

The experience and dedication you deserve

## Teachers' Retirement System State of Montana

Actuarial Valuation As of July 1, 2010







The experience and dedication you deserve

September 24, 2010

Teachers' Retirement Board State of Montana 1500 Sixth Avenue Helena, MT 59620-0139

Members of the Board:

In this report are submitted the results of the annual valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Teachers' Retirement System of Montana, prepared as of July 1, 2010.

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of the funded status of the System as of July 1, 2010, to provide the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) and the accounting information under Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 25 and 27 (GASB 25 and 27). While not verifying the data at source, the actuary performed tests for consistency and reasonability. On the basis of this valuation, it is recommended that the Employer make contributions to the Retirement System at the rate of 12.16% of payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012 and 14.18% of payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013.

The promised benefits of the System are included in the actuarially calculated contribution rates which are developed using the Entry Age Normal cost method. Four-year market related value of assets is used for actuarial valuation purposes. Gains and losses are reflected in the unfunded accrued liability that is being amortized by regular annual contributions as a level percentage of payroll, on the assumption that payroll will increase by 4.50% annually. The assumptions recommended by the actuary and adopted by the Board are in the aggregate reasonably related to the experience under the Fund and to reasonable expectations of anticipated experience under the Fund and meet the parameters for the disclosures under GASB 25 and 27. The July 1, 2010 valuation reflects revised rates of withdrawal, disability and service retirement for active members based on a five-year experience study ending July 1, 2009 adopted by the Board on May 13, 2010.

We have prepared the Schedule of Funding Progress and Trend Information shown in the financial section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, and all supporting schedules including the Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data, the Solvency Test and the Analysis of Financial Experience shown in the actuarial section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. All historical information that references a valuation date prior to July 1, 2009 was prepared by the previous actuarial firm.



September 24, 2010 Teachers' Retirement Board Page 2

This is to certify that the independent consulting actuary is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and has experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems, that the valuation was prepared in accordance with principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board, and that the actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries in accordance with accepted actuarial procedures, based on the current provisions of the retirement system and on actuarial assumptions that are internally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System.

Future actuarial results may differ significantly from the current results presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Since the potential impact of such factors is outside the scope of a normal annual actuarial valuation, an analysis of the range of results is not presented herein.

In our opinion, in order for the System to operate in an actuarially sound manner, contributions equal to the ARC are necessary for future fiscal years. Assuming that these contributions are made to the System, from year to year in the future at the rates recommended on the basis of the successive actuarial valuations, the continued sufficiency of the retirement fund to provide the benefits called for under the System may be safely anticipated.

The Table of Contents, which immediately follows, outlines the material contained in the report.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward A. Macdonald, ASA, FCA, MAAA

Shel Mildel

President

EAM:TBG/kc

Todd B. Green, ASA, FCA, MAAA Principal and Senior Actuary

Todal B. G



#### **Table of Contents**

	Page
Section 1 Summary of Findings	
Section 2 Assets	
Table 1 Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	11
Table 2 Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets	12
Table 3 Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets	13
Table 4 Historical Investment Returns	14
Table 5 Market Value of Assets vs. Actuarial Value of Assets	15
Section 3 Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits	16
Table 6 Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits for Contributing Members,	
Former Contributing Members, and Beneficiaries	17
Section 4 Employer Contributions	
Table 7 Normal Cost Contribution Rates As Percentages of Salary	
Table 8 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Section 5 Cash Flows	
Table 9 Cash Flow History	
Table 10 Cash Flow Projection Statutory Basis	
Table 11 Cash Flow Projection Annual Required Contribution Basis	
Section 6 Actuarial Gains or Losses	
Table 12 Analysis of Actuarial Gains or Losses	
Appendix A Actuarial Procedures and Assumptions	
Appendix B Summary of Benefit Provisions	
Appendix C Valuation Data	
Appendix D Comparative Schedules	
Appendix E Glossary	
~DDC!IUIA	



#### Section I

#### **Summary of Findings**

For convenience of reference, the principal results of the valuation and a comparison with the preceding year's results are summarized below:

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	(Dollar amounts in thousands)				
VALUATION DATE		•	July 1, 2010	J	luly 1, 2009
Active members					
Number					
Full-Time Members			12,711		12,673
Part-Time Members			6,242		5,783
Annual valuation compensation		\$	747,037	\$	683,235
Retired members and beneficiaries					
Number			12,440		12,036
Annual allowances		\$	234,048	\$	219,267
Inactive Members					
Vested Terminated Members			1,553		1,640
Non-Vested Terminated Members			10,304		9,868
Assets					
Actuarial value		\$	2,956,583	\$	2,762,194
Market value			2,521,446		2,301,829
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)		\$	4,518,168	\$	4,330,996
Unfunded accrued liability (UAL) **		\$	1,561,585	\$	1,411,583
Funded Ratio			65.44%		66.18%
Market Value Rate of Return			12.87%		-20.80%
CONTRIBUTIONS FOR FISCAL YEARS					
ENDING*	2013		2012		N/A
Total Normal Rate	9.74%		9.74%		10.69%
Employee Contribution Rate	<u>7.15%</u>		<u>7.15%</u>		<u>7.15%</u>
Employer Normal Rate	2.59%		2.59%		3.54%
Employer Statutory Contribution Rate					
Normal Rate	2.59%		2.59%		3.54%
UAL Rate	<u>7.37%</u>		<u>7.37%</u>		<u>6.42%</u>
Total Rate	9.96%		9.96%		9.96%
Amortization Period	Infinite		49.5		Infinite
Employer ARC under GASB					
Normal Rate	2.59%		2.59%		3.54%
UAL Rate	<u>11.59%</u>		<u>9.57%</u>		<u>10.53%</u>
Total Rate	14.18%		12.16%		14.07%
Required Increase in Statutory Contrib	ution Rate 4.22%		2.20%		4.11%
Amortization Period	30		30		30

<sup>\*</sup> The July 1, 2009 valuation was for informational purposes only. The July 1, 2010 valuation will determine the ARC for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The UAL as of July 1, 2009 is net of future ORP supplemental contributions of \$157.2 million.



As a result of this actuarial valuation of the benefits in effect under the Montana Teachers' Retirement System as of July 1, 2010, we find the current schedule of contributions (shown in the "History of Legislated Contributions" below) is not sufficient to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) of the Retirement System over 30 years. The Funded Ratio is 65.44%. A 30-year amortization period is the maximum acceptable amortization period specified in Statements No. 25 and 27 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Therefore, when measured by that standard, the System is not actuarially sound.

### History of Legislated Contributions (as a Percent of Pay)

#### **School District and Other Employers**

				l otal employee
	<u>Members</u>	<b>Employers</b>	General fund	& employer
Prior to July 1	7.15%	7.47%	0.11%	14.73%
July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2009	7.15%	7.47%	2.39%	16.73%
July 1, 2009 and after	7.15%	7.47%	2.49%	17.11%

#### **State and University Employers**

				Total employee
	<u>Members</u>	<b>Employers</b>	General fund	<u>&amp; employer</u>
Prior to July 1	7.15%	7.47%	0.11%	14.73%
July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2009	7.15%	9.47%	0.11%	16.73%
July 1, 2009 and after	7.15%	9.85%	0.11%	17.11%

#### Contribution Increases to Amortize UAAL Over 30 Years

The results as of June 30, 2010 are used to determine the ARC to fund the system for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013. Based on the results of the valuation, the current statutory contribution of 17.11% is not sufficient to amortize the unfunded accrued liability over 30 years due primarily to recognition of investment losses. As a result, the contributions to the System for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 must be increased 2.20% of pay (17.11% to 19.31%).

The asset smoothing method spreads investment gains and losses over a four-year period in determining the actuarial value of assets. During the 2011 – 2012 plan year the System will further recognize a portion deferred investment losses. This will result in an additional increase in the ARC for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 of 2.02% (19.31% to 21.33%).



The required increases will meet the funding policy adopted by the Board and will amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) over a 30 year period. The table below compares the ARC as recommended by the actuary and the current statutory contribution. It also shows the amount of additional contributions that will be necessary to maintain the System in an actuarial sound manner.

Valuation Date	Fiscal Year Ended for which ARC is Payable	ARC	Current Contribution	Expected Shortfall
July 1, 2010	June 30, 2012	12.16%	9.96%	\$17,000,000
July 1, 2010	June 30, 2013	14.18%	9.96%	\$34,000,000

#### Calculations based on the Market Value of Assets

MCA 19-20-201 requires this report to show how market performance is affecting the actuarial funding of the Retirement System. The July 1, 2010 market value of assets is \$435.1 million less than the actuarial value of assets due to a cumulative effect of less than expected market return over the four year period ending June 30, 2010. If the market value of assets was used, the amortization period would be infinite, and the Funded Ratio would be 55.81%.

Based on market assets, a contribution increase of 5.17% of pay (17.11% to 22.28%) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 is projected to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over a 30 year period.

#### **Additional Details**

MCA 19-20-604 states that the contribution from the State General Fund will be reduced by 0.11% when the amortization period of the System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is 10 years or less according to the System's latest actuarial valuation.

The actuarial costs are calculated using the entry age actuarial cost method. This is the method used by most public plans. It is designed to provide a stable contribution rate as a percent of member pay. This actuarial valuation measures the adequacy of the contribution rates set in Montana State Law.



