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## Introduction

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present a valuation of the North Dakota Public Employees’ Retirement System as of July 1, 2013. The valuation was performed to determine whether the assets and statutory contributions are anticipated to be sufficient to provide the prescribed benefits. The contribution requirements presented in this report are based on:

1. The present provisions of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System;
2. The characteristics of covered active members, inactive non-retired members, pensioners and beneficiaries as of July 1, 2013;
3. The assets of the System as of June 30, 2013; and
4. Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings and demographic assumptions regarding rates of termination, retirement, disability, death, etc.

The purpose of the actuarial valuation is to determine the contribution sufficient to meet the long-term obligations to the members covered by the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System in accordance with the benefit provisions of the System.

To calculate the required contribution, assumptions are made about future events that affect the amount and timing of benefits to be paid and assets to be accumulated. Each year actual experience is measured against the assumptions. If overall experience is more favorable than anticipated (an actuarial gain), the contribution requirement will decrease from the previous year. On the other hand, the contribution requirement will increase if overall actuarial experience is less favorable than expected (an actuarial loss).

Taking account of experience gains or losses in one year without making a change in assumptions reflects the belief that the single year's experience was a short-term development and that, over the long term, experience will return to the original assumptions. For contribution requirements to remain stable, assumptions should approximate experience.

If assumptions are changed, the contribution requirement is adjusted to take into account a change in experience anticipated for all future years.

## Highlights

> For the combined PERS Fund (Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement), the present rate of contributions is not sufficient to meet the actuarially determined requirement for 2013-2014, based upon the actuarial assumptions and financing objectives approved by the Board, even after taking into account scheduled increases in the statutory rate through 2014. If unchanged, this difference will further increase the actuarial contribution requirement in future valuations.
> The PERS Board should continue to review these results and projected future performance to determine appropriate measures to mitigate the difference between the actuarial and statutory (or approved) contribution rates.
> The employer actuarial contribution requirements for 2013-2014 are as follows:

|  | Amount | Percentage of <br> Payroll | Statutory/ <br> Approved Rate* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Main System | $\$ 105,092,504$ | $12.14 \%$ | $6.12 \%-7.12 \%$ |
| Judges | $1,099,249$ | 16.66 | $16.52-17.52$ |
| National Guard | 153,417 | 9.07 | $6.50-7.00$ |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System | $1,296,041$ | 11.07 | $9.31-9.81^{* *}$ |
| service |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System <br> service | 210,115 | 8.11 | $7.43-7.93$ |
| * The statutory rates are scheduled to increase in January 2014. |  |  |  |
| ** Bureau of Criminal Investigation rates are 10.31-11.31\%. |  |  |  |

> A comparison of this year's actuarial contribution rates to last year's rates as a percent of payroll follows:

|  | $2013-2014$ | $2012-2013$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Main System | $12.14 \%$ | $12.24 \%$ |
| Judges | 16.66 | 16.33 |
| National Guard | 9.07 | 7.40 |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System service | 11.07 | 10.69 |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System service | 8.11 | 7.33 |

> The employer actuarial contribution requirement for the Main System for 2013-2014 is $\$ 105,092,504$, or $12.14 \%$ of payroll. The statutory rate was $6.12 \%$ of payroll as of the valuation date, but is scheduled to increase to $7.12 \%$ of payroll on January 1, 2014. A scheduled increase in the member contribution rate of $1 \%$ of payroll on January 1, 2014 will also act to decrease the employer actuarial contribution requirement. If the scheduled statutory contribution rate increase were in effect on the valuation date, the employer contribution requirement would be $11.64 \%$ of payroll, or $4.52 \%$ more than the ultimate statutory rate of $7.12 \%$ of payroll. Last year, the actuarially determined rate of $11.74 \%$ was $5.62 \%$ greater than the ultimate statutory rate of 6.12\% of payroll.
> The return on the market value of assets for 2013-2014 for the PERS Fund was $13.40 \%$, compared to $-0.20 \%$ for the preceding year.
> The return on the actuarial value of assets for 2013-2014 for the PERS Fund was $3.92 \%$ compared to the investment return assumption of $8.00 \%$. As a result, the PERS Fund experienced an investment loss on an actuarial value basis of approximately $\$ 66.2$ million.
> The ratio of the actuarial value of assets to the market value of assets for the PERS Fund is $86.0 \%$. Last year, this ratio was $93.9 \%$.
> A comparison of this year's funded ratio for PERS to the prior year is as follows:

|  | July 1, 2013 | July 1, 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | $\$ 1,682,958,527$ | $\$ 1,627,395,636$ |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | $\$ 2,716,494,799$ | $\$ 2,501,331,473$ |
| Funded Ratio | $62.0 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ |

> The unrecognized appreciation represents about $14.0 \%$ of the PERS Fund market value of assets. A property of the asset smoothing method used by PERS is that the actuarial value of assets will tend to lag behind the market value of assets. This unrecognized appreciation will be recognized over the next five years. The potential impact may be illustrated as follows:

- If the unrecognized appreciation were recognized immediately in the actuarial value assets, the funded percentage would increase from $62.0 \%$ to $72.1 \%$.
- If the unrecognized appreciation were recognized immediately in the actuarial value of assets, the actuarial contribution requirement would decrease as follows:

|  | 2013-2014 <br> Actuarial <br> Contribution <br> Rate | 2013-2014 Rate <br> Reflecting <br> Unrecognized <br> Appreciation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Main System | $12.14 \%$ | $9.99 \%$ |
| Judges | 16.66 | 11.02 |
| National Guard | 9.07 | 7.51 |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System service | 11.07 | 9.78 |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System service | 8.11 | 7.67 |

> The Governmental Accounting Standards Boards (GASB) recently approved two new Statements. Statement 67 replaces Statement 25 and is for plan reporting. Statement 68 replaces Statement 27 and is for employer reporting. It is important to note that the new GASB rules only redefine pension expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for actual pension funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices. While these new Statements are applicable for preparing the 2014 calendar year financial statement for the Plan's reporting and for the 2014/2015 fiscal year financial statement for the employer's reporting, the actual preparation of schedules in compliance with those Statements will depend on GASB's detailed implementation guides for the Plan (issued June 2013) and the employer (anticipated to be issued around January 2014). As a result, we have continued to use Statements 25 and 27 in preparing the financial reporting information in this report.
> The actuarial valuation report as of July 1, 2013 is based on financial and demographic information as of that date. Changes subsequent to that date are not reflected and could affect future actuarial costs of the Plan. We are prepared to work with the Board to analyze the effects of any subsequent developments.
> Table 7 details actuarial gains and losses in the Main System for many of the measured demographic assumptions. The gain/(loss) by source is measured each year, and a detailed analysis of the System's demographic assumptions is reviewed every fourth or fifth year by the completion of an experience study. The most recent experience study was completed in 2010.

## Member Characteristics

## Active Members

The age, service, compensation and contribution account balance information based on data provided by the Retirement Office for active members as of July 1, 2012 and July 1, 2013 is summarized below:

| Category | Year Beginning July 1 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |  |
| Main: |  |  |  |
| Number | 21,201 | 20,738 | 2.2\% |
| Average age | 47.1 | 47.1 | N/A |
| Average service credit | 10.4 | 10.5 | N/A |
| Total compensation | \$865,868,265 | \$781,619,798 | 10.8\% |
| Average compensation | 40,841 | 37,690 | 8.4\% |
| Contribution account balance | 674,447,937 | 614,009,333 | 7.7\% |
| Judges: |  |  |  |
| Number | 49 | 49 | 0.0\% |
| Average age | 58.6 | 58.1 | N/A |
| Average service credit | 18.3 | 17.6 | N/A |
| Total compensation | \$6,598,981 | \$6,107,616 | 8.0\% |
| Average compensation | 134,673 | 124,645 | 8.0\% |
| Contribution account balance | 6,936,518 | 6,213,222 | 11.6\% |
| National Guard: |  |  |  |
| Number | 39 | 32 | 21.9\% |
| Average age | 36.5 | 36.2 | N/A |
| Average service credit | 5.5 | 5.4 | N/A |
| Total compensation | \$1,691,014 | \$1,305,436 | 29.5\% |
| Average compensation | 43,359 | 40,795 | 6.3\% |
| Contribution account balance | 567,302 | 395,298 | 43.5\% |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System service: |  |  |  |
| Number | 229 | 207 | 10.6\% |
| Average age | 38.5 | 39.7 | N/A |
| Average service credit | 7.3 | 8.0 | N/A |
| Total compensation | \$11,703,913 | \$9,467,445 | 23.6\% |
| Average compensation | 51,109 | 45,736 | 11.7\% |
| Contribution account balance | 5,534,559 | 5,289,674 | 4.6\% |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System service: |  |  |  |
| Number | 70 | 65 | 7.7\% |
| Average age | 37.5 | 38.0 | N/A |
| Average service credit | 3.3 | 3.3 | N/A |
| Total compensation | \$2,589,887 | \$2,378,196 | 8.9\% |
| Average compensation | 36,998 | 36,588 | 1.1\% |
| Contribution account balance | 408,861 | 341,518 | 19.7\% |
| All active members: |  |  |  |
| Number | 21,588 | 21,091 | 2.4\% |
| Average age | 47.0 | 47.0 | N/A |
| Average service credit | 10.4 | 10.3 | N/A |
| Total compensation | \$888,452,060 | \$800,878,490 | 10.9\% |
| Average compensation | 41,155 | 37,973 | 8.4\% |
| Contribution account balance | 687,895,177 | 626,249,095 | 9.8\% |

Distributions of the active members by sex, age, and service are presented in Tables 9-A, 9-B and $9-\mathrm{C}$ of the Appendix. Tables $10-\mathrm{A}, 10-\mathrm{B}$ and $10-\mathrm{C}$ present a distribution of these same members by sex, age, and salary. The table below shows a breakdown of the active members who are currently eligible for benefits, as well as those who have not yet met the vesting requirements.
The following table shows the number of active participants eligible for retirement:

| Active Members Eligible for: | Main <br> System | Judges | National Guard | Law <br> Enforcement with prior Main System Service | Law <br> Enforcement without prior Main System Service | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rule of 85 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Early Retirement | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Retirement | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Deferred Retirement | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total vested | 15,491 | 44 | 23 | 137 | 23 | 15,718 |
| Nonvested | 5,710 | 5 | 16 | $\underline{92}$ | 47 | 5,870 |
| Total | 21,201 | 49 | 39 | 229 | 70 | 21,588 |

## Transfers

Some active members earned a portion of their service in a different system than they are currently in. Liabilities for these members are carried in each system based on their service in that system. The following table summarizes these members:

| Current System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original System | Main System | Judges | National Guard | Law <br> Enforcement with prior Main System Service | Law <br> Enforcement without prior Main System Service | Highway Patrol | Total |
| Main System | - | 24 | 2 | 68 | 21 | 29 | 144 |
| Judges | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| National Guard | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System service | 19 | - | - | 2* | 2 | 1 | 24 |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System service | 9 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 12 |
| Highway Patrol | 9 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 10 |
| Total | 41 | 24 | 2 | 74 | 23 | 30 | 194 |

*2 with both BCI and Law Enforcement with prior Main System service.

