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## Re: Fifty-Ninth Annual Actuarial Report

Members of the Board:
At your request, we have performed an annual actuarial valuation of the Omaha School Employees' Retirement System (OSERS) as of September 1, 2010 for determining the actuarial contribution rate for the year ending August 31, 2011. The major findings of the valuation are contained in this report.

This is the first valuation prepared by Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting, LLC (CMC). As part of our transition work, we replicated the September 1, 2009 actuarial valuation. While results were well within acceptable limits, there was a difference in the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) and normal cost rate. The unfunded actuarial liability, calculated by CMC, was $\$ 4.7$ million higher than that reported in the official September 1, 2009 actuarial valuation report and the normal cost rate was higher by $.02 \%$ ( $11.61 \%$ vs. $11.59 \%$ ).

There were no changes in the plan provisions or actuarial methods since the September 1, 2009 actuarial valuation. The inflation assumption was changed from $3.5 \%$ to $3.0 \%$ in this valuation. However, the two assumptions which are impacted by the inflation assumption, the investment return assumption and the wage growth assumption, remained unchanged from the prior valuation at $8.0 \%$ and $4.0 \%$ respectively. As a result, the real rate of return increased from $4.5 \%$ to $5.0 \%$ and the productivity portion of the general wage increase assumption increased from $0.5 \%$ to $1.0 \%$.

In preparing this report, we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the System's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, member data and financial information. We found this information to be reasonably
consistent and comparable with information used for other purposes. The valuation results depend on the integrity of this information. If any of this information is inaccurate or incomplete our results may be different and our calculations may need to be revised.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the System's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements. The Board of Trustees has the final decision regarding the appropriateness of the assumptions and adopted them as indicated in Appendix C.

Actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of determining the recommended funding amounts for the System. Actuarial computations presented in this report under GASB Statements No. 25 and 27 are for purposes of fulfilling financial accounting requirements. The computations prepared for these two purposes may differ as disclosed in our report. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the System's funding requirements and goals. The calculations in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the plan provisions described in Appendix B of this report, and of GASB Statements No. 25 and 27. Determinations for purposes other than meeting these requirements may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes.

This is to certify that the independent consulting actuary is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and has experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems, that the valuation was prepared in accordance with principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board, and that the actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries in accordance with accepted actuarial procedures, based on the current provisions of the retirement system and on actuarial assumptions that are internally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System.

We herewith submit the following report and look forward to discussing it with you.
Respectfully Submitted,
Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting, LLC.


Patrice A. Beckham, F.S.A.
Consulting Actuary


Brent A. Banister, F.S.A. Consulting Actuary
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This report presents the results of the September 1, 2010 actuarial valuation of the Omaha School Employees' Retirement System (OSERS). The primary purposes of performing the valuation are as follows:

- to certify that School District contributions equal to $101 \%$ of member's contributions, in addition to contributions paid by the members and the State, for the Plan Year beginning September 1, 2010 will be sufficient to fund the benefits expected to be paid to members, or to determine the actuarial contribution rate necessary to maintain the solvency of the System, as defined in this report,
- to disclose various asset and liability measures as of September 1, 2010, and
- to analyze and report on trends in System contributions, assets, and liabilities over the past several years.

This is the first valuation prepared by Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting, LLC (CMC). As part of our transition work, we replicated the September 1, 2009 actuarial valuation. While results were well within acceptable limits, there was a difference in the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) and normal cost rate. The unfunded actuarial liability, calculated by CMC, was $\$ 4.7$ million higher than that reported in the official September 1, 2009 actuarial valuation report and the normal cost rate was higher by $.02 \%$ ( $11.61 \%$ vs $11.59 \%$ ). These differences are neither unusual nor significant. It is very common for differences in valuation results to occur due to the use of different valuation software.

There were no changes in the plan provisions or actuarial methods since the September 1, 2009 actuarial valuation. The inflation assumption was changed from $3.5 \%$ to $3.0 \%$ in this valuation. However, the two assumptions which are impacted by the inflation assumption, the investment return assumption and the wage growth assumption, remained unchanged from the prior valuation at $8.0 \%$ and $4.0 \%$ respectively. As a result, the real rate of return increased from $4.5 \%$ to $5.0 \%$ and the productivity portion of the general wage increase assumption increased from $0.5 \%$ to $1.0 \%$.

It should be noted that because some contract negotiations were not complete at the time the valuation was begun, an assumed salary increase of $2.0 \%$ was applied to the data we received to estimate the salaries for the year ending August 31, 2011 for those employees.

The actuarial valuation results provide a "snapshot" view of the System's financial condition on September 1, 2010 based on the System's membership, benefit structure, and assets on that date. The valuation results reflect net unfavorable experience for the past plan year as demonstrated by an unfunded actuarial liability that was higher than expected based on the actuarial assumptions. The unfavorable experience was due to an actuarial loss on assets, which was partially offset by a gain on liabilities.

## Assets

As of September 1, 2010, the System had total funds of $\$ 951$ million measured on a market value basis. This was an increase of $\$ 67$ million from the prior year and represents a rate of return of approximately $10.5 \%$. The components of this change are shown in the following table:

|  | Market Value (\$M) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net Assets, September 1, 2009 | $\$$ | 884 |
| - District, State and Member Contributions | + | 57 |
| - Benefit Payments and Refunds | - | 81 |
| - Administrative Expenses | - | 1 |
| - Investment Return | + | 92 |
| Net Assets, September 1, 2010 | $\$$ | 951 |

The market value of assets is not used directly in the calculation of the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) and actuarial contribution rates. An asset valuation method, which smoothes the effect of market fluctuations, is used to determine the value of assets used in the valuation. This amount, called the "actuarial value of assets", is equal to the expected asset value, based on the prior year actuarial value and the assumed interest rate of $8.0 \%$, plus $25 \%$ of the difference between the actual market value and the expected asset value. The resulting value must be no less than $80 \%$ of market value and no more than $120 \%$ of market value (referred to as a corridor). The corridor did not apply this year as the actuarial value of assets was only $113 \%$ of market value. The actuarial value of assets as of September 1, 2010 was $\$ 1,078$ million, an increase of $\$ 17$ million from the prior year. The components of change in the actuarial value of assets are shown in the following table.

The change in the actuarial value of assets from September 1, 2009 to September 1, 2010 is shown below:

|  | Actuarial Value (\$M) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Actuarial Assets, September 1, 2009 | $\$ 1,061$ |
| • District, State and Member Contributions | + |
| - Benefit Payments and Refunds | - |
| - Expected Investment Income (based on 8.0\% assumption) | $+\quad 84$ |
| - Actuarial Investment Gain/(Loss) | - |
| Preliminary Actuarial Assets, September 1, 2010 | $\$ 1,078$ |
| - Application of Corridor | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Final Actuarial Assets, September 1,2010 | $\$ 1,078$ |

The dollar-weighted rate of return, net of investment and administrative expenses, measured on the actuarial value of assets was approximately 4.0\%. A comparison of asset values on both the market and actuarial basis is shown below:

|  | September 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 5}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 0}}$ |  |
| Market Value of Assets | $\$ \mathbf{8 8 3}$ | $\$ 978$ | $\$ 1,118$ | $\$ 1,050$ | $\$$ | 884 | $\$ 951$ |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 887 | 949 | 1,118 | 1,149 | 1,061 | 1,078 |  |
| Actuarial Value/Market Value | $100 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $109 \%$ | $120 \%$ | $113 \%$ |  |

There is currently $\$ 127$ million of deferred (unrecognized) investment loss. Absent favorable investment experience in future years to offset the recognition of the deferred loss, it will decrease the System's funding and increase the contribution rate as it is reflected through the asset smoothing method.


For most of the last decade, the actuarial value of assets has exceeded the market value of assets. With the use of an asset smoothing method the actuarial value is expected to both exceed and be smaller than the market value of assets over time.


The estimated rate of return on the actuarial and market value of assets for the last ten years is shown in this graph. The asset smoothing method is mitigating the volatility of market value returns as shown in the rates of return on the actuarial versus market value of assets.

## Liabilities

The actuarial liability is that portion of the present value of future benefits that will not be paid by future employer normal costs or member contributions. The difference between this liability and asset values at the same date is referred to as the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). The unfunded actuarial liability will be reduced if the employer's contributions exceed the employer's normal cost for the year, after allowing for interest earned on the previous balance of the unfunded actuarial liability. Benefit improvements, experience gains and losses, and changes in actuarial assumptions and methods will also impact the total actuarial liability (AL) and the unfunded portion thereof.

The unfunded actuarial liability as of September 1, 2010 is shown below:

> Actuarial Liability
> Actuarial Value of Assets
> Unfunded Actuarial Liability

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 1,467,850,000 \\
1,078,269,000 \\
\hline \$ 389,581,000
\end{array}
$$

Numerous factors contributed to the change in the System's UAL between September 1, 2009 and September 1, 2010. The components are examined in the following discussion.

