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## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL



# Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System 

Norm Robinson<br>Executive Director

Susie Daht<br>Assistant Executive Director

October 15, 2003
Board of Trustees Highway \& Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Board Members:
It is again with great pleasure that I submit this year's annual report of the Highway and 'Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System (HTEHPRS).

## Fiscal Year 2003 Highlights

Several provisions of Senate Bill 248, enacted this year, affected our retirement system. Those provisions dealt with the issues of retirement incentive, age 48 minimum for Rule of 80 , subsidized purchase of service for uniformed patrol officers, the transfer of service for Public Safety employees, and some administrative clarifications. The details of these legislative provisions are further explained in the Actuarial Section of this report under "Legislative Changes".

A significant milestone was reached this year as we purchased and moved into our own building facility at 1913 William Street. (We have come a long way since our early days when we occupied temporary space in a conference room at the MoDOT general headquarters building). Our goal with the new facility was to develop a customer friendly environment which would facilitate workflow, provide pleasant surroundings for employees, meet our space needs, and allow for future expansion. Our move to 1913 William Street, in August of 2002, was the culmination of several years of planning and review of existing buildings available for purposes such as ours.

## Report Contents and Structure

This Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, has been prepared to enhance knowledge and understanding of the retirement system. The material has been prepared in a manner to be useful and informative to the members, to the management of the Missouri Department of Transportation and Missouri State Highway Patrol, and to the elected officials of the state of Missouri.

Management of the retirement system is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the information in this report. To the best of our knowledge and belief, the information presented is accurate in all material respects and is reported in a manner designed to fairly present the financial position of the fund. The report is also designed to comply with the reporting requirements of Sections 104.480, 104.1006, and 105.661 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (RSMo) as amended. The report is divided into the following five sections:

- The Introductory Section, which contains general information regarding the operations of HTEHPRS.


## Introiductory Section

- The Financial Section, which contains an in-depth explanation of the financial position of the plan, as well as the auditor's opinion of the system's financial records.
- The Investment Section, which outlines the value of the system's assets and the historical returns of the portfolio.
- The Actuarial Section, which certifies the recommended contribution rates and presents the assumptions used to arrive at those rates.
- The Statistical Section, which provides a statistical profile of our active, terminated vested, and retired members.


## Background Information

The Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System was established by state statute in 1955. Under that legislation, employees of the Missouri Department of Transportation and Missouri State Highway Patrol became members of the retirement system on September 1, 1955. The system initially provided only regular retirement and disability benefits and required the employees to share in the cost of the plan.

While the participating employers in the system, the Missouri Department of Transportation and the Missouri State Highway Patrol, have remained the same since 1955, the plan provisions have changed many times. Today, at no cost to the employees, the system offers not only enhanced retirement and disability benefits, but also benefits for survivors of active and retired members, benefits for qualified terminated vested members, and death benefits.

## Financial Information

Accounting System
This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for governmental accounting and reporting. Assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses are reported on the accrual basis. Internal controls have been established by management to reasonably protect the assets from loss, theft, or misuse.

## Revenues

Two sources of revenue are used to finance retirement, survivor, and long-term disability benefits: employer contributions and income on investments. During Fiscal Year 2003, revenues from those two sources totaled $\$ 118,928,226$. The Missouri Department of Transportation and the Missouri State Highway Patrol contributed $\$ 79,390,570$. Investment income for the year was $\$ 39,537,656$.

## Expenses

Expenses of the system totaled $\$ 148,797,853$ in Fiscal Year 2003. Benefit payments represent the major expense of the retirement system. Expenses incurred to administer the plan include personal services provided by the staff and professional services for (1) investing the system's funds, (2) monitoring the system's investment guidelines, (3) providing actuarial information, and (4) auditing.

During Fiscal Year 2003, benefit payments totaled $\$ 144,334,345$. Administrative expenses during this period were $\$ 4,463,508$. During fiscal year 2003, expenses exceeded employer contributions and investment income by $\$ 29,869,627$.

## Investments

The state statutes allow the system to make investments using the same care, skill, and diligence that a prudent person acting in a similar capacity would use. In keeping with this prudent person rule, the Board of Trustees has established investment guidelines. The system's investment managers are allowed full discretion in investment decisions within the confines of those guidelines and the statutory investment authority.

## Introtiuctory Section

Our investment portfolio experienced a $3.2 \%$ time-weighted rate of return for the 2003 fiscal year. Over the last five fiscal years through June 30, 2003, our portfolio earned a time-weighted rate of $1.85 \%$.

## Funding

The Board of Trustees certifies to the Missouri Department of Transportation and the Missouri State Highway Patrol the actuarially determined percentages of payroll necessary to meet the system's obligations. Realizing the importance of maintaining a financially sound system, the participating employers have never failed to contribute the amounts certified by the Board of Trustees.

## Legislation Enacted During the 2003 Legislative Session

On June 19, 2003, Governor Bob Holden signed into law Senate Bill 248 which primarily dealt with retirement and medical incentives. Details of this legislation are discussed in the section as noted above "Fiscal Year 2003 Highlights".

## Report Conclusion \& Distribution

This report is a product of the combined efforts of the HTEHPRS' staff and advisors functioning under your leadership. It is intended to provide complete and reliable information, which will facilitate the management decision making process; serve as a means for determining compliance with legal requirements; and allow for the evaluation of responsible stewardship of the funds of the system.

Copies of this report are provided to the Governor, the State Auditor, and the Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement. It is also being distributed to all Missouri Department of Transportation Division and District offices and Missouri State Highway Patrol General Headquarters and Troop offices. These offices form the link between HTEHPRS and its members, and their cooperation contributes significantly to the success of HTEHPRS. We hope all recipients of this report find it informative and useful. Copies to others will be furnished upon request.

