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## Board Members

North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System
Bismarck, North Dakota
Members of the Board:
It is a pleasure to submit this report that presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System as of July 1, 2006.

The report's actuarial content was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles under our direction. The valuation was:

- Prepared by qualified actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and who have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems; and
- Prepared in accordance with standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board.

We wish to thank the Retirement Office staff for their cooperation in providing us with the participant census data and financial information necessary to prepare the valuation.

We look forward to meeting with you to review this report and to answering any questions you may have.
Sincerely,


Thomas D. Levy, FSA, FCIA, MAAA, EA Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary


Michael Moehle, FSA, MAAA, EA
Vice President and Actuary

Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA
Consulting Actuary
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## I. INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of our actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2006. The employer contribution requirements presented in Section VI of the report are based on:

1. The present provisions of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System;
2. The characteristics of covered active members, inactive non-retired members, pensioners and beneficiaries as of July 1, 2006;
3. The assets of the System as of June 30, 2006; and
4. Actuarial assumptions regarding investment earnings, salary increases, and rates of retirement, disability, death, etc.

The purpose of the actuarial valuation is to determine the contribution sufficient to meet the long-term obligations to the members covered by the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System in accordance with the benefit provisions of the System.

If each of the actuarial assumptions is exactly fulfilled, the true actuarial cost of the System will equal the cost projected by the actuarial calculations. However, this result is never achieved because of the length of time over which projections are made and because of the great number of variables that can affect the emerging costs. The cost, expressed as a percentage of payroll, will increase if the System experiences net actuarial losses and will decrease if the System experiences net actuarial gains.

## II. HIGHLIGHTS

$>$ The present rate of contributions is not sufficient to meet the actuarially determined requirement for 2006-2007, based upon the actuarial assumptions and financing objectives approved by the Board. If unchanged, this difference will further increase the actuarial contribution requirement in future valuations.
> The PERS Board should continue to review these results and projected future performance to determine appropriate measures to mitigate the difference between the actuarial and statutory contribution rates.
$>$ The employer actuarial contribution requirements for 2006-2007 are as follows:

|  |  | Percentage of <br> Payroll | Statutory/ <br> Approved <br> Rate |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main System | Amount |  |  |
| Judges | $57,041,461$ | $6.90 \%$ | $4.12 \%$ |
| National Guard | 577,889 | 12.36 | 14.52 |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main service | 55,071 | 4.02 | $6.50^{*}$ |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main service | 479,646 | 12.07 | 8.31 |
|  | 30,443 | 7.43 | 6.43 |

* Last year, the National Guard Approved Rate was $8.33 \%$
> A comparison of this year's actuarial contribution rates to last year's rates as a percent of payroll are as follows:

|  | $2006-2007$ | $2005-2006$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Main System | $6.90 \%$ | $6.03 \%$ |
| Judges | 12.36 | 11.62 |
| National Guard | 4.02 | 1.58 |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main service | 12.07 | 12.03 |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main service | 7.43 | 7.61 |

$>$ The Main System statutory rate of $4.12 \%$ of payroll is less than the actuarially determined rate of $6.90 \%$ of payroll by $2.78 \%$ of payroll. Last year, the Main System statutory rate was less than the actuarially determined rate by $1.91 \%$ of payroll. The contribution rate deficit this year is primarily attributable to a contribution shortfall and changes in the actuarial assumptions.
$>$ The return on the market value of assets for 2005-2006 for the PERS Fund was $12.04 \%$, and was $14.17 \%$ for the preceding year.
> The return on the actuarial value of assets for $2005-2006$ for the PERS Fund was $7.77 \%$ compared to the investment return assumption of $8.00 \%$. As a result, the PERS Fund experienced an investment loss on an actuarial value basis of approximately $\$ 2.8$ million.
$>$ The ratio of the actuarial value of assets to the market value of assets for the PERS Fund is $82.8 \%$. Last year, this ratio was $86.3 \%$.
$>$ A comparison of this year's funded ratio for PERS to the prior year is as follows:

|  | July 1,2006 | July 1, 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | $\$ 1,314,542,905$ | $\$ 1,236,114,135$ |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | $1,480,456,701$ | $1,361,154,300$ |
| Funded Ratio | $88.8 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ |

$>$ Table 6 details actuarial gains and losses in the Main System for many of the measured demographic assumptions. The gain/(loss) by source is measured each year, and a detailed analysis of the System's demographic assumptions is reviewed every fourth or fifth year by the completion of an experience study.

There were changes to the actuarial assumptions since the preceding valuation as a result of the experience study conducted in 2005 . The effect of these changes for PERS was to increase the actuarially determined contribution rate by $0.59 \%$ to $6.98 \%$.

## III. MEMBER CHARACTERISTICS

Detailed information for all non-retired members as of July 1, 2006 was provided by the Retirement Office. The data included name, sex, date of birth, months of service, members' accumulated account balances, and annual salary rate.

## Active Members

The age, service, compensation and account balance data for active members as of July 1, 2006 is summarized below:

|  | Main System | Judges | National Guard | Law <br> Enforcement with prior Main service | Law <br> Enforcement without prior Main service | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of active members | 17,887 | 47 | 41 | 113 | 14 | 18,102 |
| Average age | 46.8 | 55.1 | 33.1 | 42.7 | 36.0 | 46.8 |
| Average years of service | 10.7 | 10.5 | 3.0 | 10.7 | 1.7 | 10.7 |
| Total annual salary | \$536,574,504 | \$4,676,506 | \$1,371,485 | \$3,973,958 | \$409,698 | \$547,006,151 |
| Average annual salary | \$29,998 | \$99,500 | \$33,451 | \$35,168 | \$29,264 | \$30,218 |
| Total accumulated member contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| account balances | \$395,891,168 | \$2,848,597 | \$283,666 | \$3,445,234 | \$111,537 | \$402,580,202 |

For the Main System, the number of active members represents an increase of $0.8 \%$ over the 17,745 active members last year. Among the members continuing in active service since the prior valuation, and have two or more years of full-time service, salaries increased by an average of $7.6 \%$. Total payroll increased $5.6 \%$, which was marginally less than assumed.

Distributions of the active members by sex, age, and service are presented in Tables 8-A, 8-B and 8C of the Appendix. Tables 9-A, 9-B and 9-C present a distribution of these same members by sex, age, and actual salary. The table on the following page shows a breakdown of the active members who are currently eligible for benefits, as well as those who have not yet met the vesting requirements.

There are 22 members originally from the Main System that continue to be active members in the Judges System; one member from the Judges System is active in the Main System; 13 members originally from the Main System are active members in the Highway Patrol System; four members from the Highway Patrol System continue to be active members in the Main System. Liabilities for these members are carried in each system based on their service in that system.

The following table shows the number of active participants eligible for retirement:

| Active Members Eligible for: | Main System | Judges | National Guard | Law <br> Enforcement with prior Main service | Law <br> Enforcement without prior Main service | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 508 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 547 |
| Rule of 85 | 730 | 1 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 731 |
| Early Retirement | 2,759 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{2,776}$ |
| Total Retirement | 3,997 | 19 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 4,054 |
| Deferred Retirement | 9,574 | 19 | 6 | 47 | 0 | $\underline{9,646}$ |
| Total vested | 13,571 | 38 | 8 | 83 | 0 | 13,700 |
| Nonvested | 4,316 | 9 | 33 | 30 | 14 | 4,402 |
| Total | 17,887 | 47 | 41 | 113 | 14 | 18,102 |

## Inactive Members

There were 2,566 inactive members (including one from Judges, two from National Guard and four from Law Enforcement with prior Main service) as of July 1, 2006 with vested rights to deferred retirement benefits. The average deferred monthly benefit for this group was $\$ 380$. There were also 28 members from the Main System and four members from National Guard on leave of absence. For these groups, a liability is carried for their deferred retirement benefits.

## IV. BENEFIT EXPERIENCE

## New Awards

During the fiscal year ended in June 2006, 429 pensions became effective. The average monthly benefit for these pensioners was $\$ 1,054$ and their average age at retirement was 62.5 . Last year, the average benefit among new pensioners was $\$ 974$. The new pensioners are presented in Tables $10-\mathrm{A}$, $10-\mathrm{B}, 10-\mathrm{C}, 11-\mathrm{A}, 11-\mathrm{B}$ and $11-\mathrm{C}$ in Appendix B by sex, type of pension, monthly benefit and age on retirement date.

A breakdown of the new pension awards by type compared to last year is as follows:

July 1, 2006 July 1, 2005

| Normal | 121 | 91 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rule of 85 | 166 | 143 |
| Early | 121 | 116 |
| Disability | $\underline{21}$ | $\underline{24}$ |
| Total | 429 | 374 |

## Pensioners

Since benefits became payable under the current retirement program, a total of 7,550 retirement pensions have been awarded, of which 5,571 remained on the June 2006 rolls (including 21 retired Judges and 10 retired members of the National Guard/Law Enforcement). In addition, 52 pensions were in suspended status as of June 30, 2006. Distributions of the pensioners are presented in Tables $12-\mathrm{A}, 12-\mathrm{B}, 12-\mathrm{C}, 13-\mathrm{A}, 13-\mathrm{B}$ and $13-\mathrm{C}$ in Appendix B by sex, type of pension, monthly benefit amount and current age.

For the pensions in force on July 1, 2006, the average monthly benefit was $\$ 807$, an increase from $\$ 779$ a year earlier. The average age of these pensioners on the valuation date was 72.0 years.

## Beneficiaries

As of July 1, 2006, monthly benefit payments were being made to 616 beneficiaries, including six beneficiaries of Judges. The monthly payments to beneficiaries in payment status total $\$ 326,325$.

## Prior Service Pensioners

As of July 1,2006 , there were 48 pensioners receiving monthly benefits of $\$ 2,077$ under the Special Prior Service Pension provisions of the System. The current average age of Prior Service pensioners was 94.5 years, and the average monthly benefit was $\$ 43$. Because of the relatively high average age and low benefits, the liability for the Special Prior Service Pensioners represented less than $0.01 \%$ of the total actuarial accrued liability for the System. Tables $14-\mathrm{A}, 14-\mathrm{B}, 14-\mathrm{C}, 15-\mathrm{A}, 15-\mathrm{B}$, and $15-\mathrm{C}$ in Appendix B provide a breakdown of these pensioners by sex, type of pension, monthly benefit amount, and current age.

## V. ASSETS

## Market Value of Assets

As shown in the draft financial statements as of June 30, 2006, the combined market value of net assets of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) and Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System (HPRS) was $\$ 1,638,868,514$ compared to $\$ 1,480,185,590$ a year earlier. This year's combined market value represents an increase of $10.72 \%$ over the market value one year earlier.

Based on schedules provided by the Retirement Office, the breakdown of the market value of net assets allocated to North Dakota PERS follows:

|  | July 1, 2006 | $\underline{\text { July 1, 2005 }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Main System | $\$ 1,553,353,995$ | $\$ 1,403,066,411$ |
| Judges | $28,113,536$ | $25,165,175$ |
| National Guard | $1,912,469$ | $1,693,912$ |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main service | $3,771,742$ | $3,032,184$ |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main service | $\underline{88,345}$ | $\underline{48,711}$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,587,240,087$ | $\$ 1,433,006,393$ |

The rate of return on the market value basis for the PERS Fund was $12.04 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2006.

## Actuarial Value of Assets

The actuarial value of assets is determined as follows:
Market appreciation and depreciation are spread over five years beginning with the year of occurrence. Interest and dividends are recognized immediately. This procedure results in recognition of all changes in market value over five years.

The above procedure is applied to the combined assets of PERS and HPRS Retirement Income Funds to determine the combined actuarial value of the Systems. The combined actuarial value was $\$ 1,357,301,265$ as of June 30,2006 . The determination of the combined actuarial asset value is shown in Table 1. This table shows that there is approximately $\$ 282$ million of appreciation that will be recognized in future years.

Table 2 summarizes the combined investment results over the previous ten-year period. Over this period, the earnings of $\$ 795,296,000$ on an actuarial value basis represented an average annual return of $8.53 \%$. For the 2005-2006 year, the actuarial rate of return on the combined assets was $7.79 \%$.

The total actuarial value of assets is allocated to PERS (Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement) and HPRS in proportion to the reported market value of assets. This allocation is illustrated in Table 3 and summarized below for the PERS Fund alone.

|  | $\underline{\text { July 1, 2006 }}$ | $\underline{\text { July 1, 2005 }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Main System | $\$ 1,286,478,642$ | $\$ 1,210,287,848$ |
| Judges | $23,283,465$ | $21,707,529$ |
| National Guard | $1,583,896$ | $1,461,172$ |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main service | $3,123,735$ | $2,615,568$ |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main service | $\underline{73,167}$ | $\underline{42,018}$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,314,542,905$ | $\$ 1,236,114,135$ |

Chart 1 on page 13 is a graph showing the historical asset values for the PERS Fund on both an actuarial and market value basis. This graph illustrates that the market value of assets is now greater than the actuarial value.

Income and disbursements for 2006 and 2005 on an actuarial value basis are summarized in Table 4 for the PERS Fund. The progress of the PERS Fund for the last ten years is provided in Table 5. It shows that assets have increased consistently from year to year, although the amount of the increase has varied with fluctuations in investment income. Benefit payments have also increased consistently over the period, with the exception of one year.

A picture of the financial development of the PERS Fund over the last ten years is provided in Chart 2 on page 16. It shows that benefit payments and expenses continue to exceed contributions. However, over the long term, the excess of contributions over benefit payments and expenses, along with net investment income, served to increase the assets of the System.

Investment results on the actuarial basis are used to determine whether investment experience is meeting the System's actuarially assumed return. They do not, however, necessarily indicate the relative success of the System's investment program. Comparisons of performance with other funds, investment institutions, and market indices are generally based on rates of return that recognize market changes in full.

## Investment Return

The investment returns for the last ten years for the combined fund are as follows:

| Year Ending <br> June 30 | Market <br> Value | Actuarial <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | $19.90 \%$ | $13.14 \%$ |
| 1998 | 15.65 | 14.02 |
| 1999 | 10.88 | 14.73 |
| 2000 | 9.43 | 13.71 |
| 2001 | $(4.47)$ | 9.36 |
| 2002 | $6.94)$ | 3.91 |
| 2003 | 5.19 | 2.18 |
| 2004 | 16.65 | 3.16 |
| 2005 | 14.17 | 4.36 |
| 2006 | 12.04 | 7.79 |

The above values demonstrate the fact that the volatility of market value returns is reduced by using an actuarial value of assets. Chart 3 on page 17 illustrates the smoothing effect that results from using an actuarial value of assets. By using an actuarial value that reduces the year-to-year fluctuations in investment return, year-to-year fluctuations in contribution requirements are reduced.

Investment return rates on the market value basis include all capital appreciation and depreciation. The returns on the actuarial value reflect only a portion of the capital appreciation and depreciation based on the adopted valuation method.

TABLE 1

Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets (for PERS and HPRS) as of June 30, 2006 and 2005


## TABLE 2

Summary of Combined Investment Results for PERS and HPRS on Actuarial Value of Assets

| $*$ <br> Year Ended <br> June 30 | Net Interest and <br> Dividend Income* |  | Other Income** |  | Total Net <br> Investment Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| 1997 | $\$ 23,919,600$ | $3.73 \%$ | $\$ 60,428,700$ | $9.41 \%$ | $\$ 84,348,300$ | $13.14 \%$ |
| 1998 | $29,988,300$ | 4.13 | $71,787,700$ | 9.89 | $101,776,000$ | 14.02 |
| 1999 | $31,722,100$ | 3.84 | $89,864,100$ | 10.89 | $121,586,200$ | 14.73 |
| 2000 | $36,367,600$ | 3.88 | $92,244,700$ | 9.83 | $128,612,300$ | 13.71 |
| 2001 | $41,086,800$ | 3.89 | $57,734,900$ | 5.47 | $98,821,700$ | 9.36 |
| 2002 | $35,077,400$ | 3.06 | $9,694,500$ | 0.85 | $44,771,900$ | 3.91 |
| 2003 | $33,595,900$ | 2.84 | $(7,793,200)$ | $10.66)$ | $25,802,700$ | 2.18 |
| 2004 | $30,464,800$ | 2.54 | $7,398,200$ | 0.62 | $37,863,000$ | 3.16 |
| 2005 | $29,115,600$ | 2.38 | $24,276,800$ | 1.98 | $53,392,400$ | 4.36 |
| 2006 | $24,410,600$ | 1.93 | $73,910,900$ | 5.85 | $98,321,500$ | 7.79 |

[^0]TABLE 3
Allocation of Combined (PERS and HPRS) Actuarial Value of Assets


## Chart 1

Value of Assets for PERS

$\rightarrow$ Market - Actuarial

TABLE 4

# Summary Statement of Income and Disbursements for PERS for the Years Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (Actuarial Value Basis) 

|  | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution Income: |  |  |
| Employer Contributions | \$21,969,517 | \$20,704,241 |
| Member Contributions | 20,805,715 | 19,671,214 |
| Service Credit Repurchases | 3,702,908 | 4,426,282 |
| Total Contribution Income | \$46,478,140 | \$44,801,737 |
| Less: Administrative Expenses | (1,037,535) | $(1,072,277)$ |
| Net Contribution Income | \$45,440,605 | \$43,729,460 |
| Teacher's Retirement Plan Transfer | \$0 | \$0 |
| Investment Income: |  |  |
| Interest and Dividends | \$40,972,928 | \$35,260,205 |
| Miscellaneous Income | 11,217 | 13,399 |
| Less: Investment Expenses | (17,349,505) | (7,095,993) |
| Net Interest and Dividends | \$23,634,640 | \$28,177,611 |
| Write-up of Assets | 71,451,351 | 23,415,095 |
| Net Investment Income | \$95,085,991 | \$51,592,706 |
| Total Income Available for Benefit Payments and Reserves | \$140,526,596 | \$95,322,166 |
| Benefit Payments: |  |  |
| Pension Benefits | \$(57,820,126) | \$(51,286,688) |
| Transfers to Other Plans | $(41,271)$ | $(21,131)$ |
| Refunds | $(4,236,429)$ | $(4,433,294)$ |
| Total Benefit Payments | \$(62,097,826) | \$(55,741,113) |
| Addition to Reserve for Future Benefit Payments | \$78,428,770 | \$39,581,053 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, Start of Year | 1,236,114,135 | 1,196,533,082 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, End of Year | \$1,314,542,905 | \$1,236,114,135 |

TABLE 5 Progress of the PERS Fund through June 30, 2006 (Actuarial Value Basis)

| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Employer <br> Contributions | Member <br> Contributions* | Administrative <br> Expenses | Net Investment <br> Income | Benefit <br> Payments | Fund at End <br> Of Year |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | - | - | - | - | $\$ 621,662,772$ |  |
| 1997 | $\$ 14,435,989$ | $\$ 14,320,237$ | $\$ 565,778$ | $\$ 81,409,263$ | $\$ 26,791,639$ | $704,470,844$ |
| 1998 | $15,078,427$ | $15,061,818$ | 605,241 | $98,273,502$ | $30,988,902$ | $801,290,448$ |
| 1999 | $15,873,917$ | $16,152,785$ | 718,396 | $117,425,238$ | $33,070,715$ | $916,953,277$ |
| 2000 | $16,521,248$ | $16,618,771$ | 800,529 | $124,281,079$ | $46,572,021$ | $1,027,001,825$ |
| 2001 | $17,101,628$ | $17,353,268$ | 894,868 | $95,499,825$ | $40,727,282$ | $1,115,334,396$ |
| 2002 | $18,244,655$ | $18,439,125$ | 983,258 | $43,304,595$ | $44,338,684$ | $1,150,000,829$ |
| 2003 | $19,212,733$ | $19,758,764$ | $1,068,803$ | $25,009,784$ | $46,461,189$ | $1,166,452,118$ |
| 2004 | $23,522,192$ | $22,152,045$ | 995,879 | $36,594,962$ | $51,192,356$ | $1,196,533,082$ |
| 2005 | $20,704,241$ | $24,097,496$ | $1,072,277$ | $51,592,706$ | $55,741,113$ | $1,236,114,135$ |
| 2006 | $21,969,517$ | $24,508,623$ | $1,037,535$ | $95,085,991$ | $62,097,826$ | $1,314,542,905$ |

Chart 2
Income and Disbursements for PERS
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## VI. RESULTS OF ACTUARIAL VALUATION

The contribution requirement consists of the normal cost, administrative expense allowance, plus the cost of amortizing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over a scheduled period of years. The Board has adopted an open amortization schedule of 20 years with increasing payments. The calculated employer contribution requirements on this basis for fiscal year 2006-2007 are shown below as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the covered payroll of contributing employees.

## Main System

The components of the actuarial contribution requirements are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2006-2007}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total normal cost | $\underline{\$ 46,374,326}$ | $8.64 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(21,462,980)}$ | $\underline{(4.00)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 24,911,346$ | $4.64 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | 710,000 | 0.13 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{11,420,115}$ | $\underline{2.13}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 37,041,461$ | $6.90 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 536,574,504$.
The statutory contribution rate is $4.12 \%$ of payroll. Hence, statutory contributions are less than the actuarial contribution requirement shown above by $2.78 \%$ of payroll. If unchanged, this difference will further increase the actuarial contribution requirement in future valuations.

The total employer actuarial contribution requirement was $6.03 \%$ of payroll last year. Since then, actual experience of the System during 2005-2006 has changed the actuarial contribution requirement. Table 6 presents a detailed explanation of the factors that changed the contribution requirement from July 1, 2005 to July 1, 2006.

## Judges

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $2006-2007$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 924,800$ | $19.78 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(233,825)}$ | $\underline{(5.00)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 690,975$ | $14.78 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | 5,000 | 0.11 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{(118,086)}$ | $\underline{(2.53)}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 577,889$ | $12.36 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 4,676,506$.
The statutory contribution rate is $14.52 \%$ of payroll. Hence, statutory contributions exceed the actuarial contribution requirement shown above by $2.16 \%$ of payroll.

The net employer normal cost plus the administrative expense allowance is $14.89 \%$ of payroll. This should be viewed as the ultimate plan cost rate, since the total employer contribution requirement of $12.36 \%$ of payroll reflects an amortization credit. By this measure, statutory contributions are less than the ultimate plan cost rate of $14.89 \%$ of payroll by $0.37 \%$ of payroll.

A reconciliation of the change in the cost rate since the previous valuation follows:

Percentage
of Payroll

Employer cost rate as of July 1, $2005 \quad 11.62 \%$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Change in actuarial assumptions } & 0.48\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Plan experience during the year } & 0.16\end{array}$
Effect of maintaining 20-year amortization schedule $\quad \underline{0.10}$
Employer cost rate as of July 1, $2006 \quad 12.36 \%$

## National Guard

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $2006-2007$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 110,088$ | $8.03 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(54,859)}$ | $\underline{(4.00)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 55,229$ | $4.03 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | 1,417 | 0.10 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{(1,575)}$ | $\underline{(0.11)}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 55,071$ | $4.02 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 1,371,485$.
The statutory contribution rate is $6.50 \%$ of payroll. Hence, statutory contributions exceed the actuarial contribution requirement shown above by $2.48 \%$ of payroll.

