

The experience and dedication you deserve

Teachers' Retirement System State of Montana

Actuarial Valuation As of July 1, 2016







The experience and dedication you deserve

October 7, 2016

Teachers' Retirement Board State of Montana 1500 Sixth Avenue Helena, MT 59620-0139

Members of the Board:

In this report are submitted the results of the annual valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Teachers' Retirement System of Montana (TRS), prepared as of July 1, 2016.

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of the funded status of the System as of July 1, 2016. While not verifying the data at source, the actuary performed tests for consistency and reasonability. The valuation indicates that the statutory contribution rate reflecting all anticipated contribution increases are sufficient to amortize the unfunded accrued liability within a 24 year period.

The promised benefits of the System are included in the actuarially calculated contribution rates which are developed using the Entry Age Normal cost method. Four-year market related value of assets is used for actuarial valuation purposes. Gains and losses are reflected in the unfunded accrued liability that is being amortized by regular annual contributions as a level percentage of payroll, on the assumption that payroll will increase by 4.00% annually. The assumptions recommended by the actuary and adopted by the Board are in the aggregate reasonably related to the experience under the Fund and to reasonable expectations of anticipated experience under the Fund.

This is to certify that Edward Macdonald and Todd Green, Principal and Consulting Actuaries for Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. This also certifies that the undersigned have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems, that the valuation was prepared in accordance with principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board, and that the actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries in accordance with accepted actuarial procedures, based on the current provisions of the retirement system and on actuarial assumptions that are internally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System.



October 7, 2016 Teachers' Retirement Board Page 2

Future actuarial results may differ significantly from the current results presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Since the potential impact of such factors is outside the scope of a normal annual actuarial valuation, an analysis of the range of results is not presented herein.

The Table of Contents, which immediately follows, outlines the material contained in the report.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward A. Macdonald, ASA, FCA, MAAA

Edul Muldel

President

EAM:TBG/kc

Todd B. Green, ASA, FCA, MAAA Principal and Consulting Actuary

Todel B. 6



Table of Contents

		Page
Section 1	Summary of Findings	1
Section 2	Assets	10
Table 1	Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	11
Table 2	Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets	12
Table 3	Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets	
Table 4	Historical Investment Returns	14
Table 5	Historical Investment Returns, cont	15
Table 6	Market Value of Assets vs. Actuarial Value of Assets	16
Section 3	Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits	
Table 7	Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits for Contributing Members,	
	Former Contributing Members, and Beneficiaries	18
Section 4	Employer Contributions	
Table 8	Normal Cost Contribution Rates As Percentages of Salary	21
	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Section 5	Cash Flows	23
Table 10	Cash Flow History	24
Table 11	Cash Flow Projection Statutory Basis	25
	Actuarial Gains or Losses	
Table 12	Analysis of Actuarial Gains or Losses	27
	A Actuarial Procedures and Assumptions	
	B Summary of Benefit Provisions	
	C Valuation Data	
	D Comparative Schedules	
	E Glossary	



Section I Summary of Findings

For convenience of reference, the principal results of the valuation and a comparison with the preceding year's results are summarized below:

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

VALUATION DATE		ll4 0040	lulud 0045
VALUATION DATE		July 1, 2016	July 1, 2015
Active members			
Number Full-Time Members		12,769	12.469
Part-Time Members		6,279	12,468 5,848
Annual valuation compensation	\$	795,921	\$ 768,719
Retired members and beneficiaries	Ψ	755,521	Ψ 700,710
Number		15,164	14,839
Annual allowances	\$	336,465	\$ 321,511
Inactive Members	Ψ	330,403	Ψ 321,311
Vested Terminated Members		1,704	1,664
Non-Vested Terminated Members		12,888	12,839
Assets		12,000	12,000
Actuarial value	\$	3,798,944	\$ 3,609,847
Market value	Ψ	3,656,831	3,708,386
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	\$	5,483,674	\$ 5,351,392
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$	1,684,730	\$ 1,741,545
Funded Ratio		69.28%	67.46%
Market Value Rate of Return		2.08%	4.57%
Annual Cost			
Total Normal Rate		9.87%	9.21%
Employee Contribution Rate		<u>8.15%</u>	<u>8.15%</u>
Employer Normal Rate		1.72%	1.06%
Employer Statutory Contribution Rate			
Normal Rate		1.72%	1.06%
Administrative Expense Load		0.31%	0.28%
UAAL Rate		9.23%	<u>9.82%</u>
Total Rate		11.26%	11.16%
Amortization Period*		24 Years	26 Years

^{*} Reflects anticipated increases in employer contribution rates.

As a result of this actuarial valuation of the benefits in effect under the Montana Teachers Retirement System as of July 1, 2016, the statutory employer contributions are sufficient to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) of the Retirement System within 24 years. The Funded Ratio is 69.28%.

The table below shows a history of the legislated contribution rates as a percent of pay. In addition to these contributions the State will contribute \$25 million annually to the System payable July 1st of each year.

Finally, MCA 19-20-605 requires each employer to contribute 9.85% of total compensation paid to all re-employed TRS retirees employed in a TRS reportable position. Pursuant to MCA 19-20-609, this amount shall increase by 1.00% for fiscal year 2014 and increase by 0.10% each fiscal year through 2024 until the total employer contribution is equal to 11.85% of re-employed retiree compensation.

History of Legislated Contributions (as a Percent of Pay)

School District and Other Employers

				Total employee
	<u>Members</u>	Employers	General fund	& employer
Prior to July 1, 2007	7.15%	7.47%	0.11%	14.73%
July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2009	7.15%	7.47%	2.11%	16.73%
July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2013	7.15%	7.47%	2.49%	17.11%
July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014	8.15%	8.47%	2.49%	19.11%
July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015	8.15%	8.57%	2.49%	19.21%
July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016	8.15%	8.67%	2.49%	19.31%
July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017	8.15%	8.77%	2.49%	19.41%
July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018	8.15%	8.87%	2.49%	19.51%
July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019	8.15%	8.97%	2.49%	19.61%
July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020	8.15%	9.07%	2.49%	19.71%
July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021	8.15%	9.17%	2.49%	19.81%
July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022	8.15%	9.27%	2.49%	19.91%
July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023	8.15%	9.37%	2.49%	20.01%
July 1, 2023 to June 30, 2024	8.15%	9.47%	2.49%	20.11%

State and University Employers

			Total employee
<u>Members</u>	Employers	General fund	<u>& employer</u>
7.15%	7.47%	0.11%	14.73%
7.15%	9.47%	0.11%	16.73%
7.15%	9.85%	0.11%	17.11%
8.15%	10.85%	0.11%	19.11%
8.15%	10.95%	0.11%	19.21%
8.15%	11.05%	0.11%	19.31%
8.15%	11.15%	0.11%	19.41%
8.15%	11.25%	0.11%	19.51%
8.15%	11.35%	0.11%	19.61%
8.15%	11.45%	0.11%	19.71%
8.15%	11.55%	0.11%	19.81%
8.15%	11.65%	0.11%	19.91%
8.15%	11.75%	0.11%	20.01%
8.15%	11.85%	0.11%	20.11%
	7.15% 7.15% 7.15% 8.15% 8.15% 8.15% 8.15% 8.15% 8.15% 8.15% 8.15% 8.15%	7.15% 7.47% 7.15% 9.47% 7.15% 9.85% 8.15% 10.85% 8.15% 10.95% 8.15% 11.05% 8.15% 11.15% 8.15% 11.35% 8.15% 11.45% 8.15% 11.55% 8.15% 11.65% 8.15% 11.75%	7.15% 7.47% 0.11% 7.15% 9.47% 0.11% 7.15% 9.85% 0.11% 8.15% 10.85% 0.11% 8.15% 10.95% 0.11% 8.15% 11.05% 0.11% 8.15% 11.15% 0.11% 8.15% 11.25% 0.11% 8.15% 11.35% 0.11% 8.15% 11.55% 0.11% 8.15% 11.65% 0.11% 8.15% 11.75% 0.11%



Calculations based on the Market Value of Assets

MCA 19-20-201 requires this report to show how market performance is affecting the actuarial funding of the Retirement System. The July 1, 2016 market value of assets is \$142.1 million less than the actuarial value of assets. This is due to the smoothing of investment gains and losses over a four year period. If the market value of assets was used, the amortization period would be 28 years, and the Funded Ratio would be 66.69%.

Additional Details

MCA 19-20-604 states that the contribution from the State General Fund will be reduced by 0.11% when the amortization period of the System's UAAL is 10 years or less according to the System's latest actuarial valuation.

The actuarial costs are calculated using the entry age actuarial cost method. This is the method used by most public plans. It is designed to provide a stable contribution rate as a percent of member pay. This actuarial valuation measures the adequacy of the contribution rates set in Montana State Law.

Investment Experience

The market assets earned 2.08% net of investment and operating expenses. As a result of prior years' unrecognized gains, the actuarial assets earned 8.79% which is 1.04% greater than the actuarial assumption of 7.75%. The return on the actuarial assets differs from the return on market assets because the actuarial value of assets spreads gains and losses over four years. The chart below shows the annual returns for the past ten years.

Year	Market Return	Actuarial Return	Market Return over Assumption	Actuarial Return over Assumption
7/1/2006 to 6/30/2007	17.64%	10.22%	9.89%	2.47%
7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008	(4.88)%	7.18%	(12.63)%	(0.57)%
7/1/2008 to 6/30/2009	(20.80)%	(10.26)%	(28.55)%	(18.01)%
7/1/2009 to 6/30/2010	12.87%	9.78%	5.12%	2.03%
7/1/2010 to 6/30/2011	21.67%	(0.13)%	13.92%	(7.88)%
7/1/2011 to 6/30/2012	2.21%	3.21%	(5.54)%	(4.54)%
7/1/2012 to 6/30/2013	12.94%	11.99%	5.19%	4.24%
7/1/2013 to 6/30/2014	17.09%	13.21%	9.34%	5.46%
7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015	4.57%	9.59%	(3.18)%	1.84%
7/1/2015 to 6/30/2016	2.08%	8.79%	(5.67)%	1.04%

Asset gains or losses result when the return on the actuarial value of assets differs from the actuarial investment return assumption of 7.75%.