## Inactive Members

There were 4,258 inactive members (including 1 from Judges, 12 from National Guard, 28 from Law Enforcement with prior Main System service, and 8 from Law Enforcement without prior Main System service) as of July 1, 2013 with vested rights to deferred retirement benefits. The average deferred monthly benefit for this group was $\$ 437$. There were also 31 members from the Main System, 1 from Law Enforcement without prior Main System service, and 3 members from National Guard on leave of absence. For these groups, a liability is carried for their deferred retirement benefits.

There were 3,470 inactive members that are due refunds (including 14 from National Guard, 15 from Law Enforcement with prior Main System service, and 14 from Law Enforcement without prior Main System service).

## Retired Members

There were 7,926 pensioners and 795 beneficiaries receiving average monthly benefits of $\$ 1,004$ as of July 1, 2013. During the year ended June 30, 2013, 651 members were awarded a pension. Tables 11-A through 12-C present the average monthly amounts and ages by type of pension for pensions awarded during the year ended June 30, 2013. Tables 13-A through 14-C present the same information for all pensions in pay status as of June 30, 2013.

## Benefit Experience

## New Awards

During the fiscal year ended in June 2013, 651 pensions became effective. The average monthly benefit for these pensioners was $\$ 1,350$ and their average age at retirement was 63.3. Last year, the average benefit among new pensioners was $\$ 1,479$. The new pensioners are presented in Tables $11-\mathrm{A}, 11-\mathrm{B}, 11-\mathrm{C}, 12-\mathrm{A}, 12-\mathrm{B}$ and $12-\mathrm{C}$ in Appendix B by sex, type of pension, monthly benefit, and age on retirement date.

A breakdown of the new pension awards by type compared to last year is as follows:

| July 1, 2013 | July 1, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 273 | 243 |
| 223 | 244 |
| 133 | 174 |
| $\underline{22}$ | $\underline{23}$ |
| 651 | 684 |

## Pensioners

Since benefits became payable under the current retirement program, a total of 11,320 retirement pensions have been awarded, of which 7,926 remained on the June 2013 rolls (including 27 retired Judges and 55 retired members of the National Guard/Law Enforcement). In addition, 21 pensions were in suspended status as of June 30, 2013. Distributions of the pensioners are presented in Tables $13-\mathrm{A}, 13-\mathrm{B}, 13-\mathrm{C}, 14-\mathrm{A}, 14-\mathrm{B}$ and $14-\mathrm{C}$ in Appendix B by sex, type of pension, monthly benefit amount, and current age.

For the pensions in force on July 1, 2013, the average monthly benefit was $\$ 1,040$, an increase of $\$ 27$ from $\$ 1,013$ a year earlier. The average age of these pensioners on the valuation date was 72.1 years.

## Beneficiaries

As of July 1, 2013, monthly benefit payments were being made to 795 beneficiaries, including 9 beneficiaries of Judges and 2 beneficiaries of National Guard/Law Enforcement. The monthly payments to beneficiaries in payment status total $\$ 508,592$.

## Prior Service Pensioners

As of July 1, 2013, there were 9 pensioners receiving monthly benefits totaling $\$ 309$ under the Special Prior Service Pension provisions of the System. The current average age of Prior Service pensioners was 99.4 years, and the average monthly benefit was $\$ 34$. Because of the relatively high average age and low benefits, the liability for the Special Prior Service Pensioners represented less than $0.01 \%$ of the total actuarial accrued liability for the System. Tables 15 and 16 in Appendix B provide a breakdown of these pensioners by sex, type of pension, monthly benefit amount, and current age.

## Assets

## Market Value of Assets

As shown in the draft financial statements as of June 30, 2013, the combined market value of net assets of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) and Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System (HPRS) was $\$ 2,014,714,110$, an increase of $\$ 229.5$ million compared to $\$ 1,785,190,368$ a year earlier. This year's combined market value represents an increase of $12.9 \%$ from the market value one year earlier.

Based on schedules provided by the Retirement Office, the breakdown of the market value of net assets allocated to North Dakota PERS follows:

|  | July 1, 2013 | $\underline{\text { July 1, 2012 }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Main System | $\$ 1,899,458,667$ | $\$ 1,683,377,253$ |
| Judges | $36,525,294$ | $32,217,585$ |
| National Guard | $2,695,283$ | $2,355,363$ |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System service | $17,820,673$ | $15,219,249$ |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System service | $1,170,109$ | 777,803 |
| Total | $\$ 1,957,670,026$ | $\$ 1,733,947,253$ |

The rate of return on the market value basis for the PERS Fund was $13.40 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2013.

## Actuarial Value of Assets

The actuarial value of assets is determined as follows:
Market appreciation and depreciation are spread over five years beginning with the year of occurrence. Interest and dividends are recognized immediately. This procedure results in recognition of all changes in market value over five years. A characteristic of this asset valuation method is that, over time, it is more likely than not to produce an actuarial value of assets that is less than the market value of assets, if the investment return attributable to net interest and dividends is less than the assumed rate of return.

The above procedure is applied to the combined assets of PERS and HPRS Retirement Income Funds to determine the combined actuarial value of the Systems. The combined actuarial value was $\$ 1,731,997,858$ as of June 30, 2013. The determination of the combined actuarial asset value is shown in Table 1. This table shows that there is approximately $\$ 283$ million of appreciation that will be recognized in future years.

Table 2 summarizes the combined investment results over the previous ten-year period. Over this period, the earnings of $\$ 705,205,300$ on an actuarial value basis represented an average annual return of $4.73 \%$. For the 2012-2013 year, the actuarial rate of return on the combined assets was $3.93 \%$.

The total actuarial value of assets is allocated to PERS (Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement) and HPRS in proportion to the reported market value of assets. This allocation is illustrated in Table 3 and summarized below for the PERS Fund alone.

|  | July 1, 2013 | July 1, 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Main System | $\$ 1,632,915,720$ | $\$ 1,579,933,179$ |
| Judges | $31,399,855$ | $30,237,804$ |
| National Guard | $2,317,065$ | $2,210,625$ |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System service | $15,319,974$ | $14,284,021$ |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System service | $1,005,913$ | 730,007 |
| Total | $\$ 1,682,958,527$ | $\$ 1,627,395,636$ |

Chart 1 on page 14 shows the historical asset values for the PERS Fund on both an actuarial and market value basis. This graph shows that the market value of assets is currently larger than the actuarial value.

Income and disbursements for 2013 and 2012 on an actuarial value basis are summarized in Table 4, and a summary statement of assets is shown in Table 5 for the PERS Fund. The progress of the PERS Fund for the last ten years is provided in Table 6. It shows that assets have generally increased from year to year, although the amount of the increase has varied with fluctuations in investment income. Benefit payments have also increased consistently over the period.

A picture of the financial development of the PERS Fund over the last ten years is provided in Chart 2 on page 18. It shows that benefit payments and expenses continue to exceed contributions. However, over the past ten years, the investment income has offset this deficit and served to increase the assets of the System.

Investment results on an actuarial value basis are used to determine whether investment experience is meeting the System's actuarially assumed return. They do not, however, necessarily indicate the relative success of the System's investment program. Comparisons of performance with benchmarks and market indices are generally based on rates of return that recognize market changes in full.

## Investment Return

For your information the investment returns on market value and on an actuarial basis for the last ten years for the combined PERS and HPRS fund are shown below. The assumed rate of return is expected to be earned over the long term, as the obligations of pension plans are expected to continue for the lifetime of its active and inactive participants.

| Year Ending <br> June 30 | Market <br> Value | Actuarial <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | $16.65 \%$ | $3.16 \%$ |
| 2005 | 14.17 | 4.36 |
| 2006 | 12.04 | 7.79 |
| 2007 | 19.63 | 15.84 |
| 2008 | $(5.21)$ | 8.51 |
| 2009 | $(24.05)$ | 1.72 |
| 2010 | 13.25 | 1.48 |
| 2011 | 21.09 | 3.31 |
| 2012 | $(0.20)$ | $(0.15)$ |
| 2013 | 13.41 | 3.93 |

It is desirable to have a level and predictable pension plan cost from one year to the next. Under the asset valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year, and, as a result, the asset value and the contribution requirements are more stable. Chart 3 on page 19 illustrates the smoothing effect that results from using an actuarial value of assets.

## TABLE 1

## Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets (for PERS and HPRS) as of June 30, 2013 and 2012

|  |  | June 30, 2013 |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Ending | Market Value Appreciation (Depreciation)* | Percent Deferred | Amount Deferred | Percent Deferred | Amount Deferred |
| June 30, 2009 | \$(463,523,678) | 0\% | \$0 | 20\% | \$(92,704,735) |
| June 30, 2010 | 153,004,660 | 20\% | 30,600,932 | 40\% | 61,201,864 |
| June 30, 2011 | 288,857,273 | 40\% | 115,542,909 | 60\% | 173,314,364 |
| June 30, 2012 | $(40,138,712)$ | 60\% | $(24,083,227)$ | 80\% | $(32,110,970)$ |
| June 30, 2013 | 200,819,548 | 80\% | 160,655,638 | N/A | 0 |
| Total Deferred as of Valuation Date |  |  | \$282,716,252 |  | \$109,700,523 |
| (a) | ciation <br> on) <br> Plan Years |  | 139,019,091 |  | $(195,103,907)$ |
| (b) Writ the | Down) Amount f quals $20 \%$ of (a) |  | 27,803,818 |  | $(39,020,781)$ |


|  | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Market Value of Assets | $\$ 2,014,714,110$ | $\$ 1,785,190,368$ |
| Less: Deferred Appreciation <br> (Depreciation) | 282,716,252 | $109,700,523$ <br> Actuarial value of assets <br> Actuarial Value as a Percent of Market Value |
| * Interest and dividends are recognized immediately. Realized and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) is spread over <br> five years. |  |  |

TABLE 2
Summary of Combined Investment Results for PERS and HPRS on Actuarial Value of Assets

| Year Ended June 30 | Net Interest and Dividend Income* |  | Other Income** |  | Total Net Investment Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| 2004 | \$30,464,800 | 2.54\% | \$ 7,398,200 | 0.62\% | \$ 37,863,000 | 3.16\% |
| 2005 | 29,115,600 | 2.38 | 24,276,800 | 1.98 | 53,392,400 | 4.36 |
| 2006 | 24,410,600 | 1.93 | 73,910,900 | 5.86 | 98,321,500 | 7.79 |
| 2007 | 34,727,000 | 2.58 | 178,771,700 | 13.26 | 213,498,700 | 15.84 |
| 2008 | 32,819,700 | 2.13 | 98,332,000 | 6.38 | 131,151,700 | 8.51 |
| 2009 | 29,260,400 | 1.77 | $(964,400)$ | (0.05) | 28,296,000 | 1.72 |
| 2010 | 25,938,200 | 1.57 | $(1,337,500)$ | (0.09) | 24,600,700 | 1.48 |
| 2011 | 28,830,100 | 1.74 | 26,013,200 | 1.57 | 54,843,300 | 3.31 |
| 2012 | 36,570,500 | 2.17 | $(39,020,800)$ | (2.32) | $(2,450,300)$ | (0.15) |
| 2013 | 37,884,500 | 2.27 | 27,803,800 | 1.66 | 65,688,300 | 3.93 |
| Total for Last Ten Years | \$310,021,400 |  | \$395,183,900 |  | \$705,205,300 |  |
| Average Yield for last Ten Years |  |  |  |  |  | 4.73\% |

* Net of investment expenses.
** Includes write-up (down).

