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## Introduction

## Purpose of the report

This report is submitted in accordance with Section 169.450-15 Revised Statutes of Missouri (R.S. Mo.) 1997 and amendments that require the actuary to make an annual valuation of the assets and liabilities of the system. The purpose of the actuarial valuation is twofold: (1) to determine the required annual contributions from the board of education, the retirement system and Harris-Stowe State College (or the State of Missouri); and (2) to develop information to measure the relative financial condition of the system.

The required contribution to the retirement system from the board of education, the retirement system, charter schools and Harris-Stowe State College (or the State of Missouri) is computed in accordance with Section 169.490 R.S. Mo. 1997. The amount of the required contribution is stated in table C of this report. A description of the actuarial cost method and assumptions appears in section 3.

Information concerning the financial condition and factors affecting it will be found throughout the report. There is no generally accepted single measure or standard for determining whether or not a retirement system is "actuarially sound." The financial health of a retirement system is measured best on a relative basis. Results are compared over a period of years to determine whether adequate progress is being made in the funding of the system's liabilities. Another relative measure is the stability of the contribution rate, with recognition for changes in funding requirements due to changes in benefit provisions. The actuarial balance sheet also provides an indication of the relative financial condition of the plan.

## Comments

This actuarial valuation is based on the same actuarial assumptions and methods from those used in the prior actuarial valuation. Overall, the System had favorable demographic experience for 2008, which partially offset the extremely unfavorable investment experience. The System's actuarial rate of return was $3.15 \%$, which is $4.85 \%$ less than the assumed rate of return of $8.00 \%$. The rate of return reflects a reduction of $\$ 57,234,574$ to the Investment Contingency Reserve, eliminating the margin to offset future adverse investment experience. There were a number of factors that should be noted. Employer contributions for 2008 were $\$ 5.4$ million more than in 2007, which reduced the UFAAL more than expected. The DROP program ended in 2008, which resulted in a decrease of about $\$ 17$ million in both liabilities and assets as DROP account balances were paid out. There was data cleanup, which eliminated duplicate records and deceased retired members, which further reduced the liabilities. The charter schools covered payroll increased about $\$ 9.2$ million, or about $42 \%$, accounting for almost all the increase in covered payroll. Due to the increase in covered payroll, there was a larger base over which to spread the UFAAL amortization payment, which accounted for $0.49 \%$ of the $1.24 \%$ decrease in the contribution rate. While the System experienced almost $\$ 260$ million in investment losses on a market value basis, the effect for 2008 on the actuarial value was significantly less. There was about $\$ 46$ million of unrecognized gains from prior years and about $\$ 57$ million from the Investment Contingency Reserve to offset the loss. Coupled with the smoothing of the asset valuation method, the net investment loss recognized for 2008 was about $\$ 45$ million. The net effect of all these factors was to decrease the contribution rate to $8.27 \%$ from $9.51 \%$.

As a part of the package to increase benefits in 2001, the board of education agreed to fix the employer contribution at $8.00 \%$ for 2001 and institute a one-year lag for future years. Therefore, this actuarial valuation is used to determine the actual contribution rate for 2010. The dollar amount of the actual contribution decreased to $\$ 19,407,727$ for 2010 from $\$ 21,406,949$ for 2009. As a percentage of covered compensation the contribution rate for 2010 decreased to $8.27 \%$ from $9.51 \%$ for 2009.

Under the actuarial funding method used to determine the contribution, actuarial gains (or losses) result in a decrease (or increase) in the normal cost rate. Actuarial gains (or losses) result from differences between the actual experience of the system and the expected experience projected by the actuarial assumptions. The assumptions are based on the long-term expected experience of the system. Actuarial gains (or losses) reflect short-term deviations between actual and expected experience. Since the normal cost is redetermined on an annual basis, the normal cost will usually fluctuate from year-to-year. For 2010, the annual normal cost is $\$ 6,967,095$ or $2.97 \%$ of the covered payroll of \$234,582,326.

The actuarial accrued liability contribution is determined as the amount necessary to amortize the remaining Unfunded Frozen Actuarial Accrued Liability (UFAAL) over a period of 30 years. As a modification to the actuarial cost method, the Board of Trustees acted to redetermine the UFAAL effective January 1, 2006. This portion of the contribution only changes to reflect changes in benefits or changes in actuarial assumptions and methods. The UFAAL amortization payment for 2010 is $\$ 12,440,627$ or $5.30 \%$ of the covered payroll.

The undersigned collectively meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

## J.P. Morgan Compensation and Benefit Strategies



IRS Circular 230 disclosure: JPMorgan Chase \& Co. and its affiliates do not provide tax advice. Accordingly, any discussion of U.S. tax matters contained herein (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, in connection with the promotion, marketing or recommendation by anyone unaffiliated with JPMorgan Chase \& Co. of any of the matters addressed herein or for the purpose of avoiding U.S. tax-related penalties.
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## Section 1

## Summary of Principal Results of the

 Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2009Annual Required Contribution

|  | Board of Education |  | Harris-Stowe State College |  | Retirement System | Charter <br> Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal cost contribution | \$ | 6,027,433 | \$ | 0 | \$ 17,453 | \$ | 922,209 | \$ | 6,967,095 |
| Actuarial accrued liability contribution |  | 10,762,743 |  | 0 | 31,164 |  | 1,646,720 |  | 12,440,627 |
| Annual required contribution | \$ | 16,790,176 | \$ | 0 | \$ 48,617 |  | 2,568,929 |  | 19,407,727 |
| Covered payroll |  | 202,943,889 |  | 0 | 587,637 |  | 31,050,800 |  | 234,582,326 |
| ARC as \% of covered payroll |  | 8.27\% |  | 0\% | 8.27\% |  | 8.27\% |  | 8.27\% |
| 2008* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal cost contribution | \$ | 7,542,167 |  | 2,655 | \$ 20,346 | \$ | 811,585 | \$ | 8,376,753 |
| Actuarial accrued liability contribution |  | 11,731,983 |  | 4,129 | 31,649 |  | 1,262,435 |  | 13,030,196 |
| Annual required contribution |  | 19,274,150 |  | 6,784 | \$ 51,995 |  | 2,074,020 |  | 21,406,949 |
| Covered payroll |  | 202,754,929 |  | 71,363 | 546,968 |  | 21,817,708 |  | 225,190,968 |
| ARC as \% of covered payroll |  | 9.51\% |  | 9.51\% | 9.51\% |  | 9.51\% |  | 9.51\% |


| System Assets | January 1, 2009 | January 1, 2008* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expense and contingency reserve | \$ 30,553,388 | \$ 89,221,944 |
| Market value, excluding expense \& contingency reserve | 809,792,984 | 1,150,960,911 |
| Actuarial value | 963,851,408 | 1,014,923,381 |
| System liabilities |  |  |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | \$ 136,040,308 | \$ 143,997,732 |
| Actuarial present value of projected accrued benefits | 1,093,606,648 | 1,128,363,288 |
| Funding Ratio |  |  |
| Actuarial value funding ratio | 88.1\% | 89.9\% |
| Market value funding ratio | 66.0\% | 94.7\% |

## Section 2

## Actuarial Methodology

## Introduction

The actuarial valuation of a defined benefit retirement system is comprised of two separate processes.
First, the actuarial present value, as of the valuation date, of both current and projected benefits to be paid under the plan is determined. In determining the actuarial present value of these benefits, actuarial assumptions must be made as to the number of participants eventually receiving benefits, the amount of benefits to be paid, and the portion of the benefit obligation to be covered by future investment earnings.

Second, the financing of these benefit obligations on an advance basis is established. An actuarial cost method is applied to establish the normal cost, which is the rate at which future costs will accrue annually after the valuation date. The actuarial cost method is applied to determine the actuarial accrued liability, which is the amount of cost that has accrued as of the valuation date.

## Actuarial assumptions

The true cost of a participant's retirement benefit is not known until he or his beneficiary has received the final benefit payment. Consequently, the exact cost of system benefits for the current employee group will not be determinable for 50 to 75 years. Since provisions for this cost must be made prior to the exact determination, a model is established that will estimate the future cost of system benefits. The model utilizes parameters that require assumptions as to the future occurrences of various events affecting the demographic profile of the employee group and the assets of the system. Such actuarial assumptions include death, retirement, termination, disability, salary increases and investment return. Current and long-term economic factors, the nature of the employer's business and significant features of the system must be considered in the selection of a set of actuarial assumptions to assure the reasonableness of the results predicted by the assumptions.

While care is taken in the selection of actuarial assumptions, actual experience is expected to deviate from these assumptions over the short term. The suitability of actuarial assumptions is measured by how closely the experience of the system, on a long-term basis, conforms to projected results. Deviations from projected results are called actuarial gains and losses. Periodic actuarial valuations measure the extent of these gains and losses as of a valuation date. If either actuarial gains or losses predominate, then it is possible that one or more of the actuarial assumptions is no longer appropriate. Thus, actuarial assumptions must be continually monitored for reasonableness and subsequent cost estimates may be modified accordingly. While individual assumptions are intended to be representative, it is the aggregate effect of all actuarial assumptions working together that determines their appropriateness.

