\$	2,476,073,000
	b. Normal Cost for 2022		45,377,000
	c. Benefit payments during 2022		(146,693,000)
	d. Additional liability for state service annuities		
	and service purchases		3,948,000
	e. Interest on a., b., c., and d. to end of year		181,400,000
	f. Assumption changes		24,662,000
	g. Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$	2,584,767,000
2.	Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2023	\$	2,626,546,000
3.	Liability Gain/(Loss)	\$	(41,779,000)
	(1.g.) - (2)		
4.	Liability Gain/(Loss) as a Percent of Actuarial Accrued Liability		(1.59%)
5.	Expected Actuarial Value of Assets		
	a. Adjusted actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2022	\$	1,562,787,000
	b. Contributions during 2022		122,310,000
	(including state service annuities and service purchases)		
	c. Benefit payments and administrative expenses during 2022		(147,629,000)
	d. Interest on a., b., and c. to end of year	_	114,461,000
	e. Expected actuarial value of assets	\$	1,651,929,000
6.	Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2023	\$	1,591,983,000
7.	Asset Gain/(Loss)	\$	(59,946,000)
	(6) - (5.e.)		
8.	Asset Gain/(Loss) as a Percent of Actuarial Value of Assets		(3.77%)
9.	Overall Actuarial Gain/(Loss)	\$	(101,725,000)
	(3) + (7)		



Gain/(Loss) By Source

The System experienced a net actuarial loss on liabilities of \$41.8 million during the plan year ended December 31, 2022. The major components of this overall loss are shown below:

Liability Sources	<u>\$1</u>	<u> Millions</u>
Salary Increases	\$	(61.6)
Mortality		2.6
Terminations		23.3
Retirements		(3.4)
Disability		0.0
New Entrants/Rehires		(3.3)
Miscellaneous		0.6
Total Liability Gain/(Loss)	\$	(41.8)
Asset Gain/(Loss)	\$	(59.9)
Net Actuarial Gain/(Loss)	\$	(101.7)

Comments

The purpose of conducting an actuarial valuation of a retirement system is to determine the costs and liabilities for the benefits under the system, to determine the annual level of contribution required to support these benefits and, finally, to analyze the system's overall experience as it compares with the actuarial assumptions used in the valuation. The costs and liabilities of a retirement system reported in the valuation depend not only upon the level of benefits provided, but also upon factors such as investment return on invested funds, mortality rates for active and retired members, withdrawal rates among active members, rates at which salaries increase, and rates of retirement for ages at which members retire. The actuarial assumptions employed as to these and other contingencies in the current valuation are set forth in Appendix C of this report.

Net demographic actuarial experience for the year was a loss of \$41.8 million, about 1.6% of the actuarial accrued liability. The largest source of unfavorable experience was a \$61.6 million loss due to higher salary increases than expected for continuing active members. These large salary increases were the result of approved increases to special pay categories, as well as stipends paid to members.

Another significant contributor of negative experience for the year ending December 31, 2022 was the investment experience. The rate of return on the market value of assets during 2022 was -11.4%, which is significantly lower than the assumed 7.4% return during that period. Due to the asset smoothing method, the rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was 3.5% during 2022, resulting in an experience loss of \$59.9 million. As of January 1, 2023, there is a deferred investment loss of \$179.8 million. Absent favorable investment experience, the deferred loss will flow through the valuation over the next few years and increase both the UAAL and the actuarial contribution rate. Our analysis shows that a return of about 20.9% on the market value of assets would result in a 7.3% return on the actuarial value of assets and eliminate the deferred losses.



SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

HISTORICAL FUNDING INFORMATION

Year Ending	Annual Required Contribution (a)	Total Employer Contribution* (b)	Percentage of ARC Contribution (b) / (a)
8/31/2005	\$22,459,221	\$20,210,403	89.99%
8/31/2006	24,311,628	26,766,000	110.10%
8/31/2007	28,143,388	24,981,000	88.76%
8/31/2008	19,491,557	26,162,000	134.22%
8/31/2009	24,103,114	25,918,000	107.53%
8/31/2010	30,900,224	29,182,000	94.44%
8/31/2011	34,180,566	30,255,000	88.52%
8/31/2012	32,957,547	37,109,000	112.60%
8/31/2013	35,032,074	33,623,000	95.98%
8/31/2014	34,225,147	38,198,000	111.61%
8/31/2015	34,614,093	39,562,000	114.29%
8/31/2016	37,665,061	40,564,000	107.70%
12/31/2016**	12,836,281	13,861,000	107.98%
12/31/2017	57,941,493	55,145,000	95.17%
12/31/2018	63,111,681	63,112,000	100.00%
12/31/2019	61,699,371	64,755,000	104.95%
12/31/2020	63,114,251	64,646,000	102.43%
12/31/2021	67,216,627	69,162,000	102.89%
12/31/2022	70,210,926	77,892,000	110.94%

^{*} Includes State and School District contributions.

Note: The Total Employer Contribution for fiscal year ending 8/31/2014 was changed because during our work on the GASB reports, we discovered the Service Annuity contribution was different from what was initially reported to us. This figure now matches the number found in the GASB reports.

^{**} For the short Plan Year from September 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016.



SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a / b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b - a)/c]
9/1/2005	\$ 887,165,000	\$ 1,126,967,000	\$ 239,802,000	78.72%	\$ 231,708,783	103.49%
9/1/2006	948,938,000	1,195,354,000	246,416,000	79.39%	248,759,070	99.06%
9/1/2007	1,117,628,000 *	1,255,527,000	137,899,000	89.02%	272,844,149	50.54%
9/1/2008	1,149,289,000	1,346,999,000	197,710,000	85.32%	272,720,007	72.50%
9/1/2009	1,061,326,000	1,410,318,000	348,992,000	75.25%	287,770,291	121.27%
9/1/2010	1,078,269,000	1,467,850,000	389,581,000	73.46%	302,229,282	128.90%
9/1/2011	1,110,033,000	1,516,284,000	406,251,000	73.21%	310,228,916	130.95%
9/1/2012	1,155,495,000	1,592,738,000	437,243,000	72.55%	307,258,065	142.30%
9/1/2013	1,205,265,000	1,660,287,000	455,022,000	72.59%	313,946,237	144.94%
9/1/2014	1,277,546,000	1,723,970,000	446,424,000	74.10%	323,077,710	138.18%
9/1/2015	1,312,905,000	1,798,706,000	485,801,000	72.99%	333,166,135	145.81%
1/1/2017	1,337,983,000	2,050,581,000	712,598,000	65.25%	351,940,122 **	202.48%
1/1/2018	1,365,013,000	2,136,385,000	771,372,000	63.89%	359,359,507	214.65%
1/1/2019	1,378,824,000	2,192,893,000	814,069,000	62.88%	375,598,301	216.74%
1/1/2020	1,417,961,000	2,265,653,000	847,692,000	62.59%	364,799,331	232.37%
1/1/2021	1,467,834,000	2,381,356,000	913,522,000	61.64%	364,310,430	250.75%
1/1/2022	1,562,787,000	2,476,073,000	913,286,000	63.12%	381,926,844	239.13%
1/1/2023	1,591,983,000	2,626,546,000	1,034,563,000	60.61%	413,799,805	250.02%

^{*} The actuarial value of assets was reset to market value as of 9/1/2007.

^{**} Covered Payroll was annualized for the short Plan Year in 2016.



SOLVENCY TEST

A short-term solvency test, which is one method of determining a system's progress under its funding program, compares the plan's present assets with: 1) the liability for active member contributions on deposit; 2) the liability for future benefits to present retirees; and (3) the liability for service already rendered by active members. In a system that has been following the level-percent of payroll financing discipline, the obligation for active member contributions on deposit (Item 1) and the liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives (Item 2) will be fully covered by present assets with the exception of rare circumstances. The obligation for service already rendered by active members (Item 3) will be partially covered by the remainder of present assets. Absent any significant benefit changes, if the system has been using level cost financing, the funded portion of Item 3 usually will increase over a period of time.

Actuarial Valuation*	Active Member Contributions	Retirees, Beneficiaries, and Inactives	Active Members Employer Financed Portion	Actuarial Value of Assets	_	tion of Liabili vered by Ass	
	(1)	(2)	(3)		(1)	(2)	(3)
2012	\$249,903,000	\$955,399,000	\$387,436,000	\$1,155,495,000	100%	95%	0%
2013	272,347,000	1,001,953,000	385,987,000	1,205,265,000	100%	93%	0%
2014	281,672,000	1,058,156,000	384,142,000	1,277,546,000	100%	94%	0%
2015	292,731,000	1,129,399,000	376,576,000	1,312,905,000	100%	90%	0%
2017	306,276,000	1,266,557,000	477,748,000	1,337,983,000	100%	81%	0%
2018	316,337,000	1,311,949,000	508,099,000	1,365,013,000	100%	80%	0%
2019	326,524,000	1,356,615,000	509,754,000	1,378,824,000	100%	78%	0%
2020	334,253,000	1,414,441,000	516,959,000	1,417,961,000	100%	77%	0%
2021	338,589,000	1,465,905,000	576,862,000	1,467,834,000	100%	77%	0%
2022	338,431,000	1,529,040,000	608,602,000	1,562,787,000	100%	80%	0%
2023	344,721,000	1,597,250,000	684,575,000	1,591,983,000	100%	78%	0%

 $[\]boldsymbol{*}$ The actuarial valuation date for years prior to 2017 was September 1.



ESTIMATED BENEFIT PAYMENTS*

Year End	Year End Currently In-Pay		· ·		Total		
2023	\$144,217,000	\$ 6,357,000	\$150,574,000				
2024	143,879,000	11,485,000	155,364,000				
2025	143,383,000	16,966,000	160,349,000				
2026	142,567,000	23,002,000	165,569,000				
2027	141,385,000	29,558,000	170,943,000				
2028	139,990,000	36,633,000	176,623,000				
2029	138,480,000	44,220,000	182,700,000				
2030	136,839,000	52,359,000	189,198,000				
2031	135,040,000	60,929,000	195,969,000				
2032	133,093,000	69,931,000	203,024,000				
2033	130,507,000	79,396,000	209,903,000				
2034	127,617,000	89,638,000	217,255,000				
2035	124,158,000	100,454,000	224,612,000				
2036	120,775,000	111,439,000	232,214,000				
2037	117,059,000	122,757,000	239,816,000				

^{*}Amounts shown are the cash flows for current members only, based on the current benefit structure and assuming that all actuarial assumptions are met in each future year. To the extent that actual experience deviates from that expected, results will vary. Amounts are shown in future nominal dollars and have not been discounted to the valuation date.