TABLE 3

## Allocation of Combined (PERS and HPRS) Actuarial Value of Assets

|  | July 1, 2013 |  | July 1, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Market Value | Actuarial Value | Market Value | Actuarial Value |
| PERS Main System | \$1,899,458,667 | \$ 1,632,915,720 | \$1,683,377,253 | \$1,579,933,179 |
| PERS Judges | 36,525,294 | 31,399,855 | 32,217,585 | 30,237,804 |
| PERS National Guard | 2,695,283 | 2,317,065 | 2,355,363 | 2,210,625 |
| PERS Law Enforcement with prior Main System service | 17,820,673 | 15,319,974 | 15,219,249 | 14,284,021 |
| PERS Law Enforcement without prior Main System service | 1,170,109 | 1,005,913 | 777,803 | 730,007 |
| PERS Combined | \$1,957,670,026 | \$ 1,682,958,527 | \$1,733,947,253 | \$1,627,395,636 |
| Highway Patrol | 57,044,084 | 49,039,331 | 51,243,115 | 48,094,209 |
| Total | \$2,014,714,110 | \$ 1,731,997,858 | \$1,785,190,368 | \$1,675,489,845 |

Note: Allocation of the actuarial value of assets is in proportion to the market value of assets.

## CHART 1

Market Value of Assets vs. Actuarial Value of Assets for PERS

\% Segal Consulting

TABLE 4

## Summary Statement of Income and Disbursements for PERS on an Actuarial Value Basis

|  | Year Ended June 30, 2013 | Year Ended June 30, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution Income: |  |  |
| Employer Contributions | \$48,846,796 | \$38,005,854 |
| Member Contributions | 46,815,060 | 36,095,927 |
| Service Credit Repurchases | 7,470,218 | 6,491,339 |
| Total Contribution Income | \$103,132,074 | \$80,593,120 |
| Less: Administrative Expenses | $(2,059,315)$ | $(1,856,915)$ |
| Net Contribution Income | \$101,072,759 | \$78,736,205 |
| Investment Income: |  |  |
| Interest and Dividends | \$43,509,791 | \$41,845,593 |
| Miscellaneous Income | 0 | 20,004 |
| Less: Investment Expenses | $(6,713,127)$ | $(6,359,713)$ |
| Net Interest and Dividends | \$36,796,664 | \$35,505,884 |
| Write-up/(down) of Assets | 26,985,569 | $(37,928,609)$ |
| Net Investment Income | \$63,782,233 | \$(2,422,725) |
| Total Income Available for Benefit Payments and Reserves | \$164,854,992 | \$76,313,480 |
| Benefit Payments: |  |  |
| Pension Benefits | \$(103,295,777) | \$(94,163,517) |
| Transfers to Other Plans | $(212,500)$ | $(412,993)$ |
| Refunds | $(5,783,824)$ | $(4,805,045)$ |
| Total Benefit Payments | \$(109,292,101) | \$(99,381,555) |
| Addition to Reserve for Future Benefit Payments | \$55,562,891 | \$(23,068,075) |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, Start of Year | 1,627,395,636 | 1,650,463,711 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, End of Year | \$1,682,958,527 | \$1,627,395,636 |

TABLE 5

## Summary Statement of Assets for PERS (based on unaudited financial statements)

|  | Year Ended June 30, 2013 |  | Year Ended June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash |  | \$5,552,040 |  | \$3,703,624 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |
| Contribution receivable | \$8,338,059 |  | \$6,493,953 |  |
| Interest receivable | 3,488,652 |  | 2,618,666 |  |
| Due from fiduciary funds | 104,387 |  | 239,522 |  |
| Due from proprietary funds | 0 |  | 671 |  |
| Due from other state agencies | 1,180 |  | 42,455 |  |
| Total receivables |  | 11,932,278 |  | 9,395,267 |
| Investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic equities | \$430,105,553 |  | \$574,705,859 |  |
| International equities | 591,307,961 |  | 290,235,153 |  |
| International fixed income | 91,424,799 |  | 88,232,357 |  |
| Domestic fixed income | 337,477,792 |  | 304,882,279 |  |
| Real estate | 364,354,225 |  | 334,476,268 |  |
| Alternative investments | 99,995,649 |  | 100,252,385 |  |
| Invested cash | 25,694,768 |  | 27,671,620 |  |
| Total investments |  | 1,940,360,747 |  | 1,720,455,921 |
| Equipment |  | 1,582 |  | 707 |
| Software (not in production) |  | 0 |  | 102,025 |
| Software (net of amortization) |  | 2,810,501 |  | 3,038,443 |
| Total assets |  | 1,960,657,148 |  | 1,736,695,987 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries payable | \$(79,609) |  | \$(71,568) |  |
| Accounts payable | $(2,726,522)$ |  | $(2,444,324)$ |  |
| Due to fiduciary funds | $(69,042)$ |  | $(126,782)$ |  |
| Due to proprietary funds | $(6,160)$ |  | 0 |  |
| Due to other state agencies | $(16,511)$ |  | $(22,268)$ |  |
| Benefits payable | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Securities lending collateral | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Accrued compensated absences | $(89,278)$ |  | $(83,792)$ |  |
| Total liabilities |  | $(2,987,122)$ |  | (2,748,734) |
| Net assets at market value |  | \$1,957,670,026 |  | \$1,733,947,253 |
| Net assets at actuarial value |  | \$1,682,958,527 |  | \$1,627,395,636 |

## TABLE 6

Progress of the PERS Fund through June 30, 2013
on an Actuarial Value Basis

| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Employer <br> Contributions | Member <br> Contributions* | Administrative <br> Expenses | Net Investment <br> Income | Transfers <br> From/(To) <br> Other Plans | Benefit <br> Payments | Fund at End <br> Of Year |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1,166,452,118$ <br> 2004 |
| $\$ 19,732,842$ | $\$ 22,152,045$ | $\$(995,879)$ | $\$ 36,594,962$ | $\$ 3,771,763$ | $\$(51,174,769)$ | $1,196,533,082$ |  |
| 2005 | $20,704,241$ | $24,097,496$ | $(1,072,277)$ | $51,592,706$ | $(21,131)$ | $(55,719,982)$ | $1,236,114,135$ |
| 2006 | $21,969,517$ | $24,508,623$ | $(1,037,535)$ | $95,085,991$ | $(41,271)$ | $(62,056,555)$ | $1,314,542,905$ |
| 2007 | $23,140,767$ | $25,562,617$ | $(1,109,260)$ | $206,643,922$ | $(39,829)$ | $(65,601,228)$ | $1,503,139,894$ |
| 2008 | $25,253,902$ | $27,351,026$ | $(1,118,233)$ | $126,989,439$ | $3,132,512$ | $(74,938,198)$ | $1,609,810,342$ |
| 2009 | $27,705,267$ | $29,970,355$ | $(1,260,812)$ | $27,509,459$ | $(496,073)$ | $(76,090,737)$ | $1,617,147,801$ |
| 2010 | $30,253,093$ | $32,584,909$ | $(1,214,733)$ | $23,989,771$ | $(210,638)$ | $(80,827,104)$ | $1,621,723,099$ |
| 2011 | $32,278,056$ | $34,277,035$ | $(1,797,287)$ | $53,223,596$ | $(264,686)$ | $(88,976,102)$ | $1,650,463,711$ |
| 2012 | $38,005,854$ | $42,587,266$ | $(1,856,915)$ | $(2,422,725)$ | $(412,993)$ | $(98,968,562)$ | $1,627,395,636$ |
| 2013 | $48,846,796$ | $54,285,278$ | $(2,059,315)$ | $63,782,233$ | $(212,500)$ | $(109,292,101)$ | $1,682,958,527$ |
| Total for Last |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ten Years | $\$ 287,890,335$ | $\$ 317,376,650$ | $\$(13,522,246)$ | $\$ 682,989,354$ | $\$ 5,205,154$ | $\$(763,645,338)$ |  |
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## CHART 3

## Market Value and Actuarial Rates of Return (PERS and HPRS Combined)



## Results of Actuarial Valuation

The contribution requirement consists of the normal cost, administrative expense allowance, plus the cost of amortizing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over a scheduled period of years. The Board has adopted a policy with regard to the unfunded liability of determining cost using an open amortization schedule of 20 years with increasing payments. The calculated employer contribution requirements on this basis for fiscal year 2013-2014 are shown below as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the covered payroll of contributing employees.

## Main System

The components of the actuarial contribution requirements are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2013-2014}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 89,254,673$ | $10.31 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(56,281,437)}$ | $\underline{(6.50)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 32,973,236$ | $3.81 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | $1,100,000$ | 0.13 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{71,019,268}$ | $\underline{8.20}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 105,092,504$ | $12.14 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 865,868,265$.
The total statutory contribution rate is $12.12 \%$ of payroll ( $6.00 \%$ for the member and $6.12 \%$ for the employer) as of July 1, 2013. An increase of $2.00 \%$ of payroll is scheduled for January 1, 2014, with the member and employer each being responsible for one-half of the increase. Compared to the ultimate statutory employer rate of $7.12 \%$, and taking into account the ultimate statutory member rate of $7.00 \%$, the plan has a deficit of $4.52 \%$ of payroll. This and the Board's funding policy results in an infinite effective amortization period. The contribution net of normal cost and administrative expenses is never projected to exceed interest on the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, and the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is not being amortized.

The total employer actuarial contribution requirement was $12.24 \%$ of payroll last year. Since then, actual experience of the System during 2012-2013 has changed the actuarial contribution requirement. Table 7 presents a detailed explanation of the factors that changed the contribution requirement from July 1, 2012 to July 1, 2013.

## Judges

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2013-2014}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 1,237,148$ | $18.75 \%$ |  |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(494,924)}$ | $\underline{(7.50)}$ |  |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 742,224$ | $11.25 \%$ |  |
| Administrative expense allowance | 7,500 | 0.11 |  |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{349,525}$ | $\underline{5.30}$ |  |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 1,099,249$ |  | $16.66 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 6,598,981$.
The total statutory contribution rate is $23.52 \%$ of payroll ( $7.00 \%$ for the member and $16.52 \%$ for the employer) as of July 1, 2013. An increase of $2.00 \%$ of payroll is scheduled for January 1, 2014, with the member and employer each being responsible for one-half of the increase. Compared to the ultimate statutory employer rate of $17.52 \%$, and taking into account the ultimate statutory member rate of $8.00 \%$, the plan has a margin of $1.36 \%$ of payroll. This results in an effective amortization period of 16.1 years.

If deferred asset appreciation were taken into account on the valuation date, the assets would exceed Actuarial Accrued Liability and no amortization would be required.