#### **Investment Experience**

The market assets earned 12.87% net of investment and operating expenses. The actuarial assets earned 9.78% which is 2.03% more than the actuarial assumption of 7.75%. The return on the actuarial assets differs from the return on market assets because the actuarial value of assets spreads gains and losses over four years. The following chart compares the annual returns for the past ten years.

Year	Market Return	Actuarial Return	Market Return over Assumption*	Actuarial Return over Assumption*
7/1/2000 to 6/30/2001	(5.09)%	9.19%	(13.09)%	1.19%
7/1/2001 to 6/30/2002	(7.26)%	3.83%	(15.26)%	(4.17)%
7/1/2002 to 6/30/2003	6.16%	1.60%	(1.84)%	(6.40)%
7/1/2003 to 6/30/2004	13.31%	2.12%	5.31%	(5.88)%
7/1/2004 to 6/30/2005	8.04%	2.71%	0.29%	(5.04)%
7/1/2005 to 6/30/2006	8.91%	8.46%	1.16%	0.71%
7/1/2006 to 6/30/2007	17.64%	10.22%	9.89%	2.47%
7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008	(4.88)%	7.18%	(12.63)%	(0.57)%
7/1/2008 to 6/30/2009	(20.80)%	(10.26)%	(28.55)%	(18.01)%
7/1/2009 to 6/30/2010	12.87%	9.78%	5.12%	2.03%

<sup>\*</sup> The actuarial assumption was 8.0% through 6/30/2004 and 7.75% thereafter.

Asset gains or losses result when the return on the actuarial value of assets differs from the actuarial investment return assumption of 7.75% (8.0% before July 1, 2004).

On a market value basis the System earned \$843.7 million less than anticipated by the 7.75% assumption in the year ended June 30, 2009 and \$116.1 million more than anticipated by the 7.75% assumption in the year ended June 30, 2010. The net result as of July 1, 2010 is that the market value of assets is \$435.1 million less than the actuarial value of assets. This \$435.1 million in unrecognized asset losses, if not offset by future gains, will cause the contributions needed to amortize the UAAL in future valuations to increase even further. Therefore, to stay financially sound in the future, the System will need either (1) future gains such as asset returns over the new 7.75% assumption, or (2) an increase in contribution rates or some of both.

#### **Recent Contribution Increases**

As shown in the "History of Legislated Contributions" at the beginning of this section, the employer contributions from the General Fund has increased to 2.49% of pay as of July 1, 2009. The supplemental contribution to ensure university member benefits are funded by university employers was increased from 4.04% to 4.72% of Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) member pay at July 1, 2007. These additional contributions helped bring the amortization period of the System's Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) under 30 years at July 1, 2007. The valuation that determined the 4.72% of ORP member pay is scheduled to be updated in October 2010. The result of this update will likely indicate a change in the ORP supplemental contribution rate. Unfortunately cumulative poor asset performance for the three years ended June 30, 2010 now requires a contribution rate increase to maintain actuarial soundness and an amortization period within 30 years.



#### **Amortization Period Changes**

The July 1, 2009 actuarial valuation calculated an infinite amortization period for the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. The experience gains (primarily asset gains) and the adoption of methodology and assumption changes from the year ending June 30, 2010 decreased the amortization period. The resulting amortization period at July 1, 2010 is 49.5 years.

#### **Funding and Benefits Policy**

The Teachers' Retirement System has adopted a Funding and Benefits Policy to provide general guidelines to help ensure decisions are made based on sound, consistent, and thoroughly examined criteria. The Funding and Benefits Policy includes guidance on the following topics:

#### 1) Additional Funding

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states: "Whenever the amortization period of the unfunded liabilities for two consecutive valuations are projected to exceed 30 years based on the market value of assets, or the funded ratio is less than 85%, and the Board cannot reasonably anticipate that the amortization period would decline or the funded ratio improve without an increase in funding sources, it is the obligation of the Board to recommend to the legislature that funding be increased and/or liabilities be reduced."
- b) Analysis: The amortization period at July 1, 2010 is 49.5 years based on actuarial assets and infinite based on market assets. Assuming experience follows the actuarial assumptions, the amortization period is projected to remain above 30 years based on both measures for some time to come. The funded ratio is currently 65.44%. Therefore, the guidance in the Board's Funding and Benefits Policy indicates the Board should "recommend to the legislature that funding be increased and/or liabilities be reduced."

#### 2) Ultimate Goal

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states: "It is the ultimate goal of the TRS to eliminate the current Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability and to establish a Stabilization Reserve equal to at least 10% of the Actuarial Accrued Liability. Once the system has achieved this goal, any surplus funds that become available may be applied toward the cost of benefit enhancements and/or contribution reductions, provided, sufficient reserves are retained to reasonably allow for adverse experience and the contribution rates remain at least 1 percent above the normal cost."
- b) Analysis: This goal is currently a long way off. This is represented by infinite and 49.5 years amortization periods on a market value of assets and an actuarial value of assets basis respectively. Discipline will be required by all parties concerned to reach this goal, and will have to include contribution increases to maintain the amortization period within 30 years.

#### 3) Benefit Enhancements

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states: "Proposed benefit enhancements must include additional funding sufficient to cover any increase in the normal cost and to amortize any increase in unfunded liabilities over a period not to exceed 25 years. In addition, as of the most recent actuarial valuation, the funded ratio must be 85% or greater before the Board will support legislation to enhance benefits."
- b) Analysis: Since the net funded ratio at July 1, 2010 of 65.44% is below 85% the Board's Funding and Benefits policy does not currently support enhanced benefits, even if funding of increased unfunded liabilities over 25 years is included.



#### **Sensitivity to Future Experience**

The valuation results are projections based on the actuarial assumptions. Actual experience will differ from these assumptions, either increasing or decreasing the ultimate cost. The following illustrations provide simple analyses on how the costs are sensitive to changes the assumed rate of return. We have amortized changes in the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) over 25 years for the purpose of these illustrations.

<u>Investment Return</u> – The investment return assumption generally has the largest impact on the funding of the System.

Impact of Assuming 0.5% Lo	wer Investment Return
Impact of Assuming 0.3 % Ed	
Current Assumption 7.750/	Funded Ratio 65.44%
Current Assumption 7.75%	
Lower Assumption 7.25%	61.76%
Change	-3.68%
	Implied Contribution
	Increase / (Decrease)
Normal Cost Rate	1.16%
25 year amortization of UAAL	<u>1.92%</u>
Total	3.08%
Impact of Assuming 1.0% Lo	wer Investment Return
	Funded Ratio
Current Assumption 7.75%	65.44%
Lower Assumption 6.75%	58.19%
Change	<del>-7</del> .25%
	Implied Contribution
	Increase / (Decrease)
Normal Cost Rate	2.49%
25 year amortization of UAAL	3.80%
Total	6.29%



The future funding status of the System will be determined by the System's experience. The System's actual asset returns and retirement rates, as well as member longevity, salary increases, withdrawal rates, disability rates and future legislation will all impact the funding status of the System. The entry age normal cost method and four year smoothing of asset gains and losses will help to provide a more orderly funding of the System's liabilities, but will not change the actual experience. The amortization period of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is not likely to decrease by the expected 1.0 year with each passing actuarial valuation. Instead, the amortization period is expected to decrease more or less than 1.0 years each year, reflecting gains and losses due to experience different than the actuarial assumptions.

#### **Assumption Changes**

Since the previous valuation, the Board has adopted an experience study on May 13, 2010 performed for the five year period ending July 1, 2009. As a result, the rates of withdrawal, disability and retirement for active members have been revised since the previous valuation. The impact of this change was a reduction in the Unfunded Accrued Liability of \$2.1 million and a 0.36% decrease in the normal rate.

#### **Benefit Changes**

No benefit changes are reflected in this valuation.

#### **Contribution Changes**

The contribution rate changes are documented at the beginning of this summary.

#### **Method Changes**

Since the previous valuation, there have been two methodology changes. These methodology changes were documented in the experience study for the five-year period ending July 1, 2009 and adopted by the Board on May 13, 2010. They are:

Calculation of the Normal Rate - Under the Entry Age Normal Cost Method the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits of each active member included in the Actuarial Valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and the assumed exit age. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value allocated to the valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The calculation of the Normal Cost is based on each individual's expected salaries for the valuation year. The normal rate is traditionally the normal cost divided by the expected total salaries for the valuation year. Under the current method, the normal rate is developed by dividing the normal cost for the valuation year by the reported payroll of continuing active members for the prior year. For calculation of the normal rate, we recommend dividing the normal cost by the projected total salaries for the same period for which the normal cost is developed by increasing individual salaries with the assumed rates of salary increase. The impact of this change will lower the normal rate.

Present Value of Future ORP Contributions- University supplemental contributions to the System are made as a percent of pay for members of the Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) until June 30, 2033. Currently, the present value of these contributions is used to offset the System's Unfunded Accrued Liability (UAL). We propose instead, that the Systems' UAL is not offset by the present value of these additional contributions. Instead, the ORP contributions will be used as additional contributions toward the System's amortization of the unfunded liability.



#### **Impact of Changes**

The following table summarizes how experience has changed the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) since the July 1, 2009 Actuarial Valuation. Further detail can be found in Table 12.

