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# Cavanaugh Macdonald <br> C O NSULTING, LLC <br> The experience and dedication you deserve 

November 16, 2016

Public Employees Retirement Board
Nebraska Public Employees Retirement System
Post Office Box 94816
Lincoln, NE 68509
Dear Members of the Board:
At your request, we performed an actuarial valuation of the School Retirement System as of July 1, 2016 for the purpose of determining the actuarial required contribution rate for the plan year ending June 30, 2017. It is our understanding that any required additional State contributions for this plan year will be made on July 1, 2017 (State fiscal year end 2018). The major findings of the valuation are contained in this report, which reflects the benefit provisions in place on July 1, 2016. There were no changes to the actuarial assumptions and methods or the plan provisions from the prior valuation. However, the results of an experience study covering the four-year period ending June 30, 2015 were recently presented to the Board. At their meeting on October 17, 2016, all of the recommended assumptions were adopted and will first be used for the July 1, 2017 actuarial valuation, based on the date of adoption selected by the Board.

In preparing our report, we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some in writing) supplied by the System's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, member data and financial information. We found this information to be reasonably consistent and comparable with the information received in prior years. The valuation results depend on the integrity of this information. If any of this information is inaccurate or incomplete, our results may be different and our calculations may need to be revised.

We further certify that all costs, liabilities, rates of interest and other factors for the School Retirement System have been determined on the basis of actuarial assumptions and methods which are individually reasonable (taking into account the experience of the System and reasonable expectations); and which, in combination, offer the best estimate of anticipated experience affecting the System. Nevertheless, the emerging costs will vary from those presented in this report to the extent actual experience differs from that projected by the actuarial assumptions. The Public Employees Retirement Board has the final decision regarding the appropriateness of the assumptions and adopted them as indicated in Appendix C.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements.

The actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of determining the funding amounts for the System as set out in the Nebraska state statutes. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the System's funding requirements and goals. Determinations for purposes other than meeting these requirements may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes. For example, actuarial computations for purposes of fulfilling financial accounting requirements for the System under Governmental Accounting Standard No. 67 will be presented in a completely separate report.

The consultants who worked on this assignment are pension actuaries. Cavanaugh Macdonald's advice is not intended to be a substitute for qualified legal or accounting counsel.

On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. We are available to answer any questions on the material contained in the report or to provide explanations or further details as may be appropriate.

We respectfully submit the following report and look forward to discussing it with you.
Sincerely,


Patrice A. Beckham, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA
Principal and Consulting Actuary


Brent A. Banister Ph.D., FSA, EA, MAAA, FCA Chief Pension Actuary

This report presents the results of the July 1, 2016 actuarial valuation of the School Retirement System. The primary purposes of performing this actuarial valuation are to:

- Determine whether the employer, member and State contribution rates defined in the Nebraska state statutes are sufficient to fund the total Formula Annuity for the Nebraska School System, and whether additional State contributions are required along with the State contribution for the Omaha Service Annuity for the plan year ending June 30, 2017;
- Disclose asset and liability measurements as well as the current funded status of the System on the valuation date;
- Compare the actual and expected experience of the System during the plan year ended June 30, 2016; and
- Analyze and report on trends in System contributions, assets and liabilities over the past several years.

There were no changes to the actuarial assumptions and methods from the last valuation. However, the results of an experience study covering the four-year period ending June 30, 2015 were recently presented to the Board. At their meeting on October 17, 2016, all of the recommended assumptions were adopted and will first be used for the July 1, 2017 actuarial valuation, based on the date of adoption selected by the Board. The assumption changes will significantly increase actuarial liabilities in the 2017 valuation. Based on estimates provided in the experience study report, which were based on the July 1, 2015 actuarial valuation, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is expected to increase by approximately $\$ 900$ million and the funded ratio is expected to decline around 5 to $10 \%$. No additional State contributions are expected to be required, if all actuarial assumptions are met in the future.

The Nebraska statutes require the State to make an additional contribution if the regular, payroll-related contributions by members, employers, and the State are insufficient to meet the actuarial required contribution for the plan year. Based on the results of the July 1, 2016 actuarial valuation, no additional State contribution is necessary for this plan year.

The actuarial valuation results provide a "snapshot" view of the System's financial condition on July 1, 2016. The System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) decreased from $\$ 1.293$ billion last year to $\$ 1.161$ billion this year and the funded ratio increased from $88 \%$ to $90 \%$. In addition, the actuarial required contribution rate decreased from $17.03 \%$ of pay last year to $16.59 \%$ of pay in this year's valuation, a decrease of $0.44 \%$ of pay.

The valuation results reflect net favorable experience for the past plan year as demonstrated by an UAAL that was lower than expected. The UAAL on July 1, 2016 is $\$ 1.161$ billion as compared to an expected UAAL of $\$ 1.208$ billion. The favorable experience was due to the net impact of an experience gain on the System liabilities that exceeded the experience loss on the actuarial value of assets. The rate of return on the market value of assets for FY 2016 was $1.6 \%$, as reported by the Nebraska Investment Council. However, the asset smoothing method only recognizes $20 \%$ of the shortfall between the $8.0 \%$ assumed rate of return and the actual return. The partial recognition of FY 2016 experience, coupled with the recognition of part of the deferred gains from recent years, resulted in a rate of return on the actuarial (smoothed) assets of $7.4 \%$. This generated an experience loss of $\$ 61.7$ million on the actuarial value of assets. There was an experience gain of $\$ 108$ million on System liabilities, largely as the result of a
smaller COLA than expected being granted this year to members currently receiving benefits along with lower salary increases than expected ( $0.64 \%$ actual versus $2.50 \%$ expected).

LB 553, which was passed by the 2013 Legislature, made changes to the benefit structure for members hired on or after July 1, 2013 (Tier Two), including changing final average salary to the highest 60 months rather than the highest 36 months of service and changing the maximum cost of living adjustment from $2.5 \%$ to $1.0 \%$. There were 9,232 members in Tier Two as of July 1,2016 , about $22 \%$ of the active membership, compared to $15 \%$ in the prior valuation. The small impact of Tier Two members is even more evident when considering that they represent only $17 \%$ of total covered payroll. It will be many years before Tier Two has a meaningful impact on the valuation results.

A summary of the key results from the July 1, 2016 actuarial valuation, excluding the Omaha State Service annuity, is shown in the following table. As the table indicates, the statutory contribution rates are sufficient to meet the actuarial required contribution rate and no additional State appropriation is required for the current year. Further detail on the valuation results can be found in the following sections of this Board Summary.

|  | July 1, 2016 <br> Valuation Results | July 1, 2015 <br> Valuation Results |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$M) | $\$ 1,161$ | $\$ 1,293$ |
| Funded Ratio (Actuarial Assets) | $89.64 \%$ | $88.01 \%$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $12.04 \%$ | $12.11 \%$ |
| UAAL Amortization Rate | $4.55 \%$ | $4.92 \%$ |
| Total Actuarial Required Contribution | $16.59 \%$ | $17.03 \%$ |
| Member Contribution Rate | $(9.78 \%)$ | $(9.78 \%)$ |
| Employer Contribution Rate | $(9.88 \%)$ | $(9.88 \%)$ |
| State Contribution Rate | $(2.00 \%)$ | $(2.00 \%)$ |
| Total Contribution Rate | $(21.66 \%)$ | $(21.66 \%)$ |
| Shortfall/(Margin) | $(5.07 \%)$ | $(4.63 \%)$ |
| Additional Required State Contribution | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## EXPERIENCE FOR THE LAST PLAN YEAR

Numerous factors contributed to the change in the System's assets, liabilities, and actuarial required contribution rate between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2016. The components are examined in the following discussion.

## ASSETS

As of June 30, 2016, the System had net assets of $\$ 9.699$ billion, when measured on a market value basis, an increase of $\$ 13$ million from the prior year value.

The market value of assets is not used directly in the calculation of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial required contribution rate. An asset valuation method, which smoothes the
effect of market fluctuations, is used to determine the value of assets used in the valuation. The resulting amount is called the actuarial value of assets. In this year's valuation, the actuarial value of assets is $\$ 10.04$ billion, an increase of $\$ 560$ million from the prior year. The components of change in the asset values are shown in the following table:

|  | Market Value (\$M) |  | Actuarial Value (\$M) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Assets, June 30, 2015 | \$ | 9,685.82 | \$ | 9,485.59 |
| - Employer and Member Contributions | + | 395.14 | + | 395.14 |
| - Benefit Payments | - | 528.50 | - | 528.50 |
| - Net Investment Income | + | 146.12 | + | 693.70 |
| Net Assets, June 30, 2016 | \$ | 9,698.58 | \$ | 10,045.93 |
| Rate of Return, Net of Expenses |  | 1.6\% |  | 7.4\% |

The rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was $7.4 \%$, which was lower than the $8.0 \%$ investment return assumption. As a result, there was an experience loss on assets of $\$ 61.7$ million. The investment return on the market value of assets for FY 2016 of $1.6 \%$ changed the deferred investment experience from a net deferred gain of $\$ 200$ million in last year's valuation to a net deferred loss $\$ 347$ million in the current valuation. Please see Section 3 of this report for more detailed information on the market and actuarial value of assets.


The rate of return of the actuarial value of assets has been less volatile than the market value return, illustrating the benefit of using an asset smoothing method.

## LIABILITIES

The actuarial accrued liability is that portion of the present value of future benefits that will not be paid by future normal costs. The difference between this liability and the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date is called the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). The dollar amount of unfunded actuarial accrued liability is reduced if the contributions to the System exceed the normal cost for the year plus interest on the prior year's UAAL.