A reconciliation of the change in the cost rate since the previous valuation follows:

|  | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employer contribution rate as of July 1, 2012 | $16.33 \%$ |
| Plan change* | $(1.00)$ |
| Investment loss | 1.38 |
| Contribution gain | $(0.04)$ |
| Other Plan experience during the year | 0.18 |
| Effect of maintaining 20-year amortization schedule | $\underline{(0.19)}$ |
| Employer contribution rate as of July 1, 2013 | $16.66 \%$ |
| * The scheduled 1.00\% of payroll increase in the member contribution rate will ultimately |  |
| decrease the employer cost rate by approximately 1.00\% of payroll, but only one-half of the |  |
| increase is reflected in the projected contributions for the 2013-2014 plan year. |  |

## National Guard

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2013-2014}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 177,422$ |  | $10.49 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(71,868)}$ | $\underline{(4.25)}$ |  |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 105,554$ | $6.24 \%$ |  |
| Administrative expense allowance | 3,000 | 0.18 |  |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{44,863}$ | $\underline{\underline{2.65}}$ |  |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 153,417$ |  | $9.07 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 1,691,014$.
The total approved employer contribution rate is $10.5 \%$ of payroll ( $4.0 \%$ for the member and $6.5 \%$ for the employer) as of July 1, 2013. An increase of $1.0 \%$ of payroll is scheduled for January 1, 2014 with the member and employer each being responsible for one-half of the increase. Compared to the ultimate approved employer rate of $7.0 \%$, and taking into account the ultimate member rate of $4.5 \%$, the plan has a deficit of $1.82 \%$ of payroll. This results in an infinite effective amortization period. The contribution net of normal cost and administrative expenses is never projected to exceed interest on the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, and the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is not being amortized.

A reconciliation of the change in the cost rate since the previous valuation follows:

|  | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employer contribution rate as of July 1, 2012 | $7.40 \%$ | (0.25)

## Law Enforcement with prior Main System service

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2013-2014}$ | Percentage <br> $\underline{\text { of Payroll }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\underline{\$ 1,240,462}$ | $10.60 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(645,705)}$ | $\underline{(5.52)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | 2594,757 | $5.08 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | $\underline{698,784}$ | $\underline{\underline{5.97}}$ |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\$ 1,296,041$ | $\underline{11.07 \%}$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 11,703,913$.
The approved employer contribution rate is $9.31 \%$ of payroll ( $10.31 \%$ for Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI)) as of July 1, 2013. The statutory member contribution rate is $6.00 \%$ of payroll as of July 1, 2013 for members employed by the BCI and $5.00 \%$ of payroll as of July 1, 2013 for all other members in this segment. An increase is scheduled for January 1, 2014. The increase will be $2.00 \%$ of payroll for members employed by the BCI and $1.00 \%$ for all other members in this segment with the member and employer each being responsible for one-half of the increase. Compared to the ultimate statutory employer rate of $11.31 \%$ for BCI and $9.81 \%$ for other members (an average rate of $10.13 \%$ ) and taking into account the ultimate member statutory rates (an average rate of 5.82\%), the plan has a deficit of $0.64 \%$. Under the current policy and statute, this segment has an effective amortization period of 25.8 years.

If deferred asset appreciation were taken into account on the valuation date, the effective amortization period would be reduced to 17.1 years.

A reconciliation of the change in the cost rate since the previous valuation follows:

|  | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employer contribution rate as of July 1, 2012 | $10.69 \%$ |
| Plan change* | $(0.60)$ |
| Investment loss | 0.39 |
| Contribution gain | $(0.07)$ |
| Other Plan experience during the year | 0.88 |
| Effect of maintaining 20-year amortization schedule | $\underline{(0.22)}$ |
| Employer contribution rate as of July 1, 2013 | $11.07 \%$ |
| * The scheduled 1.00\% of payroll increase in the member contribution rate for BCI employees and |  |
| 0.50\% of payroll increase for other members will ultimately decrease the employer cost rate by |  |
| 0.50\% of payroll, but only one-half of the increase is reflected in the projected contributions for the |  |
| 2013-2014 plan year. |  |

## Law Enforcement without prior Main System service

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2013-2014}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 306,509$ |  | $11.83 \%$ | Less: Member contributions $\quad$| $(135,969)$ | $\underline{(5.25)}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 170,540$ |  |
| Administrative expense allowance | 7,500 | 0.29 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{32,075}$ | $\underline{1.24}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 210,115$ |  |
| $8.11 \%$ |  |  |

Covered payroll is $\$ 2,589,887$.
The total approved contribution rate is $12.43 \%$ of payroll ( $5.0 \%$ for the member and $7.43 \%$ for the employer). An increase of $1.00 \%$ of payroll is scheduled for January 1, 2014 with the member and employer each being responsible for one-half of the increase. Taking into account the ultimate statutory rate of $13.43 \%$, the plan has a margin of $0.07 \%$ of payroll. This results in an effective amortization period of 25.4 years.

If deferred asset appreciation were taken into account on the valuation date, the effective amortization period would be reduced to 13.7 years.

A reconciliation of the change in the contribution requirement since the previous valuation follows:

|  | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employer contribution rate as of July 1, 2012 | $7.33 \%$ | (0.50)

## Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement Combined

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below.

| Amount for | Percentage <br> $\underline{2013-2014}$$\underline{\underline{o f ~ P a y r o l l ~}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Total normal cost
Less: Member contributions
Net employer normal cost
Administrative expense allowance
Amortization payment (credit)
Total employer contribution requirement
\$92,216,214
$(57,629,903)$
10.38\%
(6.49)
\$34,586,311
3.89\%

1,120,500
0.13

72,144,515
8.12
\$107,851,326
12.14\%

Covered payroll is $\$ 888,452,060$.

TABLE 7
Main System
Reconciliation of the Change in Employer Contribution Requirement

|  | Percent <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | ---: |
| Employer Contribution Requirement as of July 1, 2012 | $12.24 \%$ |
| Retired Life Mortality |  |

The release of liability due to deaths among retirees and beneficiaries was greater than expected, decreasing the employer contribution requirement.

## Active Life Mortality

The release of liability due to deaths among active members had a minimal impact on the employer contribution requirement.

## Disability Incidence

The net change in liability due to disability retirements had a minimal impact on the employer contribution requirement.

## Withdrawals

The release of liability due to withdrawals prior to retirement when compared to expected values, was not significant.
Inactive Vested Life Mortality and Retirement
The release of liability due to deaths and retirements among inactive vested participants was less than expected, increasing the employer contribution requirement.

## Retirement

The net change in liability due to non-disability retirements was greater than expected, increasing the employer contribution requirement.
Investments
The rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was less than assumed, increasing the employer contribution requirement.

## Salary Scale and Service

Salaries increased less than expected, decreasing the employer contribution requirement.

## Contributions

Actual contributions received by the System were less than the actuarially determined amount, increasing the employer contribution requirement.

TABLE 7 (Continued)
Main System
Explanation of Change in Employer Contribution Requirement
Percent
of Payroll

## Administrative Expenses

Actual expenses were more than expected, increasing the employer contribution requirement.

## New and Reinstated Members

The addition of new and reinstated members minimally increased the plan liabilities and the employer contribution requirement.

Change in Size and Composition of Membership and Miscellaneous Experience
The demographic characteristics of the membership changed during the year, increasing the employer contribution requirement.

## Plan Provision Changes

The increase in the contribution rates decreases the Plan deficit and the employer contribution requirement.

Assumption Changes
There were no changes in actuarial assumptions.

## Funding Schedule

The effect of maintaining a 20-year funding schedule decreases the employer contribution requirement.

Employer Contribution Requirement as of July 1, 2013
12.14\%

## Funding Status

The calculation of funded ratios provides one measure of the progress of funding a retirement plan. The funded ratio is the percentage of plan liabilities covered by plan assets. High ratios indicate a well-funded plan with assets sufficient to cover the plan's liabilities. Lower ratios may indicate recent changes to benefit structures, funding of the plan below actuarial requirements, poor asset performance, or a variety of other factors. This ratio can be calculated using different measures of the retirement plan's liabilities.

## Funding Basis - Actuarial Accrued Liability

This measure of liabilities is used in calculating pension costs. It uses the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method that spreads costs as a level percentage of payroll over a member’s working career.

For determining plan costs, a smoothed value of assets (called the actuarial value) is used. Hence, the actuarial value of assets was used to calculate the funded ratios.

## Disclosure Basis

The accounting standard for disclosure of liabilities and funding status of the System is based on GASB Statement No. 25 (Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans). GASB Statement No. 25 allows the System to disclose its liabilities and funding status on the same bases used for funding the System. The actuarial value of assets is used for comparing assets and liabilities. We are available to project the disclosure amounts based on the new GASB Statements No. 67 and 68.

## Historical Results

The funded ratios (under the GASB Statement No. 25 standard) for the last ten years are developed in Table 8. These ratios are graphed in Chart 4 on page 30. The funded ratio is $62.0 \%$ using actuarial value as of June 30, 2013 and was $65.1 \%$ as of June 30, 2012. The funded ratio has deteriorated since July 1,2001 . This is due to investment losses and the fact that the statutory contribution rate is less than the actuarially determined contribution requirement. Furthermore, even if the actuarially determined contribution were made, the amortization policy calculates an amortization payment that is less than the interest on the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL), which would cause the UAAL to increase each year.

Funded ratios change over time due to several factors. These factors include the level of contributions, actual experience (including investment returns), plan amendments and changes in assumptions. In particular, the actuarial assumptions were changed in 2006 and 2010, changing the funded ratio from what it would have been otherwise.

TABLE 8

## Funded Ratio for PERS

| As of <br> July 1 | Actuarial <br> Accrued Liability | Actuarial Value <br> of Assets | Funded <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | $\$ 1,272,857,600$ | $\$ 1,196,533,100$ | $94.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | $1,361,182,100$ | $1,236,114,100$ | 90.8 |
| 2006 | $1,480,456,700$ | $1,314,542,900$ | 88.8 |
| 2007 | $1,609,168,600$ | $1,503,137,900$ | 93.4 |
| 2008 | $1,737,627,000$ | $1,609,810,300$ | 92.6 |
| 2009 | $1,901,200,800$ | $1,617,147,800$ | 85.1 |
| 2010 | $2,208,386,100$ | $1,621,723,100$ | 73.4 |
| 2011 | $2,339,833,200$ | $1,650,463,700$ | 70.5 |
| 2012 | $2,501,331,500$ | $1,627,395,600$ | 65.1 |
| 2013 | $2,716,494,800$ | $1,682,958,500$ | 62.0 |

## CHART 4

## Funded Ratio for PERS

(Ratio of Actuarial Value of Assets to Actuarial Accrued Liability)


## Actuarial Assumptions and Cost Methods

The actuarial assumptions and cost methods used in the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2013 are the same as those used in the previous valuation. A summary of the actuarial assumptions and cost methods follows. Details can be found in Exhibit II.

## Investment Return

The actuarial calculations are based on the assumption that the investment return on the actuarial value of assets of the System will be $8.00 \%$ per year, net of investment expenses.