On a market value basis the System earned \$114.4 million less than anticipated by the 7.75% assumption in the year ended June 30, 2015 and \$211.3 million less than anticipated by the 7.75% assumption in the year ended June 30, 2016. The net result as of July 1, 2016 is that the market value of assets is \$142.1 million less than the actuarial value of assets. This \$142.1 million in unrecognized asset losses will either offset any future investment gains or if there are none, increase the amortization period of the UAAL in future valuations.

Recent Contribution Increases

The Montana University System Retirement Program (MUS-RP) supplemental contribution ensures university member benefits are funded by university employers. The supplemental contribution was increased from 4.04% to 4.72% of MUS-RP member pay at July 1, 2007. The valuation that determined the 4.72% contribution rate of MUS-RP member pay was based on the valuation completed as of July 1, 2006. The most recent MUS-RP valuation completed as of July 1, 2014 indicated an increase is needed in the supplemental contribution rate from 4.72% to 9.75% of MUS-RP member compensation rate.

MCA 19-20-608 and MCA 19-20-609 dictate that employers and members are required to make supplemental contributions if the funded ratio of the System is less than 90%. Since the funded ratio is currently 69.28%, Tier One Members are required to contribute an additional 1% of compensation. The individual employers are required to contribute an additional 1% of compensation. The employer contribution shall increase by an additional 0.1% each year following July 1, 2013 until the total employer supplemental contribution is equal to 2% of compensation.

MCA 19-20-605 requires each employer to contribute 9.85% of total compensation paid to all reemployed TRS retirees employed in a TRS reportable position. Pursuant to MCA 19-20-609, this amount shall increase by 1.00% for fiscal year 2014 and increase by 0.10% each fiscal year through 2024 until the total employer contribution is equal to 11.85% of re-employed retiree compensation.

Amortization of the UAAL

The July 1, 2015 actuarial valuation calculated a 26 year amortization period for the UAAL. The resulting amortization period at July 1, 2016 is 24 years. The amortization period anticipates future increases in employer supplemental contributions. In addition, it anticipates future State General Fund contributions will decrease by 0.11% when the amortization period of the System's UAAL is 10 years or less. Future decreases in the Employer and Member Supplemental Contributions are not anticipated.



Funding and Benefits Policy

The Teachers' Retirement System has adopted a Funding and Benefits Policy to provide general guidelines to help ensure decisions are made based on sound, consistent, and thoroughly examined criteria. The Funding and Benefits Policy includes guidance on the following topics:

1) Additional Funding

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states:
 - "1. If the amortization period is greater than 30 years, the actuary will recommend the single contribution rate increase that can reasonably expect to fully amortize the UAAL over a closed 30-year period effective July 1, following the next regular legislative session.
 - 2. If the amortization period is less than 30 years, but greater than 0, and it is projected to continue to decline over the remainder of the closed period, the actuary will not recommend a change in the statutory contribution rates.
 - 3. If the amortization period is less than 30 years, but has increased over prior valuations and is projected to continue to grow, the actuary will recommend a contribution rate increase that is reasonably expected to reverse the recent trend and reestablish a closed amortization period equal to that of the last valuation."
- 2) Analysis: The amortization period as of July 1, 2016 is 24 years based on actuarial assets and 28 years based on market assets. Assuming experience follows the actuarial assumptions, the amortization period is projected to decline. Therefore additional funding is not necessary at this time.

3) Ultimate Goal

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states: "It is the desire of the Board to fully fund the System. However, until the System becomes fully funded, any unfunded liabilities will be amortized over a closed period of no more than 30 years and funded as a level percent of pay. At such time as the System becomes fully funded and has as stabilization reserve of at least 10% of the actuarial accrued liability, the allowed amortization period for any subsequent unfunded liabilities will be reduced to a closed period of not greater than 20 years."
- b) Analysis: The amortization period on an actuarial value of asset basis is 24 years and is anticipated to decline. This is within the parameters of the ultimate goal of the Retirement System.

4) Benefit Enhancements

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states: "Any recommendation for a benefit enhancement must include recommendations for necessary additional funding or other benefit reduction to cover any increase in normal cost arising from the recommended enhancement and to amortize any increase in the unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities arising from the recommended enhancement over a period not to exceed 25 years.
 - The Board will determine its position with respect to supporting or opposing legislation, on a case-by-case basis, and will apply this policy, actuarial funding standards, and other industry-standard information and resources it finds persuasive, as decision guides. The Board may not support legislation to enhance benefits if the funded ratio is less than 85%, and the amortization period is greater than 20 years."
- b) Analysis: Since the funded ratio at July 1, 2016 of 69.28% is below 80% the Board's Funding and Benefits policy does not currently support enhanced benefits.



Sensitivity to Future Experience

The valuation results are projections based on the actuarial assumptions. Actual experience will differ from these assumptions, either increasing or decreasing the ultimate cost. The following illustrations provide simple analyses on how the costs are sensitive to changes in the assumed rate of return and changes to the GABA.

 $\underline{\text{Investment Return}} - \text{The investment return generally has the largest impact on the funding of the System}.$

Improved of Appropriate O. FO/ 1	and Date and
Impact of Assuming 0.5% Lo	
Current Assumption 7.75% Lower Assumption 7.25% Change	<u>Funded Ratio</u> 69.28% <u>65.70%</u> (3.58)%
Current Assumption 7.75% Lower Assumption 7.25% Increase	Amortization Period Increase / (Decrease) 24 Years 34 Years 10 Years
Impact of Assuming 1.0% Lo	ower Investment Return
Current Assumption 7.75% Lower Assumption 6.75% Change	<u>Funded Ratio</u> 69.28% <u>62.20%</u> (7.08)%
Current Assumption 7.75% Lower Assumption 6.75% Increase	Amortization Period Increase / (Decrease) 24 Years 51 Years 27 Years
Impact of Assuming 1.5% Lo	ower Investment Return
Current Assumption 7.75% Lower Assumption 6.25% Change	<u>Funded Ratio</u> 69.28% <u>58.77%</u> (10.51)%
Current Assumption 7.75% Lower Assumption 6.25% Increase	Amortization Period Increase / (Decrease) 24 Years 86 Years 62 Years

The future funding status of the System will be determined by the System's experience. The System's actual asset returns and retirement rates, as well as member longevity, salary increases, withdrawal rates, disability rates and future legislation will all impact the funding status of the System. The entry age normal cost method and four year smoothing of asset gains and losses will help to provide a more orderly funding of the System's liabilities, but will not change the actual experience. The amortization period of the UAAL is not likely to decrease by the expected 1.0 year with each passing actuarial valuation. Instead, the amortization period is expected to decrease more or less than 1.0 years each year, reflecting gains and losses due to experience different than the actuarial assumptions.

Assumption Changes

There have been no assumption changes since the previous valuation.

Benefit Changes

There have been no benefit changes since the previous valuation.

Contribution Changes

An employer supplemental contribution of 1% of compensation is required beginning in fiscal year 2014 which will increase by 0.10% each subsequent fiscal year through 2024. For fiscal years beginning after June 30, 2024, the supplemental employer contribution will equal 2.00% of compensation.

Method Changes

Since the previous valuation, the normal cost method has been updated to align the calculation of the projected compensation and the total present value of plan benefits so that the normal cost rate reflects the most appropriate allocation of plan costs over future compensation.

Impact of Changes

The following table summarizes how experience has changed the UAAL since the July 1, 2015 Actuarial Valuation. Further detail can be found in Table 12.

Changes in the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)

(In millions)

July 1, 2015 Valuation UAAL Expected Increase Expected July 1, 2016 UAAL	\$ 1,741.5 (1.8) \$ 1,739.7
Experience Gain on Actuarial Liabilities Experience Gain on Actuarial Assets Assumption & Method Changes Plan Changes Total Gain July 1, 2016 Valuation UAAL	\$ (5.7) (36.9) (12.4) 0.0 \$ (55.0) \$ 1,684.7




Summary

- * The System's actuarial value investment return of 8.79% for the year ended June 30, 2016 is 1.04% more than the actuarial assumption of 7.75%. This represents an asset gain of 36.9 million due to investment return greater than anticipated. The actuarial value of assets is not allowed to be greater than 120% or less than 80% of the market value of assets. As of July 1, 2016, the market value of assets was \$3,656.8 million. As of July 1, 2016 the preliminary actuarial value of assets was \$3,798.9 million. Since the preliminary actuarial value is within the corridor no adjustment is required to the preliminary actuarial value of assets. The July 1, 2016 market value of assets is \$142.1 million less than the actuarial value of assets. This \$142.1 million loss will be recognized in future actuarial valuations unless it is offset by returns greater than the 7.75% assumption.
- * As of July 1, 2016 the amortization period of the UAAL is 24 years. Prior to this valuation the funding period was 26 years. Asset gains account for the decrease in the amortization period. In the prior valuations, the Supplemental Contribution of 4.72% of MUS-RP payroll was assumed to cease in 2033. It is our understanding the contribution will not stop unless legislative action is taken. The additional Supplemental Contributions and experience gains have contributed to the decrease in the amortization period from 26 years to 24 years. The ultimate goal of the Board's Funding and Benefits Policy is to increase the current net funded ratio of 69.28% above 110% to encourage stable contribution rates.
- * The funding of the retirement system will be impacted by future experience which will sometimes be more favorable than the actuarial assumptions and sometimes less favorable. In particular, investment returns larger and smaller than the 7.75% assumption are expected to have significant impacts on the System's funding progress. In the long term, the favorable experience is needed to offset the less favorable experience. This is the reason for using an actuarial value of assets that smoothes gains and losses over four years.