# Changes in the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) (In millions)

July 1, 2009 Valuation UAAL	\$ 1,411.6
Expected Increase	\$ 30.0
Expected July 1, 2010 UAAL	\$ 1,441.6
Experience Loss on Actuarial Liabilities	\$ 18.6
Experience Gain on Actuarial Assets	(55.2)
Method and Assumption Changes	156.6
Total Loss	\$ 120.0
July 1, 2010 Valuation UAAL	\$ 1,561.6



#### Summary

- The System's market value investment return of 12.87% for the year ended June 30, 2010 is 5.12% more than the actuarial assumption of 7.75%. This represents an asset gain of \$55.2 million due to investment return greater than anticipated. The actuarial value of assets is not allowed to be greater than 120% or less than 80% of the market value of assets. As of July 1, 2010, the market value of assets was \$2,521.4 million. As of July 1, 2010 the preliminary actuarial value of assets was \$2.956.6 million. Since the preliminary actuarial is within the corridor therefore no adjustment is required to the preliminary actuarial value of assets. The July 1, 2010 market value of assets is \$435.1 million less than the actuarial value of assets. This \$435.1 million loss will be recognized in future actuarial valuations unless it is offset by returns larger than the 7.75% assumption.
- The amortization period of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is 49.5. The
  guidance in the Board's Funding and Benefits Policy indicates the Board should
  "recommend to the legislature that funding be increased and/or liabilities be reduced."
  The Policy's ultimate goal is to increase the current net funded ratio of 65.44% above
  110% to encourage stable contribution rates.
- The funding of the retirement system will be impacted by future experience which will sometimes be more favorable than the actuarial assumptions and sometimes less favorable. In particular, investment returns larger and smaller than the 7.75% assumption are expected to have significant impacts on the System's funding progress. In the long term, the favorable experience is needed to offset the less favorable experience. This is the reason for using an actuarial value of assets that smoothes gains and losses over four years.



#### Section 2

#### **Assets**

In many respects, an actuarial valuation can be regarded as an inventory process. The inventory is taken as of the actuarial valuation date, which for this valuation is July 1, 2010. On that date, the assets available for the payment of benefits are appraised. These assets are compared with the actuarial liabilities. The actuarial process thus leads to a method of determining what contributions by members and their employers are needed to strike a balance.

The asset valuation method being used is a four-year smoothing method. The expected return is determined each year based on the beginning of year market value and actual cash flows during the year. Any difference between the expected market value return and the actual market value return is recognized evenly over a period of four years. The actuarial value of assets is not allowed to be greater than 120% or less than 80% of the market value of assets.

Table 1 lists the assets held and their market value for the past two years. Table 2 summarizes the fund's activity during the past two years. Table 3 summarizes the determination of the actuarial value of assets. Table 4 summarizes historical asset returns for the last 15 years including the amount recognized by the actuarial asset valuation method which was greater or lesser than the actuarial investment return assumption.



Table 1
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets

		TOTAL TRS 2010	TOTAL TRS 2009
ASSETS			
Cash/Cash Equivalents-Short Term			
Investment Pool	\$	46,808,594	\$ 27,551,832
Receivables:			
Accounts Receivable		19,916,535	14,319,630
Interest Receivable		4,110,813	4,840,668
Due from Primary Government		-	 3,493,376
Total Receivables	\$_	24,027,348	\$ 22,653,674
Investments, at fair value:			
Mortgages	\$	16,342,528	\$ 20,491,720
Investment Pools		2,426,072,098	2,222,979,817
Other Investments		8,383,070	8,357,337
Securities Lending Collateral		162,097,378	 210,084,770
Total Investments	\$	2,612,895,074	\$ 2,461,913,644
Assets Used in Plan Operations:			
Land and Buildings	\$	193,844	\$ 193,844
Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(150,545)	(147,409)
Equipment		142,697	63,662
Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(49,458)	(53,076)
Prepaid Expenses		7,380	-
Intangible Assets, net of amortization		106,371	215,843
Total Other Assets	\$	250,289	\$ 272,864
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	2,683,981,305	\$ 2,512,392,014
LIABILITIES			
Accounts Payable	\$	111,324	\$ 185,080
Due to Primary Government		-	18,610
Acountability for Advances		-	3,841
Securities Lending Liability		162,097,378	210,084,770
Compensated Absences		182,728	174,174
OPEB Implicit Rate Subsidy		144,155	96,974
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$	162,535,585	\$ 210,563,449
NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST			
FOR PENSION BENEFITS	\$	2,521,445,720	\$ 2,301,828,565



Table 2
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets

	TOTAL TRS 2010	TOTAL TRS 2009
ADDITIONS		
Contributions:		
Employer	\$ 72,179,128	\$ 66,850,644
Plan Member	62,844,529	57,256,365
Other	17,241,610	14,147,324
Total Contributions	\$ 152,265,267	\$ 138,254,333
Misc Income	\$ 65,233	\$ 15,421
Investment Income:		
Net Appreciation/(Depreciation)		
in Fair Value of Investments	\$ 199,503,703	\$ (671,716,604)
Investment Earnings	109,898,071	70,040,815
Security Lending Income	1,253,635	4,318,004
Investment Income/(Loss)	\$ 310,655,409	\$ (597,357,785)
Less: Investment Expense	15,350,943	13,562,768
Less: Security Lending Expense	349,935	1,897,208
Net Investment Income/(Loss)	\$ 294,954,531	\$ (612,817,761)
Total Additions	\$ 447,285,031	\$ (474,548,007)
DEDUCTIONS		
Benefit Payments	\$ 220,193,357	\$ 209,942,663
Withdrawals	4,165,835	5,170,028
Administrative Expense	1,905,124	1,853,873
OPEB Expenses	47,181	49,496
Total Deductions	\$ 226,311,497	\$ 217,016,060
NET INCREASE (DECREASE)		
IN PLAN NET ASSETS	\$ 220,973,534	\$ (691,564,067)
NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST FOR PENSION BENEFITS		
BEGINNING OF YEAR	\$ 2,301,828,565	\$ 2,993,392,632
ADJUSTMENT	\$ (1,356,379)	
END OF YEAR	\$ 2,521,445,720	\$ 2,301,828,565



#### **Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets**

Valuation Date July 1:	2009		2010	2011	2012	2013
A. Actuarial Value Beginning of Year	\$ 3,159,134	,766	3,067,668,352			
B. Market Value End of Year	2,301,828	,565	2,521,445,720			
C. Market Value of Beginning of Year	2,993,392	,632	2,301,828,565			
D. Cash Flow						
D1. Contributions D2. Benefit Payments D3. Net	138,254 (215,112 \$ (76,858	,691)	152,265,267 (224,359,192) (72,093,925)			
E. Investment Income						
<ul><li>E1. Market Total: B C D3.</li><li>E2. Assumed Rate</li><li>E3. Amount for Immediate Recognition</li><li>E4. Amount for Phased-in Recognition</li></ul>	\$ (614,705 7 229,009 (843,715	.75% ,668	291,711,080 7.75% 175,598,074 116,113,006			
F. Phased-In Recognition of Investment Income						
F1. Current Year: 0.25 * E4. F2. First Prior Year F3. Second Prior Year F4. Third Prior Year	\$ (210,928 (100,364 67,675	,374) ,494 <u>-</u>	(210,928,844) (100,364,374) 67,675,494	29,028,252 (210,928,844) (100,364,374)	(210,928,844)	
F5. Total Recognized Investment Gain	\$ (243,617	,724) \$	(214,589,472)	\$ (282,264,966)	\$ (181,900,592)	\$ 29,028,252
G. Preliminary Actuarial Value End of Year A. + D3. + E3. + F5.	\$ 3,067,668	,352	2,956,583,029			
H. Corridor H1. 80% of Market Value H2. 120% of Market Value	\$ 1,841,462 2,762,194		\$ 2,017,156,576 3,025,734,864			
I. Actuarial Value End of Year G. Not Less than H1. or Not Greater than H2	\$ 2,762,194	,278	2,956,583,029			
J. Difference Between Market & Actuarial Values	\$ (460,365	,713)	(435,137,309)			



Table 4
Historical Investment Returns\*


Fiscal Year Ending	Market Returns	Actuarial Returns	Actuarial Return Over 8.00% Assumption
			•
June 30, 1996	12.4%	10.4%	2.4%
June 30, 1997	19.4%	14.9%	6.9%
June 30, 1998	16.6%	16.0%	8.0%
June 30, 1999	11.9%	12.3%	4.3%
June 30, 2000	7.8%	12.8%	4.8%
June 30, 2001	(5.1)%	9.2%	1.2%
June 30, 2002	(7.3)%	3.8%	(4.2)%
June 30, 2003	6.2%	1.6%	(6.4)%
June 30, 2004	13.3%	2.1%	(5.9)%
Fiscal Year			Actuarial Datum
	Manlast Datama	A stressis L Datemas	Actuarial Return
Ending	Market Returns	Actuariai Returns	Over 7.75% Assumption
June 30, 2005	8.0%	2.7%	(F_0)0/
· ·			(5.0)%
June 30, 2006	8.9%	8.5%	0.7%
June 30, 2007	17.6%	10.2%	2.5%
June 30, 2008	(4.9)%	7.2%	(0.6)%
June 30, 2009	(20.8)%	(10.3)%	(18.0)%
June 30, 2010	12.9%	9.8%	2.0%
15 Year Average	5.9%	7.2%	(0.7)%

<sup>\*</sup> Returns reflect all investment returns, including investment income and realized and unrealized investment gains and losses, and are net of investment expenses and administrative expenses paid by the System.



