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GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH \& COMPANY
Consultants \& Actuaries

Board of Trustees<br>Missouri State Employees'<br>Retirement System<br>907 Wildwood Drive<br>Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

## Re: Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2004

Presented in this report are the results of the annual actuarial valuation of the Missouri State Employees' Retirement System. The purpose of the valuation was to measure the System's funding progress and to determine the level cost employer contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005.

The date of the valuation was June 30, 2004.
The valuation was based upon data, furnished by the MOSERS' staff, concerning active, inactive and retired members along with pertinent financial information. The complete cooperation of the MOSERS' staff in furnishing materials requested is hereby acknowledged with appreciation.

Your attention is directed particularly to the presentation of contribution rates on page 7 and the comments on page 11.

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate. The valuation was performed by, and under the supervision of, independent actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and who have significant experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems.

The valuation was prepared in accordance with the standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board. The actuarial calculations were made by qualified actuaries in accordance with generally accepted actuarial procedures and methods. The calculations are based on the provisions of the System scheduled to be in effect as of July 1, 2004, and on actuarial assumptions that are, individually and in the aggregate, intemally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System.

Respectfully submitted,
GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH \& COMPANY


RJD:dks:kmg

Tinancial Principles

## Financial Principles and Operational Techniques

Promises Made, and Eventually Paid. As each year is completed, MOSERS in effect hands an "IOU" to each member then acquiring a year of service credit --- the "IOU" says: "The Missouri State Employees' Retirement System owes you certain retirement benefits -- payments in cash commencing when you qualify for retirement."

The related key financial question is, which generation of taxpayers contributes the money to cover the IOU?

The present taxpayers, who receive the benefit of the member's present year of service?

Or the future taxpayers, who happen to be in Missouri at the time the IOU becomes a cash demand?

The law governing MOSERS financing intends that this year's taxpayers contribute the money to cover the IOUs being handed out this year. By following this principle, funds will be accumulated during members' working years, which, combined with income on invested assets, will be sufficient to pay benefits throughout retirement.

An inevitable by-product of this financing design is the accumulation of reserve assets, for decades, and the income produced when the assets are invested. Over time, investment income becomes the largest contributor toward benefits, and directly influences the contribution amount required from the employer.

In actuarial terminology, the minimum level percent of payroll contribution rate consists of:
Normal Cost (the cost of members' service being rendered this year)
... plus ...
Interest on Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities (unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are the difference between: actuarial liabilities for members' service already rendered; and the actuarial value of MOSERS' accrued assets).

Computing Contributions To Support Funded Benefits. From a given schedule of benefits and from the member data and asset data provided, the actuary determines the contribution rates to support the benefits, by means of an actuarial valuation and a funding method.

An actuarial valuation has a number of ingredients such as: the rate of investment income which plan assets will eam; the rates of withdrawal of active members who leave covered employment before qualifying for any monthly benefit; the rates of mortality; the rates of disability; the rates of salary increases; and the assumed age or ages at actual retirement.

In an actuarial valuation, assumptions are made as to what the above rates will be, for the next year and for decades in the future. Only the subsequent actual experience of the plan can indicate the degree of accuracy of the assumptions.

Reconciling Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experience. Once actual experience has occurred and been observed, it will not coincide exactly with assumed experience, regardless of the wisdom of those who developed the assumptions, or the skill of the actuary and the many calculations made. The future cannot be predicted with precision.

MOSERS copes with these continually changing differences by having annual actuarial valuations. Each actuarial valuation is a complete recalculation of assumed future experience, taking into account all past differences between assumed and actual experience. The result is continuing adjustments in financial position, and contribution rates.


## YEARS OF TIME

CASH BENEFITS LINE. This relentlessly increasing line is the fundamental reality of retirement plan financing. It happens each time a new benefit is added for future retirements (and happens regardless of the design for contributing for benefits).

LEVEL CONTRIBUTION LINE. Determining the level contribution line requires detailed assumptions concerning a variety of experiences in future decades, including:

Economic Risk Areas
Rates of investment return
Rates of pay increase
Changes in active member group size
Non-Economic Risk Areas
Ages at actual retirement
Rates of mortality
Rates of withdrawal of active members (turnover)
Rates of disability

## The Actuarial Valuation Process

An actuarial valuation is the mathematical process by which actuarial present values and contribution rates are determined. The flow of activity constituting the valuation may be summarized as follows:
A. Census Data, furnished by the system administrative staff, including:

Retired lives now receiving benefits
Former members with vested benefits not yet payable
Active members

+ B. Benefit Provisions governing future payments from the retirement system.
+ C. Asset data (cash \& investments), furnished by the system administrative staff.
+ E. The funding method for employer contributions (the long-term planned pattern for employer contributions).
+ F. Mathematically combining the assumptions, the funding method, and the data.
$=\mathrm{G} . \quad$ Determination of:
Plan financial position and
The employer contribution rate.


## Meaning of "Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities"

"Actuarial accrued liabilities" are the portion of the present value of plan promises to pay benefits in the future which are not covered by future normal cost contributions --- a liability has been established ("accrued") because the service has been rendered but the resulting monthly cash benefit may not be payable until years in the future. Actuarial accrued liabilities are the result of complex mathematical calculations, which are made annually by the plan's actuary.

If "actuarial accrued liabilities" at any time exceed the actuarial value of the plan's accrued assets, the difference is "unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities." This is the common condition. If the plan's assets equaled the plan's "actuarial accrued liabilities," the plan would be termed "fully funded."

Each time a plan adds a new benefit which applies to service already rendered, an "actuarial accrued liability" is created, which is also an "unfunded actuarial accrued liability" because the plan can't print instant cash to cover the value of the new benefit promises. Payment for such unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities is spread over a period of years, commonly in the 20-30 year range.

Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities can occur in another way: if actual financial experience is less favorable than assumed financial experience, the difference is added to unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities. In plans where benefits are directly related to an employee's pay near time of retirement, unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities increase when unexpected rates of pay increase create additional actuarial accrued liabilities which are not offset by favorable experience in other areas.

The existence of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities is not bad, but the changes from year to year in amount of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are important and should be monitored.

Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are not a bill payable immediately but it is important that policymakers prevent the amount from becoming unreasonably high and it is vital for plans to have a sound method for making payments toward them so that they will be controlled.

Valuation Resuilts

# Computed Employer Contribution Rate Expressed as Percents of Active Member Payroll 

June 30, 2004

| Contributions for | Contribution Expressed as <br> Percents of Payroll |
| :--- | :---: |
| Normal Cost |  |
| Service retirement benefits | $7.74 \%$ |
| Disability benefits | 0.41 |
| Survivor benefits | 0.33 |
| Administrative expenses | $-\frac{0.33}{8.81}$ |
| Total |  |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities (UAAL) | $\mathbf{3 . 7 8}$ |
| (31 year level percent-of-payroll amortization*) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 9 \%}$ |
| TOTAL COMPUTED EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATE |  |

* This corresponds to an amortization factor of 16.92603 assuming $4.00 \%$ wage inflation. Amortization period a year ago was 32 years.


## D

 Missouri State Employees' Retirement System Computed Contribution Rates

Actuarial Present Values June 30, 2004

|  |  | (2) | (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | Portion | Actuarial |
|  | Actuarial | Covered By | Accrued |
| Actuarial Present Value, June 30, for | Present | Future Normal | Liabilities |
|  | Value | Cost Contributions | (1)-(2) |

## Active Members

Service retirement benefits based on service rendered before and likely to be rendered after valuation date

Disability benefits likely to be paid to present active members who become totally and permanently disabled
$127,231,916$
53,630,963
73,600,953

Survivor benefits likely to be paid to widows and children of present active members who die before retiring

142,570,386
$42,274,875$
$100,295,511$

Separation benefits likely to be paid to present active members

Refunds of member contributions
Deferred benefits
Total

Active Member Totals
\$ 3,815,506,864
\$ 798,909,845
$\$ 3,016,597,019$
-

Members on Leave of Absence \& LTD
Service retirement benefits based on service rendered before the valuation date 98,951,934

Terminated Vested Members
Service retirement benefits based on service rendered before the valuation date
$325,376,003$

## Retired Lives

$3,405,053,804$

BackDROP Installment Payments Incurred, but not yet paid
698,718

TOTAL ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY

ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY

0
411,745,347
$\begin{array}{r}411,745,347 \\ \hline\end{array}$
$\$ 4,497,054,513 \$ 1,097,124,044$ \$ 3,399,930,469

## Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2004 Comments

Computed Contribution Rate. The contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005 was computed to be $12.59 \%$ of payroll, based upon an amortization period for the unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities (UAAL) of 31 years. This represents an increase of $1.95 \%$ in the rate computed for the fiscal year beginning July 1,2004 . Of this change, $0.47 \%$ was attributable to changes in assumptions resulting from the recently completed experience study and $1.48 \%$ is attributable to plan experience for the year ending June $30,2004$.

Experience. Experience was unfavorable this year - primarily due to continued recognition of asset losses from prior years, a higher number of service retirements than expected due in large part to the external retirement incentive, and a higher average salary increase, particularly among members affected by the pay freeze. Measured on an actuarial value basis, recognized investment return was lower than expected. In total, computed accrued liabilities exceed assets by $\$ 1,111.8$. Unless the investment markets continue to rebound and unrecognized losses are offset by future gains, MOSERS will continue to see investment losses flow into the valuation for the next two years. With $\$ 200+$ million of investment loss related to pre- 2004 experience expected to be recognized in the 2005 valuation, it is likely that the next valuation will show higher unfunded liabilities and another increase in the computed contribution rate.

Additional information concerning 2004 experience is presented in the gain/loss section of this report beginning on page 16.

Conclusion. Based on the results of the June 30, 2004 regular annual actuarial valuation, it is our opinion that the Missouri State Employees' Retirement System continues to be in sound financial condition in accordance with actuarial principles of level percent-of-payroll financing.
Comparative Schedule

| Valuation <br> Date June 30 | Active Members |  |  |  | Number |  |  |  |  | Accrued <br> Liability | Valuation Assets | UAAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Payroll <br> \$ Millions | Average Salary |  | Retired | Active/ Retíred | Annual Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number |  | \$ | \% Incr. |  |  | \$ Million | \% of | yroll |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | million |  |
| 1989 (2) | 43,787 | \$895 | \$20,444 | 4.0 \% | 11,090 | 4.0 | \$ 52.6 | 5.9 | \% | \$1,782 | \$1,418 | \$364 |
| 1990 (1) | 46,834 | 994 | 21,229 | 3.8 | 11,495 | 4.1 | 57.3 | 5.8 |  | 1,861 | 1,587 | 274 |
| 1991 (2) | 46,725 | 1,028 | 21,995 | 3.6 | 11,995 | 3.9 | 64.0 | 6.2 |  | 2,053 | 1,793 | 260 |
| 1992 (1)(2) | 46,616 | 1,030 | 22,101 | 0.5 | 12,552 | 3.7 | 71.0 | 6.9 |  | 2,291 | 1,991 | 300 |
| 1993 | 47,954 | 1,063 | 22,172 | 0.3 | 13,115 | 3.7 | 79.4 | 7.5 |  | 2,447 | 2,237 | 210 |
| 1994 (2) | 49,436 | 1,125 | 22,754 | 2.6 | 13,651 | 3.6 | 96.2 | 8.6 |  | 2,919 | 2,425 | 494 |
| 1995 | 50,524 | 1,199 | 23,730 | 4.3 | 14,384 | 3.5 | 104.9 | 8.8 |  | 3,151 | 2,649 | 502 |
| 1996 (1) | 51,425 | 1,268 | 24,650 | 3.9 | 15,004 | 3.4 | 116.2 | 9.2 |  | 3,440 | 2,928 | 512 |
| 1997 (1)(2)(3) | 52,737 | 1,360 | 25,782 | 4.6 | 15,609 | 3.4 | 130.4 | 9.6 |  | 4,484 | 3,581 | 903 |
| 1998 | 54,544 | 1,460 | 26,762 | 3.8 | 16,251 | 3.4 | 142.4 | 9.8 |  | 4,919 | 4,211 | 708 |
| 1999 (2) | 56,158 | 1,565 | 27,860 | 4.1 | 17,117 | 3.3 | 161.3 | 10.3 |  | 5,506 | 4,909 | 597 |
| 2000 (1) | 57,774 | 1,684 | 29,143 | 4.6 | 18,196 | 3.2 | 177.0 | 10.5 |  | 5,921 | 5,217 | 704 |
| 2001 (1) | 58,431 | 1,758 | 30,090 | 3.3 | 20,237 | 2.9 | 227.4 | 12.9 |  | 6,065 | 5,881 | 184 |
| 2002 (4) | 58,616 | 1,773 | 30,253 | 0.5 | 21,502 | 2.7 | 256.6 | 14.5 |  | 6,294 | 6,033 | 261 |
| 2003 (2) (4) | 57,558 | 1,740 | 30,229 | (0.1) | 22,872 | 2.5 | 287.1 | 16.5 |  | 6,662 | 6,057 | 605 |
| 2004 | 55,914 | 1,737 | 31,074 | 2.8 | 24,757 | 2.3 | 324.6 | 18.7 |  | 7,158 | 6,118 | 1,039 |
| 2004 (1) | 55,914 | 1,737 | 31,074 | 2.8 | 24,757 | 2.3 | 324.6 | 18.7 |  | 7,230 | 6,118 | 1,112 |
| (I) <br> (2) <br> (3) <br> (4) | After chang After chang After chang After chang | in assumption in benefit pro in asset valu in methods o | ns. isions. tion metho her than the | asset valuo | ion method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Missouri State Employees' Retirement System
Actuarial Value of Assets and Actuarial Accrued Liabilities
(\$ in millions)