The net employer normal cost plus the administrative expense allowance is $4.13 \%$ of payroll. This should be viewed as the ultimate plan cost rate, since the total employer contribution requirement of $4.02 \%$ of payroll reflects an amortization credit. By this measure, statutory contributions are greater than the ultimate plan cost rate of $4.13 \%$ of payroll by $2.37 \%$ of payroll.

A reconciliation of the change in the cost rate since the previous valuation follows:
Percentageof Payroll
Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2005 ..... $1.58 \%$
Change in actuarial assumptions ..... 0.26
Plan experience during the year ..... 2.17
Effect of maintaining 20-year amortization schedule ..... 0.01
Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2006 ..... 4.02\%

## Law Enforcement with prior Main service

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2006-2007}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 364,788$ | $9.18 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(158,958)}$ | $\underline{(4.00)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 205,830$ | $5.18 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | 3,209 | 0.08 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{270,607}$ | $\underline{6.81}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 479,646$ | $12.07 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 3,973,958$.
The statutory contribution rate is $8.31 \%$ of payroll. Hence, statutory contributions are less than the actuarial contribution requirement shown above by $3.76 \%$ of payroll. If unchanged, this difference will further increase the actuarial contribution requirement in future valuations.

The net employer normal cost plus the administrative expense allowance is $5.26 \%$ of payroll. This should be viewed as the ultimate plan cost rate, since the total employer contribution requirement of $12.07 \%$ of payroll reflects an amortization charge. By this measure, statutory contributions are greater than the ultimate plan cost rate of $5.26 \%$ of payroll by $3.05 \%$ of payroll.

A reconciliation of the change in the cost rate since the previous valuation follows:
Percentageof Payroll
Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2005 ..... $12.03 \%$
Change in actuarial assumptions ..... 0.30
Plan experience during the year ..... (0.01)
Effect of maintaining 20-year amortization schedule ..... (0.25)
Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2006 ..... 12.07\%

## Law Enforcement without prior Main service

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2006-2007}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 42,977$ | $10.49 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(16,388)}$ | $\underline{(4.00)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 26,589$ | $6.49 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | 374 | 0.09 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{3,480}$ | $\underline{0.85}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 30,443$ | $7.43 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 409,698$.
The statutory contribution rate is $6.43 \%$ of payroll. Hence, statutory contributions are less than the actuarial contribution requirement shown above by $1.00 \%$ of payroll. If unchanged, this difference will further increase the actuarial contribution requirement in future valuations.

The net employer normal cost plus the administrative expense allowance is $6.58 \%$ of payroll. This should be viewed as the ultimate plan cost rate, since the total employer contribution requirement of $7.43 \%$ of payroll reflects an amortization charge. By this measure, statutory contributions are less than the ultimate plan cost rate of $6.58 \%$ of payroll by $0.15 \%$ of payroll.

A reconciliation of the change in the cost rate since the previous valuation follows:

## Percentage

of Payroll
Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2005 ..... $7.61 \%$
Change in actuarial assumptions ..... 0.13
Plan experience during the year ..... (0.27)
Effect of maintaining 20-year amortization schedule ..... (0.04)
Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2006 ..... 7.43\%

## Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement Combined

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are shown below.

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2006-2007}$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 47,816,979$ | $8.74 \%$ |
| Less: Member contributions | $\underline{(21,927,010)}$ | $\underline{(4.01)}$ |
| Net employer normal cost | $\$ 25,889,969$ | $4.73 \%$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | 720,000 | 0.13 |
| Amortization payment (credit) | $\underline{11,574,541}$ | $\underline{2.12}$ |
| Total employer contribution requirement | $\$ 38,184,510$ | $6.98 \%$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 547,006,151$.

TABLE 6

## Main System <br> Explanation of Change in Employer Cost Rate

Percent
of Payroll
Employer Cost Rate as of July 1, $2005 \quad \mathbf{6 . 0 3 \%}$
Retired Life Mortality
The release of liability due to deaths among retirees and beneficiaries was less than expected, increasing the employer cost rate.
Active Life Mortality
The release of liability due to deaths among active members was less than expected, increasing the employer cost rate.
Disability Incidence
The net change in liability due to disability retirements was less than expected, increasing the employer cost rate.
Withdrawal
The release of liability due to withdrawals prior to retirement was less than expected, increasing the employer cost rate.

## Retirement

The actual liability due to non-disabled retirements was less than expected, decreasing the employer cost rate.
Investments
On an actuarial value basis, the rate of return on assets was more than the assumed rate of return, decreasing the employer cost rate.
Salary Scale $0.04 \%$
Salaries increased more than expected, increasing the employer cost rate.
Contributions $0.09 \%$
Actual contributions received by the System were less than the actuarially determined amount, increasing the required contributions in future years.

TABLE 6

## Main System Explanation of Change in Employer Cost Rate (continued)

Percentof Payroll
Administrative Expenses ..... $0.00 \%$
Actual expenses were nearly equal to that expected.
New and Reinstated Members ..... $0.06 \%$
The addition of new and reinstated members increases the employer cost rate.
Part-Time Experience ..... (0.10) \%
Some continuing active members earned less than one year of service during the current year, decreasing the employer cost rate.
Change in Size and Composition of Active Membership and ..... $0.31 \%$ Miscellaneous Experience
The demographic characteristics of the active membership changed during the year, increasing the employer cost rate.
Plan Provision Changes ..... $0.00 \%$
There were no plan provisions changes.
Assumption Changes ..... $0.59 \%$
Assumptions were changed as a result of the experience study conducted in 2005.
Funding Schedule ..... (0.07)\%
The effect of maintaining a 20 -year funding schedule results in a small decrease in cost.
Employer Cost Rate as of July 1, 2006 ..... $6.90 \%$

## VII. FUNDING STATUS

The calculation of funded ratios provides one measure of the progress of funding a retirement plan. The funded ratio is the percentage of plan liabilities covered by plan assets. The greater the ratio, the better funded the retirement plan is. This ratio can be calculated using different measures of the retirement plan's liabilities.

## Funding Basis - Actuarial Accrued Liability

This measure of liabilities is used in calculating pension costs. It uses the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method that spreads costs as a level percentage of payroll over a member's working career.

For determining plan costs, a smoothed value of assets (called the actuarial value) is used. Hence, the actuarial value of assets was used to calculate the funded ratios.

## Disclosure Basis

The accounting standard for disclosure of liabilities and funding status of the System is based on GASB Statement No. 25 (Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans). GASB Statement No. 25 allows the System to disclose its liabilities and funding status on the same bases used for funding the System. The actuarial value of assets is used for comparing assets and liabilities.

## Historical Results

The funded ratios (under the GASB Statement No. 25 standard) for the last ten years are developed in Table 7. These ratios are graphed in Chart 4 on page 28. They show that the funded ratio has generally improved during the beginning of this period, but has deteriorated since July 1, 2000.

Funded ratios change over time due to several factors. These factors include the level of contributions, actual experience (including investment returns), plan amendments and changes in assumptions. In particular, we observe that in 1995, 1999 and 2001 benefit improvements were effective, decreasing the funded ratio from what it would have been otherwise. Also, the actuarial assumptions were changed in 1995, 2000 and 2006, changing the funded ratio from what it would have been otherwise.

TABLE 7

## Funded Ratio for PERS

| As of <br> July 1 | Actuarial <br> Accrued Liability | Actuarial Value <br> of Assets | Funded <br> Ratio |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1997 | $\$ 646,902,000$ | $\$ 704,470,800$ | $108.9 \%$ |
| 1998 | $720,093,400$ | $801,290,400$ | 111.3 |
| 1999 | $842,717,700$ | $916,953,300$ | 108.8 |
| 2000 | $891,889,000$ | $1,027,001,800$ | 115.1 |
| 2001 | $1,008,722,500$ | $1,115,334,400$ | 110.6 |
| 2002 | $1,103,460,900$ | $1,150,000,800$ | 104.2 |
| 2003 | $1,188,830,500$ | $1,166,452,100$ | 98.1 |
| 2004 | $1,272,857,600$ | $1,196,533,100$ | 94.0 |
| 2005 | $1,361,182,100$ | $1,236,114,100$ | 90.8 |
| 2006 | $1,480,456,700$ | $1,314,542,900$ | 88.8 |

Chart 4
Funded Ratio (PERS)


## VIII. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

The actuarial assumptions and cost methods used in the actuarial valuation as of July 1,2006 are the same as those used in the previous valuation. A summary of the actuarial assumptions and cost methods follows. Details can be found in Exhibit II of Appendix A.

## Investment Return

The actuarial calculations are based on the assumption that the investment return on the actuarial value of assets of the System will be $8.00 \%$ per year, net of investment expenses.

## Salary Increases

Because the retirement benefits provided by the plan are based on a member's final average salary, increases in salaries affect the employer's contribution requirements. A salary scale is used in an actuarial valuation to project each member's future salary increases.

For the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, the assumed salary increase is $7.00 \%$ during each of the first five years of service. After five years of service, salary increases are agerelated. Sample age-related annual salary rate increases are as follows:

| Age | Increase |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| 25 | $5.90 \%$ |
| 30 | 5.60 |
| 35 | 5.30 |
| 40 | 5.10 |
| 45 | 4.90 |
| 50 | 4.80 |
| 55 | 4.70 |
| 60 | 4.70 |

For Judges, the assumed salary increase is $5.50 \%$ per year for all years of service.
Actuarial assumptions should be reasonable over the long term and should not be unduly influenced by transitory deviations. Actual salary increases that are greater than assumed produce actuarial losses that, if not offset by actuarial gains from other sources (such as investment gains), result in increasing future employer costs. On the other hand, salary increases that are less than projected produce actuarial gains, which can result in lowering future employer costs.

## Payroll Growth

For the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, the assumed payroll growth rate is $4.50 \%$ per annum. For Judges, the assumed payroll growth rate is $4.00 \%$ per annum.

## Mortality Rates

The reserve required to pay a member's retirement benefits depends on the period over which payments will be received. The valuation uses the 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table with males set back one year for healthy members and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Disabled Life Mortality Table for Individuals Receiving Social Security Disability Benefits for disabled members. The table below shows sample mortality rates and life expectancies underlying the healthy mortality tables.

Retired Members

| Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deaths per 1,000 Lives | Expected Number of Years of Life Remaining | Deaths per 1,000 Lives | Expected Number of Years of Life Remaining |
| 55 | 5.7 | 25.2 | 2.5 | 30.3 |
| 60 | 8.4 | 21.0 | 4.2 | 25.7 |
| 65 | 13.9 | 17.0 | 7.1 | 21.3 |
| 70 | 24.8 | 13.3 | 12.4 | 17.2 |
| 75 | 40.4 | 10.2 | 24.0 | 13.4 |
| 80 | 67.1 | 7.6 | 42.9 | 10.2 |
| 85 | 106.0 | 5.6 | 69.9 | 7.6 |

## Disability Incidence Rates Before Retirement

A percentage of members are assumed to become disabled while in active service. The incidence rates used are based on a study of disability incidence under the Social Security program. To reflect actual experience under the retirement system, $42 \%$ of the Social Security disability incidence rates are used for males and $30 \%$ are used for females.

## Withdrawal Rates Before Retirement

The withdrawal rates used in this actuarial valuation reflect the expected percentage of members who will leave service at each age before retirement for reasons other than death or disability.

For the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, special withdrawal rates are applied during the first five years of service to recognize higher turnover for short service members.

During the first five years of service, Main withdrawal rates vary with age and service as follows:

|  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ | $\underline{5}$ |
| $29 \&$ Under | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| $40 \&$ Over | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 |

After five years of service, Main withdrawal rates vary with age and gender as follows:

| Age | Male | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| $30-34$ | 5.0 | 8.0 |
| $35-39$ | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| $40-44$ | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| $45-49$ | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| $50 \&$ Over | 2.0 | 3.0 |

During the first five years of service, National Guard and Law Enforcement withdrawal rates vary with age and service as follows:

Years of Service

| Age | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ | $\underline{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $29 \&$ Under | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 |
| $40 \&$ Over | 15 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 |

After five years of service, National Guard and Law Enforcement withdrawal rates vary with age and gender as follows:

| Age | $\underline{\text { Male }}$ | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 8 | 10 |
| $30-34$ | 5 | 8 |
| $35-39$ | 4 | 6 |
| $40-44$ | 3 | 5 |
| $45-49$ | 3 | 4 |
| $50 \&$ Over | 2 | 3 |

For Judges, withdrawal rates at each age are:

| Age | Male | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| $30-34$ | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| $35-39$ | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| $40-44$ | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| $45-49$ | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| $50 \&$ Over | 1.0 | 1.5 |

Withdrawal rates end upon the earlier of early retirement and Rule of 85 (Main System and Judges) eligibility.

## Retirement

The retirement rates reflect the expected percentage of members who will retire at each age. For Main System members, the rates vary with age, as follows:

|  | Rule of 85 | All Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Eligible Rate | Retirements |
| 55 | 4\% | 4\% |
| 56 | 6 | 4 |
| 57 | 6 | 4 |
| 58 | 6 | 4 |
| 59 | 6 | 4 |
| 60 | 8 | 6 |
| 61 | 15 | 12 |
| 62 | 35 | 25 |
| 63 | 25 | 20 |
| 64 | 25 | 20 |
| 65 | 40 | 30 |
| 66 | 20 | 20 |
| 67 | 20 | 20 |
| 68 | 20 | 20 |
| 69 | 20 | 20 |
| 70 | 100 | 100 |

The retirement rates for Judges begin at age 62. Thirty-five percent of Judges are assumed to retire at each age from 62 to $64,50 \%$ are assumed to retire at each age from 65 to 69 , and $100 \%$ of the remaining Judges are assumed to retire at age 70.

Retirement for members of the National Guard and Law Enforcement is assumed to occur at age 60.
Retirement for inactive vested members of the Main System and Judges is assumed to occur at the earlier of age 65 and Rule of 85. Retirement for inactive vested members of the National Guard is assumed to occur at age 55. Retirement for inactive vested members of the Law Enforcement is assumed to occur at the earlier of age 55 and Rule of 85 .

Fifty percent of inactive vested Main System and Judges and $100 \%$ of inactive vested National Guard and Law Enforcement are assumed to elect a refund of employee contributions in lieu of a pension benefit.

## Annual Administrative Expenses

Annual administrative expenses for all Systems combined are assumed to be $\$ 720,000$.

## Marital Status for Non-Retired Members

At death, $75 \%$ of male members and $60 \%$ of female members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be five years older than their female spouses.

## Valuation of Assets

Investments are valued at an adjusted market value. Interest and dividends are recognized immediately. The net market appreciation (depreciation) is spread over five years in equal dollar amounts, beginning with the year of occurrence. The actuarial value of assets is the market value less deferred appreciation (depreciation).

## Actuarial Cost Method

The System is funded using the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. This method produces costs that remain relatively level as a percentage of covered payroll.

Under the Entry Age Normal Method, the total contribution requirement has three components - an annual normal cost, an allowance for administrative expenses and a payment with respect to the unfunded/(surplus) actuarial accrued liability. The annual normal cost is calculated for each member as the level percentage of pay required over the member's period of covered employment to pay the total expected benefits. The normal cost is determined as if the current benefit accrual rate had always been in effect. If the actuarial assumptions are met, the total normal cost rate will remain level as a percentage of payroll.

The normal cost payments are sufficient to finance the benefit program only if there are no changes in plan design and all actuarial assumptions are realized. To the extent that actual experience is less favorable than assumed, additional liabilities not funded through normal cost payments arise. Also, benefit liberalizations that improve earned benefits or benefit eligibility produce additional liabilities. The Board has adopted a schedule of amortizing this unfunded/(surplus) actuarial accrued liability over an open period of 20 years. The annual payments are determined as a level percent of payroll, with payroll expected to increase $4.5 \%$ per year for the Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement, and for Judges payroll is expected to increase $4.0 \%$ per year. This method provides payment in constant, or real, dollars.

## APPENDIX A

October 11, 2006

## NORTH DAKOTA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM <br> ACTUARIAL VALUATION CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that we have prepared an Actuarial Valuation of the System as of July 1, 2006 in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

The certificate contains the following attached exhibits:
I. Actuarial Valuation Results
II. Actuarial Assumptions and Cost Methods
III. Changes in Actuarial Assumptions and Cost Methods
IV. Changes in Plan Provisions
V. Summary of Plan Provisions

The valuation was based on information supplied by the Retirement Office with respect to member and financial data. We have not verified, and customarily would not verify, such information but we have no reason to doubt its substantial accuracy.

To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate and in our opinion each individual assumption used (a) is reasonably related to the experience of the System and to reasonable expectations and (b) represents our best estimate of anticipated experience under the System.

The undersigned are available to provide further information or to answer any questions regarding the report.


Thomas D. Levy, FSA, FCIA, MAAA, EA Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary
Mike Moctle

Michael Moehle, FSA, MAAA, EA
Vice President and Actuary

Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA
Consulting Actuary

## EXHIBIT I-A

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

## MAIN SYSTEM

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2006
a. Active members ..... \$914,673,198
b. Special prior service pensions ..... 72,138
c. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 487,798,686
d. Inactive non-retired members ..... 47,569,390
e. Total ..... $\$ 1,450,113,412$
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 1,553,353,995$ at market value) ..... $1,286,478,642$
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... $163,634,770$
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 46,374,326
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... 536,574,504
6. Member normal cost - equals $4 \%$ of (5) ..... 21,462,980
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... $24,911,346$
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... $11,420,115$
9. Administrative expenses ..... 710,000
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... 37,041,461
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5) ..... $6.90 \%$
[^1]
## EXHIBIT I-B

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS <br> JUDGES

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1,2006 :
a. Active members ..... \$12,284,894
b. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 9,154,217
c. Inactive non-retired members ..... 218,650
d. Total ..... $\$ 21,657,761$
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 28,113,536$ at market value) ..... 23,283,465
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... $(1,625,704)$
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 924,800
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... $4,676,506$
6. Member normal cost - equals $5 \%$ of (5) ..... 233,825
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... 690,975
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... $(118,086)$
9. Administrative expenses ..... 5,000
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... 577,889
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5). ..... $12.36 \%$
[^2]
## EXHIBIT I-C

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS <br> NATIONAL GUARD

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2006:
a. Active members ..... \$796,701
b. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 673,487
c. Inactive non-retired members ..... 91,141
d. Total ..... \$1,561,329
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 1,912,469$ at market value) ..... 1,583,896
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... (22,567)
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 110,088
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... $1,371,485$
6. Member normal cost - equals $4 \%$ of (5) ..... 54,859
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... 55,229
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... $(1,575)$
9. Administrative expenses ..... 1,417
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... 55,071
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5). ..... 4.02\%
[^3]
## EXHIBIT I-D

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

## LAW ENFORCEMENT WITH PRIOR MAIN SERVICE

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2006:
a. Active members ..... \$6,918,760
b. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 0
c. Inactive non-retired members ..... 82,405
d. Total ..... $\$ 7,001,165$
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 3,771,742$ at market value) ..... 3,123,735
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... 3,877,430
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 364,788
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... 3,973,958
6. Member normal cost - equals $4 \%$ of (5) ..... 158,958
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... 205,830
8. Amortization payment - equals 20 -year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... 270,607
9. Administrative expenses ..... 3,209
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... 479,646
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5) ..... $12.07 \%$
[^4]
## EXHIBIT I-E

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

## LAW ENFORCEMENT WITHOUT PRIOR MAIN SERVICE

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2006:
a. Active members ..... \$123,034
b. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 0
c. Inactive non-retired members ..... 0
d. Total ..... \$123,034
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 88,345$ at market value) ..... 73,167
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... 49,867
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 42,977
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... 409,698
6. Member normal cost - equals $4 \%$ of (5) ..... 16,388
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... 26,589
8. Amortization payment - equals 20 -year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... 3,480
9. Administrative expenses ..... 374
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... 30,443
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5). ..... $7.43 \%$
[^5]
## EXHIBIT I-F

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS <br> MAIN SYSTEM, JUDGES, NATIONAL GUARD AND LAW ENFORCEMENT PLAN COMBINED

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2006:
a. Active members ..... \$934,796,587
b. Special prior service pensions ..... 72,138
c. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 497,626,390
d. Inactive non-retired members ..... 47,961,586
e. Total ..... $. \$ 1,480,456,701$
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 1,587,240,087$ at market value) ..... 1,314,542,905
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... $165,913,796$
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 47,816,979
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... 547,006,151
6. Member normal cost ..... 21,927,010
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... $25,889,969$
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... 11,574,541
9. Administrative expenses ..... 720,000
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... 38,184,510
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5) ..... 6.98\%
[^6]
## EXHIBIT II

## ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

## 1. Mortality Tables:

Healthy: 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table, set back one year for males (not set back for females).

Disabled: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Disabled Life Mortality Table for Individuals Receiving Social Security Disability Benefits.

## 2. Disability Incidence Rates:

| Before age 65: | Males | $42 \%$ of OASDI disability incidence rates. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Females | $30 \%$ of OASDI disability incidence rates. |

Age 65 and later: Males $0.25 \%$ per year.
Females $0.35 \%$ per year.
Sample rates are as follows:

| Age |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 |  | $0.03 \%$ |  |
| 30 | 0.05 | $0.03 \%$ |  |
| 40 | 0.09 | 0.07 |  |
| 50 | 0.25 | 0.18 |  |
| 60 | 0.68 | 0.49 |  |

## 3. Annual Withdrawal Rates:

Main System:
First five years of service:
Years of Service

| Age | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $29 \&$ Under | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| $40 \&$ Over | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 |

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

Ultimate withdrawal rates after five years service:

| Age | $\underline{\text { Male }}$ | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| $30-34$ | 5.0 | 8.0 |
| $35-39$ | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| $40-44$ | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| $45-49$ | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| $50 \&$ Over | 2.0 | 3.0 |

## National Guard and Law Enforcement:

First five years of service:
Years of Service

| Age | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ | $\underline{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $29 \&$ Under | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 |
| $40 \&$ Over | 15 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 |

Ultimate withdrawal rates after five years service:

| Age | Male | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 8 | 10 |
| $30-34$ | 5 | 8 |
| $35-39$ | 4 | 6 |
| $40-44$ | 3 | 5 |
| $45-49$ | 3 | 4 |
| $50 \&$ Over | 2 | 3 |


| Age | $\underline{\text { Male }}$ | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $20-24$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| $30-34$ | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| $35-39$ | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| $40-44$ | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| $45-49$ | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| $50 \&$ Over | 1.0 | 1.5 |

Withdrawal rates end upon eligibility for early retirement. Early retirement eligibility is as follows:

Main System:
Earlier of (i) age 55 and 3 years of service, and (ii) eligibility for Rule of 85 .
Judges:
Earlier of (i) age 55 and 5 years of service, and (ii) eligibility for Rule of 85 .
National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Age 50 and 3 years of service.