Table 5

Market Value of Assets vs. Actuarial Value of Assets





#### Section 3

#### **Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits**

In the previous section, an actuarial valuation was related to an inventory process, and an analysis was given of the inventory of assets of the System as of the valuation date. In this section, the discussion will focus on the commitments of the System, which will be referred to as its actuarial liabilities.

Table 6 contains an analysis of the actuarial present value of all future benefits for contributing members, for former contributing members, and for beneficiaries. The analysis is given by type of benefit.

The actuarial liabilities summarized in Table 6 include the actuarial present value of all future benefits expected to be paid with respect to each member covered as of the valuation date. For an active member, this value includes a measure of both benefits already earned and future benefits to be earned. Thus, for all members, active and retired, the value extends over benefits earnable and payable for the rest of their lives and, if an optional benefit is chosen, for the lives of their surviving beneficiaries.

The actuarial valuation does not recognize liabilities for employees who become members and participate in the System after the valuation date.



Table 6

# Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits for Contributing Members, Former Contributing Members, and Beneficiaries

(All amounts are actuarial present values in millions)

	Jul	ly 1, 2010 Total	July 1, 2009 Total		
A. Active Members					
Service Retirement	\$	2,347.1	\$	2,330.4	
Disability Retirement		13.8		16.6	
Survivors' Benefits		53.5		52.7	
Vested Retirement		29.3		27.9	
Refund of Member Contributions		30.0		27.9	
Total	\$	2,473.7	\$	2,455.5	
B. Inactive Members and Annuitants					
Service Retirement	\$	2,388.6	\$	2,256.4	
Disability Retirement		20.9		19.8	
Beneficiaries*		147.5		139.6	
Vested Terminated Members		68.9		56.6	
Refund of Member Contributions		16.3		16.1	
Total	\$	2,642.2	\$	2,488.4	
C. Grand Total	\$	5,115.9	\$	4,943.9	

<sup>\*</sup> Includes survivors of active and retired members, and children's benefits



#### Section 4

#### **Employer Contributions**

In the previous two sections, attention has been focused on the assets and the present value of all future benefits of the System. A comparison of Tables 3 and 6 indicates that there is a shortfall in current actuarial assets to meet the present value of all future benefits for current members and beneficiaries.

In an active system, there will always be a difference between the assets and the present value of all future benefits. An actuarial valuation sets a schedule of future contributions that will deal with this funding in an orderly fashion.

The method used to determine the incidence of the contributions in various years is called the actuarial cost method. For this valuation, the entry age actuarial cost method has been used. A description of the entry age actuarial cost method is provided in Appendix A. Under this method, or essentially any actuarial cost method, the contributions required to meet the difference between current assets and the present value of all future benefits are allocated each year between two elements:

A normal cost amount, which ideally is relatively stable as a percentage of salary over the years;

and an amount which is used to amortize is the UAAL.

The two items described above, normal cost and UAAL, are the keys to understanding the actuarial cost method. Let us first discuss the normal cost.

The normal cost is the theoretical contribution rate, which will meet the ongoing costs of a group of average new employees. Suppose that a group of new employees were covered under a separate fund from which all benefits and to which all contributions and associated investment return were to be paid. Under the entry age actuarial cost method, the normal cost contribution rate is that level percentage of pay which would be exactly right to maintain this fund on a stable basis. If experience were to follow the actuarial assumptions exactly, the fund would be completely liquidated with the last payment to the last survivor of the group.

We have determined the normal cost rates separately by type of benefit under the System. These are summarized in Table 7. In Table 7 we also provide a summary of the member and employer statutory and ARC under GASB.

The term "fully funded" is often applied to a system where contributions for everyone at the normal cost rate will fully pay for the benefits of existing as well as new employees. Often, systems are not fully funded, either because of benefit improvements in the past that have not been completely paid for or actuarial deficiencies that have occurred because experience has not been as favorable as anticipated. Under these circumstances, a UAAL exists.



Table 8 shows how the UAAL was derived for the System. Lines A and B show, respectively, the total present value of future benefits and the portion of the future liability that is expected to be paid from future normal cost contributions, both employer and employee. Line C shows the actuarial accrued liability. Line E shows the amount of assets available for benefits. Line F shows the UAAL.

The amortization of the UAAL assumes university supplemental contributions are made as a percent of pay for members of the Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) until June 30, 2033. Under Section 19-20-621, periodic separate valuations are to be performed to measure the liabilities of benefits to be paid under the Teachers' Retirement System (TRS) for Montana University System (MUS) members. The MUS valuations calculate contribution rates that finance the university member benefits with university contributions and reflect actual experience including investment returns. Therefore the university supplemental contribution rate has varied from time to time. Recently it has varied as follows:

Supplemental University Contribution Rate	Fiscal Years Ending
2.81%	June 30, 1998
3.12%	June 30, 1999
3.42%	June 30, 2000
3.73%	June 30, 2001
4.04%	June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2007
4.72%	June 30, 2008 to June 30, 2033

The value of future supplemental university contributions included in the July 1, 2010 TRS valuation is \$158.7 million based on a 4.72% contribution rate until July 1, 2033.

The UAAL at any date after establishment of a system is affected by any actuarial gains or losses arising when the actual experience of the system varies from the experience anticipated by the actuarial assumptions used in the valuations. To the extent actual experience as it develops differs from the assumptions used, so also will the actual emerging costs differ from the estimated costs. The impact of these differences in actual experience from the assumptions is included in Section 1, the Summary of Findings.



Table 7

# Normal Cost Contribution Rates As Percentages of Salary

	July 1, 2010 Total	July 1, 2009 Total
Service retirement	7.82%	8.74%
Disability retirement	0.08%	0.11%
Survivors' benefits	0.26%	0.29%
Vested retirement	0.42%	0.44%
Refund of member contributions	1.16%	1.11%
Total Normal Rate	9.74%	10.69%
Employee Normal Rate	7.15%	7.15%
Employer Normal Rate	2.59%	3.54%



Table 8

# Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Dollar amounts in millions)

	July 1, 2010		July 1, 2009	
A. Actuarial present value of all future benefits for present and former members and their survivors (Table 6)	\$	5,115.9	\$	4,943.9
B. Less actuarial present value of total future normal costs for present members		597.7		612.9
C. Actuarial accrued liability	\$	4,518.2	\$	4,331.0
D. Less present value of future university supplemental contributions*		N/A		157.2
E. Less assets available for benefits		2,956.6		2,762.2
F. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability	\$	1,561.6	\$	1,411.6

<sup>\*</sup> Paid by contributions to TRS made as a percentage of the salaries of the participants in the Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) to fund Montana University System member benefits. The percentage of salary will be a level 4.72% for the Fiscal Years through 2033.



#### Section 5

#### **Cash Flows**

The fundamental equation for funding a retirement system is that benefits and administrative expenses must be provided for by contributions (past and future) and investment income. When a retirement system matures, benefits and administrative expenses often exceed contributions. In this case we say the system has a "negative cash flow." Mature systems are characterized by negative cash flows and large pools of assets. This is natural. Actuarial funding is designed to accumulate large pools of assets which will in turn provide investment income and finance negative cash flows when systems mature. If the fund is looked at as a whole, investment income is usually larger than the difference between contributions and benefit payments. The retirement system's investment strategy should maximize potential returns at a prudent level of risk while providing for needed cash flows.

Table 9 shows the System had a positive cash flow for the year ended June 30, 2010. The System's total cash flow including benefits payments, administrative expenses and investment earnings was \$221.0 million. Of the \$221.0 million, \$295.0 million was due to investment returns.

Table 10 shows that at the current statutory contribution rate and if the System's assets earn the assumed investment rate of return of 7.75%, the System has a positive cash flow that is trending to become negative after reflecting benefit payments, contributions and investment earnings. This is due to the fact that the current statutory contribution is no longer adequate to fund the System in an actuarial sound manner.


Table 11 shows that if the contributions are contributed to the System as recommended by the Actuary and the System's assets earn the assumed rate of 7.75%, the System is projected to have a positive cash flow in all future years.

As long as the System had a positive cash flow, there was no need to plan where the funds would come from to pay benefits since benefits could be paid by incoming contributions. A negative cash flow, as defined above, requires planning what funds will be used to pay the difference between benefits and contributions. We are providing these projections to aid in developing the investment strategy for the System's assets.