## Gain Lioss Amalysisis

# Gain/Loss Analysis of Experience <br> During Last Year 

## Comments

Purpose of Gain/Loss Analysis. Regular actuarial valuations provide valuable information about the composite change in unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities - whether or not the liabilities are increasing or decreasing, and by how much. However, valuations do not show the portion of the change attributable to each risk area within the retirement system financial mechanism: the rate of investment income on plan assets; the rates of withdrawal of active members who leave covered employment; the rates of mortality; the rates of disability; the rates of salary increases; and the assumed ages at actual retirement. In an actuarial valuation, assumptions are made as to what these rates will be for the next year and for decades in the future.

The objective of a gain and loss analysis is to determine the portion of the change in unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities attributable to each risk area.

The fact that actual experience differs from assumed experience is to be expected - the future cannot be predicted with precision. Changes in the valuation assumed experience for a risk area should be made when the differences between assumed and actual experience have been observed to be sizeable and persistent. One year's gain and loss analysis may or may not be indicative of long-term trends, which are the basis of financial assumptions.

2003 and 2004 Data. For the 2003 and 2004 valuations, active and retired member data was reported as of May 31. It was brought forward to June 30 by adding one month of service for all active members, adding the June COLA for certain retirees, and otherwise making no other adjustments. It was assumed for valuation purposes that there was no turnover among members and no new entrants during the month of June. Financial information was reported as of June 30. It is believed that this procedure resulted in a slight overstatement of total liabilities as of June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2004.

The expected and actual numbers of retirements, deaths, and terminations found on pages 24 through 29 reflect experience over the 12 month period from May 31, 2003 through May 31, 2004.

Results from 2004 Plan Year. There was a net experience loss this year, with the largest single identifiable source being investment income less than assumed. The table below summarizes historical MOSERS economic experience:

| Period | Inflation <br> As Measured By |  | Interest Credited to MOSERS Funds | Real Rate of Return |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPI | Increase in Average Salary |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relative to } \\ \text { CPI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Relative to Salaries |
| July 1, 2003 - June 30, 2004 | 3.3 \% | 4.2 \% | 17.2 \%\% | 13.9 \% | 13.0 \% |
| July 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003 | 2.1 | 0.6 | $6.8{ }^{*}$ | 4.7 | 6.2 |
| July 1, 2001 - June 30, 2002 | 1.1 | (2.1) | (6.4) ${ }^{*}$ | (7.5) | (4.3) |
| July 1, 2000 - June 30, 2001 | 3.2 | 5.1 | (2.0) ${ }^{*}$ | (5.2) | (7.1) |
| July 1, 1999 - June 30, 2000 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 7.9 * | 4.2 | 2.3 |
| July 1, 1998 - June 30, 1999 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 10.9 * | 8.9 | 5.5 |
| July 1, 1998 - June 30, 2003 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.2 * | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| January 1, 1978 - December 31, 2002 @ | 4.4 | 5.1 | 12.2 | 7.8 | 7.1 |

* MOSERS approximate rate of return based on market value.
@ This information is based on national average earnings and based on market indices roughly approximating MOSERS' current investment mix. TIPS were treated as government/corporate hybrids.

The dollar amount of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities (UAAL) is large in absolute dollars. However, the size should be viewed in the light of MOSERS' overall financial program. The ratio of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities divided by active member payroll is significant. UAAL represent plan debt, while active member payroll is indicative of the state's capacity to amortize the UAAL - the ratio thus provides an index of relative condition. The smaller the ratio, the stronger the financial condition.
UAAL/Active
June 30, 1995
June 30, 1996 after assumption changes40
June 30, 1997 after changes in benefits, assumptions, methods ..... 66
June 30, 1998 ..... 49
June 30, 1999 after MSEP 2000 ..... 38
June 30, 2000 after changes in assumptions ..... 42
June 30, 2001 after changes in assumptions .....  10
June 30, 2002 after changes in methods ..... 15
June 30, 2003 after changes in benefits, methods ..... 35
June 30,2004 after changes in assumptions ..... 64 Member Payroll

## Derivation of Experience Gain (Loss)

## Year Ended June 30, 2004

Actual experience will never coincide exactly with assumed experience (except by coincidence). Gains and losses may offset each other over a period of years, but sizeable year-to-year variations from assumed experience are common. Detail on the derivation of the experience gain (loss) is shown below.

| (1) UAAL* at start of year |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| (2) Normal cost from last valuation | \$ Millions |
| (3) Actual employer contributions | $\$ 605.0$ |
| (4) Interest accrual: (1) x .085 + [(2) - (3)] x (.085 / 2) | 149.1 |
| (5) Expected UAAL before changes: (1) + (2) - (3) + (4) | 164.7 |
| (6) Change from any changes in benefits, assumptions, or methods | 50.8 |
| (7) Expected UAAL after changes: (5) + (6) | 640.2 |
| (8) Actual UAAL at end of year | 72.5 |
| (9) Gain(loss): (7) - (8) | 712.7 |
| (10) Gain (loss) as percent of actuarial accrued liabilities at start of | $1,111.8$ |

* Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities.

| Valuation <br> Date <br> June 30 | Actuarial Gain (Loss) As a \% <br> of Beginning Accrued Liabilities |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 1996 | 0.4 |
| 1997 | 5.5 |
| 1998 | 5.5 |
| 1999 | 4.7 |
| 2000 | 2.7 |
| 2001 | $(4.4)$ |
| 2002 | $(3.8)$ |
| 2003 | $(6.4)$ |
| 2004 | $(6.0)$ |



## Gain (Loss) Analysis <br> 2003-2004 Experience

Amount in \$ Millions

\% of Actuarial Accrued Liabilities

Experience Gains \& Losses By Risk Area

| Year Ending June 30 <br> June 30 | Gain (Loss) By Risk Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Exper. <br> Gain <br> (Loss) | Exper. <br> Gain <br> (Loss) as \% of <br> AAL | Accrued <br> Liability <br> Beginning <br> of Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salary <br> Increases | Investments |  | Age \& Service Retirement | Disability | $\begin{gathered} \text { Death- } \\ \text { In- } \\ \text { Service } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Withdrawal | COLAs \& Retired Lives | Other |  |  |  |
| 1992 * | \$79.8 | \$19.9 | \$ | (1.8) | \$0.6 | \$1.6 | \$ (5.5) | \# | \$ (8.0) | \$86.6 | 4.0 \% | \$2,165 |
| 1993 | 66.8 | 54.0 |  | (0.9) | 0.8 | 2.4 | (3.9) | \# | (27.0) | 92.2 | 4.0 | 2,292 |
| 1994 | 42.5 | (18.1) |  | (1.0) | 0.7 | 2.3 | (7.0) | \# | 52.0 | 71.4 | 2.9 | 2,447 |
| 1995 | 16.7 | 12.0 |  | (3.2) | 0.5 | 2.5 | (4.0) | \# | (7.5) | 17.0 | 0.6 | 2,919 |
| 1996 | 24.2 | 63.7 |  | (2.1) | 0.6 | 2.9 | (10.2) | \$ 7.4 | (74.3) ^ | 12.2 | 0.4 | 3,151 |
| 1997* | (26.3) | 260.3 |  | (3.1) | 0.5 | 2.6 | (7.1) | 14.5 | (50.6) | 190.8 | 5.5 | 3,440 |
| 1998 | (56.9) | 325.9 |  | 9.6 | 0.2 | (0.3) | (1.7) | 16.3 | (48.3) | 244.8 | 5.5 | 4,484 |
| 1999 | (21.9) | 299.8 |  | (1.3) | (0.3) | (0.9) | 1.7 | 10.5 | (58.1) | 229.5 | 4.7 | 4,919 |
| 2000 * | (6.4) | 162.0 |  | 1.7 | (0.5) | (0.7) | 8.9 | 18.5 | (34.7) | 148.8 | 2.7 | 5,506 |
| 2001 * | (23.2) | (67.9) |  | (59.8) | (1.0) | (0.2) | (28.2) | (13.1) | (66.1) | (259.5) | (4.4) | 5,921 |
| 2002 | 115.0 | (284.6) |  | (14.4) | (0.5) | (1.3) | (21.4) | 37.1 | (62.6) | (232.8) | (3.8) | 6,065 |
| 2003 | 7.7 | (314.1) |  | (27.2) | (0.6) | (2.6) | (14.6) | 9.6 | (63.1) | (404.9) | (6.5) | 6,294 |
| 2004 * | (40.0) | (240.1) |  | (51.5) | (1.4) | (1.3) | (6.7) | (4.3) | (53.8) | (399.1) | (6.0) | 6,662 |

> * Revision in assumptions.
\# Not identified as separate risk area. Included in "Other" category.
^ Includes (23.0) for legal settlement.
Missouri State Employees' Retirement System

## Development of Gain (Loss)

From Investment Income
During Plan 2003-2004


* Based on the approximation formula: $I /[.5 x(A+B-I)]$, where

I = Investment increment
$A=$ Beginning of year asset value
$B=$ End of year asset value

## Salary Increases

To Members Active Both at Beginning \& End of Year During Plan 2003-2004

| Age <br> Groups | Number | Salary Increases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expected |  |
| Below 25 | 657 | $3.6 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $4.4 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 3,147 | $4.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| $30-34$ | 4,983 | $4.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| $35-39$ | 5,549 | $4.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| $40-44$ | 7,051 | $3.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $45-49$ | 8,132 | $3.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| $50-54$ | 8,015 | $3.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| $55-59$ | 5,514 | $4.3 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | 2,400 | $5.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 65 \& Over | 802 | $5.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 46,250 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 . 8 \%}$ |
| Average |  |  |  |

* Excludes new entrants and terminations.

| Assumed Payroll Growth | Actual Payroll Growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|  | $(0.1) \%$ | $(1.9) \%$ | $(0.9) \%$ |