## Salary Increases

Because the retirement benefits provided by the plan are based on a member's final average salary, increases in salaries affect the employer's contribution requirements. A salary scale is used in an actuarial valuation to project each member's future salary increases.

For the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, the assumed salary increases are service-related during the first five years of service. After five years of service, salary increases are age-related.

For Judges, the assumed salary increase is $5.00 \%$ per year for all years of service.

## Inflation

The assumed inflation rate is $3.5 \%$ per annum.

## Payroll Growth

For the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, the assumed payroll growth rate is $4.50 \%$ per annum. For Judges, the assumed payroll growth rate is $4.00 \%$ per annum.

## Mortality Rates

The reserve required to pay a member's retirement benefits depends on the period over which payments will be received. The valuation uses RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table set back three years for healthy members and the RP-2000 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table set back one year for males (not set back for females) for disabled members. The table on the next page shows sample mortality rates and life expectancies underlying the healthy-life mortality tables.

|  | Males <br> Expected Number <br> of Years of |  | Females <br> Deaths per | Expected Number <br> of Years of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Deaths per <br> 1,000 Lives | Life Remaining | 1,000 Lives | Life Remaining |
| 55 | 2.7 | 28.4 | 3.0 | 31.2 |
| 60 | 4.7 | 23.9 | 3.5 | 26.6 |
| 65 | 8.8 | 19.5 | 6.7 | 22.1 |
| 70 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 12.2 | 18.0 |
| 75 | 27.3 | 12.0 | 20.7 | 14.3 |
| 80 | 46.9 | 8.9 | 34.1 | 11.0 |
| 85 | 80.5 | 6.3 | 56.3 | 8.1 |

## Disability Incidence Rates Before Retirement

A percentage of members are assumed to become disabled while in active service. The incidence rates used are based on a study of disability incidence under the Social Security program. To reflect actual experience under the retirement system, $33 \%$ of the Social Security disability incidence rates are used for males and $20 \%$ are used for females.

## Withdrawal Rates Before Retirement

The withdrawal rates used in this actuarial valuation reflect the expected percentage of members who will leave service at each age before retirement for reasons other than death or disability.

Withdrawal rates vary by age. For the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, special withdrawal rates are applied during the first five years of service to recognize higher turnover for short service members. Withdrawal rates end upon the earlier of eligibility for early retirement or the Rule of 85 eligibility.

## Retirement Rates

The retirement rates reflect the expected percentage of members who will retire at each age. For Main System members, the rates vary with age, as follows:

| Age | Early <br> Retirement | Unreduced <br> Retirements* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $51-54$ |  | $8 \%$ <br> 55 |
| $56-59$ | 2 | 8 |
| 60 | 4 | 10 |
| 61 | 10 | 10 |
| 62 | 20 | 20 |
| 63 | 15 | 35 |
| 64 | 10 | 25 |
| 65 |  | 30 |
| $66-74$ |  | 30 |
| 75 |  | 20 |
| *Age 65 or Rule of 85 |  |  |

The retirement rates for Judges begin at age 60. Ten percent of Judges are assumed to retire at ages 60 and $61,20 \%$ are assumed to retire at each age from 62 to $64,50 \%$ are assumed to retire at each age from 65 to 69 , and $100 \%$ of the remaining Judges are assumed to retire at age 70 . Retirement for members of the National Guard and Law Enforcement is assumed to begin at age 55. Twenty percent are assumed to retire at each age from 55 to $63,50 \%$ are assumed to retire at age 64 , and $100 \%$ are assumed to retire at age 65.

Retirement for inactive vested members of the Main System and Judges is assumed to occur at the earlier of age 64 and the unreduced retirement date for each individual. Retirement for inactive vested members of the National Guard is assumed to occur at age 55 . Retirement for inactive vested members of the Law Enforcement is assumed to occur at the earlier of age 55 and the unreduced retirement date for each individual.

Inactive vested members are assumed to elect a refund of contributions in lieu of a deferred pension benefit when it is more valuable than the deferred annuity.

## Administrative Expenses

Annual administrative expenses for all Systems combined are assumed to be $\$ 1,120,500$.

## Marital Status

For the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, 80\% of male members and 65\% of female members are assumed to have spouses at death or retirement. One hundred percent of Judges are assumed to have spouses at retirement or death. Males are assumed to be three years older than their female spouses.

## Valuation of Assets

Investments are valued at an adjusted market value. Interest and dividends are recognized immediately. The net market appreciation (depreciation) is spread over five years in equal dollar amounts, beginning with the year of occurrence. The actuarial value of assets is the market value less deferred appreciation (depreciation). A characteristic of this asset valuation method is that, over time, it is more likely than not to produce an actuarial value of assets that is less than the market value of assets, if the investment return attributable to net interest and dividends is less than the assumed rate of return.

## Actuarial Cost Method

The System is funded using the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. This method produces costs that remain relatively level as a percentage of covered payroll.

Under the Entry Age Normal Method, the total contribution requirement has three components - an annual normal cost, an allowance for administrative expenses and a payment with respect to the unfunded/(surplus) actuarial accrued liability. The annual normal cost is calculated for each member as the level percentage of pay required over the member's period of covered employment to pay the total expected benefits. The normal cost is determined as if the current benefit accrual rate had always been in effect. If the actuarial assumptions are met, the total normal cost rate for each member will remain level as a percentage of payroll.

The normal cost payments are sufficient to finance the benefit program only if there are no changes in plan design and all actuarial assumptions are realized. To the extent that actual experience is less favorable than assumed, additional liabilities not funded through normal cost payments arise. Also, benefit liberalizations that improve earned benefits or benefit eligibility produce additional liabilities.

The Board has adopted a policy of calculating an amortization payment for the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) by using an open period of 20 years. The annual payments are determined as a level percent of payroll, with payroll expected to increase $4.5 \%$ per year for the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, and $4.0 \%$ per year for Judges. This results in a payment towards the UAAL that is less than interest on the UAAL for the Main and National Guard systems. Under this method, the dollar amount of the UAAL is projected to grow from year to year even if the actuarially required contribution were made and all actuarial assumptions were met.

## A. Actuarial Valuation Certificate

October 29, 2013

## NORTH DAKOTA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM

This is to certify that Segal Consulting ("Segal") has prepared an Actuarial Valuation of the System as of July 1, 2013 in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. It has been prepared at the request of the Board to assist in administering the System. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The valuation was based on information supplied by the Retirement Office with respect to member and financial data. Segal does not audit the data provided. The accuracy and comprehensiveness of the data is the responsibility of those supplying the data. To the extent we can, however, Segal does review the data for reasonableness and consistency. Based on our review of the data, we have no reason to doubt the substantial accuracy of the information on which we have based this report and we have no reason to believe there are facts or circumstances that would affect the validity of these results.

We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries, and we meet the Qualifications Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Certain assumptions, including interest rates, mortality tables and others identified in the report are prescribed by the Board and in our opinion, are reasonably related to the experience of the Plan and the expectations for the Plan. The Board is also responsible for selecting the actuarially required contribution, actuarial cost method and asset valuation method.

We are available to provide further information or to answer any questions regarding the report.

Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Consulting Actuary

Tammy F. Dixon, FSA, MAAA, EA
Vice President \& Actuary

## EXHIBIT I-A

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

## MAIN SYSTEM

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2013:

| a. | Active members ................................. | \$1,551,952,602 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | Special prior service pensions .............. | 10,275 |  |
| c. | Retired members and beneficiaries........ | 943,661,450 |  |
| d. | Inactive non-retired members ............... | 154,900,691 |  |
| e. | Total.. | $\ldots$ | \$2,650,525,018 |

2. Assets at actuarial value (\$1,899,458,667 at market value) 1,632,915,720
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) .......

1,017,609,298
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* 89,254,673
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members

865,868,265
6. Member normal cost - equals $6.5 \%$ of (5)
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) 32,973,236
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary*

71,019,268
9. Administrative expenses

1,100,000
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) $\qquad$ 105,092,504
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll equals (10) divided by (5)

* Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.


## EXHIBIT I-B

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

JUDGES

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2013:

| a. | Active members .................................... | \$21,612,766 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| b. | Retired members and beneficiaries......... | $14,217,485$ |
| c. | Inactive non-retired members ............ | 381,557 |
| d. | Total.............................................................................................. |  |

\$36,211,808
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 36,525,294$ at market value) 31,399,855
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ....... 4,811,953
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* 1,237,148
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members 6,598,981
6. Member normal cost - equals $7.5 \%$ of (5) 494,924
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) 742,224
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* 349,525
9. Administrative expenses

7,500
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9).

1,099,249
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll equals (10) divided by (5).

* Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.


## EXHIBIT I-C

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

## NATIONAL GUARD

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2013:

| a. | Active members .................................... | $\$ 1,534,842$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| b. | Retired members and beneficiaries......... | $1,110,115$ |
| c. | Inactive non-retired members ............. | $\underline{314,930}$ |
| d. | Total............................................................................................ |  |

\$2,959,887
2. Assets at actuarial value (\$2,695,283 at market value) 2,317,065
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ....... 642,822
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* 177,422
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members 1,691,014
6. Member normal cost - equals $4.25 \%$ of (5) ............................................... 71,868
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) .................. 105,554
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary ${ }^{*}$44,863
9. Administrative expenses.......................................................................... 3,000
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9). $\qquad$ 153,417
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll equals (10) divided by (5)

* Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.


## EXHIBIT I-D

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

## LAW ENFORCEMENT WITH PRIOR MAIN SYSTEM SERVICE

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2013:

| a. | Active members .................................... | $\$ 14,270,485$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| b. | Retired members and beneficiaries......... | $10,250,346$ |  |
| c. | Inactive non-retired members............. | 811,755 |  |
| d. | Total............................................................................................. | $\$ 25,332,586$ |  |

2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 17,820,673$ at market value) 15,319,974
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ....... 10,012,612
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year*

1,240,462
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members 11,703,913
6. Member normal cost - equals $5.517 \%^{* *}$ of (5) 645,705
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) 594,757
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary*

698,784
9. Administrative expenses

2,500
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9)

1,296,041
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll equals (10) divided by (5)

* Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.
**Adjusted for Bureau of Criminal Investigation rates.


## EXHIBIT I-E

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

LAW ENFORCEMENT WITHOUT PRIOR MAIN SYSTEM SERVICE

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2013:
a. Active members ..... \$1,149,021
b. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 95,613
c. Inactive non-retired members ..... 220,866
d. Total\$1,465,500
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 1,170,109$ at market value) ..... 1,005,913
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... 459,587
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 306,509
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... 2,589,887
6. Member normal cost - equals $5.25 \%$ of (5) ..... 135,969
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... 170,540
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... 32,075
9. Administrative expenses ..... 7,500
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... 210,115
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5) ..... 8.11\%

* Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.