## Acknowledgments

The compilation of this comprehensive annual financial report reflects the combined effort of HTEHPRS staff under the leadership of the Board of Trustees. I commend their efforts on creating another outstanding report. In addition, I take this opportunity to express my gratitude to the staff, advisors, and the many other people and organizations who have worked so diligently to assure the successful operation of the system.

Respectfully submitted,
yom thatmaiom

Norm Robinson
Executive Director

## Introdictory Section

## BOARDOFTRUSTEES

The Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System is governed by a Board of Trustees. As set out in Section 104.160 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, the Board is comprised of the following ten members:

| William <br> McKenna | James B. <br> Anderson | W.L. "Barry" <br> Orscheln | Senator <br> Steve Stoll | Representative <br> Larry Crawford |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L |  |  |  |  |


| Colonel Roger <br> Stotlemyre | Henry <br> Hungerbeeler | Harold <br> Reeder | Lieutenant Juan <br> Villanueva | Bill <br> Shaw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## CHAIRMAN'S LETTER

# Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol <br> RETIREMENT SYSTEM 

William McKenna, Chairman James B. Anderson, Vice Chairman W.L. "Barry" Orscheln, Member Sen. Steve Stoll, Member

Rep. Larry Crawford, Member Harold Reeder, Member
Lt. Juan Villanueva, Member Bill Shaw, Member

Henry Hungerbeeler, Member Col. Roger Stottlemyre, Member Norm Robinson, Exec. Dir. Rich Tiemeyer, Counsel

October 15, 2003

To the Members of the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol RetirementSystem

On behalf of the Board of Trustees, we are pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of the Missouri Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. This report provides information on the financial status of your retirement system while also highlighting significant changes that occurred during the year.

During the past year, the board's membership experienced some change due to the departure of appointed trustee Representative James O'Toole and elected trustees Major Ed Bliefnick and Mr. Larry Thompson. I would like to express the board's collective thanks to these departing members for their dedication and service to the system and our members. Several new additions were made to the board through the year. On behalf of the board, I am pleased to welcome Lt. Juan Villanueva and Harold Reeder who were both elected by the membership. In addition, we welcome newly appointed trustee, Representative Larry Crawford. We look forward to working with them.

Perhaps the most important duty of trustees is that of prudent investment fiduciaries for the HTEHPRS' trust fund. The board has worked diligently to develop a diversified investment program that will allow the system to meet or exceed our actuarial requirements, ensuring that retirement benefits for our members are properly funded with the lowest possible cost to participants and, ultimately, Missouri's taxpayers. Today's investment environment is more complex than ever, and requires more oversight and monitoring than ever before. As we move into the next fiscal year, a major priority will be the addition of a CIO to assist the board in the management and oversight of system assets. As trustees, we are constantly striving to better educate ourselves as to the prudent management of the assets to which we have been entrusted. The addition of a dedicated investment professional to assist in this process is a critical step towards fulfilling our fiduciary duties and will only improve our competence and the integrity of our investment program. The board policy of preserving system assets and maximizing the long-term growth of those assets through diversification will continue to be our primary focus as we move into the next fiscal year.

Our retirement system continues to grow in asset value and in the number of active members as well as benefit recipients. At the end of the fiscal year, our net asset value was $\$ 1,241,550,328$ with 8,895 active members and 6,556 benefit recipients.

I am proud of the HTEHPRS and would like to take this opportunity to thank the members, retirees, advisors, and staff who assist the board in the operation of our retirement system. On behalf of the Board of Trustees, we will continue to prudently manage the system so as to provide for a secure retirement future for each of our members.

In closing, I would like to thank the retirement system staff for continuing to maintain a high level of commitment and service to our plan participants. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us a HTEHPRS, P. O. Box 1930, Jeffer:son City, MO 65102 or by calling 1-800-270-1271.

WilliamMcKenna
Chairman

## ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION

## PERSONAL SERVICES

The Executive Director of the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System has charge of the offices and records of the system and hires such employees deemed necessary, subject to the direction of the Board of Trustees. The system employs ten full-time staff.

The Chief Counsel of the Highway and Transportation Commission furnishes legal services and provides legal opinions of the retirement statutes as necessary for implementation.

Work assignments related to the Retirement System that are performed by Missouri Department of Transportation and Missouri State Highway Patrol personnel are considered duties in connection with their regular employment.


[^0]
## Introductary Section

## RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

'The goal of the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System is to provide quality customer service to its members. The interests of the taxpayers of the State of Missouri are safeguarded by the staff's ongoing review of retirement policies, procedures, investments, and legislation-all in an effort to improve the day-to-day business of the system.


Norm Robinson
Executive Director


Lois Wankum
Executive Secretary


Susie Dahl
Assistant Executive Director


Michel Au Buchon

Retirement Clerk

## Introductory Section

## RETIREMENT SYSTEM [CONTINUED]

## BENEFIT SERVICES

This section is responsible for all contact with the membership regarding the benefit programs administered by HTEHPRS, which include retirement, member education and disability benefits. They are also responsible for establishing and maintaining all computerized membership records and obtaining and calculating prior state service credit. Duties also include balancing payroll deductions and entering the payroll, service, and leave data into the system's computerized database.


Marvin Klebba
Senior Benefit Specialist


Mary Jordan
Senior Account Technician


Mariel Hale
Senior Benefit Specialist


Ginger Miller
Senior Account Technician


Angel Backes
Retirement Clerk


Bev Wilson
Intermediate Account Technician

## MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## FINANCIAL SERVICES

The financial services section handles the accounting functions of the Retirement System in the Controller's Office of the Missouri Department of Transportation. These duties are performed by the Retirement System accountant, Mary Sue Fontana.