An analysis of the experience of the retirement system for the five-year period ending December 1, 2005 was performed. On the basis of that analysis, several actuarial assumptions were changed effective with the January 1, 2006 valuation. The next scheduled experience analysis is for the five-year period ending December 31, 2010.

## Actuarial liabilities

Actuarial liabilities include the actuarial present value of all future benefits and expenses. To determine the actuarial present value of all future benefits, the probability of future events that establish benefit payments is forecast utilizing the actuarial assumptions. System provisions and current participant data are used to forecast the amount of benefits to be paid. Assumptions for survival among retired participants and beneficiaries are used to estimate the duration of these benefit payments. Each probable benefit payment is then discounted to the valuation date using the actuarial assumption for
investment return. These discounted payments are then summed to arrive at the total actuarial present value of benefits.

## Actuarial assets

The actuarial assets at any time are equal to the sum of present assets, valued on an actuarial basis, plus future assets. Future assets will result from future contributions and future investment return on all assets.

## Asset valuation method

The actuarial value of other assets is determined using the assumed yield method of valuing assets, less the expense and contingency reserve. Under the assumed yield asset valuation method, the prior year's actuarial value is increased at the assumed rate of return with appropriate adjustments for contributions and disbursements to produce an expected actuarial value of assets at the end of the year. The expected actuarial value is compared to the market value of assets, and $20 \%$ of the difference is added to the expected actuarial value. The actuarial value of assets was "fresh-started" as of January 1, 2006 and set equal to the market value of assets as of that date. The expense and contingency reserve is excluded from the calculation to produce the actuarial value of assets.

## Actuarial balance sheet

The actuarial balance sheet of a retirement system displays the fundamental financial status of the system on the valuation date. As stated previously, the system liabilities are the sum of the actuarial present values of all future projected benefit payments to current active and inactive plan participants and beneficiaries. Current assets, valued on an actuarial basis, plus the actuarial present value of future employer and employee contributions comprise the total actuarial assets of the system.

The actuarial present value of future employer contributions is the only item on the balance sheet that is not directly determined by the system provisions, current assets, participant data and actuarial assumptions. In fact, the actuarial present value of future employer contributions is the balancing item and reflects the future employer funding requirements based on the existing participant population.

## Actuarial cost method

To determine the funding requirements of the system, it is necessary to employ an actuarial cost method. The choice of the cost method does not affect the balance sheet financial status, which is a function only of the system provisions, actuarial assumptions, participant data and assets. However, the actuarial cost method has a direct impact on the incidence of the funding requirements. The actuarial cost method allocates the actuarial present value of future employer contributions between the past and future, and thus establishes the Unfunded Frozen Actuarial Accrued Liability (UFAAL) and the Normal Cost.

The actuarial cost method is the "frozen entry age actuarial cost method." Entry age is determined at the date each participant would have entered the system. On the initial actuarial valuation date for which the cost method is used, the annual cost accruals (individual normal costs for each participant) are determined as a level percentage of pay for each year from entry age until retirement or termination. The sum of these individual normal costs for all active participants whose attained ages are under the assumed retirement age is the normal cost for the initial plan year. The excess of all normal costs falling due prior to the initial actuarial valuation date, accumulated with interest, over the plan assets represents the initial UFAAL.

In subsequent years, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is frozen, that is, it increases only because of the accrual of interest and additional normal costs, and decreases only as a result of contributions. Supplements to the UFAAL can occur for plan amendments or actuarial assumption changes. Supplements are determined by computing the change in the actuarial accrued liability as of the valuation
date coincident with or next following the change. The UFAAL was originally determined and frozen as of January 1, 1981. Effective January 1, 2006, UFAAL was redetermined.

Subsequent normal costs are calculated as the level percentage of pay required to fund the excess of the actuarial present value of future benefits over the sum of the actuarial value of assets and the remaining UFAAL.

The funding requirement for each plan year is the sum of the "normal cost contribution" (equal to the normal cost for that year), plus the "actuarial accrued liability contribution." The "actuarial accrued liability contribution" is the payment required to amortize the UFAAL over 30 years, from January 1, 2006.

## Section 3

## Actuarial Assumptions

The following actuarial assumptions were used in the valuation:

## Interest

8\% per annum, net of expenses.

## Salary scale

Salaries are assumed to increase at the rate of 4.5\% per year.

## Mortality

The RP-2000 Combined Healthy Lives Mortality Table for males and females is used for active participants, retired participants and beneficiaries. Rates are shown in exhibit C.

## Disability mortality

The RP-2000 Combined Healthy Lives Mortality Table for males and females is used for active participants, with ages set up five years. Rates are shown in exhibit D.

## Disability

Disabilities are assumed to occur at rates based on the actual experience of the retirement system. The rates used are shown in exhibit E.

## Withdrawal

Select and ultimate rates based on actual experience of the retirement system are used. The rates used for participants who have completed three or more years of participation are shown in exhibit E.

During the first three years of participation, the rates for participants employed by employers other than Charter Schools are:

| Year of <br> membership | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $17.5 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| 2 | $15.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| 3 | $10.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |

During the first three years of participation, the rates for participants at Charter Schools are:

| Year of <br> membership | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| 2 | $25.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| 3 | $15.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |

## Retirement

Retirements are assumed to occur at rates based on the actual experience of the retirement system. Illustrative age-related rates are shown in exhibit A. For those eligible to retire under the Rule of 85, it is assumed that $25 \%$ will retire when first eligible for unreduced benefits unless the age-related rate is greater, but not prior to 30 years of credited service.

## Family structure

The probability of a participant being married and the probable number of children are based on a table constructed by the Social Security Administration, modified to reflect the experience of the retirement system. The table used is presented in exhibit $F$.

## Section 4

## Results of the Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2009

This section of the report shows the development of the principal elements of the actuarial valuation and the analyses of the various elements affecting the results. The actuarial valuation is based on:

- Participant data as of January 1, 2009 - This data is summarized in exhibits G and H.
- The statutes in effect on January 1, 2009 - A summary of the principal provisions governing the system appears in exhibit A.
- Actuarial assumptions and methods - The assumptions appear in section 4 and exhibits $C$ through $F$. The actuarial cost method is described in section 3.
- System assets as of January 1, 2009 - Fund values and summaries of fund activities and investment performance during 2008 are described later in section 6 under "Valuation of the System's Assets."


## Determination of the annual contribution levels

The annual required contribution (ARC) is comprised of two elements -- the "normal cost contribution" and the "actuarial accrued liability contribution." The determination of the unfunded frozen actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial accrued liability contribution follows in table A. The determination of the normal cost contribution follows in table $B$. The determination of the annual required contribution appears in table C.

## Actuarial balance sheet

As discussed in section 3 the actuarial balance sheet is a measure of the financial condition of the system and shows the relationship between "actuarial assets" and "actuarial liabilities." The actuarial balance sheet is presented in table D.

## Table A

## Determination of the Unfunded Frozen Actuarial Accrued Liability

1. Unfunded frozen actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2008 \$143,997,732
2. Normal cost due January 1, 2008

7,756,253
3. Interest on (1) and (2) at $8.0 \%$ to December 31, 2008

12,140,319
4. Employer contributions for 2008

27,853,996
5. Interest on (4) at $8.0 \%$ to December 31, 2008
6. Supplement for changes in actuarial assumptions or benefits
7. Unfunded frozen actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2009,
$(1)+(2)+(3)-(4)-(5)+(6)$
136,040,308
8. Actuarial accrued liability contribution for 2009 12,440,627

## Table B

## Determination of Normal Cost Contribution

1. Actuarial present value of future benefits
a. Active participants
i. Retirement benefits
\$393,838,571
ii. Vested withdrawal benefits

23,958,370
iii. Refund of contributions

3,156,424
iv. Survivor benefits

13,260,593
v. Disability benefits $\quad \underline{14,555,055}$

Total
\$ 448,769,013
b. Retired participants and beneficiaries

765,756,772
c. Inactive participants
i. Participants on leave of absence without pay
8,538,365
ii. Terminated participants
27,700,100

Total
d. Total actuarial present value of future benefits
2. Unfunded frozen actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2009
3. Actuarial value of assets as of December 31, 2008
4. Actuarial present value of future participant contributions
5. Actuarial present value of future employer normal costs, (1)(d) - (2) - (3) - (4), not less than \$0

53,540,929
6. Actuarial present value of future covered compensation of current participants
7. Employer normal cost rate, (5) / (6)
8. Total covered compensation
9. Normal cost due January 1, 2009, (7) x (8)
10. Normal cost contribution due by December 31, 2009, (9) adjusted for interest at 8\%

1,946,632,104
2.75\%

234,582,326
6,451,014

6,967,095

## Table C

## Required Annual Contribution

|  | Board of <br> Education | Retirement <br> System | Charter <br> Schools | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal cost contribution | $\$ 6,027,433$ | $\$ 17,453$ | $\$$ | 922,209 | $\$ 6,967,095$ |
| Actuarial accrued liability <br> contribution | $\underline{10,762,743}$ | $\underline{31,164}$ | $\underline{1,646,720}$ | $\underline{12,440,627}$ |  |
| Annual required contribution <br> (ARC) | $\$ 16,790,176$ | $\$ 48,617$ | $\$ 2,568,929$ | $\$ 19,407,722$ |  |
| Covered compensation | $202,943,889$ | 587,637 | $31,050,800$ | $234,582,326$ |  |
| ARC as \% of covered <br> compensation | $8.27 \%$ | $8.27 \%$ | $8.27 \%$ | $8.27 \%$ |  |