The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is shown as of July 1, 2016 in the following table:

| Actuarial <br> Value of Assets | Market <br> Value of Assets |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | $\$ 11,207,298,169$ | $\$ 11,207,298,169$ |
| Value of Assets | $\underline{10,045,925,478}$ | $\underline{9,698,584,810}$ |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | $\$ 1,161,372,691$ | $\$ 1,508,713,359$ |
| Funded Ratio | $89.64 \%$ | $86.54 \%$ |

See Section 4 of the report for the detailed development of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.
The net change in the UAAL from July 1, 2015 to July 1, 2016 was a decrease of $\$ 131.3$ million. The components of this net change are shown in the following table:

|  | (\$ Millions) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, July 1, 2015 | $\$ 1,292.7$ |
| - Expected increase from amortization method | 8.7 |
| - Actual vs. Expected Contributions | $(88.8)$ |
| - Investment experience | 61.7 |
| - Liability experience | $(108.3)$ |
| - Other experience | $(4.6)$ |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, July 1, 2016 | $\$ 1,161.4$ |

As shown above, various components impacted the UAAL. Actuarial gains (losses), which result from actual experience that is more (less) favorable than anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions, are reflected in the UAAL and are measured as the difference between the expected UAAL and the actual UAAL, taking into account any changes due to actuarial assumptions and methods, or benefit provision changes. Overall, the System experienced a net actuarial gain of $\$ 46.6$ million. The actuarial gain may be explained by considering the separate experience of assets and liabilities. As noted earlier, there was a $\$ 108.3$ million experience gain on the System liabilities and an experience loss of $\$ 61.7$ million on the actuarial value of assets. The liability gain was the net result of various components of actuarial gains and losses, the largest of which were a gain from salary increases that were lower than expected and a gain from a smaller COLA than expected being granted to members currently receiving benefits. A breakdown of the components of experience gains and losses can be found in Table 8 of this report.

As the following graph of historical actuarial assets and accrued liabilities shows, the System’s liabilities had been growing at a faster pace than the System's assets for the five-year period beginning after the FY 2009 market downturn. As a result, the funded ratio declined over that period. Recently, the System's assets have been growing at a faster rate than the System's liabilities and the funded ratio has been improving.


An evaluation of the UAAL on a pure dollar basis may not provide a complete analysis since only the difference between the assets and liabilities (which are both very large numbers) is reflected. Another way to evaluate the UAAL and the progress made in its funding is to track the funded ratio, the ratio of the actuarial value of assets to the actuarial accrued liability. The funded status information, using the actuarial value of assets, is shown below (in millions).

|  | $7 / 1 / 2012$ | $7 / 1 / 2013$ | $7 / 1 / 2014$ | $7 / 1 / 2015$ | $7 / 1 / 2016$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Funded Ratio | $76.6 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ |
| UAAL | $\$ 2,250.2$ | $\$ 2,281.8$ | $\$ 1,804.1$ | $\$ 1,292.7$ | $\$ 1,161.4$ |

Note that the funded ratio does not indicate whether or not the System assets are sufficient to settle benefits earned to date. The funded ratio, by itself, also may not be indicative of future funding requirements. If the funded ratios were shown using the market value of assets, the results would differ.

The funded ratio over a longer period is shown in the following graph:


## ACTUARIAL REQUIRED CONTRIBUTION RATE

The System is funded by statutory contribution rates for members ( $9.78 \%$ of pay), employers ( $101 \%$ of the member rate) and the State ( $2.00 \%$ of pay). State statutes require the State to make an additional contribution if the regular, payroll-related contributions by employees, employers and the State are insufficient to meet the actuarial required contribution for the plan year. The additional State contributions for the plan year are made on the July 1 following the plan year end. Based on the results of the July 1, 2016 actuarial valuation, no additional State contribution is necessary for the current plan year.

Under the Entry Age Normal cost method, the actuarial contribution rate consists of two components:

- A "normal cost" for the portion of projected liabilities allocated by the actuarial cost method to service of members during the year following the valuation date.
- An "unfunded actuarial accrued liability contribution" for the excess of the portion of projected liabilities allocated to service to date over the actuarial value of assets.

The UAAL contribution rate is determined by calculating the amortization payments as a level percentage of payroll. This methodology results in payments that are lower in the initial years of the amortization period, but increase each year in the future with the assumed payroll growth assumption of $4 \%$. Because the UAAL contribution rate is determined as a level percent of payroll, the dollar amount of the UAAL contribution is scheduled to increase $4 \%$ each year in the future even if all actuarial assumptions are met.

See Section 5 of the report for the detailed development of the contribution rates, which are summarized in the following table:

| Contribution Rates |  | July 1, 2016 |  | July 1, 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Normal Cost Rate |  | 12.04\% |  | 12.11\% |
| 2. UAAL Contribution Rate |  | 4.55\% |  | 4.92\% |
| 3. Total Actuarial Required Contribution Rate |  | 16.59\% |  | 17.03\% |
| 4. Member Contribution Rate |  | (9.78\%) |  | (9.78\%) |
| 5. Employer Contribution Rate |  | (9.88\%) |  | (9.88\%) |
| 6. State Contribution Rate |  | (2.00\%) |  | (2.00\%) |
| 7. Total Contribution Rate |  | (21.66\%) |  | (21.66\%) |
| 8. Shortfall/(Margin) $[3+7]$ |  | (5.07\%) |  | (4.63\%) |
| 9. Estimated Payroll | \$ | 1,901,967,362 | \$ | 1,845,979,997 |
| 10. Additional State Required Contribution [ 8 * 9, but not less than \$0] | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |

Note: Contribution rates exclude State funding of Omaha Service Annuity.
The actuarial required contribution rate for the current plan year is $16.59 \%$. The member contribution rate of $9.78 \%$, School District contributions of $9.88 \%$ ( $101 \%$ of $9.78 \%$ ), and State contributions of $2.00 \%$
of pay result in total statutory contributions of $21.66 \%$ of pay. As a result, there is a contribution margin of $5.07 \%$ which indicates that the System will reach fully funded status sooner than targeted by the amortization schedule, if all actuarial assumptions are met in future years.

A history of actuarial required contribution rates and any resulting additional required State contributions, whether or not actually contributed, is shown below:

| History of Required Contribution Rates <br> and Additional State Funding <br> Required |  | Additional State <br> Contributions* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017 / 2018$ | $16.59 \%$ | $\$$ |
| $2016 / 2017$ | $17.03 \%$ | 0 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | $18.39 \%$ | 0 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | $19.94 \%$ | 0 |
| $2013 / 2014$ | $23.27 \%$ | 0 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | $20.45 \%$ | $48,092,426$ |
| $2011 / 2012$ | $19.21 \%$ | $23,465,817$ |
| $2010 / 2011$ | $17.24 \%$ | $18,871,705$ |
| $2009 / 2010$ | $15.46 \%$ | 0 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | $15.64 \%$ | 0 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | $16.58 \%$ | 0 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | $17.95 \%$ | 0 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | $16.97 \%$ | $12,847,537$ |
| $2004 / 2005$ | $15.26 \%$ | $15,415,949$ |
| $2003 / 2004$ | $13.45 \%$ | 0 |

* Excludes funding of Omaha Service Annuity.

The actuarial required contribution rate, which is determined based on the snapshot of the System taken on the valuation date of July 1, 2016 will change each year as the deferred investment experience is recognized and other experience (both investment and demographic) impacts the System. While there is a contribution margin for the current plan year, this should not be viewed an unnecessary or excess contribution. In order for the financing of the System on a fixed contribution rate basis to succeed, contributions above the actuarial required contribution rate must be made to offset years where the fixed contribution rate will be below the actuarial required contribution rate.

## SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL RESULTS

## 1. PARTICIPANT DATA

Number of:
Active Members

- Tier One
- Tier Two
- Total

Retired Members and Beneficiaries
Disabled Members
Inactive Members
Total Members
Projected Annual Salaries of Active Members
Annual Retirement Payments for Retired Members, Disabled Members and Beneficiaries
\$

| $7 / 1 / 2016$ |
| :---: |
| Valuation |

$\begin{array}{r}32,211 \\ 9,232 \\ \hline 41,443\end{array}$
22,530
327

| 21,585 |
| :--- |

\$ 1,901,967,362 \$
1,845,979,997
\$ 488,367,407
\$ 9,698,584,810
10,045,925,478
11,207,298,169
\$ 1,161,372,691
\$
89.64\%
86.54\%
f. Funded Ratio (Market Value of Assets) [a/c]

7/1/2015
Valuation \% Change
$\qquad$
\% Change

Valution

This report presents the actuarial valuation results of the School Retirement System as of July 1, 2016. This valuation was prepared at the request of the Public Employees Retirement Board of the Nebraska Public Employees Retirement System.

Please pay particular attention to our actuarial certification letter, where the guidelines employed in the preparation of this report are outlined. We also comment on the sources and reliability of both the data and the actuarial assumptions upon which our findings are based. Those comments are the basis for our certification that this report is complete and accurate to the best of our knowledge and belief.

A summary of the findings which result from this valuation is presented in the previous section. Section 3 describes the assets and investment experience of the System. Sections 4 and 5 describe how the obligations of the System are to be met under the actuarial cost method in use. Section 6 includes some historical funding information that was required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) in the past.

This report includes several appendices:

- Appendix A Schedules of valuation data classified by various categories of members.
- Appendix B A summary of the current benefit structure, as determined by the provisions of governing law on July 1, 2016.
- Appendix C A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used to estimate liabilities and determine contribution rates.
- Appendix D A glossary of actuarial terms.

In many respects, an actuarial valuation can be thought of as an inventory process. The inventory is taken as of the actuarial valuation date, which for this valuation is July 1, 2016. On that date, the assets available for the payment of benefits are appraised. The assets are compared with the liabilities of the System, which are generally in excess of assets. The actuarial process then leads to a method of determining the contributions needed by members and the employer in the future to balance the System assets and liabilities.

## Market Value of Assets

The current market value represents the "snapshot" or "cash-out" value of System assets as of the valuation date. In addition, the market value of assets provides a basis for measuring investment performance from time to time. Table 1 is a comparison, at market values, of System assets as of July 1, 2016, and July 1, 2015, in total and by investment category. Table 2 summarizes the change in the market value of assets from July 1, 2015 to July 1, 2016.

## Actuarial Value of Assets

Neither the market value of assets, representing a "cash-out" value of System assets, nor the book values of assets, representing the cost of investments, may be the best measure of the System's ongoing ability to meet its obligations.