Projected Progress toward 100% Funding

The table below shows the projected progress toward reaching 100%. When the System is 100% funded the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability will be fully amortized. This is scheduled to occur within 24 years. The ultimate goal of the TRS System is to become at least 100% funded and to establish a reserve equal to 10% of the Systems Actuarial Accrued Liability.





Section 2

Assets

In many respects, an actuarial valuation can be regarded as an inventory process. The inventory is taken as of the actuarial valuation date, which for this valuation is July 1, 2016. On that date, the assets available for the payment of benefits are appraised. These assets are compared with the actuarial liabilities. The actuarial process thus leads to a method of determining what contributions by members and their employers are needed to strike a balance.

The asset valuation method being used is a four-year smoothing method. The expected return is determined each year based on the beginning of year market value and actual cash flows during the year. Any difference between the expected market value return and the actual market value return is recognized evenly over a period of four years. The actuarial value of assets is not allowed to be greater than 120% or less than 80% of the market value of assets.

Table 1 lists the assets held and their market value for the past two years. Table 2 summarizes the fund's activity during the past two years. Table 3 summarizes the determination of the actuarial value of assets. Table 4 summarizes historical asset returns for the last 15 years including the amount recognized by the actuarial asset valuation method which was greater or lesser than the actuarial investment return assumption. Table 5 summarizes the historical asset returns since 1995 on market value and actuarial value basis. Table 5 also shows the assumed rate of return since 1995 which was reduced from 8.00% to 7.75% in the FYE 2005. Table 6 summarizes the historical asset values on a market value and actuarial value basis.



Table 1
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets

		TOTAL TRS 2016		TOTAL TRS 2015
ASSETS				
Cash/Cash Equivalents-Short Term	•	400 700 404	•	70 400 070
Investment Pool	\$	106,788,491	\$	78,462,079
Receivables:		22 222 222		00 404 450
Accounts Receivable Interest Receivable		22,096,228		22,104,153
Total Receivables	\$	4,934,351	-\$	4,982,758
Total Receivables	<u> </u>	27,030,579	<u> </u>	27,086,911
Investments, at fair value:				
Investment Pools		3,522,665,695		3,602,502,966
Other Investments		-		608,874
Securities Lending Collateral		100,939,896		140,212,476
Total Investments	\$	3,623,605,591	\$	3,743,324,316
Acceta Lland in Plan Operations:				
Assets Used in Plan Operations: Land and Buildings	\$	193,844	\$	193,844
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	Φ	(150,545)	φ	(150,545)
Equipment		229,000		229,000
Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(177,354)		(160,956)
Construction Work in Progress		2,062,527		(100,000)
Intangible Assets, net of amortization		-		1,395,626
Total Other Assets		2,157,472		1,506,968
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	3,759,582,133	\$	3,850,380,274
Pension Deferred Outflows	\$	128,277	\$	84,106
	·	-,	•	. ,
LIABILITIES				
Accounts Payable	\$	148,655	\$	144,638
Securities Lending Liability		100,939,896		140,212,476
Compensated Absences		175,277		162,407
OPEB Implicit Rate Subsidy		327,604		286,574
Net Pension Liability		1,177,820	_	1,009,567
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$	102,769,251	\$	141,815,662
Pension Deferred Inflows	\$	110,361	\$	262,880
NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST				
FOR PENSION BENEFITS	\$	3,656,830,798	\$	3,708,385,838



Table 2
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets

	TOTAL TRS 2016	TOTAL TRS 2015
ADDITIONS		
Contributions:		
Employer	\$ 88,643,646	\$ 87,290,863
Plan Member	72,740,665	72,215,797
Other	43,902,606	43,389,534
Total Contributions	\$ 205,286,917	\$ 202,896,194
Misc Income	\$ 29,123	\$ 27,297
Investment Income:		
Net Appreciation/(Depreciation)		
in Fair Value of Investments	\$ (84,549,668)	\$ 45,548,576
Investment Earnings	177,329,931	139,711,734
Security Lending Income	1,056,684	903,722
Investment Income/(Loss)	\$ 93,836,947	\$ 186,164,032
Less: Investment Expense	22,014,737	20,315,557
Less: Security Lending Expense	334,549	163,522
Net Investment Income/(Loss)	\$ 71,487,661	\$ 165,684,953
Total Additions	\$ 276,803,701	\$ 368,608,444
DEDUCTIONS		
Benefit Payments	\$ 320,810,259	\$ 303,675,300
Withdrawals	5,086,816	5,368,359
Administrative Expense	2,318,818	2,035,081
OPEB Expenses	54,594	64,400
Pension Expense	88,255	76,231
Total Deductions	\$ 328,358,741	\$ 311,219,370
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN PLAN NET ASSETS	\$ (51,555,040)	\$ 57,389,074
NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST FOR PENSION BENEFITS BEGINNING OF YEAR	\$ 3,708,385,838	\$ 3,652,100,237
ADJUSTMENT	-	(1,103,473)
END OF YEAR	\$ 3,656,830,798	\$ 3,708,385,838



Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets

Valuation Date July 1:	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
A. Actuarial Value Beginning of Year	\$3,397,435,877	\$ 3,609,847,020			
B. Market Value End of Year	3,708,385,838	3,656,830,798			
C. Market Value of Beginning of Year	3,652,100,237	3,708,385,838			
D. Cash Flow					
D1. Contributions D2. Benefit Payments D3. Administrative Expenses D4. Pension and OPEB Expenses D5. Net	202,896,194 (309,043,659) (2,035,081) (140,631) \$ (108,323,177)	205,286,917 (325,897,074) (2,318,818) (142,849) \$ (123,071,824)			
E. Investment Income					
E1. Market Total: B C D3. E2. Assumed Rate E3. Amount for Immediate Recognition E4. Amount for Phased-in Recognition	\$ 164,608,778 7.75% 278,986,326 (114,377,548)	\$ 71,516,784 7.75% 282,779,254 (211,262,470)			
F. Phased-In Recognition of Investment Income					
F1. Current Year: 0.25 * E4. F2. First Prior Year F3. Second Prior Year F4. Third Prior Year F5. Total Recognized Investment Gain	\$ (28,594,387) 73,522,441 37,277,103 (40,457,163) \$ 41,747,994	\$ (52,815,617) (28,594,387) 73,522,441 37,277,103 \$ 29,389,540	\$ (52,815,617) (28,594,387) 73,522,441 \$ (7,887,563)	\$ - (52,815,617) (28,594,387) \$ (81,410,004)	\$ - - (52,815,617) \$ (52,815,617)
G. Preliminary Actuarial Value End of Year A. + D3. + E3. + F5.	\$ 3,609,847,020	\$ 3,798,943,990			
H. Corridor H1. 80% of Market Value H2. 120% of Market Value	\$ 2,966,708,670 4,450,063,006	\$ 2,925,464,639 4,388,196,958			
I. Actuarial Value End of Year G. Not Less than H1. or Not Greater than H2.	\$ 3,609,847,020	\$3,798,943,990			
J. Difference Between Market & Actuarial Values	\$ 98,538,818	\$ (142,113,192)			



Table 4
Historical Investment Returns*

Fiscal Year			Actuarial Return
Ending	Market Returns	Actuarial Returns	Over 8.00% Assumption
June 30, 2002	(7.3)%	3.8%	(4.2)%
June 30, 2003	6.2%	1.6%	(6.4)%
June 30, 2004	13.3%	2.1%	(5.9)%
Fiscal Year			Actuarial Return
Ending	Market Returns	Actuarial Returns	Over 7.75% Assumption
June 30, 2005	8.0%	2.7%	(5.0)%
June 30, 2006	8.9%	8.5%	0.7%
June 30, 2007	17.6%	10.2%	2.5%
June 30, 2008	(4.9)%	7.2%	(0.6)%
June 30, 2009	(20.8)%	(10.3)%	(18.0)%
June 30, 2010	12.9%	9.8%	2.0%
June 30, 2011	21.7%	(0.1)%	(7.9)%
June 30, 2012	2.2%	3.2%	(4.6)%
June 30, 2013	12.9%	12.0%	4.3%
June 30, 2014	17.1%	13.2%	5.5%
June 30, 2015	4.6%	9.6%	1.8%
June 30, 2016	2.1%	8.8%	1.0%
15 Year Average	5.7%	5.3%	(2.5)%

Returns reflect all investment returns, including investment income and realized and unrealized investment gains and losses, and are net of investment expenses and administrative expenses paid by the System.



Table 5







Table 6

Market Value of Assets vs. Actuarial Value of Assets





Section 3

Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits

In the previous section, an actuarial valuation was related to an inventory process, and an analysis was given of the inventory of assets of the System as of the valuation date. In this section, the discussion will focus on the commitments of the System, which will be referred to as its actuarial liabilities.

Table 7 contains an analysis of the actuarial present value of all future benefits for contributing members, for former contributing members, and for beneficiaries. The analysis is given by type of benefit.

The actuarial liabilities summarized in Table 7 include the actuarial present value of all future benefits expected to be paid with respect to each member covered as of the valuation date. For an active member, this value includes a measure of both benefits already earned and future benefits to be earned. Thus, for all members, active and retired, the value extends over benefits earnable and payable for the rest of their lives and, if an optional benefit is chosen, for the lives of their surviving beneficiaries.

The actuarial valuation does not recognize liabilities for employees who become members and participate in the System after the valuation date.