## Table D

## Actuarial Balance Sheet as of January 1, 2009

## Actuarial assets

| Actuarial value of present assets | $\$ 963,851,408$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Actuarial present value of future participant contributions | $97,331,605$ |
| Actuarial present value of future employer contributions for: |  |
| $\quad$ Normal costs | $\mathbf{5 3 , 5 4 0 , 9 2 9}$ |
| $\quad$ Actuarial accrued liability | $\mathbf{1 3 6 , 0 4 0 , 3 0 8}$ |
| Total present and future assets | $\$ 1,250,764,250$ |

## Actuarial liabilities

Actuarial present value of benefits now payable
Actuarial present value of benefits payable in the future:

| Active participants - new plan | $\$ 447,897,215$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Active participants - old plan | 871,798 |
| Participants on leave of absence without pay | $8,538,365$ |
| Terminated participants | $\underline{27,700,100}$ |

Total payable in the future
485,007,478
Total liabilities for benefits
\$1,250,764,250
Surplus / (deficit)
\$
0

## Table E

## Projected Benefit Obligation Funded Status

As of January 1, 2009 the projected benefit obligation was:

1. Retired members and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits and terminated members not yet receiving benefits
\$ 801,995,237
a. Current active participants
i. Accumulated member contributions, including interest
ii. Employer-financed vested benefits
104,576,264
iii. Employer-financed non-vested benefits
168,211,782
Total projected benefit obligation
\$1,093,606,648

As of January 1, 2009 the projected benefit obligation was funded as follows:

1. Net assets available for benefits at actuarial value \$ 963,851,408
2. Unfunded projected benefit obligation 129,755,240
3. Actuarial value funding ratio, (a) / (c) 88.1\%
4. Net assets available for benefits at market value
\$ 722,003,022
5. Unfunded projected benefit obligation 371,603,626
6. Market value funding ratio, (d) / (c) 66.0\%

## Table F

## Prioritized Solvency Test

The funding objective of the retirement system is to meet long-term benefit promises through contributions that remain approximately level from year to year as a percentage of covered compensation. If the contributions are level in concept and realistically determined, the system will pay all benefits when due - the ultimate test of financial soundness. Testing for level contribution rates is the long-term solvency test.

A prioritized solvency test is an additional means of checking a system's progress under its funding program. In a prioritized solvency test, the plan's present assets (cash and investments) are compared with:

- Active participant contributions, accumulated with interest;
- The liabilities for future benefits to present inactive participants and beneficiaries; and
- The liabilities for service already rendered by active participants.

In a system that has been following the discipline of level percent of payroll financing, the liabilities for active participant accumulated contributions (liability 1) and the liabilities for future benefits to inactive participants and beneficiaries (liability 2 ) will be fully covered by assets (except in unusual circumstances). In addition, the liabilities for service already rendered by active participants (liability 3 ) are normally partially covered by the remainder of the present assets. Generally, if the system has been using level cost financing, the funded portion of liability 3 will increase over time. Liability 3 being fully funded does not necessarily result from level percent of payroll funding methods.

The schedule on the following page illustrates the history of the liabilities of the system and is indicative of the system following the discipline of level percent of compensation funding.

## Table F

## Prioritized Solvency Test

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Valuation } \\ \text { date } \\ \text { January } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Active participants' accumulated contributions | Inactive participants and beneficiaries | Participants (employerfinanced) | Actuarial value of assets |  | Percent of present value covered by valuation assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1997 | 118,041,749 | 272,393,748 | 251,827,653 | 598,638,356 | 100\% | 100\% | 83\% |
| 1998 | 122,227,173 | 296,455,647 | 252,445,749 | 644,429,672 | 100\% | 100\% | 90\% |
| 1999 | 130,705,014 | 276,290,128 | 303,953,494 | 694,250,672 | 100\% | 100\% | 95\% |
| 2000 | 129,398,364 | 353,852,977 | 288,213,016 | 770,090,498 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2001 | 127,086,325 | 414,052,293 | 269,590,438 | 828,097,298 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2002 | 116,506,785 | 476,104,516 | 372,221,726 | 861,128,076 | 100\% | 100\% | 72\% |
| 2003 | 115,570,837 | 492,633,382 | 361,818,972 | 873,260,102 | 100\% | 100\% | 73\% |
| 2004 | 106,021,476 | 528,287,121 | 364,459,284 | 901,996,455 | 100\% | 100\% | 73\% |
| 2005 | 89,710,662 | 518,880,414 | 368,306,240 | 935,328,638 | 100\% | 100\% | 89\% |
| 2006 | 90,001,111 | 661,353,685 | 319,920,373 | 983,828,243 | 100\% | 100\% | 73\% |
| 2007 | 96,223,413 | 712,467,372 | 305,409,824 | 1,003,428,903 | 100\% | 100\% | 64\% |
| 2008 | 98,112,123 | 781,006,957 | 249,244,208 | 1,014,923,381 | 100\% | 100\% | 54\% |
| 2009 | 104,576,264 | 801,995,237 | 187,035,147 | 963,851,408 | 100\% | 100\% | 31\% |

## Table G

## Information For Accounting Purposes

The following information is required to satisfy the reporting requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25 on Financial Reporting for Deferred Benefit Plans.

| Actuarial valuation date | Actuarial value of assets | Actuarial accrued liability | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) | Funded ratio | Covered payroll | UAAL as a \% of payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | (b) - (a) | (a)/ (b) | (c) | $\begin{gathered} ((\mathrm{b})-(\mathrm{a})) \\ \quad /(\mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1/1/1992 | 427,706,455 | 501,997,792 | 74,291,337 | 85.2\% | 194,190,353 | 38.3\% |
| 1/1/1993 | 458,279,727 | 530,766,832 | 72,487,105 | 86.3\% | 194,555,489 | 37.3\% |
| 1/1/1994 | 487,385,302 | 557,941,103 | 70,555,801 | 87.4\% | 202,384,485 | 34.9\% |
| 1/1/1995 | 519,088,399 | 588,157,615 | 69,069,216 | 88.3\% | 207,113,839 | 33.3\% |
| 1/1/1996 | 562,177,274 | 664,807,425 | 102,630,151 | 84.6\% | 206,935,682 | 49.6\% |
| 1/1/1997 | 598,638,356 | 716,727,527 | 118,089,171 | 83.5\% | 210,228,288 | 56.2\% |
| 1/1/1998 | 644,429,672 | 759,687,878 | 115,258,206 | 84.8\% | 210,843,186 | 54.7\% |
| 1/1/1999 | 694,250,672 | 846,891,006 | 152,640,034 | 82.0\% | 215,602,351 | 70.8\% |
| 1/1/2000 | 770,090,498 | 937,669,100 | 167,578,602 | 82.1\% | 216,699,483 | 77.3\% |
| 1/1/2001 | 828,097,298 | 1,022,042,819 | 193,945,521 | 81.0\% | 235,087,151 | 82.5\% |
| 1/1/2002 | 861,128,076 | 1,069,789,813 | 208,661,737 | 80.5\% | 243,880,038 | 85.6\% |
| 1/1/2003 | 873,260,102 | 1,063,209,205 | 189,949,103 | 82.1\% | 283,935,810 | 66.9\% |
| 1/1/2004 | 901,996,455 | 1,074,259,628 | 172,263,173 | 84.0\% | 255,317,974 | 67.5\% |
| 1/1/2005 | 935,328,638 | 1,084,409,302 | 149,080,664 | 86.3\% | 240,185,055 | 62.1\% |
| 1/1/2006 | 983,828,243 | 1,122,583,775 | 138,755,532 | 87.6\% | 227,035,801 | 61.1\% |
| 1/1/2007 | 1,003,428,903 | 1,150,263,259 | 146,834,356 | 87.2\% | 222,387,289 | 66.0\% |
| 1/1/2008 | 1,014,923,381 | 1,158,921,113 | 143,997,732 | 87.6\% | 225,190,968 | 63.9\% |
| 1/1/2009 | 963,851,408 | 1,099,891,716 | 136,040,308 | 87.6\% | 234,582,326 | 58.0\% |


| Year ended <br> $12 / 31$ | Annual required <br> contribution | Percentage <br> contributed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | $14,098,562$ | $98.4 \%$ |
| 1994 | $15,441,488$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| 1995 | $15,087,519$ | $99.6 \%$ |
| 1996 | $16,619,187$ | $100.1 \%$ |
| 1997 | $16,876,759$ | $100.2 \%$ |
| 1998 | $15,328,067$ | $111.1 \%$ |
| 1999 | $13,906,270$ | $124.5 \%$ |
| 2000 | $15,543,984$ | $112.3 \%$ |
| 2001 | $18,168,580$ | $100.2 \%$ |
| 2002 | $19,076,442$ | $100.6 \%$ |
| 2003 | $19,517,288$ | $101.2 \%$ |
| 2004 | $19,210,506$ | $132.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | $19,364,705$ | $121.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | $14,414,133$ | $114.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | $17,311,658$ | $129.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | $21,021,316$ | $132.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | $21,406,949$ | $*$ |
| 2010 | $19,407,722$ | $*$ |
|  |  |  |

[^0]
## Section 5

## Valuation of the System's Assets

This section of the report shows the development of the actuarial value of the assets of the system and provides information regarding the expense and contingency reserve, investment results and the various assets of the system.