To arrive at a suitable value of assets for the actuarial valuation, a technique for determining the actuarial value of assets is used which dampens swings in the market value while still indirectly recognizing market values. Under the asset smoothing methodology, the difference between the actual and assumed investment return on the market value of assets is recognized evenly over a five year period.

Table 3 shows the development of the actuarial value of assets (AVA) as of the valuation date.

TABLE 1

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS by Investment Category

|  | June 30, 2016 |  | June 30, 2015* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cash and Equivalents | \$ | 5,363,084 | \$ | 6,253,892 |
| 2. Investments |  | 9,874,183,106 |  | 9,864,963,140 |
| 3. Capital Assets |  | 2,655 |  | 3,929 |
| 4. Receivables and Prepaids |  | 642,154,771 |  | 792,866,002 |
| 5. Accounts Payable |  | $(823,118,806)$ |  | $(978,270,910)$ |
| 6. Net Assets Available for Pension Benefits | \$ | 9,698,584,810 | \$ | 9,685,816,053 |

[^0]TABLE 2

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## CHANGE IN MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS

1. Market Value of Assets, Beginning of Year
2. Contributions
(a) Member (includes purchased service)
(b) Employer
(c) State appropriations
(d) Total
3. Expenditures
(a) Benefit payments
(b) Expenses and fees
(c) Total
4. Investment Return, Net of Expenses
(a) Investment income
(b) Securities lending income
(c) Securities lending expense
(d) Net appreciation/(depreciation) in fair value of investments
(e) Other
(f) Total investment return
5. Market Value of Assets, End of Year $[1+2(d)-3(c)+4(f)]$
6. Rate of Return, Net of Expenses*
\$ 9,688,102,023 \$ 10,482,787 \$ 9,698,584,810


| $\$$ | $126,665,075$ | $\$$ | 142,424 | $\$$ | $126,807,499$ |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $2,172,125$ |  | 2,139 |  | $2,174,264$ |  |
| $(736,712)$ |  | $(726)$ |  | $(737,438)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $21,033,605$ |  | 5,573 |  | $21,039,178$ |
|  | 28,107 |  | 0 |  | 28,107 |
|  | $\$$ | 149,410 | $\$$ | $149,311,610$ |  |

* As reported by the Nebraska Investment Council


## TABLE 3

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## DEVELOPMENT OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

|  | Year End |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/2013 |  | 6/30/2014 |  | 6/30/2015 |  | 6/30/2016 |  |
| 1. Actuarial Value of Assets, Beginning of Year | \$ | 7,358,964,135 | \$ | 7,703,084,507 | \$ | 8,622,023,999 | \$ | 9,485,594,650 |
| 2. Unrecognized Return Beginning of Year | \$ | $(112,652,354)$ | \$ | 389,868,523 | \$ | 828,957,724 | \$ | 200,221,403 |
| 3. Contributions During Year <br> (a) Member | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| (b) Employer |  |  |  | 167,710,406 |  | 173,013,848 |  | 178,608,695 |
| (c) State appropriations |  | 17,843,931 |  | 35,613,157 |  | 36,491,449 |  | 37,916,718 |
| (d) Total | \$ | 343,844,729 | \$ | 372,524,092 | \$ | 384,302,638 | \$ | 395,138,678 |
| 4. Benefit Payments | \$ | 427,885,060 | \$ | 466,161,224 | \$ | 502,190,816 | \$ | 528,499,067 |
| 5. Expected Investment Income on (1), (2), (3) and (4) at $8 \%$ | \$ | 577,831,647 | \$ | 645,313,812 | \$ | 753,124,603 | \$ | 771,391,900 |
| 6. Actual Return on Market Value, Net of All Expenses | \$ | 930,681,580 | \$ | 1,451,665,825 | \$ | 352,722,508 | \$ | 146,129,146 |
| 7. Return to be Spread, End of Year [6-5] | \$ | 352,849,933 | \$ | 806,352,013 | \$ | $(400,402,095)$ | \$ | (625,262,754) |

TABLE 3
(continued)

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## DEVELOPMENT OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

8. Return to be Spread

| Plan Year <br> Ending | Return to be <br> Spread | Unrecognized <br> Percent | Unrecognized <br> Return |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2016 | $(\$ 625,262,754)$ | $80 \%$ <br> $(400,402,095)$ | $(\$ 500,210,203)$ |
| 2015 | $806,352,013$ | $40 \%$ | $(240,241,257)$ |
| 2014 | $352,849,933$ | $20 \%$ | $322,540,805$ |
| 2013 |  |  | $70,569,987$ |
|  |  |  | $(\$ 347,340,668)$ |

9. Total Market Value of Assets as of July 1, 2016 \$9,698,584,810
10. Total Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2016
\$10,045,925,478 [9-8]
11. Asset Ratios
(a) Actuarial Value to Market Value [10 / 9]
103.58\%
(b) Market Value to Actuarial Value [9 / 10]
96.54\%

In the previous section, an actuarial valuation was compared with an inventory process, and an analysis was given of the inventory of assets of the School Retirement System as of the valuation date, July 1, 2016. In this section, the discussion will focus on the commitments (future benefit payments) of the System, which are referred to as its liabilities.

Table 4 contains an analysis of the actuarial present value of all future benefits (PVFB) for contributing members, inactive members, retirees and their beneficiaries.

The liabilities summarized in Table 4 include the actuarial present value of all future benefits expected to be paid with respect to each member. For an active member, this value includes the measurement of both benefits already earned and future benefits to be earned. For all members, active and retired, the value extends over benefits earnable and payable for the rest of their lives and for the lives of the surviving beneficiaries.

All liabilities reflect the benefit provisions in place as of July 1, 2016.

## Actuarial Accrued Liability

A fundamental principle in financing the liabilities of a retirement program is that the cost of its benefits should be related to the period in which benefits are earned, rather than to the period of benefit distribution. An actuarial cost method is a mathematical technique that allocates the present value of future benefits into annual costs. In order to do this allocation, it is necessary for the funding method to "breakdown" the present value of future benefits into two components:
(1) that which is attributable to the past and
(2) that which is attributable to the future.

Actuarial terminology calls the part attributable to the past the "past service liability" or the "actuarial accrued liability." The portion allocated to the future is known as the present value of future normal costs, with the specific piece of it allocated to the current year being called the "normal cost." Table 5 contains the calculation of actuarial accrued liability for the System. The Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method is used to develop the actuarial accrued liability.

TABLE 4

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## PRESENT VALUE OF FUTURE BENEFITS (PVFB) AS OF JULY 1, 2016

## Nebraska School

## System Formula <br> Annuity

## Omaha Service

 Annuity Total1. Active Employees
(a) Retirement
(b) Withdrawal
(c) Death
(d) Disability
(e) Total
2. Inactive Vested Members
3. Inactive Nonvested Members
4. Disabled Members
5. Retirees
6. Beneficiaries
7. Total Present Value of Future Benefits
\$ 13,156,732,004
\$ 6,241,184,164 564,987,435
71,236,260

\$ | $40,169,913$ |
| ---: |

328,487,682
39,604,642
41,204,049
5,623,040,339
206,817,520
\$

| $\$$ | $15,947,615$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $1,887,308$ |  |
| 143,101 |  |
| 174,685 |  | $\begin{array}{r}18,152,709\end{array}$

1,219,745

0
0
0
0
19,372,454
\$
\$
6,257,131,779 566,874,743
71,379,361

| 40,344,598 |
| ---: |

329,707,427
39,604,642
41,204,049
5,623,040,339
206,817,520

$$
[1(\mathrm{e})+2+3+4+5+6]
$$

TABLE 5

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY AS OF JULY 1, 2016

1. Present Value of Future Benefits for Active Members
2. Present Value of Future Normal Costs for Active Members
(a) Retirement benefit
(b) Termination benefit
(c) Pre-Retirement death benefit
(d) Disability benefit
(e) Total
3. Actuarial Accrued Liability for Active Members [1-2(e)]
4. Actuarial Accrued Liability for Inactive Members
5. Total Actuarial Accrued Liability [3+4]
6. Actuarial Value of Assets
7. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability [5-6]

## Nebraska School System Formula Annuity

\$ 6,917,577,772

Omaha Service

## Annuity

Total
\$
18,152,709
\$
6,935,730,481
\$ 1,418,649,725
\$
3,623,173
\$ 1,422,272,898 510,477,352 21,595,188

\$ | $13,066,341$ |
| ---: |

\$
1,289,895
38,956
$\begin{array}{r}65,659 \\ \hline 5,017,683\end{array}$
\$ $\begin{array}{r}13,132,000 \\ \end{array}$
\$ 4,953,789,166
\$
13,135,026
\$ 4,966,924,192

6,239,154,232
1,219,745
6,240,373,977
11,192,943,398
14,354,771
11,207,298,169

10,035,067,265
10,858,213
$10,045,925,478$
\$ 1,157,876,133 \$ 3,496,558 \$ 1,161,372,691

TABLE 6

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## ACTUARIAL BALANCE SHEET

## ASSETS

Actuarial Value of Assets
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability
Present Value of Future Normal Costs

Total Assets

LIABILITIES
Present Value of Future Benefits
Active members
Retirement
Withdrawal
Death
Disability
\$ 10,045,925,478

1,161,372,691

1,968,806,289
\$ 13,176,104,458
\$ 6,917,577,772

Inactive members
Currently receiving benefits
Not currently receiving benefits
Total

Omaha Service Annuity
Active
Inactive vested
Total

Total Liabilities

18,152,709
\$ 6,239,154,232

1,219,745

TABLE 7

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## ACTUARIAL GAIN/(LOSS)

## Liabilities

1. Actuarial Accrued Liability as of July 1, 2015
2. Normal Cost for Plan Year Ending June 30, 2016
3. Benefit Payments During Plan Year Ending June 30, 2016
4. Interest at $8.0 \%$
5. Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability as of July 1, 2016
6. Actuarial Accrued Liability as of July 1, 2016