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 4. Retirement Rates for Active Members:

| Main System: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Rule of 85 <br> Eligible Rate | All Other Retirements |
|  | 55 | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 56 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 57 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 58 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 59 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 60 | 8 | 6 |
|  | 61 | 15 | 12 |
|  | 62 | 35 | 25 |
|  | 63 | 25 | 20 |
|  | 64 | 25 | 20 |
|  | 65 | 40 | 30 |
|  | 66 | 20 | 20 |
|  | 67 | 20 | 20 |
|  | 68 | 20 | 20 |
|  | 69 | 20 | 20 |
|  | 70 | 100 | 100 |
| Judges: |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Rate |  |
|  | 62 | 35\% |  |
|  | 63 | 35 |  |
|  | 64 | 35 |  |
|  | 65 | 50 |  |
|  | 66 | 50 |  |
|  | 67 | 50 |  |
|  | 68 | 50 |  |
|  | 69 | 50 |  |
|  | 70 | 100 |  |
| National Guard and Law Enforcement: |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Rate |  |
|  | 60 | 100\% |  |

60 ..... 100\%

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 5. Retirement Age for Inactive Vested Members:

Main System and Judges:
The earlier of:

- Age 65.
- Unreduced retirement date for each individual.

National Guard:
Age 55.

## Law Enforcement:

The earlier of:

- Age 55.
- Unreduced retirement date for each individual.

6. Interest Rate:
$8.00 \%$ per annum, net of investment expenses.

## 7. Annual Administrative Expenses:

Main System: $\quad \$ 710,000$.
Judges: $\quad \$ 5,000$.
National Guard and Law Enforcement combined: $\quad \$ 5,000$.

## 8. Salary Scale:

Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Less than five years of service: $7.00 \%$ per annum.
Five or more years of service (sample rates are as follows):

| Age | Percentage <br> Increase | Age | Percentage <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | $5.90 \%$ | 45 | $4.90 \%$ |
| 30 | 5.60 | 50 | 4.80 |
| 35 | 5.30 | 55 | 4.70 |
| 40 | 5.10 | 60 | 4.70 |

$5.50 \%$ per annum for all years of service.

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 9. Payroll Growth:

Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement: 4.50\% per annum. Judges: $\quad 4.00 \%$ per annum.

## 10. Marital Status:

At death, $75 \%$ of active male members and $60 \%$ of active female members are assumed to have spouses. For the Main system, males are assumed to be four years older than their female spouses. For all other systems, males are assumed to be five years older than their female spouses.

## 11. Part-Time Employees:

One full year of service is credited for each future year of service.

## 12. Split Service:

Liabilities are held in both plans based on service in each plan and are based on the actuarial assumptions of the plan in which they are currently active.

## 13. Actuarial Cost Method:

Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized in installments increasing by the payroll growth assumption each year over an open 20 -year period.

## 14. Actuarial Value of Assets:

Adjusted market value that immediately recognizes interest and dividends. The procedure recognizes $20 \%$ of each year's total appreciation (depreciation) beginning with the year of occurrence. After five years, the appreciation (depreciation) is fully recognized.
15. Social Security Disability (for Judges' disability benefit offset):

Eligibility: $50 \%$
Consumer Price Index Increases: $3.5 \%$ per annum
Wage Base Increases: $5.0 \%$ per annum

## 16. Workers' Compensation (for Judges' disability benefit offset):

None assumed.

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 17. Account Balance Due to Vested Employer Contribution (PEP):

Participation

| Under Chapter 54-52.2: $\quad$ If not elected: $50 \%$ of active members of the Main System, |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | If elected: $\quad$National Guard and Law Enforcement. <br> $100 \%$ of active members of the Main System, <br> National Guard and Law Enforcement. |
|  |  |

Contribution: Maximum allowed based on service at the beginning of the Plan year.

## EXHIBIT III

## CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

There following actuarial assumptions were changed since the preceding valuation as a result of the actuarial experience study conducted in 2005:

The inflation assumption was changed from $4.5 \%$ to $3.5 \%$.
The mortality assumption for males was changed from 1983 GAM to 1983 GAM with a one year set back.

The withdrawal assumptions for Main were lowered during the five-year select period and the ultimate period for males and females at most ages.

The retirement rates for Main were split by eligibility for Rule of 85 and other retirements.
The assumption for spouse ages for the Main system was changed from males being five years older than females to males being four years older than females.

## EXHIBIT IV

## CHANGES IN PLAN PROVISIONS

There were no changes in plan provisions since the preceding valuation.

## EXHIBIT V

## SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System as included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be, interpreted as a complete statement of all plan provisions.

## 1. Normal Service Retirement:

Eligibility:
Main System and Judges:
Attainment of age 65 , or age plus service equal to at least 85 (Rule of 85).
National Guard:
Attainment of age 55 and three consecutive years of service.
Law Enforcement:
Attainment of age 55 and three consecutive years of service, or age plus service equal to at least 85 (Rule of 85).

Benefit:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
$2.00 \%$ of final average salary multiplied by service.

## Judges:

$3.50 \%$ of final average salary for each of the first ten years of service, $2.80 \%$ for each of the next ten years of service, and $1.25 \%$ for service in excess of twenty years.

## 2. Early Service Retirement:

Eligibility:
Main System:
Attainment of age 55 with three years of service.
Judges:
Attainment of age 55 with five years of service.

## EXHIBIT V (continued)

## National Guard and Law Enforcement:

Attainment of age 50 with three years of service.
Benefit:

Main System:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 65 (or Rule of 85 , if earlier) is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before the earlier of age 65 or the age at which the Rule of 85 is met.

## Judges:

The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 65 (or Rule of 85 , if earlier) is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before age 65 .

## National Guard:

The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 55 is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before age 55 .

## Law Enforcement:

The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 55 (or Rule of 85 , if earlier) is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before age 55 .

## 3. Disability Benefit:

Eligibility:
Six months of service and inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity.
Benefit:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
$25 \%$ of the member's final average salary at disability, with a minimum of $\$ 100$ per month.

## Judges:

$70 \%$ of the member's final average salary at disability minus Social Security and Workers' Compensation benefits paid.

## EXHIBIT V (continued)

## 4. Deferred Vested Retirement:

Eligibility:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Three years of service.
Judges:
Five years of service.

## Benefit:

Main System and Judges:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit payable at age 65 or the Rule of 85 , if earlier. Reduced early retirement benefits can be elected upon attainment of age 55 .

National Guard:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit payable at age 55. Reduced early retirement benefits can be elected upon attainment of age 50 .

Law Enforcement:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit payable at age 55 or the Rule of 85 , if earlier. Reduced early retirement benefit can be selected upon attainment of age 50 .

## 5. Pre-Retirement Death Benefits:

Eligibility:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Three years of service.
Judges:
Five years of service.

## EXHIBIT V (continued)

Benefit:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
One of the following options:

- Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.
- The member's accrued benefit payable for 240 months to the surviving spouse.
- $50 \%$ of the member's accrued benefit (not reduced on account of age) payable for the surviving spouse's lifetime.
- Continuation portion of $100 \%$ joint and survivor annuity (only if participant was eligible for normal retirement).
- A partial lump sum payment in addition to the one of the annuity options above.


## Judges:

One of the following options:

- Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.
- $100 \%$ of the member's accrued benefit (not reduced on account of age) payable for the spouse's lifetime.

Eligibility:
Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Not vested nor a surviving spouse.
Benefit:
Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.

## 6. Refund of Member Contributions:

Paid to terminated non-vested members and terminated vested members who chose refund in lieu of a monthly retirement benefit.

## EXHIBIT V (continued)

## 7. Accumulated Member Contributions:

Member contributions accumulate with interest at the following rates:

## Time Period

Through June 30, 1981
July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1986
After June 30, 1986

Per Annum Interest Rate
5.0\%
$6.0 \%$
$0.5 \%$ less than the actuarial interest rate assumption

## 8. Standard and Optional Forms of Payment:

Standard form of payment:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Monthly benefit for life with a refund to beneficiary at death of the remaining balance (if any) of accumulated member contributions.

## Judges:

Monthly benefit for life, with $50 \%$ payable to an eligible survivor.
Optional forms of payment:
Life annuity (for Judges), $50 \%$ joint and survivor annuity (for Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement), $100 \%$ joint and survivor annuity (with pop-up feature), five-year certain and life annuity, ten-year certain and life annuity, or a level Social Security income annuity.

## 9. Final Average Salary:

Average of the highest salary received by the member for any 36 consecutive months employed during the last 120 months of employment.

## EXHIBIT V (continued)

## 10. Contributions:

Contribution rates specified in the Century Code (except employer rate for National Guard and Law Enforcement); differ between permanent full-time employees and part-time temporary employees. Rates are as follows:

|  | Full-Time <br> Employees |  | Employer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main System | $4.00 \%$ |  |  |
| Judges | $5.00 \%$ |  | $14.52 \%$ |
| National Guard | $4.00 \%$ |  | $8.33 \%$ |
| Law Enforcement with prior Main service | $4.00 \%$ |  | $8.31 \%$ |
| Law Enforcement without prior Main service | $4.00 \%$ |  | $6.43 \%$ |

Part-time employees in the Main System contribute $8.12 \%$, with no employer contributions.
Effective January 1, 2000:
A member's account balance includes vested employer contributions equal to the member's contributions to the deferred compensation Plan under chapter 54-52.2. The vested employer contribution may not exceed:

1. For months one through 12 of service credit, $\$ 25$ or $1 \%$ of the member's monthly salary, whichever is greater.
2. For months 13 through 24 of service credit, $\$ 25$ or $2 \%$ of the member's monthly salary, whichever is greater.
3. For months 25 through 36 of service credit, $\$ 25$ or $3 \%$ of the member's monthly salary, whichever is greater.
4. For service exceeding 36 months, $\$ 25$ or $4 \%$ of the member's monthly salary, whichever is greater.
5. The vested employer contribution may not exceed $4 \%$ of the member's monthly salary.

Vested employer contributions are credited monthly to the member's account balance.

## 11. Rollovers:

The fund may accept rollovers from other qualified plans under rules adopted by the Board for the purchase of additional service credit.

## APPENDIX B: CENSUS TABLES

I. Active MembersTable
(A) Number of active members grouped by nearest age and years of employment:
Males ..... 8-A
Females ..... 8-B
All ..... 8-C
(B) Number of active members grouped by nearest age and salary:
Males ..... 9-A
Females ..... 9-B
All ..... $9-\mathrm{C}$
II. Current Plan Pensions
(A) Distribution of pensions awarded during the year by amount of benefit and type of pension:
Males ..... 10-A
Females ..... 10-B
All ..... $10-\mathrm{C}$
(B) Distribution of pensions awarded during the year by nearest age and type of pension:
Males ..... 11-A
Females ..... 11-B
All ..... 11-C
(C) Distribution of pensions in force by amount of benefit and type of pension:
Males ..... 12-A
Females ..... 12-B
All ..... 12-C
(D) Distribution of pensions in force by nearest age and type of pension:
Males ..... 13-A
Females ..... 13-B
All ..... 13-C
III. Special Prior Service Pensions
(A) Distribution of pensions in force by amount of benefit:
Males ..... 14-A
Females ..... 14-B
All ..... 14-C
(B) Distribution of pensions in force by nearest age:
Males ..... 15-A
Females ..... 15-B
All ..... 15-C

TABLE 8-A

Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age and Years of Employment in PERS
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35 \&$ Over |
| Total | 7,081 | 2,387 | 1,487 | 894 | 843 | 632 | 519 | 214 | 105 |
| Under 20 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $20-24$ | 183 | 183 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $25-29$ | 454 | 388 | 66 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $30-34$ | 571 | 315 | 216 | 40 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $35-39$ | 672 | 271 | 218 | 135 | 48 | - | - | - | - |
| $40-44$ | 837 | 289 | 184 | 168 | 144 | 52 | - | - | - |
| $45-49$ | 1,092 | 299 | 204 | 141 | 187 | 150 | 107 | 4 | - |
| $50-54$ | 1,227 | 240 | 229 | 149 | 174 | 161 | 193 | 78 | 3 |
| $55-59$ | 1,141 | 216 | 170 | 134 | 161 | 163 | 164 | 90 | 43 |
| $60-64$ | 621 | 117 | 131 | 87 | 81 | 79 | 43 | 37 | 46 |
| $65-69$ | 193 | 44 | 47 | 24 | 33 | 24 | 9 | 3 | 9 |
| $70-74$ | 58 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $75 \&$ Over | 29 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |

TABLE 8-B

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006 by Nearest Age and Years of Employment in PERS

(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35 \&$ Over |
| Total | 11,021 | 4,050 | 2,520 | 1,427 | 1,227 | 909 | 598 | 217 | 73 |
| Under 20 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $20-24$ | 212 | 210 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $25-29$ | 696 | 606 | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $30-34$ | 788 | 475 | 275 | 37 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| $35-39$ | 1,021 | 510 | 292 | 153 | 64 | 2 | - | - | - |
| $40-44$ | 1,503 | 627 | 371 | 191 | 181 | 122 | 11 | - | - |
| $45-49$ | 2,042 | 617 | 510 | 303 | 227 | 216 | 156 | 13 | - |
| $50-54$ | 2,087 | 496 | 461 | 345 | 282 | 190 | 197 | 113 | - |
| $55-59$ | 1,575 | 300 | 322 | 250 | 262 | 212 | 134 | 55 | 40 |
| $60-64$ | 787 | 132 | 130 | 104 | 161 | 134 | 79 | 27 | 20 |
| $65-69$ | 207 | 39 | 53 | 27 | 37 | 24 | 15 | 6 | 6 |
| $70-74$ | 77 | 28 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $75 \&$ Over | 22 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - |

TABLE 8-C

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006 by Nearest Age and Years of Employment in PERS

(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35 \&$ Over |  |  |
| Total | 18,102 | 6,437 | 4,007 | 2,321 | 2,070 | 1,541 | 1,117 | 431 | 178 |  |  |
|  | Under 20 | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $20-24$ | 395 | 393 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 1,150 | 994 | 156 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 1,359 | 790 | 491 | 77 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| $35-39$ | 1,693 | 781 | 510 | 288 | 112 | 2 | - | - | - |  |  |
| $40-44$ | 2,340 | 916 | 555 | 359 | 325 | 174 | 11 | - | - |  |  |
| $45-49$ | 3,134 | 916 | 714 | 444 | 414 | 366 | 263 | 17 | - |  |  |
| $50-54$ | 3,314 | 736 | 690 | 494 | 456 | 351 | 390 | 191 | 6 |  |  |
| $55-59$ | 2,716 | 516 | 492 | 384 | 423 | 375 | 298 | 145 | 83 |  |  |
| $60-64$ | 1,408 | 249 | 261 | 191 | 242 | 213 | 122 | 64 | 66 |  |  |
| $65-69$ | 400 | 83 | 100 | 51 | 70 | 48 | 24 | 9 | 15 |  |  |
| $70-74$ | 135 | 43 | 21 | 26 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  |
| $75 \&$ Over | 51 | 13 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |

TABLE 9-A

## Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006 <br> by Nearest Age and Salary

(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Less than $\$ 10,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000- \\ & \$ 14,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000- \\ & \$ 19,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 20,000- \\ & \$ 24,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000- \\ & \$ 29,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 30,000- \\ & \$ 34,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000- \\ & \$ 39,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,000- \\ & \$ 44,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 45,000- \\ & \$ 49,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \\ \text { \& Over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 7,081 | 177 | 252 | 510 | 1,023 | 1,370 | 1,210 | 824 | 544 | 414 | 757 |
| Under 20 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| 20-24 | 183 | 10 | 11 | 40 | 55 | 31 | 24 | 8 | 3 | - | 1 |
| 25-29 | 454 | 9 | 22 | 41 | 78 | 118 | 88 | 58 | 27 | 9 | 4 |
| 30-34 | 571 | 10 | 10 | 48 | 69 | 138 | 136 | 68 | 40 | 26 | 26 |
| 35-39 | 672 | 16 | 11 | 46 | 92 | 143 | 108 | 100 | 52 | 44 | 60 |
| 40-44 | 837 | 9 | 18 | 57 | 128 | 191 | 127 | 92 | 61 | 67 | 87 |
| 45-49 | 1,092 | 18 | 30 | 69 | 164 | 187 | 187 | 131 | 97 | 61 | 148 |
| 50-54 | 1,227 | 24 | 28 | 64 | 152 | 217 | 218 | 152 | 107 | 91 | 174 |
| 55-59 | 1,141 | 27 | 28 | 75 | 154 | 210 | 200 | 128 | 84 | 73 | 162 |
| 60-64 | 621 | 18 | 41 | 42 | 89 | 107 | 96 | 67 | 54 | 32 | 75 |
| 65-69 | 193 | 18 | 26 | 19 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 10 | 15 |
| 70-74 | 58 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 12 | - | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 75 \& Over | 29 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - |

TABLE 9-B

Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006 by Nearest Age and Salary
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Less than $\$ 10,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000- \\ & \$ 14,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000- \\ & \$ 19,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 20,000- \\ & \$ 24,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000- \\ & \$ 29,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 30,000- \\ & \$ 34,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000- \\ & \$ 39,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,000- \\ & \$ 44,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 45,000- \\ & \$ 49,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000 \\ & \& \text { Over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 11,021 | 452 | 1,345 | 1,929 | 2,159 | 1,939 | 1,328 | 781 | 463 | 272 | 353 |
| Under 20 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20-24 | 212 | 18 | 51 | 75 | 34 | 26 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 25-29 | 696 | 36 | 83 | 156 | 156 | 134 | 73 | 40 | 12 | 4 | 2 |
| 30-34 | 788 | 43 | 75 | 111 | 169 | 148 | 102 | 69 | 33 | 19 | 19 |
| 35-39 | 1,021 | 48 | 141 | 158 | 158 | 204 | 122 | 77 | 59 | 24 | 30 |
| 40-44 | 1,503 | 70 | 195 | 245 | 291 | 274 | 182 | 96 | 59 | 43 | 48 |
| 45-49 | 2,042 | 83 | 264 | 377 | 379 | 312 | 254 | 141 | 94 | 59 | 79 |
| 50-54 | 2,087 | 68 | 226 | 347 | 398 | 340 | 315 | 160 | 97 | 50 | 86 |
| 55-59 | 1,575 | 32 | 153 | 269 | 349 | 297 | 171 | 125 | 72 | 47 | 60 |
| 60-64 | 787 | 17 | 91 | 137 | 167 | 158 | 83 | 58 | 32 | 23 | 21 |
| 65-69 | 207 | 14 | 47 | 36 | 35 | 40 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| 70-74 | 77 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 18 | 6 | 7 | 5 | - | - | 1 |
| 75 \& Over | 22 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ | 1 | - |

TABLE 9-C

Census of Members in Active Service on July I, 2006
by Nearest Age and Salary
(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Less than $\$ 10,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000- \\ & \$ 14,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000- \\ & \$ 19,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 20,000- \\ & \$ 24,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000- \\ & \$ 29,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 30,000- \\ & \$ 34,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000- \\ & \$ 39,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,000- \\ & \$ 44,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 45,000- \\ & \$ 49,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \\ \& \text { Over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 18,102 | 629 | 1,597 | 2,439 | 3,182 | 3,309 | 2,538 | 1,605 | 1,007 | 686 | 1,110 |
| Under 20 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20-24 | 395 | 28 | 62 | 115 | 89 | 57 | 30 | 9 | 3 | - | 2 |
| 25-29 | 1,150 | 45 | 105 | 197 | 234 | 252 | 161 | 98 | 39 | 13 | 6 |
| 30-34 | 1,359 | 53 | 85 | 159 | 238 | 286 | 238 | 137 | 73 | 45 | 45 |
| 35-39 | 1,693 | 64 | 152 | 204 | 250 | 347 | 230 | 177 | 111 | 68 | 90 |
| 40-44 | 2,340 | 79 | 213 | 302 | 419 | 465 | 309 | 188 | 120 | 110 | 135 |
| 45-49 | 3,134 | 101 | 294 | 446 | 543 | 499 | 441 | 272 | 191 | 120 | 227 |
| 50-54 | 3,314 | 92 | 254 | 411 | 550 | 557 | 533 | 312 | 204 | 141 | 260 |
| 55-59 | 2,716 | 59 | 181 | 344 | 503 | 507 | 371 | 253 | 156 | 120 | 222 |
| 60-64 | 1,408 | 35 | [32 | 179 | 256 | 265 | 179 | 125 | 86 | 55 | 96 |
| 65-69 | 400 | 32 | 73 | 55 | 62 | 65 | 33 | 24 | 23 | 12 | 21 |
| 70-74 | 135 | 24 | 30 | 15 | 30 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 75 \& Over | 51 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |

TABLE 10-A

Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2006
by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amount | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Rule of 85 |
| Total | 189 | 60 | 45 | 9 | 75 |
| Under $\$ 200$ | 19 | 10 | 9 | - | - |
| $200-399$ | 22 | 10 | 10 | 2 | - |
| $400-599$ | 15 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| $600-799$ | 12 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - |
| $800-999$ | 17 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| $1,000-1,199$ | 24 | 11 | 4 | - | 9 |
| $1,200-1,399$ | 15 | 5 | 1 | - | 9 |
| $1,400-1,599$ | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | 5 |
| $1,600-1,799$ | 8 | 3 | - | - | 5 |
| $1,800-1,999$ | 6 | - | - | - | 6 |
| $2,000-2,199$ | 7 | - | - | - | 7 |
| $2,200-2,399$ | 9 | - | 2 | - | 7 |
| $2,400-2,599$ | 5 | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| $2,600-2,799$ | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| $2,800-2,999$ | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| $3,000-3,199$ | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $3,200-3,399$ | 5 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| $3,400-3,599$ | 1 | - | - | - | 4 |
| $3,600-3,799$ | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $3,800-3,999$ | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $4,000-4,199$ | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $4,200-4,399$ | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $4,400-4,599$ | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $4,600-4,799$ | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $4,800-4,999$ | 1 | $-000 \& 0 v e r$ | 1 | - | - |
|  |  | - | - | - | 1 |