The amount of assets used in the actuarial valuation is known as the "actuarial value of assets." The method is discussed in section 3 and the development of the actuarial value of assets is shown in table H . An important element in the development of the actuarial value of assets is the expense and contingency reserve (called the expense fund prior to 1988). The amount of the reserve is determined pursuant to a policy adopted by the Board of Trustees. The history of the reserve is presented in table I.

As shown in table J, the fund had a rate of return of $3.15 \%$ on an actuarial value basis and $4.85 \%$ below the assumed rate of return of $8.0 \%$ for 2008. Prior to the adjustment for a transfer from the investment contingency reserve, the rate of return on an actuarial value basis would have been $2.00 \%, 6.00 \%$ below the assumed rate of return of $8.00 \%$. In accordance with Rule X, $\$ 57,234,574$ was added to the investment contingency portion of the reserve, because the preliminary actuarial rate of return would have been less than the assumed rate of return by more than $1 \%$.

The rate of return on an actuarial value basis is intended to be a stable rate of return and fluctuate less than rates of return on book or market value basis. Thus, the rate of return on an actuarial basis is not always a fair measure of the investment performance of the fund. Another indicator of actual performance during the year is the rate of return on a market value basis of (23.37)\%, also presented in table J.

## Table H

## Development of the Actuarial Value of Assets

1. Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2008
\$1,014,923,381
2. Participant contributions 11,537,258
3. Employer contributions

27,853,996
4. Benefit payments and expenses

121,120,322
5. Investment increment at $8.0 \%, 8 \% \times\{(1)+.5 \times[(2)-(4)]\}$

76,810,548
6. Expected actuarial value on January 1, 2009, (1) + (2) + (3) - (4) + (5)
7. Market value of assets on January 1, 2009

1,010,004,861
8. Expense and contingency reserve on January 1, 2009, prior to adjustment 809,792,984
9. Adjustment to the investment contingency reserve

87,789,962
10. Excess of market value over expected actuarial value, (7) - (6) - (8) - (9)
11. Market value adjustment, $20 \% \times(10)$
$(46,153,453)$
12. Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2009, (6) + (11)

## Table I

## The Expense and Contingency Reserve

Effective January 1, 1996, the Board of Trustees revised Rule XI, which governs the determination of the amount of the expense and contingency reserve. The expense portion of the reserve is the sum of:

1. The estimated annual operating expenses for the ensuing year:
2. An amount equal to the liability for non-insurance supplements;
3. An amount equal to the liability for insurance supplements for those participants participating in the program on January 1; and
4. The estimated amount of insurance supplements to be paid for participants expected to retire and participate in the program during the ensuing year.

The contingency portion of the reserve is intended to cover significant shortfall in the rate of return. When a shortfall of more than $1 \%$ occurs, the reserve is used to reduce the amount of the shortfall. When the rate of return exceeds the assumed rate of return by more than $1 \%$, an addition is made to the reserve according to a formula in Rule $X$.

Below is a history of the expense and contingency reserve:

| January 1 | Expense <br> reserve | Investment <br> contingency <br> reserve | Total expense <br> and contingency <br> reserve |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | $\$ 33,702,346$ | $\$$ | 0 |
| 1997 | $25,403,190$ | $5,220,821$ | $\$ 33,702,346$ |
| 1998 | $30,891,555$ | $24,100,041$ | $30,624,011$ |
| 1999 | $22,142,759$ | $45,972,067$ | $54,991,596$ |
| 2000 | $27,992,032$ | $50,003,862$ | $68,114,826$ |
| 2001 | $29,837,776$ | $50,003,743$ | $77,995,894$ |
| 2002 | $23,527,529$ | $50,003,743$ | $79,841,519$ |
| 2003 | $24,952,255$ | $37,759,976$ | $73,531,272$ |
| 2004 | $26,028,780$ | $37,759,976$ | $62,712,231$ |
| 2005 | $27,170,188$ | $45,115,876$ | $63,788,756$ |
| 2006 | $32,534,770$ | $45,115,876$ | $72,286,064$ |
| 2007 | $29,864,946$ | $50,732,410$ | $77,650,646$ |
| 2008 | $31,987,370$ | $57,234,574$ | $80,597,356$ |
| 2009 | $30,555,388$ | 0 | $89,221,944$ |
|  |  | $30,555,388$ |  |

## Table J

## Investment Performance

There are several different methods of approximating the rates of return on investments of the trust fund. Following is a brief comparison of the actuarial assumed rate of return as compared with rates of return on market and actuarial value bases:

## a. Market Value Basis

The rate of return on a market value basis is the ratio of the appreciation (or depreciation) of assets less contributions plus disbursements to the market value at the beginning of the year plus the average of the receipts and disbursements made during the year. This may be approximated as follows:
i. $A=$ Market value of assets as of January 1, 2008
\$ 1,150,960,911
ii. $B=$ Market value of assets as of January 1, 2009 809,792,984
iii. $\mathrm{C}=$ Contributions during the period

39,391,254
iv. $\mathrm{D}=$ Disbursements during the period

121,120,322
v. Rate of return:
$B-A+D-C$
$A+1 / 2(C-D)$
(23.37)\%
vi. Actuarial assumed rate of return for 2008
vii. Difference between actual and assumed rates of return, (v) - (vii)

## b. Actuarial Value Basis

The rate of return on an actuarial value basis is approximated using the same method as the market value basis:
i. A = Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2008
ii. I = Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2009
iii. C = Contributions during the period
iv. $\mathrm{D}=$ Disbursements during the period
v. Rate of return:
$B-A+D-C$
$A+1 / 2(C-D)$
vi. Actuarial assumed rate of return for 2008
3.15\%
vii. Difference between actual and assumed rates of return, (v) - (vii)
\$1,014,923,381
963,851,408
39,391,254
121,120,322

## Section 6

## Exhibits and Appendix

## Exhibit A

## Outline of Provisions of Current Law

## Participants

All persons regularly employed by the board of education and employees of the board of trustees are in the system. Certain employees of Harris-Stowe State College elected to remain in the system when Harris-Stowe came under the state retirement systems. A few employees elected to remain out of system when it started January 1, 1944. Some participants elected to remain under old law as of October 13, 1961.

## Board of trustees

The board consists of eleven members. Four members are appointed by board of education, three teacher members (two active and one retired), three non-teacher members (two active and one retired) and one active member who is a school administrator are elected by participants of system.

## Retirement age

a. Normal - Age 65 or any age if age plus the years of credited service equals or exceeds 85 (Rule of 85).
b. Early - Age 60 in all cases, with reduced benefits.

## Service retirement allowance

a. $2 \%(1-1 / 4 \%$ prior to July 1, 1999) of average final compensation (AFC), times
b. Years of credited service.
i. Compensation is the regular compensation for a period.
ii. AFC is the highest average compensation for any three consecutive years of the last 10 years of service.
iii. Minimum monthly benefit, retirement age 65 and over is $\$ 10.00$ for each year of credited service, up to 15 years.
iv. Unused sick leave is added to a participant's credited service and age.
c. Subject to a maximum of $60 \%$ of AFC.

## Early retirement benefit

A reduced benefit using the same reduction factors as in the Social Security Law, from age 65 or the age at which the Rule of 85 would be satisfied, if earlier than age 65 . For example, for a participant who could not satisfy the Rule of 85 before age 65 , the age 60 factor is $66.67 \%$ and the age 62 factor is $80 \%$. These factors are applied to the accrued benefit.

## Disability benefit

Accrued benefit for actual service, or $25 \%$ of AFC if larger, provided that in no case will the benefit exceed that payable if service had continued to age 65.
a. Disability must be incurred while and employee.
b. The participant must have a minimum of five years of credited service and be under age 65 .
c. May be examined by doctor annually in first five years and every three years thereafter.

## Withdrawal benefit

Accumulated contributions of participant with interest credited to the participant's account.

## Vested benefit

Full vesting on termination of employment after at least five years of service is provided if contributions are left with the system. The full accrued benefit is payable at age 65 or a reduced early retirement benefit prior to age 65 .

## Retirement options

A reduced benefit payable for life of participant with:
Option 1 Same retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary.
Option 2 One-half of the retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary.
Option 3 Same retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary. If the beneficiary predeceases the participant, the retirement allowance is adjusted back to the unreduced allowance.
Option 4 One-half of retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary. If the beneficiary predeceases the participant, the retirement allowance is adjusted back to the unreduced allowance.
Option 5 Increased retirement allowance is provided up to age 62, such that benefit provided prior to age 62 is approximately equal to the sum of the reduced retirement allowance paid after age 62 and Social Security.
Option 6 Options 1 and 5 combined.
Option 7 Options 2 and 5 combined.