RISK CONSIDERATIONS

Actuarial Standards of Practice are issued by the Actuarial Standards Board and are binding on credentialed actuaries practicing in the United States. These standards generally identify what the actuary should consider, document and disclose when performing an actuarial assignment. In September, 2017, Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 51, Assessment and Disclosure of Risk in Measuring Pension Obligations, (ASOP 51) was issued as final with application to measurement dates on or after November 1, 2018. This ASOP, which applies to funding valuations, actuarial projections, and actuarial cost studies of proposed plan changes, was first applicable for the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation for the Omaha School Employees' Retirement System (System).

A typical retirement plan faces many different risks. The term "risk" is most commonly associated with an outcome with undesirable results. However, in the actuarial world, risk can be translated as uncertainty. The actuarial valuation process uses many actuarial assumptions to project how future contributions and investment returns will meet the cash flow needs for future benefit payments. Of course, we know that actual experience will not unfold exactly as anticipated by the assumptions and that uncertainty, whether favorable or unfavorable, creates risk. ASOP 51 defines risk as the potential of actual future measurements to deviate from expected results due to actual experience that is different than the actuarial assumptions.

The various risk factors for a given plan can have a significant impact – positive or negative – on the actuarial projection of liability and contribution rates.

There are a number of risks inherent in the funding of any defined benefit plan. These include:


- economic risks, such as investment return and price inflation;
- demographic risks such as mortality, active membership size, payroll growth, aging population including impact of baby boomers, and retirement ages;
- contribution risk, i.e., the potential for contribution rates to be too high for the plan sponsor/employer to pay; and
- external risks such as the regulatory and political environment.

The last two risk are not required to be assessed by the actuary under ASOP 51.

In assessing the risks associated with funding a pension plan, it is important to realize that each retirement system is unique and may have different risks. This discussion is intended to identify and disclose the more significant risks to the funding of OSERS.

The biggest risk to any retirement system is the inability to pay benefits when they are due. That risk is minimized by the accumulation of assets in the System's trust. There is generally a direct correlation between healthy, well-funded retirement plans and consistent contributions equal to the full actuarial contribution each year. As the following graph illustrates, the School District has contributed at least the full actuarial required contribution in 10 of the past 15 years and has contributed an amount very close to the actuarial contribution in the other years.





Current state statutes require the School District to contribute any shortfall between the actuarial required contribution rate and the statutory contributions by members, the State of Nebraska and the School District on or before August 31. As a result, the full actuarial contribution rate can be expected to be contributed in future years and the funded status of OSERS should improve over time, if actuarial assumptions are met.

The System's funding policy, as modified in 2019, amortizes the legacy UAAL over a closed 30-year period, with payments calculated as a level-percent of pay. Effective with the January 1, 2022 actuarial valuation, new layers are amortized over a closed 25-year period. Both 30 and 25 years are relatively long amortization periods and thus will tend to improve the System's funded status relatively slowly. The payment pattern which develops a payment schedule that is level as a percent of payroll is the most common method used by public plans, but it is less conservative than the level-dollar amortization method because the dollar amount of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability increases for many years before finally starting to decline, particularly over long periods like 30 years, even if all assumptions are met. In addition, amortization as a level percent of pay requires the use of an assumption regarding the growth of covered payroll in future years (currently 3.10% per year). This introduces another possible source of variation between actual and expected experience, thus increasing the funding risk for the System. If actual payroll does not increase as assumed, which could be due to a decline in the number of active members or actual salary increases that are less than expected, the UAAL contribution rate will increase. The dollar payment on the UAAL is the same, but the higher UAAL contribution rate ultimately pushes more of the UAAL funding to the District's additional contribution.

Perhaps the most significant risk factor for most Systems, including OSERS, is investment return because of the volatility of returns associated with the asset allocations. Over the past 20 years, actual returns each year have varied significantly from the assumed rate of return (see following graph). This is to be expected, given the underlying capital market assumptions and the System's asset allocation and standard deviation, but it does create a high degree of uncertainty or risk. The compound rate of return over this period was about 6.6%, but the range of returns varied from +18% to -13%. When actual investment returns are lower than the assumed rate of return, there is an increasing trend in the actuarial contribution rate absent offsetting gains on liabilities or changes in actuarial assumptions or methods. The investment experience of the last two decades has been significantly lower than the assumption, resulting in a higher actuarial contribution rate.





The System is currently 61% funded using the actuarial value of assets and 54% funded on a market value basis. The low funded ratio has increased the actuarial required contribution rate and the School District now has an obligation to make an additional contribution of around 7% of covered payroll. As the District's obligation to make the additional contributions is statutory, some risk of unmanageable contribution levels exists. The risk associated with investment returns has the potential to create significant volatility in the amount of additional District contributions. Given the asset allocation of the portfolio and the associated volatility of returns in any one year, it would not be unexpected to have returns that are more than 10% lower than the assumed return of 7.30%. In that case, the District's additional contribution could increase significantly (around 0.55% of pay or \$2.3 million in the first year alone) because the full impact of the "miss" on investments impacts the District's additional contribution rate.

A key demographic risk for all retirement systems, including OSERS, is improvements in mortality (longevity) greater than anticipated. While the actuarial assumptions reflect small, continuous improvements in mortality experience over time and these assumptions are refined in every experience study, the risk arises because there is a possibility of some sudden shift, perhaps from a significant medical breakthrough that could quickly increase liabilities. Likewise, there is some possibility of a significant public health crisis that could result in a significant number of additional deaths in a short time period, as experienced with Covid-19. This kind of event is also significant, although the experience is more easily absorbed. While either of these events could happen, it represents a relatively small probability and thus represents much less risk than the volatility associated with investment returns.

The following exhibits in this section summarize certain historical information that helps indicate how certain key risk metrics may have changed over time. Many of the changes are due to the maturity of the Plan.



EXHIBIT 14 – HISTORICAL ASSET VOLATILITY RATIOS

As a retirement plan matures, the size of the market value of assets usually increases relative to the covered payroll of active members, on which the Plan is funded. The size of the plan assets relative to covered payroll, sometimes referred to as the asset volatility ratio, is an important indicator of the contribution risk (variability) for the plan. The higher this ratio, the more sensitive a plan's contribution rate is to investment return volatility. In other words, it will be harder to recover from investment losses with increased contributions (contribution rates will be higher).

OSERS' historical trends are somewhat different than those observed in most public plans. This is due both to the length of time the System has been in existence (since 1909) and the slow growth of assets over this period compared to payroll. The result is a stable or decreasing asset volatility ratio rather than an increasing trend which is more typical. As the System's funding improves over the long term, the asset volatility ratio is expected to increase.

Actuarial Valuation Date	Market Value of Assets	Actual Covered Payroll	Asset Volatility Ratio	Increase in ACR with a Return 10% Lower than Assumed*
9/1/2008	\$1,050,281,000	\$272,720,007	3.85	2.47%
9/1/2009	884,438,000	287,770,291	3.07	1.97%
9/1/2010	951,214,000	302,229,282	3.15	2.02%
9/1/2011	1,033,128,000	310,228,916	3.33	2.14%
9/1/2012	1,095,565,000	307,258,065	3.57	2.29%
9/1/2013	1,170,347,000	313,946,237	3.73	2.40%
9/1/2014	1,294,722,000	323,077,710	4.01	2.57%
9/1/2015	1,211,107,000	333,166,135	3.64	2.34%
1/1/2017	1,148,582,000	351,940,122	3.26	2.09%
1/1/2018	1,234,040,000	359,359,507	3.43	2.20%
1/1/2019	1,193,800,000	375,598,301	3.18	2.04%
1/1/2020	1,323,663,000	364,799,331	3.63	2.33%
1/1/2021	1,405,393,000	364,310,430	3.86	2.48%
1/1/2022	1,626,049,000	381,926,844	4.26	2.74%
1/1/2023	1,412,144,000	413,799,805	3.41	2.19%

Note: Years prior to the 9/1/2010 valuation were provided by the prior actuary.

The assets at January 1, 2023 are 341% of payroll, so underperforming the investment return assumption by 10.00% (i.e., earning -2.70% for one year) is equivalent to a loss of about \$141 million or 34% of payroll. The impact on the actuarial contribution rate would be 2.19% once the full amount of actuarial loss worked through the asset smoothing method. While the impact in the first year is mitigated by the asset smoothing method, this illustrates the contribution risk associated with volatile investment returns.

^{*} The impact of asset smoothing is not reflected in the increase in the Actuarial Contribution Rate (ACR). Current year assumptions and methods are used for all years shown. With asset smoothing, the first-year impact on contributions would be about 25% of the amount shown.



EXHIBIT 15 – HISTORICAL CASH FLOWS

Plans with negative cash flows will experience increased sensitivity to investment return volatility. Cash flows, for this purpose, are measured as contributions less benefit payments. If the System has negative cash flows and experiences returns below the assumed rate, there are fewer assets to be reinvested to earn the higher returns that typically follow. While any negative cash flow will produce such a result, it is typically a negative cash flow of more than 4% to 5% of market value of assets that may cause significant concerns. In general, large negative cash flow is not a major risk for OSERS at this time.