Mary Sue Fontana
Senior Business Specialist

## LEGAL SERVICES

Chief Counsel Rich Tiemeyer heads up the team handling legal matters affecting the Retirement System. The team reviews changes in retirement law and questions of interpretation affecting policy and procedure.


Rich Tiemeyer
Chief Counsel


Paula Lambrecht
Assistant Chief Counsel
Human Resources


Dan Pritchard Senior Assistant Counsel

## MISSOURI STATE HIGHWAY PATROL

Human Resources employees of the Missouri State Highway Patrol assist retirement system staff in maintaining employment and prior service information for members of the system.


Captain Sandra K. Karsten
Director
Human Resources Division


Theresa M. Backes
Special Assistant


Brenda Thompson
Personnel Records
Clerk III

The following firms are retained by the Board of Trustees to serve in professional capacities or provide consultant services:

## Actuary

Gabriel, Roeder, Smith
\& Company
Southfield, Michigan

Auditor

Evers \& Company, CPA's
Jefferson City, Missouri
Investment Managers
Alliance Capital Management
New York, New York

Artisan Partners
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Rockwood Capital Advisors, LLC
St. Louis, Missouri
Rothschild Asset Management
New York, New York
The Northern Trust
Chicago, Illinois

UMB Investment Advisors
Kansas City, Missouri
Wachovia Corporation
Winston-Salem, North Carolina
Wellington Management Co. Atlanta, Georgia

Investment Consultant
Asset Consulting Group
St. Louis, Missouri

## Legislative Consultant

Jack Pierce<br>Jefferson City, Missouri

## Master Trustee/Custodian

The Northern Trust Chicago, Illinois

## Insurance Consultant

Charlesworth Benefits
Overland Park, Kansas

## Financial Section

## In This Section

Independent Auditors' Report
Management's Discussion \& Analysis
Statement of Plan Net Assets
Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets
Notes to Financial Statements
Schedule of Funding Progress
Schedule of Employer Contributions
Schedule of Administrative Expenses
Schedule of Investment Expenses


## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

## Avers \& Company, CPA's, L.L.C.

Certified Public Accountants and Consultants
Elmer L. Ewers

To the Board of Trustees of the Dole A. Siebeneck
Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System Keith L. Taylor Lynn J. Groves Jo L. Moore
Jefferson City, Missouri:
We have audited the accompanying statements of plan net assets of the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System (the Retirement System), a component unit of the State of Missouri, as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the related statements of changes in plan netassets for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Retirement System's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the plan net assets of the Highway and 'Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the changes in plan net assets for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD\&A) on pages 17 through 21 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplemental information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Wehave applied certainlimited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurementand presentation of the supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The supplementary schedules of funding progress and employer contributions on pages 30 through 31 are not required part of the basic financial statements of the System, butare required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The supplementary information included on pages 32 through 33 are presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Retirement System. Such information, included on pages 30 through 33, have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.


EVER \& COMPANY, CPA's, L.L.C.
Jefferson City, Missouri
October 28, 2003
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## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System Board provides this discussion and analysis of the Retirement System's financial performance during fiscal year 2003 (FY03). Readers should consider this information in conjunction with the information that is furnished in the financial statements.

## BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DESCRIPTIONS

As required by the GASB accounting standards, this annual report consists of management's discussion and analysis (this section), the basic financial statements, and notes to the financial statements.

Financial Statements report information about the System using accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies, by using the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting. These statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the System's overall financial status.

- The Statement of Plan Net Assets includes all the System's assets and liabilities.
- The Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets accounts for all the current year's revenues and expenses, regardless of when cash is received or paid.

Notes to the Financial Statements are included that interpret and explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data. Other Required Supplementary Information follows the Statements and Notes and further explain and support the information in the financial statements.

## management's discussion and analysis

## CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## PLAN NET ASSETS

The System's combined net assets were $\$ 1.242$ billion at the end of FY03, a decrease of $\$ 29$ million over the beginning FY03 balance of $\$ 1.271$ billion.

Plan investments represent the main component ( $98.6 \%$ ) of total assets. These include holdings of stock, government obligations, bonds, mortgages, and timberland.

Accounts payable consists mainly of investment purchases in process at year-end and amounts payable to investment managers.

## Summarized Statement of Plan Net Assets

(in thousands of dollars)

June 30, 2003 June 30, 2002 Change

| Cash and other assets | $\$ 17,321$ | $\$ 7,230$ | $139.57 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Investments | $1,245,166$ | $1,269,330$ | $-1.90 \%$ |
| Capital assets | 688 | 693 | $-0.72 \%$ |
| Total assets | $\$ 1,263,175$ | $\$ 1,277,253$ | $-1.10 \%$ |


| BackDROP payments payable | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 55$ | $-100.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other accounts payable | 21,625 | 5,778 | $274.26 \%$ |
| liabilities | $\$ 21,625$ | $\$ 5,833$ | $270.74 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net assets | $1,241,550$ | $1,271,420$ | $-2.35 \%$ |
| Total net assets | $\$ 1,241,550$ | $\$ 1,271,420$ | $-2.35 \%$ |

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSIONAND ANALYSIS

## CHANGES IN PLAN NET ASSETS

Net investment appreciation, a primary component of plan additions, resulted in a gain of $\$ 129$ million. This is in direct contrast to the loss of almost $\$ 85$ million in FY02. However, investment activities created a loss of almost $\$ 90$ million, which results in a drastic change from the income of $\$ 500$ thousand the prior year. Much of the loss may be attributed to the sale of investments in the large cap index fund during the transition to Northern Trust, the new custodian. We transitioned from an individual account with UMB to a commingled fund with Northern Trust. Most of the fund was moved in-kind; however, there were some sales and subsequent purchases of other equities.