Table 7

Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits for Contributing Members, Former Contributing Members, and Beneficiaries

(All amounts are actuarial present values in millions)

	Jul ———	y 1, 2016 Total	Ju	ly 1, 2015 Total
A. Active Members				
Service Retirement	\$	2,178.2	\$	2,158.0
Disability Retirement		13.4		13.1
Survivors' Benefits		60.0		59.0
Vested Retirement		37.6		34.5
Refund of Member Contributions		42.1		38.6
Total	\$	2,331.3	\$	2,303.2
B. Inactive Members and Annuitants				
Service Retirement	\$	3,420.7	\$	3,298.8
Disability Retirement		23.3		23.4
Beneficiaries*		218.2		205.4
Vested Terminated Members		64.8		61.6
Refund of Member Contributions		21.2		20.5
Total	\$	3,748.2	\$	3,609.7
C. Grand Total	\$	6,079.5	\$	5,912.9

^{*} Includes survivors of active and retired members and children's benefits



Section 4

Employer Contributions

In the previous two sections, attention has been focused on the assets and the present value of all future benefits of the System. A comparison of Tables 3 and 7 indicates that there is a shortfall in current actuarial assets to meet the present value of all future benefits for current members and beneficiaries.

In an active system, there will always be a difference between the assets and the present value of all future benefits. An actuarial valuation sets a schedule of future contributions that will deal with this funding in an orderly fashion.

The method used to determine the incidence of the contributions in various years is called the actuarial cost method. For this valuation, the entry age actuarial cost method has been used. A description of the entry age actuarial cost method is provided in Appendix A. Under this method, or essentially any actuarial cost method, the contributions required to meet the difference between current assets and the present value of all future benefits are allocated each year between three elements:

- A normal cost amount, which ideally is relatively stable as a percentage of salary over the years;
- A load for administrative expenses; and
- An amount which is used to amortize the UAAL.

The two items described above, normal cost and UAAL, are the keys to understanding the actuarial cost method. Let us first discuss the normal cost.

The normal cost is the theoretical contribution rate, which will meet the ongoing costs of a group of average new employees. Suppose that a group of new employees were covered under a separate fund from which all benefits and to which all contributions and associated investment return were to be paid. Under the entry age actuarial cost method, the normal cost contribution rate is that level percentage of pay which would be exactly right to maintain this fund on a stable basis. If experience were to follow the actuarial assumptions exactly, the fund would be completely liquidated with the last payment to the last survivor of the group.

The assumed investment rate of return is 7.75%, net of investment expenses. As a result, the actuarially determined contribution must include an amount for administrative expenses expected to occur during the year.

We have determined the normal cost rates separately by type of benefit under the System. These are summarized in Table 8. In Table 8 we also provide a summary of the member and employer statutory contributions.



The term "fully funded" is often applied to a system where contributions for everyone at the normal cost rate will fully pay for the benefits of existing as well as new employees. Often, systems are not fully funded, either because of benefit improvements in the past that have not been completely paid for or actuarial deficiencies that have occurred because experience has not been as anticipated. Under these circumstances, a UAAL exists.

Table 9 shows how the UAAL was derived for the System. Lines A and B show, respectively, the total present value of future benefits and the portion of the future liability that is expected to be paid from future normal cost contributions, both employer and employee. Line C shows the actuarial accrued liability. Line D shows the amount of assets available for benefits. Line E shows the UAAL.

The amortization of the UAAL assumes university supplemental contributions are made as a percent of pay for members of the Montana University System Retirement Program (MUS-RP). Under Section 19-20-621, periodic separate valuations are to be performed to measure the liabilities of benefits to be paid under the Teachers' Retirement System (TRS) for MUS-RP members. The MUS-RP valuations calculate contribution rates that finance the university member benefits with university contributions and reflect actual experience including investment returns. In the prior valuations, the Supplemental Contribution of 4.72% of MUS-RP payroll was assumed to cease in 2033. It is our understanding the contribution will not stop unless legislative action is taken. The university supplemental contribution rate has varied from time to time. Recently it has varied as follows:

Supplemental University Contribution Rate	Fiscal Years Ending				
2.81%	June 30, 1998				
3.12%	June 30, 1999				
3.42%	June 30, 2000				
3.73%	June 30, 2001				
4.04%	June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2007				
4.72%	After June 30, 2007				

The UAAL at any date after establishment of a system is affected by any actuarial gains or losses arising when the actual experience of the system varies from the experience anticipated by the actuarial assumptions used in the valuations. To the extent actual experience as it develops differs from the assumptions used, so also will the actual emerging costs differ from the estimated costs. The impact of these differences in actual experience from the assumptions is included in Section 1, the Summary of Findings.



Table 8

Normal Cost Contribution Rates
As Percentages of Salary

	July 1, 2016 Total	July 1, 2015 Total
Service retirement	7.72%	7.22%
Disability retirement	0.08%	0.08%
Survivors' benefits	0.31%	0.29%
Vested retirement	0.49%	0.44%
Refund of member contributions	1.27%	1.18%
Total Normal Rate	9.87%	9.21%
Employee Normal Rate	8.15%	8.15%
Employer Normal Rate	1.72%	1.06%
Administrative Expense Load	0.31%	0.28%



Table 9

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Dollar amounts in millions)

	July 1, 2016		July 1, 2015	
A. Actuarial present value of all future benefits for present and former members and their survivors (Table 6)	\$	6,079.5	\$	5,912.9
B. Less actuarial present value of total future normal costs for present members		595.8		561.5
C. Actuarial accrued liability	\$	5,483.7	\$	5,351.4
D. Less assets available for benefits		3,798.9		3,609.8
E. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability	\$	1,684.8	\$	1,741.6



Section 5

Cash Flows

The fundamental equation for funding a retirement system is that benefits and administrative expenses must be provided for by contributions (past and future) and investment income. When a retirement system matures, benefits and administrative expenses often exceed contributions. In this case we say the system has a "negative cash flow." Mature systems are characterized by negative cash flows and large pools of assets. This is natural. Actuarial funding is designed to accumulate large pools of assets which will in turn provide investment income and finance negative cash flows when systems mature. If the fund is looked at as a whole, investment income is usually larger than the difference between contributions and benefit payments. The retirement system's investment strategy should maximize potential returns at a prudent level of risk while providing for needed cash flows.


Table 10 shows the System had a negative cash flow for the year ended June 30, 2016. The System's total cash flow including benefits payments, administrative expenses and investment earnings was (\$51.6) million. Of the (\$51.6) million, (\$328.4) million was due to benefit payments and expenses, which were offset by \$205.3 in contributions and \$71.5 in investment returns. Table 11 shows the System is projected to have a positive cash flow in all future years.

As long as the System had a positive cash flow, there was no need to plan where the funds would come from to pay benefits since benefits could be paid by incoming contributions. A negative cash flow, as defined above, requires planning what funds will be used to pay the difference between benefits and contributions. We are providing these projections to aid in developing the investment strategy for the System's assets.



Table 10

Cash Flow History



	Historical Cash Flows							
Year	Benefits &							
Ended			Administrative	Investment	Net Cash			
<u>June 30</u>	Contribut	ions	Expenses	Income	Flow			
2006	\$ 212.3	*	\$ 178.4	\$ 224.8	\$ 258.7			
2007	169.2	**	190.4	484.5	463.3			
2008	141.0)	203.6	(153.3)	(215.9)			
2009	138.3		217.0	(612.8)	(691.5)			
2010	152.3		226.3	295.0	221.0			
2011	153.3	,	241.4	539.0	450.9			
2012	152.0)	258.6	66.3	(40.3)			
2013	154.5		275.4	373.7	252.8			
2014	218.8	;	292.1	540.3	467.0			
2015	202.9)	311.2	165.7	57.4			
2016	205.3	,	328.4	71.5	(51.6)			
					. ,			


^{*} Reflects \$100 million transfer to TRS

^{**} Reflects \$50 million transfer to TRS



Table 11

Cash Flow Projections (Dollar amounts in millions)



	Projected Cash Flows							
Year			Benefits &		Assumed			<u> </u>
Ended			Administrative		Investment		Ne	t Cash
<u>June 30</u>	Co	ontributions	Exp	enses	Income			Flow
2017	\$	191.1	\$	356.5	\$	278.0	\$	112.6
2018		198.6		372.0		286.4		113.0
2019		206.4		387.1		294.8		114.1
2020		214.5		401.7		303.4		116.2
2021		223.0		415.7		312.2		119.5
2022		231.9		430.2		321.3		123.0
2023		241.2		444.2		330.6		127.6
2024		250.9		457.3		340.4		134.0
2025		259.9		469.4		350.7		141.2
2026		269.3		481.0		361.5		149.8



Section 6

Actuarial Gains or Losses

An analysis of actuarial gains or losses is performed in conjunction with all regularly scheduled valuations.

The results of our analysis of the financial experience of the System in the three most recent regular actuarial valuations are presented in Table 12. Each gain or loss shown represents our estimate of how much the given type of experience caused the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability or Funding Reserve to change in the period since the previous actuarial valuation.

Gains and losses shown due to demographic sources are approximate. Demographic experience is analyzed in greater detail in our periodic assumption studies.

Non-recurring gains and losses result from changes in the actuarial assumptions and benefit improvements.