Table 9

# Cash Flow History (Dollar amounts in millions)



Historical Cash Flows								
Year	Benefits &							
Ended	Administrative Investment Net Cash							
<u>June 30</u>	Contributions	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Income</u>	<u>Flow</u>				
2001	\$ 99.9	\$ 126.0	\$ (119.1)	\$ (145.2)				
2002	100.2	138.1	(159.6)	(197.5)				
2003	104.3	148.6	126.2	81.9				
2004	107.9	158.5	281.8	231.2				
2005	110.7	167.1	188.7	132.3				
2006	212.3 *	178.4	224.8	258.7				
2007	169.2 **	190.4	484.5	463.3				
2008	141.0	203.6	(153.3)	(215.9)				
2009	138.3	217.0	(612.8)	(691.5)				
2010	152.3	226.3	295.0	221.0				


<sup>\*</sup> Reflects \$100 million transfer to TRS

<sup>\*\*</sup> Reflects \$50 million transfer to TRS



Table 10

Cash Flow Projections
(Dollar amounts in millions)




		Projected Cash Flows					
Year		Benefits &	Assumed				
Ended	Statutory	Administrative	Administrative Investment Net				
<u>June 30</u>	<b>Contributions</b>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Income</u>	<u>Flow</u>			
2011	\$ 136.3	\$ 266.0	\$ 190.4	\$ 60.7			
2012	141.3	266.9	195.2	69.6			
2013	147.0	284.3	200.2	62.9			
2014	152.8	301.7	204.6	55.7			
2015	159.1	319.1	208.5	48.5			
2016	165.6	336.6	211.8	40.8			
2017	172.5	354.1	214.6	33.0			
2018	179.8	371.1	216.8	25.5			
2019	187.4	387.0	218.4	18.8			
2020	195.4	402.3	219.6	12.7			



Table 11

Cash Flow Projections
(Dollar amounts in millions)



		Projected Ca	sh Flows	
Year	Annual	Benefits &	Assumed	_
Ended	Required	Administrative	Administrative Investment Net C	
<u>June 30</u>	<b>Contributions</b>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Expenses</u> <u>Income</u> <u>Flow</u>	
2011	\$ 152.7	\$ 266.0	\$ 191.0	\$ 77.7
2012	189.7	266.9	198.4	121.2
2013	205.3	284.3	207.8	128.8
2014	213.5	301.7	217.4	129.2
2015	222.2	319.1	227.1	130.2
2016	231.3	336.6	236.8	131.5
2017	240.9	354.1	246.7	133.5
2018	251.0	371.1	256.8	136.7
2019	261.7	387.0	267.2	141.9
2020	272.9	402.3	278.0	148.6

25



#### Section 6

#### **Actuarial Gains or Losses**

An analysis of actuarial gains or losses is performed in conjunction with all regularly scheduled valuations.

The results of our analysis of the financial experience of the System in the three most recent regular actuarial valuations are presented in Table 12. Each gain or loss shown represents our estimate of how much the given type of experience caused the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability or Funding Reserve to change in the period since the previous actuarial valuation.

Gains and losses shown due to demographic sources are approximate. Demographic experience is analyzed in greater detail in our periodic assumption studies.

Non-recurring gains and losses result from changes in the actuarial assumptions and benefit improvements.



Table 12

Analysis of Actuarial Gains or Losses\*

(Dollar amounts in millions)

	UAAL (Gain)/Loss					
		June 30, 2010	June 30, 20	009	June 3	30, 2008
Investment Income Investment income was (greater) less than expected based on actuarial value of assets.	\$	(55.2)	\$ 56	1.9	\$	17.0
Pay Increases Pay increases were (less) greater than expected.		(22.0)	(	(4.4)		4.8
Age & Service Retirements  Members retired at (older) younger ages or with (less) greater final average pay than expected		13.0		6.3		(1.0)
<b>Disability Retirements</b> Disability claims were (less) greater than expected		0.5		0.4		0.2
<b>Death-in-Service Benefits</b> Survivor claims were (less) greater than expected		(0.4)	(	(0.2)		0.3
Withdrawal From Employment (More) less reserves were released by withdrawals than expected		6.6		4.7		1.7
Death After Retirement Retirees (died younger) lived longer than expected		(3.5)		(2.8)		(6.3)
Other Miscellaneous (gains) and losses		24.4	1	12.0		2.5
Total (Gain) or Loss During Period From Financial Experience	\$	(36.6)	\$ 57	7.9	\$	19.2
Non-Recurring Items. Changes in actuarial assumptions and methods Changes in benefits caused a (gain) loss.		156.6 <u>-</u>		- -		(10.6)
Composite (Gain) Loss During Period.	\$	120.0	\$ 57	7.9	\$	8.6

<sup>\*</sup> Effects related to gains are shown in parentheses. Numerical results are expressed as a (decrease) increase in the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). Gains decrease the UAAL and losses increase the UAAL.



#### Appendix A

#### **Actuarial Procedures and Assumptions**

Retirement, disablement and termination of employment assumptions have been revise to reflect the five-year experience study for the period ending 2009 adopted by the Board on May 13, 2010. These actions reflect the recommended changes in the Experience Study.

The current asset valuation method was adopted for the July 1, 2007 valuation.

Tables A-3 through A-6 give rates of decrement for service retirement, disablement, mortality, and other terminations of employment.

#### **Actuarial Cost Method**

The actuarial valuation was prepared using the entry age actuarial cost method. Under this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in the valuation is allocated as a level percentage of the individual's projected compensation between entry age and assumed exit. The portion of this actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the normal cost. The normal cost was first calculated for each individual member. The normal cost rate is defined to equal the total of the individual normal costs, divided by the total pay rate.

The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the sum of (a) the actuarial value of the assets and (b) the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the UAAL. The UAAL is amortized as a level percentage of the projected salaries of present and future members of the System.

#### **Records and Data**

The data used in the valuation consist of financial information; records of age, sex, service, salary, contribution rates, and account balances of contributing members; and records of age, sex, and amount of benefit for retired members and beneficiaries. All of the data were supplied by the System and are accepted for valuation purposes without audit.



#### **Replacement of Terminated Members**

The ages at entry and distribution by sex of future members are assumed to average the same as those of the present members they replace. If the number of active members should increase, it is further assumed that the average entry age of the larger group will be the same, from an actuarial standpoint, as that of the present group. Under these assumptions, the normal cost rates for active members will not vary with the termination of present members.

#### **Employer Contributions**

At the time of this valuation, the total employer contribution rate for normal costs and amortization of the UAAL was 9.96% of members' salaries. In accordance with MCA 19-20-604, the employer contribution rate will be reduced by 0.11% when the amortization period of the System's UAAL is 10 years or less according to the System's latest actuarial valuation.

#### **Administrative and Investment Expenses**

The administrative and investment expenses of the System are assumed to be funded by investment earnings in excess of 7.75% per year.

#### Valuation of Assets - Actuarial Basis

The actuarial asset valuation method spreads asset gains and losses over four years. The expected return is determined each year based on the beginning of year market value and actual cash flows during the year. Any difference between the expected market value return and the actual market value return is recognized evenly over a period of four years. The gains and losses are measured starting with the year ended June 30, 2007. The actuarial value of assets is not allowed to be greater than 120% or less than 80% of the market assets. (Adopted effective July 1, 2007.)

#### **Investment Earnings**

The annual rate of investment earnings of the assets of the System is assumed to be 7.75% per year, compounded annually. (Adopted effective July 1, 2004)

#### **Interest on Member Contributions**

Interest on member contributions is assumed to accrue at a rate of 5% per annum, compounded annually. This assumption was set as of July 1, 2004.

#### **Postretirement Benefit Increases**

On January 1 of each year, the retirement allowance payable must be increased by 1.5% if the retiree has received benefits for at least 36 months prior to January 1 of the year in which the adjustment is to be made.



#### **Future Salaries**

The rates of annual salary increase assumed for the purpose of the valuation are illustrated in Table A-2. In addition to increases in salary due to merit and longevity, this scale includes an assumed 4.5% annual rate of increase in the general wage level of the membership. The merit and longevity increases for the MUS members did not show a pattern of increasing or decreasing with service at the time of our most recent study. Therefore, the MUS members have a flat 1% merit and longevity assumption. The general wage increase assumption was adopted July 1, 2004 and the merit and longevity scales were adopted July 1, 2002.

Montana University System (MUS) members are assumed to have a 0.63% higher average final compensation to account for the larger than average annual compensation increases observed in the years immediately preceding retirement.

### **Service Retirement**

Table A-3 shows the annual assumed rates of retirement among members eligible for service retirement. Separate rates are used when a member is eligible for reduced benefits, for the first year a member is eligible for full benefits, and for the years following the first year a member is eligible for full benefits. The rates for General Members were adopted May 13, 2010. The rates for University Members were adopted May 13, 2010.

#### **Disablement**

The rates of disablement used in this valuation are illustrated in Table A-4. These rates were adopted May 13, 2010.

### **Mortality**

The mortality rates used in this valuation are illustrated in Table A-5. A written description of each table used is included in Table A-1. These rates were adopted July 1, 2006.

### **Other Terminations of Employment**

The rates of assumed future withdrawal from active service for reasons other than death, disability or retirement are shown for representative ages in Table A-6. These rates were adopted May 13, 2010.

### **Benefits for Terminating Members**

Members terminating with less than five years of service are assumed to request an immediate withdrawal of their contributions with interest. Table A-7 shows the assumed probability of retaining membership in the System among members terminating with five or more years of service. These rates were adopted July 1, 2002.

We estimated the present value of future benefits for terminated vested members based on the greater of the present value of their deferred benefit at age 60 or their available contribution account.



### **Part-Time Employees**

The valuation data for active members identify part-time members, but give no indication as to the number of hours worked. As done in the past, we imputed a "part-time percentage" by comparing the pay received with their annual equivalent full-time salary. Their accumulated service was divided by this percentage to reflect their full benefit. Part-time members earning less than \$1,000 during the last year were valued at their current member contribution balance.