## Active Members Who Retired With SERVICE OR REDUCED SERVICE RETIREMENT BENEFITS

## During Plan 2003-2004

| Ages | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected |
| Under 50 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 9 | 0.1 | 17 | 2.9 | 18 | 3.0 |
| 51 | 15 | 1.9 | 50 | 9.7 | 59 | 11.6 |
| 52 | 32 | 10.5 | 43 | 12.8 | 58 | 17.3 |
| 53 | 42 | 12.0 | 65 | 22.7 | 97 | 33.2 |
| 54 | 32 | 10.6 | 49 | 17.5 | 91 | 29.4 |
| 55 | 48 | 7.4 | 64 | 13.7 | 96 | 24.3 |
| 56 | 29 | 7.3 | 72 | 8.5 | 120 | 15.9 |
| 57 | 45 | 37.7 | 82 | 9.4 | 111 | 16.8 |
| 58 | 47 | 25.8 | 97 | 45.9 | 142 | 83.6 |
| 59 | 45 | 23.9 | 66 | 34.1 | 113 | 59.9 |
| 60 | 49 | 31.9 | 77 | 34.2 | 122 | 58.1 |
| 61 | 51 | 35.7 | 98 | 47.0 | 147 | 78.9 |
| 62 | 82 | 50.1 | 101 | 64.0 | 152 | 99.7 |
| 63 | 55 | 31.1 | 121 | 56.8 | 203 | 106.9 |
| 64 | 32 | 44.7 | 82 | 25.9 | 137 | 57.0 |
| 65 | 29 | 42.6 | 40 | 52.0 | 72 | 96.6 |
| 66 | 23 | 31.8 | 44 | 60.3 | 73 | 102.8 |
| 67 | 11 | 16.9 | 28 | 26.2 | 51 | 58.0 |
| 68 | 9 | 9.7 | 15 | 17.0 | 26 | 33.9 |
| 69 | 7 | 10.7 | 10 | 14.1 | 19 | 23.8 |
| 70 Over | 18 | 48.2 | 9 | 10.9 | 16 | 21.7 |
| Totals | 711 | 494.9 | 1,259 | 639.1 | 1,970 | $1,133.9$ |


|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average age at retirement | 60.0 years | 59.1 years | 59.5 years |
| Average service at retirement | 22.4 years | 22.7 years | 22.6 years |

## Active Members Who Retired With DISABILITY BENEFITS <br> During Plan 2003-2004

| Ages | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| $25-29$ |  | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.5 |
| $30-34$ | 2 | 1.5 | 7 | 1.4 | 9 | 2.9 |
| $35-39$ | 4 | 2.7 | 12 | 4.5 | 16 | 7.2 |
| $40-44$ | 11 | 5.2 | 13 | 8.2 | 24 | 13.5 |
| $45-49$ | 14 | 9.0 | 19 | 13.0 | 33 | 22.0 |
| $50-54$ | 13 | 13.4 | 24 | 20.9 | 37 | 34.2 |
| $55-59$ | 14 | 16.3 | 27 | 23.2 | 41 | 39.5 |
| $60 \&$ Over | 2 | 5.6 | 7 | 8.2 | 9 | 13.8 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 . 6}$ |


|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average age at disability | 49.3 years | 48.8 years | 49.0 years |
| Average service at disability | 10.7 years | 10.3 years | 10.4 years |

## Active Members Who Died <br> During Plan 2003-2004

| Ages | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 2 | 0.2 |  | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 |
| $30-34$ | 2 | 0.7 | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 1.8 |
| $35-39$ |  | 1.4 | 2 | 1.7 | 2 | 3.1 |
| $40-44$ | 9 | 2.9 | 4 | 3.1 | 13 | 6.0 |
| $45-49$ | 8 | 6.3 | 8 | 5.3 | 16 | 11.6 |
| $50-54$ | 13 | 12.8 | 12 | 9.2 | 25 | 22.0 |
| $55-59$ | 13 | 15.6 | 9 | 11.4 | 22 | 27.0 |
| $60-64$ | 8 | 12.6 | 1 | 9.1 | 9 | 21.7 |
| $65 \&$ Over | 3 | 7.8 | 2 | 4.8 | 5 | 12.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 . 3}$ |


|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average age at death | 52.3 years | 51.4 years | 52.0 years |
| Average service at death | 12.6 years | 12.2 years | 12.4 years |

Of the 97 active members who died in service during 2003-2004, 35 members had a benefit payable to a survivor.

Active Members Who Left Active Status with a DEFERRED BENEFIT (Retirement With Monthly Payments Beginning At Later Age)

During Plan 2003-2004

| Ages | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected |
| Under 30 | 44 | 40.8 | 86 | 91.1 | 130 | 132.0 |
| 30-34 | 96 | 99.6 | 199 | 185.7 | 295 | 285.3 |
| 35-39 | 116 | 97.7 | 169 | 166.8 | 285 | 264.5 |
| 40-44 | 113 | 100.6 | 159 | 183.2 | 272 | 283.8 |
| 45-49 | 96 | 98.3 | 144 | 186.9 | 240 | 285.2 |
| 50-54 | 102 | 84.7 | 134 | 139.0 | 236 | 223.6 |
| 55-59 | 42 | 47.1 | 65 | 74.7 | 107 | 121.8 |
| 60 \& Over | 28 | 12.5 | 27 | 18.0 | 55 | 30.5 |
| Totals | 637 | 581.2 | 983 | 1,045.4 | 1,620 | 1,626.7 |


|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average age at termination | 43.3 years | 42.0 years | 42.6 years |
| Average service at termination | 10.2 years | 9.6 years | 9.8 years |

## Active Members Who Left Active Status with NO BENEFIT PAYABLE (Other than Deaths)

During Plan 2003-2004

| Ages | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $20-24$ | 153 | 139.0 | 327 | 257.6 | 480 | 396.6 |
| $25-29$ | 317 | 304.5 | 496 | 461.2 | 813 | 765.6 |
| $30-34$ | 256 | 250.2 | 352 | 329.2 | 608 | 579.4 |
| $35-39$ | 183 | 182.6 | 211 | 266.6 | 394 | 449.2 |
| $40-44$ | 135 | 177.8 | 203 | 273.6 | 338 | 451.4 |
| $45-49$ | 99 | 153.1 | 161 | 240.1 | 260 | 393.2 |
| $50-54$ | 77 | 139.6 | 134 | 201.4 | 211 | 341.0 |
| $55-59$ | 57 | 104.2 | 92 | 142.5 | 149 | 246.7 |
| $60-64$ | 29 | 49.7 | 29 | 54.3 | 58 | 104.1 |
| $65-69$ | 6 | 9.6 | 7 | 10.0 | 13 | 19.5 |
| $70 \&$ Over | 3 | 4.1 | 5 | 3.3 | 8 | 7.4 |


|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average age at termination | 34.2 years | 33.7 years | 33.9 years |
| Average service at termination | 2.1 years | 2.2 years | 2.2 years |


| Service at | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Termination | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected | Actual | Expected |
| 0 | 497 | 507.0 | 718 | 650.6 | 1,215 | $1,157.6$ |
| 1 | 340 | 382.9 | 485 | 555.2 | 825 | 938.1 |
| 2 | 223 | 299.4 | 416 | 493.3 | 639 | 792.7 |
| 3 | 166 | 244.8 | 280 | 406.2 | 446 | 651.0 |
| 4 | 89 | 80.2 | 118 | 134.4 | 207 | 214.6 |
| $5 \&$ Over | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 , 3 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 3 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 5 4 . 0}$ |

## Comparison of Actual to Expected Deaths <br> Among Retired Lives <br> (Service Retirement Only) <br> As of June 30, 2004

|  | Male Deaths |  |  | Female Deaths |  |  | Total Deaths |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Actual | Expected | Exposure | Actual | Expected | Exposure | Actual | Expected | Exposure |
| 50-54 | 4 | 1 | 336 | 2 | 2 | 601 | 6 | 3 | 937 |
| 55-59 | 11 | 8 | 1,009 | 9 | 9 | 1,489 | 20 | 17 | 2,498 |
| 60-64 | 24 | 20 | 1,467 | 18 | 20 | 2,224 | 42 | 40 | 3,691 |
| 65-69 | 27 | 37 | 1,605 | 48 | 32 | 2,431 | 75 | 69 | 4,036 |
| 70-74 | 54 | 52 | 1,385 | 49 | 44 | 1,995 | 103 | 96 | 3,380 |
| 75-79 | 56 | 57 | 994 | 42 | 62 | 1,682 | 98 | 119 | 2,676 |
| 80-84 | 46 | 48 | 519 | 67 | 64 | 1,084 | 113 | 112 | 1,603 |
| 85-89 | 34 | 31 | 224 | 63 | 52 | 568 | 97 | 83 | 792 |
| 90-94 | 19 | 14 | 75 | 42 | 30 | 221 | 61 | 44 | 296 |
| 95-99 | 9 | 4 | 15 | 18 | 8 | 38 | 27 | 12 | 53 |
| 100 \& Up | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| Totals | 286 | 273 | 7,631 | 363 | 324 | 12,337 | 649 | 597 | 19,968 |
| Average Ages | 76.5 | 76.1 | 68.2 | 79.3 | 78.2 | 69.1 | 78.1 | 77.3 | 68.8 |

Data Used In Valuations

Missouri State Employees' Retirement System Summary of Benefit Provisions Evaluated June 30, 2004 Actuarial Valuation

| MSEP | MSEP 2000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benefit Amount |  |
| Members of the General Assembly: <br> $\$ 150$ per month per biennial assembly served. | Members of the General Assembly: <br> $1 / 24$ of pay times first 24 years of credited service as a member of the General Assembly. |
| Statewide Elected Officials: <br> (1) Less than 12 years of credited service: $1.6 \%$ of Average Compensation times years of credited service. <br> (2) 12 or more years of credited service: $50 \%$ of pay of the highest elected position held prior to retirement. | Statewide Elected Officials: <br> $1 / 24$ of pay (of the highest elected position held prior to retirement) times the first 12 years of credited service as a statewide elected official. |
| General Employees: <br> $1.6 \%$ of Average Compensation times years of credited service. | General Employees: <br> Life Benefit: <br> $1.7 \%$ of Average Pay times years of credited service. |
| $2.1 \%$ of Average Compensation times years of credited service for any period of non-social security covered employment transferred from the Public School Retirement System. | Temporary Benefit: If member retires between ages 50 and 62 with age plus credited service equal to 80 or more, a temporary benefit is payable until the attainment of the minimum age at which reduced social security benefits are payable, in the amount of $0.8 \%$ of Average Pay times years of credited service. |
|  | Non- Social Security Covered Service: <br> 2.5\% of Average Pay times years of credited service for any period of non-social security covered employment transferred from the Public School Retirement System. |
| Uniformed Water Patrol Employees: <br> $2.13 \%$ of Average Compensation times years of credited service. |  |

Missouri State Employees' Retirement System

| MSEP |  |  |  | MSEP 2000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Retireme <br> Eligibility: <br> Age 55 w <br> Amount: <br> (1) Les actu <br> (2) 15 num tota yea <br> (3) 20 o of s retir and | FOR GENE <br> h at least 10 <br> than 15 ye rially reduc ars but less er of years 80: Norma younger th more years rice necess ment amou out eligibility | Employees <br> of credited <br> service: <br> years young <br> 20 years of vice necess ement amou 60. <br> vice, but les for age and s duced for y | ce. <br> retirement amount an age 65. <br> ee, and less than the age and service to tuarially reduced for <br> the number of years to total 80: Normal younger than the 80 | Amount: <br> Normal retirement amount reduced by $1 / 2 \%$ for each month that retirement precedes eligibility for normal retirement. |  |  |  |
| Vested Deferred Benefits <br> Benefits for employees who terminate prior to eligibility for an immediate benefit are considered to be vested in accordance with the following schedule (benefits commence at the age the individual would have been eligible for early or normal retirement, considering years of credited service). Unused sick leave is not converted. |  |  |  | Benefits for employees who terminate prior to eligibility for an immediate benefit are considered to be vested in accordance with the following schedule (benefits commence at age 57). Unused sick leave is converted to additional credited service. |  |  |  |
| Years of Service | General <br> Assembly | Statewide Elected Officials | General <br> Employees | Years of Service | General Assembly | Statewide Elected Officials | General Employees |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \text { (3 assemblies) } \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { (2 assemblies) } \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \text { (3 Assemblies) } \\ & \text { HB1455 prospectively } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | 100\% |