TABLE 10-B

Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2006
by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amount | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Rule of 85 |
| Total | 240 | 61 | 76 | 12 | 91 |
| Under $\$ 200$ | 31 | 10 | 21 | - | - |
| $200-399$ | 48 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 2 |
| $400-599$ | 39 | 11 | 23 | 4 | 1 |
| $600-799$ | 16 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| $800-999$ | 20 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| $1,000-1,199$ | 25 | 6 | 1 | - | 18 |
| $1,200-1,399$ | 18 | 2 | - | - | 16 |
| $1,400-1,599$ | 11 | - | - | - | 11 |
| $1,600-1,799$ | 8 | - | - | - | 8 |
| $1,800-1,999$ | 6 | - | - | - | 6 |
| $2,000-2,199$ | 4 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| $2,200-2,399$ | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| $2,400-2,599$ | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| $2,600-2,799$ | 3 | - | - | - | 4 |
| $2,800-2,999$ | 1 | - | - | - | 3 |
| $3,000-3,199$ | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $3,200-3,399$ | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| $3,400-3,599$ | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| $3,600-3,799$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $3,800-3,999$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4,000-4,199$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4,200-4,399$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4,400-4,599$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4,600-4,799$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4,800-4,999$ | $-000 \& 0 v e r$ | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE 10-C

Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2006
by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Disability | Rule of 85 |
| Total | 429 | 121 | 121 | 21 | 166 |
| Under \$200 | 50 | 20 | 30 | - | - |
| 200-399 | 70 | 30 | 33 | 5 | 2 |
| 400-599 | 54 | 13 | 31 | 8 | 2 |
| 600-799 | 28 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 3 |
| 800-999 | 37 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 14 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 49 | 17 | 5 | - | 27 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 33 | 7 | 1 | - | 25 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 19 | 2 | 1 | - | 16 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 16 | 3 | - | - | 13 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 12 | - | - | - | 12 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 11 | 1 | - | - | 10 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 13 | - | 2 | - | 11 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 9 | - | 1 | - | 8 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 3 | - | - | - | 3 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 5 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5,000 \& Over | - | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE 11-A

## Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2006

by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Rule of 85 |  |
| Total | 189 | 60 | 45 | 9 | 75 |  |
| Under 50 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - |  |
| $50-54$ | 4 | - | - | 2 | 2 |  |
| $55-59$ | 43 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 26 |  |
| $60-64$ | 75 | 1 | 29 | 3 | 42 |  |
| $65-69$ | 51 | 42 | 4 | - | 5 |  |
| $70-74$ | 12 | 12 | - | - | - |  |
| $75 \&$ Over | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |  |

TABLE 11-B

## Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2006

by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Rule of 85 |  |
| Total | 240 | 61 | 76 | 12 | 91 |  |
| Under 50 | 5 | - | - | 5 | - |  |
| $50-54$ | 13 | - | - | 3 | 10 |  |
| $55-59$ | 37 | - | 11 | 2 | 24 |  |
| $60-64$ | 116 | - | 61 | 2 | 53 |  |
| $65-69$ | 53 | 45 | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| $70-74$ | 9 | 9 | - | - | - |  |
| $75 \&$ Over | 7 | 7 | - | - | - |  |

TABLE 11-C

Pensions Awarded During the Year Ended June 30, 2006
by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Rule of 85 |
| Total | 429 | 121 | 121 | 21 | 166 |
| Under 50 | 7 | - | - | 7 | - |
| $50-54$ | 17 | - | - | 5 | 12 |
| $55-59$ | 80 | 3 | 23 | 4 | 50 |
| $60-64$ | 191 | 1 | 90 | 5 | 95 |
| $65-69$ | 104 | 87 | 8 | - | 9 |
| $70-74$ | 21 | 21 | - | - | - |
| $75 \&$ Over | 9 | 9 | - | - | - |

TABLE 12-A

Current Plan Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006
by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amount | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Service $^{*}$ |
| Total | 2,491 | 850 | 598 | 149 | 894 |
| Under $\$ 200$ | 263 | 152 | 92 | 11 | 8 |
| $200-399$ | 417 | 176 | 174 | 59 | 8 |
| $400-599$ | 342 | 128 | 153 | 49 | 12 |
| $600-799$ | 222 | 102 | 84 | 16 | 20 |
| $800-999$ | 187 | 67 | 38 | 9 | 73 |
| $1,000-1,199$ | 214 | 65 | 24 | 3 | 122 |
| $1,200-1,399$ | 179 | 39 | 10 | - | 130 |
| $1,400-1,599$ | 114 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 83 |
| $1,600-1,799$ | 106 | 23 | 2 | - | 81 |
| $1,800-1,999$ | 77 | 13 | 3 | - | 61 |
| $2,000-2,199$ | 72 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 62 |
| $2,200-2,399$ | 76 | 11 | 6 | - | 59 |
| $2,400-2,599$ | 52 | 4 | 1 | - | 47 |
| $2,600-2,799$ | 46 | 10 | 1 | - | 35 |
| $2,800-2,999$ | 26 | 8 | 1 | - | 17 |
| $3,000-3,199$ | 22 | 1 | - | - | 21 |
| $3,200-3,399$ | 23 | 2 | 1 | - | 20 |
| $3,400-3,599$ | 8 | - | 1 | - | 7 |
| $3,600-3,799$ | 5 | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| $3,800-3,999$ | 9 | 2 | - | - | 7 |
| $4,000-4,199$ | 6 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| $4,200-4,399$ | 10 | 4 | - | - | 6 |
| $4,400-4,599$ | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| $4,600-4,799$ | 5 | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| $4,800-4,999$ | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |
| $5,000 \& 0 v e r$ | 4 |  |  |  | - |

[^7]TABLE 12-B

Current Plan Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006
by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Disability | Service* |
| Total | 3,080 | 998 | 1,201 | 184 | 697 |
| Under \$200 | 547 | 202 | 327 | 14 | 4 |
| 200-399 | 857 | 304 | 474 | 75 | 4 |
| 400-599 | 557 | 211 | 266 | 61 | 19 |
| 600-799 | 317 | 125 | 84 | 28 | 80 |
| 800-999 | 251 | 62 | 31 | 5 | 153 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 179 | 40 | 14 | 1 | 124 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 120 | 25 | 3 | - | 92 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 77 | 11 | 1 | - | 65 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 64 | 8 | 1 | - | 55 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 33 | 1 | - | - | 32 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 31 | 4 | - | - | 27 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 15 | 1 | - | - | 14 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 9 | - | - | - | 9 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 5 | - | - | - | 5 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 3,200-3,399 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 3,800-3,999 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 4,000-4,199 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4,200-4,399 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 4,400-4,599 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4,600-4,799 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4,800-4,999 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5,000 \& Over | - | - | - | - | - |

[^8]TABLE 12-C

Current Plan Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006
by Type of Pension and Monthly Amount
(All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

| Monthly <br> Amount | Total | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Early | Disability | Service* |
| Total | 5,571 | 1,848 | 1,799 | 333 | 1,591 |
| Under \$ 200 | 810 | 354 | 419 | 25 | 12 |
| 200-399 | 1,274 | 480 | 648 | 134 | 12 |
| 400-599 | 899 | 339 | 419 | 110 | 31 |
| 600-799 | 539 | 227 | 168 | 44 | 100 |
| 800-999 | 438 | 129 | 69 | 14 | 226 |
| 1,000-1,199 | 393 | 105 | 38 | 4 | 246 |
| 1,200-1,399 | 299 | 64 | 13 | - | 222 |
| 1,400-1,599 | 191 | 35 | 7 | 1 | 148 |
| 1,600-1,799 | 170 | 31 | 3 | - | 136 |
| 1,800-1,999 | 110 | 14 | 3 | - | 93 |
| 2,000-2,199 | 103 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 89 |
| 2,200-2,399 | 91 | 12 | 6 | - | 73 |
| 2,400-2,599 | 60 | 4 | 1 | - | 55 |
| 2,600-2,799 | 55 | 10 | 1 | - | 44 |
| 2,800-2,999 | 31 | 8 | 1 | - | 22 |
| 3,000-3,199 | 23 | 2 | - | - | 21 |
| 3,200-3,399 | 27 | 3 | 1 | - | 23 |
| 3,400-3,599 | 9 | - | 1 | - | 8 |
| 3,600-3,799 | 6 | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| 3,800-3,999 | 11 | 3 | - | - | 8 |
| 4,000-4,199 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| 4,200-4,399 | 11 | 4 | - | - | 7 |
| 4,400-4,599 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| 4,600-4,799 | 5 | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| 4,800-4,999 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| 5,000 \& Over | 4 | 2 | - | - | 2 |

[^9]TABLE 13-A

## Current Plan Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006

 by Type of Pension and Nearest Age(Males - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Service* |
| Total | 2,491 | 850 | 598 | 149 | 894 |
| Under 50 | 17 | - | - | 17 | - |
| $50-54$ | 23 | - | - | 14 | 9 |
| $55-59$ | 157 | 3 | 24 | 35 | 95 |
| $60-64$ | 306 | 2 | 85 | 26 | 193 |
| $65-69$ | 529 | 134 | 135 | 16 | 244 |
| $70-74$ | 528 | 182 | 121 | 24 | 201 |
| $75-79$ | 416 | 197 | 109 | 9 | 101 |
| $80-84$ | 292 | 168 | 69 | 7 | 48 |
| $85-89$ | 163 | 111 | 48 | 1 | 3 |
| $90 \&$ Over | 60 | 53 | 7 | - | - |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 13-B

Current Plan Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006 by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
(Females - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Service* |
| Total | 3,080 | 998 | 1,201 | 184 | 697 |
| Under 50 | 27 | - | - | 26 | 1 |
| $50-54$ | 47 | - | - | 25 | 22 |
| $55-59$ | 137 | - | 36 | 30 | 71 |
| $60-64$ | 393 | - | 172 | 36 | 185 |
| $65-69$ | 691 | 157 | 285 | 32 | 217 |
| $70-74$ | 626 | 201 | 276 | 19 | 130 |
| $75-79$ | 504 | 222 | 226 | 8 | 48 |
| $80-84$ | 362 | 199 | 133 | 7 | 23 |
| $85-89$ | 204 | 146 | 57 | 1 | - |
| $90 \&$ Over | 89 | 73 | 16 | - | - |

* Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

TABLE 13-C

## Current Plan Pensions in Force on July I, 2006

 by Type of Pension and Nearest Age
## (All Members - Main System, Judges, National Guard, and Law Enforcement)

|  |  | Type of Pension |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Normal | Early | Disability | Service $^{*}$ |
| Total | 5,571 | 1,848 | 1,799 | 333 | 1,591 |
| Under 50 | 44 | - | - | 43 | 1 |
| $50-54$ | 70 | - | - | 39 | 31 |
| $55-59$ | 294 | 3 | 60 | 65 | 166 |
| $60-64$ | 699 | 2 | 257 | 62 | 378 |
| $65-69$ | 1,220 | 291 | 420 | 48 | 461 |
| $70-74$ | 1,154 | 383 | 397 | 43 | 331 |
| $75-79$ | 920 | 419 | 335 | 17 | 149 |
| $80-84$ | 654 | 367 | 202 | 14 | 71 |
| $85-89$ | 367 | 257 | 105 | 2 | 3 |
| $90 \&$ Over | 149 | 126 | 23 | - | - |

[^10]TABLE 14-A

Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006 by Monthly Amount

| (Males) |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Monthly |  |
| Amount | Total |
| Total | 11 |
| Under $\$ 20$ | 2 |
| $20-39$ | 5 |
| $40-59$ | - |
| $60-79$ | 1 |
| $80-99$ | 2 |
| $100-119$ | 1 |
| $120-139$ | - |
| $140-159$ | - |
| $160 \&$ Over | - |

## TABLE 14-B

Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July I, 2006
by Monthly Amount
(Females)

| Monthly |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Amount | Total |
| Total | 37 |
| Under $\$ 20$ | 9 |
| $20-39$ | 13 |
| $40-59$ | 5 |
| $60-79$ | 6 |
| $80-99$ | 2 |
| $100-119$ | - |
| $120-139$ | 1 |
| $140-159$ | - |
| $160 \&$ Over | 1 |

TABLE 14-C

Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July I, 2006
by Monthly Amount
(All Members)

| Monthly |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Amount | Total |
| Total | 48 |
| Under $\$ 20$ | 11 |
| $20-39$ | 18 |
| $40-59$ | 5 |
| $60-79$ | 7 |
| $80-99$ | 4 |
| $100-119$ | 1 |
| $120-139$ | 1 |
| $140-159$ | - |
| $160 \&$ Over | 1 |

TABLE 15-A

Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006 by Nearest Age
(Males)

| Nearest Age | Total |
| :---: | ---: |
| Total | 11 |
| -89 | 3 |
| $90-94$ | 2 |
| $95-99$ | 6 |
| $100 \&$ Over | - |

## TABLE 15-B

Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age

## (Females)

| (Females) |  |
| :---: | ---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Nearest Age | Total |
| Total | 37 |
| $85-89$ | 2 |
| $90-94$ | 18 |
| $95-99$ | 15 |
| $100 \&$ Over | 2 |

## TABLE 15-C

Special Prior Service Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age
(All Members)

|  |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total |
| Total | 48 |
| $85-89$ | 5 |
| $90-94$ | 20 |
| $95-99$ | 21 |
| $100 \&$ Over | 2 |
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Board Members
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Bismarck, North Dakota
Members of the Board:
It is a pleasure to submit this report that presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the North Dakota Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System as of July 1, 2006.

The report's actuarial content was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles under our direction. The valuation was:

- Prepared by qualified actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and who have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems; and
- Prepared in accordance with standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board.

We wish to thank the Retirement Office staff for their cooperation in providing us with the participant census data and financial information necessary to prepare the valuation.

We look forward to meeting with you to review this report and to answering any questions you may have.

Sincerely,


Thomas D. Levy, FSA, FCIA, MAAA, EA
Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary


Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA
Consulting Actuary
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## I. INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of our actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2006. The employer contribution requirements presented in Section VI of the report are based on:

1. The present provisions of the North Dakota Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System;
2. The characteristics of covered active members, inactive non-retired members, pensioners and beneficiaries as of July 1, 2006;
3. The assets of the System as of June 30, 2006; and
4. Actuarial assumptions regarding investment earnings, salary increases, and rates of retirement, disability, death, etc.

The purpose of the actuarial valuation is to determine the contribution sufficient to meet the long-term obligations to the members covered by the North Dakota Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System in accordance with its benefit provisions.

If each of the actuarial assumptions is exactly fulfilled, the true actuarial cost of the System will equal the cost projected by the actuarial calculations. However, this result is never achieved because of the length of time over which projections are made and because of the great number of variables that can affect the emerging costs. The cost, expressed as a percentage of payroll, will increase if the System experiences net actuarial losses and will decrease if the System experiences net actuarial gains.

## II. HIGHLIGHTS

$>$ The present rate of contributions is not sufficient to meet the actuarially determined requirement for 2006-2007, based upon the actuarial assumptions and financing objectives approved by the Board. If unchanged, this difference will further increase the actuarial contribution requirement in future valuations.
$>$ The PERS Board should continue to review these results and projected future performance to determine appropriate measures to mitigate the difference between the actuarial and statutory contribution rates.
$>$ The employer actuarial contribution requirement for 2006-2007 is $\$ 1,082,659$, or $19.03 \%$ of payroll. The statutory rate of $16.70 \%$ of payroll is less than the actuarially determined rate by $2.33 \%$ of payroll. Last year, the actuarially determined rate of $17.61 \%$ exceeded the statutory rate of payroll by $0.91 \%$ of payroll.
$>$ The return on the market value of assets for 2005-2006 was $12.12 \%$, and was $14.25 \%$ for the previous year.
$>$ The return on the actuarial value of assets for 2005-2006 was $8.10 \%$ compared to the investment return assumption of $8.00 \%$. As a result, the System experienced a slight investment gain.
$>$ The ratio of the actuarial value of assets to the market value of assets was $82.8 \%$. Last year, this ratio was $86.3 \%$.
$>$ A comparison of this year's funded ratio to the prior year is as follows:

|  | July 1, 2006 | July 1, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$42,758,360 | \$40,696,900 |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | 49,127,046 | 46,284,400 |
| Funded Ratio | 87.0\% | 87.9\% |

$>$ There were no changes in plan provisions since the preceding valuation.
$>$ The actuarial assumptions were changed since the preceding valuation to reflect the actuarial experience study in 2005. This resulted in an increase in the employer actuarial contribution requirement of $0.70 \%$ of payroll.

## III. MEMBER CHARACTERISTICS

Last year, as of July 1, 2005, there were 125 covered active members, two terminated members eligible for a deferred vested benefit, and two terminated members eligible for a refund of their accumulated contributions. During the year, three members terminated employment and eight members retired. There were 13 new members in fiscal year 2005-2006. Hence, there were 127 active Highway Patrolmen covered under the provisions of the System as of July 1, 2006. The significant age, service, salary and accumulated contribution information for these members is summarized below along with comparative figures from the preceding actuarial valuation.

As of July 1, 2006
As of July 1, 2005
Number of active members


The average service decreased by 1.1 years since last year. For the 114 members continuing in active service from last year, average salaries increased by $5.6 \%$. Distributions of active employees by age, service (excluding service before transfer), and salary are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Four members from the Highway Patrol continue to be active in the Main System; 13 members from the Main System are active members in the Highway Patrol. Liabilities for these members are carried in both systems based on their service in that system.

Four terminated members are eligible for a deferred vested benefit and two terminated members are due a refund.

[^11]TABLE 1
Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age and Years of Employment

|  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | 25 \& Over |
| Total | 127 | 41 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 10 | 12 |
| $20-24$ | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $25-29$ | 21 | 17 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| $30-34$ | 21 | 9 | 9 | 3 | - | - | - |
| $35-39$ | 24 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 5 | - | - |
| $40-44$ | 21 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 2 | - |
| $45-49$ | 20 | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| $50-54$ | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| $55 \&$ over | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE 2

| Nearest Age | Total | Actual Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than $\$ 30,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 30,000- \\ \$ 32,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 33,000- \\ & \$ 35,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 36,000- \\ \$ 38,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 39,000- \\ \$ 41,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 42,000- \\ \$ 44,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 45,000- \\ & \$ 47,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 48,000$ \& Over |
| Total | 127 | 12 | 1 | 20 | 11 | 11 | 37 | 12 | 23 |
| 20-24 | 7 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-29 | 21 | 4 | - | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| 30-34 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 | - |
| 35-39 | 24 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 4 |
| 40-44 | 21 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| 45-49 | 20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| 50-54 | 13 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 55 \& over | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## IV. BENEFIT EXPERIENCE

There were 70 pensioners (including two disableds) and 22 surviving spouses receiving benefits as of July 1, 2005. During the year ended June 30, 2006, nine members were awarded retirement pensions and one retiree had a benefit suspended. Therefore, there were 78 pensioners (including three disableds) and 22 surviving spouses receiving benefits as of July 1, 2006. Key statistics on pensioners and beneficiaries are shown below.
July 1, 2006 ..... July 1, 2005
Number of pensioners and beneficiaries 100 ..... 92
Annualized benefits in force ..... \$2,799,646 ..... \$2,451,578
Average monthly benefit ..... \$2,201 ..... \$2,221
Average age ..... 65.6 ..... 64.3

Tables 3 and 4 summarize the census data for pensioners and beneficiaries. In the year ended June 30,2006 , benefit payments totaled $\$ 2,662,076$. In the year ended June 30, 2005, benefit payments were $\$ 2,447,165$, including refund payments of $\$ 95,601$.

## TABLE 3

Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006
by Type of Recipient and Monthly Amount

| Monthly Amount | Total | Pensioner | Beneficiary | Disabled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 100 | 75 | 22 | 3 |
| Under \$ 200 | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| $200-399$ | 7 | 1 | 6 | - |
| $400-599$ | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| $600-799$ | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| $800-999$ | 6 | - | 6 | - |
| $1,000-1,199$ | 4 | 1 | 3 | - |
| $1,200-1,399$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| $1,400-1,599$ | 2 | - | 1 | 1 |
| $1,600-1,799$ | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| $1,800-1,999$ | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| $2,000-2,199$ | 6 | 5 | - | 1 |
| $2,200-2,399$ | 7 | 6 | - | - |
| $2,400-2,599$ | 10 | 10 | - | - |
| $2,600-2,799$ | 10 | 10 | - | - |
| $2,800-2,999$ | 5 | 5 | - | - |
| $3,000-3,199$ | 9 | 9 | - | - |
| $3,200-3,399$ | 4 | 4 | 7 | - |
| $3,400-3,599$ | 7 | 2 | - | - |
| $3,600-3,799$ | 9 | 9 | - | - |

TABLE 4

Pensions in Force on July 1, 2006
by Type of Recipient and Nearest Age

| Nearest Age | Total | Pensioner | Beneficiary | Disabled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 100 | 75 | 22 | 3 |
| Under 55 | 15 | 11 | 1 | 3 |
| $55-59$ | 20 | 18 | 2 | - |
| $60-64$ | 16 | 14 | 2 | - |
| $65-69$ | 12 | 9 | 3 | - |
| $70-74$ | 15 | 12 | 3 | - |
| $75-79$ | 15 | 8 | 7 | - |
| $80-84$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | - |
| $85-89$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | - |
| $90 \&$ over | - | - | - | - |

## V. ASSETS

## Market Value of Assets

The combined market value of net assets of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) and the Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System (HPRS) was $\$ 1,638,868,514$ as of June 30, 2006 , compared to $\$ 1,480,185,590$ last year. This year's combined market value represents an increase of $10.72 \%$ over the market value one year earlier.

The market value of net assets attributable to the Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System as of July 1,2006 was $\$ 51,628,427$ compared to $\$ 47,179,197$ as of July 1, 2005. The rate of return on the market value basis for the HPRS Fund was $9.43 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2006.

## Actuarial Value of Assets

The actuarial value of assets are determined as follows:
Market appreciation and depreciation are spread over five years beginning with the year of occurrence. Interest and dividends are recognized immediately. This procedure results in recognition of all changes in market value over a five-year period.

The above procedure is applied to the combined assets of PERS and HPRS Retirement Income Funds to determine the combined actuarial value of assets. The combined actuarial value was $\$ 1,357,301,265$ as of June 30,2006 . The determination of the combined actuarial asset value is shown in Table 5. This table shows that there is approximately $\$ 282$ million of appreciation that will be recognized in future years.

Table 6 summarizes the combined investment results over the previous ten-year period. Over this period, the earnings of $\$ 795,296,000$ on an actuarial value basis represented an average annual return of $8.53 \%$. For the 2005-2006 year, the actuarial rate of return on the combined assets was $7.79 \%$.

The total actuarial value of assets is allocated to PERS and HPRS in proportion to the reported market value of assets. This allocation is illustrated in Table 7 and results in an actuarial value of assets for HPRS as of July 1, 2006 of $\$ 42,758,360$. Last year's actuarial value of assets was $\$ 40,696,868$. On an actuarial basis, the rate of return on the HPRS Fund was $8.10 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2006.