Year End	Market Value of Assets (MVA)	Contributions*	Benefit Payments	Net Cash Flow	Net Cash Flow as a Percent of MVA
8/31/2008	\$1,050,281,000	\$49,099,000	\$72,912,000	(\$23,813,000)	(2.27%)
8/31/2009	884,438,000	49,943,000	77,503,000	(27,560,000)	(3.12%)
8/31/2010	951,214,000	56,616,000	81,260,000	(24,644,000)	(2.59%)
8/31/2011	1,033,128,000	58,242,000	86,015,000	(27,773,000)	(2.69%)
8/31/2012	1,095,565,000	68,139,000	90,621,000	(22,482,000)	(2.05%)
8/31/2013	1,170,347,000	65,248,000	95,107,000	(29,859,000)	(2.55%)
8/31/2014	1,294,722,000	72,072,000	100,810,000	(28,738,000)	(2.22%)
8/31/2015	1,211,107,000	75,065,000	106,735,000	(31,670,000)	(2.61%)
12/31/2016	1,148,582,000	101,826,000	152,808,000	(50,982,000)	(4.44%)
12/31/2017	1,234,040,000	92,397,000	121,005,000	(28,608,000)	(2.32%)
12/31/2018	1,193,800,000	101,704,000	127,578,000	(25,874,000)	(2.17%)
12/31/2019	1,323,663,000	102,468,000	133,824,000	(31,356,000)	(2.37%)
12/31/2020	1,405,393,000	103,010,000	137,486,000	(34,476,000)	(2.45%)
12/31/2021	1,626,049,000	108,428,000	143,199,000	(34,771,000)	(2.14%)
12/31/2022	1,412,144,000	122,310,000	147,629,000	(25,319,000)	(1.79%)

Note: Years prior to Year End 8/31/2010 were provided by the prior actuary.

^{*} Contributions include additional revenue coming into the System such as Purchases of Service and State Service Annuity receipts.






EXHIBIT 16 - LIABILITY MATURITY MEASUREMENTS

Most public sector retirement systems were established after World War 2 and have been in operation for many years. As a result, they have aging plan populations, and in some cases declining active populations, resulting in an increasing ratio of retirees to active members and a growing percentage of retiree liability. With more of the total liability residing with retirees, investment volatility has a greater impact on the funding of the plan since it is more difficult to restore the system financially after losses occur when there is comparatively less payroll over which to spread costs. Because OSERS has been in existence for a very long time (prior systems dating back to 1909 were consolidated to create OSERS), there has been no significant change in the percent of liability attributable to retirees over the last 15 years. The ratio of retiree liability to covered payroll has increased over this time period, however, which indicates an increase in contribution risk.

Actuarial Valuation Date	Retiree Liability (a)	Total Actuarial Accrued Liability (b)	Retiree Percentage (a) / (b)	Covered Payroll (c)	Ratio (b) / (c)
0.14.19.000	*	** * * * * * * * * *	- 0. - 0./	****	4.0.4
9/1/2008	\$783,518,000	\$1,346,999,000	58.2%	\$272,720,007	4.94
9/1/2009	818,000,000	1,410,318,000	58.0%	287,770,291	4.90
9/1/2010	850,325,000	1,467,850,000	57.9%	302,229,282	4.86
9/1/2011	874,656,000	1,516,284,000	57.7%	310,228,916	4.89
9/1/2012	935,442,000	1,592,738,000	58.7%	307,258,065	5.18
9/1/2013	978,397,000	1,660,287,000	58.9%	313,946,237	5.29
9/1/2014	1,028,802,000	1,723,970,000	59.7%	323,077,710	5.34
9/1/2015	1,099,161,000	1,798,706,000	61.1%	333,166,135	5.40
1/1/2017	1,230,588,000	2,050,581,000	60.0%	351,940,122	5.83
1/1/2018	1,274,528,000	2,136,385,000	59.7%	359,359,507	5.94
1/1/2019	1,311,452,000	2,192,893,000	59.8%	375,598,301	5.84
1/1/2020	1,364,109,000	2,265,653,000	60.2%	364,799,331	6.21
1/1/2021	1,408,667,000	2,381,356,000	59.2%	364,310,430	6.54
1/1/2021	1,459,396,000	2,476,073,000	58.9%	381,926,844	6.48
	, , ,				
1/1/2023	1,506,213,000	2,626,546,000	57.3%	413,799,805	6.35

Note: Years prior to the 9/1/2010 valuation were provided by the prior actuary.






EXHIBIT 17 - COMPARISON OF VALUATION RESULTS UNDER ALTERNATE INVESTMENT RETURN ASSUMPTIONS

This exhibit is a sensitivity analysis that compares the key January 1, 2023 valuation results under the current investment return assumption and four (4) alternate investment return assumptions, both higher and lower than the current assumption. This information is intended to illustrate the impact of the investment return assumption on the funding of the System. Note that only the investment return assumption is changed for this purpose, as identified in the heading below. This may not result in a set of economic actuarial assumptions that complies with Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 27. The alternate return assumptions are only for purposes of identifying the impact of different investment return assumptions on the funding results. All other actuarial assumptions are unchanged for purposes of this analysis

Investment Return Assumption	6.50%	7.00%	7.30%	7.50%	8.00%
Contributions					
Normal Cost Rate	15.36%	13.57%	12.61%	12.02%	10.69%
Administrative Expenses	0.24%	0.24%	0.24%	0.24%	0.24%
UAAL Contribution	18.59%	16.95%	15.97%	15.32%	13.70%
Total Actuarial Contribution Rate	34.19%	30.76%	28.82%	27.58%	24.63%
Statutory Contribution Rate	21.66%	21.66%	21.66%	21.66%	21.66%
Contribution Shortfall/(Margin)	12.53%	9.10%	7.16%	5.92%	2.97%
Additional District Contribution	\$51,442,145	\$37,389,393	\$29,432,198	\$24,342,556	\$12,221,846
Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$ in millions)	\$2,892.2	\$2,721.5	\$2,626.5	\$2,566.1	\$2,424.5
Actuarial Value of Assets (\$ in millions)	\$1,592.0	\$1,592.0	\$1,592.0	\$1,592.0	\$1,592.0
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$ in millions)	\$1,300.2	\$1,129.5	\$1,034.6	\$974.2	\$832.5
Funded Ratio	55.0%	58.5%	60.6%	62.0%	65.7%

Note: Dollar amounts may not add due to rounding.



APPENDIX A HISTORICAL BACKGROUND



Historical Background

Since 1909, the Omaha School District has maintained a retirement system for its teachers. Since then, systems covering other employees were added. In 1951, the Nebraska Legislature consolidated the existing systems into one new System. Amendments of significance in the Nebraska statutes and federal Social Security Act have occurred from time to time. These changes in order of their occurrence are outlined briefly below:

1951 - New System

Prior to 1951, three separate retirement systems existed. In 1951 the Nebraska Legislature repealed these three separate systems and created the present single System covering all employees. This act provided, however, that a member of a pre-existing system might elect to retain his benefit and contribution rights under one of the former systems in lieu of the new System benefits and contributions. The members who so elected then became known by the following titles for retirement purposes:

- (1) Employees covered by the former Omaha Teachers Retirement System were known as "Teachers,"
- (2) Employees covered by the former Non-Teaching Employee Retirement System were known as "Non-Teachers,"
- (3) Employees covered by the former Cafeteria Employee Retirement System were known as "Cafeteria."

All other employees became members of the new System and received credit for membership service starting September 1, 1951. Benefits as well as contributions under the new System became directly related to a member's compensation by formula. The maximum covered annual compensation under the new System became \$5,000, but the maximum for Teachers, Non-Teachers and Cafeteria remained \$3,000.

1955 Amendments

On September 24, 1955, Omaha School employees voted to become participants in the federal Social Security program. All Social Security benefits are payable in addition to the System benefits. As a result of Social Security coverage, changes were made in the benefit and contribution formulas of the System effective August 31, 1955. In general, the changes reduced contributions and benefits to 60% of the rates formerly in effect. In addition, the maximum covered compensation was increased from \$5,000 to \$6,000 except for Teachers, Non-Teachers and Cafeteria which remained at \$3,000.

The amount contributed by the School District was also reduced to 60% of the rates in effect prior to the change and the School District's contributions, matching the refunds paid upon the withdrawal or death of employees, were retained in the retirement fund rather than being returned to the School District.

1963 Amendments

Effective September 1, 1963, several changes were made in the new System. The limit on covered compensation for contributions and benefits of members was removed.



APPENDIX A - HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The service retirement annuity credit was increased in order to integrate with the modifications in federal Social Security between 1955 and 1963. The disability annuity for members was increased to 100% of the service retirement annuity accrued to date of disability and the restriction as to the number of years for which it was payable was removed. The offset in the benefit formula for the Nebraska State Service Annuity credit was placed on a year-to-year basis for all members, increasing the annuity credit for service after September 1, 1951 for active and retired alike.

The employees who were participating as Teachers, Non-Teachers and Cafeteria began to make contributions and receive benefit credits at the same rates as other members of the System. It should be noted that any employee who retained rights under a pre-existing system still receives credit in accordance with the provisions of the former system if this is more than the credit, after the State service annuity offset, would be under the 1963 amendments.

The contribution rate for employees was changed to integrate with the modifications in Social Security and was no longer subject to revision depending upon the degree of actuarial soundness of the System as had been provided in 1962. The School District became solely responsible for maintaining the solvency of the System on the basis of annual actuarial valuations. The School District again became entitled to refunds equal to the refunds paid upon withdrawal or death of employees.

The restriction prohibiting the crediting of interest on refunds to employees who withdraw from employment during the first ten years of service was removed. Thus, all employees who withdraw after one year or more of service receive interest on their contributions made since September 1, 1951.

1965 Amendments

Effective September 1, 1965, a pre-retirement survivor's annuity was added to the System for long-service employees. This change gave an employee with 25 or more years of service protection at death approximately equivalent in value to the vesting which already existed at termination of employment for an employee with the same period of service.

Effective January 1, 1966, the Social Security tax base was increased from \$4,800 to \$6,600 per year. This change became effective in the System's contribution and benefit formulas as of September 1, 1966.

1967 Amendments

The 77th Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 494 which amended the Nebraska School Retirement System, effective October 23, 1967. A major change was the increase in the State service annuity credit from \$1.50 to \$3.00 per month for each year of credited service after July 1, 1968 and the removal of the 35 year limitation on credited State service. For the purpose of determining the new State service annuity offset in calculating the net Omaha annuity, the additional \$1.50 per month for each year of service after July 1, 1968 is not applicable, but removal of the 35 year limitation does apply. This means that the State service annuity offset is still determined on the basis of \$1.50 per month for each year of service. The increase in the State service annuity offset by virtue of eliminating the 35 year limitation represents a lower cost to the Omaha System for those members having more than 35 years of State service by age 65.