Missouri State Employees' Retirement System

| MSEP |  |  | MSEP 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post-Retirement Benefit Adjustments <br> Benefits are increased to retired members (including survivors) annually in accordance with the following formulas: |  |  | Benefits are increased to retired members (including survivors) annually in accordance with the following: |
| Increase in CPI | Formula 1 Benefit Increase | Formula 2 Benefit Increase | Members of the General Assembly: Benefit is adjusted annually based on the increase in the pay for an active member of the General Assembly. |
| $5.00 \%$ or less $5.01 \%-6.24 \%$ $6.25 \%$ or more | $4 \%$ $80 \%$ of CPI increase $5 \%$ | $80 \%$ of CPI increase $80 \%$ of CPI increase $5 \%$ | Statewide Elected Officials: Benefit is adjusted annually based on the increase in the pay for an active statewide elected official in the retired member's highest elected position. <br> General Employees: Annual benefit percentage increase equal to the lesser of: i) $80 \%$ of the CPI increase, and ii) $5 \%$. |
| Members first hired prior to August 28, 1997 receive COLAs based on Formula 1 until an aggregate increase of $65 \%$ is reached. At that point subsequent COLAs based on Formula 2 are granted. |  |  |  |
| Members first hired on or after August 28, 1997 receive COLAs based solely on Formula 2. |  |  |  |
| Statewide Elected Officials with 12 or more years of service have their benefit adjusted annually based on the increase in the pay for an active statewide elected official in the member's highest elected position. |  |  |  |
| Members who are fully vested and work beyond age 65 will have their monthly benefit increased upon retirement. The percentage increase in benefit is equal to all COLAs for the years between age 65 and date of retirement, not to exceed $65 \%$ and counts toward the Formula $165 \%$ maximum. |  |  |  |

Missouri State Employees' Retirement System

| MSEP | MSEP 2000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pop-Up Provision |  |
| Benefits to members who choose a survivor form of payment and whose spouse precedes the member in death, will "pop-up" or revert to the amount the member would have received had he/she not elected a survivor option. | Same. |
| Portability |  |
| Purchase/Transfer Provisions (in addition to military). Effective August 28, 1999, a member may purchase up to four years of nonfederal full-time Missouri public service, provided the member is not vested in another retirement system for that same service. | Purchase/Transfer Provisions (in addition to military). A member may purchase up to four years of non-federal full-time Missouri public service, provided the member is not vested in another retirement system for that same service. Local vested service credit granted after 10 years of state service if the other retirement plan agrees to transfer assets equal to the accrued liability to MOSERS. |
| Member Contributions. None. | Same. |
| BACK DROP. See following page. | Same. |

Retirants \& Beneficiaries as of June 30, 2004
Tabulated by Plan Year of Retirement

| Plan Year Ended $6 / 30$ | No. | Total Annual Benefits | Average <br> Monthly <br> Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 * | 574 | \$ 7,797,046 | \$1,132 |
| 2003 | 2,950 | 45,963,192 | 1,298 |
| 2002 | 2,206 | 32,068,044 | 1,211 |
| 2001 | 1,899 | 28,908,612 | 1,269 |
| 2000 | 2,445 | 38,904,864 | 1,326 |
| 1999 | 1,396 | 18,959,820 | 1,132 |
| 1998 | 1,338 | 18,474,864 | 1,151 |
| 1997 | 1,195 | 15,967,596 | 1,114 |
| 1996 | 1,061 | 13,355,352 | 1,049 |
| 1995 | 1,199 | 15,694,320 | 1,091 |
| 1994 | 850 | 9,589,692 | 940 |
| 1993 | 926 | 11,647,068 | 1,048 |
| 1992 | 774 | 9,388,188 | 1,011 |
| 1991 | 774 | 10,089,828 | 1,086 |
| 1990 | 598 | 7,438,836 | 1,037 |
| 1989 | 600 | 6,775,128 | 941 |
| 1988 | 611 | 7,044,792 | 961 |
| 1987 | 483 | 4,566,516 | 788 |
| 1986 | 436 | 3,577,020 | 684 |
| 1985 | 383 | 3,169,320 | 690 |
| 1984 | 293 | 2,298,732 | 654 |
| 1983 | 306 | 2,478,144 | 675 |
| 1982 | 303 | 2,412,684 | 664 |
| 1981 | 233 | 1,839,528 | 658 |
| 1980 | 169 | 1,272,096 | 627 |
| 1979 | 126 | 830,436 | 549 |
| 1978 | 124 | 878,592 | 590 |
| 1977 | 128 | 878,088 | 572 |
| 1976 | 113 | 705,480 | 520 |
| 1975 | 75 | 544,224 | 605 |
| 1974 | 69 | 324,972 | 392 |
| 1973 | 59 | 368,568 | 521 |
| 1972 | 24 | 168,204 | 584 |
| 1971 | 12 | 78,072 | 542 |
| 1970 | 9 | 67,476 | 625 |
| 1969 | 6 | 43,116 | 599 |
| 1968 | 4 | 15,888 | 331 |
| 1966 | 3 | 26,784 | 744 |
| 1964 \& PRIOR | 3 | 15,876 | 441 |
| Totals | 24,757 | \$324,627,058 | \$1,093 |

* Eleven months ended May 31, 2004.

MSEP Benefits*

| Type of Benefit | No. | Annual <br> Funded Benefits |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Service Retirement |  |  |
| Life Annuity | 4,597 | $\$ 943,112,023$ |
| 50\% Joint and Survivor | 5,037 | $65,716,575$ |
| 75\% Joint and Survivor | 8 | 80,310 |
| 100\% Joint and Survivor | 2,215 | $34,858,245$ |
| 5 Year Certain and Life | 127 | $1,050,943$ |
| 10 Year Certain and Life | 99 | 719,965 |
| Survivor Beneficiary | 1,589 | $12,663,863$ |
| Total | 13,672 | $158,201,924$ |
| Disability Retirement | 25 | 98,544 |
| Death-in-Service | 1,205 | $8,878,935$ |
| Total |  |  |

* Count includes 9 Lincoln University members.


## MSEP 2000 Benefits

| Type of Benefit | No. | Annual <br> Funded Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Retirement |  |  |  |
| Life Annuity | 6,711 | \$ | 97,852,235 |
| 50\% Joint and Survivor | 1,401 |  | 31,092,721 |
| 100\% Joint and Survivor | 1,162 |  | 22,012,926 |
| 5 Year Certain and Life | 57 |  | 735,302 |
| 10 Year Certain and Life | 269 |  | 3,199,379 |
| 15 Year Certain and Life | 141 |  | 1,350,103 |
| Survivor Beneficiary | 113 |  | 1,203,866 |
| Total | 9,854 |  | 157,446,532 |
| Disability Retirement | 0 |  | 0 |
| Death-in-Service | 1 |  | 1,123 |
| Total | 9,855 | \$ | 157,447,655 |

Total Benefits Payable June 30, 2004
Tabulated by Attained Ages of Benefit Recipients


Average age at Retirement: $\quad 60.8$ years.
Average age now: $\quad 69.0$ years.

## Summary of Member Data Included in Valuation

June 30, 2004

Active Members

| Valuation Group | Number | Payroll |  | Group Averages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Salary | Age(yrs.) | Service(yrs.) |
| Regular State Employees | 51,551 | \$ | 1,533,940,962 | \$ 29,756 | 43.6 | 9.7 |
| Elected Officials | 6 |  | 590,966 | 98,494 | 47.1 | 7.1 |
| Legislative Clerks | 79 |  | 2,037,423 | 25,790 | 54.9 | 13.8 |
| Legislators | 196 |  | 6,157,275 | 31,415 | 49.7 | 3.8 |
| Uniformed Water Patrol | 84 |  | 3,303,050 | 39,322 | 38.7 | 13.2 |
| Conservation Department | 1,487 |  | 54,629,009 | 36,738 | 42.5 | 12.7 |
| Contract Employees | 2,511 |  | 136,795,769 | 54,479 | 51.6 | 15.5 |
| Total in Funding Program | 55,914 | \$ | 1,737,454,454 | \$ 31,074 | 44.0 | 10.0 |
| Administrative Law Judges | 57 | \$ | 4,655,340 | \$ 81,673 | 48.8 | 10.2 |
| Other Judges | 391 |  | 39,878,499 | 101,991 | 53.6 | 11.4 |

## Retired Lives

| Type of Benefit Payment | No. | Annual Benefit |  | Group Averages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Benefit | Age(yrs.) |
| Retirement | 21,824 | \$ | 301,780,727 | \$ | 13,828 | 69.3 |
| Disability | 25 |  | 98,544 |  | 3,942 | 57.7 |
| Survivor of Active Member | 1,206 |  | 8,880,058 |  | 7,363 | 58.9 |
| Survivor of Retired Member | 1,702 |  | 13,867,729 |  | 8,148 | 73.3 |
| Total in Funding Program | 24,757 | \$ | 324,627,058 | \$ | 13,113 | 69.0 |
| Administrative Law Judges | 25 | \$ | 910,409 | \$ | 36,416 | 73.2 |
| Other Judges | 397 |  | 18,005,774 |  | 45,355 | 75.7 |

This valuation also includes 13,796 terminated vested members, 511 members on leave and 1,055 members on long-term disability.

Active Members in Funding Program as of June 30, 2004
By Age and Years of Service*

| Near Age | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Valuation Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30 plus |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | \$ | 1,188,096 |
| 20-24 | 1,836 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,854 |  | 38,551,293 |
| 25-29 | 3,996 | 837 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 4,845 |  | 122,552,267 |
| 30-34 | 3,096 | 2,563 | 445 | 19 |  |  |  | 6,123 |  | 172,216,499 |
| 35-39 | 2,407 | 2,161 | 1,495 | 511 | 25 |  |  | 6,599 |  | 197,214,229 |
| 40-44 | 2,446 | 2,035 | 1,359 | 1,468 | 645 | 78 |  | 8,031 |  | 249,856,953 |
| 45-49 | 2,275 | 1,974 | 1,350 | 1,426 | 1,072 | 878 | 52 | 9,027 |  | 291,970,400 |
| 50-54 | 1,916 | 1,693 | 1,354 | 1,424 | 1,010 | 1,209 | 353 | 8,959 |  | 304,685,907 |
| 55-59 | 1,419 | 1,314 | 1,136 | 1,113 | 723 | 512 | 302 | 6,519 |  | 221,664,790 |
| 60 | 168 | 144 | 133 | 166 | 68 | 43 | 45 | 767 |  | 26,173,010 |
| 61 | 154 | 182 | 133 | 139 | 66 | 49 | 46 | 769 |  | 26,684,555 |
| 62 | 142 | 138 | 101 | 115 | 47 | 29 | 41 | 613 |  | 21,922,337 |
| 63 | 73 | 111 | 65 | 71 | 28 | 26 | 40 | 414 |  | 14,546,606 |
| 64 | 68 | 68 | 60 | 55 | 25 | 16 | 21 | 313 |  | 11,175,093 |
| 65 | 44 | 58 | 39 | 36 | 14 | 12 | 40 | 243 |  | 9,245,920 |
| 66 | 38 | 35 | 39 | 26 | 15 | 17 | 27 | 197 |  | 7,772,932 |
| 67 | 16 | 36 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 22 | 134 |  | 5,138,982 |
| 68 | 19 | 23 | 16 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 12 | 104 |  | 3,785,737 |
| 69 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 89 |  | 3,189,742 |
| 70 \& Over | 51 | 41 | 41 | 47 | 21 | 17 | 23 | 241 |  | 7,919,106 |
| Totals | 20,250 | 13,446 | 7,818 | 6,673 | 3,788 | 2,904 | 1,035 | 55,914 | \$ | 1,737,454,454 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 44.0 years.
Service: 10.0 years.
Annual Pay: $\$ 31,074$

* A breakdown by gender is included on pages 63 and 64.


## Asset Summary

June 30, 2004

|  | Market Value | Actuarial <br> Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1. Assets at June 30, 2003 | $\$ 5,191,733,236$ |
| 2. Contributions and Transfers in | $\$ 6,057,329,072$ |  |
| 3. Investment Increment* | $168,284,713$ | $168,284,713$ |
| 4. Benefit Payments and Transfers out | $876,132,423$ | $266,080,653$ |
| 5. Administrative and Misc. Expenses | $367,785,861$ | $367,785,861$ |
| 6. Assets at June 30, 2004 | $5,694,082$ | $5,694,082$ |
| (1) + (2) + (3) - (4) - (5) |  |  |
| 7. Investment Increment/Mean Assets** | $\$ 5,862,670,429$ | $\$ 6,118,214,495$ |

* Net of investment expenses.
** Based on the approximation formula: $I /[.5 \times(A+B-I)]$, where
$\mathrm{I}=$ Investment Increment
$A=$ Beginning of year asset value
$B=$ End of year asset value

Cash Flow Projectiom

## Missouri State Employees' Retirement System

## The Nature of Actuarial Projections

Regular actuarial valuations measure the Retirement System's present financial position and contributions adequacy by calculating and financing the liabilities created by the present benefit program. This process involves discounting to present values the future benefit payments on behalf of present active and retired members and their survivors. However, valuations do not produce information regarding future changes in the makeup of the covered group or the amounts of benefits to be paid or investment income to be received--actuarial projections do.