## Survivor benefits

If a participant dies after 18 months of service and before service retirement or during disability retirement, survivor benefits are payable in lieu of a lump sum refund of participant contributions.
a. A widow age 62 who was married to a participant for at least one year receives $\$ 60$ a month.
b. A widow with dependent, unmarried children under age 22 receives $\$ 60$ a month plus $\$ 60$ per child, not to exceed $\$ 240$. The benefit ceases when youngest child is age 22 and resumes again under (a) at age 62.
c. If no benefits are payable under (a) or (b), minor children may receive a benefit of $\$ 60$ per child or $\$ 180$ divided among them if more than three children.
d. If no benefits are payable under (a), (b) or (c), a dependent parent or parents may receive $\$ 60$ per month.

## Death benefit before retirement

a. Under age 65 - If survivor benefits are not payable, contributions are refunded.
b. With five years of service - Benefits may be paid as if participant was at least age 55 and had retired as of the date of death under Option 1 in lieu of survivor benefits.

If a participant dies before receiving benefits at least as great as accumulated contributions, the difference is paid to his beneficiary. This minimum guarantee also applies to survivor benefits under options.

## DROP

Effective July 1, 2001, active participants may elect to enter the deferred retirement option plan (DROP) for up to four years. Upon entering the DROP, the participant's retirement benefit is frozen and credited to the participant's DROP account. At the end of the DROP, or upon earlier termination of employment, the DROP account is paid in a lump sum or installments, at the participant's option. During the DROP, the participant continues as an active participant, but does not pay contributions. To enter the DROP the participant must be age 65 or meet the Rule of 85 . The DROP program is no longer available. It ended June 30, 2008.

## Contributions by participants

5\% of compensation.

## Contributions by employers

As needed to keep system actuarially sound.

## Expenses

Administration expenses paid out of investment income.

## Exhibit B

## Legislative History of the Retirement System

On and after January 1, 1944, all persons employed by the board of education on a full-time permanent basis are participants of the system as a condition of employment. In 1961, provisions regarding benefits and employee contribution levels were revised for all future employees of the board of education. Participants of the system at that time were granted the right to remain under the "old plan" and have their membership governed by the provisions of the law in effect prior to 1961. These old plan participants have both benefits and contributions based on a $\$ 3,000$ maximum annual compensation. Old plan participants have been given the option to transfer into the revised plan at various times since 1961.

Effective October 13, 1969, legislation permitted the reinstatement of credited service lost during the years 1944 to 1947 inclusive when the married women teachers rule was in effect.

Effective August 31, 1972, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Purchase of past service credit by paying contributions for service claimed plus interest.
- Service as extended substitute teacher.
- Service of re-employed participants lost on prior terminations.
- Service out-state Missouri and outside the state of Missouri.
- Service lost by those who elected to stay out of the retirement plan either temporarily or to date.
- Old plan participants who wished to become new plan participants could do so by paying the differential in participant contributions under the new and old plans, plus interest.
- Dependent beneficiary on death of participant before retirement but after age 60 or age 55 with 30 years service may receive option 1 benefit as if participant had retired under such option.
- A participant with five or more years of service and prior to age 65 may be retired with a disability benefit if the medical board certifies that such participant is mentally or physically totally incapacitated for further performance of duty.
- Minimum retirement benefit at age 65 or after 10 years service is $\$ 50.00$ per month.

On February 10, 1975, the Missouri Supreme Court handed down a decision supporting HB 613 (Section 169.585 of state statutes), which granted increased benefits to retired teachers. The increases apply to those teachers who retired after June 30, 1957, and prior to January 1, 1971. Technically, those retirees are retained as "advisors and supervisor" and receive a "salary" of $\$ 5$ per month for each year of service, with a maximum of $\$ 75$. This salary plus the regular retirement benefit cannot exceed $\$ 150$ per month. To the extent that assets are depleted because of this law, future district contributions will increase. Because these benefits are paid as "salaries," coming out of investment income along with other expenses of operation, there will be less money available for crediting of interest to the various funds at the end of the year.

Effective August 13, 1978 legislation resulted in the following changes:

- The service retirement allowance and projected service retirement allowance was changed to $1-1 / 4 \%$ of average final compensation per year of credited service. The participant's allowance plus his Social Security primary insurance amount could not exceed $80 \%$ of his average final compensation. Participants born before 1917 receive the larger of the allowances calculated under the new formula and the formula in effect immediately before it.
- Credited service no longer limited to a maximum of 35 years.
- Two new joint and survivor optional forms of payment were added which provide for the participant's pension to be adjusted back to his unreduced pension in the event his spouse predeceases him.
- Contributions from participants shall be 3\% of compensation.
- End of period for purchasing prior service or outside service extended from December 31, 1973 to December 31, 1980. Deleted requirement of electing to purchase out-state or outside the state of Missouri service within one year of completing five years of credited service.
- Gives board of trustees the power to establish regulations, methods and factors that may be needed to calculate primary Social Security benefits.
- Dependent beneficiary on death of participant before retirement with five or more years of credited service may receive option 1 benefit as if the participant had retired under that option as of the date of his death.
- Allow retired educational secretaries to serve as part-time or temporary substitute educational secretary up to a maximum of 360 hours per school year without a reduction in the retired employee's retirement allowance or requiring the retired employees to contribute to the retirement system.

Effective September 28, 1979, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Accumulated and unused days of sick leave shall be included in computing a participant's age and credited service at retirement.
- Participants who have attained age 62 and who have 30 or more years of credited service may retire and receive a service retirement allowance without reduction for early retirement. The early retirement reduction for participants who retire with 30 or more years of credited service but who have not attained age 62 on their retirement date shall be determined on the basis of the number of months by which their age at retirement is less than age 62.
- Benefits to survivors of a participant who dies while an employee and after having at least 18 months of credited service are as follows:
(a) Surviving spouse age 62 or over: $\$ 60$ per month.
(b) Surviving spouse with unmarried dependent children under age 22: $\$ 60$ per month, plus $\$ 30$ per month for each eligible child, with a maximum of $\$ 150$ per month.
(c) Unmarried dependent children under age 22: $\$ 60$ per month for each eligible child, with a maximum of $\$ 120$ per month. This benefit is payable if the benefit in (b) is not payable.
(d) Dependent parent(s): \$60 per month, provided no benefits are payable under (a), (b) or (c) above.

Effective September 28, 1981, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- The provision limiting service retirement and projected service retirement allowances to 80\% of average final compensation less Social Security was removed for future retirees.
- The minimum monthly benefit payable to participants retiring on or after age 65 with 10 or more years of service was increased to $\$ 75$.
- Old plan participants were extended the option to transfer into the current system by paying the difference in participant contributions plus interest. Such election to be made on or before December 31, 1984. Retired participants who retired prior to January 1, 1955, may be
employed as "special school advisors and supervisors" at a "salary" of \$250 per month less their retirement benefits.
- Retired participants who retired prior to January 1, 1976, may be employed as "school consultants" at a "salary" equal to \$4 for each year of retirement prior to January 1, 1982. Total "salaries" as a "school consultant" and "special school advisor and supervisor" are limited to $\$ 250$ per month.
- The retirement system may contribute as part of its administrative expenses toward health, life and similar insurance for retirees.
- The actuarial cost method was changed from the "entry age cost method" to the "frozen entry age cost method." The period for amortizing "supplements" to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability was set at 50 years from the time the "supplement" is created.
- Several changes were made dealing with the administration and operation of the system.
- Investment powers were broadened.

Effective September 28, 1984, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Dependent beneficiary on death of employed, active participant before retirement with five or more years of service may receive option 1 benefit as if the participant had attained age 55 (if less than 55 at his death) and had retired under option 1 as of the date of his death.
- In addition to the option 1 death benefit, a surviving spouse may receive $\$ 30$ per month for each unmarried dependent child, provided that the total benefit does not exceed the greater of $\$ 150$ or the option 1 benefit.
- Surviving spouse benefits do not cease on remarriage.
- Dependent children's benefits do not require that the child remain a full-time student.
- Participants retired on disability may elect to receive an actuarial equivalent benefit under options 1 through 4.
- Retired participants who retired on or after January 1, 1976, may be employed as school consultants and receive a salary and insurance benefits provided other retirants.

Effective August 13, 1986, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- A participant with 30 years of credited service who is between the ages of 55 and 62 , upon certification by the board of education, is eligible for a supplemental early retirement benefit payable to age 62. This provision remains in effect until December 31, 1991.
- Benefits to a surviving spouse for dependent children are increased from $\$ 30$ to $\$ 60$ per month, with a maximum of $\$ 240$ per month, including the $\$ 60$ for the surviving spouse.
- Supplemental pay to retired participants employed as "school consultants" is increased by \$2 per month for each year between the participant's date of retirement and December 31, 1986

Effective June 19, 1987, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Reinstated the option for "old plan" participants to elect "new plan" membership by paying the difference in contributions accumulated with interest.
- Increased the minimum benefit for participants retiring on or after age 65 to $\$ 10$ per month for each year of credited service, up to a maximum of 15 years.
- Several changes were made dealing with the accounting, administration, and operation of the system.