Table 12

Analysis of Actuarial Gains or Losses*

(Dollar amounts in millions)

	UAAL (Gain)/Loss					
	Jun	e 30, 2016	Jun	e 30, 2015	Jun	e 30, 2014
Investment Income Investment income was (greater) less than expected based on actuarial value of assets.	\$	(36.9)	\$	(61.4)	\$	(165.6)
Pay Increases Pay increases were (less) greater than expected.		(16.1)		(10.3)		(28.1)
Age & Service Retirements Members retired at (older) younger ages or with (less) greater final average pay than expected		5.3		8.3		18.8
Disability Retirements						
Disability claims were (less) greater than expected		0.4		0.6		0.2
Death-in-Service Benefits Survivor claims were (less) greater than expected		(3.3)		(2.8)		(2.8)
Withdrawal From Employment (More) less reserves were released by withdrawals than expected		5.7		5.1		20.0
Death After Retirement Retirees (died younger) lived longer than expected		9.1		9.0		12.0
Data Adjustments and Benefit Payment Timing Service purchases, data corrections, etc.		(5.3)		0.7		(1.6)
Other Miscellaneous (gains) and losses		(1.5)		1.2		(0.4)
Total (Gain) or Loss During Period From Financial Experience	\$	(42.6)	\$	(49.6)	\$	(147.5)
Non-Recurring Items.						
Changes in actuarial assumptions and methods		(12.4)		(4.7)		46.5
Changes in benefits caused a (gain) loss				-		405.2
Composite (Gain) Loss During Period	\$	(55.0)	\$	(54.3)	\$	304.2

^{*} Effects related to gains are shown in parentheses. Numerical results are expressed as a (decrease) increase in the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). Gains decrease the UAAL and losses increase the UAAL.



Appendix A

Actuarial Procedures and Assumptions

Retirement, disablement and termination of employment assumptions reflect the five-year experience study for the period ending 2009 adopted by the Board on May 13, 2010.

The assumed rates of mortality are based a five-year experience study for the period ending 2013 adopted by the Board on May 13, 2014.

The current asset valuation method was adopted for the July 1, 2007 valuation.

Tables A-3 through A-6 give rates of decrement for service retirement, disablement, mortality, and other terminations of employment.

Actuarial Cost Method

The actuarial valuation was prepared using the entry age actuarial cost method. Under this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in the valuation is allocated as a level percentage of the individual's projected compensation between entry age and assumed exit. The portion of this actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the normal cost. The normal cost was first calculated for each individual member. The normal cost rate is defined to equal the total of the individual normal costs, divided by the total pay rate.

The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the sum of (a) the actuarial value of the assets and (b) the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the UAAL. The UAAL is amortized as a level percentage of the projected salaries of present and future members of the System.

Records and Data

The data used in the valuation consist of financial information; records of age, sex, service, salary, contribution rates, and account balances of contributing members; and records of age, sex, and amount of benefit for retired members and beneficiaries. All of the data were supplied by the System and are accepted for valuation purposes without audit.



Replacement of Terminated Members

The ages at entry and distribution by sex of future members are assumed to average the same as those of the present members they replace. If the number of active members should increase, it is further assumed that the average entry age of the larger group will be the same, from an actuarial standpoint, as that of the present group. Under these assumptions, the normal cost rates for active members will not vary with the termination of present members.

Employer Contributions

At the time of this valuation, the total employer contribution rate for normal costs and amortization of the UAAL was 11.26% of members' salaries. The employer contribution rate will increase by 0.10% each year beginning July 1, 2014 until the total employer contribution rate equals 11.96%.

Administrative and Investment Expenses

The investment expenses of the System are assumed to be funded by investment earnings in excess of 7.75% per year.

Administrative expenses are assumed to equal 0.31% of payroll.

Valuation of Assets - Actuarial Basis

The actuarial asset valuation method spreads asset gains and losses over four years. The expected return is determined each year based on the beginning of year market value and actual cash flows during the year. Any difference between the expected market value return and the actual market value return is recognized evenly over a period of four years. The actuarial value of assets is not allowed to be greater than 120% or less than 80% of the market assets. (Adopted effective July 1, 2007.)

Investment Earnings

The annual rate of investment earnings of the assets of the System is assumed to be 7.75% per year net of investment expenses, compounded annually. (Adopted effective July 1, 2014)

Interest on Member Contributions

Interest on member contributions is assumed to accrue at a rate of 5% per annum, compounded annually. This assumption was set as of July 1, 2004.

Postretirement Benefit Increases

Tier 1 Members:

On January 1 of each year, the retirement allowance payable is increased by 1.5% if the retiree has received benefits for at least 36 months prior to January 1 of the year in which the adjustment is to be made.

Tier 2 Members:

On January 1 of each year, the retirement allowance payable is assumed to increase by 0.5% if the retiree has received benefits for at least 36 months prior to January 1 of the year in which the adjustment is to be made.



Future Salaries

The rates of annual salary increase assumed for the purpose of the valuation are illustrated in Table A-2. In addition to increases in salary due to merit and longevity, this scale includes an assumed 4.0% annual rate of increase in the general wage level of the membership. The merit and longevity increases for the MUS members did not show a pattern of increasing or decreasing with service at the time of our most recent study. Therefore, the MUS members have a flat 1% merit and longevity assumption. The general wage increase assumption was adopted July 1, 2004 and the merit and longevity scales were adopted July 1, 2002.

Montana University System (MUS) members are assumed to have a 0.63% higher average final compensation to account for the larger than average annual compensation increases observed in the years immediately preceding retirement.

Service Retirement

Table A-3 shows the annual assumed rates of retirement among members eligible for service retirement. Separate rates are used when a member is eligible for reduced benefits, for the first year a member is eligible for full benefits, and for the years following the first year a member is eligible for full benefits. The rates for General Members were adopted May 13, 2010. The rates for University Members were adopted May 13, 2010.

Disablement

The rates of disablement used in this valuation are illustrated in Table A-4. These rates were adopted May 13, 2010.

Mortality

The mortality rates used in this valuation are illustrated in Table A-5. A written description of each table used is included in Table A-1. These rates were adopted July 1, 2014.

Other Terminations of Employment

The rates of assumed future withdrawal from active service for reasons other than death, disability or retirement are shown for representative ages in Table A-6. These rates were adopted May 13, 2010.

Benefits for Terminating Members

Members terminating with less than five years of service are assumed to request an immediate withdrawal of their contributions with interest. Table A-7 shows the assumed probability of retaining membership in the System among members terminating with five or more years of service. These rates were adopted July 1, 2002.

We estimated the present value of future benefits for terminated vested members based on the greater of the present value of their deferred benefit at age 60 or their available contribution account.



Part-Time Employees

The valuation data for active members identify part-time members. For part-time members earning more than \$1,000, total credited service is adjusted based on the ratio of actual earnings to annualized earnings. The liability and normal cost calculations for these members are based on the adjusted service and actual earnings for the prior year.

Part-time members earning less than \$1,000 during the last year were valued at their current member contribution balance.

Montana University System Retirement Program (MUS-RP)

MUS-RP payroll as of June 30, 2016 was \$236,465,298.

Effective for fiscal years after June 30, 2007, the MUS-RP contribution rate is 4.72%, pursuant to MCA 19-20-621. In the prior valuations, the Supplemental Contribution of 4.72% of MUS-RP payroll was assumed to cease in 2033. It is our understanding the contribution will not stop unless legislative action is taken.

Buybacks, Purchase of Service, and Military Service

The active liabilities and normal cost (excluding liabilities and normal cost in respect of Return of Employee Contributions) were increased to 100.5% of their original value to fund this additional service based on a study of the System's experience for the five calendar years 1995 through 1999. Effective July 1, 2008.

Probability of Marriage & Dependent Children

If death occurs in active status, all members are assumed to have an eligible surviving spouse and two children. The spouse is assumed to be the same age as the member. For members who die prior to age 50, dependent children are assumed to be eight years old. For members who die after age 50 but prior to age 55, children are assumed to be 13 years old. Members who die after age 55 are assumed to have no dependent children under the age of 18.

Records with no Birth Date

New records with no birth date are assumed to be 25 years old. Records that are not new and have no birth date used the same birth date as the prior year's valuation.



Summary of Valuation Assumptions

I. Economic assumptions A. General wage increases* (Adopted July 1, 2014) B. Investment return (Adopted July 1, 2004) C. Price Inflation Assumption (Adopted July 1, 2014) D. Growth in membership E. Postretirement benefit increases (Starting three years after retirement) Tier One Tier Two Tier Two Tier Two Tolindratisty assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2004) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2001 Bable Morta			Summary or valuation Assumptions	
B. Investment return (Adopted July 1, 2004) C. Price Inflation Assumption (Adopted July 1, 2014) D. Growth in membership E. Postretirement benefit increases (Starting three years after retirement) Tier One Tier Two Tier Two F. Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004) Table A-3 Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 Table A-4 Table A-5 And beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for Sea Base Rates from the RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014).	I.	Ecc	nomic assumptions	
C. Price Inflation Assumption (Adopted July 1, 2014) D. Growth in membership E. Postretirement benefit increases (Starting three years after retirement) Tier One Tier Two F. Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004) II. Demographic assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 Table A-4 Table A-5 Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 Table A-4 Table A-5 Table A-5 Table A-6 Table A-7 Table A-8 Table A-9 T		A.	General wage increases* (Adopted July 1, 2014)	4.00%
D. Growth in membership E. Postretirement benefit increases (Starting three years after retirement) Tier One Tier Two 0.50% F. Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004) 11. Demographic assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Ghales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Ghales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality i		B.	Investment return (Adopted July 1, 2004)	7.75%
E. Postretirement benefit increases (Starting three years after retirement) Tier One Tier Two 7. Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004) 11. Demographic assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 Table A-3 Table A-4 D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Gemales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 Table A-7		C.	Price Inflation Assumption (Adopted July 1, 2014)	3.25%
retirement) Tier One Tier Two O.50% F. Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004) II. Demographic assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Gemales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Gemales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Heales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Heales: RP 2000 Disabled Mort		D.	Growth in membership	0.00%
Tier Two 0.550% F. Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004) II. Demographic assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Ghales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Ghales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward		E.		
F. Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004) II. Demographic assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested			Tier One	1.50%
III. Demographic assumptions A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Ghemales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Ghemales: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014).			Tier Two	0.50%
A. Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-3 D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested		F.	Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004)	5.00%
Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000) B. Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010) C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested	II.	Der	nographic assumptions	
C. Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010) D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested		A.	Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002)	Table A-2
D. Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested		B.	Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010)	Table A-3
and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future. For Males: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested		C.	Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010)	Table A-4
Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested		D.	and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality	Table A-5
For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). E. Mortality among disabled members For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested			Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back four years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1,	
For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested Table A-7			For Females: 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages 50 and above and 1992 Base Rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for ages below 50, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1,	
forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested Table A-7		E.	Mortality among disabled members	Table A-5
forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale BB to 2018 (adopted July 1, 2014). F. Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010) Table A-6 G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested Table A-7			forward one year, with mortality improvements projected by Scale	
G. Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested Table A-7			forward five years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale	
		F.	Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010)	Table A-6
termination (adopted July 1, 2002)		G.	Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested termination (adopted July 1, 2002)	Table A-7

Montana University System (MUS) members are assumed to have a 0.63% higher average final compensation to account for the larger than average annual compensation increases observed in the years immediately preceding retirement.