Chart 1 on page 12 is a graph showing the historical asset values for the HPRS Fund on both an actuarial and market value basis. A summary of income and disbursements for 2006 and 2005 on the actuarial value basis are given in Table 8 for HPRS. The progress of the HPRS Fund for the last ten years is provided in Table 9. It shows that assets have increased from year to year, although the amount of the increase has varied with fluctuations in investment income. Contributions and benefit payments have increased consistently over the period.

A picture of the financial development of the HPRS Fund over the last ten years is provided in Chart 2 on page 17. It shows that benefit payments and expenses continue to grow at a faster pace than contributions. However, over the long term, the excess of contributions over benefit payments and expenses, along with net investment income, served to increase the assets of the System.

Investment results on the actuarial basis are used to determine whether investment experience is meeting the System's actuarially assumed return. They do not, however, necessarily indicate the relative success of the System's investment program. Comparisons of performance with other funds, investment institutions, and market indices are generally based on rates of return that reflect market changes in full.

## Investment Return

The investment returns for the last ten years for the combined fund are as follows:

| Year Ending June 30 | Market Value | Actuarial Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 19.90 | 13.14 |
| 1998 | 15.65 | 14.02 |
| 1999 | 10.88 | 14.73 |
| 2000 | 9.43 | 13.71 |
| 2001 | (4.47) | 9.36 |
| 2002 | (6.94) | 3.91 |
| 2003 | 5.19 | 2.18 |
| 2004 | 16.65 | 3.16 |
| 2005 | 14.17 | 4.36 |
| 2006 | 12.04 | 7.79 |

The above values demonstrate the fact that the volatility of market value returns is reduced by using an actuarial value of assets. Chart 3 on page 18 illustrates this smoothing effect. By using an actuarial value that reduces the year-to-year fluctuations in investment return, year-to-year fluctuations in contribution requirements are minimized.

Investment return rates on the market value basis included all capital appreciation and depreciation. The returns on the actuarial value reflect only a portion of the capital appreciation and depreciation based on the adopted asset valuation method.

## TABLE 5

Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets (for PERS and HPRS) as of June 30, 2006 and 2005

Of Total Appreciation

|  |  | Of Total Appreciation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30, 2006 |  | June 30, 2005 |  |
| Year Ending | Total Appreciation (Depreciation) | Percent <br> Deferred | Amount Deferred | Percent Deferred | Amount Deferred |
| June 30, 2002 | \$(115,940,982) | 0\% | \$0 | 20\% | \$(23,188,196) |
| June 30, 2003 | 22,232,141 | 20\% | 4,446,428 | 40\% | 8,892,856 |
| June 30, 2004 | 156,289,529 | 40\% | 62,515,812 | 60\% | 93,773,717 |
| June 30, 2005 | 154,870,262 | 60\% | 92,922,157 | 80\% | 123,896,210 |
| June 30, 2006 | 152,103,565 | 80\% | 121,682,852 | N/A | 0 |
| (a) Total Deferred as of Valuation |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) Total Appreciation (Depreciation) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Write-Up/(Down) Amount for the year-equals $20 \%$ of (b) |  |  | 73,910,903 |  | 24,276,806 |
|  |  |  | July 1, 2006 |  | July 1,2005 |
| Market Value of |  |  | \$1,638,868,514 |  | \$1,480,185,590 |
| Less: Deferred Appreciation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  | \$1,357,301,265 |  | \$1,276,811,003 |
| Actuarial Value as a Percent of Market Value |  |  | 82.82\% |  | 86.26\% |



TABLE 6

Summary of Combined Investment Results for PERS and HPRS on Actuarial Value of Assets

| $*$ <br> Year Ended <br> June 30 | Net Interest and <br> Dividend Income* |  | Other Income** |  | Total Net <br> Investment Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| 1997 | $23,919,600$ | 3.73 | $60,428,700$ | 9.41 | $84,348,300$ | 13.14 |
| 1998 | $29,988,300$ | 4.13 | $71,787,700$ | 9.89 | $101,776,000$ | 14.02 |
| 1999 | $31,722,100$ | 3.84 | $89,864,100$ | 10.89 | $121,586,200$ | 14.73 |
| 2000 | $36,367,600$ | 3.88 | $92,244,700$ | 9.83 | $128,612,300$ | 13.71 |
| 2001 | $41,086,800$ | 3.89 | $57,734,900$ | 5.47 | $98,821,700$ | 9.36 |
| 2002 | $35,077,400$ | 3.06 | $9,694,500$ | 0.85 | $44,771,900$ | 3.91 |
| 2003 | $33,595,900$ | 2.84 | $(7,793,200)$ | $(0.66)$ | $25,802,700$ | 2.18 |
| 2004 | $30,464,800$ | 2.54 | $7,398,200$ | 0.62 | $37,863,000$ | 3.16 |
| 2005 | $29,115,600$ | 2.38 | $24,276,800$ | 1.98 | $53,392,400$ | 4.36 |
| 2006 | $24,410,600$ | 1.93 | $73,910,900$ | 5.85 | $98,321,500$ | 7.79 |

[^12]TAble 7

Note: Allocation of the actuarial value of assets is in proportion to the market value of assets.

## TABLE 8

## Summary Statement of Income and Disbursements (HPRS Fund) for the Years Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (Actuarial Value Basis)

|  | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution Income: |  |  |
| Employer Contributions | \$ 931,206 | \$ 867,803 |
| Member Contributions | 574,341 | 535,233 |
| Total Contribution Income | \$ 1,505,547 | \$ 1,403,036 |
| Less: Administrative Expenses | (17,470) | $(16,058)$ |
| Net Contribution Income | \$ 1,488,077 | \$ 1,386,978 |
| Investment Income: |  |  |
| Interest and Dividends | \$ 1,345,383 | \$ 1,174,622 |
| Less: Investment Expenses | $(569,444)$ | $(236,599)$ |
| Net Interest and Dividends | \$ 775,939 | \$ 938,023 |
| Write-up of Assets | 2,459,552 | 861.711 |
| Net Investment Income | \$ 3,235,491 | \$ 1,799,734 |
| Total Income Available for Benefit Payments and Reserves | \$ 4,723,568 | \$ 3,186,712 |
| Benefit Payments: |  |  |
| Pension Benefits | \$ $(2,662,076)$ | \$ (2,351,564) |
| Refunds | 0 | $(95,601)$ |
| Total Benefit Payments | \$ (2,662,076) | \$ $(2,447,165)$ |
| Addition to Reserve for Future Benefit Payments | \$ 2,061,492 | \$ 739,547 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, Start of Year | 40,696,868 | 39,957,321 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, End of Year | \$ 42,758.360 | \$ 40,696,868 |

TABLE 9
Progress of the HPRS Fund through June 30, 2006 (Actuarial Value Basis)

| Year Ended June 30 | Employer <br> Contributions | Member <br> Contributions | Administrative <br> Expenses | Net Investment <br> Income | Benefit <br> Payments | Fund at End <br> of Year |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | - | - | - | - | - | $\$ 22,156,332$ |
| 1997 | $\$ 641,179$ | $\$ 395,459$ | $\$ 15,710$ | $\$ 2,939,053$ | $\$ 1,257,853$ | $24,858,460$ |
| 1998 | 698,132 | 430,585 | 12,989 | $3,502,484$ | $1,329,615$ | $28,147,057$ |
| 1999 | 717,357 | 442,441 | 12,966 | $4,161,006$ | $1,458,424$ | $31,996,471$ |
| 2000 | 741,089 | 457,079 | 13,821 | $4,331,244$ | $1,635,596$ | $35,876,466$ |
| 2001 | 788,125 | 486,332 | 14,482 | $3,321,908$ | $1,671,297$ | $38,787,052$ |
| 2002 | 814,035 | 501,850 | 15,919 | $1,467,287$ | $2,050,607$ | $39,503,698$ |
| 2003 | 833,074 | 513,812 | 16,469 | 792,875 | $2,063,483$ | $39,563,507$ |
| 2004 | 844,241 | 520,700 | 16,562 | $1,268,080$ | $2,222,645$ | $39,957,321$ |
| 2005 | 867,803 | 535,233 | 16,058 | $1,799,734$ | $2,447,165$ | $40,696,868$ |
| 2006 | 931,206 | 574,341 | 17,470 | $3,235,491$ | $2,662,076$ | $42,758,360$ |
| Total for last ten years | $\$ 7,876,241$ | $\$ 4,857,832$ | $\$ 152,446$ | $\$ 26,819,162$ | $\$ 18,798,761$ |  |


Chart 3
Investment Returns
(PERS and HPRS Combined)


## VI. RESULTS OF ACTUARIAL VALUATION

The contribution requirement consists of the normal cost, administrative expense allowance, plus the cost of amortizing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over a scheduled period of years. The Board has adopted an open amortization period of 20 years with increasing payments. The calculated employer contribution requirements on this basis for fiscal year 2006-2007 are shown below as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the covered payroll of contributing participants.

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are as follows:

|  | Amount for <br> $2006-2007$ | Percentage <br> of Payroll |  | Cost per <br> Participant |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total normal cost | $\$ 1,208,072$ |  |  |  |

Covered payroll is $\$ 5,688,205$ for 127 active members.
The statutory contribution rate is $16.70 \%$ of payroll. Hence actual contributions for 2006-2007 are less than the actuarial contribution requirement shown above by $2.33 \%$ of payroll.

A reconciliation of the change in cost rate since the previous valuation follows:

|  | As a Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2005 | $17.61 \%$ |
| Plan experience during the year | 0.84 |
| Contribution shortfall | 0.15 |
| Change in actuarial assumptions | 0.70 |
| Effect of maintaining 20-year amortization schedule | $\underline{(0.27)}$ |
| Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2006 | $19.03 \%$ |

The development of the unfunded/(surplus) actuarial accrued liability for the year ended June 30,2006, is as follows:

1. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability as of July 1, 2005 ..... $\$ 5,587,563$
2. Normal cost at beginning of year ..... 1,032,687
3. Contributions ..... $(1,505,547)$
4. Administrative expenses ..... 16,000
5. Interest ..... 470,038
6. Expected unfunded/(surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals sum of (1) through (5) ..... \$5,600,741
7. Changes due to:
(a) Change in actuarial assumptions ..... \$426,847
(b) (Gain)/Loss on investments ..... $(8,533)$
(c) (Gain)/Loss on demographics ..... 348,161
(d) (Gain)/Loss on administrative expenses ..... 1,470
(e) Total changes - equals $(7 \mathrm{a}+7 \mathrm{~b}+7 \mathrm{c}+7 \mathrm{~d})$ ..... 767,945
8. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability as of July 1, 2006 - equals (6) plus (7c) ..... \$6,368,686

## VII. FUNDING STATUS

The calculation of funded ratios provides one measure of the progress of funding a retirement plan. The funded ratio is the percentage of plan liabilities covered by plan assets. The greater the ratio, the better funded is the retirement plan. This ratio can be calculated using different measures of the retirement plan's liabilities.

## Funding Basis - Actuarial Accrued Liability

This measure of liabilities is used in calculating pension costs. It uses the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost method that spreads costs as a level percentage of payroll over a member's working career.

For determining plan costs a smoothed value of assets (called actuarial value) was used. Hence, the actuarial value of assets was used to calculate the funded ratios.

## Disclosure Basis

The accounting standard for disclosure of liabilities and funding status of the System is based on Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans. GASB Statement Number 25 allows the System to disclose its liabilities and funding status on the same basis used for funding the System. The actuarial value of assets is used for comparing assets and liabilities.

## Historical Results

The funded ratios under the GASB Statement No. 25 standard for the last ten years are developed in Table 10. These ratios are graphed in Chart 4 on page 23. They show that, on a funding basis, the funded ratio has generally improved during the beginning of this period, but deteriorated in recent years.

Funded ratios change over time due to several factors. These factors include the level of contributions, actual experience (including investment returns), plan amendments, and changes in actuarial assumptions. In particular, we observe that in years when benefit improvements were effective, 1997 and 2001, the funded ratio was lower than what it would have been otherwise.

TABLE 10

## Funded Ratio

| As of July 1 | Actuarial <br> Accrued Liability | Actuarial Value <br> of Assets | Funded <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | $\$ 27,518,300$ | $\$ 24,858,500$ | $90.3 \%$ |
| 1998 | $28,925,500$ | $28,147,100$ | 97.3 |
| 1999 | $32,153,000$ | $31,996,500$ | 99.5 |
| 2000 | $34,034,200$ | $35,876,500$ | 105.4 |
| 2001 | $38,112,100$ | $38,787,100$ | 101.8 |
| 2002 | $40,542,300$ | $39,503,700$ | 97.4 |
| 2003 | $42,417,300$ | $39,563,500$ | 93.3 |
| 2004 | $44,468,700$ | $39,957,300$ | 89.9 |
| 2005 | $46,284,400$ | $40,696,900$ | 87.9 |
| 2006 | $49,127,000$ | $42,758,400$ | 87.0 |

## VIII. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

The actuarial assumptions and cost methods used in the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2006 are summarized below.

## Investment Yield

The actuarial calculations are based on the assumption that the investment return on the actuarial value of assets of the System will be $8.00 \%$ per year, net of investment expenses.

## Salary Increases

Because the retirement benefits provided by the System are based on a member's final average salary, increases in salaries affect the employer's contribution requirements. A salary scale is used in an actuarial valuation to project each member's future salary increases.

During each of the first five years of service, the assumed salary increase is $7.00 \%$ per year. After five years of service, salary increases are age-related. Sample age-related salary increases are as follows:

| Age | Percentage <br> Increase <br> In Year |
| :--- | :---: |
| 25 | $5.90 \%$ |
| 30 | 5.60 |
| 35 | 5.30 |
| 40 | 5.10 |
| 45 | 4.90 |
| 50 | 4.80 |
| 55 | 4.70 |

Actuarial assumptions should be reasonable over the long term and should not be unduly influenced by transitory deviations. Actual salary increases that are greater than assumed produce actuarial losses which, if not offset by actuarial gains from other sources (such as investment gains), result in increasing future employer costs. On the other hand, salary increases that are less than projected produce actuarial gains that can result in lowering future employer costs.

## Inflation

The assumed inflation rate is $3.50 \%$ per annum.

## Payroll Growth

The assumed payroll growth rate is $4.50 \%$ per annum.

## Age at Retirement

Retirement rates reflect the expected percentage of members who will retire at each age. From ages 50 to $54,50 \%$ of the members who are not eligible for early retirement under the Rule of 80 are assumed to retire each year while $100 \%$ of the members who are eligible for the Rule of 80 are assumed to retire each year. One hundred percent of members aged 55 and over are assumed to retire.

Inactive vested members eligible for deferred benefits are assumed to retire at age 55 .

## Withdrawal Rates Before Retirement

Withdrawal rates used in this actuarial valuation are intended to reflect the percentage of employees who will leave service at each age prior to retirement for reasons other than death or disability.

The assumed withdrawal percentages are $5 \%$ per year for the first five years of a member's service. Thereafter, rates vary according to the age of the member. Two percent of members are assumed to terminate at each age under 35. One percent are assumed to terminate at each age 35 and over.

## Disability Incidence Rates Before Retirement

Disability rates used in this actuarial valuation are intended to reflect the percentage of employees who will leave service at each age prior to retirement due to disability. The assumed disability incidence rates increase from $0.05 \%$ at age 25 to $0.55 \%$ at age 55 .

## Mortality Rates

The reserve required to pay a member's retirement benefits depends on the period over which payments will be received. The valuation uses the 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table for healthy members and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Disabled Life Mortality Table for Individuals Receiving Social Security Disability Benefits for disabled members. The table below shows sample mortality rates and life expectancies underlying the healthy mortality tables.

|  |  | Retire | mbers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | les |  | ales |
| Age | Deaths per 1,000 Lives | Expected Number of Years of Life Remaining | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths per } \\ & \text { 1,000 Lives } \end{aligned}$ | Expected Number of Years of Life Remaining |
| 55 | 5.7 | 25.2 | 2.5 | 30.3 |
| 60 | 8.4 | 21.0 | 4.2 | 25.7 |
| 65 | 13.9 | 17.0 | 7.1 | 21.3 |
| 70 | 24.8 | 13.3 | 12.4 | 17.2 |
| 75 | 40.4 | 10.2 | 24.0 | 13.4 |
| 80 | 67.1 | 7.6 | 42.9 | 10.2 |
| 85 | 106.0 | 5.6 | 69.9 | 7.6 |

## Administrative Expenses

Annual administrative expenses are assumed to be $\$ 16,000$.

## Marital Status for Non-Retired Members

At death, $90 \%$ of non-retired members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be three years older than their female spouses.

## Valuation of Assets

Investments are carried at an adjusted market value. The net market appreciation (depreciation) is spread over five years in equal dollar amounts, beginning with the year of occurrence. The actuarial value of assets is the market value less deferred appreciation (depreciation).

## Actuarial Cost Method

The System is funded using the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. This method produces costs that will remain relatively level as a percentage of covered payroll.

Under the Entry Age Normal Method, the total contribution requirement has three components - an annual normal cost, an allowance for administrative expenses and a payment with respect to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The annual normal cost is calculated for each member as the level percentage of pay required over the member's period of covered employment to pay the total expected benefits, with the normal cost determined as if the current benefit accrual rate had always been in effect. If the actuarial assumptions are met, the total normal cost rate will remain level as a percentage of payroll.

The normal cost payments are sufficient to finance the benefit program only if there are no changes in plan design and all actuarial assumptions are realized. To the extent that actual experience is less favorable than assumed, additional liabilities not funded through normal cost payments arise. Also, benefit liberalizations that improve earned benefits or benefit eligibility produce additional liabilities. The Board has adopted a schedule of amortizing this unfunded actuarial accrued liability over an open period of 20 years. The annual payments are determined as a level percent of payroll, with payroll expected to increase $4.50 \%$ per year.

## NORTH DAKOTA HIGHWAY PATROLMEN'S RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## Actuarial Valuation Certificate

This is to certify that we have prepared an Actuarial Valuation of the System as of July 1, 2006 in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

The certificate contains the following attached exhibits:
I. Actuarial Valuation Results
II. Actuarial Assumptions and Cost Methods
III. Changes in Actuarial Assumptions and Cost Methods
IV. Changes in Plan Provisions
V. Summary of Plan Provisions

The valuation was based on information supplied by the Retirement Office with respect to member and financial data. We have not verified, and customarily would not verify, such information but we have no reason to doubt its substantial accuracy.

To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate and in our opinion each individual assumption used (a) is reasonably related to the experience of the System and to reasonable expectations and (b) represents our best estimate of anticipated experience under the System.

The undersigned are available to provide further information or to answer any questions regarding the report.


Thomas D. Levy, FSA, FCIA, MAAA, EA Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary

Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA
Consulting Actuary

## EXHIBIT I

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2006:a. Active members
$\qquad$\$20,340,396
b. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 28,380,767
c. Inactive non-retired members ..... 405,883
d. Total ..... $\$ 49,127,046$
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 51,628,427$ at market value) ..... $42,758,360$
3. Unfunded (Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2) ..... 6,368,686
4. Member and employer normal cost for ensuing year* ..... 1,208,072
5. Estimated annual salaries of covered members ..... $5,688,205$
6. Member normal cost equals $-10.3 \%$ of (5) ..... 585,885
7. Employer normal cost for ensuing year - equals (4) minus (6) ..... 622,187
8. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of aggregate salary* ..... 444,472
9. Administrative expenses ..... 16,000
10. Total employer cost for ensuing year - equals (7) plus (8) plus (9) ..... $1,082,659$
11. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (10) divided by (5) ..... 19.03\%
[^13]
## EXHIBIT II

## ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

## 1. Mortality Tables:

Healthy: 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table, set back one year for males (not set back for females).

Disabled: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Disabled Life Mortality Table for Individuals Receiving Social Security Disability Benefits.

## 2. Annual Withdrawal Rates:

First five years of service: $\quad 5 \%$ per year.
After five years of service:
Under age 35: $\quad 2 \%$ at each age.
Age 35 and older: $\quad 1 \%$ at each age.
Withdrawal rates end upon eligibility for early retirement (age 50 and 5 years of service).

## 3. Disability Incidence Rates:

Age based rates. Sample rates:

| Age | Rate |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 25 | $0.05 \%$ |
| 30 | 0.12 |
| 35 | 0.20 |
| 40 | 0.30 |
| 45 | 0.37 |
| 50 | 0.38 |
| 55 | 0.55 |

## 4. Retirement Rates:

The following annual rates apply for active members:

| Ase | Eligible for | Not Eligible for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\underline{\text { Rule of } 80}$ | Rule of 80 |
| 50-54 | 100\% | 50\% |
| 55+ | 100 | 100 |

Inactive vested members eligible for deferred benefits are assumed to retire at age 55.

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 5. Interest Rate:

$8.00 \%$ per annum, net of investment expenses.
6. Annual Administrative Expenses:
\$16,000.
7. Salary Scale:

Less than five years of service: $7.00 \%$ per annum.
Five or more years of service (for selected ages):

| Age | Annual <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | $5.90 \%$ |
| 30 | 5.60 |
| 35 | 5.30 |
| 40 | 5.10 |
| 45 | 4.90 |
| 50 | 4.80 |
| 55 | 4.70 |

8. Inflation:
$3.50 \%$ per annum.
9. Payroll Growth:
4.50\% per annum.
10. Marital Status:

At death, $90 \%$ of all members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be three years older than their female spouses.
11. Workers' Compensation:

None assumed for disability benefit offset.
12. Indexing for Benefits of Inactive Vested Members:
$5.00 \%$ per annum.

## EXHIBIT II (continued)

## 13. Split Service:

Liabilities held in both plans based on service in each plan; based on actuarial assumptions of the plan in which currently active.

## 14. Transfers to Main System:

Annual withdrawal, disability incidence and retirement rate assumptions for members who have transferred to the Main System follow those specified in the Main System, and are applied to the benefits held in the HPRS.
15. Actuarial Cost Method:

Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized in installments assuming a $4.50 \%$ payroll growth assumption and an open 20 -year period.

## 16. Actuarial Value of Assets:

Adjusted market value that immediately recognizes interest and dividends. The procedure recognizes $20 \%$ of each Plan Year's total appreciation (depreciation) beginning with the year of occurrence. After five years, the appreciation (depreciation) is fully recognized.

## EXHIBIT III

## CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

The following actuarial assumptions were changed as a result of the actuarial experience study conducted in 2005:

The inflation assumption was changed from $4.50 \%$ to $3.50 \%$.
$>$ The mortality assumption for males was changed from 1983 GAM to 1983 GAM with a one year setback.

## EXHIBIT IV

## CHANGES IN PLAN PROVISIONS

There were no changes to plan provisions since the preceding valuation.

## EXHIBIT V

## SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions of the North Dakota Highway Patrolmen's Retirement System as included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be, interpreted as a complete statement of all plan provisions.