Included in Contributions is a one-time $\$ 2$ million transfer from the Missouri State Employees Retirement System for "one-stop shop" employees who chose to become part of the System.

## Summarized Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets <br> (in thousands of dollars)

June 30, $2003 \quad$ Uune 30, $2002 \quad$ Change

| Contributions | $\$ 79,391$ | $\$ 77,914$ | $1.90 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net investment income (loss) | $(89,724)$ | 527 | $-17125.43 \%$ |
| Net investment appreciation (depreciation) | 129,261 | $(84,860)$ | $-252.32 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Total additions | $\$ 118,928$ | $(\$ 6,419)$ | $-1952.75 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Benefits | $\$ 144,334$ | $\$ 133,499$ | $8.12 \%$ |
| Net investment expenses | 3,012 | 3,919 | $-23.14 \%$ |
| Administrative expenses | 1,452 | 1,335 | $8.76 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Total deductions | $\$ 148,798$ | $\$ 138,753$ | $7.24 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Change in net assets | $\mathbf{N 2 9 , 8 7 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 1 4 5 , 1 7 2 )}$ | $-\mathbf{7 9 . 4 2 \%}$ |
| Net assets-beginning | $1,271,420$ | $1,416,592$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 2 5 \%}$ |
| Net assets-ending | $\$ 1,241,550$ | $\$ 1,271,420$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 3 5 \%}$ |

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSIONAND ANALYSIS 

## ASSESSMENT OF OVERALL FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overall, the financial position of the Retirement System decreased by $\$ 29.9$ million, reported as the "change in net assets."

Losses on investment activities, as discussed in the previous section, and increases in benefit payments resulted in the System's current year loss.

Cash and Other Assets include $\$ 13$ million in Investment Sales Receivable on June 30, 2003. This asset is entirely dependent on the timing of investment managers' activities and compares to only $\$ 4$ million in receivables at the end of FY02.

Other Accounts Payable includes $\$ 21$ million in Investment Sales Payable on June 30, 2003. This liability is entirely dependent on the timing of investment managers' activities and compares to only $\$ 4$ million in payables at the end of FY02.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSIONAND ANALYSIS

## CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

At the end of FY03, the System had invested $\$ 688$ thousand in capital assets, net of depreciation.

## Capital Assets Summary

(in thousands)

| $7 / 1 / 02$ <br> Balance$\quad \underline{\text { Additions }}$ | Deletions/ <br> Retirements | 6/30/03 <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Land and Improvements | 84 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Buildings | 562 | 0 | 0 | 562 |
| Office Equipment | 74 | 40 | 33 | 81 |
| Depreciation | $(27)$ | $(28)$ | $(16)$ | $(39)$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{6 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

The System has no outstanding long-term debt.

## FUTURE CONDITIONS AFFECTING FINANCIAL POSITION OR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

- The System will fill a Chief Investment Officer position during FY04. This position will be responsible for providing technical advice and analysis in the areas of investment and finance to the Executive Director and the Board of Trustees.
- The Board approved an increase in the required state contribution, effective July 1, 2003. The rate to be applied to MoDOT and non-uniformed MSHP payroll will increase from $23.29 \%$ to $25.54 \%$ and the rate for uniformed MSHP payroll will increase from $34.94 \%$ to $38.40 \%$.
- Total fund investment returns have been below the actuarial investment return rate for the past four fiscal years. However, it is our expectation that this trend will be reversed beginning in FY04.


## CONTACTING THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM'S MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Retirement System's finances. Questions about this report or requests for additional financial information should be sent to the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System, P.O. Box 1930, Jefferson City, MO 65102-1930.

## STATEMENT OF PLAN NET ASSETS

Years Ended June 30, 2003 \& 2002

## ASSETS: <br> Cash

Receivables
Contributions
Accrued Interest and Dividends
Investment Sales
Total Receivables

Investments, at Fair Value (Note 2)
Common \& Preferred Stocks
Government and Government Agency Securities
Corporate Bonds
Timberland
Short Term Investments
Total Investments
Prepaid Expenses
Capital Assets
Land
Building
Office Equipment
Accumulated Depreciation
Net Capital Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES:
CashDeficit
Accounts Payable
Accrued BackDROP Payments Payable
Investment Purchases

## TOTAL LIABILITIES

## NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST

 FOR PENSION BENEFITS\$ 555,862
\$ 0

| $3,559,835$ | $3,182,708$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $4,984,622$ | $6,238,082$ |
| $13,203,330$ | $4,031,944$ |
| $21,747,787$ | $13,452,734$ |


| $754,924,907$ | $753,881,954$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $330,947,116$ | $310,097,509$ |
| $86,737,524$ | $109,618,851$ |
| $39,931,598$ | $36,085,257$ |
| $27,640,199$ | $53,408,293$ |
| $1,240,181,344$ | $1,263,091,864$ |

$2,053 \quad 15,096$

| 84,000 | 84,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 561,703 | 561,703 |
| 81,630 | 74,607 |
| $(39,237)$ | $(27,310)$ |
| 688,096 | 693,000 |

$1,263,175,142 \quad 1,277,252,694$

| 0 | 671,168 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 775,938 | $1,281,241$ |
| 0 | 55,079 |
| $20,848,876$ | $3,825,251$ |

$21,624,814 \quad 5,832,739$
$\$ 1,241,550,328 \quad \$ 1,271,419,955$
(A schedule offunding progress is presented in the Supplemental Information.)
See accompanying notes to financial statements.

## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN PLAN NET ASSETS

Years Ended June 30, 2003 \& 2002

## ADDITIONS

Contributions

InvestmentIncome
Net Appreciation (Depreciation)
(Gains/Losses and Changes in Market Value)
Interest and Dividends
Securities Lending Net Income
Total Investment Income
Less Investment Expenses
Net Investment Income (loss)
Total Additions
DEDUCTIONS
Monthly Benefits
Administrative Expenses
Total Deductions

NET INCREASE (DECREASE)
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits Beginning of Year
End of Year

| $1,271,419,955$ | $1,416,592,236$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,241,550,328$ | $\$ 1,271,419,955$ |

Seeaccompanying notes tofinancialstatements.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Years Ended June 30, 2003 \& 2002

## 1. PLAN DESCRIPTION:

## General

The Missouri Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System (the Retirement System) was established by, and is administered by, a Board of Trustees in accordance with the Revised Statutes of Missouri (RSMo). The Retirement System is a single-employer public employee retirement system which provides retirement, death, and disability benefits to full-time (defined as anticipating at least 1,000 hours to be worked annually) employees of the Missouri Highway and Transportation Commission and the Missouri State Highway Patrol. Due to the nature of the Retirement System, reliance on the funding from the State of Missouri and the overall control of policies by state officials, the Retirement System is considered a part of the State of Missouri financial reporting entity. The Retirement System is not subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

Membership in the Retirement System at June 30, 2003 and 2002 consisted of the following:
Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits
Disability recipients
Long-term disability recipients
Vested terminated employees
Active with vested benefits
Active without vested benefits
Total

| $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2002}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 6,401 | 8,254 |
| 75 | 71 |
| 80 | 1,130 |
| 1,207 | 6,159 |
| 6,160 | 2,536 |
| 2,735 | $\underline{16,235}$ |

## Bencfits

Benefits in the Retirement System currently vestafter five years of creditableservice. For members retiring prior to August 28, 1994, normal retirement age is 65 with four years of creditable service ( 55 for members of the uniformed patrol), with the retirement annuity based on a formula which considers average final compensation and number of, rears of creditable service. Nonuniformed employees were eligible for an unreduced annuityat age 65 with four years of creditable service, or age 60 with 15 years of creditable service, or age 55 with 30 years of creditable service. Nonuniformed employees could retire with a reduced annuity between the ages of 55 and 59 with at least 10 years andless than 30 years of creditable service. Uniformed employees were ligible for an unreduced annuityatage 55 with four years of creditable service. See "Amendments to the Retirement System" below for changes made in nomal retirement age and other benefitchanges effective August 18, 1994, January 1, 1995 or August 28, 2003.

State statutes provide for special consultant fees to be paid to certain retirees along with the normsl retirement benefits. These retirees have been appointed by the Board of Trustees toserveas consultants on the problems of retirement, aging, ànd other State matters. For such services provided, special consultantfees are paid monthly in amounts equal to the incremental increases in retirement benefits that would have been received had those persons benefitted from changes in thelaw that affected increases in the retirement formula enacted since their retirement. Benefit provisions are established by State stature and may be amended only by action of the Missouri state legislature.

The Retirement System also provides survivor, disability, and lump-sum death benefits. Survivor benefits are payable to a surviving spouse or minor children of active employees who die after earning three years of creditable service. The annuity paid to the survivor is based on a percentage of the accrued benefit at the time of death. All disability benefits are offset by any workers' compensation benefits. Dutyrelated disability benefits are $50 \%$ ( $70 \%$ effective August 28, 1995) of salary of the time of disability. Non-duty-related disability benefits are based on the accrued annuity at the date of disability. Long-term disability benefits are $60 \%$ of salary immediately prior to the disability, less any Social Security benefit. Those who retire from active employment subsequent to September 28,1985 with an immediate pension are provided a $\$ 5,000$ lump-sum death benefit to be paid to a designated beneficiary.

## Contributions

Contributions to the RetirementSystem are made by the Highway and Transportation Department and the Missouri State Highway Patrol. Employees do not contribute to the Retirement System. The Retirement System's funding policy provides for actuarially determined employer contributions using the entry-age normal cost method on a closed group basis (consisting of normal cost and amortization of any unfunded accrued liabilities over a 34 -year period). Actuarially determined rates, expressed as percentages of annual covered payroll, provide for amounts sufficient to fund those benefits designated by State statute to be funded in advance. Actuarial assumptions used to compute contribution requirements are the same as those used to compute the pension benefit obligation as discussed in Note 3. Contributions for the special consultant fees are being funded on an actuarial basis.

Contributions totaling $\$ 79,390,570$ and $\$ 77,913,492$ for fiscal years 2003 and 2002 , respectively, represent funding of normal costs and amortization of the unfunded accrued liability. Contribution rates determined by the Retirement System's actuary for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:
$\underline{2003}$

|  | Non-Uniformed | Uniformed | Actual |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal Cost | 11.51\% | 12.77\% | 10.68\% | 12.73\% |
| Amortization of unfunded accrued liability | 10.95 | 19.58 | 12.43 | 21.93 |
| Expenses | . 21 | . 21 | . 18 | . 28 |
| Total Contribution Rate | 22.67\% | $\overline{32.56 \%}$ | $\overline{23.29 \%}$ | 34.94\% |

The System decided not to change the actual contribution rates from 2002 and charged $23.29 \%$ for non-uniformed and $34.94 \%$ for uniformed employees.