Table A-2
Future Salaries

		General Members			University Members	
Years of Service	Individual Merit & Longevity	General Wage Increase	Total Salary Increase	Individual Merit & Longevity	General Wage Increase	Total Salary Increase
1	4.51%	4.00%	8.51%	1.00%	4.00%	5.00%
	4.09	4.00	8.09	1.00	4.00	5.00
2 3	3.46	4.00	7.46	1.00	4.00	5.00
4	2.94	4.00	6.94	1.00	4.00	5.00
5	2.52	4.00	6.52	1.00	4.00	5.00
6	2.21	4.00	6.21	1.00	4.00	5.00
7	1.89	4.00	5.89	1.00	4.00	5.00
8	1.68	4.00	5.68	1.00	4.00	5.00
9	1.47	4.00	5.47	1.00	4.00	5.00
10	1.31	4.00	5.31	1.00	4.00	5.00
11	1.16	4.00	5.16	1.00	4.00	5.00
12	1.00	4.00	5.00	1.00	4.00	5.00
13	0.84	4.00	4.84	1.00	4.00	5.00
14	0.68	4.00	4.68	1.00	4.00	5.00
15	0.58	4.00	4.58	1.00	4.00	5.00
16	0.47	4.00	4.47	1.00	4.00	5.00
17	0.37	4.00	4.37	1.00	4.00	5.00
18	0.26	4.00	4.26	1.00	4.00	5.00
19	0.21	4.00	4.21	1.00	4.00	5.00
20	0.16	4.00	4.16	1.00	4.00	5.00
21	0.11	4.00	4.11	1.00	4.00	5.00
22 & Up	0.00	4.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	5.00



Table A-3

Retirement **Annual Rates**

		General Member	rs	University Members				
Age	Eligible for Reduced Benefits	First Year Eligible for Full Benefits	Thereafter	Eligible for Reduced Benefits	First Year Eligible for Full Benefits	Thereafter		
45		8.0%	5.5%		17.0%	8.0%		
46		8.0	5.5		17.0	8.0		
47		8.0	5.5		17.0	8.0		
48		8.0	5.5		17.0	8.0		
49	*	8.0	5.5	*	17.0	8.0		
50	5.0%	8.0	5.5	7.0%	17.0	8.0		
51	5.0	8.0	6.3	7.0	17.0	8.0		
52	5.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	17.0	8.0		
53	5.0	9.0	7.3	7.0	17.0	8.0		
54	5.0	9.0	8.2	7.0	17.0	8.0		
55	7.0	9.0	9.8	7.0	15.0	8.0		
56	7.0	12.0	11.3	7.0	15.0	8.0		
57	7.0	11.8	12.5	7.0	15.0	8.0		
58	7.0	14.8	13.1	7.0	15.0	8.0		
59	7.0	17.4	14.8	7.0	15.0	8.0		
60	*	14.6	17.0	*	15.0	8.5		
61		21.3	25.0		14.0	14.5		
62		23.8	25.0		20.0	19.0		
63		11.4	25.0		14.0	14.5		
64		19.0	25.0		20.0	18.0		
65		40.0	35.0		28.0	26.0		
66		8.0	20.0		21.0	21.0		
67		30.0	20.0		21.0	24.5		
68		6.0	20.0		21.0	19.5		
69		6.0	20.0		21.0	30.0		
70		**	**		**	**		

^{*} All benefits are unreduced after attaining age 60. Reduced benefits are not available before age 50.
** Immediate retirement is assumed at age 70 or over.



Table A-4

Disablement Annual Rates

Age	All Members
25	.005%
30	.005%
35	.008
40	.028
45	.044
50	.063
55	.084
60	.100



Table A-5

Mortality Annual Rates

	Contributing Mer Retired Members a		Disabled Members			
Age	Men	Women	Men	Women		
25	0.03%	0.02%	2.14%	0.71%		
30	0.04	0.02	2.14	0.71		
35	0.05	0.04	2.14	0.71		
40	0.08	0.06	2.14	0.71		
45	0.11	0.09	2.26	1.09		
50	0.15	0.14	2.87	1.57		
55	0.55	0.26	3.48	2.00		
60	0.58	0.41	3.83	2.34		
65	0.79	0.68	4.20	3.03		
70	1.23	1.11	5.02	4.20		
75	2.03	1.85	6.62	5.82		
80	3.48	3.03	8.80	8.06		
85	5.90	5.03	11.30	11.27		
90	10.39	8.79	16.37	15.94		
95	17.93	15.29	25.48	21.31		



Table A-6

Other Terminations of Employment Among Members Not Eligible to Retire Annual Rates

Years of	A 11 A 4
Service	All Members
4	00.50/
1	36.5%
2 3 4	20.5
3	14.6
5	10.5
5	8.5
6	7.0
7	6.4
8	5.8
9	5.4
10	5.0
11	4.3
12	3.9
13	3.5
14	3.2
15	2.9
40	0.0
16	2.6
17	2.3
18	2.0
19 20	1.9 1.8
20	1.0
21	1.7
22	1.6
23	1.5
24	1.5



Table A-7

Probability of Retaining Membership in the System Upon Vested Termination

	Probability of
Age	Retaining Membership
25	54%
30	54
35	58
40	58
45	60
50	70
55	75



Appendix B

Summary of Benefit Provisions

Effective Date

September 1, 1937.

Vesting Period

Five years. No benefits are payable unless the member has a vested right, except the return of employee contributions with interest.

Tier One Member

A person who became a member before July 1, 2013 and who has not withdrawn the member's account balance.

Tier Two Member

A person who became a member on or after July 1, 2013, or who after withdrawing the member's account balance, became a member again after July 1, 2013.

Final Compensation

Tier One Members

Average of highest three consecutive years of earned compensation.

Tier Two Members

Average of highest five consecutive years of earned compensation.

Normal Form of Benefits

Life only annuity. All benefits cease upon death; however, in no event will the member receive less than the amount of employee contributions with interest.



Normal Retirement Benefits

Tier One Members

Eligibility: 25 years of service or age 60 with five years of service.

Benefit: The retirement benefit is equal to 1/60 of final compensation for each year

of service.

Tier Two Members

Eligibility: Age 55 with 30 years of service or age 60 with five years of service.

Benefit: A member age 60 with at least 30 years of creditable service will receive a

retirement allowance equal to 1.85% of final compensation for each year of service. Otherwise, the multiplier used to calculate the retirement allowance will equal 1/60 of final compensation for each year of service.

Early Retirement Benefits

Tier One Member

Eligibility: Five years of service and age 50.

Benefit: The retirement benefit is calculated in the same manner as described for

normal retirement, but the benefit is actuarially reduced by the lesser of the number of years equal to the age of the participant at the early retirement subtracted from age 60 or the number of years of service at early retirement

subtracted from 25 years of service.

Tier Two Member

Eligibility: Five years of service and age 55.

Benefit: The retirement benefit is calculated in the same manner as described for

normal retirement, but the benefit is actuarially reduced by the lesser of the number of years equal to the age of the participant at the early retirement subtracted from age 60 or the number of years of service at early retirement

subtracted from 30 years of service.



Death Benefit

Eligibility: Five years of service.

Benefit: The death benefit is equal to 1/60 of final compensation for each

year of service accrued at date of death, with an actuarial adjustment based on the relation of the member's age at death to the beneficiary's age. A monthly benefit of \$200 is paid to each child until age 18. In addition, a lump-sum benefit of \$500

is paid upon the death of an active or retired member.

Disability Benefit

Eligibility: Five years of service.

Benefit: The disability benefit is equal to 1/60 of final compensation for

each year of service accrued at date of disability. The minimum benefit is 1/4 of the final compensation. A Tier Two Member is not eligible for a disability retirement if the member is or will be eligible for a service retirement on or before the member's date

of determination.

Withdrawal Benefits With less than five years of service, the accumulated employee

contributions with interest are returned. With more than five years, the member may elect a refund of contributions with interest or leave the contributions and interest in the System

and retain a vested right to retirement benefits.

Contributions Tier One Member: 7.15% of compensation. Tier One members

are required to contribute a Supplemental Contribution equal to an additional 1% of compensation. The Board may decrease the Supplemental Contribution if the average funded ratio of the System based on the last three actuarial valuations is equal to or greater than 90% and the period necessary to amortize the unfunded liabilities of the System based on the most recent actuarial valuation is less than 15 years. Following one or more decreases in the supplemental contribution the Board may increase the supplemental contribution to a rate not to exceed 1% if the average funded ratio of the System based on the last three annual actuarial valuations is equal to or less than 80% and the period necessary to amortize all liabilities of the System based on the most recent annual actuarial valuation is greater

than 20 years.