### **Optional Retirement Program**

ORP payroll as of June 30, 2010 was \$179,655,253.

Effective for fiscal years after June 30, 2007 until June 30, 2033, the Optional Retirement Program contribution rate is 4.72%, pursuant to MCA 19-20-621.

### Buybacks, Purchase of Service, and Military Service

The active liabilities and normal cost (excluding liabilities and normal cost in respect of Return of Employee Contributions) were increased to 100.5% of their original value to fund this additional service based on a study of the System's experience for the five calendar years 1995 through 1999. Effective July 1, 2008.

### **Probability of Marriage**

If death occurs in active status, all members are assumed to have an eligible surviving spouse and two children. The spouse is assumed to be the same age as the member.

#### Records with no Birth Date

New records with no birth date are assumed to be 25 years old. Records that are not new and have no birth date used the same birth date as the prior year's valuation.



### Table A-1

# Summary of Valuation Assumptions (July 1, 2010)

I.	Ecc	onomic assumptions	
	A.	General wage increases* (Adopted July 1, 2004)	4.50%
	B.	Investment return (Adopted July 1, 2004)	7.75%
	C.	Price Inflation Assumption (Adopted July 1, 2004)	3.50%
	D.	Growth in membership	0.00%
	E.	Postretirement benefit increases (Starting three years after retirement)	1.50%
	F.	Interest on member accounts (Adopted July 1, 2004)	5.00%
II.	Der	mographic assumptions	
	A.	Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity (General Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2002) (University Member assumptions adopted July 1, 2000)	Table A-2
	В.	Retirement (adopted May 13, 2010)	Table A-3
	C.	Disablement (adopted May 13, 2010)	Table A-4
	D.	Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries	Table A-5
		For Males: RP 2000 Combined Mortality Table for Males, set back three years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale AA to 2008 (adopted July 1, 2006).	
		For Females: RP 2000 Combined Mortality Table for Females, set back two years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale AA to 2008 (adopted July 1, 2006).	
	E.	Mortality among disabled members	Table A-5
		For Males: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Males, set back three years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale AA to 2008 (adopted July 1, 2006).	
		For Females: RP 2000 Disabled Mortality Table for Females, set forward three years, with mortality improvements projected by Scale AA to 2008 (adopted July 1, 2006).	
	F.	Other terminations of employment (adopted May 13, 2010)	Table A-6
	G.	Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested termination (adopted July 1, 2002)	Table A-7

Montana University System (MUS) members are assumed to have a 0.63% higher average final compensation to account for the larger than average annual compensation increases observed in the years immediately preceding retirement.



Table A-2
Future Salaries

		General Members			University Members	
Years of Service	Individual Merit & Longevity	General Wage Increase	Total Salary Increase	Individual Merit & Longevity	General Wage Increase	Total Salary Increase
1	4.51%	4.50%	9.01%	1.00%	4.50%	5.50%
	4.09	4.50	8.59	1.00	4.50	5.50
2 3	3.46	4.50	7.96	1.00	4.50	5.50
4	2.94	4.50	7.44	1.00	4.50	5.50
5	2.52	4.50	7.02	1.00	4.50	5.50
9	2.02	4.50	7.02	1.00	4.50	0.00
6	2.21	4.50	6.71	1.00	4.50	5.50
7	1.89	4.50	6.39	1.00	4.50	5.50
8	1.68	4.50	6.18	1.00	4.50	5.50
9	1.47	4.50	5.97	1.00	4.50	5.50
10	1.31	4.50	5.81	1.00	4.50	5.50
11	1.16	4.50	5.66	1.00	4.50	5.50
12	1.00	4.50	5.50	1.00	4.50	5.50
13	0.84	4.50	5.34	1.00	4.50	5.50
14	0.68	4.50	5.18	1.00	4.50	5.50
15	0.58	4.50	5.08	1.00	4.50	5.50
16	0.47	4.50	4.97	1.00	4.50	5.50
17	0.47	4.50 4.50	4.97 4.87	1.00	4.50 4.50	5.50 5.50
18	0.37	4.50 4.50	4.76	1.00	4.50 4.50	5.50 5.50
16 19	0.26 0.21	4.50 4.50	4.76 4.71	1.00	4.50 4.50	5.50 5.50
20	0.16	4.50	4.66	1.00	4.50	5.50
21	0.11	4.50	4.61	1.00	4.50	5.50
22 & Up	0.00	4.50	4.50	1.00	4.50	5.50



Table A-3

# Retirement **Annual Rates**

		General Member	rs	University Members			
Age	Eligible for Reduced Benefits	First Year Eligible for Full Benefits	Thereafter	Eligible for Reduced Benefits	First Year Eligible for Full Benefits	Thereafter	
45		8.0%	5.5%		17.0%	8.0%	
46		8.0	5.5		17.0	8.0	
47		8.0	5.5		17.0	8.0	
48		8.0	5.5		17.0	8.0	
49	*	8.0	5.5	*	17.0	8.0	
50	5.0%	8.0	5.5	7.0%	17.0	8.0	
51	5.0	8.0	6.3	7.0	17.0	8.0	
52	5.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	17.0	8.0	
53	5.0	9.0	7.3	7.0	17.0	8.0	
54	5.0	9.0	8.2	7.0	17.0	8.0	
55	7.0	9.0	9.8	7.0	15.0	8.0	
56	7.0	12.0	11.3	7.0	15.0	8.0	
57	7.0	11.8	12.5	7.0	15.0	8.0	
58	7.0	14.8	13.1	7.0	15.0	8.0	
59	7.0	17.4	14.8	7.0	15.0	8.0	
60	*	14.6	17.0	*	15.0	8.5	
61		21.3	25.0		14.0	14.5	
62		23.8	25.0		20.0	19.0	
63		11.4	25.0		14.0	14.5	
64		19.0	25.0		20.0	18.0	
65		40.0	35.0		28.0	26.0	
66		8.0	20.0		21.0	21.0	
67		30.0	20.0		21.0	24.5	
68		6.0	20.0		21.0	19.5	
69		6.0	20.0		21.0	30.0	
70		**	**		**	**	

<sup>\*</sup> All benefits are unreduced after attaining age 60. Reduced benefits are not available before age 50.
\*\* Immediate retirement is assumed at age 70 or over.



Table A-4

# Disablement Annual Rates

Age	All Members
25	.005%
30	.005
35	.008
40	.028
45	.044
50	.063
55	.084
60	.100



Table A-5

# Mortality Annual Rates

	Contributing Members, Service Retired Members and Beneficiaries		Disabled Members		
Age	Men	Women	Men	Women	
25	.03%	.02%	1.97%	.68%	
30	.04	.02	2.17	.69	
35	.05	.04	2.17	.67	
40	.09	.05	2.17	.66	
45	.11	.08	2.08	.85	
50	.15	.12	2.23	1.31	
55	.23	.20	2.69	1.89	
60	.41	.38	3.32	2.43	
65	.78	.73	3.99	3.19	
70	1.45	1.29	4.90	4.33	
75	2.42	2.17	6.15	6.01	
80	4.22	3.55	8.30	8.30	
85	7.55	5.91	11.43	11.86	



Table A-6

# Other Terminations of Employment Among Members Not Eligible to Retire Annual Rates

Years of	
Service	All Members
1	36.5%
2	20.5
2 3 4	14.6
	10.5
5	8.5
6	7.0
7	6.4
8	5.8
9	5.4
10	5.0
	0.0
11	4.3
12	3.9
13	3.5
14	3.2
15	2.9
10	2.5
16	2.6
17	2.3
18	2.0
19	1.9
20	1.8
04	4.7
21	1.7
22	1.6
23	1.5
24	1.5



Table A-7

# Probability of Retaining Membership in the System Upon Vested Termination

Age	Probability of Retaining Membership
	- 40/
25	54%
30	54
35	58
40	58
45	60
50	70
55	75



### Appendix B

### **Summary of Benefit Provisions**

Effective Date September 1, 1937.

Vesting Period Five years. No benefits are payable unless the member has a

vested right, except the return of employee contributions with

interest.

Final Compensation Average of highest three consecutive years of earned

compensation.

no event will the member receive less than the amount of

employee contributions with interest.

Normal Retirement Benefits

Eligibility: 25 years of service or age 60 and five years of service.

Benefit: The retirement benefit is equal to 1/60 of final compensation

for each year of service.

Early Retirement Benefits

Eligibility: Five years of service and age 50.

Benefit: The retirement benefit is calculated in the same manner as

described for normal retirement, but the benefit is reduced 1/2 of 1% for each of the first 60 months early and 3/10 of 1% for

each of the next 60 months early.



Death Benefit

Eligibility: Five years of service.

Benefit: The death benefit is equal to 1/60 of final compensation for

each year of service accrued at date of death, with an actuarial adjustment based on the relation of the member's age at death to the beneficiary's age. A monthly benefit of \$200 is paid to each child until age 18. In addition, a lump-sum benefit of \$500 is paid upon the death of an active or retired member.

Disability Benefit

Eligibility: Five years of service.

Benefit: The disability benefit is equal to 1/60 of final compensation for

each year of service accrued at date of disability. The

minimum benefit is 1/4 of the final compensation.