## EXHIBIT I-F

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

## MAIN SYSTEM, JUDGES, NATIONAL GUARD AND LAW ENFORCEMENT PLAN COMBINED

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2013:
a. Active members

$$
\$ 1,590,519,716
$$

$$
\text { b. Special prior service pensions ............... } 10,275
$$

c. Retired members and beneficiaries........ 969,335,009

$$
\text { d. Inactive non-retired members ................ } \quad \underline{156,629,799}
$$

e. Total

$$
\$ 2,716,494,799
$$

2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 1,957,670,026$ at market value) ..... 1,682,958,527
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... 1,033,536,272
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 92,216,214
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... 888,452,060
6. Member normal cost ..... 57,629,903
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... 34,586,311
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... 72,144,515
9. Administrative expenses ..... 1,120,500
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9). ..... 107,851,326
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5). ..... 12.14\%
[^1]
## EXHIBIT II

## ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

## 1. Mortality Tables:

Healthy: The RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table, set back three years.
Disabled: The RP-2000 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table, set back one year for males (not set back for females).

These mortality tables were determined to contain approximately a $10 \%$ margin for future mortality improvement, based on a review of mortality experience in 2010.

## 2. Disability Incidence Rates:

Before age 65: Males 33\% of OASDI disability incidence rates. Females 20\% of OASDI disability incidence rates.

Age 65 and later: $\quad 0.25 \%$ per year.

Sample rates are as follows:

| Age | Male |  | Female |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $0.02 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |  |
| 30 | 0.04 | 0.02 |  |
| 40 | 0.07 | 0.04 |  |
| 50 | 0.20 | 0.12 |  |
| 60 | 0.54 | 0.33 |  |

## 3. Withdrawal Rates:

Main System:
First five years of service:
Years of Service

| Age | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $29 \&$ Under | $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 16 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| 40 \& Over | 12 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 |

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

Ultimate withdrawal rates after five years of service:

| Age | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 8.8 |
| $30-34$ | 5.5 |
| $35-39$ | 4.7 |
| $40-44$ | 3.9 |
| $45-49$ | 3.7 |
| $50-54$ | 3.4 |
| $55-59$ | 0.1 |
| 60 \& Over | 0.2 |

National Guard and Law Enforcement:
First five years of service:

| Age | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29 \& Under | $25 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 20 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| 40 \& Over | 17 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 7 |

Ultimate withdrawal rates after five years of service:

| Age | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 8.8 |
| $30-34$ | 5.5 |
| $35-39$ | 4.7 |
| $40-44$ | 3.9 |
| $45-49$ | 3.7 |
| $50-54$ | 3.4 |
| $55-59$ | 0.1 |
| 60 \& Over | 0.2 |

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

Judges:

| Age | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 2.2 |
| $30-34$ | 1.4 |
| $35-39$ | 1.2 |
| $40-44$ | 1.0 |
| $45-49$ | 0.9 |
| $50-54$ | 0.8 |
| $55-59$ | 0.0 |
| 60 \& Over | 0.1 |

Withdrawal rates end upon eligibility for early retirement. Early retirement eligibility is as follows:

Main System:
Earlier of (i) age 55 and 3 years of service, and (ii) eligibility for Rule of 85.

## Judges:

Earlier of (i) age 55 and 5 years of service, and (ii) eligibility for Rule of 85.
National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Age 50 and 3 years of service.

## 4. Refund of Employee Contributions:

Inactive vested members are assumed to elect a refund of employee contributions in lieu of deferred pension benefits when it is more valuable than the deferred annuity.

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 5. Retirement Rates for Active Members:

Main System:

|  | Age | Early Retirement | Unreduced <br> Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 51-54 |  | 8\% |
|  | 55 | 2\% | 8 |
|  | 56-59 | 2 | 10 |
|  | 60 | 4 | 10 |
|  | 61 | 10 | 20 |
|  | 62 | 20 | 35 |
|  | 63 | 15 | 25 |
|  | 64 | 10 | 30 |
|  | 65 |  | 30 |
|  | 66-74 |  | 20 |
|  | 75 |  | 100 |
| Judges: Age $\quad$ Rate |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 60-61 | 10\% |  |
|  | 62-64 | 20 |  |
|  | 65-69 | 50 |  |
|  | 70 | 100 |  |
| National Guard and Law Enforcement: |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Rate |  |
|  | 55-63 | 20\% |  |
|  | 64 | 50 |  |
|  | 65 | 100 |  |

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 6. Retirement Age for Inactive Vested Members:

Main System and Judges:
The earlier of:

- Age 64.
- Unreduced retirement date for each individual.

National Guard:
Age 55.
Law Enforcement:
The earlier of:

- Age 55.
- Unreduced retirement date for each individual.


## 7. Interest Rate:

8.00\% per annum, net of investment expenses.
8. Administrative Expenses:

| Main System: | $\$ 1,100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Judges: | $\$ 7,500$ |
| National Guard: | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Law Enforcement with Prior Main Service: | $\$ 2,500$ |
| Law Enforcement without Prior Main Service: | $\$ 7,500$ |

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 9. Salary Scale:

Main System, National Guard, and Law Enforcement:
Less than five years of service:

| Service |  | Percentage <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | $8.25 \%$ |
| 1 |  | 7.25 |
| 2 |  | 6.75 |
| 3 |  | 6.50 |
| 4 |  | 6.25 |

Five or more years of service (sample rates are as follows):

| Age | Percentage <br> Increase | Age | Percentage <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | $6.25 \%$ | 45 | $5.11 \%$ |
| 30 | 5.93 | 50 | 5.02 |
| 35 | 5.50 | 55 | 4.93 |
| 40 | 5.23 | 60 | 4.86 |

Judges:
$5.00 \%$ per annum for all years of service.

## 10. Payroll Growth:

Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Judges:
4.50\% per annum
4.00\% per annum

## 11. Inflation:

$3.5 \%$ per annum.

## 12. Percent Married and Age of Spouse:

Main System, National Guard, and Law Enforcement:
At retirement or death, $80 \%$ of male members and $65 \%$ of female members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be three years older than their female spouses.

Judges:
At retirement or death, $100 \%$ of members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be three years older than their female spouses.

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 13. Part-Time Employees:

One full year of service is credited for each future year of service.

## 14. Split Service:

Liabilities are held in both plans based on service in each plan and are based on the actuarial assumptions of the plan in which they are currently active.

## 15. Actuarial Cost Method:

Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. The annual normal cost is calculated for each member as the level percentage of pay required over the member's period of covered employment to pay the total expected benefits. The normal cost is determined as if the current benefit accrual rate had always been in effect. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized in installments increasing by the payroll growth assumption each year over an open 20-year period.

## 16. Actuarial Value of Assets:

Adjusted market value that immediately recognizes interest and dividends. The procedure recognizes $20 \%$ of each year's total appreciation (depreciation) beginning with the year of occurrence. After five years, the appreciation (depreciation) is fully recognized. A characteristic of this asset valuation method is that, over time, it is more likely than not to produce an actuarial value of assets that is less than the market value of assets, if the investment return attributable to net interest and dividends is less than the assumed rate of return.

## 17. Social Security Disability (for Judges' disability benefit offset):

Eligibility: 50\%
Consumer Price Index Increases: 3.5\% per annum
Wage Base Increases: 5.0\% per annum

## 18. Workers' Compensation (for Judges' disability benefit offset):

None assumed.

## 19. Account Balance Due to Vested Employer Contribution (PEP):

Participation
Under Chapter 54-52.2: If not elected: None.
If elected: $\quad 100 \%$ of active members of the Main
System, National Guard and Law Enforcement.

Contribution: Maximum allowed based on service at the beginning of the Plan year.

## EXHIBIT III

## CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

There were no changes in actuarial assumptions or cost methods since the preceding valuation.

## EXHIBIT IV

## SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System as included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be, interpreted as a complete statement of all plan provisions.

## 1. Normal Service Retirement:

Eligibility:
Main System and Judges:
Attainment of age 65, or at any age with age plus service equal to at least 85 (Rule of 85).

National Guard:
Attainment of age 55 and three consecutive years of service.
Law Enforcement:
Attainment of age 55 and three consecutive years of service, or at any age with age plus service equal to at least 85 (Rule of 85).

Benefit:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
2.00\% of final average salary multiplied by service.

Judges:
$3.50 \%$ of final average salary for each of the first ten years of service, $2.80 \%$ for each of the next ten years of service, and $1.25 \%$ for service in excess of twenty years.

## 2. Early Retirement:

Eligibility:
Main System:
Attainment of age 55 with three years of service.
Judges:
Attainment of age 55 with five years of service.

## EXHIBIT IV (continued)

National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Attainment of age 50 with three years of service.
Benefit:
Main System:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 65 (or Rule of 85, if earlier) is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before the earlier of age 65 or the age at which the Rule of 85 is met.

Judges:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 65 (or Rule of 85 , if earlier) is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before age 65 or the age at which the Rule of 85 is met.

## National Guard:

The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 55 is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before age 55.

## Law Enforcement:

The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 55 (or Rule of 85, if earlier) is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before age 55 or the age at which the Rule of 85 is met.

## 3. Disability Benefit:

Eligibility:
Six months of service and inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity.
Benefit:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
$25 \%$ of the member's final average salary at disability minus workers' compensation benefits, with a minimum of $\$ 100$ per month.

## Judges:

$70 \%$ of the member's final average salary at disability minus Social Security and Workers' Compensation benefits paid.

## EXHIBIT IV (continued)

## 4. Deferred Vested Retirement:

Eligibility:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Three years of service.
Judges:
Five years of service.
Benefit:
Main System and Judges:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit payable at age 65 or the Rule of 85, if earlier. Reduced early retirement benefits can be elected upon attainment of age 55.

National Guard:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit payable at age 55. Reduced early retirement benefits can be elected upon attainment of age 50 .

Law Enforcement:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit payable at age 55 or the Rule of 85 , if earlier. Reduced early retirement benefit can be selected upon attainment of age 50.

## 5. Pre-Retirement Death Benefits:

(a) Eligibility:

Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Three years of service.
Judges:
Five years of service.

## EXHIBIT IV (continued)

Benefit:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
One of the following options:

- Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.
- $50 \%$ of the member's accrued benefit (not reduced on account of age) payable for the surviving spouse's lifetime.
- Continuation portion of $100 \%$ joint and survivor annuity (only if participant was eligible for normal retirement).
- A partial lump sum payment in addition to the one of the annuity options above.

Judges:
One of the following options:

- Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.
- $100 \%$ of the member's accrued benefit (not reduced on account of age) payable for the spouse's lifetime.
(b) Eligibility:

Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Not vested or no surviving spouse.
Benefit:
Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.

## 6. Refund of Member Contributions:

Paid to terminated non-vested members and terminated vested members who choose refund in lieu of a monthly retirement benefit.

## EXHIBIT IV (continued)

## 7. Accumulated Member Contributions:

Member contributions accumulate with interest at the following rates:

| Time Period | Per Annum Interest Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Through June 30, 1981 | $5.0 \%$ |
| July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1986 | $6.0 \%$ |
| After June 30, 1986 | 0.5\% less than the actuarial <br> interest rate assumption |

## 8. Standard and Optional Forms of Payment:

Standard form of payment:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Monthly benefit for life with a refund to beneficiary at death of the remaining balance (if any) of accumulated member contributions.