APPENDIX A - HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Another change with regard to the State service annuity was the manner in which the funds are transferred from the State to the Omaha System to pay these annuities. For retirements occurring after the effective date of the amendments (October 23, 1967), the State transfers the commuted value (equivalent single sum) of the individual State service annuity to the Omaha System and then the payment of the monthly annuity to the retired member is the School District's responsibility.

In 1967 the eligibility provisions for the pre-retirement survivors' annuity and the vested retirement rights were changed, reducing the service required from 25 years to 20 years and thereby granting these options to a larger number of employees.

Effective January 1, 1968, the federal Social Security taxable wage base was increased from \$6,600 to \$7,800 per year. This change became effective in the System's contribution and benefit formulas as of September 1, 1968.

1969 Amendments

The 80th Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 530 which amended the System effective August 11, 1969. The provisions of this bill improved the benefit structure of the System in two ways. The membership annuity credits (credits after 9/1/51) were increased approximately 10% and the Social Security wage base was "frozen" at the \$7,800 level for purposes of calculating benefit credits and employee contributions.

By freezing the Social Security base, benefit credits and employee contributions for service after September 1, 1969 will not be reduced by virtue of future increases in the Social Security wage base. The System benefits will remain integrated with the Social Security program at the level provided by the \$7,800 base.

1972 Amendments

During 1972, the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 1116 which amended the System. These amendments were to become effective for retirements occurring on or after September 1, 1972. The provisions of this bill improved the benefit structure of the System and liberalized the eligibility condition for qualification upon termination for the deferred vested retirement benefit.

The benefits of the System were improved by increasing the membership annuity credits (credits after 9/1/51) by approximately 20% over those in existence on September 1, 1971.

In order to be eligible upon resignation to elect a deferred vested service annuity, the years of creditable service was reduced from 20 years to 15 years.

1973 Amendments

The 1973 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 445 which created increases in the State service annuity of the Nebraska School Retirement System. LB 445 provides for (a) a State service annuity credit of \$3.00 per month for each year of creditable service for all emeritus members and for all full time school employees who retire on or after July 1, 1973 and (b) for increases in the State service annuity for members who retired prior to July 1, 1973 based upon the difference between the Consumers Price Index on the date of retirement and July 1, 1973.



1976 Amendments

The 1976 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 994 which increased the membership annuity credits (credits after 9/1/51) by 20%.

The members' contributions were increased to 2.90% of compensation up to \$7,800 per year plus 5.25% of salary in excess of that amount.

1979 Amendments

The 1979 Session of the Nebraska Legislature changed the mandatory retirement date from age 65 to age 70. Late retirement benefits are actuarially increased from what would have been payable at the normal retirement date.

1982 Amendments

The 1982 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 131 which made considerable changes to the System. LB 131 was approved by the Governor on February 19, 1982.

The most major revision in the System was to change the previous primary benefit formula from the step rate formula based on each year of salary to a final average compensation formula. The primary benefit formula became 1.5% of final average compensation for each year of creditable service not in excess of 30. Final average compensation was then defined to be 1/36 of the total compensation received during the three fiscal years of highest compensation. Also, the creditable service not in excess of 30 years was allowed to continue to accrue after the fiscal year in which the employee attains age 65. In addition, the State service annuity offset of \$1.50 per year of creditable service was removed with respect to the final average compensation formula. The prior provisions of the System were retained as a minimum benefit, recognizing creditable service for those provisions through the earlier of the date of retirement or August 31, 1983.

Another major revision in the System was to change the step rate formula for employee contributions to a level 4.90% of compensation. In addition, the provision entitling the School District to receive refunds of its own contributions equal to the contributions refunded to employees was removed.

The early retirement date was liberalized. Previously an employee needed to have either 35 years of creditable service or to have attained age 60 with 25 years of creditable service. Now an employee can retire early if he has at least 10 years of creditable service and has attained age 55.

The actuarial equivalent of the annuity payable at the end of the fiscal year in which the employee attains age 65 was changed in the following two ways:

- 1. For employees retiring before age 62, the monthly formula retirement annuity is a reduced amount based on the actuarial equivalent of the annuity deferred to the employee's 62nd birthday. If retirement is at age 62 or later, there is no actuarial reduction. Previously there was an actuarial reduction, based on the benefit deferred to age 65, for any retirement before age 65.
- 2. For employees retiring on or after age 65, the monthly formula retirement annuity is to be based on total years of creditable service (not in excess of 30) and the employee's entire compensation history at date of retirement. Consequently, for retirements after the fiscal year in which the employee attains age 65 there is no longer an actuarial increase from the benefit available at the normal retirement date.



APPENDIX A - HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The eligibility provision to elect a deferred vested service annuity upon resignation was changed from 15 years of creditable service to 10 years.

1983 Amendments

The 1983 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 488 which created benefit increases effective September 1, 1983 for members having retired before February 21, 1982. The amount of benefit increase was limited to the smaller of:

- 1. The percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban consumers from the effective date of retirement to June 30, 1983 applied to benefits being paid and
- 2. The sum of \$1.50 per month for each year of creditable service and \$1.00 per month for each completed year of retirement from the effective date of retirement to June 30, 1983, actuarially adjusted for joint and survivor elections.

1985 Amendments

The 1985 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 215 which removed the 30 year limit on years of service used in the benefit formula, provided for vesting after five years of service rather than ten years, and reduced the eligibility period for disability from ten years of service to five years of service.

LP215 also provided for the employer "pick up" of employee contribution under IRC 414(h), thereby allowing employee contributions to be made on a pre-tax basis.

Unisex factors are now being used for determining early retirement reductions and actuarial equivalents for joint and survivor optional benefits.

1986 Amendments

The 1985 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 1048 which granted increases in benefits for most retirees to reflect cost-of-living increases over the last several years. The increases ranged up to a maximum of 10.5%.

1987 Amendments

A "window of opportunity" was created for the buy-in or buy-back of service credits for participants qualifying for that right.

1989 Amendments

LB 237 was enacted by the 1989 Session of the Nebraska Legislature and provided: annual benefit accruals of 1.65% of final average compensation (up from 1.50%), unreduced benefits if a member retires with 35 or more years of service, a five year certain and life thereafter annuity as the normal form of benefit (instead of just a life annuity), employee contributions of 5.8% of pay (up from 4.9%), and increased benefits to retirees (the increases ranged up to 9.0%). There were some other changes as a result of this bill, but none that had a direct actuarial cost impact.



1992 Amendments

The 1992 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 1001 which increased annual benefit accruals from 1.65% of final average compensation to 1.70%, and increased benefits to retirees (3% increase per year of retirement, not exceeding 9% total increase), a change in the preretirement joint and survivor option to allow it to become effective automatically after 20 years of service, and allowed employees to "buy-in" their time with other public school systems by means of a tax-deferred rollover of their refund from that System.

1995 Amendments

The 1995 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 505 which increased annual benefit accruals from 1.70% to 1.80% of final average compensation. It also provided for unreduced retirement benefits when the sum of age and service equals or exceeds 85 (still maintaining the age 55 minimum), and reduced early retirement reductions to .25% per month prior to age 62. Early retirement at 84, 83, or 82 points is also allowed with a maximum reduction of 3%, 6% and 9% respectively. Employee contributions were increased to 6.3% of pay. The bill also provided for a one time increase to current retirees of 3% per year since retirement (not to exceed 9%), or if larger, 90% restoration of the purchasing power of their original pension. There are other changes resulting from this bill, which are not included since they did not have a direct actuarial impact. One change with no actuarial impact but worth noting is the provision for employer "pick up" of employee contributions to the System used to buy in outside service, pursuant to Section 414(h) of the Internal Revenue Code.

1998 Amendments

The 1998 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 497 which increased annual benefit accruals from 1.80% to 1.85% of final average compensation. The bill also provided for a one time increase to current retirees of 3% per year since retirement (not to exceed 9%) and provides an annual automatic cost of living adjustment, not greater than 1.5%, beginning January 1, 2000.

2000 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2000 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 155 which increased accruals from 1.85% to 2.00% of final average compensation.

Pursuant to LB 497, the OSERS Board and the Omaha School District Board authorized a 1.5% discretionary COLA beginning January 1, 2000 in addition to the automatic COLA.

2001 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2001 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 711 which provided that certain members who previously left employment due to pregnancy could purchase their "lost" service. It also provided a post-retirement supplemental benefit to assist with medical costs. The supplement commences 10 years after retirement, beginning at \$10 per month for each year retired and increasing by \$10 each year to a maximum of \$250 per month. For retirees with less than twenty years of service, the benefit is reduced proportionately.

Additionally, the OSERS Board and the Omaha School Board authorized a discretionary COLA to restore full purchasing power, beginning January 1, 2001, in addition to the automatic COLA.



2002 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2002.

2003 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2003.

2004 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2004.

2005 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2005.

2006 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2006.

2007 Amendment and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2007 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Section 79-9, 113 which changed the employee contribution rate from 6.30% of compensation to 7.30% and provided for an employer contribution equal to 101% of the employee contribution rate.

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2007.

2008 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2008.

2009 Amendment and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2009 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 187 (LB 187), which increased the State's contribution from 0.7% to 1.0% of covered pay from July 1, 2009 to July 1, 2014. On July 1, 2014 the State's contribution returns to 0.7%. LB 187 also increased the employee contribution rate from 7.30% of compensation to 8.30%. The School District's contribution is equal to 101% of the employee contribution rate so the District's contribution rate increased from 7.373% of compensation to 8.383% as a result of the increase in the member contribution rate.

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2009.

2010 Amendment and Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2010.



2011 Amendment and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2011 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 382 (LB 382), which increased the Member's contribution from 8.30% of compensation to 9.30%. The School District's contribution is equal to 101% of the employee contribution rate so the District's contribution rate increased from 8.383% of compensation to 9.393% as a result of the increase in the member contribution rate. LB 382 also extended the 1% of payroll contribution by the State from July 1, 2014 to July 1, 2017.

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2011.

2012 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2012.

2013 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2013 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 553 (LB 553), which increased the Member contribution rate from 9.30% of pay to 9.78% of pay. The School District's contribution is equal to 101% of the employee contribution rate so the District's contribution rate increased from 9.393% of pay to 9.878% of pay as a result of the increase in the member contribution rate. LB 553 also ended the scheduled decrease in the State contribution rate and instead increased the State contribution from 1.0% of pay to 2.0% of pay, effective July 1, 2014. LB 553 also created a new benefit structure for members hired on or after July 1, 2013. For these members, annual cost of living adjustments will be the lesser of 1.0% or CPI, and the final average compensation is defined as 1/60 of the total compensation received during the five fiscal years of highest compensation.