## $\underline{2002}$

|  | Non-Uniformed | Uniformed | Actual |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal Cost | 11.24\% | 12.84\% | 10.68\% | 12.73\% |
| Amortization of unfunded accrued liability | 11.15 | 19.88 | 12.43 | 21.93 |
| Expenses | . 21 | . 21 | . 18 | . 28 |
| Total Contribution Rate | 22.60\% | 32.93\% | 23.29\% | 34.94\% |

## Amendments to the Retirement System

Benefits of the RetirementSystem, as discussed above, were affected by House Bill 1149 (HB1149) which was approved and made effective, for most provisions, August 28, 1994, with the remainder effective January 1, 1995 and Senate Bill 248 (SB248) with provisions effective July 1, 2003 or August 28, 2003. The Bills provide for the following changes:

* Normal Retirement-members 48 or older are entitled to retire with a normal annuity and elect any of the survivor benefits if the sum of their age and service totals 80 or more. This provision was effective August 28, 2003.
* Increase In Formula Multiplier - the multiplier utilized in the retirement benefit formula increased from $1.5 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ effective January 1, 1995. This increase is also retroactive to individuals whose retirement date is before January 1, 1995.
* Survivor's Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) -beneficiaries receiving benefits qualify for theannual minimum $4 \%$ to a maximum $5 \%$ COLA. Those beneficiaries now receiving abenefit are limited to $65 \%$ of the benefit they are receiving in total COLAs. Those who begin receiving COLAs after the effective date are limited to $65 \%$ of their initial benefit.
* Pop-Up Provision - retired members and future retirees whose spouse precedes them in death who have chosen a spouse option that reduced their initial retirement benefit will have their monthly benefitadjusted to the amount they would be receiving if they had not chosen the option. This provision was effective August 28, 1994.
* Minimum Benefit - effective Januaty 1,1995 , the minimum benefit increased to $\$ 15$ from the prior level of $\$ 12$. As a result, a member's normal annuity amount cannot be less than the total years of service multiplied by $\$ 15$.
* Purchase of service for uniformed patrol-effective August 28,2003, uniformed patrol members who previously were employed by a non-federal public entity will be allowed to purchase creditable service time of up to four years maximum.

Benefits of the Retirement System were also affected by House Bill 356 effective August 28, 1997. The amended provisions are as follows:

* For current active and inactive employees, annual COLA's continue at $80 \%$ of the change in the CPI-U, limited to the $4 \%-5 \%$ range. After the $65 \%$ cap, COLA's will be $80 \%$ of the change in the CPI-U limited to a range of $0 \%-5 \%$.
* For employees hired after August 28, 1997, the COLA will be $80 \%$ of the change in the CPI-U, with a range of $0 \%-5 \%$.

OnJuly 10, 1999, Governor Mel Carnahan signed intolawSenate Bills $308 \& 314$ (SB308)-legislation that creates a new retirement plan for eligible state employees. The new retirement plan, effective July 1, 2000, is commonly referred to as the Year 2000 Plan.

This new plan is for employees hired on or after July 1, 2000, that would otherwise be participants in the existing Closed Plan that is administered by the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System. All retired members prior to July 1,2000, will be allowed to participate in the Year 2000 Plan. Furthermore, employees covered by the Closed Plan, who are active on the effective date of the new plan, and terminated-vested members will be able to elect which plan they wish to retire under at the time of retirement.

SB308 also makes the following changes to the Year 2000 Plan as well as the existing Closed Plan:
(1) Effective July 1, 2000, a member who is not married at retirement but marries thereafter will be allowed to designate a spouse as beneficiary upon completion of one year of marriage. In addition, the member can designate a new spouse as beneficiary upon completion of one year of marriage in the event of the death of the spouse the member was married to at date of retirement.
(2) The definition of average compensation is modified to allow the system to consider the compensation an employee would have earned during a medical leave of absence just as if the employee had been able to work. Allows the Final Average Pay (FAP) to be calculated properly based on a state payroll system (lag payroll) adopted on or after January 1, 2000;
(3) Effective August 28, 1999, SB308 will provide a minimum annuity in the amount of $50 \%$ of the member's FAP to a survivor or unemancipated children under age 21 in the event of duty-related death. In such instances, there is no minimum length of service requirement.

## BackDROP

Legislation effectiveJanuary 1,2002 provides a Deferred Retirement Option Provision (BackDROP) to members of the System. It is available in both the Closed Plan and the Year 2000 Plan.

To be eligible to participate in the BackDROP, a member must have been eligible to retire under normal age and/or service conditions for atleast two years. A retroactive starting date is established for BackDROP purposes which, at the earliest, is the later of: 1) the member's normal retirement date or 2 ) five years prior to the annuity starting date under the retirement plan selected by the member.

The BackDROP period for the accumulation of the BackDROP account is from the retroactive starting date to the annuity starting date. This results in a BackDROP period of two to five years depending upon the individual situation.

A theoretical BackDROP account is accumulated that includes $90 \%$ of the value of the benefit payments that would have been paid during the BackDROP period had the member retired at the retroaction starting date with their respective option election. These payments include applicable post-retirement benefit increases.

The member is paid the resulting lump sum value of the BackDROP account as of the annuity starting date or in three equal installments beginning at the annuity starting date.

The annuity benefit payable from the actual retirement date is computed with years of service and average pay as of the retroactive starting date for the BackDROP. Post-retirement benefit increases that occurred during the BackDROP period are applicable in the calculation of the monthly annuity.
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## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

## Basis of Accounting

The financial statements were prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Plan member contributions are recognized as revenues in the period in which employee services are performed. Expenses are recorded when the corresponding obligations are incurred.

## Method Used to Value Investments

Investments are reported at fair value on a trade date basis. Bonds and stocks traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the reported sales price at current exchange rates. Mortgages are valued on the basis off fure principal and interest payments, and are discounted at prevailinginterest rates for similar instruments. The fair value of real estate investments is based on independent appraisals. Investments that do not have an established market are reported at estimated fair value.

Dividend income is recognized when dividends are declared. Interest income is recognized when earned.