Whereas valuations provide a snapshot of the retirement system as of a given date, projections provide a moving picture. Projected active and retired groups are developed from year to year by the application of assumptions regarding pre-retirement withdrawal from service, retirements, deaths, disabilities, and the addition of new members. Projected information regarding the retired life group leads to assumed future benefit payout. Combining future benefit payments with assumed contributions and expected investment earnings produces the net cash flow of the System each year, and thus end of year asset levels.

Projections are used for many purposes. Among them are (i) developing cash flow patterns for investment policy and asset mix consideration, (ii) exploring the effect of alternative assumptions about future experience, (iii) analyzing the impact on system funding progress of changes in the workforce, and (iv) examining the potential effect of changes in benefits on system financial activity.

Projection results are useful in demonstrating changing relationships among key elements affecting system financial activity. For example: how benefits payable and system assets will grow in future decades. Projections are not predictions of specific future events and do not provide numeric precision in absolute terms. For instance, cash flow projected to occur 10 years in the future will not be exact (except by coincidence), but understanding the changed relationships between future benefit payout and future investment income can be very useful.


## Missouri State Employees' Retirement System

Fifty-Year Cash Flow Projection (in Thousands)

| Year Ended June 30 | Assets BOY | Contributions* |  |  | Benefits | Investment Income | Assets EOY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | UAAL | Total |  |  | Inflated | 2005 \$ |
| 2005 | \$6,118,214 | \$153,253 | \$37,410 | \$190,663 | \$371,230 | \$512,374 | \$6,450,021 | \$6,450,021 |
| 2006 | 6,450,021 | 159,387 | 71,048 | 230,435 | 395,365 | 541,242 | 6,826,333 | 6,563,781 |
| 2007 | 6,826,333 | 165,666 | 73,847 | 239,513 | 420,569 | 572,543 | 7,217,820 | 6,673,280 |
| 2008 | 7,217,820 | 172,113 | 76,720 | 248,833 | 448,452 | 605,031 | 7,623,232 | 6,777,025 |
| 2009 | 7,623,232 | 178,767 | 79,686 | 258,453 | 477,655 | 638,658 | 8,042,688 | 6,874,923 |
| 2010 | 8,042,688 | 185,631 | 82,746 | 268,377 | 508,658 | 673,416 | 8,475,823 | 6,966,509 |
| 2011 | 8,475,823 | 192,743 | 85,916 | 278,659 | 542,349 | 709,238 | 8,921,371 | 7,050,689 |
| 2012 | 8,921,371 | 200,131 | 89,209 | 289,340 | 578,706 | 746,020 | 9,378,025 | 7,126,528 |
| 2013 | 9,378,025 | 207,836 | 92,644 | 300,480 | 617,568 | 783,655 | 9,844,592 | 7,193,347 |
| 2014 | 9,844,592 | 215,883 | 96,231 | 312,114 | 657,762 | 822,101 | 10,321,045 | 7,251,430 |
| 2015 | 10,321,045 | 224,274 | 99,971 | 324,245 | 700,148 | 861,314 | 10,806,456 | 7,300,454 |
| 2016 | 10,806,456 | 233,067 | 103,891 | 336,958 | 743,528 | 901,269 | 11,301,155 | 7,341,015 |
| 2017 | 11,301,155 | 242,277 | 107,996 | 350,273 | 787,998 | 941,995 | 11,805,425 | 7,373,634 |
| 2018 | 11,805,425 | 251,917 | 112,293 | 364,210 | 833,577 | 983,513 | 12,319,571 | 7,398,815 |
| 2019 | 12,319,571 | 262,016 | 116,795 | 378,811 | 879,518 | 1,025,883 | 12,844,747 | 7,417,521 |
| 2020 | 12,844,747 | 272,575 | 121,501 | 394,076 | 925,729 | 1,069,208 | 13,382,302 | 7,430,717 |
| 2021 | 13,382,302 | 283,604 | 126,418 | 410,022 | 972,293 | 1,113,598 | 13,933,629 | 7,439,279 |
| 2022 | 13,933,629 | 295,128 | 131,555 | 426,683 | 1,018,687 | 1,159,198 | 14,500,823 | 7,444,335 |
| 2023 | 14,500,823 | 307,165 | 136,920 | 444,085 | 1,064,948 | 1,206,183 | 15,086,143 | 7,446,945 |
| 2024 | 15,086,143 | 319,721 | 142,517 | 462,238 | 1,111,239 | 1,254,741 | 15,691,883 | 7,448,033 |
| 2025 | 15,691,883 | 332,819 | 148,356 | 481,175 | 1,157,494 | 1,305,066 | 16,320,630 | 7,448,523 |
| 2026 | 16,320,630 | 346,478 | 154,444 | 500,922 | 1,203,565 | 1,357,392 | 16,975,379 | 7,449,367 |
| 2027 | 16,975,379 | 360,715 | 160,791 | 521,506 | 1,249,285 | 1,411,977 | 17,659,577 | 7,451,554 |
| 2028 | 17,659,577 | 375,552 | 167,404 | 542,956 | 1,295,239 | 1,469,093 | 18,376,387 | 7,455,784 |
| 2029 | 18,376,387 | 391,009 | 174,294 | 565,303 | 1,341,003 | 1,529,026 | 19,129,713 | 7,462,912 |
| 2030 | 19,129,713 | 407,095 | 181,465 | 588,560 | 1,386,669 | 1,592,106 | 19,923,710 | 7,473,718 |
| 2031 | 19,923,710 | 423,808 | 188,914 | 612,722 | 1,432,846 | 1,658,660 | 20,762,246 | 7,488,719 |
| 2032 | 20,762,246 | 441,156 | 196,647 | 637,803 | 1,479,987 | 1,728,999 | 21,649,06! | 7,508,253 |
| 2033 | 21,649,061 | 459,156 | 204,671 | 663,827 | 1,528,426 | 1,803,425 | 22,587,887 | 7,532,552 |
| 2034 | 22,587,887 | 477,832 | 212,996 | 690,828 | 1,578,142 | 1,882,260 | 23,582,833 | 7,561,869 |
| 2035 | 23,582,833 | 497,214 | 132,530 | 629,744 | 1,629,604 | 1,962,047 | 24,545,020 | 7,567,688 |
| 2036 | 24,545,020 | 517,336 | 0 | 517,336 | 1,682,935 | 2,036,788 | 25,416,209 | 7,534,896 |
| 2037 | 25,416,209 | 538,226 | 0 | 538,226 | 1,738,022 | 2,109,386 | 26,325,799 | 7,504,378 |
| 2038 | 26,325,799 | 559,914 | 0 | 559,914 | 1,794,983 | 2,185,203 | 27,275,933 | 7,476,174 |
| 2039 | 27,275,933 | 582,434 | 0 | 582,434 | 1,853,990 | 2,264,413 | 28,268,790 | 7,450,299 |
| 2040 | 28,268,790 | 605,823 | 0 | 605,823 | 1,915,334 | 2,347,194 | 29,306,473 | 7,426,714 |
| 2041 | 29,306,473 | 630,122 | 0 | 630,122 | 1,979,212 | 2,433,713 | 30,391,096 | 7,405,360 |
| 2042 | 30,391,096 | 655,370 | 0 | 655,370 | 2,045,762 | 2,524,152 | 31,524,856 | 7,386,174 |
| 2043 | 31,524,856 | 681,611 | 0 | 681,611 | 2,115,186 | 2,618,686 | 32,709,967 | 7,369,079 |
| 2044 | 32,709,967 | 708,888 | 0 | 708,888 | 2,187,689 | 2,717,498 | 33,948,664 | 7,353,980 |
| 2045 | 33,948,664 | 737,245 | 0 | 737,245 | 2,263,550 | 2,820,768 | 35,243,127 | 7,340,757 |
| 2046 | 35,243,127 | 766,725 | 0 | 766,725 | 2,342,975 | 2,928,675 | 36,595,552 | 7,329,281 |
| 2047 | 36,595,552 | 797,376 | 0 | 797,376 | 2,426,080 | 3,041,403 | 38,008,251 | 7,319,436 |
| 2048 | 38,008,251 | 829,248 | 0 | 829,248 | 2,513,090 | 3,159,137 | 39,483,546 | 7,311,097 |
| 2049 | 39,483,546 | 862,388 | 0 | 862,388 | 2,604,258 | 3,282,072 | 41,023,748 | 7,304,129 |
| 2050 | 41,023,748 | 896,850 | 0 | 896,850 | 2,699,832 | 3,410,392 | 42,631,158 | 7,298,386 |
| 2051 | 42,631,158 | 932,688 | 0 | 932,688 | 2,799,982 | 3,544,288 | 44,308,152 | 7,293,736 |
| 2052 | 44,308,152 | 969,962 | 0 | 969,962 | 2,904,880 | 3,683,959 | 46,057,193 | 7,290,050 |
| 2053 | 46,057,193 | 1,008,729 | 0 | 1,008,729 | 3,014,721 | 3,829,606 | 47,880,807 | 7,287,208 |
| 2054 | 47,880,807 | 1,049,051 | 0 | 1,049,051 | 3,129,699 | 3,981,441 | 49,781,600 | 7,285,095 |

[^0]Missouri State Employees' Retirement System Fifty-Year Cash Flow Projection
Analysis of Projected Net Cash Flow

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Year Ended } \\ \text { June } 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | External Cash Flow |  | Net External Cash Flow |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Year Ended } \\ \text { June } 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | External Cash Flow |  | Net External Cash Flow |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inflow* | Outfow | \$ | \% of Assets |  | Inflow* | Outflow | \$ | \% of Assets |
| 2005 | \$190,663 | \$371,230 | \$ (180,567) | (2.95)\% | 2030 | \$588,560 | \$1,386,669 | \$ (798,109) | (4.17)\% |
| 2006 | 230,435 | 395,365 | $(164,930)$ | (2.56)\% | 2031 | 612,722 | 1,432,846 | $(820,124)$ | (4.12)\% |
| 2007 | 239,513 | 420,569 | $(181,056)$ | (2.65)\% | 2032 | 637,803 | 1,479,987 | $(842,184)$ | (4.06)\% |
| 2008 | 248,833 | 448,452 | $(199,619)$ | (2.77)\% | 2033 | 663,827 | 1,528,426 | $(864,599)$ | (3.99)\% |
| 2009 | 258,453 | 477,655 | $(219,202)$ | (2.88)\% | 2034 | 690,828 | 1,578,142 | $(887,314)$ | (3.93)\% |
| 2010 | 268,377 | 508,658 | $(240,281)$ | (2.99)\% | 2035 | 629,744 | 1,629,604 | $(999,860)$ | (4.24)\% |
| 2011 | 278,659 | 542,349 | $(263,690)$ | (3.11)\% | 2036 | 517,336 | 1,682,935 | $(1,165,599)$ | (4.75)\% |
| 2012 | 289,340 | 578,706 | $(289,366)$ | (3.24)\% | 2037 | 538,226 | 1,738,022 | $(1,199,796)$ | (4.72)\% |
| 2013 | 300,480 | 617,568 | $(317,088)$ | (3.38)\% | 2038 | 559,914 | 1,794,983 | $(1,235,069)$ | (4.69)\% |
| 2014 | 312,114 | 657,762 | $(345,648)$ | (3.51)\% | 2039 | 582,434 | 1,853,990 | $(1,271,556)$ | (4.66)\% |
| 2015 | 324,245 | 700,148 | $(375,903)$ | (3.64)\% | 2040 | 605,823 | 1,915,334 | (1,309,511) | (4.63)\% |
| 2016 | 336,958 | 743,528 | $(406,570)$ | (3.76)\% | 2041 | 630,122 | 1,979,212 | (1,349,090) | (4.60)\% |
| 2017 | 350,273 | 787,998 | $(437,725)$ | (3.87)\% | 2042 | 655,370 | 2,045,762 | $(1,390,392)$ | (4.57)\% |
| 2018 | 364,210 | 833,577 | $(469,367)$ | (3.98)\% | 2043 | 681,611 | 2,115,186 | (1,433,575) | (4.55)\% |
| 2019 | 378,811 | 879,518 | $(500,707)$ | (4.06)\% | 2044 | 708,888 | 2,187,689 | (1,478,801) | (4.52)\% |
| 2020 | 394,076 | 925,729 | $(531,653)$ | (4.14)\% | 2045 | 737,245 | 2,263,550 | (1,526,305) | (4.50)\% |
| 2021 | 410,022 | 972,293 | $(562,271)$ | (4.20)\% | 2046 | 766,725 | 2,342,975 | $(1,576,250)$ | (4.47)\% |
| 2022 | 426,683 | 1,018,687 | $(592,004)$ | (4.25)\% | 2047 | 797,376 | 2,426,080 | $(1,628,704)$ | (4.45)\% |
| 2023 | 444,085 | 1,064,948 | $(620,863)$ | (4.28)\% | 2048 | 829,248 | 2,513,090 | $(1,683,842)$ | (4.43)\% |
| 2024 | 462,238 | 1,111,239 | $(649,001)$ | (4.30)\% | 2049 | 862,388 | 2,604,258 | (1,741,870) | (4.41)\% |
| 2025 | 481,175 | 1,157,494 | $(676,319)$ | (4.31)\% | 2050 | 896,850 | 2,699,832 | (1,802,982) | (4.39)\% |
| 2026 | 500,922 | 1,203,565 | $(702,643)$ | (4.31)\% | 2051 | 932,688 | 2,799,982 | $(1,867,294)$ | (4.38)\% |
| 2027 | 521,506 | 1,249,285 | $(727,779)$ | (4.29)\% | 2052 | 969,962 | 2,904,880 | $(1,934,918)$ | (4.37)\% |
| 2028 | 542,956 | 1,295,239 | $(752,283)$ | (4.26)\% | 2053 | 1,008,729 | 3,014,721 | $(2,005,992)$ | (4.36)\% |
| 2029 | 565,303 | 1,341,003 | $(775,700)$ | (4.22)\% | 2054 | 1,049,051 | 3,129,699 | $(2,080,648)$ | (4.35)\% |