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2013.

2014 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2014.

2015 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2015.



2016 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2016 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 447 (LB 447), which created a new benefit structure for members hired on or after July 1, 2016. The changes result in the same benefit structure for new OSERS members as for new members of the Nebraska School Retirement System. These members will not receive the supplemental medical COLA offered to employees hired before July 1, 2016. Other changes for these employees include a revised early retirement benefit reduction schedule and different retirement eligibility requirements.

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2016.

2017 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2017.

2018 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2017 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 415 (LB 415), which created a new benefit structure for members hired on or after July 1, 2018. The changes result in the same benefit structure for new OSERS members as for new members of the Nebraska School Retirement System. The changes for these employees include a revised early retirement benefit reduction schedule and different retirement eligibility requirements.

The 2018 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 1005 (LB 1005), which also affects the benefit provisions for members hired on or after July 1, 2018. As a result of LB 1005, the Board has the authority to set the actuarial assumptions used to determine the benefit amounts payable under optional forms of payment for members hired on or after July 1, 2018.

The automatic 1.5% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2018.

2019 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA for members hired before July 1, 2013 was granted beginning January 1, 2019.

2020 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA for members hired before July 1, 2013 was granted beginning January 1, 2020.



2021 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2021 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 147 (LB 147), which re-defines the term Regular Employee. The bill allows employees who are contracted to less than 30 hours per week to participate in the System, if they average more than 30 hours per week during any three calendar months of a fiscal year.

The automatic 1.5% COLA for members hired before July 1, 2013 was granted beginning January 1, 2021.

The automatic 1.0% COLA for members hired on or after July 1, 2013 was granted beginning January 1, 2021.

2022 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5% COLA for members hired before July 1, 2013 was granted beginning January 1, 2022.

The automatic 1.0% COLA for members hired on or after July 1, 2013 was granted beginning January 1, 2022.



APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS



Contributions

Employee Contributions: Employees contribute 9.78% of compensation, effective September 1, 2013. Such contributions are payable each year while employed. Contributions accumulated with interest are refundable at resignation unless the vested retirement benefit has been elected and at death unless the pre-retirement survivor's benefit has been elected.

State Contribution: The State contributes annually an amount equal to 2.0% of the members' compensation, effective July 1, 2014.

School District Contribution: The School District contributes the greater of (a) one hundred and one percent of the contributions by the employees or (b) such amount as may be necessary to maintain the solvency of the system, as determined annually by the board upon recommendation of the actuary engaged by the trustees.

Interest Credited on Refunds: Contributions made prior to September 1, 1951 and refunded at withdrawal or death are not credited with interest. Contributions after September 1, 1951 are credited with interest beginning September 1, 2016 at the rate equal to the daily treasury yield curve for one-year treasury securities, as published by the secretary of the treasury of the United States, that applies on September 1 of each year.

Benefits

General: The System provides annuities upon retirement from service or disability and upon death to designated survivors.

The service retirement formula is 2.0% per year of creditable service times the final average compensation.

Final average compensation is defined as 1/36 of the total compensation received during the three fiscal years of highest compensation for those who became members before July 1, 2013. For those who became members on or after July 1, 2013, final average compensation is defined as 1/60 of the total compensation received during the five fiscal years of highest compensation.

Annuities are paid for life, with 5 years guaranteed. Optional forms of payment are available.

The disability annuity, the pre-retirement survivor annuity and the vested retirement right are summarized in the following sections.

Benefits in pay status are subject to an annual cost of living adjustment equal to the lesser of 1.5% or CPI for those who became members before July 1, 2013. There is an additional COLA if surplus assets exist beginning January 1, 2000. Effective October 3, 2001, a medical cost of living adjustment is payable to retired members. Such amount will commence after the 10th year of retirement and shall be an amount equal to \$10 per month for each year retired (subject to a maximum of \$250 per month), prorated for years of service less than 20. For those who became members on or after July 1, 2013, the annual cost of living adjustment is capped at 1.0%.

Those who became members on or after July 1, 2016 are not eligible to receive the medical COLA benefit.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Retirement Annuities: An employee who becomes a member before July 1, 2016 may begin receiving a retirement benefit once the employee has left the employment of the School district, selected a retirement date and

(a) has completed 35 years of creditable service,

or

(b) has 10 years of creditable service (with at least five of those years being creditable Omaha service) and attained age 55,

01

(c) remained employed until his or her 65th birthday and completed at least five years of creditable Omaha service.

If an employee who was a member before July 1, 2016 begins receiving an annuity at or after age 62, or has achieved 85 points and is at least age 55, there is no adjustment for the retirement annuity. If, however, such employee begins receiving an annuity before age 62, the annuity shall be reduced by 0.25% for each month prior to age 62, but if 84 points have been achieved then the reduction is limited to 3%, if 83 points, 6%, and 82 points, 9%.

An employee who became a member on or after July 1, 2016 and before July 1, 2018 may begin receiving a retirement benefit once the employee has left the employment of the School district, selected a retirement date and

- (a) has attained age 55 and the sum of the member's attained age and creditable service totals 85,
- (b) has 5 years of creditable service and attained age 60.

For employees who became members on or after July 1, 2016 and before July 1, 2018, if an employee begins receiving an annuity before age 65, such annuity shall be reduced by 0.25% for each month prior to age 65. If, however, the employee has achieved 85 points and is at least age 55, then there is no reduction to the annuity.

An employee <u>hired on or after July 1, 2018</u> may begin receiving a retirement benefit once the employee has left the employment of the School district, selected a retirement date and

- (a) has attained age 60 and the sum of the member's attained age and creditable service totals 85, or
- (b) has $\overline{5}$ years of creditable service and attained age 60.

For employees who were hired on or after July 1, 2018, if an employee begins receiving an annuity before age 65, such annuity shall be reduced by 0.25% for each month prior to age 65. If, however, the employee has achieved 85 points and is at least age 60, then there is no reduction to the annuity.

Disability Retirement Annuities: Each employee who becomes totally disabled and who has completed five or more years of creditable Omaha service is entitled to a disability retirement annuity equal to the amount of service annuity earned to date of disability. Alternatively, the employee may defer the disability retirement and accrue service and compensation increases in the interim. The disability retirement annuity is payable each month until disability ceases, if before unreduced retirement, or death.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Pre-Retirement Survivor Annuities: Upon the death of a member who has completed 20 or more years of creditable service and who has not retired, a pre-retirement survivor annuity shall be paid to the member's primary beneficiary. The survivor must be a spouse or one other person whose attained age in the calendar year of the member's death is no more than 10 years less than the attained age of the member in such calendar year. If there is no beneficiary form on file with OSERS, the member's spouse at the time of death is deemed to be the beneficiary and eligible for a pre-retirement survivor annuity. The survivor annuity is the actuarial equivalent of the member's annuity accrued to the date of death, determined on the basis of the member's and beneficiary's attained ages on said date. The survivor annuity is payable in lieu of a refund of the member's accumulated contributions. However, a member may elect out of the survivor annuity and specify that such a refund be paid in lieu of the annuity. An election out of the pre-retirement survivor annuity is entirely independent of the election of a joint and survivor option at retirement. Within 60 days after the member's death, the beneficiary may request a refund of the member's accumulated contributions instead of the annuity; provided, however, that the member may direct the System to pay only an annuity.

If the member (not retired) has less than 20 years of creditable service, or the beneficiary does not meet the requirements stated above, a refund of the member's accumulated contributions shall be paid.

Vested Retirement Right: Each employee who has completed five or more years of creditable Omaha service is eligible upon resignation to elect a deferred vested benefit, first payable as an unreduced amount at age 65, in lieu of a refund of his accumulated contributions. With ten or more years of total creditable service (including at least five years of creditable Omaha service), the deferred vested benefit could commence, unreduced, at age 62 for employees who became members before July 1, 2016. If benefits start before age 62 (but not earlier than attained age 55), the benefit shall then be reduced as described above.

For employees who became members on or after July 1, 2016 and before July 1, 2018, the deferred vested benefit could commence, unreduced, at age 65. If benefits start before age 65 (but not earlier than attained age 55), the benefit shall then be reduced as described above.

For employees who were hired on or after July 1, 2018, the deferred vested benefit could commence, unreduced, at age 65. If benefits start before age 65 (but not earlier than attained age 60), the benefit shall then be reduced as described above.



APPENDIX C ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS



APPENDIX C - ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

The valuation assumptions and methods used in conducting the current actuarial valuation are as follows:

Actuarial Assumptions

Investment Return Assumption: 7.30% per annum, compounded annually, net of investment expenses.

Note: This assumption will decrease each year until reaching the ultimate rate of 7.00%

in the 2025 valuation.

Inflation (CPI): 2.60% compounded annually.

Note: This assumption will decrease each year until reaching the ultimate rate of 2.35%

in the 2025 valuation.

Assumed Interest Rate Credited

on Employee Contributions:

2.60% compounded annually.

Note: This assumption will decrease each year until reaching the ultimate rate of 2.35%

in the 2025 valuation.

Total Payroll Growth: 3.10% compounded annually.

Note: This assumption will decrease each year until reaching the ultimate rate of 2.85%

in the 2025 valuation.

Mortality Rates: Active members use the Pub-2010 General Members (Median)

Employee Mortality Table projected generationally using the NPERS

projection scale.

Retirees use the Pub-2010 General Members (Median) Retiree Mortality

Table projected generationally using the NPERS projection scale.

Beneficiaries use the Pub-2010 General Members (Median) Contingent

Survivor Mortality Table projected generationally using the NPERS

projection scale.

Disabled retirees use the Pub-2010 Non-Safety Disabled Retiree

Mortality Table, without generational improvement.

Disability: None assumed.