## Investments

|  | 2003 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Market Value |  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Market Value |
| Government \& government agency securities | \$ 318,200,734 | \$ | 330,947,116 | \$ | 300,337,373 | \$ | 310,097,509 |
| Corporatebonds | 82,192,329 |  | 86,737,524 |  | 108,957,980 |  | 109,618,851 |
| Common and preferred stocks | 698,060,005 |  | 754,924,907 |  | 814,952,986 |  | 753,881,954 |
| Timberland | 29,015,868 |  | 39,931,598 |  | 30,000,000 |  | 36,085,257 |
| Short-term investments | 27,640,199 |  | 27,640,199 |  | 53,032,326 |  | 53,408,293 |
| Total Investments | \$1,155,109,135 |  | 240,181,344 |  | 307,280,665 |  | 263,091,864 |

The timberland investments consist of forestland with trees suitable for harvesting timber.

## Cash

As of June 30, 2003 and 2002, the Retirement System had cash with a book balance of $\$ 555,862$ and $\$(671,168)$, respectively, and a bank balance of $\$ 5,871$ and $\$ 298$, respectively. The bank in which this caish is held is required to pledge securities sufficient to collateralize these accounts. As of June 30, 2003 and 2002, the market value of this collateral was sufficient.

## Categories of Asset Risks

The investments of the Retirement System are governed primarily by an investment authority known as the "prudent person rule." The prudent person rule, as set forth by State statute, establishes a standard for all fiduciaries, which includes anyone that has authority with respect to the fund. The Retirement System's investments are categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the fund at year-end. Category 1 includes investments that are insured or registered or for which the securities are held by the Retirement System or its agent in the Retirement System's name. Category 2 includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in the Retirement System's name. Category 3 includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty, or by its trust department but not in the Retirement System's name. As of June 30, 2003 and 2002, all investments of the Retirement System, except real estate mortgages, are classified in Category 3. Real estate investments are not considered securities and, as such, are not categorized for credit risk.

## Related-Party Transactions

The Retirement System reimburses the Missouri Highway and Transportation Department for accounting, legal and data processing services. This amounted to $\$ 262,723$ for June 30, 2003 and $\$ 559,721$ for June 30, 2002.

## Capital Assets

Capital assets which are presented at cost, are depreciated on the straight-line method over the estimated usefullives of the related assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Furniture and Equipment } & 5-10 \text { years } \\
\text { Building and Improvements } & 30 \text { years }
\end{array}
$$

## Receivables

Receivables consist primatily of contributions owed and yet to be remitted by the employer, pending investment trades and interest and dividends.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformitywith generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## 3. CONCENTRATIONS:

No investments in any one organization (other than those issued by the U.S. government) represent five percent of plan net assets.

## 4. COMPENSATED DEFERRED ABSENCES:

Expenses for accumulated annual leave and compensating time in licu of overtime paid earned by employees are recorded when earned by the employee. The balance owed was $\$ 56,269$ and $\$ 65,144$ as of June 30,2003 and 2002, respectively.

## 5. RETIREMENT PLAN:

At June 30, 2002, the Retirement System employed ten (10) full-time employees and one (1) part-time employee. At June 30, 2003, the System employed ten (10) full-time employees and no part-time employees. Full-time employees are covered by the Retirement System. Upon retirement, benefits paid to Retirement System employees are considered to be administrative expenses of the System.

## 6. SECURITIES LENDING PROGRAM:

Under the "prudent person" authority of the governing statutes and in accordance with the policies set by the Board of Trustees, the System lends its securities to broker-dealers and banks pursuant to a form of loan agreement. The System's custodial bank is authorized to lend available securities to approved broker-dealers and banks subject to the receipt of acceptable collateral.

During the fiscal year, the System lent securities and received cash, securities insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government or its agencies, and irrevocable bank letters of credit as collateral. The System did not have the ability to pledge or sell collateral securities absent aborrower default. Borrowers were required to deliver collateral for each loan equal to: (1) in the case ofloaned securities denominated in dollars or whose primary trading market was located in the United States, $102 \%$ of the market value of the loaned securities; and (2) in the case of loaned

## FimancialSection

securities not denominated in US dollars or whose primary trading market was not located in the United States, $105 \%$ of the market value of the loaned securities.

The System did not impose any restrictions during the fiscal year on the amount of the loans that the custodial bank made on its behalf. There were no failures by any borrowers to return loaned securities or pay distributions thereon during the year. There were no losses during the year resulting from a default of the borrowers or the custodial bank.

The System and borrowers maintained the right to terminate all securities lending transactions on demand. The average duration of cash collateral in a collective investment pool was unavailable as of the report date. Because the loans were terminable at will, their duration did not match the duration of the investments made with the cash collateral. On June 30, 2003 and 2002, the System had no credit cisk exposure toborrowers.

For fiscal years ending June 30,2003 and 2002, the System earned $\$ 129,258$ and $\$ 98,402$, respectively on the securities lending program.

## 7. RESTATEMENT OF PRIOR YEARS:

For the years ending June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2001 the System failed to record contributions receivable in the amounts of $\$ 3,181,458$ and $\$ 3,348,688$, respectively. The errors were a result of a misunderstanding of the State of Missouri's payroll cycle changes. As a result, the net assets held in trust for pension benefits were restated as follows:

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Net assets held in trust as originally stated | $\$ 1,268,238,497$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Add contributions receivable | $\frac{3,181,458}{2,413,243,548}$ |  |
| Net Assets Held In Trust As Restated | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 7 1 , 4 1 9 , 9 5 5}$ | $\underline{3,348,688}$ |
|  |  | $\$ 1,416,592,236$ |
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## SCHEDULE OFFUNDINGPROGRESS II