## Assets

7. Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2015
8. Contributions During Plan Year Ending June 30, 2016
9. Benefit Payments During Plan Year Ending June 30, 2016
10. Interest at $8.0 \%$
11. Expected Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2016
12. Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2016

Gain / (Loss)
13. Actuarial Gain / (Loss) on Liabilities
[5-6]
14. Actuarial Gain / (Loss) on Assets [12-11]
15. Total Actuarial Gain / (Loss) for Plan Year Ending June 30, 2016 [13 + 14]
\$ 10,778,303,637 206,042,025
$(528,499,067)$
859,772,786
\$ 11,315,619,381
\$ 11,207,298,169
\$ 9,485,594,650 395,138,678
$(528,499,067)$
755,374,187
\$ 10,107,608,448
\$ $10,045,925,478$

108,321,212
\$ (61,682,970)
\$ 46,638,242

TABLE 8

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## GAIN/(LOSS) ANALYSIS BY SOURCE

| Liability Sources |  | Gain/(Loss) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Retirement | $\$$ | $(9,761,562)$ |
| Termination |  | $(42,349,895)$ |
| Disability |  | $(1,334,851)$ |
| Mortality | $(5,086,142)$ |  |
| Salary | $86,941,000$ |  |
| New Entrants/Rehires |  | $(20,912,478)$ |
| COLA |  | $100,300,450$ |
| Miscellaneous | $\$ 24,690$ |  |
| Total Liability Gain/(Loss) |  | $108,321,212$ |
|  | $\$$ | $(61,682,970)$ |
| Asset Gain/(Loss) | $\$$ | $46,638,242$ |

TABLE 9

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## PROJECTED BENEFIT PAYMENTS AS OF JULY 1, 2016



2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046

## Current <br> Active Members

\$
50,171,000
84,702,000
119,766,000
155,737,000
192,777,000
230,999,000
270,295,000
310,955,000
353,290,000
397,735,000
444,022,000
491,678,000
541,443,000
593,218,000
646,634,000
702,076,000
759,525,000
817,157,000
874,787,000
933,013,000
992,661,000
1,052,882,000
1,113,282,000
1,173,487,000
1,232,784,000
1,290,339,000
1,346,153,000
1,399,775,000
1,450,566,000
1,496,990,000

Current In-Pay
Members
\$

## Total

\$ 567,219,000
607,196,000
647,119,000
687,161,000
727,348,000
767,987,000
808,517,000
849,439,000
890,940,000
933,062,000
975,606,000
1,018,131,000
1,061,158,000
1,104,712,000
1,148,262,000
1,192,735,000
1,237,970,000
1,281,684,000
1,326,833,000
1,370,660,000
1,413,988,000
1,456,004,000
1,496,398,000
1,534,955,000
1,571,172,000
1,604,476,000
1,635,188,000
1,663,212,000
1,688,296,000
1,709,292,000

Note: Cash flows are the expected future non-discounted payments to current members. These numbers exclude refund payouts to any current vested or nonvested inactives and assume future retirees elect the normal form of payment. Also excludes Omaha appropriations.

The previous two sections were devoted to a discussion of the assets and liabilities of the System. A comparison of Tables 3 and 4 indicates that current assets fall short of meeting the present value of future benefits (total liability). This is expected in all but a completely closed fund, where no further contributions are anticipated. In an active system, there will almost always be a difference between the actuarial value of assets and total liabilities. This deficiency has to be made up by future contributions and investment returns. An actuarial valuation sets out a schedule of future contributions that will deal with this deficiency in an orderly fashion.

The method used to determine the incidence of the contributions in various years is called the actuarial cost method. Under an actuarial cost method, the contributions required to meet the difference between current assets and current liabilities are allocated each year between two elements: (1) the normal cost rate and (2) the unfunded actuarial accrued liability contribution rate.

The term "fully funded" is often applied to a system in which contributions at the normal cost rate are sufficient to pay for the benefits of existing employees as well as for those of new employees. More often than not, systems are not fully funded, either because of past benefit improvements that have not been completely funded or because of actuarial deficiencies that have occurred because experience has not been as favorable as anticipated by the actuarial assumptions. Under these circumstances, an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) exists. Likewise, when the actuarial value of assets is greater than the actuarial accrued liability, a surplus exists.

## Description of Contribution Rate Components

The Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method is used for the valuation. Under that method, the normal cost for each year from entry age to assumed exit age is a constant percentage of the member's year by year projected compensation. The portion of the present value of future benefits not provided by the present value of future normal costs is the actuarial accrued liability. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability/ (surplus) represents the difference between the actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is calculated each year and reflects experience gains and losses.

In general, contributions are computed in accordance with a level percent-of-payroll funding objective. The contribution rate based on the July 1, 2016 actuarial valuation will be used to determine the actuarial required employer contribution rate to the School Retirement System for the plan year ending June 30, 2017. Any State contributions are expected to be deposited on July 1, 2017 (State fiscal year 2018). In this context, the term "contribution rate" means the percentage, which is applied to a particular active member payroll to determine the actual employer contribution amount (i.e., in dollars) for the group.

## Contribution Rate Summary

In Table 10 the amortization payment related to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, as of July 1, 2016, is developed. Table 11 develops the actuarial required contribution rate for the System and the amount of required State contributions.

The contribution rates shown in this report are based on the actuarial assumptions and cost methods described in Appendix C.

TABLE 10

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

SCHEDULE OF AMORTIZATION BASES

| Amortization Bases | Original Amount | July 1, 2016 <br> Remaining <br> Payments | Date of Last Payment | Outstanding Balance as of July 1, 2016 | Annual Contribution* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 UAAL Base | \$ 845,226,412 | 20 | 7/1/2036 | \$ 799,912,164 | \$ | 58,102,893 |
| 2007 UAAL Base | $(163,793,512)$ | 21 | 7/1/2037 | $(158,298,366)$ |  | $(11,132,468)$ |
| 2008 UAAL Base | 54,258,200 | 22 | 7/1/2038 | 53,450,574 |  | 3,647,228 |
| 2009 UAAL Base | 370,759,908 | 23 | 7/1/2039 | 371,679,938 |  | 24,656,055 |
| 2010 UAAL Base | 427,955,512 | 24 | 7/1/2040 | 435,933,755 |  | 28,163,782 |
| 2011 UAAL Base | 287,237,896 | 25 | 7/1/2041 | 296,913,521 |  | 18,712,060 |
| 2012 UAAL Base | 497,977,442 | 26 | 7/1/2042 | 521,725,243 |  | 32,121,863 |
| 2013 UAAL Base | 57,652,106 | 27 | 7/1/2043 | 61,153,155 |  | 3,683,314 |
| 2014 UAAL Base | (514,341,070) | 28 | 7/1/2044 | $(535,566,464)$ |  | $(31,596,680)$ |
| 2015 UAAL Base | $(534,298,489)$ | 29 | 7/1/2045 | $(545,505,439)$ |  | $(31,560,280)$ |
| 2016 UAAL Base | (140,025,390) | 30 | 7/1/2046 | $(140,025,390)$ |  | $(7,952,989)$ |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ 1,161,372,691 | \$ | 86,844,778 |

* Contribution amount reflects mid-year timing.

1. Total UAAL Amortization Payments
2. Projected Payroll for FY 2017
\$ 86,844,778
\$ 1,901,967,362
3. UAAL Amortization Payment Rate
4.57\%

Note: Beginning with the July 1, 2013 valuation, the payments on each UAAL base are determined as a level percent of payroll using a $4 \%$ payroll growth assumption.

## TABLE 11

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## ACTUARIAL REQUIRED CONTRIBUTION and DEVELOPMENT OF ADDITIONAL STATE CONTRIBUTION

1. Normal Cost - Nebraska School System Formula Annuity
(a) Amount
(b) Expected pay for current actives
(c) Normal Cost Rate as \% of pay
\$
210,345,057
1,747,196,803
12.04\%
2. Amortization Cost - Nebraska School System Formula Annuity
(a) Amount
(b) Expected pay for all actives
(c) Amortization Rate as \% of pay
3. Total Actuarial Required Contribution Rate - Nebraska School System Formula Annuity [1(c) + 2(c)]
4. Statutory Contribution Rates - Nebraska School System Formula Annuity
(a) Member
(b) Employer (101\% of Member)
(c) State
(d) Total
5. Shortfall/(Margin) - Nebraska School System Formula Annuity [3-4(d)]
6. Expected pay for all actives for FY 2017
7. Additional Required State Contribution payable July 1, 2017
[ 5 * 6 , but not less than 0 ]
8. State Contribution due July 1, 2017
(a) State Statutory Amount due July 1, 2017
[2\% x Expected pay]
(b) Omaha Service Annuity due July 1, 2017
(i) Normal Cost amount
(ii) Amortization amount
\$
720,729
271,722
(iii) Total amount
(d) Additional Contribution
(e) Total

86,583,313
1,901,967,362
4.55\%
$16.59 \%$

## 都

## HISTORICAL FUNDING AND OTHER INFORMATION

This section of the report provides a historical perspective on the System's funding and contribution practices, along with other information that may be of interest.

The information required for financial reporting by the System and participating employers is established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). GASB Statement No. 67 is effective for plan years ending on or after June 15, 2014. GASB 67 basically separates accounting and financial reporting from funding requirements by creating disclosure and reporting requirements that are independent of the basis used for funding the System. A separate report that contains all of the information and exhibits of an actuarial nature that are necessary for the System's financial reporting under GASB 67 for fiscal year 2016 will be issued in the future.

GASB Statement No. 68 establishes standards for the measurement, recognition, and display of pension expense and related liabilities. Annual pension cost is measured and disclosed on the accrual basis of accounting. GASB 68 was first effective for fiscal year-end 2015 for the state of Nebraska. A separate report containing all of the pertinent information under GASB 68 reporting for fiscal year 2016 will also be prepared in the future.