## 1. Normal Service Retirement:

Eligibility:
Attainment of age 55 with at least 10 years of eligible employment or with age plus service equal to at least 80 (Rule of 80 ).

Benefit:
$3.60 \%$ of final average salary for each of the first 25 years of service plus $1.75 \%$ of final average salary for service in excess of 25 years.

## 2. Early Service Retirement:

Eligibility:
Attainment of age 50 with 10 years of eligible employment.
Benefit:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit as determined above. A benefit that begins before age 55 (or Rule of 80, if earlier) is reduced by one-half of one percent for each month before age 55 .

## 3. Disability Benefit:

Eligibility:
Accumulation of six months of service and inability to engage in substantial gainful activity.

Benefit:
$70 \%$ of the member's final average salary at disability minus workers' compensation, with a minimum of $\$ 100$ per month.

## EXHIBIT V (continued)

## 4. Deferred Retirement:

Eligibility:
Ten years of eligible employment.
Benefit:
The Normal Service Retirement Benefit payable at age 55 or the Rule of 80 , if earlier. Vested benefits are indexed at a rate set by the Retirement Board based on the increase in final average salary from date of termination to benefit commencement date, as follows

| Year Beginning | Average Monthly <br> Increase | Three-Year <br> Average Increase | Cumulative <br> Salary <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 1 / 1994$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.01 \%$ |  |
| $7 / 1 / 1995$ | 2.00 | 2.86 | $3.01 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 1996$ | 2.00 | 2.33 | 5.95 |
| $7 / 1 / 1997$ | 3.00 | 2.33 | 8.42 |
| $7 / 1 / 1998$ | 1.80 | 2.27 | 10.95 |
| $7 / 1 / 1999$ | 1.26 | 2.02 | 13.47 |
| $7 / 1 / 2000$ | 2.00 | 1.69 | 15.76 |
| $7 / 1 / 2001$ | 1.81 | 1.69 | 17.71 |
| $7 / 1 / 2002$ | 1.73 | 1.85 | 19.70 |
| $7 / 1 / 2003$ | 0.00 | 1.18 | 21.91 |
| $7 / 1 / 2004$ | 0.00 | 0.58 | 23.35 |
|  |  |  | 24.06 |

Reduced early retirement benefits can be elected upon attainment of age 50 .

## 5. Pre-Retirement Death Benefits:

Eligibility:
Ten years of eligible employment.
Benefit:
One of the following options:

- Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.
- Monthly payment of the member's accrued benefit for 60 months to the surviving spouse.
- $50 \%$ of the member's accrued benefit (not reduced on account of age) for the surviving spouse's lifetime.


## EXHIBIT V (continued)

Eligibility:
Less than 10 years of service nor a surviving spouse.

## Benefit:

Lump sum payment of member's accumulated contributions with interest.

## 6. Normal and Optional Forms of Payment:

Normal form of payment:
Monthly benefit for life with $50 \%$ of the benefit continuing for the life of the surviving spouse (if any).

Optional forms of payment:

- $100 \%$ joint and survivor annuity, ten-year certain and life annuity, and twentyyear certain and life annuity.
- A partial lump sum payment in addition to the one of the annuity options above.


## 7. Final Average Salary:

Average of the highest salary received by the member for any 36 non-consecutive months employed during the last 120 months of employment.

## 8. Contributions:

Members:
$10.30 \%$ of monthly salary.
State of North Dakota:
$16.70 \%$ of the monthly salary for each participating member.
Member's contributions earn interest at an annual rate of $7.50 \%$ compounded monthly.
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October 11, 2006

Board Members
North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System
Bismarck, North Dakota
Members of the Board:
It is a pleasure to submit this report that presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund as of July 1, 2006.

The report's actuarial content was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles under our direction. The valuation was:

- Prepared by qualified actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and who have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems; and
- Prepared in accordance with standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board.

We wish to thank the Retirement Office staff for their cooperation in providing us with the participant census data and financial information necessary to prepare the actuarial valuation.

We look forward to meeting with you to review this report and to answering any questions you may have.

Sincerely,


Thomas D. Levy, FSA, FCIA, MAAA, EA Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary


Michael Moehle, FSA, MAAA, EA
Vice President and Actuary

Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA Consulting Actuary
/dam
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## I. INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of our actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2006. The employer contribution requirements presented in Section V of the repore are based on:

1. The present provisions of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund;
2. The characteristics of covered active members, and pensioners and beneficiaries as of July 1, 2006;
3. The assets of the Fund as of June 30, 2006; and
4. Actuarial assumptions regarding investment earnings and rates of participation, retirement, disability, death, etc.

The purpose of the actuarial valuation is to determine the contribution sufficient to meet the long-term obligations to the members covered by the Fund in accordance with the benefit provisions of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund.

If each of the actuarial assumptions is exactly fulfilled, the true actuarial cost of the Fund will equal the cost projected by the actuarial calculations. However, this result is never achieved because of the length of time over which projections are made and because of the great number of variables that can affect the emerging costs. The cost, expressed as a percentage of payroll, will increase if the Fund experiences net actuarial losses and will decrease if the Fund experiences net actuarial gains.

## II. HIGHLIGHTS

> The present rate of contributions is sufficient to meet the actuarially determined requirement for 2006-2007, based upon the actuarial assumptions and financing objectives approved by the Board.
$>$ The actuarial contribution requirement for $2006-2007$ is $\$ 5,687,050$, or $1.00 \%$ of payroll. Since the statutory contribution rate is $1.00 \%$ of payroll, actual contributions almost exactly equal the actuarial requirement.
$>$ As indicated on page 11 of this report, the total deferred appreciation as of June 30, 2006 is approximately $\$ 3.9$ million. This appreciation will be recognized in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes in the next few years, to the extent it is not offset by recognition of losses derived from future experience.
$>$ The return on the market value of assets for 2005-2006 was $8.31 \%$, and was $8.90 \%$ for the preceding year.
$>$ The return on the actuarial value of assets for 2005-2006 was $6.34 \%$ compared to the investment return assumption of $8.0 \%$. As a result, the Fund experienced an investment loss on an actuarial basis of approximately $\$ 500,000$.
> The ratio of actuarial value of assets to the market value of assets is $89.8 \%$. Last year, this ratio was $91.1 \%$. This change is an expected result of the actuarial smoothing technique.
$>$ Members of the optional defined contribution plan are also eligible to participate in the Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund. We included 249 active members, 51 inactive vested members, seven retired members and one beneficiary of the optional defined contribution plan in this actuarial valuation.
$>$ There were changes in the actuarial assumptions since the preceding valuation based upon the experience study conducted in 2005 . The changes resulted in an increase of $0.02 \%$ of payroll contribution.
$>$ There were no changes in plan provisions since the preceding valuation.

## III. MEMBER CHARACTERISTICS

## Nonretired Members

Detailed information for 18,465 active members as of July 1, 2006 was provided by the Retirement Office. The data included name, sex, date of birth, date of hire, months of service, and annual earnings.

Age, service, and compensation data is summarized below:

|  | Males | Females | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7,305 | 11,160 | 18,465 |
| Average age | 46.8 | 46.6 | 46.7 |
| Average years of service | 11.4 | 10.2 | 10.7 |
| Total annual compensation | $\$ 264,060,818$ | $\$ 303,942,261$ | $\$ 568,003,079$ |
| Average annual compensation | $\$ 36,148$ | $\$ 27,235$ | $\$ 30,761$ |

Distributions of the active members by sex, age, and service as of July 1, 2006 are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

## Retired Members

Information regarding the Fund's pensioners and beneficiaries shows that benefits were being paid to 3,838 individuals on July 1, 2006. The average benefit paid to these retired members is $\$ 97$ per month. Their average age is 72.3 years. Distributions of the retired members are presented in Tables 4, 5 and 6 by sex, monthly amount, and current age.
table 1 Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006 and Years of Employment
(Males)

|  |  | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35 \&$ Over |
| Total | 7,305 | 2,452 | 1,548 | 926 | 874 | 646 | 537 | 217 | 105 |
|  | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $20-24$ | 188 | 188 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $25-29$ | 480 | 410 | 70 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $30-34$ | 596 | 327 | 227 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $35-39$ | 715 | 279 | 235 | 147 | 54 | - | - | - |  |
| $40-44$ | 876 | 296 | 191 | 177 | 157 | 55 | - | - | - |
| $45-49$ | 1,134 | 305 | 212 | 146 | 197 | 158 | 111 | 5 | - |
| $50-54$ | 1,257 | 243 | 237 | 151 | 176 | 162 | 207 | 78 | 3 |
| $55-59$ | 1,152 | 218 | 174 | 135 | 161 | 165 | 164 | 92 | 43 |
| $60-64$ | 624 | 117 | 133 | 88 | 81 | 79 | 43 | 37 | 46 |
| $65-69$ | 193 | 44 | 47 | 24 | 33 | 24 | 9 | 3 | 9 |
| $70-74$ | 58 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $75 \&$ Over | 29 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |

TABLE 2 Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age and Years of Employment

| Nearest Age | Total | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 5 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 \& Over |
| Total | 11,160 | 4,082 | 2,581 | 1,460 | 1,235 | 912 | 599 | 218 | 73 |
| Under 20 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20-24 | 214 | 212 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-29 | 700 | 608 | 92 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30-34 | 811 | 483 | 287 | 40 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 35-39 | 1,059 | 521 | 305 | 166 | 65 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 40-44 | 1,530 | 634 | 381 | 196 | 184 | 124 | 11 | - | - |
| 45-49 | 2,065 | 617 | 523 | 309 | 230 | 217 | 156 | 13 | - |
| 50-54 | 2,100 | 497 | 470 | 347 | 283 | 190 | 197 | 113 | 3 |
| 55-59 | 1,580 | 300 | 324 | 253 | 262 | 212 | 134 | 55 | 40 |
| 60-64 | 789 | 132 | 130 | 105 | 161 | 134 | 80 | 27 | 20 |
| 65-69 | 209 | 40 | 53 | 27 | 37 | 24 | 15 | 7 | 6 |
| 70-74 | 77 | 28 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 75 \& Over | 22 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - |

TABLE 3
Census of Members in Active Service on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age and Years of Employment

| Nearest Age | Total | Years of Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 5 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 \& Over |
| Total | 18,465 | 6,534 | 4,129 | 2,386 | 2,109 | 1,558 | 1,136 | 435 | 178 |
| Under 20 | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20-24 | 402 | 400 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-29 | 1,180 | 1,018 | 162 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30-34 | 1,407 | 810 | 514 | 82 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 35-39 | 1,774 | 800 | 540 | 313 | 119 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 40-44 | 2,406 | 930 | 572 | 373 | 341 | 179 | 11 | - | - |
| 45-49 | 3,199 | 922 | 735 | 455 | 427 | 375 | 267 | 18 | - |
| 50-54 | 3,357 | 740 | 707 | 498 | 459 | 352 | 404 | 191 | 6 |
| 55-59 | 2,732 | 518 | 498 | 388 | 423 | 377 | 298 | 147 | 83 |
| 60-64 | 1,413 | 249 | 263 | 193 | 242 | 213 | 123 | 64 | 66 |
| 65-69 | 402 | 84 | 100 | 51 | 70 | 48 | 24 | 10 | 15 |
| 70-74 | 135 | 43 | 21 | 26 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |
| 75 \& Over | 51 | 13 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

TABLE 4
Distribution of Retired Members Receiving Benefits on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age and Monthly Amount

|  |  | Monthly Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under $\$ 30$ | $\$ 30-\$ 59$ | $\$ 60-\$ 89$ | $\$ 90-\$ 119$ | $\$ 120-\$ 149$ | $\$ 150-\$ 179$ | $\$ 180 \&$ Over |
| Total | 2,184 | 135 | 499 | 559 | 469 | 331 | 144 | 47 |
|  | Under 50 | 17 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | - | - |
| $50-54$ | 34 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 8 | - |
| $55-59$ | 109 | 7 | 28 | 11 | 8 | 33 | 21 | 1 |
| $60-64$ | 294 | 17 | 60 | 40 | 80 | 71 | 19 | 7 |
| $65-69$ | 471 | 34 | 106 | 106 | 125 | 72 | 23 | 5 |
| $70-74$ | 444 | 34 | 108 | 124 | 89 | 57 | 22 | 10 |
| $75-79$ | 370 | 15 | 93 | 115 | 77 | 40 | 19 | 11 |
| $80-84$ | 252 | 6 | 64 | 80 | 49 | 29 | 16 | 8 |
| $85 \&$ Over | 193 | 11 | 31 | 73 | 35 | 22 | 16 | 5 |

TABLE 5 Distribution of Retired Members Receiving Benefits on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age and Monthly Amount

|  |  | Monthly Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under $\$ 30$ | $\$ 30-\$ 59$ | $\$ 60-\$ 89$ | $\$ 90-\$ 119$ | $\$ 120-\$ 149$ | $\$ 150-\$ 179$ | $\$ 180 \&$ Over |
| Total | 1,654 | 73 | 209 | 298 | 320 | 427 | 260 | - |
|  | Under 50 | 5 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| $50-54$ | 19 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 3 | - |
| $55-59$ | 95 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 53 | 16 | - |
| $60-64$ | 204 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 37 | 79 | 34 | 9 |
| $65-69$ | 355 | 9 | 35 | 42 | 89 | 90 | 67 | 23 |
| $70-74$ | 365 | 13 | 50 | 77 | 65 | 82 | 62 | 16 |
| $75-79$ | 289 | 24 | 50 | 67 | 45 | 55 | 39 | 9 |
| $80-84$ | 190 | 2 | 26 | 51 | 44 | 38 | 26 | 3 |
| $85 \&$ Over | 132 | 7 | 16 | 37 | 31 | 21 | 13 | 7 |

TABLE 6
Distribution of Retired Members Receiving Benefits on July 1, 2006
by Nearest Age and Monthly Amount

|  |  | Monthly Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nearest Age | Total | Under $\$ 30$ | $\$ 30-\$ 59$ | $\$ 60-\$ 89$ | $\$ 90-\$ 119$ | $\$ 120-\$ 149$ | $\$ 150-\$ 179$ | $\$ 180 \&$ Over |
| Total | 3,838 | 208 | 708 | 857 | 789 | 758 | 404 | 114 |
|  | Under 50 | 22 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 2 | - | - |
| $50-54$ | 53 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 16 | 11 | - |
| $55-59$ | 204 | 11 | 38 | 15 | 16 | 86 | 37 | 1 |
| $60-64$ | 498 | 29 | 76 | 57 | 117 | 150 | 53 | 16 |
| $65-69$ | 826 | 43 | 141 | 148 | 214 | 162 | 90 | 28 |
| $70-74$ | 809 | 47 | 158 | 201 | 154 | 139 | 84 | 26 |
| $75-79$ | 659 | 39 | 143 | 182 | 122 | 95 | 58 | 20 |
| $80-84$ | 442 | 8 | 90 | 131 | 93 | 67 | 42 | 11 |
| $85 \&$ Over | 325 | 18 | 47 | 110 | 66 | 43 | 29 | 12 |

## IV. ASSETS

## Market Value of Assets

Financial information was provided by the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System. Following is a comparison of the Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund assets at market value:

June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005

| Investments | $\$ 37,242,565$ | $\$ 33,341,428$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash | 35,946 | 39,309 |
| Contributions receivable | 399,426 | 385,062 |
| Interest receivable | 338,916 | 291,578 |
| Accounts payable | $\underline{(111,618)}$ | $\underline{(142,216)}$ |
| Net assets | $\$ 37,905,235$ | $\$ 33,915,161$ |

The rate of return on the market value basis was $8.31 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2006.

## Actuarial Value of Assets

The actuarial value of assets are determined as follows:
Market appreciation and depreciation are spread over five years beginning with the year of occurrence. Interest and dividends are recognized immediately. This procedure results in recognition of all changes in market value over five years.

The actuarial value of assets as of June 30, 2006 was $\$ 34,020,413$ compared to $\$ 30,891,785$ as of June 30, 2005. On an actuarial basis, the rate of return was $6.34 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2006.

Table 7 shows that there is approximately $\$ 3.9$ million of appreciation that will be recognized in future years. For the prior year, there was approximately $\$ 3.0$ million of appreciation to be recognized in future years.

Table 8 presents a statement of income and disbursements on an actuarial value basis for the past two years.

TABLE 7

Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2006 and 2005

Of Total Appreciation

| Year Ending | Total <br> Appreciation (Depreciation) | June 30, 2006 |  | June 30, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent Deferred | Amount Deferred | Percent <br> Deferred | Amount Deferred |
| June 30, 2002 | \$(2,467,469) | 0\% | \$0 | 20\% | \$ 493,494$)$ |
| June 30, 2003 | 284,302 | 20\% | 56,860 | 40\% | 113,721 |
| June 30, 2004 | 3,227,544 | 40\% | 1,291,018 | 60\% | 1,936,526 |
| June 30, 2005 | 1,833,279 | 60\% | 1,099,967 | 80\% | 1,466,623 |
| June 30, 2006 | 1,796,221 | 80\% | 1,436,977 | N/A | 0 |
| (a) Total Deferred as of Valuation Date |  |  | \$3,884,822 |  | \$3,023,376 |
| (b) T | Appreciation (D st five Plan Year | reciation) | 4,673,877 |  | 117,919 |
|  | Write-Up/(Down) Amount for the year - equals $20 \%$ of (b) |  | 934,775 |  | 23,584 |
|  |  |  | July 1, 2006 |  | July 1, 2005 |
| Market Value of Assets |  |  | \$37,905,235 |  | \$33,915,161 |
| Less: Deferred Appreciation (Depreciation) |  |  | 3,884,822 |  | 3,023,376 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  | \$34,020,413 |  | \$30,891,785 |
| Actuarial Value as a Percentage of Market Value |  |  | 89.75\% |  | 91.09\% |

TABLE 8

## Summary Statement of Income and Disbursements for the Years Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (Actuarial Value Basis)

|  | June 30,2006 | June 30, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution Income: |  |  |
| Employer Contributions | \$5,373,091 | \$5,085,050 |
| Member Contributions | 7,210 | 7,061 |
| Service Credit Repurchases | 211,601 | 246,500 |
| Total Contribution Income | \$5,591,902 | \$5,338,611 |
| Less: Administrative Expenses | $(88,569)$ | $(85,262)$ |
| Net Contribution Income | \$5,503,333 | \$5,253,349 |
| Investment Income: |  |  |
| Interest and Dividends | \$1,102,168 | \$922,864 |
| Less: Investment Expenses | $(69,457)$ | $(62,164)$ |
| Net Interest and Dividends | \$1,032,711 | \$860,700 |
| Write-Up of Assets | 934.774 | 23,585 |
| Net Investment Income | \$1,967,485 | \$884,285 |
| Total Income Available for Benefit Payments and Reserves | \$7,470,818 | \$6,137,634 |
| Benefit Payments: |  |  |
| Health Credit Benefits | \$(4,337,900) | \$(4,193,687) |
| Refunds | $(4,291)$ | $(1,880)$ |
| Total Benefit Payments | \$(4,342,191) | \$(4,195,567) |
| Addition to Reserve for Future Benefit Payments | \$3,128,627 | \$1,942,067 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, Start of Year | 30,891,786 | 28,949,719 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets, End of Year | \$34,020,413 | \$30,891,786 |

## V. RESULTS OF ACTUARIAL VALUATION

The contribution requirement consists of the normal cost, administrative expense allowance, plus the cost of amortizing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over a scheduled period of years. The Board has adopted an amortization period of 40 years beginning July 1, 1990 (24 years remaining as of July 1, 2006). Amortization payments are based on a level percent of payroll. The calculated employer contribution requirements on this basis for fiscal year 2006-2007 are shown below as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the total compensation of contributing employees.

The components of the actuarial contribution requirement are as follows:

|  | Amount for <br> $\underline{2006-2007}$ | Cost per <br> Percentage <br> of Payroll | Active <br> Employee |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Normal cost | $\$ 2,626,010$ | $0.46 \%$ | $\$ 142$ |
| Administrative expense allowance | 65,000 | 0.01 | 4 |
| Amortization payment | $\underline{2,996,040}$ | $\underline{0.53}$ | $\underline{162}$ |
| Total employer contributions | $\$ 5,687,050$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 308$ |

Covered payroll is $\$ 568,003,079$ for 18,465 active employees.
The statutory contribution rate is $1.00 \%$ of payroll. Actual contributions for 2006-2007 closely match the actuarial contribution requirement.

A reconciliation of the change since the previous actuarial valuation is as follows:

|  | As a Percentage <br> of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employer cost rate as of July 1, 2005 | $1.00 \%$ |
| Assumption changes | 0.02 |
| Plan experience | $\underline{(0.02)}$ |
| Employer cost rate as of July 1,2006 | $1.00 \%$ |

## VI. FUNDING STATUS

The calculation of funded ratios provides one measure of the progress of funding a plan. The funded ratio is the percentage of plan liabilities covered by plan assets. The greater the ratio, the better funded the plan. This ratio can be calculated using different measures of the plan's liabilities.

The funded ratio shown below is based on assets and liabilities developed in the actuarial valuation. It uses the actuarial accrued liability developed by the projected unit credit actuarial cost method and the actuarial value of assets.

The funded ratio for the past five years is determined below. The progress of this ratio reveals overall improvement in the plan's funded condition.

| As of <br> July 1 | Actuarial <br> Accrued Liability | Actuarial Value <br> of Assets | Funded <br> Ratio |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | $68,988,084$ | $26,402,058$ | 38.3 |
| 2003 | $71,976,336$ | $27,473,723$ | 38.2 |
| 2004 | $74,589,006$ | $28,949,719$ | 38.8 |
| 2005 | $78,090,560$ | $30,891,785$ | 39.6 |
| 2006 | $82,632,628$ | $34,020,413$ | 41.2 |

## VII. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

The assumptions and cost methods used in the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2006 are the same as those used in the previous valuation. A summary of the actuarial assumptions and cost methods follows. Details can be found in Exhibit III of the attachment.

## Investment Return

The actuarial calculations are based on the assumption that the investment return on the actuarial value of assets will be $8.00 \%$ per year, net of investment expenses.

## Retirement Rates

The retirement rates used in the valuation differ for active members of the Main System, Highway Patrol, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement. Rates for the Main System are described below. The retirement assumptions for members of the Highway Patrol, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement are detailed in the valuation reports for their retirement systems.

For members of the Main System, sample retirement rates are: $4 \%$ at age $55,8 \%$ at age 60, $40 \%$ at age 65 , and $100 \%$ at age 70 .

## Withdrawal Rates before Retirement

The withdrawal rates used in this actuarial valuation are intended to recognize the percentage of members who will leave service at each age prior to retirement for reasons other than death or disability. Withdrawal rates differ for active members of the Main System, Highway Patrol, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement. Rates for the Main System are described below. The withdrawal assumptions for members of the Highway Patrol, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement are detailed in the valuation report for their retirement systems.