Withdrawal Benefits With less than five years of service, the accumulated

employee contributions with interest are returned. With more than five years, the member may elect a refund of contributions with interest or leave the contributions and interest in the System and retain a vested right to retirement

benefits.

Contributions Member: 7.15% of compensation.

Employer: 9.96% of compensation.

MCA 19-20-604 specifies that the employer contribution rate will be reduced by 0.11% when the amortization period of the System's UAAL is 10 years or less according to the System's

latest actuarial valuation.

Interest on Member

contributions

Effective July 1, 2010, the interest credited on member

contributions is reduce from 1.0% to 0.25% per annum.

Cost-of-Living Adjustments On January 1 of each year, the retirement allowance payable

must be increased by 1.5% if the retiree has received benefits for at least 36 months prior to January 1 of the year in which

the adjustment is to be made.



### Appendix C

#### **Valuation Data**

This valuation is based upon the membership of the System as of July 1, 2010. Membership data were supplied by the System and accepted for valuation purposes without audit. However, tests were performed to ensure that the data are sufficiently accurate for valuation purposes.

Active Members	Number	,	al Salaries Millions
Full-Time Members	12,711	\$	630.4
Part-Time Members*	5,642		74.6
Total Contributing Members*	18,353	\$	705.0
Active Members with Annual Compensation less than \$1,000	600		
Total Active Members	18,953		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes part-time members with annual compensation less than \$1,000.

Table C-1 contains summaries of the data for contributing members. For full-time members, values shown in the tables are the numbers of members and their total and average annual salaries. For part-time members, only the numbers of members are shown.

Table C-2 presents distributions of the following:

- Members receiving service retirement benefits.
- Members receiving disability retirement benefits.
- Survivors of deceased retired members receiving benefits.
- Survivors of deceased active members.
- Child beneficiaries.
- Terminated vested members.

Table C-3 is a reconciliation of membership data from July 1, 2009 to July 1, 2010.



The following is a summary of retired members and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits:

Type of Annuitant	Number	Annual Benefits in Thousands		Average Annual Benefits	
Service Retirement	10,834	\$	215,937	\$	19,931
Survivors of Deceased Retired Members	958		12,123		12,654
Total Service Retirement (including survivors	11,792	\$	228,060	\$	19,340
Disability Retirement	215		2,126		9,886
Survivors of Deceased Active Members	407		3,800		9,336
Child Beneficiaries	26_		64_		2,400
Total Annuitants	12,440	\$	234,048	\$	18,814

Terminated Members with	
Contributions Not Withdrawn	Number
	_
Vested Terminated Members	1,553
Non-Vested Terminated Members	<u>10,304</u>
Total Terminated Members	11,857



Table C-1

# Active Members Distribution of Full-Time Employees and Salaries

as of July 1, 2010

### **Number of Employees**

Com	nleted	Years	οf	Service

								<del>-</del>					
Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	21	98	28	8	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	155
25 to 29	57	196	227	437	226	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,143
30 to 34	10	124	127	310	668	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,378
35 to 39	17	58	97	197	399	559	122	-	-	-	-	-	1,449
40 to 44	13	49	62	101	274	352	524	119	-	-	-	-	1,494
45 to 49	11	32	60	91	276	262	380	408	177	-	-	-	1,697
50 to 54	8	38	37	83	221	249	350	358	495	151	-	-	1,990
55 to 59	7	19	25	53	144	216	268	370	346	429	144	-	2,021
60 to 64	5	15	13	28	76	104	134	182	198	173	204	31	1,163
65 to 69	1	4	1	5	9	20	15	27	29	15	24	21	171
70 and up	2	3	3	3	1		4	7	2	3	4	18	50
Totals	152	636	680	1,316	2,294	1,901	1,797	1,471	1,247	771	376	70	12,711



Table C-1

# Active Members Distribution of Full-Time Employees and Salaries

as of July 1, 2010

### **Annual Salaries in Thousands**

### Completed Years of Service

Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	433	2,901	920	249	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	4,504
25 to 29	934	6,293	7,330	15,065	8,354	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37,976
30 to 34	271	4,170	4,569	11,525	27,421	6,347	-	-	-	-	-	-	54,304
35 to 39	483	2,037	3,733	7,646	17,214	27,624	6,895	-	-	-	-	-	65,633
40 to 44	253	1,860	2,441	4,082	12,327	17,676	29,203	7,026	-	-	-	-	74,868
45 to 49	285	1,197	2,269	3,414	11,967	12,884	21,189	23,693	10,340	-	-	-	87,238
50 to 54	154	1,450	1,534	3,654	9,808	11,837	19,549	20,943	29,533	9,095	-	-	107,559
55 to 59	212	822	1,164	2,223	6,444	10,762	14,859	21,335	20,891	26,787	8,783	-	114,283
60 to 64	63	725	654	1,221	3,484	5,440	7,308	10,519	12,785	11,697	13,595	1,996	69,487
65 to 69	230	245	28	232	412	1,299	778	1,573	2,035	1,152	1,786	1,459	11,227
70 and up	71	149	91	128	32		191	640	136	207	200	1,521	3,366
Totals	3,389	21,850	24,733	49,439	97,463	93,869	99,972	85,729	75,720	48,939	24,365	4,976	630,444



Table C-1

# Active Members Distribution of Full-Time Employees and Salaries as of July 1, 2010

## **Average Annual Salary**

### Completed Years of Service

Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	20,639	29,601	32,858	31,161	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	29,056
25 to 29	16,378	32,107	32,291	34,474	36,963	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	33,224
30 to 34	27,067	33,632	35,977	37,178	41,050	45,664	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,408
35 to 39	28,424	35,120	38,486	38,813	43,144	49,418	56,516	-	-	-	-	-	45,296
40 to 44	19,495	37,958	39,371	40,414	44,988	50,215	55,730	59,045	-	-	-	-	50,112
45 to 49	25,914	37,415	37,810	37,518	43,358	49,177	55,760	58,071	58,418	-	-	-	51,407
50 to 54	19,304	38,153	41,461	44,026	44,382	47,540	55,853	58,501	59,663	60,234	-	-	54,050
55 to 59	30,243	43,256	46,547	41,948	44,749	49,823	55,446	57,662	60,379	62,442	60,996	-	56,548
60 to 64	12,625	48,344	50,335	43,619	45,837	52,305	54,540	57,794	64,570	67,613	66,644	64,379	59,748
65 to 69	229,766	61,372	28,320	46,307	45,749	64,931	51,868	58,241	70,157	76,800	74,425	69,454	65,657
70 and up	35,331	49,622	30,290	42,559	32,388		47,808	91,443	68,139	68,959	49,919	84,521	67,320
Totals	22,296	34,355	36,372	37,568	42,486	12,817	55,633	58,279	60,722	63,474	64,799	71,081	49,598



Table C-1

# Active Members Distribution of Part-Time Employees as of July 1, 2010

### **Number of Employees**

### Completed Years of Service

Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	163	94	18	6	2	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	283
25 to 29	253	159	56	55	43	7	-	1	-	-	-	-	574
30 to 34	103	108	59	65	76	38	10	2	2	-	2	=	465
35 to 39	98	110	81	87	108	56	25	4	2	3	2	3	579
40 to 44	98	104	71	111	138	55	23	12	13	3	1	1	630
45 to 49	80	111	87	132	220	95	33	20	15	7	1	3	804
50 to 54	56	77	60	103	226	145	83	31	11	7	3	7	809
55 to 59	46	55	58	103	172	147	118	47	18	12	2	11	789
60 to 64	29	36	35	56	107	65	60	30	17	8	6	11	460
65 to 69	11	22	15	17	47	29	16	8	4	4	-	2	175
70 and up	2	10	6	10	20	13	5	3	1	3	1		74
Totals	939	886	546	745	1,159	650	373	158	83	47	18	38	5,642



Table C-2

Distribution of Inactive Lives

# Members Receiving Service Retirement Benefits as of July 1, 2010

Age	Number of Persons	ual Benefits Γhousands	age Annual Benefits
<50	18	\$ 427	\$ 23,708
50 to 54	247	5,026	20,346
55 to 59	1,035	23,019	22,240
60 to 64	2,426	53,892	22,214
65 to 69	2,513	54,231	21,580
70 to 74	1,677	34,464	20,551
75 to 79	1,234	22,925	18,578
80 to 84	793	12,188	15,370
85 to 89	503	6,203	12,332
90 and up	388	3,563	 9,184
Totals	10,834	\$ 215,937	\$ 19,931

# Members Receiving Disability Retirement Benefits as of July 1, 2010

	Number of	Annu	al Benefits	Avera	age Annual
Age	Persons	in T	housands	В	Benefits
<50	19	\$	214	\$	11,268
50 to 54	11		109		9,949
55 to 59	36		357		9,911
60 to 64	41		411		10,019
65 to 69	36		360		10,006
70 to 74	27		296		10,968
75 to 79	16		145		9,044
80 to 84	17		150		8,838
85 to 89	7		46		6,521
90 and up	5		38_		7,502
Totals	215	\$	2,126	\$	9,886