* Does not include contributions for administrative expenses.
The portion of investment income needed to pay benefits (the negative external cash flow) increases gradually and begins to level off at the end of the amortization of the unfunded accrued liabilities. After this period, it then approaches the assumed rate of $4.33 \%(1.085 / 1.040$, minus 1$)$. The remainder of the expected investment income is needed to preserve the purchasing power of the trust fund.


## Appendix

## Appendix

## Summary of Assumptions Used

## for the June 30, 2004 Actuarial Valuation

-----------Economic Assumptions
The investment return rate used in the valuations was $8.5 \%$ per year, compounded annually (net after investment expenses). This assumption is used to account for the fact that equal amounts of money payable at different points in time in the future do not have the same value presently.

Pay increase assumptions for individual active members are shown for sample ages on page 53. Part of the assumption for each age is for merit and/or seniority increase, and the other $4.0 \%$ recognizes wage inflation. This assumption is used to project a member's current salary to the salaries upon which benefits will be based.

The active member payroll is assumed to increase $4.0 \%$ annually, which is the portion of the individual pay increase assumptions attributable to wage inflation.

The annual cost-ofliving adjustment (COLA) is assumed to be $4.00 \%$, on a compounded basis, when a minimum COLA of $4 \%$ is in effect. When no minimum COLA is in effect, price inflation is assumed to be $3.5 \%$ and the annual COLA is assumed to be $2.8 \%$ ( $80 \%$ of $3.5 \%$ ), on a compounded basis.

The number of active members is assumed to remain constant although certain new hires on or after July 1, 2002 will participate in the Colleges and Universities Retirement Plan. Active and retired member data is reported as of May 31. It is assumed for valuation purposes that there is no turnover among members and no new entrants during the month of June.

## - Non-Economic Assumptions -

The mortality table, for post-retirement mortality, used in evaluating allowances to be paid was the 1971 Group Annuity Mortality Table, projected to the year 2000, with a 1 year setback for men and a 7 year age setback for women. Related values are shown on page 54. This assumption is used to measure the probabilities of each benefit payment being made after retirement.

## Appendix

## Summary of Assumptions Used

## for the June 30, 2004 Actuarial Valuation (continued)

The probabilities of age and service retirement are shown on page 54. It was assumed that each member will be granted one half year of service credit for unused leave upon retirement and military service purchases.

The probabilities of withdrawal from service, disability and death-in-service are shown for sample ages on page 53 . For disability retirement, impaired longevity was recognized by use of special mortality tables.

The entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation was used in determining liabilities and normal cost. The normal cost was based on the benefit provisions affecting new employees (MSEP 2000). Differences in the past between assumed experience and actuarial experience ("actuarial gains and losses") become part of actuarial accrued liabilities. Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are amortized to produce payments, (principal \& interest) which are level percents of payroll contributions.

Employer contribution dollars were assumed to be paid in equal installments throughout the employer's fiscal year.

Actuarial value of assets. Valuation assets recognize assumed investment return fully each year. Differences between actual and assumed investment return are phased in over a closed 5-year period. Valuation assets are not permitted to deviate from the market value by more than $20 \%$.

The data about persons now covered and about present assets were furnished by the System's administrative staff. Although examined for general reasonableness, the data was not audited by the Actuary.

It is assumed that among active members $80 \%$ are married at retirement, $70 \%$ of those dying in active service are married, and men are 3 years older than their spouses.

The liabilities for active members hired on or after July 1, 2000 were based on MSEP 2000 benefits. The liabilities for active members hired before July 1, 2000 for male General Employees with an age at hire of 35 years or less, for female General Employees, for Contract Employees, for Elected and for General Assembly were based on MSEP 2000 benefits. All others were based on MSEP benefits. The backDROP was only explicitly valued for those assumed to receive MSEP 2000 benefits.

The actuarial valuation computations were made by or under the supervision of a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (M.A.A.A.).
Separations From Active Employment Before Service Retirement \& Individual Pay Increase Assumptions
June 30, 2004

| Sample Ages | Years of Service | Percent of Active Members parating within the Next Year---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pay Increase Assumptions <br> - For An Individual Employee - - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Withdrawal |  | Death* |  |  |  | Disability |  |  |  <br> Seniority** | Base <br> (Economy) | Increase <br> Next Year |
|  |  | Men | Women | Men |  | Wome |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 23.8 \% | 24.7 \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 16.5 | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 13.4 | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 11.9 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 12.0 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $5+$ | 12.0 | 11.0 | 0.04 | $\%$ | 0.03 | \% | 0.16 | \% | 0.18 \% | 2.7 \% | 4.0 \% | 6.7 \% |
| 25 |  | 12.0 | 11.0 | 0.05 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.18 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 6.6 |
| 30 |  | 8.8 | 9.9 | 0.06 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.18 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 6.2 |
| 35 |  | 6.2 | 6.8 | 0.08 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.19 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
| 40 |  | 4.6 | 4.9 | 0.12 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.26 |  | 0.32 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
| 45 |  | 3.5 | 4.3 | 0.19 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.34 |  | 0.37 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 5.2 |
| 50 |  | 2.8 | 3.6 | 0.35 |  | 0.17 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.57 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| 55 |  | 2.4 | 2.9 | 0.59 |  | 0.31 |  | 1.07 |  | 0.89 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| 60 |  | 2.4 | 2.9 | 0.90 |  | 0.54 |  | 1.50 |  | 1.50 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 65 |  | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.44 |  | 0.83 |  | 1.60 |  | 1.70 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |

[^1]
## Single Life Retirement Values <br> June 30, 2004

| Sample <br> Attained Ages | Present Value of $\$ 1 /$ Month the First Year Increasing 4.0\% / 2.8\% Yearly |  |  |  | Future Life Expectancy (Years) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Service |  | Disability |  | Service |  | Disability |  |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| 40 | \$203.29 | \$213.24 | \$135.93 | \$157.34 | 38.46 | 44.22 | 19.70 | 26.02 |
| 45 | 192.77 | 205.14 | 126.72 | 150.77 | 33.73 | 39.41 | 17.50 | 23.70 |
| 50 | 180.29 | 195.04 | 116.43 | 143.29 | 29.17 | 34.67 | 15.35 | 21.39 |
| 55 | 165.93 | 182.93 | 106.32 | 135.58 | 24.82 | 30.06 | 13.43 | 19.18 |
| 60 | 149.43 | 168.96 | 97.83 | 127.14 | 20.70 | 25.67 | 11.87 | 17.01 |
| 65 | 130.80 | 152.92 | 90.83 | 117.40 | 16.82 | 21.50 | 10.56 | 14.82 |
| 70 | 111.02 | 134.67 | 82.22 | 105.26 | 13.32 | 17.57 | 9.13 | 12.50 |
| 75 | 91.88 | 114.99 | 70.84 | 89.45 | 10.36 | 13.99 | 7.49 | 10.00 |
| 80 | 73.43 | 95.64 | 56.19 | 71.98 | 7.83 | 10.91 | 5.66 | 7.62 |
| 85 | 57.86 | 76.96 | 42.26 | 56.19 | 5.89 | 8.29 | 4.08 | 5.66 |

## Percent of Eligible Active Members Retiring Next Year

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Retirement } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | Year of Eligibility |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st Year | 2nd Year | 3rd Year |
| 48 | 20.0\% | 10.0\% | 8.0\% |
| 49 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| 50 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| 51 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| 52 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| 53 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| 54 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| 55 | 25.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 |
| 56 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 |
| 57 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 |
| 58 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 |
| 59 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 |
| 60 | 25.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 |
| 61 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 |
| 62 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 35.0 |
| 63 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 20.0 |
| 64 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 20.0 |
| 65 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 40.0 |
| 66 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 67 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 68 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 69 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 70 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 71 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 72 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 73 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 74 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 25.0 |
| 75 \& over | 20.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 |

Early retirement rates were assumed to be $5.0 \%$ from ages 57-61.

# Summary of Assumptions Used <br> June 30, 2004 <br> Miscellaneous and Technical Assumptions 

| Pay Increase Timing: | Middle of (Fiscal) year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Decrement Timing: | Decrements of all types are assumed to occur mid-year. |
| Eligibility Testing: | Eligibility for benefits is determined based upon the age nearest <br> birthday and service nearest whole year on the date the decrement <br> is assumed to occur. |
| Benefit Service: | Exact fractional service is used to determine the amount of the <br> benefit payable. |
| Decrement Relativity: | Decrement rates are used directly from the experience study, <br> without adjustment for multiple decrement table effects. |
| Decrement Operation: | Disability and mortality decrements do not operate during the first <br> 5 years of service. Disability and withdrawal do not operate during <br> normal retirement eligibility, |
| Normal Form of Benefit: | The assumed normal form of benefit is the straight life form for <br> MSEP 2000 with $50 \%$ continuing to an eligible surviving spouse <br> for MSEP. No adjustment has been made for post-retirement <br> option election changes. |
| Loads: | No loads were used. |
| Incidence of Contributions: | Contributions are assumed to be received continuously throughout <br> the year based upon the computed percent of payroll shown in this <br> report, and the actual payroll payable at the time contributions are <br> made. New entrant normal cost contributions are applied to the <br> funding of new entrant benefits. |

Active and retired member data was reported as of May 31, 2004. It was brought forward to June 30, 2004 by adding one month of service for all active members and the June COLA for certain retired members. It is expected that this procedure resulted in a slight overstatement of total liabilities as of June 30, 2004. Financial information continues to be reported as of June 30 . This procedure was instituted to provide sufficient time for the Board of Trustees to certify the appropriate contribution rate prior to the October 1 statutory deadline.

# Supplemental Disclosure Information <br> June 30, 2004 <br> Actuarial Accrued Liability 

The actuarial accrued liability is a measure intended to (i) help users assess the plan's funding status on a goingconcem basis, and (ii) assess progress being made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. The actuarial value of assets is based on a method that fully recognizes expected investment return and averages unanticipated market return over a five-year period. Allocation of the actuarial present value of projected benefits between past and future service was based on service using the entry age actuarial cost method. Assumptions, including projected pay increases, were the same as used to determine the System's annual required contribution between entry age and assumed exit age. Entry age was established by subtracting credited service from current age on the valuation date.