Actuarial gains (or losses) result from actual experience that is more (or less) favorable than anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions. These "experience" (or actuarial) gains or losses are reflected in the UAL and are measured as the difference between the expected unfunded actuarial liability and the actual unfunded actuarial liability, taking into account any changes due to assumption, method or benefit provision changes. Overall, the System experienced a net actuarial loss of $\$ 29$ million due to a $\$ 42$ million loss on the actuarial value of assets which was partially offset by a gain of $\$ 13$ million on the actuarial liability.

The change in the unfunded actuarial liability between September 1, 2009 and 2010 is shown in the following table (in millions):

| Unfunded Actuarial Liability, September 1, 2009 | $\$$ | 349 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| - Expected change in UAL |  |  |
| $\quad-\quad$ Contributions in excess of fixed payroll rate | - | 0 |
| $\quad-\quad$ Amortization method | + | 6 |
| - Contributions less than ARC | + | 2 |
| - Investment experience | + | 42 |
| B. Actuarial SERVICES STAFFING |  |  |
| Liability experience |  |  |


| - Salary increases | - | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - New entrants/Rehires | + | 2 |
| - Mortality | - | 0 |
| - Retirement | - | 4 |
| - Termination | + | 3 |
| - Other demographic experience | - | 1 |
| - Other experience |  | 1 |
| - Change in actuarial firms | + | 5 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability, September 1, 2010 | \$ | 390 |

## Contribution Rate

The actuarial contribution rate for the System consists of:

- a "normal cost" for the portion of projected liabilities allocated by the actuarial cost method to service of members during the year following the valuation date,
- an "unfunded actuarial liability contribution" for the excess of the portion of projected liabilities allocated to service to date over the actuarial value of assets.

Contribution rates are computed with the objective of developing costs that are level as a percentage of covered payroll. The contribution rate for fiscal year 2011 is computed based on the September 1, 2010 actuarial valuation.

The actuarial contribution rate increased $0.78 \%$ from the 2009 to the 2010 valuation, as shown below.

## Actuarial Valuation

| Contribution Rate | $\mathbf{9 / 1 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 / 1 / 0 9}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Normal Cost | $11.71 \%$ | $11.59 \%$ |
| 2. | UAL Contribution | $\underline{7.32 \%}$ | $\underline{6.66 \%}$ |
| 3. Total Actuarial Contribution Rate | $19.03 \%$ | $18.25 \%$ |  |
| 4. Statutory Contribution Rate | $\underline{(17.68 \%)}$ | $\underline{1.35 \%}$ | $0.57 \%$ |

## COMMENTS

As of September 1, 2010, the actuarial accrued liability was $\$ 1.5$ billion and the actuarial value of assets was $\$ 1.1$ billion, resulting in a funded ratio of $73 \%$, down slightly from a funded ratio of $75 \%$ last year. Based on the market value of assets, the funded ratio is $65 \%$. The investment return for FY2010 on the market value of asset exceeded $10 \%$, but the recognition of part of the deferred investment loss from FY2009 resulted in a return of less than $8 \%$ on the actuarial value of assets. Based on the valuation results, the current statutory contribution rates are not sufficient to pay off the System's UAL within 30 years if all actuarial assumptions are met. They would, however, amortize the current UAL over approximately 47 years, if all assumptions are met.

Retirement plans use several mechanisms to provide more stability in the contribution levels. These include an asset smoothing method, which smoothes out the peaks and valleys of investment returns, and amortization of any actuarial gains or losses over a period of years. OSERS utilizes an asset smoothing method that recognizes $25 \%$ of the difference between expected value and actual market value. The rate of return on the actuarial value of assets for the plan year ending in 2010 was about $+4 \%$ as compared to $+10 \%$ on the pure market value. This generated an actuarial loss of $\$ 42$ million, which was partially offset by a liability gain of $\$ 13$ million. The increase in the unfunded actuarial liability from the actuarial loss resulting from experience in FY10 is amortized over a 30-year period, which mitigates the impact of the unfavorable experience. As a result, the actuarial contribution rate increased from $18.25 \%$ last year to $19.03 \%$ of pay in this year's valuation. The Nebraska statutes provide that the School District shall contribute the greater of (a) one hundred and one percent of the contributions made by the employees or (b) such amount as may be necessary to maintain the solvency of the System, as determined annually by the Board upon recommendation of the Actuary and the Trustees. The Trustees have adopted the criteria established in Governmental Accounting Standard Number 25 as the basis for determining the contribution amount necessary to maintain the solvency of the System. On this basis, the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the year under GASB 25 is $19.03 \%$ of payroll plus $\$ 973,301$. The contributions made by members, the State and the School District for FY2011 total 17.68\% of payroll plus $\$ 973,301$ (see page 14 for more detail). The shortfall between the actuarial contribution rate and the statutory contribution rate is $1.35 \%$. If the full actuarial contribution rate is not made, the funded ratio of the System is expected to decline and the UAL is expected to increase.

As mentioned above, the Plan utilizes an asset smoothing method in the valuation process. While this is a common procedure for public retirement systems, it is important to identify the potential impact of the deferred (unrecognized) investment experience. The key valuation results from the September 1, 2010 actuarial valuation are shown below using both the actuarial value of assets and the pure market value.

|  | Using Actuarial Value of Assets |  | Using Market Value of Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Liability | \$ | 1,468 M | \$ | 1,468 M |
| Asset Value |  | 1,078 M |  | 951 M |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability | \$ | 390 M | \$ | 517 M |
| Funded Ratio |  | 73\% |  | 65\% |
| Normal Cost Rate |  | 11.71\% |  | 11.71\% |
| UAL Contribution Rate |  | 7.32\% |  | 9.78\% |
| Total Contribution Rate |  | 19.03\% |  | 21.49\% |

The asset smoothing method impacts only the timing of when the actual market experience is recognized in the valuation process. If asset returns are not higher than $8.0 \%$ over the next few years, the $\$ 127$ million of deferred investment experience will be recognized and the employer contribution rate can be expected to increase and trend toward the contribution rate shown in the "market value of assets" column in the above table.

We conclude this executive summary by presenting comparative statistics and actuarial information from both the September 1, 2009 and 2010 valuations.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& Sept. 1, 2010 \& Sept. 1, 2009 \& \% Chg \\
\hline SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP \& \& \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1. Active Membership \\
- Number of Members \\
- Projected Payroll for Upcoming Fiscal Year \\
- Average Salary
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
7,491 \\
\\
\$ 293.3 \mathrm{M} \\
\$ 39,152
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
7,438 \\
\$ 287.7 \mathrm{M} \\
\$ 38,686
\end{array}
\] \& 0.7

1.9
1.2 <br>

\hline | 2. Inactive Membership |
| :--- |
| - Number Not in Pay Status |
| - Number of Retirees/Beneficiaries |
| - Total Annual Benefits in Pay | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
566 \\
3,587 \\
\$ 85.8 \mathrm{M}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
553 \\
3,489 \\
\$ 79.6 \mathrm{M}
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 2.4

2.8
7.8 <br>
\hline ASSETS AND LIABILITIES \& \& \& <br>

\hline | 1. Net Assets |
| :--- |
| - Market Value |
| - Actuarial Value | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { \$951M } \\
\text { \$1,078M }
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 884 \mathrm{M} \\
\$ 1,061 \mathrm{M}
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 7.6

1.6 <br>
\hline 2. Projected Liabilities \& \& \& <br>
\hline - Retired Members \& \$850M \& \$818M \& 3.9 <br>
\hline - Inactive Members \& 15M \& 18M \& (16.7) <br>
\hline - Active Members \& 886M \& 848M \& 4.5 <br>
\hline - Total Liability \& 1,751M \& 1,684M \& 4.0 <br>
\hline 3. Actuarial Liability \& \$1,468M \& \$1,410M \& 4.1 <br>
\hline 4. Unfunded Actuarial Liability \& \$390M \& \$349M \& 11.7 <br>

\hline | 5. Funded Ratio |
| :--- |
| a. Actuarial Value Assets/Actuarial Liability |
| b. Market Value Assets/Actuarial Liability | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 73.46 \% \\
& 64.80 \%
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $75.25 \%$

$62.71 \%$ \& $(2.4)$
3.3 <br>
\hline SYSTEM CONTRIBUTIONS \& \& \& <br>
\hline Statutory Contribution Rate \& 17.68\% \& 17.68\% \& 0.0 <br>
\hline Total Actuarial Contribution Rate \& 19.03\% \& 18.25\% \& 4.3 <br>
\hline Member Contribution Rate \& 8.30\% \& 8.30\% \& 0.0 <br>
\hline Employer Contribution Rate \& 10.73\% \& 9.95\% \& 7.8 <br>
\hline Shortfall \& 1.35\% \& 0.57\% \& 136.8 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