## TABLE 12

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## HISTORICAL FUNDING INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial <br> Accrued <br> Liability <br> (AAL) <br> (b) | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) (b-a) | Funded Ratio (a/b) | Covered Payroll (c) | UAAL as a \% of Covered Payroll [(b-a)/c] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2003 | \$4,952,902,870 | \$5,464,572,876 | \$511,670,006 | 90.6\% | \$1,138,776,241 | 44.9\% |
| June 30, 2004 | 5,118,011,165 | 5,868,266,970 | 750,255,805 | 87.2\% | 1,170,601,127 | 64.1\% |
| June 30, 2005 | 5,335,197,409 | 6,234,657,830 | 899,460,421 | 85.6\% | 1,214,227,197 | 74.1\% |
| June 30, 2006 | 5,739,048,994 | 6,584,275,406 | 845,226,412 | 87.2\% | 1,247,684,378 | 67.7\% |
| June 30, 2007 | 6,396,336,863 | 7,070,308,583 | 673,971,720 | 90.5\% | 1,325,616,322 | 50.8\% |
| June 30, 2008 | 6,932,918,638 | 7,654,536,359 | 721,617,721 | 90.6\% | 1,389,124,819 | 51.9\% |
| June 30, 2009 | 7,007,581,825 | 8,092,339,318 | 1,084,757,493 | 86.6\% | 1,481,568,432 | 73.2\% |
| June 30, 2010 | 7,040,908,599 | 8,542,119,000 | 1,501,210,401 | 82.4\% | 1,543,930,532 | 97.2\% |
| June 30, 2011 | 7,267,497,259 | 9,039,744,995 | 1,772,247,736 | 80.4\% | 1,590,225,983 | 111.4\% |
| June 30, 2012 | 7,358,964,135 | 9,609,157,134 | 2,250,192,999 | 76.6\% | 1,593,184,929 | 141.2\% |
| June 30, 2013 | 7,703,084,507 | 9,984,898,998 | 2,281,814,491 | 77.1\% | 1,735,175,956 | 131.5\% |
| June 30, 2014 | 8,622,023,999 | 10,426,112,609 | 1,804,088,610 | 82.7\% | 1,774,679,549 | 101.7\% |
| June 30, 2015 | 9,485,594,650 | 10,778,303,637 | 1,292,708,987 | 88.0\% | 1,845,979,997 | 70.0\% |
| June 30, 2016 | 10,045,925,478 | 11,207,298,169 | 1,161,372,691 | 89.6\% | 1,901,967,362 | 61.1\% |

Note: Information before 2013 was produced by the prior actuary.

TABLE 13

## SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## HISTORICAL FUNDING INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

|  | Actuarial Required Contributions* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan Year Ending | School | State | Total | Percent <br> Contributed |
| June 30, 2005 | $\$ 90,178,025$ | $\$ 30,274,438$ | $\$ 120,452,463$ | $87 \%$ |
| June 30, 2006 | $102,089,105$ | $28,056,703$ | $130,145,808$ | $100 \%$ |
| June 30, 2007 | $102,849,748$ | $15,219,871$ | $118,069,619$ | $104 \%$ |
| June 30, 2008 | $101,368,968$ | $15,832,941$ | $117,201,909$ | $104 \%$ |
| June 30, 2009 | $105,497,775$ | $20,620,548$ | $126,118,323$ | $104 \%$ |
| June 30, 2010 | $121,277,758$ | $21,380,352$ | $142,658,110$ | $105 \%$ |
| June 30, 2011 | $135,328,339$ | $40,779,653$ | $176,107,992$ | $89 \%$ |
| June 30, 2012 | $145,582,040$ | $45,866,350$ | $191,448,390$ | $88 \%$ |
| June 30, 2013 | $161,922,831$ | $64,966,961$ | $226,889,792$ | $79 \%$ |
| June 30, 2014 | $138,544,708$ | $34,703,519$ | $173,248,227$ | $117 \%$ |
| June 30, 2015 | $115,776,948$ | $35,493,591$ | $151,270,539$ | $138 \%$ |
| June 30, 2016 | $94,929,605$ | $36,919,600$ | $131,849,205$ | $163 \%$ |

* Excludes Omaha appropriations.

Note: Contribution information is consistent with that shown in the GASB 67 report prepared for the System.

MEMBER DATA RECONCILIATION

|  | Active Members | Inactive Vested | Inactive Nonvested | Retirees and Beneficiaries | Disabled <br> Members | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of July 1, 2015 | 40,994 | 5,891 | 15,122 | 21,512 | 324 | 83,843 |
| Changes in status <br> a) Retirement <br> b) Death <br> c) Non-vested termination <br> d) Vested termination <br> e) Contribution refund <br> f) Beneficiary in receipt <br> g) Disability retirement <br> h) Return to active service <br> i) Expired benefit <br> j) Data adjustment <br> Total changes in status | $\begin{array}{r} (1,229) \\ (41) \\ (1,513) \\ (835) \\ (754) \\ 0 \\ (11) \\ 671 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline(3,712) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $(249)$ <br> $(16)$ <br> 0 <br> 835 <br> $(226)$ <br> 0 <br> $(8)$ <br> $(216)$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 120 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1,513 \\ 0 \\ (991) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ (455) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,478 \\ (515) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 135 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ (89) \\ \hline 9 \\ \hline 1,018 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ (16) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \\ 19 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 3 \end{array}$ | 0 <br> $(588)$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $(1,971)$ <br> 135 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $(89)$ <br> 9 <br> $(2,504)$ |
| New entrants | 4,161 | 0 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 4,546 |
| Net Change | 449 | 120 | 452 | 1,018 | 3 | 2,042 |
| As of July 1, 2016 | 41,443 | 6,011 | 15,574 | 22,530 | 327 | 85,885 |

## SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP DATA

## NEBRASKA SCHOOLS

## A. ACTIVE MEMBERS

July 1, 2016 July 1, 2015 \% Change

1. Number of Active Members
(a) Tier 1
(b) Tier 2
(c) Total

| 32,211 | 34,814 | $(7.5 \%)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9,232 | 6,180 | $49.4 \%$ |
|  | 41,443 |  |

2. Annual Reported Salary
(a) Tier 1
(b) Tier 2
(c) Total
3. Accumulated Contributions

| $\$$ | $1,538,919,963$ | $\$$ | $1,580,711,383$ | $(2.6 \%)$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $255,145,088$  |  | $160,807,883$ | $58.7 \%$ |  |
|  | $1,794,065,051$ | $\$$ | $1,741,519,266$ | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| $\$$ | $1,634,092,305$ | $\$$ | $1,593,713,305$ |  | $2.5 \%$ |

4. Active Member Averages

| (a) Age |  | 45.3 | 45.5 | $(0.4 \%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (b) Service |  | 11.3 | 11.4 | $(0.9 \%)$ |
| (c) Compensation | $\$$ | 43,290 | $\$$ | 42,482 |

## B. INACTIVE MEMBERS

1. Number of Inactive Members

| (a) System vested | 6,011 | 5,891 | $2.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (b) System nonvested (refund only) | 15,574 | 15,122 | $3.0 \%$ |
|  | 21,585 | 21,013 | $2.7 \%$ |

2. Accumulated Member Contributions (excluding Omaha) \$ 197,803,267 \$ 191,628,861 3.2\%
3. Inactive Member Averages (excluding Omaha)

| (a) Age (vesteds only) |  | 52.0 |  | 52.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (b) Accumulated member contributions | $\$$ | 9,164 | $\$$ | 9,120 | $0.5 \%$ |

C. RETIREES, DISABLEDS, AND BENEFICIARIES

1. Number of Members
(a) Retired
(b) Disabled
(c) Beneficiaries
(d) Total

| 21,258 | 20,247 | $5.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 327 | 324 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 1,272 | 1,265 | $0.6 \%$ |
|  | 22,857 | 21,836 |

2. Annual Benefits
(a) Retired
(b) Disabled
(c) Beneficiaries
(d) Total

| $\$$ | $491,371,424$ | $\$$ | $461,540,854$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $4,470,019$ |  | $4,410,671$ | $1.3 \%$ |
|  | $23,568,595$ |  | $22,415,882$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| $\$$ | $519,410,038$ | $\$ 488,367,407$ | $6.4 \%$ |  |

## SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP DATA

## OMAHA SCHOOLS

| A. ACTIVE MEMBERS | September 1, 2015 | September 1, 2014 | \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Number of Active Members | 7,393 | 7,415 | $(0.3 \%)$ |
| 2. Average Age | 44.5 | 44.7 | $(0.4 \%)$ |
| 3. Average Service | 9.9 | 10.0 | $(1.0 \%)$ |
| B. INACTIVE VESTED MEMBERS |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of Inactive Members | 984 | 937 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2. Average Age | 46.0 | 45.8 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 3. Average Service | 8.9 | 9.0 | $(1.1 \%)$ |

Note: Data was provided by the Omaha Schools Employee Retirement System (OSERS) for use in estimating the Service Annuity obligation. The data provided is from the prior OSERS valuation.