The entry age actuarial accrued liability was determined as part of an actuarial valuation of the System as of June 30 , 2004. Significant actuarial assumptions used in determining the entry age actuarial accrued liability include (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of $8.5 \%$ per year compounded annually, (b) projected salary increases of $4.0 \%$ per year compounded annually, attributable to inflation, (c) additional projected salary increases ranging from $0.0 \%$ to $2.7 \%$ per year, depending on age, attributable to seniority/merit, and (d) the assumption that benefits will increase after retirement (i) at $4.00 \%$ per year for approximately the first 12 years, $3.1 \%$ for the $13^{\text {th }}$ year and $2.8 \%$ per year thereafter, or (ii) at $2.8 \%$ per year, depending upon date of hire and benefit election.

At June 30, 2004, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability of the System was determined as follows:

Actuarial Accrued Liability of System:
Active members ( 36,014 vested, 19,900 non-vested)
Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits ( 24,757 vested)
Terminated members not yet receiving benefits ( 13,796 vested)
Future BackDROP Payments
Total Actuarial Accrued Liability
Actuarial Value of Assets
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

| \$ in Thousands |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ \quad 3,399,930$ |  |
| $3,405,054$ |  |
| 424,328 |  |
| 699 |  |
|  | $7,230,011$ |
| $6,118,214$ |  |
|  | $1,111,796$ |

During the year ended June 30, 2004, the System experienced a net change of $\$ 567,719,522$ in the actuarial accrued liability of which $\$ 72,451,329$ was attributable to changes in assumptions. There were no changes in benefit provisions or actuarial methods.

# Supplemental Disclosure Information <br> June 30, 2004 

(continued)

## Contributions Required and Contributions Made

The System's funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates that, expressed as percentages of annual covered payroll, are designed to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. In developing the annual required contribution shown below, the normal cost and actuarial accrued liability are determined using the entry age actuarial cost method. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized on a closed basis as a level percent of payroll over a period of 31 years. The corresponding amortization factor is 16.92603 .

During the year ended June 30,2004 contributions totaling $\$ 164,691,836$ were made by the employer.

Schedule of Employer Contributions

| Fiscal Year <br> $\mathbf{7 - 1 / 6 - 3 0}$ | Valuation Date <br> $\mathbf{6 / 3 0}$ | Annual Required Contribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Dollar Amount | Percentage <br> Contributed |  |
| $1991-92$ | 1990 | $9.65 \%$ | $\$$ | $100,672,145$ |
| $1992-93$ | 1991 | 9.68 | $102,988,219$ | $100 \%$ |
| $1993-94$ | 1992 | 9.49 | $106,681,308$ | 100 |
| $1994-95$ | 1993 | 9.04 | $108,902,372$ | 100 |
| $1995-96$ | 1994 | 10.69 | $137,007,112$ | 100 |
| $1996-97$ | 1995 | 10.66 | $146,383,371$ | 100 |
| $1997-98$ | 1996 | 10.40 | $152,090,687$ | 100 |
| $1998-99$ | 1997 | 12.58 | $197,909,834$ | 100 |
| $1999-00$ | 1998 | 11.91 | $202,330,547$ | 100 |
| $2000-01$ | 1999 | 11.59 | $209,515,026$ | 100 |
| $2001-02$ | 2000 | 11.59 | $215,450,128$ | 100 |
| $2002-03$ | 2001 | 8.81 | $156,576,150$ | 100 |
| $2003-04$ | 2002 | 9.35 | $164,691,836$ | 100 |
| $2004-05$ | 2003 | 10.64 |  | 100 |
| $2005-06$ | 2004 | 12.59 |  |  |

# Supplemental Disclosure Information 

June 30, 2004
(concluded)
Schedule of Funding Progress

| Plan Year Ended | (1) <br> Actuarial Value of Assets | (2) <br> Actuarial <br> Accrued <br> Liability <br> (AAL) <br> Entry Age | (3) <br> Percent <br> Funded <br> (1)/(2) | (4) Unfunded AAL (2) - (1) | (5) <br> Annual <br> Covered <br> Payroll | (6) <br> Unfunded AAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (4) / (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/30/1997 \#*@ | \$3,580,974,502 | \$4,484,047,801 | 79.9 \% | \$903,073,299 | \$1,359,656,666 | 66.4 \% |
| 6/30/1998 | 4,210,635,094 | 4,918,887,183 | 85.6 | 708,252,089 | 1,459,712,203 | 48.5 |
| 6/30/1999 \# | 4,908,820,033 | 5,505,968,629 | 89.2 | 597,148,596 | 1,564,551,532 | 38.2 |
| 6/30/2000 * | 5,216,897,196 | 5,920,684,192 | 88.1 | 703,786,996 | 1,683,697,080 | 41.8 |
| 6/30/2001 * @ | 5,881,232,850 | 6,065,166,716 | 97.0 | 183,933,866 | 1,758,190,269 | 10.5 |
| 6/30/2002 \& | 6,033,133,598 | 6,294,272,275 | 95.9 | 261,138,677 | 1,773,283,484 | 14.7 |
| 6/30/2003 \# \& | 6,057,329,072 | 6,662,291,406 | 90.9 | 604,962,334 | 1,739,895,364 | 34.8 |
| 6/30/2004 * | 6,118,214,495 | 7,230,010,928 | 84.6 | 1,111,796,433 | 1,737,454,454 | 64.0 |

\# After changes in benefit provisions.

* After a change in assumptions.
@ After a change in asset method.
\& After changes in methods other than the asset method.
Analysis of the dollar amounts of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, or unfunded actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability provides one indication of the plan's funded status on a goingconcern basis. Analysis of this percentage over time indicates whether the plan is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan. The unfunded actuarial accured liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Usually expressing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the progress being made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan.


# June 30, 2004 Actuarial Valuation 

## Glossary

Actuarial Accrued Liability. The difference between (i) the actuarial present value of future plan benefits, and (ii) the actuarial present value of future normal cost. Sometimes referred to as "accrued liability" or "past service liability."

Accrued Service. The service credited under the plan which was rendered before the date of the actuarial valuation.

Actuarial Assumptions. Estimates of future plan experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, turnover, retirement, rate or rates of investment income and salary increases. Decrement assumptions (rates of mortality, disability, turnover and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate in an inflation-free environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation.

Actuarial Cost Method. A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the "actuarial present value of future plan benefits" between the actuarial present value of future normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the "actuarial funding method."

Actuarial Equivalent. A series of payments is called an actuarial equivalent of another series of payments if the two series have the same actuarial present value.

Actuarial Present Value. The amount of funds presently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting the future payments at a predetermined rate of interest, taking into account the probability of payment.

Actuarial value of assets. Also referred to as funding value of assets, smoothed market value of assets, or valuation assets.

Valuation assets recognize assumed investment return fully each year. Differences between actual and assumed investment return are phased in over a closed 5 -year period. This treatment helps remove the timing of investment activities from the valuation process. During periods when investment performance exceeds the assumed rate, valuation assets will tend to be less than market value. During periods when investment performance is less than the assumed rate, valuation assets will tend to be greater than market value. If assumed rates are exactly realized for 4 consecutive years, valuation assets will become equal to market value.

Amortization. Paying off an interest-bearing liability by means of periodic payments of interest and principal, as opposed to paying it off with a lump sum payment.

Experience Gain (Loss). A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of actuarial assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, in accordance with the actuarial cost method being used.

Normal Cost. The annual cost assigned, under the actuarial funding method, to current and subsequent plan years. Sometimes referred to as "current service cost." Any payment toward the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is not part of the normal cost.
(continued on following page)

# June 30, 2004 Actuarial Valuation 

## Glossary

## (concluded)

Plan Termination Liability. The actuarial present value of future plan benefits based on the assumption that there will be no further accruals for future service and salary. The termination liability will generally be less than the liabilities computed on a "going concern" basis and is not normally determined in a routine actuarial valuation.

Reserve Account. An account used to indicate that funds have been set aside for a specific purpose and are not generally available for other uses.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. The difference between the actuarial accrued liability and actuarial value of assets. Sometimes referred to as "unfunded accrued liability."

The existence of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities is not in itself bad, any more than a mortgage on a house is bad. Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities do not represent a debt that is payable today. What is important is the ability to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities and the trend in their amount (after due allowance for devaluation of the dollar).

Valuation Payroll. Active member payroll that is intended to reflect the annual salary considered as covered compensation for Retirement System benefits.

Financing Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities Which Were Calculated Using an Inflation Assumption of $4.00 \%$ and an Investment Return Assumption of $\mathbf{8 . 5 0 \%}$ Compounded Annually

Level \% of Payroll Amortization:
Closed Amortization Completed in 31 Years

| Year | Active <br> Member <br> Payroll | Unfunded <br> Actuarial <br> Accrued <br> Liability | UAAL <br> Adjusted for Inflation | Annual Contributions |  | UAAL as \% of Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dollars | \% of Payroll |  |
| $\cdots----------\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \$1,737 | \$1,112 | \$1,112 | \$66 | 3.78 \% | 63.99 \% |
| 2 | 1,807 | 1,138 | 1,094 | 68 | 3.78 | 62.97 |
| 3 | 1,879 | 1,163 | 1,076 | 71 | 3.78 | 61.91 |
| 4 | 1,954 | 1,188 | 1,056 | 74 | 3.78 | 60.80 |
| 5 | 2,033 | 1,212 | 1,036 | 77 | 3.78 | 59.64 |
| 6 | 2,114 | 1,235 | 1,015 | 80 | 3.78 | 58.44 |
| 7 | 2,198 | 1,257 | 993 | 83 | 3.78 | 57.18 |
| 8 | 2,286 | 1,277 | 971 | 86 | 3.78 | 55.86 |
| 9 | 2,378 | 1,296 | 947 | 90 | 3.78 | 54.49 |
| 10 | 2,473 | 1,312 | 922 | 93 | 3.78 | 53.06 |
| 11 | 2,572 | 1,326 | 896 | 97 | 3.78 | 51.57 |
| 12 | 2,675 | 1,338 | 869 | 101 | 3.78 | 50.02 |
| 13 | 2,782 | 1,346 | 841 | 105 | 3.78 | 48.39 |
| 14 | 2,893 | 1,351 | 811 | 109 | 3.78 | 46.70 |
| 15 | 3,009 | 1,352 | 781 | 114 | 3.78 | 44.93 |
| 16 | 3,129 | 1,348 | 749 | 118 | 3.78 | 43.09 |
| 17 | 3,254 | 1,340 | 715 | 123 | 3.78 | 41.16 |
| 18 | 3,384 | 1,325 | 680 | 128 | 3.78 | 39.16 |
| 19 | 3,520 | 1,305 | 644 | 133 | 3.78 | 37.07 |
| 20 | 3,661 | 1,277 | 606 | 138 | 3.78 | 34.88 |

Financing Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities Which Were Calculated Using an Inflation Assumption of $4.00 \%$ and an Investment Return Assumption of $\mathbf{8 . 5 0 \%}$ Compounded Annually

Level \% of Payroll Amortization:
Closed Amortization Completed in 31 Years
(concluded)

| Year | Active <br> Member <br> Payroll | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | UAAL <br> Adjusted for Inflation | Annual Contributions |  | UAAL as \% of Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dollars | \% of Payroll |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | \$3,807 | \$1,241 | \$566 | \$144 | 3.78 \% | 32.60 \% |
| 22 | 3,959 | 1,197 | 525 | 150 | 3.78 | 30.23 |
| 23 | 4,118 | 1,143 | 482 | 156 | 3.78 | 27.75 |
| 24 | 4,282 | 1,077 | 437 | 162 | 3.78 | 25.16 |
| 25 | 4,454 | 1,000 | 390 | 168 | 3.78 | 22.46 |
| 26 | 4,632 | 910 | 341 | 175 | 3.78 | 19.65 |
| 27 | 4,817 | 805 | 290 | 182 | 3.78 | 16.71 |
| 28 | 5,010 | 683 | 237 | 189 | 3.78 | 13.64 |
| 29 | 5,210 | 544 | 181 | 197 | 3.78 | 10.45 |
| 30 | 5,419 | 385 | 124 | 205 | 3.78 | 7.11 |
| 31 | 5,635 | 205 | 63 | 213 | 3.78 | 3.63 |
| 32 | 5,861 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Active Members in Funding Program as of June 30, 2004

## By Age and Years of Service

Male


While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 44.7 years.