M = (\$)Millions

## Summary of Historical Changes in the OSERS Unfunded Actuarial Liability

|  | Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9/1/2001 | 9/1/2002 | 9/1/2003 | 9/1/2004 | 9/1/2005 | 9/1/2006 | 9/1/2007 | 9/1/2008 | 9/1/2009 | 9/1/2010 | Total |
| Prior Year UAL | 78 | 97 | 163 | 191 | 223 | 240 | 246 | 138 | 198 | 349 |  |
| Amortization Method | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 45 |
| Actual Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than ARC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| More than ARC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) | 0 | (7) | (2) | 0 | (11) |
| Actual vs Expected Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment | 13 | 47 | 27 | 23 | 1 | (10) | (29) | 33 | 151 | 42 | 298 |
| Salary | 6 | 6 | (5) | (6) | (1) | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | (13) | (7) |
| Retirement | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | (2) | (4) | 12 |
| Mortality | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | (2) | 0 | 17 |
| Termination of Employment | 0 | (2) | (4) | (1) | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| Other | (3) | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | (1) | (3) | (1) | 0 | 0 | (4) |
| Benefit Changes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(3)^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | (3) |
| Assumption Changes | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Change to Actuarial Methods | 0 | 0 | 0 | $3^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $(88)^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 5 | (80) |
| Total Change for Year End | 19 | 66 | 28 | 32 | 17 | 5 | (108) | 60 | 151 | 41 | 312 |
| UAL on Valuation Date | 97 | 163 | 191 | 223 | 240 | 246 | 138 | 198 | 349 | 390 |  |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ Included <br> ${ }^{2}$ Increase <br> ${ }^{3}$ Actuarial | art time men member co asset value | mbers who ntribution eset to mark | are vested rate et value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# SUMMARY OF FUND ACTIVITY <br> (Market Value Basis) 

For the Year Ended August 31, 2010

NET ASSETS ON SEPTEMBER 1, 2009

## REVENUE

| Salary deductions | $\$ \quad 25,085,000$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| School District contributions |  | $25,331,000$ |
| Repayment of withdrawals |  | $1,124,000$ |
| State service annuity receipts |  | $1,225,000$ |
| Sec. 79-988.01 deposit | $9,878,000$ |  |
| Sec. 79-916 deposits | $92,470,000$ |  |
| Income from investments, including realized and unrealized |  |  |
| gains | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 9 , 0 8 6 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Total Revenue |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 3 3 , 5 2 4 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## EXPENDITURES

Retirement Annuities

Refunds to Employees
Professional Fees
\$ 79,039,000

Other
Personnel Costs
Total Expenditures

NET ASSETS ON SEPTEMBER 1, 2010
\$ 951,214,000

# ACTUARIAL VALUE OF NET ASSETS 

As of September 1, 2010

1. Actuarial Value of Assets as of September 1, 2009
\$
1,061,326,000
2.Actual Contribution/Disbursements
a. Contributions

56,616,000
b. Benefit Payments
c. Net Change

81,260,000
3. Expected Value of Assets as of September 1, 2010
$[(1) \times 1.08]+\left[(2 c) \times(1.08)^{1 / 2}\right]$
$1,120,621,000$
4. Market Value of Assets as of September 1, 2010

951,214,000
5. Difference Between Market and Expected Values
(4) - (3)
$(169,407,000)$
6. Actuarial Value of Assets as of September 1, 2010 before corridor (3) $+[(5) \times 25 \%]$

1,078,269,000
7. 120\% of Market Value of Assets as of September 1, 2010
$1.2 \times(4)$
$1,141,457,000$
8. $80 \%$ of Market Value of Assets as of September 1, 2010 $0.8 \times(4)$

760,971,000
9. Actuarial Value of Assets as of September 1, 2010 after corridor* (6), not greater than (7), not less than (8)

1,078,269,000
4.0\%

Actuarial Value of Assets divided by Market Value of
Assets as of September 1, 2010
(9) / (4)

Market Value of Assets less Actuarial Value of
Assets as of September 1, 2010

## ACTUARIAL BALANCE SHEET

As of September 1, 2010

## ASSETS

| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$ 1,078,269,000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Present Value of Future |  |  |
| State Contributions under Section 79-988.01 | $11,387,000$ |  |
| Present Value of Contributions for Unfunded | $378,194,000$ |  |
| Actuarial Liability |  |  |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs | $\mathbf{2 8 3 , 4 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Total Assets | $\mathbf{1 , 7 5 1 , 3 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## LIABILITIES

## Present Value of Future Benefits

Retired members and beneficiaries
Inactive vested members
Refunds due and unpaid to nonvested members

For service purchases initiated but not completed before the valuation date

Active Members
Retirement benefits
Termination benefits
Death benefits
\$ 850,325,000
13,908,000
1,012,000

347,000
\$ 838,774,000
37,241,000
9,718,000

## Total Liabilities

# UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL LIABILITY 

As of September 1, 2010

1. Present Value of Future Benefits
2. Present Value of Future Normal Costs
3. Actuarial Liability

$$
(1)-(2)
$$

1,467,850,000
4. Actuarial Value of Assets 1,078,269,000
5. Unfunded Actuarial Liability
(3) - (4)

389,581,000
5. Present Value of Future

State Contributions under Section 79-988.01* 11,387,000
6. Adjusted Unfunded Actuarial Liability (Payable from Payroll Related Contributions) 378,194,000
*The State contributes $1.0 \%$ of pay ( $0.70 \%$ effective July 1 , 2014) plus a level payment of $\$ 973,301$. Because this latter payment is not related to payroll, the present value of these contributions is used to finance the UAL.

## ANALYSIS OF CONTRIBUTION RATE

The actuarial cost method used to determine the required level of annual contributions to support the expected benefits is the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. Under this method, the total cost is comprised of the normal cost rate and the unfunded actuarial liability payment. The System is financed by contributions from the members, the School District and the State. The members contribute $8.3 \%$ of pay. The District is obligated to pay the greater of (a) one hundred and one percent of the member contributions or (b) such amount as may be necessary to maintain the solvency of the System. The Board has set a benchmark of compliance with the Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement Number 25 for the definition of "maintaining the solvency of the System". The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) under GASB 25 is based on the System's funding policy, i.e. the normal cost rate plus the contribution necessary to amortize the UAL over a 30 -year open period commencing September 1, 2007. The State contributes $1.0 \%$ of pay (reducing to $0.70 \%$ effective July 1, 2014) plus a level payment of $\$ 973,301$. Because this latter payment is not related to payroll, the present value of these contributions is used to finance the UAL.

## 1. Normal Cost

2. a. Expected Payroll for Current Actives for FYE August 31, 2011
b .Total Expected Payroll for FYE August 31, 2011
3. Normal Cost Rate
(1)/(2a)
4. Unfunded Actuarial Liability at Valuation Date
(Payable from Payroll Related Contributions)
5. 30 Year Amortization Factor - Level Percent of Pay
6. UAL Contribution at Mid-Year
$[(4) /(5)] *(1.08)^{1 / 2}$
7. UAL Contribution Rate
(6)/(2b)
8. Actuarial Contribution Rate
19.03\%
(3) $+(7)$
9. Statutory Contribution Rate:

| (a) Member | $8.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| (b) District | $8.38 \%$ |
| (c) State | $1.00 \%$ |
| (d) Total | $17.68 \%$ |

Based on the results of the current valuation and assuming contribution rates remain unchanged in the future, the UAL cannot be amortized within 30 years if all actuarial assumptions are met in each future year.

## CALCULATION OF ACTUARIAL GAIN/(LOSS)

The overall actuarial gain/(loss) is comprised of both a liability gain/(loss) and an actuarial asset gain/(loss). Each of these represents the difference between the expected and actual values as of September 1, 2010.