Tier Two Member: 8.15% of compensation. The Board may require a Tier Two member to contribute a Supplemental Contribution if the average funded ratio of the System based on the last three actuarial valuations is equal to or less than 80% and the period necessary to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is greater than 20 years and a State or employer contribution rate increase or a flat dollar contribution to the System has been enacted which is equivalent to or greater than the Supplemental Contribution Rate imposed by the Board. A singe Tier Two Supplemental Contribution Rate increase cannot exceed 0.5% of compensation and in total cannot exceed 9.15% of compensation. The Board may decrease the Supplemental Contribution if the average funded ratio of the System based on the previous three annual actuarial valuations is equal to or greater than 90%; and the period necessary to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is less than 15 vears.

Employer: 9.96% of compensation. Employers are required to contribute a supplemental contribution equal to 1% for fiscal year 2014 and increase by 0.1% each fiscal year through 2024. The Board may decrease the Employer Supplemental Contribution if the average funded ratio of the System based on the last three actuarial valuations is equal to or greater than 90% and the period necessary to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability based on the most recent valuation is less than 15 years and the GABA has been increased to the maximum allowable. Following one or more decreases in the Supplemental Contribution Rate the Board may increase the Supplemental Contribution Rate to a rate not to exceed 1% if the average funded ratio of the System based on the last three actuarial valuations is equal to or less than 80% and the period necessary to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is greater than 20 years.

MCA 19-20-604 specifies that the employer contribution rate will be reduced by 0.11% when the amortization period of the System's UAAL is 10 years or less according to the System's latest actuarial valuation.

State Supplemental Contribution: \$25 million per year on an annual basis payable on July 1st of each year.

Re-employed Retirees: Each employer is required to contribute 9.85% of total compensation paid to all re-employed TRS retirees employed in a TRS reportable position. This amount shall increase by 1.00% for fiscal year 2014 and increase by



0.10% each fiscal year through 2024 until the total employer contribution is equal to 11.85% of re-employed retiree compensation.

Interest on Member contributions

Effective July 1, 2014, the interest credited on member contributions is reduced from 0.25% to 0.20% per annum.

Guaranteed Annual Benefit Adjustment (GABA)

On January 1 of each year, if the retiree has received benefits for at least 36 months prior to January 1 of the year in which the adjustment is to be made, for Tier One Members, the retirement allowance will be increased by 1.5%.

For Tier Two Members, the retirement allowance will be increased by an amount equal to or greater than 0.5% but no more than 1.5% if the most recent actuarial valuation shows the System to be at least 90% funded and the provisions of the increase is not projected to cause the funded ratio to be less than 85%.



Appendix C

Valuation Data

This valuation is based upon the membership of the System as of July 1, 2016. Membership data were supplied by the System and accepted for valuation purposes without audit. However, tests were performed to ensure that the data are sufficiently accurate for valuation purposes.

Active Members	Number	Annual Salaries in Millions		
Full-Time Members	12,769	\$	673.9	
Part-Time Members*	5,563		81.1	
Total Contributing Members*	18,332	\$	755.0	
Active Members with Annual Compensation less than \$1,000	716			
Total Active Members	19,048			

^{*} Excludes part-time members with annual compensation less than \$1,000.

Table C-1 contains summaries of the data for contributing members. For full-time members, values shown in the tables are the numbers of members and their total and average annual salaries. For part-time members, only the numbers of members are shown.

Table C-2 presents distributions of the following:

- Members receiving service retirement benefits.
- Members receiving disability retirement benefits.
- Survivors of deceased retired members receiving benefits.
- Survivors of deceased active members.
- Child beneficiaries.
- Terminated vested members.

Table C-3 is a reconciliation of membership data from July 1, 2015 to July 1, 2016.



The following is a summary of retired members and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits:

Type of Annuitant	Number	ual Benefits Thousands	Average Annual Benefits		
Service Retirement	13,271	\$ 310,060	\$	23,364	
Survivors of Deceased Retired Members	1,207	19,054		15,786	
Total Service Retirement (including survivors)	14,478	\$ 329,114	\$	22,732	
Disability Retirement	206	2,387		11,588	
Survivors of Deceased Active Members	457	4,909		10,742	
Child Beneficiaries	23	55		2,400	
Total Annuitants	15,164	\$ 336,465	\$	22,188	

Terminated Members with				
Contributions Not Withdrawn	Number			
Vested Terminated Members	1,704			
Non-Vested Terminated Members	12,888			
Total Terminated Members	14,592			



Table C-1

Active Members Distribution of Full-Time Employees and Salaries

as of July 1, 2016

Number of Employees

Comp	leted	Years	s of	Service

Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	48	168	52	9									277
25 to 29	36	239	315	500	205								1,295
30 to 34	34	169	152	307	737	183							1,582
35 to 39	31	122	102	203	490	586	149						1,683
40 to 44	27	97	71	141	347	380	532	111					1,706
45 to 49	15	62	51	127	242	253	389	504	106				1,749
50 to 54	17	52	51	76	147	200	248	323	354	99			1,567
55 to 59	8	43	44	49	133	194	239	262	258	286	89		1,605
60 to 64	9	35	19	34	65	96	135	160	157	130	135	34	1,009
65 to 69	7	6	8	10	14	25	23	28	45	29	20	27	242
70 and up	1	2	2		6	4		5	8	8	8	10	54
Totals	233	995	867	1,456	2,386	1,921	1,715	1,393	928	552	252	71	12,769



Table C-1

Active Members Distribution of Full-Time Employees and Salaries as of July 1, 2016

Annual Salaries in Thousands

					<u>C</u>	Completed Yea	rs of Service						
Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	1,192	5,606	1,766	300									8,863
25 to 29	1,022	8,123	11,332	19,057	8,368								47,902
30 to 34	1,009	6,250	6,249	12,472	33,684	9,380							69,043
35 to 39	801	4,512	3,934	8,722	23,705	32,467	8,966						83,108
40 to 44	899	4,002	2,828	6,213	17,290	21,632	32,886	7,099					92,849
45 to 49	346	2,473	2,271	6,040	12,009	14,074	23,803	33,312	7,364				101,690
50 to 54	423	1,921	2,321	3,454	7,313	10,802	15,220	21,100	23,662	6,594			92,810
55 to 59	285	1,736	1,973	2,298	6,471	10,256	13,574	16,630	17,071	19,607	6,116		96,017
60 to 64	317	1,502	926	1,626	3,358	5,011	8,151	10,213	10,226	8,900	9,450	2,514	62,195
65 to 69	168	200	365	742	710	1,255	1,407	1,743	3,111	1,973	1,491	2,381	15,546
70 and up	28	68	69		333	255		320	529	694	643	927	3,867
Totals	6,489	36,394	34,033	60,925	113,240	105,131	104,007	90,418	61,962	37,768	17,700	5,822	673,891



Table C-1

Active Members Distribution of Full-Time Employees and Salaries as of July 1, 2016

Average Annual Salary

					<u>C</u>	Completed Yea	rs of Service						
Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	24,826	33,366	33,960	33,333									31,997
25 to 29	28,401	33,987	35,975	38,114	40,817								36,990
30 to 34	29,664	36,981	41,109	40,627	45,705	51,255							43,643
35 to 39	25,852	36,987	38,567	42,966	48,378	55,404	60,175						49,381
40 to 44	33,311	41,262	39,825	44,063	49,826	56,927	61,815	63,959					54,425
45 to 49	23,053	39,882	44,521	47,560	49,622	55,628	61,191	66,095	69,468				58,142
50 to 54	24,858	36,950	45,513	45,450	49,749	54,008	61,371	65,325	66,842	66,602			59,228
55 to 59	35,577	40,382	44,832	46,907	48,654	52,865	56,795	63,474	66,166	68,556	68,721		59,824
60 to 64	35,187	42,902	48,753	47,819	51,663	52,201	60,378	63,833	65,136	68,464	70,004	73,932	61,640
65 to 69	24,023	33,402	45,665	74,204	50,682	50,199	61,174	62,260	69,123	68,037	74,528	88,178	64,239
70 and up	27,710	33,990	34,668		55,509	63,710		64,092	66,078	86,784	80,375	92,739	71,605
Totals	27,850	36,576	39,254	41,844	47,460	54,727	60,646	64,909	66,769	68,421	70,239	81,998	52,776



Table C-1

Active Members Distribution of Part-Time Employees as of July 1, 2016

Number of Employees

Completed Years of Service 3 to 4 Age 5 to 9 10 to 14 15 to 19 20 to 24 25 to 29 30 to 34 35 to 39 40+ Totals <25 25 to 29 30 to 34 35 to 39 40 to 44 45 to 49 50 to 54 55 to 59 60 to 64 65 to 69 70 and up 1,327 Totals 5,563



Table C-2
Distribution of Inactive Lives

Members Receiving Service Retirement Benefits as of July 1, 2016

Age	Number of Persons		ual Benefits Thousands	age Annual Benefits
<50	12	\$	335	\$ 27,907
50 to 54	173		4,553	26,318
55 to 59	627		16,511	26,333
60 to 64	2,266		55,086	24,310
65 to 69	3,732		92,618	24,817
70 to 74	2,680		64,278	23,984
75 to 79	1,710		39,217	22,934
80 to 84	1,101		22,632	20,556
85 to 89	614		10,378	16,902
90 and up	356		4,452	 12,505
		·		
Totals	13,271	\$	310,060	\$ 23,364

Members Receiving Disability Retirement Benefits as of July 1, 2016

Age	Number of Persons	ual Benefits Thousands	age Annual Benefits
<50	8	\$ 86	\$ 10,702
50 to 54	16	254	15,885
55 to 59	22	284	12,899
60 to 64	38	464	12,215
65 to 69	45	494	10,976
70 to 74	30	358	11,918
75 to 79	20	206	10,299
80 to 84	11	89	8,110
85 to 89	10	104	10,425
90 and up	6	 48	8,077
Totals	206	\$ 2,387	\$ 11,588