Termination of Employment: (prior to retirement eligibility)

Illustrative rates of termination are as follows:

Certificated:

Percent Terminating		
<u>Duration</u>	Rate	
1	10.00%	
5	8.00	
10	4.50	
15	2.50	
20	1.25	
25	1.00	
30	0.75	



Classified:

Percent Terminating			
Duration	Male	<u>Female</u>	
1	10.00%	13.00%	
5	6.00	8.00	
10	2.65	4.00	
15	1.60	1.75	
20	1.00	0.80	
25	0.50	0.50	
30	0.50	0.50	

Retirement Rates:

Early retirement rates are assumed to occur according to the schedule illustrated below:

Became members before July 1, 2016

Certificated:		Class	sified:
<u>Age</u>	<u>Early</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Early</u>
55	6%	55	5%
56	6	56	3
57	6	57	3
58	6	58	3
59	8	59	3
60	12	60	3
61	12	61	7

Became members on or after July 1, 2016

Certificated:		Class	sified:
<u>Age</u>	<u>Early</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Early</u>
60	12%	60	3%
61	12	61	7
62	12	62	7
63	12	63	7
64	12	64	7



Unreduced retirement rates are assumed to occur according to the schedule illustrated below:

Became members before July 1, 2018

Certificated:

<u>Age</u>	1st Year Eligible	<u>Ultimate</u>
55	40%	
56	40	40%
57	40	20
58	40	20
59	40	20
60	30	20
61	22	20
62	22	25
63	25	20
64	25	25
65	40	30
66	40	40
67	40	40
68	40	35
69	100	35
70	100	100

Classified:

<u>Age</u>	1 st Year Eligible	<u>Ultimate</u>
55	35%	
56	13	10%
57	13	10
58	13	10
59	13	10
60	13	10
61	13	10
62	18	15
63	18	15
64	18	15
65	18	35
66	18	35
67	18	30
68	18	30
69	18	25
70	100	25
71	100	25
72	100	25
73	100	25
74	100	25
75	100	100



Members hired on or after July 1, 2018

Certificated:

<u>Age</u>	1st Year Eligible	<u>Ultimate</u>
60	40%	
61	22	20%
62	22	25
63	25	20
64	25	25
65	40	30
66	40	40
67	40	40
68	40	35
69	100	35
70	100	100

Classified:

<u>1st Year Eligible</u>	<u>Ultimate</u>
30%	
13	10%
18	15
18	15
18	15
18	35
18	35
18	30
18	30
18	25
100	25
100	25
100	25
100	25
100	25
100	100
	30% 13 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 100 100 100

Deferred vested members are assumed to retire at first unreduced retirement age.



APPENDIX C – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Salary Scale:

Salaries are assumed to increase according to the schedule illustrated below:

	Annual Sala	ary Increase
Duration	Certificated	Classified
0	5.20%	6.50%
1	5.20	5.35
2	5.20	5.10
3	5.20	4.85
4	5.20	4.60
5	5.20	4.50
6	5.20	4.40
7	5.20	4.30
8-9	5.20	4.10
10	5.20	5.20
11	5.20	4.10
12-14	5.20	3.60
15	5.85	5.60
16-19	5.05	3.60
20	5.35	5.10
21-23	4.15	3.60
24	4.60	3.60
25	6.10	5.10
26-29	3.35	3.35
30	4.10	5.10
31-34	3.35	3.10
35	4.10	3.60
36-39	3.10	3.10
40	3.85	4.10
41+	3.10	3.10

Note: The salary scale reflects a general wage growth assumption of 3.10% as of January 1, 2023. The general wage growth assumption, and therefore the salary scale, will decrease each year until reaching the ultimate rate of 2.85% in the 2025 valuation.

Pre-Retirement Survivor Annuity:

It is assumed that females are three years younger than males, and that 85% of members are married.

Probability of Electing a Refund:

The proportion of terminating vested members electing a refund

of member contributions:

20% for Certificated members with less than 15 years of service 10% for Certificated members with 15 or more years of service 35% for Classified members with less than 11 years of service 25% for Classified members with 11 or more years of service

Cost of Living Adjustments:

1.5% if became member before 7/1/2013 1.0% if became member on or after 7/1/2013



APPENDIX C – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Inactive Vested Load A 5% load on deferred monthly benefits is included to reflect

that some inactive vested members' account balances are

greater than the present value of their deferred benefit.

Administrative Expense 0.24% of payroll

Pop-up Benefit If a retired member has elected to receive a "pop-up" benefit,

their benefit amount is assumed to increase by 10% in the

event their beneficiary predeceases them.

Decrement Timing: Middle of year

Valuation Salary Methodology Valuation salaries are imputed using each member's

contribution amount during the prior year. For members who did not work a full year, their salaries are annualized using

current salary rates.

Salaries are assumed to increase by 2.0% for members who have not yet finalized their contract negotiations as of the

valuation date. This assumption did not impact any members

in the January 1, 2023 valuation.



Actuarial Cost Method

The actuarial cost method is a procedure for allocating the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses to time periods. The method used for the valuation is known as the individual entry-age actuarial cost method and has the following characteristics.

- (i) The annual normal costs for individual active member are sufficient to accumulate the value of the member's pension at time of retirement.
- (ii) Each annual normal cost is a constant percentage of the member's year-by-year projected pensionable compensation.

The entry-age actuarial cost method allocates the actuarial present value of each member's projected benefits on a level basis over the member's pensionable compensation between the entry-age of the member and the assumed exit-ages.

The portion of the actuarial present value allocated to the valuation year is called the normal cost. The portion of the actuarial present value not provided for by the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the actuarial accrued liability. Deducting accrued assets from the actuarial accrued liability determines the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL).

Asset Valuation Method

Assets are valued at expected value at the valuation date plus 25% of the difference between the market value and expected value. As a starting point for implementation of this asset valuation method, the actuarial value of assets as of September 1, 1996 was set equal to the market value. As of September 1, 2007, the actuarial value was again reset to market value. The smoothing method was again implemented in the 2008 valuation. Effective September 1, 2008, the actuarial value must fall within a corridor of 80% to 120% of market value.

UAAL Amortization Method

Effective with the January 1, 2019 valuation, OSERS amortizes the UAAL using a "layered" approach. Under this method, the UAAL is split into pieces; the first piece is amortized, as a level-percent of pay, over a closed 30-year period beginning with the January 1, 2019 valuation (26 years remain as of the January 1, 2023 valuation). Future UAAL bases that result from future actuarial experience will be amortized, as a level-percent of pay, over a new 25-year closed period commencing on the respective valuation date.



APPENDIX D MEMBERSHIP DATA



SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP DATA

Members on 1/1/2022	<u>Active</u> 7,086	Inactive Vesteds 1,350	Nonvested <u>Terminations</u> 1,152	<u>Retirees*</u> 4,940	Beneficiaries 284	Deferred Disableds 11	In-Pay <u>Disableds</u> 14	<u>Total</u> 14,837
Terminated – vested	(281)	281	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terminated – refund due	(386)	0	386	0	0	0	0	0
Terminated – refunded	(314)	(64)	(186)	0	0	0	0	(564)
Retired	(210)	(21)	0	234	0	(3)	0	0
Disability retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Death	(9)	0	0	(132)	(21)	(1)	0	(163)
Payments ended	0	0	0	0	(13)	0	0	(13)
New beneficiaries	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	33
New Alternate Payees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New members	794	0	142	0	0	0	0	936
Rehires	32	(14)	(18)	0	0	0	0	0
Corrections/adjustments	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Members on 1/1/2023	6,712	1,532	1,476	5,042	284	7	14	15,067

^{*} Includes QDROs



HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF MEMBERS

The following table displays selected historical data that was used in the actuarial valuation for the System.

Active Members

	<u> </u>											
Valuati	ion				Average			-	Number			
Date	Total	•		Entry		Annual	Pay	Inactive	Inactive		Act/Ret	
January 1*	Count	Number	Age	Age	Service	Pay (\$)	Increase	Vested	Nonvested	Retired	Ratio	
1998	8,204	5,680	44.2	33.7	10.5	28,912		330		2,194	2.59	
1999	8,564	5,864	43.9	34.0	9.9	29,493	2.01%	386		2,314	2.53	
2000	8,885	6,057	43.8	34.1	9.7	30,544	3.56%	380		2,448	2.47	
2001	9,156	6,259	44.0	34.4	9.6	32,091	5.06%	368		2,529	2.47	
2002	9,409	6,383	43.9	34.5	9.4	33,406	4.10%	384		2,642	2.42	
2003	9,425	6,279	44.0	34.5	9.5	33,877	1.41%	385		2,761	2.27	
2004	9,711	6,399	44.2	34.6	9.6	34,698	2.42%	473		2,839	2.25	
2005	10,124	6,623	44.1	34.8	9.3	35,234	1.54%	485		3,016	2.20	
2006	10,522	6,972	44.1	34.9	9.2	35,732	1.41%	442		3,108	2.24	
2007	10,769	7,041	44.2	35.1	9.1	36,720	2.77%	483		3,245	2.17	
2008	11,228	7,313	44.2	35.2	9.0	37,725	2.74%	515		3,400	2.15	
2009	11,480	7,438	44.5	35.5	9.0	38,686	2.55%	553		3,489	2.13	
2010	11,644	7,491	44.7	35.4	9.3	39,152	1.20%	566		3,587	2.09	
2011	11,602	7,215	45.1	35.2	9.9	40,394	3.17%	680		3,707	1.95	
2012	11,881	7,315	44.9	35.0	9.9	40,793	0.99%	723		3,843	1.90	
2013	12,152	7,372	44.9	34.9	10.0	41,731	2.30%	813		3,967	1.86	
2014	12,477	7,415	44.7	34.8	9.9	42,427	1.67%	937		4,125	1.80	
2015	12,938	7,393	44.5	34.7	9.8	44,050	3.83%	984	210	4,351	1.70	
2017	13,386	7,462	44.5	34.1	10.4	44,998	2.15%	1,035	347	4,542	1.64	
2018	13,703	7,569	44.5	34.1	10.4	46,233	2.74%	1,043	413	4,678	1.62	
2019	13,788	7,177	44.8	33.8	11.0	47,300	2.31%	1,114	671	4,826	1.49	
2020	14,218	7,366	44.5	33.9	10.6	47,571	0.57%	1,163	709	4,980	1.48	
2021**	14,411	7,182	44.2	33.4	10.8	52,027	9.37%	1,223	917	5,089	1.41	
2022	14,837	7,086	44.1	33.4	10.7	54,980	5.68%	1,361	1,152	5,238	1.35	
2023	15,067	6,712	44.6	33.6	11.0	60,528	10.09%	1,539	1,476	5,340	1.26	

^{*} Years prior to 2017 have a valuation date of September 1.