Table C-2

Distribution of Inactive Lives

# Survivors of Deceased Retired Members as of July 1, 2010

Age	Number of Persons	ual Benefits Thousands	age Annual Benefits
<50	42	\$ 318	\$ 7,564
50 to 54	22	198	9,020
55 to 59	48	573	11,930
60 to 64	86	1,137	13,226
65 to 69	131	2,053	15,673
70 to 74	128	1,855	14,495
75 to 79	134	1,973	14,721
80 to 84	135	1,743	12,909
85 to 89	147	1,512	10,286
90 and up	86	 763	 8,876
Totals	959	\$ 12,125	\$ 12,644

# Survivors of Deceased Active Members as of July 1, 2010

Ago	Number of	al Benefits	Average Annual Benefits		
Age	<u>Persons</u>	 nousands		enenis	
<50	95	\$ 513	\$	5,404	
50 to 54	33	220		6,678	
55 to 59	43	355		8,265	
60 to 64	70	742		10,606	
65 to 69	50	617		12,349	
70 to 74	41	434		10,581	
75 to 79	32	304		9,500	
80 to 84	34	376		11,060	
85 to 89	20	228		11,421	
90 and up	14	 69		4,895	
Totals	432	\$ 3,860	\$	8,935	



Table C-2

Distribution of Inactive Lives

# Terminated Vested Members as of July 1, 2010 Number of Persons

Age	Number
<25	-
25 to 29	5
30 to 34	75
35 to 39	159
40 to 44	188
45 to 49	227
50 to 54	341
55 to 59	408
60 to 64	122
65 to 69	23
70 and above	5
Total	1,553

# Child Beneficiaries as of July 1, 2010 Number of Persons

Age	Number
<5	-
5 to 6	1
7 to 8	1
9 to 10	4
11 to 12	4
13 to 14	8
15 to 16	6
17 to 18	2
Total	26



Table C-3

Data Reconciliation

	Active Contributing Members*	Terminated Vested Members	Service Retired Members	Disabled Members	Survivors and Beneficiaries
July 1, 2008 Valuation	17,943	1,640	10,471	206	1,359
Refunds and Non-Vested Terminations	(894)	(59)			
Change to Annual Pay Under \$1,000	58	(11)	2		
Vested Terminations	(160)	160			
Service Retirements	(516)	(78)	594		
Disability Retirements	(14)	(1)	(1)	16	
Deaths with Beneficiary	(5)	(3)	(59)		85
Deaths without Beneficiary			(161)	(3)	(52)
New Entrants	1,463				
Rehires	469	(91)	(13)		
Other	9	(4)	1	(4)	(1)
July 1, 2009 Valuation	18,353	1,553	10,834	215	1,391

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes active members with annual compensation less than \$1,000



### Appendix D

### **Comparative Schedules**

This section contains tables that summarize the experience of the System shown in present and past valuation reports.

Table D-1 shows a summary of the active members covered as of the various valuation dates.

Table D-2 shows a summary of the retired and inactive members as of the various valuation dates.

Table D-3 summarizes the contribution rates determined by each annual actuarial valuation.



Table D-1

# **Active Membership Data**

Active Members

					Active Members				
Valuation Date (July 1)	Full-Time Members	Part-Time Members**	Total Contributing Members**	Part-Time Members Annual Compensation less than \$1,000	Annual Full-Time Salaries in Thousands	Average Full-Time Annual Salary	Average Age**	Average Years of Service**	Average Hire Age**
1987	13,105	1,955	15,060	*	\$340,481	\$25,981	*	*	*
1989	12,546	2,541	15,087	*	339,866	27,090	*	*	*
1992	13,502	3,141	16,643	*	401,092	29,706	42.4	11.6	30.8
1994	14,938	2,637	17,575	377	416,968	27,914	42.5	11.0	31.5
1996	13,251	5,444	18,695	1,295	424,085	32,004	43.3	11.6	31.7
1998	13,545	4,647	18,192	776	459,191	33,901	44.0	12.1	31.9
2000	13,289	4,245	17,534	886	477,160	35,906	44.5	12.2	32.3
2002	12,796	4,650	17,446	723	486,204	37,997	45.0	12.2	32.8
2004	12,601	5,013	17,614	637	510,808	40,537	45.6	12.2	33.4
2005	12,523	5,019	17,542	697	523,909	41,836	45.8	12.4	33.4
2006	12,715	4,840	17,555	544	549,268	43,198	46.0	12.5	33.5
2007	12,634	4,994	17,628	548	568,351	44,986	46.2	12.5	33.7
2008	12,694	5,077	17,771	521	592,514	46,677	46.1	12.3	33.8
2009	12,673	5,270	17,943	513	613,077	48,377	46.2	12.4	33.8
2010	12,711	5,642	18,353	600	630,444	49,598	45.9	12.2	33.8

<sup>\*</sup> Not available.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Excludes part-time active members with annual compensation less than \$1,000.



Table D-2

Retired and Inactive Membership Data

	All Annuitants					Terminated Members	
Valuation Date (July 1)	Number	Annual Benefits in Thousands	Average Annual Benefit	Average Current Age	Average Age at Retirement	Number Vested Terminated	Number Non-Vested Terminated
1987	6,036	\$ 43,236	\$ 7,163	*	*	*	*
1989	6,330	49,546	7,827	*	*	*	*
1992	6,927	63,483	9,165	*	*	*	*
1994	7,530	78,183	10,383	*	*	1,105	5,722
1996	7,896	87,351	11,063	*	*	1,152	6,479
1998	8,362	99,040	11,844	69.6	57.3	1,190	8,158
2000	9,021	117,227	12,995	69.3	57.0	1,256	9,308
2002	9,768	139,131	14,244	69.1	56.8	1,485	8,231
2004	10,375	159,776	15,400	69.1	56.7	1,620	7,861
2005	10,664	170,129	15,954	69.3	56.7	1,649	8,569
2006	11,019	181,114	16,436	69.3	56.5	1,684	8,542
2007	11,356	195,237	17,192	69.3	56.6	1,671	8,963
2008	11,788	208,985	17,729	69.4	56.7	1,649	9,574
2009	12,036	219,267	18,218	69.7	57.5	1,640	9,868
2010	12,440	234,048	18,814	69.9	57.6	1,553	10,304

<sup>\*</sup> Not available.



Table D-3

## **Contribution Rates**

Valuation Date		Contribution Rates	Normal	UAAL	
(July 1)	Employee	Employer	Total	Cost Rate	Rate*
1992	7.044%	7.459%	14.503%	9.876%	4.627%
1994	7.044%	7.470%	14.514%	9.494%	5.020%
1996	7.044%	7.470%	14.514%	9.328%	5.186%
1998	7.044%	7.470%	14.514%	8.880%	5.634%
2000	7.15%	7.58%**	14.73%	9.71%	5.02%
2002	7.15%	7.58%	14.73%	10.33%	4.40%
2004	7.15%	7.58%	14.73%	10.34%	4.39%
2005	7.15%	7.58%	14.73%	10.35%	4.38%
2006	7.15%	7.58%	14.73%	10.37%	4.36%
2007	7.15%	9.58%	16.73%	10.40%	6.33%
2008	7.15%	9.58%	16.73%	10.87%	5.86%
2009	7.15%	9.96%	17.11%	10.69%	6.42%
2010	7.15%	9.96%	17.11%	9.74%	7.37%

<sup>\*</sup> The UAAL rate is the amount available to amortize the UAAL. It is equal to the total contribution rate, minus the normal cost rate.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The 1999 Legislation which passed the 1.5% GABA, also added a 0.11% state general fund contribution.



### Appendix E

#### **Glossary**

The following definitions are largely excerpts from a list adopted in 1981 by the major actuarial organizations in the United States. In some cases the definitions have been modified for specific applicability to the Teachers' Retirement System Retirement System. Defined terms are capitalized throughout this Appendix.

#### **Accrued Benefit**

The amount of an individual's benefit (whether or not vested) as of a specific date, determined in accordance with the terms of a pension plan and based on compensation and service to that date.

### **Actuarial Accrued Liability**

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is not provided for by future Normal Costs.

### **Actuarial Assumptions**

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement, and retirement; changes in compensation, rates of investment earnings, and asset appreciation or depreciation; procedures used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets; and other relevant items.

#### **Actuarial Cost Method**

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Accrued Liability.

#### Actuarial Gain (Loss)

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

# Appendix E (continued)



#### **Actuarial Present Value**

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application of a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions.

#### **Actuarial Valuation**

The determination, as of a valuation date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a pension plan.

#### **Actuarial Value of Assets**

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan, as used by the actuary for the purpose of an Actuarial Valuation.

### **Actuarially Equivalent**

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date with each value based on the same set of Actuarial Assumptions.

### **Amortization Payment**

That portion of the pension plan contribution which is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

### **Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method**

A method under which the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits of each individual included in an Actuarial Valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value not provided for at a valuation date by the Actuarial Present Value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Accrued Liability.

#### **Market Value of Assets**

The fair value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan that could be acquired by exchanging them on the open market.

#### **Normal Cost**

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

# CM

# Appendix E (continued)

### **Projected Benefits**

Those pension plan benefit amounts which are expected to be paid at various future times under a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions, taking into account such items as the effect of advancement in age and past and anticipated future compensation and service credits.

### **Unaccrued Benefit**

The excess of an individual's Projected Benefits over the Accrued Benefits as of a specified date.

### **Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability**

The excess of the Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.