Table C-2

Distribution of Inactive Lives

Survivors of Deceased Retired Members as of July 1, 2016

Age	Number of Persons		ual Benefits Thousands	age Annual Benefits
<50	57	\$	566	\$ 9,927
50 to 54	26	·	300	11,526
55 to 59	42		541	12,878
60 to 64	83		1,069	12,881
65 to 69	136		2,163	15,908
70 to 74	181		3,355	18,537
75 to 79	193		3,710	19,222
80 to 84	203		3,316	16,336
85 to 89	159		2,560	16,104
90 and up	127		1,473	 11,599
Totals	1,207	\$	19,054	\$ 15,786

Survivors of Deceased Active Members as of July 1, 2016

Age	Number of Persons	ual Benefits housands	age Annual Benefits
<50	94	\$ 701	\$ 7,461
50 to 54	24	192	8,012
55 to 59	46	394	8,557
60 to 64	54	584	10,814
65 to 69	86	1,155	13,433
70 to 74	49	709	14,476
75 to 79	38	477	12,541
80 to 84	25	229	9,148
85 to 89	27	333	12,339
90 and up	14	135	9,629
·			
Totals	457	\$ 4,909	\$ 10,742



Table C-2

Distribution of Inactive Lives

Terminated Vested Members as of July 1, 2016 Number of Persons

Age	Number
<25	
25 to 29	5
30 to 34	89
35 to 39	190
40 to 44	194
45 to 49	254
50 to 54	331
55 to 59	395
60 to 64	188
65 to 69	54
70 and above	4
Total	1,704

Child Beneficiaries as of July 1, 2016 Number of Persons

Age	Number
<5	2
5 to 6	1
7 to 8	1
9 to 10	
11 to 12	2
13 to 14	4
15 to 16	10
17 to 18	3
Total	23



Table C-3

Data Reconciliation

	Active Contributing Members*	Terminated Vested Members	Service Retired Members	Disabled Members	Survivors and Beneficiaries
July 1, 2015 Valuation	17,805	1,664	12,992	204	1,643
Refunds and Non-Vested Terminations	(1,042)	(56)			
Change to Annual Pay Under \$1,000	(40)	7			
Vested Terminations	(260)	260	71		
Service Retirements	(556)	(71)	556		
Disability Retirements	(6)	(3)		9	
Deaths with Beneficiary	(13)	(2)	(88)	(5)	108
Deaths without Beneficiary			(214)	(2)	(87)
New Entrants	1,902				
Rehires	547	(83)	(12)		
Other	(5)	(12)	(34)		23
July 1, 2016 Valuation	18,332	1,704	13,271	206	1,687

^{*} Excludes active members with annual compensation less than \$1,000



Appendix D

Comparative Schedules

This section contains tables that summarize the experience of the System shown in present and past valuation reports.

Table D-1 shows a summary of the active members covered as of the various valuation dates.

Table D-2 shows a summary of the retired and inactive members as of the various valuation dates.

Table D-3 summarizes the contribution rates determined by each annual actuarial valuation.



Table D-1

Active Membership Data

Valuation Date (July 1)	Full-Time Members	Part-Time Members**	Total Contributing Members**	Part-Time Members Annual Compensation less than \$1,000	Annual Full-Time Salaries in Thousands	Average Full-Time Annual Salary	Average Age**	Average Years of Service**	Average Hire Age**
1994	14,938	2,637	17,575	377	416,968	27,914	42.5	11.0	31.5
1996	13,251	5,444	18,695	1,295	424,085	32,004	43.3	11.6	31.7
1998	13,545	4,647	18,192	776	459,191	33,901	44.0	12.1	31.9
2000	13,289	4,245	17,534	886	477,160	35,906	44.5	12.2	32.3
2002	12,796	4,650	17,446	723	486,204	37,997	45.0	12.2	32.8
2004	12,601	5,013	17,614	637	510,808	40,537	45.6	12.2	33.4
2005	12,523	5,019	17,542	697	523,909	41,836	45.8	12.4	33.4
2006	12,715	4,840	17,555	544	549,268	43,198	46.0	12.5	33.5
2007	12,634	4,994	17,628	548	568,351	44,986	46.2	12.5	33.7
2008	12,694	5,077	17,771	521	592,514	46,677	46.1	12.3	33.8
2009	12,673	5,270	17,943	513	613,077	48,377	46.2	12.4	33.8
2010	12,711	5,642	18,353	600	630,444	49,598	45.9	12.2	33.8
2011	12,506	5,400	17,906	578	633,005	50,616	46.2	12.4	33.8
2012	12,202	5,534	17,736	636	622,140	50,987	46.0	12.4	33.6
2013	12,229	5,387	17,616	633	628,832	51,421	45.8	12.2	33.6
2014	12,286	5,428	17,714	558	712,802	51,967	45.6	11.6	34.0
2015	12,468	5,337	17,805	511	729,653	52,551	45.4	11.3	34.1
2016	12,769	5,563	18,332	716	673,891	52,776	45.2	10.9	34.3

^{*} Not available.

^{**} Excludes part-time active members with annual compensation less than \$1,000.



Table D-2

Retired and Inactive Membership Data

		All Annuitants						Terminated Members		
Valuation Date (July 1)	Number	Annual Benefits in Thousands	Average Annual Benefit	Average Current Age	Average Age at Retirement	Average Service at Retirement	Number Vested Terminated	Number Non-Vested Terminated		
1994	7,530	78,183	10,383	*	*	*	1,105	5,722		
1996	7,896	87,351	11,063	*	*	*	1,152	6,479		
1998	8,362	99,040	11,844	69.6	57.3	*	1,190	8,158		
2000	9,021	117,227	12,995	69.3	57.0	*	1,256	9,308		
2002	9,768	139,131	14,244	69.1	56.8	*	1,485	8,231		
2004	10,375	159,776	15,400	69.1	56.7	*	1,620	7,861		
2005	10,664	170,129	15,954	69.3	56.7	*	1,649	8,569		
2006	11,019	181,114	16,436	69.3	56.5	*	1,684	8,542		
2007	11,356	195,237	17,192	69.3	56.6	*	1,671	8,963		
2008	11,788	208,985	17,729	69.4	56.7	*	1,649	9,574		
2009	12,036	219,267	18,218	69.7	57.5	25.5	1,640	9,868		
2010	12,440	234,048	18,814	69.9	57.6	25.5	1,553	10,304		
2011	12,899	250,500	19,420	70.0	57.8	25.5	1,580	10,727		
2012	13,363	267,851	20,044	70.2	57.9	25.5	1,566	11,172		
2013	13,868	284,333	20,503	70.4	58.0	25.5	1,566	11,710		
2014	14,349	302,272	21,066	70.6	58.2	25.5	1,654	12,308		
2015	14,839	321,511	21,667	70.9	58.3	25.4	1,664	12,839		
2016	15,164	336,465	22,188	71.1	58.5	25.4	1,704	12,888		

^{*} Not available.



Table D-3

Contribution Rates

Valuation Date		Contribution Rates		Normal	UAAL
(July 1)	Employee	Employer	Total	Cost Rate ¹	Rate ²
1998	7.044	7.470	14.514	8.880	5.634
2000	7.15	7.58	14.73	9.71	5.02
2002	7.15	7.58^{3}	14.73	10.33	4.40
2004	7.15	7.58	14.73	10.34	4.39
2005	7.15	7.58	14.73	10.35	4.38
2006	7.15	7.58	14.73	10.37	4.36
2007	7.15	9.58	16.73	10.40	6.33
2008	7.15	9.58	16.73	10.87	5.86
2009	7.15	9.96	17.11	10.69	6.42
2010	7.15	9.96	17.11	9.74	7.37
2011	7.15	9.96	17.11	9.64	7.47
2012	7.15	9.96	17.11	9.64	7.47
2013	8.15	10.96	19.11	9.20	9.91
2014	8.15	11.06	19.21	9.44	9.77
2015	8.15	11.16	19.31	9.49	9.82
2016	8.15	11.26	19.41	10.18	9.23

Effective July 1, 2014, the Normal Cost Rate includes the administrative expense load.
 The UAAL rate is the amount available to amortize the UAAL. It is equal to the total contribution rate, minus the normal cost rate.

³ The 1999 Legislation which passed the 1.5% GABA, also added a 0.11% state general fund contribution.



Appendix E

Glossary

The following definitions are largely excerpts from a list adopted in 1981 by the major actuarial organizations in the United States. In some cases the definitions have been modified for specific applicability to the Montana Teachers' Retirement System. Defined terms are capitalized throughout this Appendix.

Accrued Benefit

The amount of an individual's benefit (whether or not vested) as of a specific date, determined in accordance with the terms of a pension plan and based on compensation and service to that date.

Actuarial Accrued Liability

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is not provided for by future Normal Costs.

Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement, and retirement; changes in compensation, rates of investment earnings, and asset appreciation or depreciation; procedures used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets; and other relevant items.

Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Actuarial Gain (Loss)

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.



Actuarial Present Value

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application of a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions.

Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a valuation date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a pension plan.

Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan, as used by the actuary for the purpose of an Actuarial Valuation.

Actuarially Equivalent

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date with each value based on the same set of Actuarial Assumptions.

Amortization Payment

That portion of the pension plan contribution which is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method

A method under which the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits of each individual included in an Actuarial Valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value not provided for at a valuation date by the Actuarial Present Value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Market Value of Assets

The fair value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan that could be acquired by exchanging them on the open market.

Normal Cost

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.



Projected Benefits

Those pension plan benefit amounts which are expected to be paid at various future times under a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions, taking into account such items as the effect of advancement in age and past and anticipated future compensation and service credits.

Unaccrued Benefit

The excess of an individual's Projected Benefits over the Accrued Benefits as of a specified date.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

The excess of the Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.