## ACTIVE MEMBERS <br> AS OF JULY 1, 2016

Tier 1 Members

|  | Count of Members |  |  | Reported FY 2016 Earnings for Current Members |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 24 \& Under | 8 | 25 | 33 | \$ 113,861 | \$ 429,686 | \$ 543,547 |
| 25-29 | 390 | 1,323 | 1,713 | 16,880,872 | 53,692,909 | 70,573,781 |
| 30-34 | 917 | 2,618 | 3,535 | 47,146,609 | 119,448,966 | 166,595,575 |
| 35-39 | 969 | 2,719 | 3,688 | 58,801,431 | 131,560,680 | 190,362,111 |
| 40-44 | 965 | 2,944 | 3,909 | 62,542,149 | 141,325,802 | 203,867,951 |
| 45-49 | 1,028 | 3,339 | 4,367 | 69,005,055 | 157,531,715 | 226,536,770 |
| 50-54 | 1,092 | 3,459 | 4,551 | 70,427,533 | 152,395,232 | 222,822,765 |
| 55-59 | 1,089 | 3,792 | 4,881 | 65,619,226 | 167,690,629 | 233,309,855 |
| 60-64 | 948 | 2,613 | 3,561 | 49,693,104 | 109,664,626 | 159,357,730 |
| 65 \& Up | $\underline{696}$ | 1,277 | 1,973 | 23,611,149 | 41,338,729 | 64,949,878 |
| Total | 8,102 | 24,109 | 32,211 | \$ 463,840,989 | \$ 1,075,078,974 | \$ 1,538,919,963 |




## ACTIVE MEMBERS <br> AS OF JULY 1, 2016

Tier 2 Members

|  | Count of Members |  |  | Reported FY 2016 Earnings for Current Members |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 24 \& Under | 228 | 916 | 1,144 | \$ 6,580,357 | \$ 23,214,825 | \$ 29,795,182 |
| 25-29 | 697 | 2,006 | 2,703 | 25,992,881 | 66,089,914 | 92,082,795 |
| 30-34 | 259 | 902 | 1,161 | 9,635,308 | 23,623,179 | 33,258,487 |
| 35-39 | 195 | 841 | 1,036 | 7,834,697 | 18,656,771 | 26,491,468 |
| 40-44 | 143 | 678 | 821 | 5,462,432 | 14,696,622 | 20,159,054 |
| 45-49 | 125 | 545 | 670 | 4,287,078 | 11,794,509 | 16,081,587 |
| 50-54 | 128 | 435 | 563 | 3,963,573 | 8,605,284 | 12,568,857 |
| 55-59 | 134 | 360 | 494 | 4,359,254 | 8,008,016 | 12,367,270 |
| 60-64 | 127 | 219 | 346 | 3,355,529 | 3,793,050 | 7,148,579 |
| 65 \& Up | 122 | $\underline{172}$ | $\underline{294}$ | 2,315,774 | 2,876,035 | 5,191,809 |
| Total | 2,158 | 7,074 | 9,232 | \$ 73,786,883 | \$ 181,358,205 | \$ 255,145,088 |




## ACTIVE MEMBERS <br> AS OF JULY 1, 2016

## All Members

Count of Members

| Age | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24 \& Under | 236 | 941 | 1,177 |
| $25-29$ | 1,087 | 3,329 | 4,416 |
| $30-34$ | 1,176 | 3,520 | 4,696 |
| $35-39$ | 1,164 | 3,560 | 4,724 |
| $40-44$ | 1,108 | 3,622 | 4,730 |
| $45-49$ | 1,153 | 3,884 | 5,037 |
| $50-54$ | 1,220 | 3,894 | 5,114 |
| $55-59$ | 1,223 | 4,152 | 5,375 |
| $60-64$ | 1,075 | 2,832 | 3,907 |
| $65 \&$ Up | $\underline{818}$ | $\underline{1,449}$ | $\underline{2,267}$ |
| Total | 10,260 | 31,183 | 41,443 |

Reported FY 2016 Earnings for Current Members

| Male |  | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |  | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 6,694,218$ | $\$$ | $23,644,511$ | $\$$ | $30,338,729$ |
| $42,873,753$ |  | $119,782,823$ |  | $162,656,576$ |
| $56,781,917$ |  | $143,072,145$ |  | $199,854,062$ |
| $66,636,128$ |  | $150,217,451$ |  | $216,853,579$ |
| $68,004,581$ |  | $156,022,424$ |  | $224,027,005$ |
| $73,292,133$ |  | $169,326,224$ |  | $242,618,357$ |
| $74,391,106$ |  | $161,000,516$ |  | $235,391,622$ |
| $69,978,480$ |  | $175,698,645$ |  | $245,677,125$ |
| $53,048,633$ |  | $113,457,676$ |  | $166,506,309$ |
| $\underline{25,926,923}$ |  | $\underline{44,214,764}$ |  | $\underline{70,141,687}$ |
| $\$ 537,627,872$ | $\$ 1,256,437,179$ | $\$ 1,794,065,051$ |  |  |




## AGE AND SERVICE DISTRIBUTION

 AS OF JULY 1, 2016| Age |  | 0-4 |  | 5-9 |  | 10-14 |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  | 25-29 |  | 30-34 |  | Over 34 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 \& | Number |  | 1,176 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,177 |
| Under | Total Salary | \$ | 30,317,166 | \$ | 21,563 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 30,338,729 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 25,780 | \$ | 21,563 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 25,776 |
| 25-29 | Number |  | 3,631 |  | 782 |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4,416 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 128,074,726 | \$ | 34,419,052 | \$ | 162,798 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 162,656,576 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 35,273 | \$ | 44,014 | \$ | 54,266 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 36,833 |
| 30-34 | Number |  | 1,802 |  | 2,299 |  | 595 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4,696 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 56,012,225 | \$ | 111,335,336 | \$ | 32,506,501 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 199,854,062 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 31,083 | \$ | 48,428 | \$ | 54,633 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 42,558 |
| 35-39 | Number |  | 1,507 |  | 1,174 |  | 1,638 |  | 402 |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4,724 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 41,269,105 | \$ | 54,616,747 | \$ | 94,778,300 | \$ | 26,052,790 | \$ | 136,637 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 216,853,579 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 27,385 | \$ | 46,522 | \$ | 57,862 | \$ | 64,808 | \$ | 45,546 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 45,905 |
| 40-44 | Number |  | 1,301 |  | 892 |  | 819 |  | 1,442 |  | 276 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4,730 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 33,347,530 | \$ | 34,745,092 | \$ | 43,712,348 | \$ | 92,951,153 | \$ | 19,270,882 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 224,027,005 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 25,632 | \$ | 38,952 | \$ | 53,373 | \$ | 64,460 | \$ | 69,822 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 47,363 |
| 45-49 | Number |  | 1,090 |  | 987 |  | 764 |  | 812 |  | 1,098 |  | 286 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5,037 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 27,774,146 | \$ | 34,635,016 | \$ | 36,241,640 | \$ | 47,433,682 | \$ | 75,907,691 | \$ | 20,626,182 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 242,618,357 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 25,481 | \$ | 35,091 | \$ | 47,437 | \$ | 58,416 | \$ | 69,133 | \$ | 72,120 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 48,167 |
| 50-54 | Number |  | 941 |  | 847 |  | 822 |  | 703 |  | 609 |  | 911 |  | 280 |  | 1 |  | 5,114 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 21,732,623 | \$ | 26,934,404 | \$ | 32,510,717 | \$ | 34,176,231 | \$ | 37,816,062 | \$ | 62,385,727 | \$ | 19,786,735 | \$ | 49,123 | \$ | 235,391,622 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 23,095 | \$ | 31,800 | \$ | 39,551 | \$ | 48,615 | \$ | 62,095 | \$ | 68,480 | \$ | 70,667 | \$ | 49,123 | \$ | 46,029 |
| 55-59 | Number |  | 827 |  | 759 |  | 779 |  | 852 |  | 609 |  | 603 |  | 693 |  | 253 |  | 5,375 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 21,144,593 | \$ | 23,436,111 | \$ | 28,705,404 | \$ | 36,896,252 | \$ | 32,121,148 | \$ | 37,290,696 | \$ | 48,312,782 | \$ | 17,770,139 | \$ | 245,677,125 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 25,568 | \$ | 30,878 | \$ | 36,849 | \$ | 43,305 | \$ | 52,744 | \$ | 61,842 | \$ | 69,715 | \$ | 70,238 | \$ | 45,707 |
| 60-64 | Number |  | 677 |  | 511 |  | 464 |  | 584 |  | 526 |  | 420 |  | 257 |  | 468 |  | 3,907 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 15,294,724 | \$ | 16,578,029 | \$ | 15,813,810 | \$ | 24,831,175 | \$ | 24,418,105 | \$ | 22,009,692 | \$ | 15,085,133 | \$ | 32,475,641 | \$ | 166,506,309 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 22,592 | \$ | 32,442 | \$ | 34,081 | \$ | 42,519 | \$ | 46,422 | \$ | 52,404 | \$ | 58,697 | \$ | 69,392 | \$ | 42,617 |
| 65 \& | Number |  | 655 |  | 460 |  | 298 |  | 220 |  | 201 |  | 169 |  | 113 |  | 151 |  | 2,267 |
| Up | Total Salary | \$ | 11,238,776 | \$ | 11,036,234 | \$ | 9,189,921 | \$ | 7,065,799 | \$ | 8,110,244 | \$ | 8,206,784 | \$ | 5,614,965 | \$ | 9,678,964 | \$ | 70,141,687 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 17,158 | \$ | 23,992 | \$ | 30,839 | \$ | 32,117 | \$ | 40,349 | \$ | 48,561 | \$ | 49,690 | \$ | 64,099 | \$ | 30,940 |
| Total | Number |  | 13,607 |  | 8,712 |  | 6,182 |  | 5,015 |  | 3,322 |  | 2,389 |  | 1,343 |  | 873 |  | 41,443 |
|  | Total Salary | \$ | 386,205,614 | \$ | 347,757,584 | \$ | 293,621,439 | \$ | 269,407,082 | \$ | 197,780,769 | \$ | 150,519,081 | \$ | 88,799,615 | \$ | 59,973,867 | \$ | 1,794,065,051 |
|  | Average Sal. | \$ | 28,383 | \$ | 39,917 | \$ | 47,496 | \$ | 53,720 | \$ | 59,537 | \$ | 63,005 | \$ | 66,120 | \$ | 68,699 | \$ | 43,290 |

## INACTIVE VESTED MEMBERS AS OF JULY 1, 2016

|  | Count of Members |  |  | Account Balances |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 24 \& Under | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| 25-29 | 11 | 30 | 41 | 172,065 | 402,997 | 575,062 |
| 30-34 | 53 | 276 | 329 | 1,068,029 | 5,154,145 | 6,222,174 |
| 35-39 | 101 | 416 | 517 | 2,691,489 | 9,401,953 | 12,093,442 |
| 40-44 | 105 | 424 | 529 | 3,866,209 | 10,361,878 | 14,228,087 |
| 45-49 | 151 | 557 | 708 | 5,660,419 | 14,225,941 | 19,886,360 |
| 50-54 | 150 | 809 | 959 | 6,586,197 | 19,530,385 | 26,116,582 |
| 55-59 | 220 | 1,163 | 1,383 | 9,521,606 | 30,245,998 | 39,767,604 |
| 60-64 | 168 | 992 | 1,160 | 6,685,275 | 24,066,289 | 30,751,564 |
| 65 \& Up | $\underline{57}$ | $\underline{328}$ | $\underline{385}$ | 1,934,924 | 6,622,826 | 8,557,750 |
| Total | 1,016 | 4,995 | 6,011 | \$ 38,186,213 | \$ 120,012,412 | \$ 158,198,625 |