Select and ultimate rates are used for the Main System. During the select period (first five years of employment), rates vary by year of service and age. During the ultimate period (after five years of employment), Main System rates vary by age and sex. The Main System rates are detailed in Exhibit III.

## Disability Rates

Disability rates differ for active members of the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) and the Highway Patrol. Rates for PERS are summarized below for selected ages. The disability assumptions for the Highway Patrol are detailed in the valuation report for their retirement system.

| Age |  | Males |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $0.03 \%$ |  | Females |
| 30 | $0.02 \%$ | 0.03 |  |
| 40 | 0.09 | 0.07 |  |
| 50 | 0.25 | 0.18 |  |
| 60 | 0.68 | 0.49 |  |

## Mortality Rates

The reserve required to pay a member's retirement benefits depends on the period over which payments will be received. The valuation is based on the 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table for healthy members and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Disabled Life Mortality Table for Individuals Receiving Social Security Disability Benefits for disabled members. The schedule below shows the annual mortality rates and life expectancies underlying the healthy mortality tables.

Retired Members

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Males } \\ \text { Expected Number } \\ \text { of Years of }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Females } \\ \text { Age }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Deaths per per } \\ \text { 1,000 Lives }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Life Remaining <br>

Expected Number <br>
of Years of\end{array}\right\}\)

## Administrative Expenses

Annual administrative expenses are assumed to be $\$ 65,000$.

## Marital Status

At death, $75 \%$ of male members of PERS and $60 \%$ of female members of PERS are assumed to have spouses. Main males are assumed to be four years older than their female spouses. All other PERS males are assumed to be five years older than their female spouses.

For the Highway Patrol, $90 \%$ of all active members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be three years older than their female spouses.

## Valuation of Assets

Investments are carried at an adjusted market value. The net market appreciation (depreciation) is spread over five years in equal dollar amounts, commencing with the year of occurrence. The actuarial value of assets is the market value less deferred appreciation (depreciation).

## Participation Rates

Receipt of benefits from the Fund is contingent upon the member's election of participation in the North Dakota uniform group insurance program. The assumption concerning the percentage of active members participating in this program varies with the member's service at retirement. Assumed participation rates are as follows:

| Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement |  | Judges and Highway Patrol |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of | Participation | Years of | Participation |
| Service | Rate | Service | Rate |
| 3-4 | 25\% | 5-9 | 50\% |
| 5-9 | 50\% | 10-14 | 70\% |
| 10-14 | 70\% | 15-19 | 80\% |
| 15-19 | 80\% | 20-24 | 95\% |
| 20-24 | 95\% | 25 and over | 100\% |
| 25 and over | 100\% |  |  |

## Projected Unit Credit Actuarial Cost Method

Under the Projected Unit Credit Actuarial Cost Method, benefits are projected to each assumed occurrence of decrement (death, disability, retirement) using service as of the valuation date. The normal cost is equal to the actuarial present value of the benefits allocated to the current year.

The actuarial accrued liability for active members is equal to the actuarial present value of the benefits allocated to all prior years. The actuarial assumptions used to determine the liabilities for members of the optional defined contribution plan are the same as those used for the Main System. The actuarial accrued liability for members currently receiving benefits and for participants entitled to deferred benefits is the actuarial present value of the benefits expected to be paid. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is equal to the actuarial accrued liability minus the actuarial value of assets. This amount is amortized as a level percentage of payroll over a fixed period of years. Payroll is assumed to increase by an inflation assumption of $4.5 \%$ per year.

# NORTH DAKOTA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM RETIREE HEALTH INSURANCE CREDIT FUND 

## Actuarial Valuation Certificate

This is to certify that we have prepared an Actuarial Valuation of the Fund as of July 1, 2006 in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

The certificate contains the following attached exhibits:
I. Actuarial Valuation Results
II. Changes in Plan Provisions, Actuarial Assumptions, and Cost Methods
III. Actuarial Assumptions and Cost Methods
IV. Summary of Plan Provisions

The valuation was based on information supplied by the Retirement Office with respect to member and financial data. We have not verified, and customarily would not verify, such information, but we have no reason to doubt its substantial accuracy.

To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate and in our opinion each individual assumption used (a) is reasonably related to the experience of the Fund and to reasonable expectations and (b) represents our best estimate of anticipated experience under the Fund.

The undersigned are available to provide further information or to answer any questions regarding the report.


Thomas D. Levy, FSA, FCIA, MAAA, EA
Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary


Michael Moehle, FSA, MAAA, EA
Vice President and Actuary

Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA
Consulting Actuary

## EXHIBIT I

## ACTUARIAL VALUATION RESULTS

1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2006:
a. Active members ..... \$46,547,332
b. Retired members and beneficiaries ..... 36,085,296
c. Total ..... $\$ 82,632,628$
2. Assets at actuarial value ( $\$ 37,905,235$ at market value) ..... $34,020,413$
3. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2). ..... $48,612,215$
4. Normal cost for ensuing year* ..... $2,626,010$
5. Amortization payment - equals 24 -year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of total payroll* ..... $2,996,040$
6. Administrative expenses ..... 65,000
7. Total cost for ensuing year - equals (4) plus (5) plus (6) ..... 5,687,050
8. Total payroll of covered members ..... 568,003,079
9. Total employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (7) divided by (8) ..... $1.00 \%$
[^14]
## EXHIBIT II

## CHANGES IN PLAN PROVISIONS, ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS, AND COST METHODS

There were no changes in plan provisions or the actuarial cost methods since the preceding valuation.
The actuarial assumptions were changed since the preceding valuation as a result of the experience study conducted in 2005:

- The inflation assumption was changed from $4.5 \%$ to $3.5 \%$.
- The mortality assumption for males was changed from 1983 GAM to 1983 GAM with one year set back.
- The withdrawal assumptions for Main were lowered during the five-year select period and the ultimate period for males and females at most ages.
- The retirements for Main were split by eligibility for Rule of 85 and other retirements.
- The assumption for spouse ages for the Main system was changed from males being five years older than females to males being four years older than females.


## EXHIBIT III

## ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS

## 1. Mortality Tables:

Healthy: 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table, set back one year for males.
Disabled: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Disabled Life Mortality Table for Individuals Receiving Social Security Disability Benefits.

## 2. Annual Withdrawal Rates:

Different withdrawal rates are applied to the active members of the Main System, Highway Patrol, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement. Rates for the Main System are detailed below. The withdrawal assumptions applied to the active members in the other groups are detailed in the valuation report for their retirement system.

Select and ultimate rates are used for active members of the Main System. During the select period (first five years of active employment) rates vary by entry age and year of employment. During the ultimate period (active employment after the first five years), rates vary by attained age and sex.

Select Period

|  | Year of Employment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $29 \&$ Under | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| $40 \&$ Over | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 |

Ultimate Period

| Age | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-24$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| $30-34$ | 5.0 | 8.0 |
| $35-39$ | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| $40-44$ | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| $45-49$ | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| $50 \&$ Over | 2.0 | 3.0 |

## EXHIBIT III (continued)

## 3. Disability Incidence Rates:

Different disability incidence rates are applied to the active members of PERS and the Highway Patrol. The disability rates applied to the Highway Patrol are described in the valuation report for their retirement system.

Sample rates for PERS are:

| Age | Males | Females |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 20 | $0.03 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| 30 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 40 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| 50 | 0.25 | 0.18 |
| 60 | 0.68 | 0.49 |

## 4. Retirement Rates for Active Members:

Different retirement rates are applied to the active members of the Main System, Highway Patrol, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement. Retirement rates for the Main System are detailed below. The retirement rates applied to the active members of the other groups are detailed in the valuation report for their retirement system.

Annual rates for the Main System are as follows:

| Age | $\underline{\text { Rule of 85 }}$ <br> Eligible Rate | $\underline{\text { All Other }}$ <br> Retirements |  |  | Rule of 85 <br> Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | All Other <br> Eligible Rate |
| :---: |
|  |
| 55 |

Age 64 and 20 years of service: $100 \%$

## EXHIBIT III (continued)

## 5. Participation Rates:

The percentage of eligible members electing coverage under the health insurance program and receiving the stipend varies with years of service. Rates are as follows:

| Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement |  | Judges and Highway Patrol |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of | Participation | Years of | Participation |
| Service | Rate | Service | Rate |
| 3-4 | 25\% | 5-9 | 50\% |
| 5-9 | 50\% | 10-14 | 70\% |
| 10-14 | 70\% | 15-19 | 80\% |
| 15-19 | 80\% | 20-24 | 95\% |
| 20-24 | 95\% | 25 or more | 100\% |
| 25 or more | 100\% |  |  |

## 6. Joint and Survivor Option Election Rates:

Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
$65 \%$ of male retirees and $20 \%$ of female retirees will elect a joint and survivor form of pension from the retirement system in which they participated.

Highway Patrol:
$90 \%$ of retirees will elect a joint and survivor form of pension from the retirement system.

## 7. Interest Rate:

$8.00 \%$ per annum, net of investment expenses.
8. Annual Administrative Expenses:
$\$ 65,000$.
9. Inflation:
$3.50 \%$ per annum.

## EXHIBIT III (continued)

## 10. Marital Status:

Main System, Judges, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
At death, $75 \%$ of active male members and $60 \%$ of active female members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be five years older than their female spouses. For the Main system, males are assumed to be four years older than their female spouses.

Highway Patrol:
At death, $90 \%$ of all active members are assumed to have spouses. Males are assumed to be three years older than their female spouses.
11. Optional Defined Contribution Plan:

The actuarial assumptions used to determine the liabilities for members of the optional defined contribution plan are the same as those used for the Main System.

## 12. Actuarial Cost Method:

Projected Unit Credit Actuarial Cost Method. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability amortized in installments assuming $4.5 \%$ inflation assumption over a fixed period that ends on June 30, 2030.

## 13. Actuarial Value of Assets:

Adjusted market value that immediately recognizes interest and dividends. The procedure recognizes $20 \%$ of each year's total appreciation (depreciation) beginning with the year of occurrence. After five years, the appreciation (depreciation) is fully recognized.

## EXHIBIT IV

## SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS


#### Abstract

This appendix summarizes the major benefit provisions of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund as included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be, interpreted as a complete statement of all plan provisions.


## 1. Normal Retirement:

## Age requirement:

Main System and Judges:
Highway Patrol:
National Guard:
Law Enforcement:
Service requirement:
Main System and Judges:
Highway Patrol:
National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Other requirements:

Benefit amount:

## 2. Early Retirement:

Age requirement:
Main System and Judges:
Highway Patrol, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
Age 55.
A monthly stipend equal to $\$ 4.50$ times service.

## Service requirement:

Highway Patrol, National Guard and Law Enforcement: Three years.
Judges:
Five years.
Highway Patrol:
Ten years.

## EXHIBIT IV (continued)

## Benefit amount:

Main System and Judges:
The Normal Retirement Benefit reduced by 3\% for retirements at age 64 and an additional $6 \%$ for each year by which retirement precedes age 64 .

Benefits are unreduced upon the fulfillment of the Rule of 85 .
Highway Patrol, National Guard and Law Enforcement:
The Normal Retirement Benefit reduced by $3 \%$ for retirements at age 54 and an additional $6 \%$ for each year by which retirement precedes age 54 .

Benefits are unreduced upon the fulfillment of the Rule of 80 .

## 3. Disability Retirement:

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Other requirements:

Benefit amount:
4. Pre-Retirement Death Benefit:

Age requirement:
None.
Service requirement:
Main System, National Guard and Law Enforcement: Three years.
Judges:
Highway Patrol:
Five years.
Ten years.

Benefit amount: Same as Normal Retirement Benefit accrued to the date of the member's death, payable for as long as benefits are payable to the spouse from the Retirement System under the standard option.

## EXHIBIT IV (continued)

## 5. Post-Retirement Death Benefit:

Following a retired member's death, the Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund will: (1) continue benefits to the member's spouse if the spouse continues to receive a monthly pension from member's Retirement System or (2) provide benefits to the member's spouse if the member selected a joint and survivor option from the Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund.

## 6. Alternative Options:

If benefits from the member's Retirement System are paid under single life, level Social Security, or 10 or 20 year term certain options (without a continuation to the spouse after the certain period ends), actuarially reduced health credit benefits may be elected for the spouse. Alternative options in the Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund include 50\% and 100\% joint and survivor annuities.

## 7. Service:

Members receive credit for each year and month of employment.

## 8. Contributions:

The employer contributes $1.00 \%$ of covered salaries and wages for participating employees.
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## $\stackrel{Y}{\text { M }}$ LEGAL

THE SEGA COMPANY
6300 South Syracuse Way, Suite 750 Englewood, CO 80111
T 303.714.9900 F 303.714 .9990 www.segalco.com
October 24, 2006

Board Members<br>North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System<br>Bismarck, North Dakota

Members of the Board:
We are pleased to submit our report on the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plan for Employees of Job Service North Dakota as of July 1, 2006. The report includes an analysis of last year's actuarial experience as well as the contribution requirements for the year beginning July 1, 2006.

The report's actuarial content was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles under our direction. The valuation was:

- Prepared by qualified actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and who have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems; and
- Prepared in accordance with standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board.

We wish to thank the Retirement Office staff for their cooperation in providing us with the participant census data and financial information necessary to prepare the valuation.

We would be pleased to answer any questions you may have regarding the report.
Sincerely,


Thomas D. Levy, FSA, FCIA, MAAA, EA Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary


Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA
Consulting Actuary
/dqm
cc: Sparb Collins
148442/01641.001


Michael Moehle, FSA, MAAA, EA
Vice President and Actuary
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This report presents the results of the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plan for Employees of Job Service North Dakota as of July 1, 2006.

The following table is a summary of significant results of this year's valuation compared with the results of the last valuation.

| Valuation Results | July 1, 2006 |  | July 1, 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Scheduled contribution at end of year | $\$ 0$ |  | $\$ 0$ |
| Contribution as a percentage of payroll | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Total payroll of employees included in <br> cost calculations | $\$ 1,922,664$ | $\$ 2,226,912$ |  |
| Outstanding balance of frozen initial liability | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |
| Amortization of frozen initial liability (7 years | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |
| remaining as of July 1, 2006) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |
| Normal cost | $\$ 69,967,001$ | $\$ 63,324,714$ |  |
| Actuarial present value of projected benefits | $\$ 70,628,705$ | $\$ 69,294,225$ |  |
| Actuarial value of assets | $\$ 84,359,650$ | $\$ 81,508,999$ |  |

Effective July 1, 1999, the "scheduled contribution" will be zero as long as the plan's actuarial value of assets exceeds the actuarial present value of projected benefits. If, in the future, the liabilities of the plan exceed its assets, a "scheduled contribution" will be determined based on the funding policy adopted by the Employer.

The actuarial rate of return assumption was changed from $8.0 \%$ to $7.5 \%$ effective with the July 1,2006 valuation. There were no changes to the plan provisions since the prior valuation.

## II. VALUATION RESULTS

The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us by the Retirement Office staff.

1. Employees active as of July 1,2006 , with total annual salaries of $\$ 1,922,664$.
a. Fully vested 44
b. Not vested $\quad 0$
c. Total 44
2. Employees inactive as of July 1, 2006 with vested rights 5
3. Pensioners (including disableds) and beneficiaries as of
July 1,2006
4. Pensioners and beneficiaries receiving annuities from The
Travelers as of July 1, 2006
5. Total Plan participants as of July 1,2006 270

The actuarial liabilities as of the valuation date are as follows:

1. Actuarial present value of benefits:
a. Active employees $\$ 17,687,989$
b. Inactive vested employees not in pay status 256,222
c. Pensioners (including disableds) and beneficiaries* $\quad \underline{52,022,790}$
d. Total \$69,967,001
2. Actuarial value of assets ( $\$ 84,359,650$ at market value) $70,628,705$
3. Outstanding balance as of July 1,2006 of frozen initial liability $\underline{0}$
4. Actuarial present value of future normal costs
(item $1-$ item $2-$ item 3 , not less than $\$ 0$ )
5. Actuarial present value of future salaries $\$ 7,893,768$
6. Normal cost percentage (item 4 divided by item 5) $0.00 \%$
7. Total salaries of employees below the assumed retirement age $\$ 1,922,664$
8. Normal cost (item 6 x item 7) \$0
[^15]The actuarial rate of return assumption was changed from $8.00 \%$ to $7.50 \%$ since the previous valuation. The benefit provisions are the same as those in the preceding valuation.

## Actuarial Experience

Since July 1,2005 , there was a net actuarial loss. The total actuarial loss for the plan was $\$ 2.0$ million, which is comprised of a demographic loss of $\$ 0.9$ million and an asset loss of $\$ 1.1$ million. An analysis of experience in key areas for the year ended June 30, 2006 follows.

## Salary Increases

The average salary increase for participants as of July 1, 2006 who were included in the last valuation was $4.6 \%$ compared to the $5.0 \%$ salary scale assumption. The result is an actuarial gain.

## Post-Retirement Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA)

The average COLA for participants and beneficiaries paid by the retirement plan as of July 1, 2006 who were included in the last valuation was $4.10 \%$ compared to the $5.00 \%$ COLA assumption. The result is an actuarial gain.

## Rate of Return

The investment rate of return on an actuarial basis was approximately $7.86 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2006. This return is lower than the assumed rate of return of $8.0 \%$, resulting in an actuarial loss. The rate of return on a market value basis was $7.18 \%$. The assumed rate of return has been changed to $7.50 \%$ effective July 1, 2006.

Table 1 summarizes demographic characteristics for plan participants.
Table 2 presents a distribution of active participants by age and credited service.
Table 3 presents a reconciliation of participant data.
Table 4 summarizes the changes in plan net assets.
Table 5 is a summary of plan assets on a market basis.
Table 6 shows the determination of the actuarial value of assets.
Table 7 shows the development of the NPO and ARC pursuant to GASB 27.

## Table 1

## Plan Coverage and Selected Data <br> This Year and Preceding Year

| Category | July 1, 2006 | July 1, 2005 | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active participants: |  |  |  |
| Number | 44 | 52 | (15.4)\% |
| Average age | 55.1 | 54.5 | -- |
| Average service | 30.5 | 29.8 | -- |
| Payroll | \$1,922,664 | \$2,226,912 | (13.7) |
| Average pay | \$43,697 | \$42,825 | 2.0 |
| Inactive participants with rights to immediate or deferred pension | 5 | 5 | -- |
| Pensioners (including disableds) and beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number paid by retirement plan | 115 | 108 | 6.5 |
| Total annual benefits | \$2,762,934 | \$2,456,937 | 12.5 |
| Average annual benefit | \$24,026 | \$22,749 | 5.6 |
| Number of Travelers annuitants | 106 | 109 | (2.8) |
| Total annual benefits from plan (COLAs) | \$509,631 | \$451,169 | 13.0 |
| Average annual benefit from plan (COLAs) | \$4,808 | \$4,139 | 16.2 |

Table 2

|  |  | Years of Credited Service |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Total | $\mathbf{0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ and Over |
| Total | 44 | - | 21 | 20 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| $50-54$ | 20 | - | 9 | 10 | 1 |
| $55-59$ | 17 | - | 7 | 9 | 1 |
| $60 \&$ over | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Table 3


Table 4
Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets (Market Value)


Table 5
Statement of Plan Net Assets

|  |  |  |  | July 1, 2006 |  | July 1, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Cash and Cash Equivalents |  |  |  | \$ | 100,613 | \$ | 451,414 |
| (b) |  | Receivables |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Contributions | \$ | 12,133 | \$ | 12,740 |
|  |  |  | Interest |  | 241,253 |  | 170,656 |
|  |  |  | Due from Fiduciary Funds |  | 17,474 |  | 5,790 |
|  |  |  | Total Receivables | \$ | 270,860 | \$ | 189,186 |
|  | (c) | Investments, At Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Equities | \$ | 33,697,071 | \$ | 32,471,364 |
|  |  |  | Fixed Income |  | 50,348,223 |  | 48,406,756 |
|  |  |  | Other |  | 12,162,923 |  | 10,604,707 |
|  |  | (iv) | Total Investments | \$ | 96,208,217 | \$ | 91,482,827 |
|  | (d) | Total |  | \$ | 96,579,690 | \$ | 92,123,427 |
| 2. Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (a) | Acco | Payable | \$ | $(49,329)$ | \$ | (47,795) |
|  | (b) | Due | luciary Funds |  | $(3,750)$ |  | 0 |
|  | (c) | Due | prietary Funds |  | $(2,025)$ |  | 0 |
|  | (d) | Due | her State Agencies |  | $(2,013)$ |  | $(2,001)$ |
|  | (e) | Secur | Lending Collateral (SIB) |  | (12,162,923) |  | (10,564,632) |
|  | (f) | Total | ilities | \$ | (12,220,040) | \$ | (10,614,428) |
| 3. | Net Assets for Pension Benefits |  |  | \$ | 84,359,650 | \$ | 81,508,999 |

Table 6

Determination of the Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2006

| 1. Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2005 | \$ | 69,294,225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Increases During the Year |  |  |
| (a) Contributions | \$ | 150,633 |
| 3. Decreases During the Year |  |  |
| (a) Benefit Payments | \$ | $(3,062,585)$ |
| (b) Administrative Expenses |  | $(29,335)$ |
| (c) Investment Expenses |  | (1,073,229) |
| (d) Total Decreases During the Year | \$ | $(4,165,149)$ |
| 4. Actual Return-Interest Dividends | \$ | 1,916,260 |
| 5. Preliminary Actuarial Value at End of Year $[(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)]$ | \$ | 67,195,969 |
| 6. Market Value at End of Year | \$ | 84,359,650 |
| 7. Adjustment Toward Market Value ( $20 \%$ of [(6) - (5)]) | \$ | 3,432,736 |
| 8. Adjustment to be Within $20 \%$ of Market Value | \$ | 0 |
| 9. Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, $2006[(5)+(7)+(8)]$ | \$ | 70,628,705 |
| 10. Actuarial Value as a Percentage of Market Value [(9)/(6)] |  | 83.7\% |

Table 7
Development of the Net Pension Obligation (NPO) and the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) Pursuant to GASB 27

| Year <br> Ended | Employer Annual Required Contribution (a) |  | Employer <br> Amount Contributed <br> (b) |  | Interest on NPO* <br> (h) $\times 8 \%$ <br> (c) |  | ARC <br> Adjustment* <br> (h) / (e) <br> (d) |  | Amortization Factor** <br> (e) | Pension <br> Cost <br> (a) $+(\mathrm{c})-(d)$ <br> (f) |  | Change in NPO (f) - (b) (g) |  | NPO <br> Balance <br> $\mathrm{NPO}+(\mathrm{g})$ <br> (h) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/30/1998 | \$ | - | \$ | 577,936 | \$ | - | \$ |  | 12.1584 | \$ |  | \$ | $(577,936)$ | \$ | $(577,936)$ |
| 06/30/1999 |  | - |  | 1,110,818 |  | $(46,235)$ |  | $(47,534)$ | 12.1584 |  | 1,299 |  | $(1,109,519)$ |  | $(1,687,455)$ |
| 06/30/2000 |  |  |  | - |  | $(134,996)$ |  | $(138,789)$ | 12.1584 |  | 3,793 |  | 3,793 |  | $(1,683,662)$ |
| 06/30/2001 |  | - |  | - |  | $(134,693)$ |  | $(138,477)$ | 12.1584 |  | 3,784 |  | 3,784 |  | $(1,679,878)$ |
| 06/30/2002 |  | - |  | - |  | $(134,390)$ |  | $(138,166)$ | 12.1584 |  | 3,776 |  | 3,776 |  | $(1,676,102)$ |
| 06/30/2003 |  | - |  | - |  | $(134,088)$ |  | $(137,855)$ | 12.1584 |  | 3,767 |  | 3,767 |  | $(1,672,335)$ |
| 06/30/2004 |  | - |  | - |  | $(133,787)$ |  | $(137,546)$ | 12.1584 |  | 3,759 |  | 3,759 |  | $(1,668,576)$ |
| 06/30/2005 |  | - |  | - |  | $(133,486)$ |  | $(137,236)$ | 12.1584 |  | 3,750 |  | 3,750 |  | (1,664,826) |
| 06/30/2006 |  | - |  | - |  | $(133,186)$ |  | $(136,928)$ | 12.1584 |  | 3,742 |  | 3,742 |  | $(1,661,084)$ |

* Not applicable for the year ending June 30, 1998, since NPO as of July 1, 1997 is presumed to be $\$ 0$.
The funding method used in this plan is the aggregate method, which in accordance with GASB 25 does not require a Schedule of Funding Progress disclosure.