1. Expected Actuarial Liability
a. Actuarial Liability as of September 1, 2009
\$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,410,318,000 \\
32,091,000 \\
81,260,000
\end{array}
$$

d. Additional Liability for State Service Annuities and Service Purchases
e. Interest on a., b., c., and d. to End of Year

2,430,000
f. Change in actuary
g. Expected Actuarial Liability
(a) $+(\mathrm{b})-(\mathrm{c})+(\mathrm{d})+(\mathrm{e})+(\mathrm{f})$
2. Actuarial Liability as of September 1, 2010
3. Liability Gain/(Loss)
(1.g.) - (2)
4. Liability Gain/(Loss) as a Percent of Actuarial Liability
5. Expected Actuarial Value of Assets
a. Actuarial Value of Assets as of September 1, 2009
b. Contributions for Plan Year Ending August 31, 2010 (including State Service Annuities and Service Purchases)
c. Benefit payments for Plan Year Ending August 31, 2010
d. Interest on a., b., and c. to End of Year
e. Expected Actuarial Value of Assets
(a) + (b) - (c) $+(d)$
\$ 1,120,621,000
6. Actuarial Value of Assets as of September 1, 2010
7. Asset Gain/(Loss)
(6) - (5.e.)
8. Asset Gain/(Loss) as a Percent of Actuarial Value of Assets
9. Overall Actuarial Gain/(Loss)

$$
(3)+(7)
$$

\$ 1,467,850,000
\$
1,061,326,000
$(42,352,000)$
112,300,000
4,736,000
1,480,615,000
\$
12,765,000
0.87\%

56,616,000
81,260,000
83,939,000
\$ 1,078,269,000
-3.93\%
$(29,587,000)$

## Gain/(Loss) By Source

The System experienced a net actuarial gain on liabilities of about $\$ 12.8$ million during the plan year ended August 31, 2010. The major components of this overall loss are shown below:

Liability Sources
Salary Increases
Mortality
Terminations
Retirements
Disability
New Entrants/Rehires
Miscellaneous
Total Liability Gain/(Loss)
Asset Gain/(Loss)
Net Actuarial Gain/(Loss)

## $\underline{\text { \$Millions }}$

\$ 13.3
0.0
(2.7)
3.6

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.5 \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

```
$12.8
```


## Comments

The purpose of conducting an actuarial valuation of a retirement system is to determine the costs and liabilities for the benefits under the system, to determine the annual level of contribution required to support these benefits and, finally, to analyze the system's overall experience as it compares with the actuarial assumptions used in the valuation. The costs and liabilities of a retirement system reported in the valuation depend not only upon the level of benefits provided but also upon factors such as investment return on invested funds, mortality rates for active and retired members, withdrawal rates among active members, rates at which salaries increase, and rates of retirement for ages at which members retire. The actuarial assumptions employed as to these and other contingencies in the current valuation are set forth in Appendix C of this report.

Net demographic actuarial experience for the year was a gain of $\$ 13$ million, about $0.87 \%$ of actuarial liability. The loss was the net result of actual experience in a number of areas, as shown above. The most significant experience for the year ending August 31, 2010 was the investment loss. The return on the market value of assets was about $10 \%$, but due to the use of an asset smoothing method, the return on the actuarial value of assets was $4 \%$. This translated to an actuarial loss of $\$ 42$ million. In addition, there are still unrecognized investment losses of $\$ 127$ million which will flow through the asset smoothing method over the next few years, absent favorable experience to offset it.

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

In Accordance with Statement No. 25 of the<br>Governmental Accounting Standards Board

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Annual Required Contribution <br> (a) | Total Employer Contribution <br> (b) | Percentage <br> of ARC <br> Contributio <br> n <br> (b/a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/31/2005 | \$22,459,221 | \$20,210,403 | 90.00\% |
| 8/31/2006 | 24,311,628 | 26,766,000 | 110.10\% |
| 8/31/2007 | 28,143,388 | 24,981,000 | 88.80\% |
| 8/31/2008 | 19,491,557 | 26,162,000 | 134.20\% |
| 8/31/2009 | 24,103,114 | 25,918,000 | 107.53\% |
| 8/31/2010 | 30,900,224 | 29,182,000 | 94.44\% |
| * Includes State and School District contributions. |  |  |  |
| Notes to the <br> 1. The c <br> 2. The a <br> 3. Econo <br> 4. The | chedules: used to determi own at actuaria ptions are: ion: 3.5\% tment Return: 8 y increases: 4\% retirement benefit n method is $30-$ | RC is the Entry hich is a mark <br> t of $0 \%$ to 2.6 <br> es: $1.5 \%$ <br> period, level | mal Cost M smoothed val <br> e of payroll. |

## SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

In Accordance with Statement Nos. 25 and 27 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board

*The actuarial value of assets was reset to market value as of 9/1/07.

# THREE-YEAR TREND INFORMATION 

In Accordance with Statement No. 27 of the<br>Governmental Accounting Standards Board

$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Fiscal Year } \\ \text { Ended }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Pension } \\ \text { Cost (APC) }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { of APC } \\ \text { Contributed }\end{array} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Net Pension } \\ \text { Obligation/(Asset) }\end{array}\right]$

Notes to the Schedule:

1. The cost method used to determine the ARC is the Entry Age Normal Cost Method.
2. The assets are shown at actuarial value which is a market-related smoothed value. For the $9 / 1 / 07$ valuation, the actuarial value of assets was reset to market value.
3. Economic assumptions are:

Inflation: 3.5\%
General Wage Growth: 4.0\%
Investment Return: 8.0\%
Salary increases: $4 \%$ plus merit of $0 \%$ to $2.6 \%$
Post-retirement increases: 1.5\%
4. The amortization method is a 30 -year open period, level percentage of payroll.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE NET PENSION OBLIGATION
In Accordance with Statement No. 27 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board

| Fiscal Year Ending August 31, |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumptions and Methods: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Rate |  | 8.00\% |  | 8.00\% |  | 8.00\% |  | 8.00\% |  | 8.00\% |  | 8.00\% |
| Amortization Period Cost Method |  | 40 years <br> Entry Age <br> Normal |  | 40 years <br> Entry Age <br> Normal |  | 40 years <br> Entry Age <br> Normal |  | 30 years <br> Entry Age <br> Normal |  | 30 years <br> Entry Age <br> Normal |  | 30 years <br> Entry Age <br> Normal |
| Annual Pension Cost: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Required Contribution (ARC) | \$ | 22,459,221 | \$ | 24,311,628 | \$ | 28,143,388 | \$ | 19,491,557 | \$ | 24,103,114 | \$ | 30,900,224 |
| Interest on NPO/(NPA) |  | 10,961 |  | 191,202 |  | 708 |  | 253,717 |  | $(274,031)$ |  | $(425,580)$ |
| Adjustment to ARC |  | $(6,765)$ |  | $(118,001)$ |  | (484) |  | $(180,129)$ |  | 194,551 |  | 302,145 |
| Annual Pension Cost |  | 22,463,417 |  | 24,384,829 |  | 28,143,613 |  | 19,565,145 |  | 24,023,634 |  | 30,776,789 |
| Contribution for the Year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. State |  | 2,532,000 |  | 2,660,000 |  | 2,714,000 |  | 2,883,000 |  | 3,770,000 |  | 3,851,000 |
| b. District Statutory |  | 14,578,403 |  | 15,672,000 |  | 17,200,000 |  | 20,108,000 |  | 22,148,000 |  | 25,331,000 |
| c. District Additional |  | 3,100,000 |  | 8,434,000 |  | 5,067,000 |  | 3,171,000 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| d. Total |  | 20,210,403 |  | 26,766,000 |  | 24,981,000 |  | 26,162,000 |  | 25,918,000 |  | 29,182,000 |
| NPO/(NPA) at beginning of year |  | 137,010 |  | 2,390,024 |  | 8,853 |  | 3,171,466 |  | $(3,425,389)$ |  | $(5,319,755)$ |
| Annual Pension Cost for year |  | 22,463,417 |  | 24,384,829 |  | 28,143,613 |  | 19,565,145 |  | 24,023,634 |  | 30,776,789 |
| Contributions for year |  | $(20,210,403)$ |  | $(26,766,000)$ |  | $(24,981,000)$ |  | $(26,162,000)$ |  | $(25,918,000)$ |  | $(29,182,000)$ |
| NPO/(NPA) at end of year | \$ | 2,390,024 | \$ | 8,853 | \$ | 3,171,466 | \$ | $(3,425,389)$ | \$ | $(5,319,755)$ |  | $(3,724,966)$ |

## ESTIMATED BENEFIT PAYMENTS*

| Year End | Current <br> Inactives | Future Inactives | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | \$84,598,000 | \$5,908,000 | \$90,506,000 |
| 2012 | 83,966,000 | 10,782,000 | 94,748,000 |
| 2013 | 83,272,000 | 15,645,000 | 98,917,000 |
| 2014 | 82,385,000 | 20,319,000 | 102,704,000 |
| 2015 | 81,496,000 | 25,259,000 | 106,755,000 |
| 2016 | 80,553,000 | 30,030,000 | 110,583,000 |
| 2017 | 79,420,000 | 35,499,000 | 114,919,000 |
| 2018 | 78,225,000 | 41,040,000 | 119,265,000 |
| 2019 | 76,905,000 | 46,786,000 | 123,691,000 |
| 2020 | 75,520,000 | 52,459,000 | 127,979,000 |
| 2021 | 73,989,000 | 58,351,000 | 132,340,000 |
| 2022 | 72,388,000 | 64,285,000 | 136,673,000 |
| 2023 | 70,720,000 | 70,099,000 | 140,819,000 |
| 2024 | 68,940,000 | 76,066,000 | 145,006,000 |
| 2025 | 67,043,000 | 82,405,000 | 149,448,000 |

*Amounts shown are the cash flows for current members only, based on the current benefit structure and assuming that all actuarial assumptions are met in each future year. To the extent that actual experience deviates from that expected, results will vary. Amounts are shown in future nominal dollars and have not been discounted to the valuation date.

## HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Since 1909, the Omaha School District has maintained a retirement system for its teachers. Since then, systems covering other employees were added. In 1951, the Nebraska Legislature consolidated the existing systems into one new System. Amendments of significance in the Nebraska statutes and federal Social Security Act have occurred from time to time. These changes in order of their occurrence are outlined briefly below:

## 1951 - New System

Prior to 1951, three separate retirement systems existed. In 1951 the Nebraska Legislature repealed these three separate systems and created the present single System covering all employees. This act provided, however, that a member of a pre-existing system might elect to retain his benefit and contribution rights under one of the former systems in lieu of the new System benefits and contributions. The members who so elected then became known by the following titles for retirement purposes:
(1) Employees covered by the former Omaha Teachers Retirement System were known as "Teachers,"
(2) Employees covered by the former Non-Teaching Employee Retirement System were known as "Non-Teachers,"
(3) Employees covered by the former Cafeteria Employee Retirement System were known as "Cafeteria."

All other employees became members of the new System and received credit for membership service starting September 1, 1951. Benefits as well as contributions under the new System became directly related to a member's compensation by formula. The maximum covered annual compensation under the new System became $\$ 5,000$, but the maximum for Teachers, Non-Teachers and Cafeteria remained $\$ 3,000$.

## 1955 Amendments

On September 24, 1955, Omaha School employees voted to become participants in the federal Social Security program. All Social Security benefits are payable in addition to the System benefits. As a result of Social Security coverage, changes were made in the benefit and contribution formulas of the System effective August 31, 1955. In general, the changes reduced contributions and benefits to $60 \%$ of the rates formerly in effect. In addition, the maximum covered compensation was increased from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 6,000$ except for Teachers, NonTeachers and Cafeteria which remained at $\$ 3,000$.

The amount contributed by the School District was also reduced to $60 \%$ of the rates in effect prior to the change and the School District's contributions, matching the refunds paid upon the withdrawal or death of employees, were retained in the retirement fund rather than being returned to the School District.

## 1963 Amendments

Effective September 1, 1963, several changes were made in the new System. The limit on covered compensation for contributions and benefits of members was removed.

The service retirement annuity credit was increased in order to integrate with the modifications in federal Social Security between 1955 and 1963. The disability annuity for members was increased to $100 \%$ of the service retirement annuity accrued to date of disability and the restriction as to the number of years for which it was payable was removed. The offset in the benefit formula for the Nebraska State Service Annuity credit was placed on a year-to-year basis for all members, increasing the annuity credit for service after September 1, 1951 for active and retired alike.

The employees who were participating as Teachers, Non-Teachers and Cafeteria began to make contributions and receive benefit credits at the same rates as other members of the System. It should be noted that any employee who retained rights under a pre-existing system still receives credit in accordance with the provisions of the former system if this is more than the credit, after the State service annuity offset, would be under the 1963 amendments.

The contribution rate for employees was changed to integrate with the modifications in Social Security and was no longer subject to revision depending upon the degree of actuarial soundness of the System as had been provided in 1962. The School District became solely responsible for maintaining the solvency of the System on the basis of annual actuarial valuations. The School District again became entitled to refunds equal to the refunds paid upon withdrawal or death of employees.

The restriction prohibiting the crediting of interest on refunds to employees who withdraw from employment during the first ten years of service was removed. Thus, all employees who withdraw after one year or more of service receive interest on their contributions made since September 1, 1951.

## 1965 Amendments

Effective September 1, 1965, a pre-retirement survivor's annuity was added to the System for long-service employees. This change gave an employee with 25 or more years of service protection at death approximately equivalent in value to the vesting which already existed at termination of employment for an employee with the same period of service.

Effective January 1, 1966, the Social Security tax base was increased from $\$ 4,800$ to $\$ 6,600$ per year. This change became effective in the System's contribution and benefit formulas as of September 1, 1966.

## 1967 Amendments

The 77th Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 494 which amended the Nebraska School Retirement System, effective October 23, 1967. A major change was the increase in the State service annuity credit from $\$ 1.50$ to $\$ 3.00$ per month for each year of credited service after July 1, 1968 and the removal of the 35 year limitation on credited State service. For the purpose of determining the new State service annuity offset in calculating the net Omaha annuity, the additional $\$ 1.50$ per month for each year of service after July 1, 1968 is not applicable, but removal of the 35 year limitation does apply. This means that the State service annuity offset is still determined on the basis of $\$ 1.50$ per month for each year of service. The increase in the State service annuity offset by virtue of eliminating the 35 year limitation represents a lower cost to the Omaha System for those members having more than 35 years of State service by age 65.

Another change with regard to the State service annuity was the manner in which the funds are transferred from the State to the Omaha System to pay these annuities. For retirements occurring after the effective date of the amendments (October 23, 1967), the State transfers the commuted value (equivalent single sum) of the individual State service annuity to the Omaha System and then the payment of the monthly annuity to the retired member is the School District's responsibility.

In 1967 the eligibility provisions for the pre-retirement survivors' annuity and the vested retirement rights were changed, reducing the service required from 25 years to 20 years and thereby granting these options to a larger number of employees.

Effective January 1, 1968, the federal Social Security taxable wage base was increased from \$6,600 to \$7,800 per year. This change became effective in the System's contribution and benefit formulas as of September 1, 1968.

## 1969 Amendments

The 80th Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 530 which amended the System effective August 11, 1969. The provisions of this bill improved the benefit structure of the System in two ways. The membership annuity credits (credits after $9 / 1 / 51$ ) were increased approximately $10 \%$ and the Social Security wage base was "frozen" at the $\$ 7,800$ level for purposes of calculating benefit credits and employee contributions.

By freezing the Social Security base, benefit credits and employee contributions for service after September 1, 1969 will not be reduced by virtue of future increases in the Social Security wage base. The System benefits will remain integrated with the Social Security program at the level provided by the $\$ 7,800$ base.

## 1972 Amendments

During 1972, the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 1116 which amended the System. These amendments were to become effective for retirements occurring on or after September 1, 1972. The provisions of this bill improved the benefit structure of the System and liberalized the eligibility condition for qualification upon termination for the deferred vested retirement benefit.

The benefits of the System were improved by increasing the membership annuity credits (credits after 9/1/51) by approximately $20 \%$ over those in existence on September 1, 1971.

In order to be eligible upon resignation to elect a deferred vested service annuity, the years of creditable service was reduced from 20 years to 15 years.

## 1973 Amendments

The 1973 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 445 which created increases in the State service annuity of the Nebraska School Retirement System. LB 445 provides for (a) a State service annuity credit of $\$ 3.00$ per month for each year of creditable service for all emeritus members and for all full time school employees who retire on or after July 1, 1973 and (b) for increases in the State service annuity for members who retired prior to July 1, 1973 based upon the difference between the Consumers Price Index on the date of retirement and July 1, 1973.

## 1976 Amendments

The 1976 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 994 which increased the membership annuity credits (credits after $9 / 1 / 51$ ) by $20 \%$.

The members' contributions were increased to $2.90 \%$ of compensation up to $\$ 7,800$ per year plus $5.25 \%$ of salary in excess of that amount.

## 1979 Amendments

The 1979 Session of the Nebraska Legislature changed the mandatory retirement date from age 65 to age 70 . Late retirement benefits are actuarially increased from what would have been payable at the normal retirement date.

## 1982 Amendments

The 1982 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 131 which made considerable changes to the System. LB 131 was approved by the Governor on February 19, 1982.

The most major revision in the System was to change the previous primary benefit formula from the step rate formula based on each year of salary to a final average compensation formula. The primary benefit formula became $1.5 \%$ of final average compensation for each year of creditable service not in excess of 30 . Final average compensation was then defined to be $1 / 36$ of the total compensation received during the three fiscal years of highest compensation. Also, the creditable service not in excess of 30 years was allowed to continue to accrue after the fiscal year in which the employee attains age 65. In addition, the State service annuity offset of $\$ 1.50$ per year of creditable service was removed with respect to the final average compensation formula. The prior provisions of the System were retained as a minimum benefit, recognizing creditable service for those provisions through the earlier of the date of retirement or August 31, 1983.