Judges:
Monthly benefit for life, with 50\% payable to an eligible survivor.
Optional forms of payment:
> Life annuity (for Judges)
> $50 \%$ joint and survivor annuity with pop-up (for Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement)
> $100 \%$ joint and survivor annuity with pop-up
> Twenty-year certain and life annuity
> Ten-year certain and life annuity
> Social Security level income annuity
> A partial lump sum payment in addition to one of the annuity options above.
> An actuarially equivalent graduated benefit option with either a one percent or two percent increase to be applied the first day of January of each year. Not available for disability or early retirements or in combination with a partial lump sum option, a deferred normal retirement option, or a Social Security level income annuity.

## EXHIBIT IV (continued)

## 9. Final Average Salary:

Average of the highest salary received by the member for any 36 months employed during the last 180 months of employment.

## 10. Contributions:

Contribution rates specified in the Century Code (except employer rate for National Guard and Law Enforcement); differ between permanent full-time employees and part-time temporary employees. Rates are as follow:

|  | Rates Set by Statute |  | Rates <br> Determined by the Board of Retirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Member | Employer | Employer |
| Main System Full-Time Employees | 6.00\% | 6.12\% |  |
| Effective January 2014 | 7.00\% | 7.12\% |  |
| Main System Part-Time Employees | 12.12\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Effective January 2014 | 14.12\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Judges | 7.00\% | 16.52\% |  |
| Effective January 2014 | 8.00\% | 17.52\% |  |
| National Guard | 4.00\% |  | 6.50\% |
| Effective January 2014 | 4.50\% |  | 7.00\% |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main System service | 5.00\% |  | 9.31\% |
| Effective January 2014 | 5.50\% |  | 9.81\% |
| BCI Employees | 6.00\% |  | 10.31\% |
| Effective January 2014 | 7.00\% |  | 11.31\% |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main System service | 5.00\% |  | 7.43\% |
| Effective January 2014 | 5.50\% |  | 7.93\% |

Effective January 1, 2000:
A member's account balance includes vested employer contributions equal to the member's contributions to the deferred compensation Plan under chapter 54-52.2. The vested employer contribution may not exceed:

1. For months one through 12 of service credit, $\$ 25$ or $1 \%$ of the member's monthly salary, whichever is greater.
2. For months 13 through 24 of service credit, $\$ 25$ or $2 \%$ of the member's monthly salary, whichever is greater.
3. For months 25 through 36 of service credit, $\$ 25$ or $3 \%$ of the member's monthly salary, whichever is greater.
4. For service exceeding 36 months, $\$ 25$ or $4 \%$ of the member’s monthly salary, whichever is greater.

Vested employer contributions are credited monthly to the member's account balance.

## 11. Rollovers:

The fund may accept rollovers from other qualified plans under rules adopted by the Board for the purchase of additional service credit.

## EXHIBIT V

## CHANGES IN PLAN PROVISIONS

As a result of legislation passed in 2013, the contribution rates are scheduled to increase in January of 2014 by the following amounts:

| Main System Full-Time Employees | $\frac{\text { Member }}{1.00 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { Employer }}{1.00 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Main System Part-Time Employees | N/A | 2.00 |
| Judges | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| National Guard | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Law Enforcement with <br> prior Main System service | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| BCI Employees | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Law Enforcement without <br> prior Main System service | 0.50 | 0.50 |

## B. Census Tables

I. Active Members
(A) Number of active members grouped by nearest age and years of employment:

Males 9-A
Females 9-B
All 9-C
(B) Number of active members grouped by nearest age and salary:

Males 10-A
Females 10-B
All 10-C
II. Current Plan Pensions
(A) Distribution of pensions awarded during the year by amount of benefit and type of pension:

Males 11-A
Females 11-B
All
11-C
(B) Distribution of pensions awarded during the year by nearest age and type of pension:

Males
12-A
Females 12-B
All
12-C
(C) Distribution of pensions in force by amount of benefit and type of pension:

Males 13-A
Females 13-B
All 13-C
(D) Distribution of pensions in force by nearest age and type of pension:

Males
Females 14-B
All
14-C
III. Special Prior Service Pensions
(A) Distribution of pensions in force by amount of benefit:

15
(B) Distribution of pensions in force by nearest age: 16

TABLE 9-A
Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2013 by Nearest Age and Years of Employment in PERS
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | 35 \& Over |
| Total | 8,608 | 3,358 | 1,881 | 1,069 | 776 | 564 | 449 | 324 | 187 |
| Under 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20-24$ | 231 | 229 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $25-29$ | 787 | 666 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $30-34$ | 881 | 518 | 309 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $35-39$ | 809 | 354 | 268 | 142 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $40-44$ | 906 | 330 | 231 | 171 | 140 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $45-49$ | 985 | 309 | 208 | 145 | 143 | 142 | 35 | 3 | 0 |
| $50-54$ | 1,225 | 334 | 242 | 154 | 148 | 134 | 146 | 62 | 5 |
| $55-59$ | 1,323 | 324 | 231 | 177 | 137 | 112 | 145 | 141 | 56 |
| $60-64$ | 1,012 | 194 | 169 | 132 | 126 | 107 | 91 | 95 | 98 |
| $65-69$ | 323 | 66 | 74 | 62 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 19 | 23 |
| $70-74$ | 93 | 28 | 18 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| $75 \&$ over | 32 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

TABLE 9-B

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2013

 by Nearest Age and Years of Employment in PERS(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | 35 \& Over |
| Total | 12,980 | 5,087 | 2,766 | 1,783 | 1,199 | 880 | 650 | 378 | 237 |
| Under 20 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20-24$ | 328 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $25-29$ | 912 | 834 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $30-34$ | 1,111 | 717 | 347 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $35-39$ | 1,288 | 701 | 341 | 206 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $40-44$ | 1,405 | 619 | 375 | 221 | 150 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $45-49$ | 1,646 | 583 | 396 | 261 | 167 | 155 | 78 | 6 | 0 |
| $50-54$ | 2,237 | 591 | 453 | 391 | 258 | 194 | 213 | 131 | 6 |
| $55-59$ | 2,056 | 398 | 393 | 327 | 288 | 230 | 173 | 134 | 113 |
| $60-64$ | 1,474 | 234 | 269 | 231 | 207 | 208 | 152 | 80 | 93 |
| $65-69$ | 391 | 48 | 95 | 72 | 66 | 48 | 24 | 20 | 18 |
| $70-74$ | 90 | 18 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| $75 \&$ over | 35 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 |

TABLE 9-C

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2013

 by Nearest Age and Years of Employment in PERS(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | 35 \& Over |
| Total | 21,588 | 8,445 | 4,647 | 2,852 | 1,975 | 1,444 | 1,099 | 702 | 424 |
| Under 20 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20-24$ | 559 | 557 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $25-29$ | 1,699 | 1,500 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $30-34$ | 1,992 | 1,235 | 656 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $35-39$ | 2,097 | 1,055 | 609 | 348 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $40-44$ | 2,311 | 949 | 606 | 392 | 290 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| $45-49$ | 2,631 | 892 | 604 | 406 | 310 | 297 | 113 | 9 | 0 |
| $50-54$ | 3,462 | 925 | 695 | 545 | 406 | 328 | 359 | 193 | 11 |
| $55-59$ | 3,379 | 722 | 624 | 504 | 425 | 342 | 318 | 275 | 169 |
| $60-64$ | 2,486 | 428 | 438 | 363 | 333 | 315 | 243 | 175 | 191 |
| $65-69$ | 714 | 114 | 169 | 134 | 93 | 75 | 49 | 39 | 41 |
| $70-74$ | 183 | 46 | 32 | 39 | 26 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 10 |
| $75 \&$ over | 67 | 14 | 13 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 |

TABLE 10-A

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2013 by Nearest Age and Salary

(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Annualized Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ \$ 10,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000- \\ \$ 14,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15,000- \\ \$ 19,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,000- \\ \$ 24,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 25,000- \\ \$ 29,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 30,000- \\ \$ 34,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000- \\ \$ 39,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 40,000- \\ \$ 44,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 45,000- \\ \$ 49,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000 \\ & \text { \& Over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 8,608 | 180 | 226 | 195 | 449 | 581 | 963 | 1,032 | 1,204 | 1,026 | 2,752 |
| Under 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 231 | 16 | 10 | 11 | 26 | 40 | 60 | 32 | 20 | 14 | 2 |
| 25-29 | 787 | 30 | 16 | 25 | 58 | 79 | 123 | 143 | 112 | 111 | 90 |
| 30-34 | 881 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 42 | 52 | 109 | 129 | 170 | 130 | 202 |
| 35-39 | 809 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 33 | 49 | 80 | 84 | 143 | 122 | 266 |
| 40-44 | 906 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 40 | 52 | 98 | 110 | 133 | 104 | 336 |
| 45-49 | 985 | 20 | 11 | 12 | 47 | 53 | 105 | 108 | 152 | 104 | 373 |
| 50-54 | 1,225 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 49 | 76 | 108 | 148 | 176 | 143 | 463 |
| 55-59 | 1,323 | 23 | 28 | 33 | 58 | 85 | 134 | 144 | 160 | 161 | 497 |
| 60-64 | 1,012 | 10 | 40 | 26 | 47 | 70 | 108 | 99 | 99 | 103 | 410 |
| 65-69 | 323 | 11 | 39 | 16 | 32 | 19 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 90 |
| 70-74 | 93 | 8 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 20 |
| 75 \& over | 32 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 |

TABLE 10-B

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2013 by Nearest Age and Salary

(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Annualized Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearest <br> Age | Total | Less than $\$ 10,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000- \\ \$ 14,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000-1 \\ & \$ 19 \text { g99 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,000-1 \\ \$ 24099 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 25,000- \\ \$ 29,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 30,000- \\ \$ 34,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000- \\ \$ 39,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 40,000- \\ \$ 44,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 45,000- \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 50,000$ <br> \& Over |
| Total | 12,980 | 465 | 891 | 1,395 | 1,281 | 1,579 | 1,631 | 1,407 | 1,332 | 968 | 2,031 |
| Under 20 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 328 | 63 | 48 | 42 | 57 | 40 | 32 | 24 | 15 | 5 | 2 |
| 25-29 | 912 | 59 | 49 | 100 | 91 | 146 | 113 | 125 | 102 | 84 | 43 |
| 30-34 | 1,111 | 54 | 79 | 97 | 86 | 136 | 127 | 124 | 147 | 109 | 152 |
| 35-39 | 1,288 | 65 | 118 | 116 | 88 | 146 | 152 | 135 | 160 | 97 | 211 |
| 40-44 | 1,405 | 51 | 113 | 176 | 107 | 148 | 154 | 163 | 157 | 101 | 235 |
| 45-49 | 1,646 | 42 | 122 | 184 | 171 | 192 | 208 | 161 | 165 | 107 | 294 |
| 50-54 | 2,237 | 41 | 124 | 226 | 255 | 258 | 303 | 232 | 226 | 152 | 420 |
| 55-59 | 2,056 | 35 | 104 | 232 | 210 | 252 | 277 | 221 | 190 | 173 | 362 |
| 60-64 | 1,474 | 29 | 84 | 148 | 161 | 189 | 199 | 167 | 136 | 110 | 251 |
| 65-69 | 391 | 9 | 28 | 53 | 42 | 58 | 53 | 45 | 25 | 25 | 53 |
| 70-74 | 90 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| 75 \& over | 35 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