## III. ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

## Actuarial Cost Method

There is a wide range of funding methods that are considered acceptable by the actuarial profession, which are recognized by accountants, and that meet government standards. The Frozen Initial Liability Actuarial Cost Method is the method currently used for the Retirement Plan for Employees of Job Service North Dakota.

The "annual contribution" under this method is the normal cost plus the payment required to amortize the unfunded initial actuarial accrued liability over a selected period of years. The normal cost is determined by calculating the total value of all future benefits, subtracting the outstanding balance (if any) of the unfunded initial actuarial accrued liability, subtracting the actuarial value of assets, and determining payments (not less than zero) that are a level percent of pay over the future working lifetime of all participants. In the absence of an unfunded initial actuarial accrued liability, The Frozen Initial Liability Actuarial Cost Method is the same as the Aggregate Cost Method.

The "scheduled contribution" will be determined when the plan is not in surplus and will be based on a funding policy adopted by the Employer.

## Asset Valuation Method

The asset value indicates the portion of the benefits already funded. The method used to determine this value is called the actuarial asset valuation method. The actuarial asset valuation method is as follows:

The asset value is adjusted toward market value by adding to the "preliminary asset value", $20 \%$ of the difference between the market value and the preliminary asset value. The preliminary asset value is the actuarial asset value at the beginning of the year plus net new money. Net new money is the sum of contributions, dividends, and interest, less the sum of benefit payments, administrative expenses and investment fees. If necessary, the actuarial value is further adjusted to be within $20 \%$ of market value.

## Actuarial Assumptions

## Mortality tables:

| Healthy: | 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table (sample rates below). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Disabled: | 1983 Railroad Retirement Board Disabled Life Mortality Table. |

Disability incidence: Sample rates shown below.
Withdrawal rates: Sample rates shown below.

|  | Rates (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mortality |  | Disability Incidence | Withdrawal |
| Age | Male | Female |  |  |
| 20 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 5.44 |
| 25 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 5.29 |
| 30 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 5.07 |
| 35 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 4.70 |
| 40 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 4.19 |
| 45 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 3.54 |
| 50 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 2.48 |
| 55 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 1.01 | 0.94 |
| 60 | 0.80 | 0.44 | 1.63 | 0.09 |

Withdrawal rates end when first eligible for the earlier of optional or normal retirement.
$75 \%$ of active participants are assumed to retire when first eligible for optional retirement, and the remaining participants retire at normal retirement. If currently older than first eligible optional retirement age, retirement assumed to occur at normal retirement, or current age, if older.
$100 \%$ of inactive vested participants are assumed to retire at first optional retirement age.

## Salary scale:

## Post-retirement

 cost-of-living adjustment:Percent married:

## Age of spouse:

Rate of return:

Future benefit accruals:
5.0\% per year.
$5.0 \%$ per year.
$85 \%$ of all active and inactive vested participants are assumed to be married.

Females are assumed to be four years younger than males.
$7.5 \%$ per year, compounded annually, net of investment and administrative expenses.

One year of credited service per year per active employee included in the valuation.

## IV. SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

This section summarizes the major provisions of the plan as included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be, interpreted as a complete description of all plan provisions.

## Normal retirement

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:
65.

None.
Average monthly earnings multiplied by the sum of:
a. $1.50 \%$ times credited service up to five years, plus
b. $\quad 1.75 \%$ times credited service between six and ten years, plus
c. $2.00 \%$ times credited service in excess of ten years.

Average monthly earnings - monthly average earnings during the highest three consecutive years of employment.

## Optional retirement

Age and service requirements:

Benefit:

## Early retirement

Age 62 with five years of credited service, or Age 60 with twenty years of credited service, or Age 55 with thirty years of credited service.

Accrued normal retirement benefit.

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

Ten years before normal or optional retirement age.
Same as optional retirement.
Accrued normal retirement benefit, reduced if payments begin before normal or optional retirement.

## Disability

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

## Vesting

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

None.

Five years of credited service.
Greater of accrued normal retirement benefit or $40 \%$ of average monthly earnings.

None
Five years of credited service.
Accrued normal retirement benefit payable at normal or optional retirement. After attainment of early retirement age, reduced benefits may be paid.

Employees who meet the requirements for a vested benefit may elect to receive a return of their accumulated employee contributions (including interest at $4 \%$ per year) in lieu of all other benefits under the plan.

Employees who do not meet the requirements for a vested benefit will receive a return of their accumulated employee contributions (including interest at 4\% per year).

## Pre-retirement death benefits

## Married participants

Surviving spouse's benefit:
Age requirement:
None.

Service requirement:
Benefit:

Children's benefit:

None.
$55 \%$ of the greater of (a) or (b).
(a) Accrued normal retirement benefit.
(b) The lesser of (1) or (2).
(1) $40 \%$ of average monthly earnings.
(2) Normal retirement benefit based on credited service to age 60 .

Provided for children under age 18 (age 22 if a fulltime student) - note: the actuarial valuation does not consider benefits for expected surviving children.

## Single participants with no eligible children

120 payment guarantee:

Benefit:

Age requirement:
None.

Service requirement:
Five years of credited service.
Accrued normal retirement benefit payable for 120 months. Not payable if surviving spouse or children's benefit is payable.

Lump sum benefit:


#### Abstract

Age requirement: None.

Service requirement: Benefit:

None. Accumulated employee contributions (including interest at $4 \%$ per year). Not payable if the surviving spouse, children's benefit or 120 payment guarantee is in effect.

Based on form of payment elected by the pensioner.

Based on the Consumer Price Index.

Plan participant before October 1, 1980.

Monthly salaried employment in a probationary or permanent status including only: service for which contributions were made (including purchased service), eligible military service and unused sick leave.

Employee: 7\% of average monthly earnings ( $4 \%$ picked up by employer). Employer: remaining scheduled cost, if any.
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We are pleased to submit our report on the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plan for Employees of Job Service North Dakota as of July 1, 2006. The report includes an analysis of last year's actuarial experience as well as the contribution requirements for the year beginning July 1, 2006.

The report's actuarial content was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles under our direction. The valuation was:

- Prepared by qualified actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and who have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems; and
- Prepared in accordance with standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board.
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We would be pleased to answer any questions you may have regarding the report.
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This report presents the results of the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plan for Employees of Job Service North Dakota as of July $1,2006$.

The following table is a summary of significant results of this year's valuation compared with the results of the last valuation.

| Valuation Results | July 1, 2006 | July 1, 2005   <br> Scheduled contribution at end of year $\$ 763,071$  <br> Contribution as a percentage of payroll $37.09 \%$ $0.00 \%$ <br> Total payroll of employees included in   <br> cost calculations $\$ 1,922,664$ $\$ 2,226,912$ <br> Outstanding balance of frozen initial liability $\$ 2,376,168$ $\$ 0$ <br> Amortization of frozen initial liability (7 years $\$ 412,061$ $\$ 0$ <br> remaining as of July 1, 2006) $\$ 301,089$ $\$ 0$ <br> Normal cost $\$ 74,240,992$ $\$ 63,324,714$ <br> Actuarial present value of projected benefits $\$ 70,628,705$ $\$ 69,294,225$ <br> Actuarial value of assets $\$ 84,359,650$ $\$ 81,508,999$ Market value of assets |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Effective July 1, 1999, the "scheduled contribution" will be zero as long as the plan's actuarial value of assets exceeds the actuarial present value of projected benefits. Since the liabilities of the plan exceed its assets as of July 1, 2006, a "scheduled contribution" will be determined based on the funding policy adopted by the Employer.

The actuarial rate of return assumption was changed from $8.0 \%$ to $7.0 \%$ effective with the July 1,2006 valuation. There were no changes to the plan provisions since the prior valuation.

## II. VALUATION RESULTS

The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us by the Retirement Office staff.

1. Employees active as of July 1,2006 , with total annual salaries of $\$ 1,922,664$.
a. Fully vested 44
b. Not vested $\quad 0$
c. Total 44
2. Employees inactive as of July 1, 2006 with vested rights 5
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 3. Pensioners (including disableds) and beneficiaries as of } \\ \text { July } 1,2006 & 115\end{array}$
3. Pensioners and beneficiaries receiving annuities from The
Travelers as of July 1,2006
4. Total Plan participants as of July 1, 2006270

The actuarial liabilities as of the valuation date are as follows:

1. Actuarial present value of benefits:
a. Active employees $\$ 19,094,262$
b. Inactive vested employees not in pay status 278,293
c. Pensioners (including disableds) and beneficiaries* $\underline{54,868,437}$
d. Total \$74,240,992
2. Actuarial value of assets ( $\$ 84,359,650$ at market value) $70,628,705$
3. Outstanding balance as of July 1, 2006 of frozen initial liability** $\quad \underline{2,376,168}$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. Actuarial present value of future normal costs } \\ & \text { (item } 1 \text { - item } 2 \text { - item } 3 \text {, not less than } \$ 0 \text { ) }\end{aligned} \quad \$ 1,236,119$
4. Actuarial present value of future salaries $\$ 7,893,768$
5. Normal cost percentage (item 4 divided by item 5) $15.66 \%$
6. Total salaries of employees below the assumed retirement age $\$ 1,922,664$
7. Normal cost (item $6 \times$ item 7) $\$ 301,089$
[^16]The actuarial rate of return assumption was changed from $8.00 \%$ to $7.00 \%$ since the previous valuation. The benefit provisions are the same as those in the preceding valuation.

## Actuarial Experience

Since July 1, 2005, there was a net actuarial loss. The total actuarial loss for the plan was $\$ 2.0$ million, which is comprised of a demographic loss of $\$ 0.9$ million and an asset loss of $\$ 1.1$ million. An analysis of experience in key areas for the year ended June 30, 2006 follows.

## Salary Increases

The average salary increase for participants as of July 1, 2006 who were included in the last valuation was $4.6 \%$ compared to the $5.0 \%$ salary scale assumption. The result is an actuarial gain.

## Post-Retirement Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA)

The average COLA for participants and beneficiaries paid by the retirement plan as of July 1, 2006 who were included in the last valuation was $4.10 \%$ compared to the $5.0 \%$ COLA assumption. The result is an actuarial gain.

## Rate of Return

The investment rate of return on an actuarial basis was approximately $7.86 \%$ for the year ended June 30, 2006. This return is lower than the assumed rate of return of $8.0 \%$, resulting in an actuarial loss. The rate of return on a market value basis was $7.18 \%$. The assumed rate of return has been changed to $7.00 \%$ effective July 1, 2006 .

Table 1 summarizes demographic characteristics for plan participants.
Table 2 presents a distribution of active participants by age and credited service.
Table 3 presents a reconciliation of participant data.
Table 4 summarizes the changes in plan net assets.
Table 5 is a summary of plan assets on a market basis.
Table 6 shows the determination of the actuarial value of assets.
Table 7 shows the development of the NPO and ARC pursuant to GASB 27.

Table 1

## Plan Coverage and Selected Data

This Year and Preceding Year

| Category | July 1, 2006 | July 1, 2005 | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active participants: |  |  |  |
| Number | 44 | 52 | (15.4)\% |
| Average age | 55.1 | 54.5 | -- |
| Average service | 30.5 | 29.8 | -- |
| Payroll | \$1,922,664 | \$2,226,912 | (13.7) |
| Average pay | \$43,697 | \$42,825 | 2.0 |
| Inactive participants with rights to immediate or deferred pension | 5 | 5 | -- |
| Pensioners (including disableds) and beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number paid by retirement plan | 115 | 108 | 6.5 |
| Total annual benefits | \$2,762,934 | \$2,456,937 | 12.5 |
| Average annual benefit | \$24,026 | \$22,749 | 5.6 |
| Number of Travelers annuitants | 106 | 109 | (2.8) |
| Total annual benefits from plan (COLAs) | \$509,631 | \$451,169 | 13.0 |
| Average annual benefit from plan (COLAs) | \$4,808 | \$4,139 | 16.2 |

Table 2

| Age | Total | Years of Credited Service |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and Over |
| Total | 44 | - | 21 | 20 | 3 |
| 45-49 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
| 50-54 | 20 | - | 9 | 10 | 1 |
| 55-59 | 17 | - | 7 | 9 | 1 |
| 60 \& over | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Table 3

| Reconciliation of Participant Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active | Vested <br> Former <br> Participants | Pay Status <br> Participants <br> Paid From <br> Plan Assets | Pay Status <br> Participants <br> Paid From <br> The Travelers | Total |  |
| Number as of July 1, 2005 | 52 | 5 | 108 | 109 | 274 |
| Retirements | -8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Beneficiaries | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Certain period expired | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 1 |
| Died with beneficiary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| Died without beneficiary | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 |
| Number as of July 1, 2006 | 44 | 5 | 115 | 106 | -3 |

Table 4

## Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets (Market Value)



Table 5

## Statement of Plan Net Assets



Table 6

Determination of the Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2006

| 1. Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2005 | \$ | 69,294,225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Increases During the Year |  |  |
| (a) Contributions | \$ | 150,633 |
| 3. Decreases During the Year |  |  |
| (a) Benefit Payments | \$ | $(3,062,585)$ |
| (b) Administrative Expenses |  | $(29,335)$ |
| (c) Investment Expenses |  | $(1,073,229)$ |
| (d) Total Decreases During the Year | \$ | $(4,165,149)$ |
| 4. Actual Return-Interest Dividends | \$ | 1,916,260 |
| 5. Preliminary Actuarial Value at End of Year [(1) + (2) + (3) + (4)] | \$ | 67,195,969 |
| 6. Market Value at End of Year | \$ | 84,359,650 |
| 7. Adjustment Toward Market Value ( $20 \%$ of [(6)-(5)]) | \$ | 3,432,736 |
| 8. Adjustment to be Within $20 \%$ of Market Value | \$ | 0 |
| 9. Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, $2006[(5)+(7)+(8)]$ | \$ | 70,628,705 |
| 10. Actuarial Value as a Percentage of Market Value [(9)/ (6)] |  | 83.7\% |

* Not applicable for the year ending June 30, 1998, since NPO as of July 1, 1997 is presumed to be $\$ 0$.



## III. ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

## Actuarial Cost Method

There is a wide range of funding methods that are considered acceptable by the actuarial profession, which are recognized by accountants, and that meet government standards. The Frozen Initial Liability Actuarial Cost Method is the method currently used for the Retirement Plan for Employees of Job Service North Dakota.

The "annual contribution" under this method is the normal cost plus the payment required to amortize the unfunded initial actuarial accrued liability over a selected period of years. The normal cost is determined by calculating the total value of all future benefits, subtracting the outstanding balance (if any) of the unfunded initial actuarial accrued liability, subtracting the actuarial value of assets, and determining payments (not less than zero) that are a level percent of pay over the future working lifetime of all participants. In the absence of an unfunded initial actuarial accrued liability, The Frozen Initial Liability Actuarial Cost Method is the same as the Aggregate Cost Method.

The "scheduled contribution" will be determined when the plan is not in surplus and will be based on a funding policy adopted by the Employer.

## Asset Valuation Method

The asset value indicates the portion of the benefits already funded. The method used to determine this value is called the actuarial asset valuation method. The actuarial asset valuation method is as follows:

The asset value is adjusted toward market value by adding to the "preliminary asset value", $20 \%$ of the difference between the market value and the preliminary asset value. The preliminary asset value is the actuarial asset value at the beginning of the year plus net new money. Net new money is the sum of contributions, dividends, and interest, less the sum of benefit payments, administrative expenses and investment fees. If necessary, the actuarial value is further adjusted to be within $20 \%$ of market value.

## Actuarial Assumptions

## Mortality tables:

Healthy: 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table (sample rates below).
Disabled: 1983 Railroad Retirement Board Disabled Life Mortality Table.

Disability incidence: Sample rates shown below.
Withdrawal rates: Sample rates shown below.

|  | Rates (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Mortality |  | Disability <br> Incidence | Withdrawal |
| Age | $\underline{\text { Male }}$ | $\underline{\text { Female }}$ |  |  |
| 20 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 5.44 |
| 25 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 5.29 |
| 30 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 5.07 |
| 35 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 4.70 |
| 40 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 4.19 |
| 45 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 3.54 |
| 50 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 2.48 |
| 55 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 1.01 | 0.94 |
| 60 | 0.80 | 0.44 | 1.63 | 0.09 |

Withdrawal rates end when first eligible for the earlier of optional or normal retirement.

Retirement age:
$75 \%$ of active participants are assumed to retire when first eligible for optional retirement, and the remaining participants retire at normal retirement. If currently older than first eligible optional retirement age, retirement assumed to occur at normal retirement, or current age, if older.
$100 \%$ of inactive vested participants are assumed to retire at first optional retirement age.
Salary scale:5.0\% per year.
Post-retirement cost-of-living adjustment: 5.0\% per year.
Percent married:$85 \%$ of all active and inactive vested participants are assumed tobe married.
Age of spouse: Females are assumed to be four years younger than males.
Rate of return: $7.0 \%$ per year, compounded annually, net of investment and administrative expenses.
Future benefit accruals: One year of credited service per year per active employee included in the valuation.

## IV. SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

This section summarizes the major provisions of the plan as included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be, interpreted as a complete description of all plan provisions.

## Normal retirement

Age requirement:
65.

Service requirement:
None.
Benefit:
Average monthly earnings multiplied by the sum of:
a. $1.50 \%$ times credited service up to five years, plus
b. $1.75 \%$ times credited service between six and ten years, plus
c. $2.00 \%$ times credited service in excess of ten years.

Average monthly earnings - monthly average earnings during the highest three consecutive years of employment.

## Optional retirement

Age and service requirements:

Benefit:

Age 62 with five years of credited service, or Age 60 with twenty years of credited service, or Age 55 with thirty years of credited service.

Accrued normal retirement benefit.

## Early retirement

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

Ten years before normal or optional retirement age.
Same as optional retirement.
Accrued normal retirement benefit, reduced if payments begin before normal or optional retirement.

## Disability

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

## Vesting

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

None.
Five years of credited service.
Greater of accrued normal retirement benefit or $40 \%$ of average monthly earnings.

None.
Five years of credited service.
Accrued normal retirement benefit payable at normal or optional retirement. After attainment of early retirement age, reduced benefits may be paid.

Employees who meet the requirements for a vested benefit may elect to receive a return of their accumulated employee contributions (including interest at $4 \%$ per year) in lieu of all other benefits under the plan.

Return of accumulated employee contributions

Employees who do not meet the requirements for a vested benefit will receive a return of their accumulated employee contributions (including interest at 4\% per year).

## Pre-retirement death benefits

## Married participants

Surviving spouse's benefit:

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

Children's benefit:

None.
None.
$55 \%$ of the greater of (a) or (b).
(a) Accrued normal retirement benefit.
(b) The lesser of (1) or (2).
(1) $40 \%$ of average monthly earnings.
(2) Normal retirement benefit based on credited service to age 60 .

Provided for children under age 18 (age 22 if a fulltime student) - note: the actuarial valuation does not consider benefits for expected surviving children.

## Single participants with no eligible children

120 payment guarantee:

Age requirement:
Service requirement:
Benefit:

None.
Five years of credited service.
Accrued normal retirement benefit payable for 120 months. Not payable if surviving spouse or children's benefit is payable.

Lump sum benefit:


#### Abstract

Age requirement: Service requirement: Benefit:

\section*{Post-retirement death benefits}

\section*{Post-retirement cost-of-living adjustment}

\section*{Participation}

\section*{Credited service}

\section*{Contribution rate}

None. None. Accumulated employee contributions (including interest at $4 \%$ per year). Not payable if the surviving spouse, children's benefit or 120 payment guarantee is in effect.

Based on form of payment elected by the pensioner.

Based on the Consumer Price Index.

Plan participant before October 1, 1980.

Monthly salaried employment in a probationary or permanent status including only: service for which contributions were made (including purchased service), eligible military service and unused sick leave.

Employee: 7\% of average monthly earnings ( $4 \%$ picked up by employer). Employer: remaining scheduled cost, if any.


[^0]:    * Net of investment expenses.
    ** Includes write-up (down).
    *** Each year's yield weighted by average fund value in that year.

[^1]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

[^2]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

[^3]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

[^4]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

[^5]:    *Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

[^6]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

[^7]:    * Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

[^8]:    * Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

[^9]:    * Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

[^10]:    * Includes Rule of 85, Rule of 88, and Rule of 90.

[^11]:    * Excludes four members with split service in the Highway PatroImen's System and the Main System, and currently in the Main System.

[^12]:    * Net of investment expenses.
    ** Includes write-up (down).
    *** Each year's yield weighted by average fund value in that year.

[^13]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments through the year.

[^14]:    * Adjusted for interest to recognize payments throughout the year.

[^15]:    * Including value of Cost-of-Living adjustments (COLAs) for pensioners with annuities from The Travelers.

[^16]:    *Including value of Cost-of-Living adjustments (COLAs) for pensioners with annuities from The Travelers.
    **Resulting from the change in actuarial rate of return assumption, not greater than the unfunded accrued liability.