Another major revision in the System was to change the step rate formula for employee contributions to a level $4.90 \%$ of compensation. In addition, the provision entitling the School District to receive refunds of its own contributions equal to the contributions refunded to employees was removed.

The early retirement date was liberalized. Previously an employee needed to have either 35 years of creditable service or to have attained age 60 with 25 years of creditable service. Now an employee can retire early if he has at least 10 years of creditable service and has attained age 55 .

The actuarial equivalent of the annuity payable at the end of the fiscal year in which the employee attains age 65 was changed in the following two ways:

1. for employees retiring before age 62, the monthly formula retirement annuity is a reduced amount based on the actuarial equivalent of the annuity deferred to the employee's 62nd birthday. If retirement is at age 62 or later, there is no actuarial reduction. Previously there was an actuarial reduction, based on the benefit deferred to age 65, for any retirement before age 65.
2. For employees retiring on or after age 65, the monthly formula retirement annuity is to be based on total years of creditable service (not in excess of 30) and the employee's entire compensation history at date of retirement. Consequently, for retirements after the fiscal year in which the employee attains age 65 there is no longer an actuarial increase from the benefit available at the normal retirement date.

The eligibility provision to elect a deferred vested service annuity upon resignation was changed from years of creditable service to 10 years.

## 1983 Amendments

The 1983 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 488 which created benefit increases effective September 1, 1983 for members having retired before February 21, 1982. The amount of benefit increase was limited to the smaller of:

1. The percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban consumers from the effective date of retirement to June 30, 1983 applied to benefits being paid and
2. The sum of $\$ 1.50$ per month for each year of creditable service and $\$ 1.00$ per month for each completed year of retirement from the effective date of retirement to June 30, 1983, actuarially adjusted for joint and survivor elections.

## 1985 Amendments

The 1985 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 215 which removed the 30 year limit on years of service used in the benefit formula, provided for vesting after five years of service rather than ten years, and reduced the eligibility period for disability from ten years of service to five years of service.

LP215 also provided for the employer "pick up" of employee contribution under IRC 414(h), thereby allowing employee contributions to be made on a pre-tax basis.

Unisex factors are now being used for determining early retirement reductions and actuarial equivalents for joint and survivor optional benefits.

## 1986 Amendments

The 1985 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 1048 which granted increases in benefits for most retirees to reflect cost-of-living increases over the last several years. The increases ranged up to a maximum of $10.5 \%$.

## 1987 Amendments

A "window of opportunity" was created for the buy-in or buy-back of service credits for participants qualifying for that right.

## 1989 Amendments

LB 237 was enacted by the 1989 Session of the Nebraska Legislature and provided: annual benefit accruals of $1.65 \%$ of final average compensation (up from $1.50 \%$ ), unreduced benefits if a member retires with 35 or more years of service, a five year certain and life thereafter annuity as the normal form of benefit (instead of just a life annuity), employee contributions of $5.8 \%$ of pay (up from $4.9 \%$ ), and increased benefits to retirees (the increases ranged up to $9.0 \%$ ). There were some other changes as a result of this bill, but none that had a direct actuarial cost impact.

## 1992 Amendments

The 1992 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 1001 which increased annual benefit accruals from $1.65 \%$ of final average compensation to $1.70 \%$, and increased benefits to retirees ( $3 \%$ increase per year of retirement, not exceeding $9 \%$ total increase), a change in the preretirement joint and survivor option to allow it to become effective automatically after 20 years of service, and allowed employees to "buy-in" their time with other public school systems by means of a tax-deferred rollover of their refund from that System.

## 1995 Amendments

The 1995 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 505 which increased annual benefit accruals from $1.70 \%$ to $1.80 \%$ of final average compensation. It also provided for unreduced retirement benefits when the sum of age and service equals or exceeds 85 (still maintaining the age 55 minimum), and reduced early retirement reductions to $.25 \%$ per month prior to age 62 . Early retirement at 84,83 , or 82 points is also allowed with a maximum reduction of $3 \%, 6 \%$ and $9 \%$ respectively. Employee contributions were increased to $6.3 \%$ of pay. The bill also provided for a one time increase to current retirees of $3 \%$ per year since retirement (not to exceed $9 \%$ ), or if larger, $90 \%$ restoration of the purchasing power of their original pension. There are other changes resulting from this bill, which are not included since they did not have a direct actuarial impact. One change with no actuarial impact but worth noting is the provision for employer "pick up" of employee contributions to the System used to buy in outside service, pursuant to Section 414(h) of the Internal Revenue Code.

## 1998 Amendments

The 1998 Session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 497 which increased annual benefit accruals from $1.80 \%$ to $1.85 \%$ of final average compensation. The bill also provided for a one time increase to current retirees of $3 \%$ per year since retirement (not to exceed 9\%) and provides an annual automatic cost of living adjustment, not greater than $1.5 \%$, beginning January 1, 2000.

## 2000 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2000 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 155 which increased accruals from $1.85 \%$ to 2.00\% of final average compensation.

Pursuant to LB 497, the OSERS Board and the Omaha School District Board authorized a 1.5\% discretionary COLA beginning January 1, 2000 in addition to the automatic COLA.

## 2001 Amendments and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2001 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted LB 711 which provided that certain members who previously left employment due to pregnancy could purchase their "lost" service. It also provided a postretirement supplemental benefit to assist with medical costs. The supplement commences 10 years after retirement, beginning at $\$ 10$ per month for each year retired and increasing by $\$ 10$ each year to a maximum of $\$ 250$ per month. For retirees with less than twenty years of service, the benefit is reduced proportionately.

Additionally, the OSERS Board and the Omaha School Board authorized a discretionary COLA to restore full purchasing power, beginning January 1, 2001, in addition to the automatic COLA.

## 2002 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2002.

## 2003 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2003.

## 2004 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2004.

## 2005 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2005.

## 2006 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2006.

## 2007 Amendment and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2007 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Section 79-9, 113 which changed the employee contribution rate from $6.30 \%$ of compensation to $7.30 \%$ and provided for an employer contribution equal to $101 \%$ of the employee contribution rate.

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2007.

## 2008 Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2008.

## 2009 Amendment and Cost of Living Adjustment

The 2009 session of the Nebraska Legislature enacted Legislative Bill 187 (LB 187), which increased the State's contribution from $0.7 \%$ to $1.0 \%$ of covered pay from July 1, 2009 to July 1, 2014. On July 1, 2014 the State's contribution returns to $0.7 \%$. LB 187 also increased the employee contribution rate from $7.30 \%$ of compensation to $8.30 \%$. The School District's contribution is equal to $101 \%$ of the employee contribution rate so the District's contribution rate increased from $7.373 \%$ of compensation to $8.383 \%$ as a result of the increase in the member contribution rate.

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2009.

## 2010 Amendment and Cost of Living Adjustment

The automatic 1.5\% COLA was granted beginning January 1, 2010.

# SUMMARY OF BENEFIT AND CONTRIBUTION PROVISIONS 

(Based on Latest Amendments Outlined in Appendix A)

## Contributions

Employee Contributions: Employees contribute 8.30\% of compensation, effective September 1, 2009. Such contributions are payable each year while employed. Contributions accumulated with interest are refundable at resignation unless the vested retirement benefit has been elected and at death unless the preretirement survivor's benefit has been elected.

State Contribution: The State contributes annually an amount equal to $1.0 \%$ of the members' compensation (0.7\% effective July 1, 2014) plus a level payment of \$973,301.

School District Contribution: The School District contributes the greater of (a) one hundred and one percent of the contributions by the employees or (b) such amount as may be necessary to maintain the solvency of the system, as determined annually by the board upon recommendation of the actuary and the trustees.

Interest Credited on Refunds: Contributions made prior to September 1, 1951 and refunded at withdrawal or death are not credited with interest. Contributions after September 1, 1951 are credited with interest at the rate declared annually by the Board of Education upon the recommendation of the Board of Trustees.

## Benefits

General: The System provides annuities upon retirement from service or disability and upon death to designated survivors.

The service annuity formula is $2.0 \%$ per year of creditable service times the final average compensation.
Final average compensation is defined as $1 / 36$ of the total compensation received during the three fiscal years of highest compensation.

Annuities are paid for life, with 5 years guaranteed. Optional forms of payment are available.

The disability annuity, the pre-retirement survivor annuity and the vested retirement right are summarized in the following sections.

Benefits in pay status are subject to an annual cost of living adjustment of the lesser of $1.5 \%$ or CPI plus an additional COLA if surplus assets exist beginning January 1, 2000. Effective October 3, 2001, a medical cost of living adjustment is payable to retired members. Such amount will commence 10 years after retirement and shall be an amount equal to $\$ 10$ per month for each year retired (subject to a maximum of $\$ 250$ per month), prorated for years of service less than 20.