Effective August 13, 1988, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Made provisions for children's benefits uniform, providing $\$ 60$ per month per child, up to a maximum of $\$ 180$ per month, under both subsections $169.460(13)$ and (15) survivor benefits.
- Supplemental pay to retired participants of $\$ 2$ per month for each year of retirement up to December 31, 1988.

Effective June 14, 1989, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- The maximum on compensation was removed.
- Average final compensation is based on the highest three consecutive years, rather than the highest five consecutive years.
- Participants may retire with unreduced benefits at any age, if their age plus credited service equals or exceeds 85 (the "Rule of 85 ").

Effective May 31, 1990, legislation resulted in the following change:

- Supplemental pay of \$2 per month for each year of retirement up to December 31, 1990.

Effective August 28, 1993, legislation resulted in the following change:

- Supplemental pay of \$3 per month for each year of retirement up to December 31, 1993.

Effective August 28, 1996, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Provision was added for the purchase of service for certain periods of layoff.
- The investment trustee position was eliminated and the position of school administrator trustee was added.
- Cost-of-living increases for participants who retired prior to August 28, 1996, with at least 15 years of credited service. The cost-of-living increases are up to $3 \%$ in one year, with a cumulative maximum of $10 \%$.
- The board of education is authorized to increase retirement benefits and the participant contribution rate, subject to several conditions.

Effective August 28, 1997, legislation resulted in the following change:

- Cost-of-living increases extended to participants who retired prior to August 28, 1997, with at least 15 years of credited service. The cost-of-living increases are up to $3 \%$ in one year, with a cumulative maximum of $10 \%$.

In accordance with the statutory authority granted the board of education in 1996, the board of education made the following changes:

- Participant contributions were increased to $4.5 \%$, effective July 1, 1998; to 5.0\%, effective July 1, 1999; and, if necessary to $5.5 \%$, effective July 1, 2000.
- The service retirement allowance was changed to $2.00 \%$ of average final compensation per year of credited service, subject to a maximum of $60 \%$ of average final compensation, effective for participants who retired after June 29, 1999.
- A "catch-up" cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) is provided for participants who retired prior to June 30, 1999, and survivors of participants who retired or died prior to June 30, 1999. The amount of the "catch-up" COLA is equal to $65 \%$ of the amount by which the participant's original benefit would have increased due to increases in the CPI, in excess of any supplements or COLA increases being received by the participant. The "catch-up" COLA is effective July 1, 2000.
- The board of education agreed to contribute $8.03 \%$ of covered compensation for 1998, 1999, and 2000, in order to fund the benefit increase and the "catch-up" COLA.

In accordance with the statutory authority granted the board of education in 1996, the board of education made the following changes:

- Effective January 1, 2001, all participants who retired prior to January 1, 2000, received a 3\% cost-of-living increase.
- Effective July 1, 2001, a DROP was made available until June 30, 2005, at which time the program will be evaluated to determine whether or not it should be extended. Eligible participants may elect to enter the DROP for up to four years.
- In conjunction with the DROP, employers will contribute at $8.00 \%$ of covered compensation for 2001. The contribution rate for subsequent years will be based on the rate determined by the actuarial valuation for the January 1 of the year preceding the year the contribution is due.

Effective August 28, 2002, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Purchase of service rules were updated.
- The system may accept qualified transfers of funds for the purchase of service.
- Clarified provisions relating to charter school participation in the system.
- Option 5 , the level income option is added.
- Replaced the specific actuarial cost method in the statutes with a provision that the method adopted by the board of trustees may be any method in accordance with generally accepted actuarial standards. The amortization period for the UAAL may not exceed 30 years.


## Exhibit C

## Non-Disabled Life Mortality Rates

| Death rate |  |  | Death rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | Age | Female | Male | Age | Female |
| . 000345 | 20 | . 000191 | . 012737 | 65 | . 009706 |
| . 000357 | 21 | . 000192 | . 014409 | 66 | . 010954 |
| . 000366 | 22 | . 000194 | . 016075 | 67 | . 012163 |
| . 000373 | 23 | . 000197 | . 017871 | 68 | . 013445 |
| . 000376 | 24 | . 000201 | . 019802 | 69 | . 014860 |
| . 000376 | 25 | . 000207 | . 022206 | 70 | . 016742 |
| . 000378 | 26 | . 000214 | . 024570 | 71 | . 018579 |
| . 000382 | 27 | . 000223 | . 027281 | 72 | . 020665 |
| . 000393 | 28 | . 000235 | . 030387 | 73 | . 022970 |
| . 000412 | 29 | . 000248 | . 033900 | 74 | . 025458 |
| . 000444 | 30 | . 000264 | . 037834 | 75 | . 028106 |
| . 000499 | 31 | . 000307 | . 042169 | 76 | . 030966 |
| . 000562 | 32 | . 000350 | . 046906 | 77 | . 034105 |
| . 000631 | 33 | . 000394 | . 052123 | 78 | . 037595 |
| . 000702 | 34 | . 000435 | . 057927 | 79 | . 041506 |
| . 000773 | 35 | . 000475 | . 064368 | 80 | . 045879 |
| . 000841 | 36 | . 000514 | . 072041 | 81 | . 050780 |
| . 000904 | 37 | . 000554 | . 080486 | 82 | . 056294 |
| . 000964 | 38 | . 000598 | . 089718 | 83 | . 062506 |
| . 001021 | 39 | . 000648 | . 099779 | 84 | . 069517 |
| . 001079 | 40 | . 000706 | . 110757 | 85 | . 077446 |
| . 001142 | 41 | . 000774 | . 122797 | 86 | . 086376 |
| . 001215 | 42 | . 000852 | . 136043 | 87 | . 096337 |
| . 001299 | 43 | . 000937 | . 150590 | 88 | . 107303 |
| . 001397 | 44 | . 001029 | . 166420 | 89 | . 119154 |
| . 001508 | 45 | . 001124 | . 183408 | 90 | . 131682 |
| . 001616 | 46 | . 001223 | . 199769 | 91 | . 144604 |
| . 001734 | 47 | . 001326 | . 216605 | 92 | . 157618 |
| . 001860 | 48 | . 001434 | . 233662 | 93 | . 170433 |
| . 001995 | 49 | . 001550 | . 250693 | 94 | . 182799 |
| . 002138 | 50 | . 001679 | . 267491 | 95 | . 194509 |
| . 002449 | 51 | . 001852 | . 283905 | 96 | . 205379 |
| . 002667 | 52 | . 002018 | . 299852 | 97 | . 215240 |
| . 002916 | 53 | . 002207 | . 315296 | 98 | . 223947 |
| . 003196 | 54 | . 002424 | . 330207 | 99 | . 231387 |
| . 003624 | 55 | . 002717 | . 344556 | 100 | . 237467 |
| . 004200 | 56 | . 003090 | . 358628 | 101 | . 244834 |
| . 004693 | 57 | . 003478 | . 371685 | 102 | . 254498 |
| . 005273 | 58 | . 003923 | . 353040 | 103 | . 266044 |
| . 005945 | 59 | . 004441 | . 392003 | 104 | . 279055 |
| . 006747 | 60 | . 005055 | . 397886 | 105 | . 293116 |
| . 007676 | 61 | . 005814 | . 400000 | 106 | . 307811 |
| . 008757 | 62 | . 006657 | . 400000 | 107 | . 322725 |
| . 010012 | 63 | . 007648 | . 400000 | 108 | . 337441 |
| . 011280 | 64 | . 008619 | . 400000 | 109 | . 351544 |
| 400000 | 110 | . 364617 | . 400000 | 115 | . 400000 |
| . 400000 | 111 | . 376246 | . 400000 | 116 | . 400000 |
| . 400000 | 112 | . 386015 | . 400000 | 117 | 400000 |
| . 400000 | 113 | . 393507 | . 400000 | 118 | . 400000 |
| . 400000 | 114 | . 398308 | . 400000 | 119 | . 400000 |