## RETIRED MEMBERS

## AS OF JULY 1, 2016

| Age | Count of Members |  |  | Annual Benefits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 59 \& Under | 210 | 473 | 683 | \$ | 8,961,706 | \$ 18,006,224 | \$ 26,967,930 |
| 60-64 | 773 | 2,266 | 3,039 |  | 29,562,034 | 64,841,787 | 94,403,821 |
| 65-69 | 1,793 | 4,469 | 6,262 |  | 57,829,062 | 105,525,787 | 163,354,849 |
| 70-74 | 1,564 | 2,900 | 4,464 |  | 43,838,604 | 52,221,551 | 96,060,155 |
| 75-79 | 936 | 1,948 | 2,884 |  | 24,225,591 | 30,207,242 | 54,432,833 |
| 80-84 | 614 | 1,404 | 2,018 |  | 13,607,930 | 18,318,167 | 31,926,097 |
| 85-89 | 349 | 910 | 1,259 |  | 6,162,419 | 11,297,813 | 17,460,232 |
| 90 \& Over | $\underline{114}$ | 535 | 649 |  | 1,865,256 | 4,900,251 | 6,765,507 |
| Total | 6,353 | 14,905 | 21,258 |  | 186,052,602 | \$ 305,318,822 | \$ 491,371,424 |




## BENEFICIARIES RECEIVING BENEFITS AS OF JULY 1, 2016

| Age | Count of Members |  |  | Annual Benefits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 59 \& Under | 119 | 129 | 248 | \$ 1,101,438 | \$ 1,567,524 | \$ 2,668,962 |
| 60-64 | 47 | 68 | 115 | 887,542 | 1,470,513 | 2,358,055 |
| 65-69 | 70 | 117 | 187 | 1,397,166 | 2,814,825 | 4,211,991 |
| 70-74 | 72 | 131 | 203 | 1,326,702 | 3,063,893 | 4,390,595 |
| 75-79 | 58 | 135 | 193 | 845,602 | 3,322,047 | 4,167,649 |
| 80-84 | 39 | 116 | 155 | 636,402 | 2,411,186 | 3,047,588 |
| 85-89 | 21 | 80 | 101 | 333,744 | 1,446,773 | 1,780,517 |
| 90 \& Over | 12 | 58 | $\underline{70}$ | 160,712 | 782,526 | 943,238 |
| Total | 438 | 834 | 1,272 | \$ 6,689,308 | \$ 16,879,287 | \$ 23,568,595 |




## DISABLED MEMBERS

## AS OF JULY 1, 2016

| Age | Count of Members |  |  | Annual Benefits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 59 \& Under | 20 | 52 | 72 | \$ 264,142 | \$ 733,719 | \$ 997,861 |
| 60-64 | 15 | 52 | 67 | 205,676 | 837,890 | 1,043,566 |
| 65-69 | 25 | 53 | 78 | 297,992 | 645,188 | 943,180 |
| 70-74 | 16 | 25 | 41 | 223,073 | 336,175 | 559,248 |
| 75-79 | 14 | 21 | 35 | 187,033 | 251,249 | 438,282 |
| 80-84 | 7 | 16 | 23 | 111,849 | 218,989 | 330,838 |
| 85-89 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 55,198 | 60,426 | 115,624 |
| 90 \& Over | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | 22,579 | 18,841 | 41,420 |
| Total | 103 | 224 | 327 | \$ 1,367,542 | \$ 3,102,477 | \$ 4,470,019 |




## Member

## Participation Date

## Definitions

Final average earnings

Fiscal year

## Contributions

Monthly pension benefit

Any person employed by a public school 20 or more hours per week shall be a member of the system. Employees at the date of establishment could have elected not to participate, and those covered under another system do not participate. The Tier Two benefit structure covers members joining the System on or after July 1, 2013.

Date of becoming a member.

The average of the three highest twelve month periods of service during the period ending on the earlier of the participant's termination date or retirement date. For employees who become a member on or after July 1, 1996, earnings will be capped at the maximum earning defined in Code 401(a) (17). For Tier Two members, it is the average of the five highest twelve month periods of service.

Twelve month period ending June 30.
Members contribute $9.78 \%$ of pay. Such contributions are credited with interest based on the 1-year Treasury yield curve on July 1 of each year, as determined by State Statutes. The School Districts contribute at a rate equal to $101 \%$ of the members' rate. The State contributes 2\% of pay, effective July 1, 2014 (previously 1\%).

The greater of (1) or (2).
(1) Amount: A monthly benefit equal to the sum of:
(a) A savings annuity which is the actuarial equivalent of the member's accumulated contributions, and
(b) A service annuity equal to $\$ 3.50$ per year of service.
(2) Amount: Members employed by a class I, II, III, IV, VI School District may receive a formula annuity. The formula annuity is a monthly amount equal to the product of $2.00 \%$ of final average earnings times total years of service for those members who are employed on or after July 1, 2001.

To receive this benefit, retirement must occur after meeting the Rule of 85 requirements (minimum age 55) or attaining age 65.

Normal Retirement Date (NRD)

Service

Pensionable pay

## Eligibility for Benefits

Deferred vested

Disability retirement
Early retirement

Normal retirement

Postponed retirement

Pre-retirement spouse benefit

Normal retirement

## Monthly Benefits Payable

An automatic annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) equal to the change in the CPI-W index, with a maximum increase of $2.5 \%$ in any one year is provided for current and future retirees. Also provided is a minimum floor benefit equal to $75 \%$ of the purchasing power of the original benefit. For Tier Two members, whom are hired on or after July 1, 2013, an automatic cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) equal to the change in the CPI-W index, not to exceed $1.0 \%$ in any one year. No purchasing power COLA applies.

First of month coinciding with or next following the attainment of age 65 and one-half year of service.

Length of service includes all service as a school employee for which contributions have been made. This service only includes years for which the member was employed on at least a half-time basis, and includes declared emergency service in the armed forces, provided certain conditions are met. Special provisions allow credit for service prior to 1945 and for up to ten years of service in another State upon payment of the actuarial cost of the additional benefit granted.

Gross earnings subject to contributions.

Termination for reasons other than death or disability retirement after completing five years of service.

Retirement by reason of disability.
Retirement before NRD and on or after both attaining age 60 and completing five years of service, or attaining 35 years of service regardless of age, or attaining age 55 and age plus service equals at least 85 (Rule of 85).

Retire on NRD.

Retire after NRD.

Death prior to retirement.

Monthly pension benefit determined as of NRD.

Early retirement

Postponed retirement
Termination with deferred vested benefit

Disability retirement
Death with pre-retirement benefits

Forms of payment

Monthly pension benefit determined as of early retirement date, reduced by $3 \%$ for each year that commencement of payment precedes age 65 (members must be age 60 with five years of service). Unreduced benefits are available to members who have attained age 55 and whose age plus service is greater than or equal to 85. Benefits payable upon retirement prior to age 60 (based on the 35 year service rule) are actuarially reduced from age 65 . The service annuity is a life annuity actuarially reduced before age 65 using $8 \%$ interest and the 1994 Group annuity Mortality Table, 25\% male, $75 \%$ female.

Monthly pension benefit determined as of actual retirement date.
Monthly pension benefit determined as of termination date, reduced by $3 \%$ for each year that commencement of payment precedes age 65 (Early Commencement requires attainment of age 60).

Monthly pension benefit determined as of disability retirement date.
Survivor portion of $100 \%$ Joint and Survivor Annuity paid to spouse assuming retirement by member at death if the member is age 65 or has 20 years of service at death. If the member has met the 5 -year vesting service requirement, has less than 20 years of service and is under age 65, the spouse may choose between the following two options:
(1) a lump sum equal to the member's contributions with interest plus $101 \%$ of the member's contributions with interest, and
(2) an annuity which equals the survivor portion of the $100 \%$ Joint and Survivor value of the member's accrued benefit, payable immediately, reduced for commencement before age 65 and the $100 \%$ joint and survivor form of payment.

Pre-retirement death benefits are payable only as described above.
Monthly pension benefits are paid under the form of payment elected by the retiree at retirement. Payment forms include: life annuity, 5year certain and life annuity, $100 \%$ joint and survivor annuity (spouse only), 10 -year certain and life annuity, 15 -year certain and life annuity, or a modified cash refund annuity. The normal form of payment for the formula annuity is a 5 -year certain and life annuity.

## Funding Arrangement

Pursuant to LB 407 enacted in 2002, the School Retirement Fund is created. Balances existing on June 30, 2002 in the School Employers Deposit Account, the School Employees Savings Account, the Service Annuity Account, the Annuity Reserve Account, and the School Employees Retirement System Reserve Fund (RSRF) shall be combined and transferred into the School Retirement Fund.

There are four funds established in the State Treasury, which receive monies and pay the expenses and benefits of the retirement system, as follows:

1. School Retirement Fund - receives required deposits of the employers, the State, and employees. Upon retirement, the fund pays all savings annuities, service annuities, and formula annuities.
2. Contingent Account - receives all interest, dividends, and miscellaneous income, pays all regular interest allocated to the other accounts or funds, and meets any deficiencies occurring in the other accounts or funds.
3. Expense Fund - pays all expenses connected with the operation and administration of the system, and receives annual contributions to cover anticipated expenses.
4. Omaha Service Annuity Fund - pays service annuity benefits to Omaha members.

## Benefits Reflected in Valuation

All benefits were valued, including future cost-of-living increases granted by statute.

## Plan Provisions Effective after July 1, 2016

No future changes in plan provisions were recognized in determining the funded status or in determining the sufficiency of statutory contribution levels.