Retirement Annuities: To begin receiving a retirement annuity the employee must have left the employment of the School district, selected a retirement date and
(a) remained employed until his or her 65th birthday and completed at least five years of creditable Omaha service,
or
(b) have 10 years of creditable service (with at least five of those years being creditable Omaha service) and attained age 55.

If an employee begins receiving an annuity after age 62 or when age and service equals or exceeds 85 , there is no adjustment for the retirement annuity. If, however, an employee begins receiving an annuity before age 62 , such annuity shall be reduced by $.25 \%$ for each month prior to age 62 , provided that if 84 points have been achieved the reduction is limited to $3 \%$, if 83 points, $6 \%$, and 82 points, $9 \%$.

Disability Retirement Annuities: Each employee who becomes totally disabled and who has completed five or more years of creditable Omaha service is entitled to a disability retirement annuity equal to the amount of service annuity earned to date of disability. Alternatively, the employee may defer the disability retirement and accrue service and compensation increases in the interim. The disability retirement annuity is payable each month until disability ceases, if before unreduced retirement, or death.

Pre-Retirement Survivor Annuities: Upon the death of a member who has completed 20 or more years of creditable service and who has not retired, a pre-retirement survivor annuity shall be paid to the member's primary beneficiary. The survivor must be a spouse or one other person whose attained age in the calendar year of the member's death is no more than 10 years less than the attained age of the member in such calendar year. The survivor annuity is the actuarial equivalent of the member's annuity accrued to the date of death, determined on the basis of the member's and beneficiary's attained ages on said date. The survivor annuity is payable in lieu of a refund of the member's accumulated contributions. However, a member may elect out of the survivor annuity and specify that such a refund be paid in lieu of the annuity. An election out of the preretirement survivor annuity is entirely independent of the election of a joint and survivor option at retirement. Within 60 days after the member's death, the beneficiary may request a refund of the member's accumulated contributions instead of the annuity; provided, however, that the member may direct the System to pay only an annuity.

If the member (not retired) has less than 20 years of creditable service, or the beneficiary does not meet the requirements stated above, a refund of the member's accumulated contributions shall be paid.

Vested Retirement Right: Each employee who has completed five or more years of creditable Omaha service is eligible upon resignation to elect a deferred vested service annuity, first payable as an unreduced amount at age 65, in lieu of a refund of his accumulated contributions. With ten or more years of total creditable service (including at least five years of creditable Omaha service), the deferred vested service annuity could commence, unreduced, at age 62 . If benefits start before age 62 (but not earlier than attained age 55), the benefit shall then be reduced as described above.

The valuation assumptions and methods used in conducting the current actuarial valuation are as follows:

## Actuarial Assumptions

Interest Rate:

Mortality Rates:

Disability:
Termination of Employment: (prior to retirement eligibility)
8.0\% per annum, compounded annually, net of expenses.

RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table for males, set forward one year. RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table for females, set back one year.

Rates are projected on a generational basis using Scale AA.

Disabled retirees use the same assumptions as healthy retirees with ages set forward ten years.

None assumed.
Illustrative rates of termination are as follows:

## Certificated:

| Percent Terminating <br> (First 5 Years) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Age }}$ | $\underline{\text { Male }}$ | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| 20 | $10.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| 25 | 10.0 | 13.0 |
| 30 | 10.0 | 13.0 |
| 35 | 9.8 | 10.5 |
| 40 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 45 | 9.0 | 6.0 |
| 50 | 9.0 | 5.0 |

Percent Terminating
(Over 5 Years)

| $\underline{\text { Age }}$ | $\underline{\text { Male }}$ | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | $8.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 30 | 7.0 | 9.0 |
| 35 | 3.5 | 6.0 |
| 40 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 45 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| 50 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

## Classified:

| Percent Terminating <br> (First 5 Years) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\underline{\text { Age }}$ | $\underline{\text { Male }}$ | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| 20 | $25.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| 25 | 20.0 | 27.0 |
| 30 | 14.0 | 20.0 |
| 35 | 5.0 | 15.0 |
| 40 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| 45 | 5.0 | 9.0 |
| 50 | 4.0 | 9.0 |

Percent Terminating
(Over 5 Years)

| Age | Male | Femal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 8.0\% | 18.0\% |
| 30 | 8.0 | 13.0 |
| 35 | 4.4 | 6.0 |
| 40 | 2.2 | 3.8 |
| 45 | 1.4 | 3.8 |
| 50 | 1.0 | 3.0 |

Retirement Rates:
Early retirement rates are assumed to occur according to the schedule illustrated below:

## Certificated:

| $\frac{\text { Age }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Early }}{}$ | $\frac{84}{\text { Points }}$ | $\frac{83}{\text { Points }}$ | $\frac{82}{\text { Points }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 55 | $10 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 56 | 5 | 55 | 40 | 30 |
| 57 | 5 | 40 | 40 | 30 |
| 58 | 5 | 40 | 20 | 10 |
| 59 | 10 | 40 | 20 | 10 |
| 60 | 10 | 40 | 40 | 30 |
| 61 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 30 |

## Classified:

| $\frac{\text { Age }}{55}$ | $\frac{\text { Early }}{3 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 3 |
| 57 | 3 |
| 58 | 3 |
| 59 | 3 |
| 60 | 3 |
| 61 | 20 |

Unreduced (age 62 or 85 points) retirement rates are assumed to occur according to the schedule illustrated below:

## Certificated:

| Age | $1^{\text {st }} \quad$ Year | Ultimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible |  |
| 55 | 50\% | 30\% |
| 56 | 50 | 30 |
| 57 | 50 | 30 |
| 58 | 45 | 30 |
| 59 | 45 | 30 |
| 60 | 45 | 20 |
| 61 | 45 | 30 |
| 62 | 30 | 30 |
| 63 | 60 | 30 |
| 64 | 35 | 35 |
| 65 | 35 | 35 |
| 66 | 35 | 25 |
| 67 | 35 | 20 |
| 68 | 35 | 20 |
| 69 | 100 | 40 |
| 70 | 100 | 100 |

## Classified:

| Age | $\frac{1^{\text {st }}}{}$ | Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible <br> 55 | $20 \%$ |  |
| 56 | 10 | $20 \%$ |  |
| 57 | 10 | 20 |  |
| 58 | 10 | 20 |  |
| 59 | 15 | 20 |  |
| 60 | 35 | 20 |  |
| 61 | 20 | 20 |  |
| 62 | 20 | 25 |  |
| 63 | 50 | 40 |  |
| 64 | 30 | 20 |  |
| 65 | 30 | 20 |  |
| 66 | 20 | 35 |  |
| 67 | 20 | 20 |  |
| 68 | 20 | 20 |  |
| 69 | 20 | 20 |  |
| 70 | 100 | 20 |  |

Deferred vested members are assumed to retire at first unreduced retirement age.

Salary Scale:
Salaries are assumed to increase according to the schedule illustrated below:

Annual Salary Increase

| Age | Certificated |  | Classified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $5.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |  |
| 25 | 5.6 | 4.7 |  |
| 30 | 5.6 | 4.7 |  |
| 35 | 5.6 | 4.7 |  |
| 40 | 5.6 | 4.7 |  |
| 45 | 5.0 | 4.7 |  |
| 50 | 4.6 | 4.5 |  |
| 55 | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| 60 | 4.1 | 4.1 |  |
| 65 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| 70 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |

## Pre-Retirement

Survivor Annuity:

Refunds to Members:
It is assumed that females are three years younger than males, and that all members are married.

The probability of electing a deferred vested benefit assumed to follow the schedule below:

| Age | Certificated | Classified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 80\% | 50\% |
| 30 | 80 | 50 |
| 35 | 75 | 50 |
| 40 | 75 | 50 |
| 45 | 75 | 50 |
| 50 | 75 | 50 |

Assumed Interest Rate Credited on Employee Contributions:

Inflation (CPI): 3.00\% annually, compounded.

Total Payroll Growth:
4.00\% annually, compounded.

## Actuarial Cost Method

The actuarial cost method is a procedure for allocating the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses to time periods. The method used for the valuation is known as the individual entry-age actuarial cost method, and has the following characteristics.
(i) The annual normal costs for individual active member are sufficient to accumulate the value of the member's pension at time of retirement.
(ii) Each annual normal cost is a constant percentage of the member's year-by-year projected pensionable compensation.

The entry-age actuarial cost method allocates the actuarial present value of each member's projected benefits on a level basis over the member's pensionable compensation between the entry-age of the member and the assumed exit-ages.