## Exhibit D

## Disabled Life Mortality Rates

| Death rate |  |  | Death rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | Age | Female | Male | Age | Female |
| . 000773 | 30 | . 000475 | . 064368 | 75 | . 045879 |
| . 000841 | 31 | . 000514 | . 072041 | 76 | . 050780 |
| . 000904 | 32 | . 000554 | . 080486 | 77 | . 056294 |
| . 000964 | 33 | . 000598 | . 089718 | 78 | . 062506 |
| . 001021 | 34 | . 000648 | . 099779 | 79 | . 069517 |
| . 001079 | 35 | . 000706 | . 110757 | 80 | . 077446 |
| . 001142 | 36 | . 000774 | . 122797 | 81 | . 086376 |
| . 001215 | 37 | . 000852 | . 136043 | 82 | . 096337 |
| . 001299 | 38 | . 000937 | . 150590 | 83 | . 107303 |
| . 001397 | 39 | . 001029 | . 166420 | 84 | . 119154 |
| . 001508 | 40 | . 001124 | . 183408 | 85 | . 131682 |
| . 001616 | 41 | . 001223 | . 199769 | 86 | . 144604 |
| . 001734 | 42 | . 001326 | . 216605 | 87 | . 157618 |
| . 001860 | 43 | . 001434 | . 233662 | 88 | . 170433 |
| . 001995 | 44 | . 001550 | . 250693 | 89 | . 182799 |
| . 002138 | 45 | . 001679 | . 267491 | 90 | . 194509 |
| . 002449 | 46 | . 001852 | . 283905 | 91 | . 205379 |
| . 002667 | 47 | . 002018 | . 299852 | 92 | . 215240 |
| . 002916 | 48 | . 002207 | . 315296 | 93 | . 223947 |
| . 003196 | 49 | . 002424 | . 330207 | 94 | . 231387 |
| . 003624 | 50 | . 002717 | . 344556 | 95 | . 237467 |
| . 004200 | 51 | . 003090 | . 358628 | 96 | . 244834 |
| . 004693 | 52 | . 003478 | . 371685 | 97 | . 254498 |
| . 005273 | 53 | . 003923 | . 353040 | 98 | . 266044 |
| . 005945 | 54 | . 004441 | . 392003 | 99 | . 279055 |
| . 006747 | 55 | . 005055 | . 397886 | 100 | . 293116 |
| $.007676$ | 56 | . 005814 | . 400000 | 101 | . 307811 |
| . 008757 | 57 | . 006657 | . 400000 | 102 | . 322725 |
| . 010012 | 58 | . 007648 | . 400000 | 103 | . 337441 |
| . 011280 | 59 | . 008619 | . 400000 | 104 | . 351544 |
| . 012737 | 60 | . 009706 | . 397886 | 105 | . 364617 |
| . 014409 | 61 | . 010954 | . 400000 | 106 | . 376246 |
| . 016075 | 62 | . 012163 | . 400000 | 107 | . 386015 |
| . 017871 | 63 | . 013445 | . 400000 | 108 | . 393507 |
| . 019802 | 64 | . 014860 | . 400000 | 109 | . 398308 |
| . 022206 | 65 | . 016742 | . 400000 | 110 | . 400000 |
| . 024570 | 66 | . 018579 | . 400000 | 111 | . 400000 |
| . 027281 | 67 | . 020665 | . 400000 | 112 | . 400000 |
| . 030387 | 68 | . 022970 | . 400000 | 113 | . 400000 |
| . 033900 | 69 | . 025458 | . 400000 | 114 | . 400000 |
| . 037834 | 70 | . 028106 | 1.000000 | 115 | 1.000000 |
| . 042169 | 71 | . 030966 |  |  |  |
| . 046906 | 72 | . 034105 |  |  |  |
| . 052123 | 73 | . 037595 |  |  |  |
| . 057927 | 74 | . 041506 |  |  |  |

## Exhibit E

## Active Participant Rates of Decrement and Salary Increase

| Attained age | Withdrawal rate |  | Disability rate |  | Retirement rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 21 | 14.50\% | 14.50\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 22 | 14.00\% | 14.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 23 | 13.50\% | 13.50\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 24 | 13.00\% | 13.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 25 | 12.50\% | 12.50\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 26 | 12.00\% | 12.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 27 | 11.50\% | 11.50\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 28 | 11.00\% | 11.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 29 | 9.50\% | 9.50\% | .000\% | .000\% | 0.0\% |
| 30 | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | .040\% | .040\% | 0.0\% |
| 31 | 8.80\% | 8.80\% | .040\% | .040\% | 0.0\% |
| 32 | 8.40\% | 8.40\% | .040\% | .040\% | 0.0\% |
| 33 | 7.90\% | 7.90\% | .040\% | .040\% | 0.0\% |
| 34 | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | .040\% | .040\% | 0.0\% |
| 35 | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | .040\% | .040\% | 0.0\% |
| 36 | 6.80\% | 6.80\% | .045\% | .045\% | 0.0\% |
| 37 | 6.60\% | 6.60\% | .050\% | .050\% | 0.0\% |
| 38 | 6.40\% | 6.40\% | .060\% | .060\% | 0.0\% |
| 39 | 6.20\% | 6.20\% | .070\% | .070\% | 0.0\% |
| 40 | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | .080\% | .075\% | 0.0\% |
| 41 | 5.40\% | 5.40\% | .095\% | .080\% | 0.0\% |
| 42 | 4.80\% | 4.80\% | .110\% | .085\% | 0.0\% |
| 43 | 4.20\% | 4.20\% | .125\% | .090\% | 0.0\% |
| 44 | 3.60\% | 3.60\% | .140\% | .095\% | 0.0\% |
| 45 | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | .150\% | .100\% | 0.0\% |
| 46 | 2.80\% | 2.80\% | .160\% | .110\% | 0.0\% |
| 47 | 2.60\% | 2.60\% | .170\% | .120\% | 0.0\% |
| 48 | 2.40\% | 2.40\% | .180\% | .130\% | 0.0\% |
| 49 | 2.20\% | 2.20\% | .190\% | .140\% | 0.0\% |
| 50 | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | .200\% | .150\% | 0.0\% |
| 51 | 1.90\% | 1.90\% | .250\% | .170\% | 0.0\% |
| 52 | 1.80\% | 1.80\% | . $300 \%$ | .190\% | 0.0\% |
| 53 | 1.70\% | 1.70\% | . $350 \%$ | .210\% | 0.0\% |
| 54 | 1.60\% | 1.60\% | . $400 \%$ | .230\% | 0.0\% |

## Exhibit E

## Active Participant Rates of Decrement and Salary Increase (Continued)

| Attained age | Withdrawal rate |  | Disability rate |  | Retirement rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females |  |
| 55 | 1.50\% | 1.50\% | .450\% | .250\% | 5.0\% |
| 56 | 1.40\% | 1.40\% | . $470 \%$ | .260\% | 5.0\% |
| 57 | 1.30\% | 1.30\% | .490\% | .275\% | 5.0\% |
| 58 | 1.20\% | 1.20\% | .510\% | .285\% | 5.0\% |
| 59 | 1.10\% | 1.10\% | .530\% | .300\% | 7.5\% |
| 60 | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | .550\% | . $325 \%$ | 7.5\% |
| 61 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .600\% | . $350 \%$ | 12.5\% |
| 62 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .650\% | . $350 \%$ | 25.0\% |
| 63 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .700\% | . $350 \%$ | 17.5\% |
| 64 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .750\% | .350\% | 25.0\% |
| 65 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 35.0\% |
| 66 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 20.0\% |
| 67 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 20.0\% |
| 68 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 20.0\% |
| 69 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 25.0\% |
| 70 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | .000\% | .000\% | 100.0\% |

## Exhibit F

Family Structure

| Male | Female | Age of youngest child | Average number of children | Probability of being married | Probability of children if married |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 17 | 2 | . 90 | . 30 | . 50 |
| 21 | 18 | 2 | . 90 | . 35 | . 50 |
| 22 | 19 | 2 | . 98 | . 40 | . 50 |
| 23 | 20 | 2 | . 98 | . 46 | . 53 |
| 24 | 21 | 3 | 1.05 | . 53 | . 56 |
| 25 | 22 | 3 | 1.13 | . 60 | . 59 |
| 26 | 23 | 4 | 1.20 | . 67 | . 62 |
| 27 | 24 | 4 | 1.28 | . 74 | . 65 |
| 28 | 25 | 4 | 1.35 | . 76 | . 67 |
| 29 | 26 | 5 | 1.43 | . 78 | . 69 |
| 30 | 27 | 5 | 1.50 | . 80 | . 71 |
| 31 | 28 | 6 | 1.58 | . 82 | . 73 |
| 32 | 29 | 6 | 1.65 | . 84 | . 75 |
| 33 | 30 | 7 | 1.80 | . 85 | . 76 |
| 34 | 31 | 7 | 1.95 | . 86 | . 77 |
| 35 | 32 | 8 | 2.10 | . 87 | . 78 |
| 36 | 33 | 8 | 2.10 | . 87 | . 79 |
| 37 | 34 | 9 | 2.10 | . 87 | . 80 |
| 38 | 35 | 9 | 2.30 | . 87 | . 79 |
| 39 | 36 | 10 | 1.95 | . 87 | . 78 |
| 40 | 37 | 10 | 1.88 | . 87 | . 77 |
| 41 | 38 | 11 | 1.80 | . 87 | . 76 |
| 42 | 39 | 11 | 1.73 | . 87 | . 75 |
| 43 | 40 | 11 | 1.73 | . 87 | . 72 |
| 44 | 41 | 12 | 1.65 | . 87 | . 69 |
| 45 | 42 | 12 | 1.65 | . 86 | . 66 |
| 46 | 43 | 12 | 1.58 | . 86 | . 63 |
| 47 | 44 | 12 | 1.58 | . 86 | . 60 |
| 48 | 45 | 12 | 1.50 | . 85 | . 56 |
| 49 | 46 | 12 | 1.43 | . 85 | . 52 |
| 50 | 47 | 13 | 1.43 | . 85 | . 48 |
| 51 | 48 | 13 | 1.35 | . 85 | . 44 |
| 52 | 49 | 13 | 1.35 | . 85 | . 40 |
| 53 | 50 | 13 | 1.35 | . 85 | . 37 |
| 54 | 51 | 13 | 1.35 | . 84 | . 34 |