TABLE 10-C

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2013 by Nearest Age and Salary

(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Annualized Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ \$ 10,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000- \\ \$ 14,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15,000- \\ \$ 19,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,000- \\ \$ 24,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 25,000- \\ \$ 29,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 30,000- \\ \$ 34,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000- \\ \$ 39,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 40,000- \\ \$ 44,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 45,000- \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 50,000$ \& Over |
| Total | 21,588 | 645 | 1,117 | 1,590 | 1,730 | 2,160 | 2,594 | 2,439 | 2,536 | 1,994 | 4,783 |
| Under 20 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 559 | 79 | 58 | 53 | 83 | 80 | 92 | 56 | 35 | 19 | 4 |
| 25-29 | 1,699 | 89 | 65 | 125 | 149 | 225 | 236 | 268 | 214 | 195 | 133 |
| 30-34 | 1,992 | 72 | 95 | 110 | 128 | 188 | 236 | 253 | 317 | 239 | 354 |
| 35-39 | 2,097 | 72 | 128 | 131 | 121 | 195 | 232 | 219 | 303 | 219 | 477 |
| 40-44 | 2,311 | 62 | 124 | 187 | 147 | 200 | 252 | 273 | 290 | 205 | 571 |
| 45-49 | 2,631 | 62 | 133 | 196 | 218 | 245 | 313 | 269 | 317 | 211 | 667 |
| 50-54 | 3,462 | 62 | 145 | 246 | 304 | 334 | 411 | 380 | 402 | 295 | 883 |
| 55-59 | 3,379 | 58 | 132 | 265 | 268 | 337 | 411 | 365 | 350 | 334 | 859 |
| 60-64 | 2,486 | 39 | 124 | 174 | 208 | 259 | 307 | 266 | 235 | 213 | 661 |
| 65-69 | 714 | 20 | 67 | 69 | 74 | 77 | 81 | 74 | 55 | 54 | 143 |
| 70-74 | 183 | 16 | 26 | 24 | 19 | 14 | 21 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 28 |
| 75 \& over | 67 | 10 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 |

TABLE 11-A
Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2013 by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Rule of 85 | Disability |
| Total | 260 | 118 | 33 | 97 | 12 |
| Under \$200 | 19 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 200-399 | 25 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| 400-599 | 24 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 600-799 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 800-999 | 19 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 5,000 \& over | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

TABLE 11-B
Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2013 by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Rule of 85 | Disability |
| Total | 391 | 155 | 100 | 126 | 10 |
| Under \$200 | 57 | 31 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| 200-399 | 54 | 28 | 25 | 0 | 1 |
| 400-599 | 48 | 23 | 21 | 1 | 3 |
| 600-799 | 28 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 5 |
| 800-999 | 26 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 25 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 0 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 28 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 0 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5,000 \& over | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

TABLE 11-C
Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2013 by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Rule of 85 | Disability |
| Total | 651 | 273 | 133 | 223 | 22 |
| Under \$200 | 76 | 47 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| 200-399 | 79 | 41 | 36 | 0 | 2 |
| 400-599 | 72 | 40 | 27 | 1 | 4 |
| 600-799 | 53 | 24 | 17 | 1 | 11 |
| 800-999 | 45 | 28 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 34 | 16 | 7 | 11 | 0 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 43 | 14 | 9 | 20 | 0 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 30 | 11 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 5,000 \& over | 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

TABLE 12-A
Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2013
by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Rule of 85 | Disability |
| Total | 260 | 118 | 33 | 97 | 12 |
| Under 50 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $50-54$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| $55-59$ | 24 | 2 | 2 | 18 | 2 |
| $60-64$ | 95 | 5 | 24 | 61 | 5 |
| $65-69$ | 101 | 84 | 7 | 10 | 0 |
| $70-74$ | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $75 \&$ over | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 12-B
Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2013
by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Rule of 85 | Disability |
| Total | 391 | 155 | 100 | 126 | 10 |
| Under 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $50-54$ | 8 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| $55-59$ | 41 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 3 |
| $60-64$ | 157 | 9 | 75 | 72 | 1 |
| $65-69$ | 143 | 104 | 14 | 23 | 2 |
| $70-74$ | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $75 \&$ over | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 12-C
Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2013 by Type of Pension and Nearest Age

## (All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Rule of 85 | Disability |
| Total | 651 | 273 | 133 | 223 | 22 |
| Under 50 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $50-54$ | 16 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 |
| $55-59$ | 65 | 2 | 13 | 45 | 5 |
| $60-64$ | 252 | 14 | 99 | 133 | 6 |
| $65-69$ | 244 | 188 | 21 | 33 | 2 |
| $70-74$ | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $75 \&$ over | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 13-A
Current Plan Pensioners in Force as of July 1, 2013 by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Service* | Disability |
| Total | 3,313 | 1,066 | 715 | 1,381 | 151 |
| Under \$200 | 301 | 186 | 104 | 6 | 5 |
| 200-399 | 423 | 170 | 203 | 5 | 45 |
| 400-599 | 365 | 134 | 170 | 10 | 51 |
| 600-799 | 258 | 108 | 108 | 16 | 26 |
| 800-999 | 229 | 94 | 54 | 67 | 14 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 244 | 89 | 29 | 121 | 5 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 230 | 56 | 14 | 158 | 2 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 188 | 47 | 11 | 129 | 1 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 155 | 34 | 3 | 118 | 0 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 155 | 31 | 4 | 119 | 1 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 131 | 20 | 2 | 108 | 1 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 117 | 12 | 6 | 99 | 0 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 114 | 19 | 0 | 95 | 0 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 88 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 0 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 54 | 6 | 1 | 47 | 0 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 47 | 9 | 2 | 36 | 0 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 0 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 33 | 4 | 2 | 27 | 0 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 0 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5,000 \& over | 30 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 0 |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 13-B
Current Plan Pensioners in Force as of July 1, 2013 by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Service* | Disability |
| Total | 4,613 | 1,481 | 1,573 | 1,375 | 184 |
| Under \$200 | 717 | 287 | 413 | 8 | 9 |
| 200-399 | 967 | 349 | 544 | 9 | 65 |
| 400-599 | 701 | 266 | 343 | 24 | 68 |
| 600-799 | 425 | 158 | 142 | 93 | 32 |
| 800-999 | 384 | 127 | 54 | 193 | 10 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 319 | 73 | 43 | 203 | 0 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 264 | 66 | 15 | 183 | 0 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 187 | 38 | 5 | 144 | 0 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 154 | 26 | 6 | 122 | 0 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 121 | 23 | 5 | 93 | 0 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 89 | 20 | 3 | 66 | 0 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 83 | 16 | 0 | 67 | 0 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 0 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5,000 \& over | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 13-C
Current Plan Pensioners in Force as of July 1, 2013 by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Service* | Disability |
| Total | 7,926 | 2,547 | 2,288 | 2,756 | 335 |
| Under \$200 | 1,018 | 473 | 517 | 14 | 14 |
| 200-399 | 1,390 | 519 | 747 | 14 | 110 |
| 400-599 | 1,066 | 400 | 513 | 34 | 119 |
| 600-799 | 683 | 266 | 250 | 109 | 58 |
| 800-999 | 613 | 221 | 108 | 260 | 24 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 563 | 162 | 72 | 324 | 5 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 494 | 122 | 29 | 341 | 2 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 375 | 85 | 16 | 273 | 1 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 309 | 60 | 9 | 240 | 0 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 276 | 54 | 9 | 212 | 1 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 220 | 40 | 5 | 174 | 1 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 200 | 28 | 6 | 166 | 0 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 162 | 25 | 0 | 137 | 0 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 116 | 15 | 0 | 101 | 0 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 79 | 10 | 1 | 68 | 0 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 71 | 13 | 2 | 56 | 0 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 66 | 8 | 0 | 58 | 0 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 41 | 5 | 2 | 34 | 0 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 34 | 6 | 1 | 27 | 0 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 28 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 0 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 5,000 \& over | 38 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 0 |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 14-A
Current Plan Pensioners in Force as of July 1, 2013
by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Service* | Disability |
| Total | 3,313 | 1,066 | 715 | 1,381 | 151 |
| Under 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $50-54$ | 30 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 12 |
| $55-59$ | 143 | 6 | 16 | 96 | 25 |
| $60-64$ | 456 | 18 | 97 | 300 | 41 |
| $65-69$ | 829 | 223 | 200 | 384 | 22 |
| $70-74$ | 704 | 281 | 152 | 256 | 15 |
| $75-79$ | 509 | 211 | 94 | 189 | 15 |
| $80-84$ | 342 | 154 | 82 | 98 | 8 |
| $85-89$ | 194 | 111 | 51 | 30 | 2 |
| $90 \&$ over | 96 | 62 | 23 | 10 | 1 |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 14-B
Current Plan Pensioners in Force as of July 1, 2013
by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Service* | Disability |
| Total | 4,613 | 1,481 | 1,573 | 1,375 | 184 |
| Under 50 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| $50-54$ | 33 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 18 |
| $55-59$ | 173 | 1 | 38 | 106 | 28 |
| $60-64$ | 602 | 13 | 228 | 327 | 34 |
| $65-69$ | 1,139 | 320 | 366 | 420 | 33 |
| $70-74$ | 953 | 383 | 281 | 259 | 30 |
| $75-79$ | 741 | 283 | 282 | 162 | 14 |
| $80-84$ | 480 | 219 | 192 | 58 | 11 |
| $85-89$ | 305 | 151 | 128 | 23 | 3 |
| $90 \&$ over | 176 | 110 | 58 | 6 | 2 |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 14-C
Current Plan Pensioners in Force as of July 1, 2013 by Type of Pension and Nearest Age

## (All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early |  | Service* |
|  |  |  | Disability |  |  |
| Total |  | 2,547 | 2,288 | 2,756 | 335 |
| Under 50 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| $50-54$ | 63 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 30 |
| $55-59$ | 316 | 7 | 54 | 202 | 53 |
| $60-64$ | 1,058 | 31 | 325 | 627 | 75 |
| $65-69$ | 1,968 | 543 | 566 | 804 | 55 |
| $70-74$ | 1,657 | 664 | 433 | 515 | 45 |
| $75-79$ | 1,250 | 494 | 376 | 351 | 29 |
| $80-84$ | 822 | 373 | 274 | 156 | 19 |
| $85-89$ | 499 | 262 | 179 | 53 | 5 |
| $90 \&$ over | 272 | 172 | 81 | 16 | 3 |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 15
Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July 1, 2013 by Monthly Amount

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monthly Amount | Male | Female | Total |
| Total | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| Under $\$ 20$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| $20-39$ | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $40-59$ | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $60-79$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |

TABLE 16
Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July 1, 2013 by Nearest Age

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Male | Female | Total |
| Total | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| $95-99$ | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| $100 \&$ Over | 1 | 4 | 5 |


[^0]:    * Includes repurchases of service credit.

[^1]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