^{**} Salary data refinement.



SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP COUNTS

SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP	Jan. 1, 2023	Jan. 1, 2022	% Chg
1. Active Members			
a. Certificated			
(1) Tier 1	2,026	2,422	(16.4)
(2) Tier 2	486	619	(21.5)
(3) Tier 3	348	453	(23.2)
(4) Tier 4	<u>1,114</u>	<u>1,190</u>	(6.4)
(5) Total	3,974	4,684	(15.2)
b. Classified			
(1) Tier 1	920	892	3.1
(2) Tier 2	291	278	4.7
(3) Tier 3	231	238	(2.9)
(4) Tier 4	<u>1,296</u>	<u>994</u>	30.4
(5) Total	2,738	2,402	14.0
c. Total			
(1) Tier 1	2,946	3,314	(11.1)
(2) Tier 2	777	897	(13.4)
(3) Tier 3	579	691	(16.2)
(4) Tier 4	<u>2,410</u>	<u>2,184</u>	10.3
(5) Total	6,712	7,086	(5.3)
2. Retirees and Disabled Members	5,056	4,954	2.1
3. Beneficiaries	284	284	0.0
4. Inactive Vested Members			
(1) Tier 1	1,237	1,156	7.0
(2) Tier 2	215	171	25.7
(3) Tier 3	85	34	150.0
(4) Tier 4	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	NA
(5) Total	1,539	1,361	13.1
5. Nonvested Terminations			
(1) Tier 1	260	253	2.8
(2) Tier 2	124	122	1.6
(3) Tier 3	208	227	(8.4)
(4) Tier 4	<u>884</u>	<u>550</u>	60.7
(5) Total	1,476	1,152	28.1
6. Total	15,067	14,837	1.6





OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

as of January 1, 2023

Total

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	304	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	304
25 to 29	640	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	723
30 to 34	345	347	39	0	0	0	0	0	731
35 to 39	234	218	213	57	0	0	0	0	722
40 to 44	226	153	143	309	63	0	0	0	894
45 to 49	169	121	86	155	233	28	0	0	792
50 to 54	134	126	104	141	177	134	20	0	836
55 to 59	165	105	91	110	115	81	50	4	721
60 to 64	128	101	72	106	99	52	30	23	611
65 & Up	102	105	37	44	47	21	14	8	378
Total	2,447	1,359	785	922	734	316	114	35	6,712







OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PROJECTED SALARY DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

as of January 1, 2023

Total

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	11,345,040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11,345,040
25 to 29	30,755,699	4,658,483	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,414,182
30 to 34	15,836,291	20,828,446	2,511,905	0	0	0	0	0	39,176,642
35 to 39	11,638,053	13,369,194	14,513,506	4,310,483	0	0	0	0	43,831,236
40 to 44	11,692,807	9,735,938	9,760,414	24,944,788	5,289,228	0	0	0	61,423,175
45 to 49	8,474,686	7,149,975	5,752,921	11,595,361	19,707,893	2,588,299	0	0	55,269,135
50 to 54	7,217,764	7,500,266	6,591,733	10,124,199	14,659,529	12,680,915	2,183,031	0	60,957,437
55 to 59	7,766,342	5,388,438	5,159,073	7,316,853	8,639,429	6,758,526	4,438,057	357,875	45,824,593
60 to 64	5,847,162	4,839,509	3,966,070	6,416,835	6,297,819	3,216,765	2,534,821	1,993,338	35,112,319
65 & Up	3,629,411	4,904,521	1,673,379	2,011,620	2,789,565	1,281,775	810,801	810,466	17,911,538
Total	114,203,255	78,374,770	49,929,001	66,720,139	57,383,463	26,526,280	9,966,710	3,161,679	406,265,297





OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

as of January 1, 2023

Certificated - Total

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	119
25 to 29	429	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	495
30 to 34	169	287	33	0	0	0	0	0	489
35 to 39	103	163	176	50	0	0	0	0	492
40 to 44	109	102	119	281	53	0	0	0	664
45 to 49	72	67	60	125	211	23	0	0	558
50 to 54	57	68	60	93	143	122	17	0	560
55 to 59	38	33	33	46	70	58	42	2	322
60 to 64	27	29	17	37	45	17	15	15	202
65 & Up	16	19	7	6	13	4	3	5	73
Total	1,139	834	505	638	535	224	77	22	3,974







OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PROJECTED SALARY DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

as of January 1, 2023

Certificated - Total

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	5,982,651	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,982,651
25 to 29	23,736,554	3,975,738	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,712,292
30 to 34	9,758,696	18,303,980	2,212,733	0	0	0	0	0	30,275,409
35 to 39	6,415,283	10,557,126	12,698,392	3,976,777	0	0	0	0	33,647,578
40 to 44	7,033,834	7,088,106	8,476,894	23,366,820	4,740,925	0	0	0	50,706,579
45 to 49	4,698,966	4,497,443	4,418,068	10,037,417	18,579,040	2,229,491	0	0	44,460,425
50 to 54	3,856,865	4,875,408	4,372,059	7,481,739	12,594,663	11,976,109	1,946,078	0	47,102,921
55 to 59	2,655,223	2,294,146	2,339,102	3,498,887	6,003,808	5,473,556	3,929,243	186,447	26,380,412
60 to 64	1,967,723	1,932,652	1,269,604	2,789,025	3,750,356	1,420,800	1,267,335	1,431,803	15,829,298
65 & Up	952,358	1,459,085	500,119	497,470	1,145,679	424,739	269,174	601,012	5,849,636
Total	67,058,153	54,983,684	36,286,971	51,648,135	46,814,471	21,524,695	7,411,830	2,219,262	287,947,201




OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS


as of January 1, 2023

Certificated - Tier 1

S	er	V10	ce
•		_	

Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 to 29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 to 34	0	4	33	0	0	0	0	0	37
35 to 39	1	10	176	50	0	0	0	0	237
40 to 44	0	4	119	281	53	0	0	0	457
45 to 49	0	2	60	125	211	23	0	0	421
50 to 54	0	2	60	93	143	122	17	0	437
55 to 59	0	1	33	46	70	58	42	2	252
60 to 64	0	1	17	37	45	17	15	15	147
65 & Up	0	0	7	6	13	4	3	5	38
Total	1	24	505	638	535	224	77	22	2,026








OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS


as of January 1, 2023

Certificated - Tier 2

~	
Serv	vice
SCI	VICC

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 to 29	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
30 to 34	2	172	0	0	0	0	0	0	174
35 to 39	1	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	107
40 to 44	1	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
45 to 49	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
50 to 54	2	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
55 to 59	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
60 to 64	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
65 & Up	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Total	6	480	0	0	0	0	0	0	486









as of January 1, 2023

Certificated - Tier 3

~	
Serv	vice.
~ • •	, ,,,,,

					DCI VICC				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 to 29	8	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
30 to 34	7	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	118
35 to 39	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
40 to 44	2	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
45 to 49	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
50 to 54	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
55 to 59	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
60 to 64	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
65 & Up	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Total	18	330	0	0	0	0	0	0	348









as of January 1, 2023

Certificated - Tier 4

Service	
0 to 24	2

Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	119
25 to 29	421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	421
30 to 34	160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	160
35 to 39	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	101
40 to 44	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	106
45 to 49	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72
50 to 54	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55
55 to 59	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
60 to 64	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
65 & Up	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Total	1,114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,114





1,308

Total

525

280




OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

as of January 1, 2023

Classified - Total

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	185
25 to 29	211	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	228
30 to 34	176	60	6	0	0	0	0	0	242
35 to 39	131	55	37	7	0	0	0	0	230
40 to 44	117	51	24	28	10	0	0	0	230
45 to 49	97	54	26	30	22	5	0	0	234
50 to 54	77	58	44	48	34	12	3	0	276
55 to 59	127	72	58	64	45	23	8	2	399
60 to 64	101	72	55	69	54	35	15	8	409
65 & Up	86	86	30	38	34	17	11	3	305



284


199

92

37

13

2,738





OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PROJECTED SALARY DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

as of January 1, 2023

Classified - Total


					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	5,362,389	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,362,389
25 to 29	7,019,145	682,745	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,701,890
30 to 34	6,077,595	2,524,466	299,172	0	0	0	0	0	8,901,233
35 to 39	5,222,771	2,812,067	1,815,114	333,706	0	0	0	0	10,183,658
40 to 44	4,658,973	2,647,832	1,283,520	1,577,968	548,303	0	0	0	10,716,596
45 to 49	3,775,720	2,652,531	1,334,853	1,557,944	1,128,853	358,809	0	0	10,808,710
50 to 54	3,360,898	2,624,858	2,219,675	2,642,461	2,064,866	704,806	236,952	0	13,854,516
55 to 59	5,111,119	3,094,292	2,819,971	3,817,966	2,635,621	1,284,970	508,814	171,428	19,444,181
60 to 64	3,879,438	2,906,858	2,696,466	3,627,810	2,547,462	1,795,966	1,267,486	561,535	19,283,021
65 & Up	2,677,053	3,445,436	1,173,260	1,514,150	1,643,886	857,036	541,627	209,454	12,061,902
Total	47,145,101	23,391,085	13,642,031	15,072,005	10,568,991	5,001,587	2,554,879	942,417	118,318,096




as of January 1, 2023

Classified - Tier 1

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 to 29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 to 34	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
35 to 39	1	2	37	7	0	0	0	0	47
40 to 44	0	1	24	28	10	0	0	0	63
45 to 49	0	0	26	30	22	5	0	0	83
50 to 54	0	7	44	48	34	12	3	0	148
55 to 59	0	3	58	64	45	23	8	2	203
60 to 64	0	2	54	69	54	35	15	8	237
65 & Up	0	0	30	38	34	17	11	3	133
Total	1	15	279	284	199	92	37	13	920