The portion of the actuarial present value allocated to the valuation year is called the normal cost. The portion of the actuarial present value not provided for by the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the actuarial accrued liability. Deducting accrued assets from the actuarial accrued liability determines the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

## $\underline{\text { Asset Valuation Method }}$

Assets are valued at expected value at the valuation date plus $25 \%$ of the difference between the market value and expected value. As a starting point for implementation of this asset valuation method, the actuarial value of assets as of September 1, 1996 was set equal to the market value. As of September 1, 2007, the actuarial value was reset to market value. The smoothing method was again implemented in the 2008 valuations. Effective September 1, 2008, the actuarial value must fall within a corridor of $80 \%$ to $120 \%$ of market value.

# SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP DATA 

|  | Active | Deferred <br> Vested | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retired } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Beneficiaries } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members on 9/1/09 | 7,438 | 552 | 3,490 |
| Terminated - vested | (95) | 95 | 0 |
| Terminated - nonvested | (225) | 0 | 0 |
| Terminated - elected refund | (74) | (47) | 0 |
| Retired | (160) | (21) | 181 |
| Disability retirement | (3) | 0 | 3 |
| Death/payments end | (8) | 0 | (108) |
| New beneficiaries | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| New hires | 618 | (16) | 0 |
| Corrections/adjustments | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Members on 9/1/10 | 7,491 | 566 | 3,587 |

## HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF MEMBERS

The following table displays selected historical data that was used in the actuarial valuation for the System.

| Valuation |  | Active Members |  |  |  |  |  | Number |  | Act/Ret <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Total |  |  | Entry |  | Annual | Pay | Vested |  |  |
| 1 | Count |  | Age | Age | Service | Pay (\$) | Increase | Inactive | Retired |  |
| 1997 | 7,931 | 5,596 | 44.3 | 33.6 | 10.7 | 28,630 |  | 270 | 2,065 | 2.71 |
| 1998 | 8,204 | 5,680 | 44.2 | 33.7 | 10.5 | 28,912 | 0.98\% | 330 | 2,194 | 2.59 |
| 1999 | 8,564 | 5,864 | 43.9 | 34.0 | 9.9 | 29,493 | 2.01\% | 386 | 2,314 | 2.53 |
| 2000 | 8,885 | 6,057 | 43.8 | 34.1 | 9.7 | 30,544 | 3.56\% | 380 | 2,448 | 2.47 |
| 2001 | 9,156 | 6,259 | 44.0 | 34.4 | 9.6 | 32,091 | 5.06\% | 368 | 2,529 | 2.47 |
| 2002 | 9,409 | 6,383 | 43.9 | 34.5 | 9.4 | 33,406 | 4.10\% | 384 | 2,642 | 2.42 |
| 2003 | 9,425 | 6,279 | 44.0 | 34.5 | 9.5 | 33,877 | 1.41\% | 385 | 2,761 | 2.27 |
| 2004 | 9,711 | 6,399 | 44.2 | 34.6 | 9.6 | 34,698 | 2.42\% | 473 | 2,839 | 2.25 |
| 2005 | 10,124 | 6,623 | 44.1 | 34.8 | 9.3 | 35,234 | 1.54\% | 485 | 3,016 | 2.20 |
| 2006 | 10,522 | 6,972 | 44.1 | 34.9 | 9.2 | 35,732 | 1.41\% | 442 | 3,108 | 2.24 |
| 2007 | 10,769 | 7,041 | 44.2 | 35.1 | 9.1 | 36,720 | 2.77\% | 483 | 3,245 | 2.17 |
| 2008 | 11,228 | 7,313 | 44.2 | 35.2 | 9.0 | 37,725 | 2.74\% | 515 | 3,400 | 2.15 |
| 2009 | 11,480 | 7,438 | 44.5 | 35.5 | 9.0 | 38,686 | 2.55\% | 553 | 3,489 | 2.13 |
| 2010 | 11,644 | 7,491 | 44.7 | 35.4 | 9.3 | 39,152 | 1.20\% | 566 | 3,587 | 2.09 |

## OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

as of September 1, 2010
Males and Females

| Age | 0 to 4 | 5 to 9 | 10 to 14 | 15 to 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Service } \\ & 20 \text { to } 24 \end{aligned}$ | 25 to 29 | 30 to 34 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \& \\ \text { Up } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 206 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 |
| 25 to 29 | 776 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 906 |
| 30 to 34 | 371 | 464 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 922 |
| 35 to 39 | 259 | 249 | 270 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 801 |
| 40 to 44 | 257 | 211 | 195 | 140 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 827 |
| 45 to 49 | 219 | 200 | 152 | 89 | 141 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 834 |
| 50 to 54 | 218 | 208 | 233 | 121 | 96 | 103 | 44 | 0 | 1,023 |
| 55 to 59 | 206 | 180 | 197 | 139 | 100 | 58 | 61 | 23 | 964 |
| 60 to 64 | 131 | 151 | 119 | 116 | 92 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 699 |
| 65 \& Up | 88 | 71 | 54 | 39 | 20 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 308 |
| Total | 2,731 | 1,865 | 1,307 | 667 | 473 | 247 | 138 | 63 | 7,491 |




# OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM SUMMARY OF ACTIVE MEMBERS 

as of September 1, 2010

| Age | Number |  |  | Salaries |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| Under 25 | 31 | 176 | 207 | \$866,946 | \$4,717,880 | \$5,584,826 |
| 25 to 29 | 212 | 694 | 906 | 7,385,953 | 23,456,957 | 30,842,910 |
| 30 to 34 | 221 | 701 | 922 | 9,225,009 | 26,957,696 | 36,182,705 |
| 35 to 39 | 208 | 593 | 801 | 9,342,113 | 23,507,680 | 32,849,793 |
| 40 to 44 | 192 | 635 | 827 | 9,211,106 | 25,096,450 | 34,307,556 |
| 45 to 49 | 207 | 627 | 834 | 9,382,774 | 24,585,288 | 33,968,062 |
| 50 to 54 | 232 | 791 | 1,023 | 10,626,189 | 31,703,182 | 42,329,372 |
| 55 to 59 | 249 | 715 | 964 | 11,286,773 | 28,024,608 | 39,311,382 |
| 60 to 64 | 179 | 520 | 699 | 8,004,143 | 19,738,438 | 27,742,581 |
| 65 \& Up | 112 | 196 | 308 | 3,759,577 | 5,546,180 | 9,305,757 |
| Total | 1,843 | 5,648 | 7,491 | \$79,090,583 | \$213,334,360 | \$292,424,943 |



## OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM SUMMARY OF DEFERRED VESTED MEMBERS

as of September 1, 2010

| Age | Number |  |  | Monthly Benefit at Unreduced Retirement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| Under 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 25 to 29 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 887 | 2,606 | 3,493 |
| 30 to 34 | 16 | 64 | 80 | 7,681 | 26,474 | 34,155 |
| 35 to 39 | 17 | 57 | 74 | 8,683 | 27,056 | 35,738 |
| 40 to 44 | 20 | 83 | 103 | 12,738 | 42,187 | 54,925 |
| 45 to 49 | 17 | 66 | 83 | 15,256 | 35,637 | 50,893 |
| 50 to 54 | 15 | 63 | 78 | 11,995 | 39,216 | 51,211 |
| 55 to 59 | 11 | 71 | 82 | 10,213 | 31,132 | 41,345 |
| 60 to 64 | 5 | 40 | 45 | 2,847 | 18,021 | 20,868 |
| 65 \& Up | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1,274 | 2,027 | 3,301 |
| Total | 107 | 459 | 566 | \$71,574 | \$224,354 | \$295,929 |



# OMAHA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM SUMMARY OF RETIRED MEMBERS AND BENEFICIARIES 

as of September 1, 2010

| Age | Number |  |  | Total Monthly Benefit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| Under 50 | 6 | 5 | 11 | \$5,341 | \$7,138 | \$12,479 |
| 50 to 54 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1,849 | 10,745 | 12,594 |
| 55 to 59 | 82 | 205 | 287 | 227,688 | 541,083 | 768,771 |
| 60 to 64 | 213 | 488 | 701 | 551,369 | 1,123,043 | 1,674,412 |
| 65 to 69 | 273 | 510 | 783 | 626,441 | 920,055 | 1,546,496 |
| 70 to 74 | 202 | 448 | 650 | 410,485 | 688,865 | 1,099,350 |
| 75 to 79 | 132 | 352 | 484 | 245,449 | 469,806 | 715,255 |
| 80 to 84 | 103 | 225 | 328 | 184,316 | 294,415 | 478,731 |
| 85 to 89 | 56 | 158 | 214 | 96,135 | 202,286 | 298,421 |
| 90 to 94 | 15 | 70 | 85 | 17,084 | 88,611 | 105,695 |
| 95 \& Up | 3 | 33 | 36 | 2,844 | 28,165 | 31,010 |
| Total | 1,086 | 2,501 | 3,587 | \$2,369,001 | \$4,374,214 | \$6,743,215 |