Service: 10.0 years.

Annual Pay: \$34,541

## Active Members in Funding Program as of June 30, 2004

## By Age and Years of Service

Female

| Near Age | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Valuation Payroll |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30 plus |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | \$ | 923,560 |
| 20-24 | 1,179 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,194 |  | 24,274,814 |
| 25-29 | 2,439 | 590 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 3,038 |  | 75,685,574 |
| 30-34 | 1,785 | 1,665 | 327 | 16 |  |  |  | 3,793 |  | 103,940,468 |
| 35-39 | 1,460 | 1,323 | 951 | 376 | 22 |  |  | 4,132 |  | 117,172,064 |
| 40-44 | 1,479 | 1,284 | 801 | 874 | 505 | 66 |  | 5,009 |  | 146,417,256 |
| 45-49 | 1,406 | 1,184 | 841 | 798 | 678 | 671 | 43 | 5,621 |  | 169,380,252 |
| 50-54 | 1,141 | 1,064 | 816 | 766 | 604 | 697 | 270 | 5,358 |  | 167,206,977 |
| 55-59 | 807 | 773 | 674 | 626 | 399 | 250 | 145 | 3,674 |  | 111,237,998 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 60 | 83 | 85 | 70 | 89 | 38 | 14 | 17 | 396 |  | 11,686,111 |
| 61 | 77 | 93 | 79 | 80 | 45 | 25 | 15 | 414 |  | 12,718,338 |
| 62 | 69 | 68 | 62 | 57 | 27 | 16 | 11 | 310 |  | 9,602,489 |
| 63 | 45 | 63 | 41 | 40 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 227 |  | 6,677,847 |
| 64 | 37 | 34 | 33 | 36 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 170 |  | 5,101,398 |
| 65 | 26 | 26 | 18 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 114 |  | 3,358,127 |
| 66 | 15 | 23 | 21 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 102 |  | 3,117,126 |
| 67 | 9 | 17 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 67 |  | 2,170,567 |
| 68 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 59 |  | 1,778,817 |
| 69 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 46 |  | 1,311,857 |
| 70 \& Over | 18 | 17 | 16 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 109 |  | 2,934,998 |
| Totals | 12,149 | 8,342 | 4,790 | 3,843 | 2,391 | 1,802 | 572 | 33,889 | \$ | 976,696,638 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 43.5 years.
Service: 10.0 years.
Annual Pay: $\$ 28,820$

For a type of investment,

Red means a REAL Return less than 3\% [(Total - Inflation) < 3\%]

For Inflation, RED means a purchasing power loss

| Year | Large Company Stocks | Small Company Stocks | Long-Term Corporate Bonds | Long-Term Government Bonds | Intermediate Term Govermment Bonds | U.S. Treasury Bills | Inflation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926 | 11.62 | 0.28 | 7.37 | 7.77 | 5.38 | 3.27 | -1.49 |
| 1927 | 37.49 | 22.10 | 7.44 | 8.93 | 4.52 | 3.12 | -2.08 |
| 1928 | 43.61 | 39.69 | 2.84 | 0.10 | 0.92 | 3.56 | -0.97 |
| 1929 | -8.42 | -51.36 | 3.27 | 4.17 | 6.01 | 4.75 | 0.20 |
| 1930 | -24.90 | -38.15 | 7.98 | 4.66 | 6.72 | 2.41 | -6.03 |
| 1931 | -43.34 | -49.75 | -1.65 | -5.31 | -2.32 | 1.07 | -9.52 |
| 1932 | -8.19 | -5.39 | 10.32 | 16.84 | 8.81 | 0.96 | -10.30 |
| 1933 | 53.99 | 142.87 | 10.38 | -0.07 | 1.83 | 0.30 | 0.51 |
| 1934 | -1.44 | 24.22 | 13.84 | 10.03 | 9.00 | 0.16 | 2.03 |
| 1935 | 47.67 | 40.19 | 9.61 | 4.98 | 7.01 | 0.17 | 2.99 |
| 1936 | 33.92 | 64.80 | 6.74 | 7.52 | 3.06 | 0.18 | 1.21 |
| 1937 | -35.03 | -58.01 | 2.75 | 0.23 | 1.56 | 0.31 | 3.10 |
| 1938 | 31.12 | 32.80 | 6.13 | 5.53 | 6.23 | -0.02 | -2.78 |
| 1939 | -0.41 | 0.35 | 3.97 | 5.94 | 4.52 | 0.02 | -0.46 |
| 1940 | -9.78 | -5.16 | 3.39 | 6.09 | 2.96 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| 1941 | -11.59 | -9.00 | 2.73 | 0.93 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 9.72 |
| 1942 | 20.34 | 44.51 | 2.60 | 3.22 | 1.94 | 0.27 | 9.29 |
| 1943 | 25.90 | 88.37 | 2.83 | 2.08 | 2.81 | 0.35 | 3.16 |
| 1944 | 19.75 | 53.72 | 4.73 | 2.81 | 1.80 | 0.33 | 2.11 |
| 1945 | 36.44 | 73.61 | 4.08 | 10.73 | 2.22 | 0.33 | 2.25 |
| 1946 | -8.07 | -11.63 | 1.72 | -0.10 | 1.00 | 0.35 | 18.16 |
| 1947 | 5.71 | 0.92 | -2.34 | -2.62 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 9.01 |
| 1948 | 5.50 | -2.11 | 4.14 | 3.40 | 1.85 | 0.81 | 2.71 |
| 1949 | 18.79 | 19.75 | 3.31 | 6.45 | 2.32 | 1.10 | -1,80 |
| 1950 | 31.71 | 38.75 | 2.12 | 0.06 | 0.70 | 1.20 | 5.79 |
| 1951 | 24.02 | 7.80 | -2.69 | -3.93 | 0.36 | 1.49 | 5.87 |
| 1952 | 18.37 | 3.03 | 3.52 | 1.16 | 1.63 | 1.66 | 0.88 |
| 1953 | -0.99 | -6.49 | 3.41 | 3.64 | 3.23 | 1.82 | 0.62 |
| 1954 | 52.62 | 60.58 | 5.39 | 7.19 | 2.68 | 0.86 | -0.50 |
| 1955 | 31.56 | 20.44 | 0.48 | -1.29 | -0.65 | 1.57 | 0.37 |
| 1956 | 6.56 | 4.28 | -6.81 | -5.59 | -0.42 | 2.46 | 2.86 |
| 1957 | -10.78 | -14.57 | 8.71 | 7.46 | 7.84 | 3.14 | 3.02 |
| 1958 | 43.36 | 64.89 | -2.22 | -6.09 | -1.29 | 1.54 | 1.76 |
| 1959 | 11.96 | 16.40 | -0.97 | -2.26 | -0.39 | 2.95 | 1.50 |
| 1960 | 0.47 | -3.29 | 9.07 | 13.76 | 11.76 | 2.66 | 1.48 |
| 1961 | 26.89 | 32.09 | 4.82 | 0.97 | 1.85 | 2.13 | 0.67 |
| 1962 | -8.73 | - 11.90 | 7.95 | 6.89 | 5.56 | 2.73 | 1.22 |
| 1963 | 22.80 | 23.57 | 2.19 | 1.21 | 1.64 | 3.12 | 1.65 |
| 1964 | 16.48 | 23.52 | 4.77 | 3.51 | 4.04 | 3.54 | 1.19 |
| 1965 | 12.45 | 41.75 | -0.46 | 0.71 | 1.02 | 3.93 | 1.92 |
| 1966 | -10.06 | -7.01 | 0.20 | 3.65 | 4.69 | 4.76 | 3.35 |
| 1967 | 23.98 | 83.57 | -4.95 | -9.18 | 1.01 | 4.21 | 3.04 |
| 1968 | 11.06 | 35.97 | 2.57 | -0.26 | 4.54 | 5.21 | 4.72 |
| 1969 | -8.50 | -25.05 | -8.09 | . 5.07 | -0.74 | 6.58 | 6.11 |
| 1970 | 4.01 | -17.43 | 18.37 | 12.11 | 16.86 | 6.52 | 5.49 |
| 1971 | 14.31 | 16.50 | 11.01 | 13.23 | 8.72 | 4.39 | 3.36 |
| 1972 | 18.98 | 4.43 | 7.26 | 5.69 | 5.16 | 3.84 | 3.41 |
| 1973 | -14.66 | -30.90 | 1.14 | -1.11 | 4.61 | 6.93 | 8.80 |
| 1974 | -26.47 | -19.95 | -3.06 | 4.35 | 5.69 | 8.00 | 12.20 |
| 1975 | 37.20 | 52.82 | 14.64 | 9.20 | 7.83 | 5.80 | 7.01 |
| 1976 | 23.84 | 57.38 | 18.65 | 16.75 | 12.87 | 5.08 | 4.81 |
| 1977 | -7.18 | 25.38 | 1.71 | -0.69 | 1.41 | 5.12 | 6.77 |
| 1978 | 6.56 | 23.46 | -0.07 | -1.18 | 3.49 | 7.18 | 9.03 |
| 1979 | 18.44 | 43.46 | -4.18 | -1.23 | 4.09 | 10.38 | 13.31 |
| 1980 | 32.42 | 39.88 | -2.62 | -3.95 | 3.91 | 11.24 | 12.40 |
| 1981 | -4.91 | 13.88 | -0.96 | 1.86 | 9.45 | 14.71 | 8.94 |
| 1982 | 21.41 | 28.01 | 43.79 | 40.36 | 29.10 | 10.54 | 3.87 |
| 1983 | 22.51 | 39.67 | 4.70 | 0.65 | 7.41 | 8.80 | 3.80 |
| 1984 | 6.27 | -6.67 | 16.39 | 15.48 | 14.02 | 9.85 | 3.95 |
| 1985 | 32.16 | 24.66 | 30.09 | 30.97 | 20.33 | 7.72 | 3.77 |
| 1986 | 18.47 | 6.85 | 19.85 | 24.53 | 15.14 | 6.16 | 1.13 |
| 1987 | 5.23 | -9.30 | -0.27 | -2.71 | 2.90 | 5.47 | 4.41 |
| 1988 | 16.81 | 22.87 | 10.70 | 9.67 | 6.10 | 6.35 | 4.42 |
| 1989 | 31.49 | 10.18 | 16.23 | 18.11 | 13.29 | 8.37 | 4.65 |
| 1990 | -3.17 | -21.56 | 6.78 | 8.18 | 9.73 | 7.81 | 6.11 |
| 1991 | 30.55 | 44.63 | 19.89 | 19.30 | 15.46 | 5.60 | 3.06 |
| 1992 | 7.67 | 23.35 | 9.39 | 8.05 | 7.19 | 3.51 | 2.90 |
| 1993 | 9.99 | 20.98 | 13.19 | 18.24 | 11.24 | 2.90 | 2.75 |
| 1994 | 1.31 | 3.11 | -5.76 | -7.77 | -5.14 | 3.90 | 2.67 |
| 1995 | 37.43 | 34.46 | 27.20 | 31.67 | 16.80 | 5.60 | 2.54 |
| 1996 | 23.07 | 17.62 | 1.40 | -0.93 | 2.10 | 5.21 | 3.32 |
| 1997 | 33.36 | 22.78 | 12.95 | 15.85 | 8.38 | 5.26 | 1.70 |
| 1998 | 28.58 | -7.31 | 10.76 | 13.06 | 10.21 | 4.86 | 1.61 |
| 1999 | 21.04 | 29.79 | . 7.45 | -8.96 | -1.77 | 4,68 | 2.68 |
| 2000 | -9.11 | -3.59 | 12.87 | 21.48 | 12.59 | 5.89 | 3.39 |
| 2001 | -11.88 | 22.77 | 10.65 | 3.70 | 7.62 | 3.83 | 1.55 |
| 2002 | -22.10 | -13.28 | 16.33 | 17.84 | 12.93 | 1.65 | 2.38 |
| 2003 | 28.70 | 60.70 | 5.27 | 1.45 | 2.40 | 1.02 | 1.88 |


[^0]:    * Does not include contributions for administrative expenses.

[^1]:    * $2 \%$ of the deaths in active service are assumed to be duty related. ** Does not apply to members of the General Assembly.