0 to 4

5 to 9

10 to 14

Age

Under 25

25 to 29

30 to 34

35 to 39

40 to 44

45 to 49

50 to 54

55 to 59

60 to 64

65 & Up

Total



OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS


as of January 1, 2023

Classified - Tier 2

	Service				
15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	7
0	0	0	0	0	26
0	0	0	0	0	29
0	0	0	0	0	27
0	0	0	0	0	33

Age Distribution

60
50
40
30
20
10
Under 25 25 to 29 30 to 34 35 to 39 40 to 44 45 to 49 50 to 54 55 to 59 60 to 64 65 & Up






as of January 1, 2023

Classified - Tier 3

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 to 29	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
30 to 34	2	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
35 to 39	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
40 to 44	2	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
45 to 49	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
50 to 54	1	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
55 to 59	2	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
60 to 64	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
65 & Up	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	35



0


0

0

0

0

231



Total

10

221

0





as of January 1, 2023

Classified - Tier 4

\sim		
Set	rv1	ce

					Service				
Age	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & Up	Total
Under 25	185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	185
25 to 29	206	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	209
30 to 34	173	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	175
35 to 39	127	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	128
40 to 44	114	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	115
45 to 49	96	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	98
50 to 54	75	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
55 to 59	122	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	123
60 to 64	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	101
65 & Up	83	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	84
Total	1,282	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,296








Total

		Number		Projected Salaries				
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total		
Under 25	90	214	304	\$3,655,29	9 \$7,689,741	\$11,345,040		
25 to 29	172	551	723	8,469,47	9 26,944,703	35,414,182		
30 to 34	187	544	731	10,459,24	9 28,717,393	39,176,642		
35 to 39	205	517	722	12,771,31	7 31,059,919	43,831,236		
40 to 44	248	646	894	18,013,02	43,410,155	61,423,175		
45 to 49	213	579	792	15,767,74	39,501,392	55,269,135		
50 to 54	215	621	836	17,308,95	43,648,482	60,957,437		
55 to 59	209	512	721	14,434,60	31,389,985	45,824,593		
60 to 64	178	433	611	11,321,29	23,791,022	35,112,319		
65 & Up	147	231	378	7,631,34	10,280,197	17,911,538		
Total	1,864	4,848	6,712	\$119,832,30	8 \$286,432,989	\$406,265,297		






as of January 1, 2023

Certificated

		Number		Projected Salaries
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males Females Total
Under 25	30	89	119	\$ 1,463,591 \$ 4,519,060 \$ 5,982,651
25 to 29	96	399	495	5,465,650 22,246,642 27,712,292
30 to 34	113	376	489	7,143,777 23,131,632 30,275,409
35 to 39	118	374	492	8,192,134 25,455,444 33,647,578
40 to 44	164	500	664	13,013,937 37,692,642 50,706,579
45 to 49	136	422	558	11,191,886 33,268,539 44,460,425
50 to 54	126	434	560	11,329,931 35,772,990 47,102,921
55 to 59	65	257	322	5,352,725 21,027,687 26,380,412
60 to 64	43	159	202	3,265,761 12,563,537 15,829,298
65 & Up	22	51	73	1,791,369 4,058,267 5,849,636
Total	913	3,061	3,974	\$ 68,210,761 \$ 219,736,440 \$ 287,947,201






as of January 1, 2023

Classified

		Number		F	Projected Salaries	S
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total
Under 25	60	125	185	\$ 2,191,708	\$ 3,170,681	\$ 5,362,389
25 to 29	76	152	228	3,003,829	4,698,061	7,701,890
30 to 34	74	168	242	3,315,472	5,585,761	8,901,233
35 to 39	87	143	230	4,579,183	5,604,475	10,183,658
40 to 44	84	146	230	4,999,083	5,717,513	10,716,596
45 to 49	77	157	234	4,575,857	6,232,853	10,808,710
50 to 54	89	187	276	5,979,024	7,875,492	13,854,516
55 to 59	144	255	399	9,081,883	10,362,298	19,444,181
60 to 64	135	274	409	8,055,536	11,227,485	19,283,021
65 & Up	125	180	305	5,839,972	6,221,930	12,061,902
Total	951	1,787	2,738	 \$ 51,621,547	\$ 66,696,549	\$ 118,318,096






as of January 1, 2023

Total

	Number			Monthly Benefit at Unreduced Retirement		
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
25 to 29	2	14	16	927	4,993	5,920
30 to 34	22	129	151	11,094	67,436	78,530
35 to 39	54	196	250	38,125	130,095	168,220
40 to 44	83	231	314	78,785	207,932	286,717
45 to 49	62	183	245	88,337	172,834	261,171
50 to 54	45	166	211	69,557	147,178	216,735
55 to 59	40	135	175	38,549	94,728	133,277
60 to 64	17	103	120	18,476	58,687	77,163
65 & Up	8	49	57	3,410	21,736	25,146
Total	333	1,206	1,539	\$347,260	\$905,619	\$1,252,879

Note: Includes 7 deferred disabled members.






as of January 1, 2023

Tier 1

	Number			Monthly Ber	nefit at Unreduce	ed Retirement
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
25 to 29	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 to 34	5	27	32	2,946	15,973	18,919
35 to 39	45	154	199	33,315	108,002	141,317
40 to 44	72	211	283	71,383	198,776	270,159
45 to 49	56	165	221	81,612	163,488	245,100
50 to 54	42	151	193	67,439	140,728	208,167
55 to 59	34	121	155	35,232	88,870	124,102
60 to 64	15	91	106	15,596	51,774	67,370
65 & Up	4	44	48	1,246	19,967	21,213
Total	273	964	1,237	\$ 308,769	\$ 787,578	\$1,096,347


Note: Includes 7 deferred disabled members.





Tier 2


	Number			Monthly Bene	fit at Unreduced	d Retirement
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
25 to 29	0	3	3	0	623	623
30 to 34	10	72	82	5,389	38,893	44,282
35 to 39	8	32	40	4,342	17,915	22,257
40 to 44	9	14	23	6,195	7,135	13,330
45 to 49	6	15	21	6,725	8,287	15,012
50 to 54	3	10	13	2,118	4,234	6,352
55 to 59	4	11	15	2,327	4,977	7,304
60 to 64	2	10	12	2,880	6,537	9,417
65 & Up	3	3	6	1,754	1,364	3,118
Total	45	170	215	\$ 31,730	\$ 89,965	\$ 121,695





Tier 3

		Number		Monthly Benefit at Unreduced Retirement			
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
Under 25	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	
25 to 29	2	11	13	927	4,370	5,297	
30 to 34	6	30	36	2,220	12,570	14,790	
35 to 39	1	10	11	468	4,178	4,646	
40 to 44	2	6	8	1,207	2,021	3,228	
45 to 49	0	3	3	0	1,059	1,059	
50 to 54	0	5	5	0	2,216	2,216	
55 to 59	2	3	5	990	881	1,871	
60 to 64	0	1	1	0	150	150	
65 & Up	1	2	3	410	405	815	
Total	14	71	85	\$ 6,222	\$ 27,850	\$ 34,072	





Tier 4


		Number		Monthly Benefit at Unreduced Retirement				
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total		
Under 25	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0		
25 to 29	0	0	0	0	0	0		
30 to 34	1	0	1	539	0	539		
35 to 39	0	0	0	0	0	0		
40 to 44	0	0	0	0	0	0		
45 to 49	0	0	0	0	0	0		
50 to 54	0	0	0	0	0	0		
55 to 59	0	0	0	0	0	0		
60 to 64	0	1	1	0	226	226		
65 & Up	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1	1	2	\$ 539	\$ 226	\$ 765		




as of January 1, 2023

Total

	Number			Total Monthly Benefit			
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
Under 55	6	11	17	\$ 6,401	\$ 14,073	\$ 20,474	
55 to 59	44	143	187	131,244	417,971	549,215	
60 to 64	124	371	495	297,411	906,793	1,204,204	
65 to 69	261	790	1,051	590,308	1,678,578	2,268,886	
70 to 74	351	980	1,331	915,823	2,118,679	3,034,502	
75 to 79	322	713	1,035	837,755	1,647,107	2,484,862	
80 to 84	198	470	668	500,033	916,254	1,416,287	
85 to 89	101	261	362	233,386	492,079	725,465	
90 to 94	25	118	143	65,952	205,808	271,760	
95 & Up	12	39	51	31,351	69,493	100,844	
Total	1,444	3,896	5,340	\$ 3,609,664	\$8,466,835	\$12,076,499	









Tier 1

	Number			Total Monthly Benefit			
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
Under 55	6	11	17	\$ 6,401	\$ 14,073	\$ 20,474	
55 to 59	44	143	187	131,244	417,971	549,215	
60 to 64	123	368	491	297,295	905,790	1,203,085	
65 to 69	248	752	1,000	583,584	1,661,809	2,245,393	
70 to 74	346	973	1,319	914,183	2,114,363	3,028,546	
75 to 79	320	712	1,032	837,132	1,646,920	2,484,052	
80 to 84	197	470	667	499,662	916,254	1,415,916	
85 to 89	101	260	361	233,386	491,850	725,236	
90 to 94	25	118	143	65,952	205,808	271,760	
95 & Up	12	39	51	31,351	69,493	100,844	
Total	1,422	3,846	5,268	\$3,600,190	\$8,444,331	\$12,044,521	









Tier 2

		Number		Total Monthly Benefit			
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
Under 55	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	
55 to 59	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	0	0	
65 to 69	12	24	36	6,254	11,281	17,535	
70 to 74	4	7	11	1,279	4,316	5,595	
75 to 79	2	0	2	623	0	623	
80 to 84	1	0	1	371	0	371	
85 to 89	0	1	1	0	229	229	
90 to 94	0	0	0	0	0	0	
95 & Up	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	19	32	51	\$8,527	\$15,826	\$24,353	







Tier 3

	Number			Total Monthly Benefit			
Age	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
Under 55	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	
55 to 59	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 to 64	1	3	4	116	1,003	1,119	
65 to 69	1	14	15	470	5,488	5,958	
70 to 74	1	0	1	361	0	361	
75 to 79	0	1	1	0	187	187	
80 to 84	0	0	0	0	0	0	
85 to 89	0	0	0	0	0	0	
90 to 94	0	0	0	0	0	0	
95 & Up	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	3	18	21	\$ 947	\$6,678	\$7,625	