## Changes in Plan Provisions Since the Prior Year

There have been no changes to plan provisions since the prior year.

## A. ACTUARIAL METHODS

1. Calculation of Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability: The method used to determine the normal cost and actuarial accrued liability was the Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method described below.

## Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method

Projected pension and preretirement spouse's death benefits were determined for all active members under age 80. Cost factors designed to produce annual costs as a constant percentage of each member's expected compensation in each year from the assumed entry age to the assumed retirement age were applied to the projected benefits to determine the normal cost (the portion of the total cost of the plan allocated to the current year under the method). The normal cost is determined by summing intermediate results for active members under age 80 and determining an average normal cost rate which is then related to the total payroll of active members. The actuarial assumptions shown on the following page were used in determining the projected benefits and cost factors. The actuarial accrued liability for active members (the portion of the total cost of the plan allocated to prior years under the method) was determined as the excess of the actuarial present value of projected benefits over the actuarial present value of future normal costs.

The actuarial accrued liability for retired members and their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, active members age 80 and over, terminated vested members and disabled members not yet receiving benefits was determined as the actuarial present value of the benefits expected to be paid. No future normal costs are payable for these members.

The actuarial accrued liability under this method at any point in time is the theoretical amount of the fund that would have been accumulated had annual contributions equal to the normal cost been made in prior years (it does not represent the liability for benefits accrued to the valuation date). The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is the excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of plan assets measured on the valuation date. The initial unfunded actuarial accrued liability established July 1, 2004, is amortized with a level dollar payment amount over 25 years. At subsequent valuation dates, amortization bases equal to changes in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability are established and amortized with a level dollar payment over a 25 year period. Beginning July 1, 2006, the unfunded liability was reinitialized as of July 1, 2006 and amortized over a 30 -year period. At subsequent valuation dates, amortization bases equal to changes in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability are established and amortized over a level dollar payment over a 30 -year period. If the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is $\$ 0$ or less on the valuation date, all previous amortization bases are considered fully amortized. Effective with the July 1, 2013 valuation, amortization payments were recalculated to amortize the remaining bases as a level percentage of expected payroll, per LB 553.

Under this Entry Age method, experience gains or losses, i.e., decreases or increases in accrued liabilities attributable to deviations in experience from the actuarial assumptions, adjust the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.
2. Calculation of the Actuarial Value of Assets: The actuarial value of assets is based on a fiveyear smoothing method and is determined by spreading the effect of each year's investment return in excess of or below the expected return. The Market Value of assets on the valuation date is reduced by the sum of the following:
I. $80 \%$ of the return to be spread during the first year preceding the valuation date,
II. $60 \%$ of the return to be spread during the second year preceding the valuation date,
III. $40 \%$ of the return to be spread during the third year preceding the valuation date, and
IV. $20 \%$ of the return to be spread during the fourth year preceding the valuation date.

## Changes in Methods and Procedures since the Prior Year

There have been no changes to the methods and procedures since last year.

## ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

## Economic Assumptions

1. Investment Return
2. Inflation
3. Salary Increases
8.00\% per annum, compounded annually, net of expenses.
$3.25 \%$ per annum, compounded annually
Rates vary by service. Sample rates are as follows:

| Rates by Service <br> Years |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $<1$ | $9.00 \%$ |
| 1 | 8.50 |
| 5 | 6.96 |
| 10 | 5.68 |
| 15 | 5.21 |
| 20 | 4.95 |
| 25 | 4.74 |
| 30 | 4.57 |
| 35 | 4.32 |
| $40+$ | 4.00 |

4. Payroll Growth
5. Investment on Employee Contributions
6. Increase in Compensation

And Benefit Limits

## Demographic Assumptions

1. Mortality
a. Healthy lives - Active members
b. Healthy lives - Retired members and beneficiaries
c. Disabled lives
4.00\% per annum
4.25\% per annum compounded annually.
$3.25 \%$ per annum on the 401(a)(17) compensation limit and 415 benefit limit

The mortality assumption includes an appropriate level of conservatism that reflects expected future mortality improvement.

1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table, projected to 2015 using scale AA, set-back 1 year ( $55 \%$ of male rates for males, $40 \%$ of female rates for females)

1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table, projected to 2015 using scale AA, set-back 1 year (sex distinct)

1983 Railroad Retirement Board Disabled Annuitants Mortality set-back 1 year (unisex)
d. Healthy mortality rates and life expectancies are shown below at sample ages:

|  | Pre-retirement Mortality |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Age | Males | Mortality | Rate <br> Females | Life Expectancy (Years) <br> Males |
| Females |  |  |  |  |


|  | Post-retirement Mortality$\quad$Mortality <br> Sample Age <br> Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Females | Life Expectancy (Years) <br> Males |  |  |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |

e. Disabled mortality rates and life expectancies are shown below at sample ages:

| Sample Age | Mortality <br> Rate | Life <br> Expectancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | $1.02 \%$ | 30.7 |
| 40 | 1.29 | 23.8 |
| 50 | 3.00 | 17.7 |
| 60 | 4.14 | 13.5 |
| 70 | 6.38 | 9.5 |
| 80 | 9.97 | 6.2 |

2. Retirement
Rates vary by age and eligibility for benefits.
Rates are as follows:

| Retirement Rates When Eligible <br> for Unreduced Benefits <br> Age <br> Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 55 | $25 \%$ |
| 56 | 20 |
| 57 | 20 |
| 58 | 20 |
| 59 | 20 |
| 60 | 25 |
| 61 | 25 |
| 62 | 30 |
| 63 | 25 |
| 64 | 25 |
| 65 | 30 |
| 66 | 25 |
| 67 | 20 |
| 68 | 20 |
| 69 | 20 |
| 70 | 20 |
| 71 | 20 |
| 72 | 20 |
| 73 | 20 |
| 74 | 25 |
| 75 | 25 |
| 76 | 25 |
| 77 | 25 |
| 78 | 35 |
| 79 | 35 |
| 80 | 100 |


| Retirement Rates When Eligible <br> for Reduced Benefits <br> Age | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 60 | $10 \%$ |
| 61 | 12 |
| 62 | 15 |
| 63 | 12 |
| 64 | 18 |

## 3. Termination

Rates vary by service.
Sample rates are as follows:

| Rates by Service <br> Male |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Female |  |
| $<1$ | $27.5 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ |
| 1 | 17.0 | 20.3 |
| 5 | 6.7 | 8.4 |
| 10 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| 15 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| $20+$ | 2.0 | 2.0 |

4. Disability

Rates vary by age.
Sample rates are as follows:

| Age | Rate |
| :---: | :--- |
| 25 | $.00 \%$ |
| 30 | .00 |
| 35 | .02 |
| 40 | .02 |
| 45 | .03 |
| 50 | .04 |
| 55 | .07 |
| 60 | .09 |

## Other Assumptions

1. Form of Payment
2. Marital Status
a. Percent married
b. Spouse's age
3. Administrative Expense
4. Commencement age for deferred
vested benefit
5. Cost of Living Adjustment

Service annuity - Life annuity
Formula annuity - Five year certain and life annuity.

85\% married
Females assumed to be two years younger than males.

Investment return is assumed to be net of expenses.

Age 62

Service annuity - none
Formula annuity - For members hired before January 1, 2013 , it is $2.50 \%$ per annum, compounded annually and $3.25 \%$ per annum, compounded annually, after reaching $75 \%$ purchasing power floor benefit. For members hired on or after January 1, 2013, it is $1.00 \%$ per annum, compounded annually, and there is no floor for the purchasing power of the benefit.
6. State Contribution

State contributions for the current plan year are assumed to be contributed in a lump sum on the July 1 following the plan year end. These amounts from the prior plan year are treated as a contribution receivable on the plan's financial statements.

## Changes in Assumptions since the Prior Year

There were no changes.

## TECHNICAL VALUATION PROCEDURES

## Data Procedures

Salaries for first year members are annualized by using the client's Calculated Salary field. For continuing active members, the Accumulated Salary field is used.

## Other Valuation Procedures

Salary increases are assumed to apply to annual amounts.
Decrements are assumed to occur mid-year, except that immediate retirement is assumed for those who are at or above the age at which retirement rates are $100 \%$. Standard adjustments are made for multiple decrements.

No actuarial liability is included for participants who terminated without being vested prior to the valuation date, except those due a refund of contributions.

Future monthly benefit amounts are not calculated or available for deferred vested members. The benefit liability for deferred vested members was calculated by loading the accumulated member contribution balances for deferred vested members by $100 \%$ to estimate the value of deferred benefit payments.

## Actuarial Accrued Liability

## Actuarial Assumptions

## Accrued Service

## Actuarial Equivalent

## Actuarial Cost Method

## Experience Gain (Loss)

## Actuarial Present Value

## Amortization

## Normal Cost

The difference between the actuarial present value of system benefits and the actuarial value of future normal costs. Also referred to as "accrued liability" or "actuarial liability".

Estimates of future experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, turnover, retirement, rate or rates of investment income and salary increases. Decrement assumptions (rates of mortality, disability, turnover and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate in an inflationfree environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation.

Service credited under the system which was rendered before the date of the actuarial valuation.

A single amount or series of amounts of equal actuarial value to another single amount or series of amounts, computed on the basis of appropriate assumptions.

A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the actuarial present value of retirement system benefit between future normal cost and actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the "actuarial funding method".

The difference between actual experience and actuarial assumptions anticipated experience during the period between two actuarial valuation dates.

The amount of funds currently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting future payments at predetermined rates of interest and by probabilities of payment.

Paying off an interest-discounted amount with periodic payments of interest and principal, as opposed to paying off with lump sum payment.

The actuarial present value of retirement system benefits allocated to the current year by the actuarial cost method.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability The difference between actuarial accrued liability and the valuation assets. Sometimes referred to as "unfunded actuarial liability" or "unfunded accrued liability".

Most retirement systems have unfunded actuarial accrued liability. They arise each time new benefits are added and each time an actuarial loss is realized.


[^0]:    * Restated after the actuarial report was published.