## Exhibit F

Family Structure
(Continued)

|  | Age | Age of <br> youngest <br> child | Average number <br> of children | Probability of <br> being married | Probability <br> of children <br> if married |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | Female | 13 | 1.28 | .84 | .31 |
| 55 | 52 | 13 | 1.28 | .83 | .28 |
| 56 | 53 | 13 | 1.28 | .83 | .25 |
| 57 | 54 | 13 | 1.28 | .83 | .23 |
| 58 | 55 | 13 | 1.20 | .82 | .21 |
| 59 | 56 | 13 | 1.20 | .81 | .80 |
| 60 | 57 | 13 | 1.20 | .79 | .19 |
| 61 | 58 | 13 | 1.20 | .78 | .17 |
| 62 | 59 | 13 | 1.20 | .77 | .13 |
| 63 | 60 | 13 | 1.13 | .76 | .11 |
| 64 | 61 | 13 | 1.13 | .74 | .09 |
| 65 | 62 | 13 | 1.13 | .73 | .07 |
| 66 | 63 | 13 | 1.13 | .72 | .05 |
| 67 | 64 | 13 | 1.05 | .71 | .04 |
| 68 | 65 | 13 | 1.05 | .70 | .03 |
| 69 | 66 | 13 | 1.05 | .02 |  |

## Exhibit G

Membership as of January 1, 2009

|  | Males | Females | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Active participants |  |  |  |
| New plan | 983 | 3,049 | 4,032 |
| Age over 70 | 3 | 12 | 15 |
| Charter school | 276 | 753 | 1,029 |
| Retired officer | 4 | 5 | -19 |
| Total active participants | 1,266 | 3,819 | 5,085 |
| Inactive participants (terminated or leave of absence without pay) |  |  |  |
| Terminated | 468 | 888 | 1,356 |
| Leave of absence without pay | $\underline{87}$ | 100 | $\underline{187}$ |
| Total participants not retired | 555 | 988 | 1,543 |
| Retired participants and beneficiaries |  |  |  |
| Retired participants and contingent annuitants | 1,039 | 2,818 | 3,857 |
| Survivors | 52 | 250 | 302 |
| Disabled participants | 76 | 190 | 266 |
| Benefit depleted | $\underline{43}$ | $\underline{102}$ | $\underline{145}$ |
| Total retired participants and beneficiaries | $\underline{1,210}$ | $\underline{3,360}$ | $\underline{4,570}$ |
| Total membership | 3,031 | 8,167 | 11,198 |

## Exhibit H

Retired Participants and Beneficiaries as of January 1, 2009

| Option | Service benefit | Disability benefit | Survivor benefit | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3,304 | 225 | 302 | 3,831 |
| 1 | 145 | 15 | 0 | 160 |
| 2 | 86 | 4 | 0 | 90 |
| 3 | 159 | 12 | 0 | 171 |
| 4 | 142 | 3 | 0 | 145 |
| 5 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 15 |
| 6 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 3,857 | 266 | 302 | 4,425 |


|  | Annual benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | Service benefit | Disability benefit | Survivor benefit | All |
| 0 | \$73,828,212 | \$2,491,236 | \$2,819,928 | \$79,139,376 |
| 1 | 2,591,508 | 197,280 | 0 | 2,788,788 |
| 2 | 1,891,920 | 70,524 | 0 | 1,962,444 |
| 3 | 3,157,452 | 130,404 | 0 | 3,287,856 |
| 4 | 3,501,792 | 63,576 | 0 | 3,565,368 |
| 5 | 253,188 | 42,864 | 0 | 296,052 |
| 6 | 178,752 | 14,220 | 0 | 192,972 |
| 7 | 30,852 | 0 | 0 | 30,852 |
| Total | \$85,433,676 | \$3,010,104 | \$2,819,928 | \$91,263,708 |

## Appendix

## Definitions of Actuarial Terms

## Accrued benefit

The benefit earned by a participant as of the date at which the determination is made payable in the form of an annual benefit commencing at normal retirement age. The accrued benefit also includes the eligibility provisions, factors and optional forms of payment associated with it.

## Accumulated plan benefits

The accrued benefits and any other benefits, whether vested or not, that have been earned by the participants covered by the plan as of the date at which the determination is made. These other benefits include any death, early retirement or disability benefits provided under the plan. The present value of accumulated plan benefits as of the valuation date is determined for purposes of financial reporting.

## Actuarial accrued liability

Equal to the actuarial present value of future benefits less the present value of future annual normal costs. (See annual normal cost.)

## Actuarial assumptions

The bases for estimates of future events affecting pension costs. These assumptions include projections of mortality, withdrawals, disability, ages at retirement, rates of investment earnings, plan expenses and other relevant factors.

## Actuarial cost method

The method for allocating the actuarial present value of a pension plan's benefits and expenses to various time periods. The allocation is usually in the form of an annual normal cost and amortization of an actuarial accrued liability. An actuarial cost method is also referred to as a funding method.

## Actuarial gain/(loss)

The difference between the plan's actual experience and that expected based upon a set of actuarial assumptions. It is determined in accordance with a particular actuarial cost method for the period between two actuarial valuation dates. A gain occurs when the experience of the plan is more favorable (in terms of cost) than the assumptions projected; a loss occurs when experience is less favorable.

Actuarial gains/(losses) are also referred to as experience gains/(losses).

## Actuarial present value

See present value.

## Actuarial valuation

The determination, as of a valuation date, of the annual normal cost, actuarial accrued liability, actuarial value of assets and related actuarial present values for a pension plan.

## Actuarial value of assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan determined by the actuary for the purpose of an actuarial valuation.

## Amortization

The spreading of a present value or a cost over a period of years. A plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized over a period of years.

## Annual normal cost

That portion of the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the actuarial cost method. The annual normal cost may differ depending upon the actuarial cost method used.

## Contribution amounts

a. The minimum contribution* is the contribution required for a plan year in order to ensure funding which satisfies the funding requirements of ERISA. It normally consists of the annual normal cost plus the amortization payment for the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as determined by the actuarial cost method. The absolute minimum contribution is the contribution required to avoid a funding deficiency in the funding standard account.
b. The maximum deduction* is the largest contribution to the plan which is currently deductible. The law limits how rapidly the unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities may be amortized. The maximum deduction normally consists of the annual normal cost plus the amortization payment (limit adjustment) based on the shortest period permitted by law.

## Credit balance*

The cumulative excess of credits over charges to the funding standard account.

## Current liability*

The present value of all liabilities to participants and beneficiaries under the plan determined as if the plan terminated and based on the plan's actuarial assumptions including reasonable withdrawal and mortality rates. The interest rate used to determine current liability must be within a specified permissible range and may or may not equal the actuarial assumed rate of interest for purposes of determining contribution amounts.

## ERISA

The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended to date--the primary federal act governing pension and welfare plans.

## Fiscal year

The year on which the plan sponsor maintains its financial records.

## Funded

Provided by plan assets. A liability is fully funded when assets exceed or equal the liability.

## Funding deficiency*

An excess of cumulative charges over credits in the plan's funding standard account. The deficiency must be eliminated, under penalty of an excise tax, unless the Internal Revenue Service grants a funding waiver under special procedures.

## Funding standard account*

The account a plan is required to maintain in compliance with the minimum funding standards set by ERISA.

## Future service

Service with the employer after the valuation date.

## Maximum deduction*

See contribution amounts.

## Member

See participant.

## Minimum contribution*

See contribution amounts.

## Normal retirement age

An age defined in the plan for purposes of establishing when benefits must be paid and the amount of benefit that is to be treated as nonforfeitable.

## Normal retirement benefit

The benefit payable when it commences at the normal retirement age.

## Offsettable bases*

The charge and credit amortization bases which are established as the result of the establishment of the plan and plan amendments. Bases created as a result of actuarial gains/(losses) or changes in actuarial assumptions are not offsettable bases.

## Participant

A person covered by a pension plan in accordance with its terms including active participants, retired participants and beneficiaries, vested terminations and vested transfers.

## Plan year

The year on which the plan maintains its financial records.

## Present value

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application based on a particular set of actuarial assumptions. It is a single sum which reflects the time value of money (through discounts for investment yield) and the probabilities of payment (taking into account death, disability, withdrawal and age at retirement).

## Rate of return

The actual or expected investment income (including interest, dividends, realized gains/(losses) and unrealized appreciation/(depreciation)) as a percentage of a plan's average assets. The rate can be measured on various bases--for example, an actuarial rate based on the actuarial value of assets, a market rate based on the market value of assets, etc.

## Unfunded

Means not provided by the value of assets.

## Unfunded actuarial accrued liability

The excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of assets.

## Unfunded old liability*

The unfunded current liability of the plan as of the beginning of the first plan year beginning after 1987, determined without regard to any plan amendment adopted after October 16, 1987, that increases plan liabilities.

## Unfunded old liability amount*

The amount necessary to amortize the unfunded old liability under the plan in equal annual installments over a period of 18 plan years beginning with the first plan year beginning after 1988.

## Valuation

See actuarial valuation.

## Valuation date

The date as of which the actuarial status of the plan is determined.

## Vested benefit

A benefit that is not forfeited if the participant has a permanent break in service.
*--These terms are used primarily for private plans covered by ERISA.


[^0]:    * To be determined at the end of the year.