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

| Date <br> of <br> Valuation | Actuarial <br> Asset <br> Value (a) | Actuarial Accrued <br> Liability (AAL)- <br> Entry Age (b) | Unfunded <br> AAL (UAAL) $(\mathbf{b - a})$ | Funded <br> Ratio (a/b) | UAAL as a <br> Covered <br> Payroll (c) | Percentage of <br> Covered Payroll <br> [(b-a)/c] |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 30 / 1994$ | $\$ 746,964,221$ | $\$ 1,204,313,635$ | $\$ 457,367,414$ | $62.02 \%$ | $\$ 236,748,214$ | $193.19 \%$ |
| $06 / 30 / 1995$ | $831,031,253$ | $1,330,909,279$ | $499,878,026$ | 62.44 | $243,561,510$ | 205.24 |
| $06 / 30 / 1996$ | $916,553,828$ | $1,429,910,844$ | $513,357,016$ | 64.10 | $254,712,739$ | 201.54 |
| $06 / 30 / 1997$ | $1,015,906,708$ | $1,651,811,690$ | $635,904,982$ | 61.50 | $271,070,643$ | 234.59 |
| $06 / 30 / 1998$ | $1,126,961,804$ | $1,744,052,411$ | $617,090,607$ | 64.62 | $278,690,426$ | 221.43 |
| $06 / 30 / 1999^{(3)}$ | $1,242,744,403$ | $2,052,700,427$ | $809,956,023$ | 60.54 | $288,068,083^{(2)}$ | 281.17 |
| $06 / 30 / 2000^{(2)}$ | $1,339,228,528$ | $2,180,963,695$ | $841,735,167$ | 61.41 | $301,421,805^{(2)}$ | 279.25 |
| $06 / 30 / 2000^{(5)}$ | $1,422,796,011$ | $2,188,826,322$ | $766,030,311$ | 65.00 | $301,421,805^{(2)}$ | 254.14 |
| $06 / 30 / 2001$ | $1,520,800,409$ | $2,301,402,527$ | $780,602,118$ | 66.08 | $323,400,023^{(2)}$ | 241.37 |
| $06 / 30 / 2002$ | $1,450,507,432$ | $2,358,452,163$ | $907,944,731$ | 61.50 | $308,654,239^{2)}$ | 294.16 |
| $06 / 30 / 2003$ | $1,363,952,522$ | $2,418,145,741$ | $1,054,193,219$ | 56.40 | $319,345,949^{(2)}$ | 330.11 |

(1) Since the Long-Term Disability (LTD) Plan uses the aggregate funding method, this schedule is not required for the LTD Plan and the assets and liabilities have been excluded.
(2)
(3) Introduction of Year 2000 Plan; change in actuary:
(6) Old assumptions.
(5) Newassumptions adopted.
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# SEHEDULE OFEMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS (1) AND DEVELOPMENT OF NET PENSION OBLIGATIONS 

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION <br> Year Ended June 30, 2003

| Uniformed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year Ending | Covered Payroll | Actual Employer Contributions | Actnal Employer Contribution \% | Annual Required Contribution (ARC) $\%$ | Annual Pension Cost (APC) | Percentage of APC <br> Contributed | Net Pension Obligation |
| 06/30/1994 | \$ 32,715,429 | \$ 9,739,383 | 29.77\% | 29.77\% | \$ 9,739,383 | 100.00\% | 0 |
| 06/30/1995 | 35,232,287 | 14,462,854 | 41.05 | 41.05 | 14,462,854 | 100.00 | 0 |
| 06/30/1996 | 39,557,621 | 15,743,114 | $39.17{ }^{(3)}$ | 39.17 | 15,743,114 | 100.00 | 0 |
| 06/30/1997 | 42,242,106 | 16,546,233 | 39.17 | 39.17 | 16,546,233 | 100.00 | 0 |
| 06/30/1998 | 43,987,039 | 16,600,708 | 37.74 | 37.74 | 16,600,708 | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 19999^{(4)}$ | 43,882,573(2) | 13,901,999 | 31.68 | 31.68 | 13,901,999 | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 2000^{(5)}$ | 44,297,237 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 13,484,079 | 30.44 | 30.44 | 13,484,079 | 100.00 | 0 |
| 06/30/2001 | 50,088,675 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 17,500,983 | 34.94 | 34.94 | 17,500,983 | 100.00 | 0 |
| 06/30/2002 | 47,681,511 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 16,659,920 | 34.94 | $34.94{ }^{(6)}$ | 16,659,920 | 100.00 | 0 |
| 06/30/2003 | 48,172,519 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 16,831,478 | 34.94 | $34.94{ }^{(6)}$ | 16,831,478 | 100.00 | 0 |

(1) Contributions for the Long-Term Disability Plan are de minimus and are excluded from this schedule.
(2) Estimated.
(3) $41.05 \% ~ 7 / 1 / 95-10 / 31 / 95 \& 39.17 \% ~ 11 / 1 / 95-6 / 30 / 96$
(1) Introduction of Year 2000 Plan; change in actuary.
(5) New assumptions adopted.
(6) The ARC is the rate adopted by the Retirement System, the actuarially calculated rate was $32.93 \%$.

| Non-Uniformed <br> Fiscal <br> Year <br> Ending | Covered <br> Payroll | Actual <br> Employer <br> Contributions | Actual <br> Employer <br> Contribution \% | Annual <br> Required <br> Contribution <br> (ARC)\% | Annual <br> Pension cost <br> (APC) | Percentage <br> of APC <br> Contributed | Net <br> Pebsion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 30 / 1994$ | $\$ 204,032,785$ | $\$ 40,949,380$ | $20.07 \%$ | $20.07 \%$ | $\$ 40,949,380$ | $100.00 \%$ | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 1995$ | $208,329,222$ | $56,144,725$ | 26.95 | 26.95 | $56,144,725$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 1996$ | $215,155,118$ | $56,842,321$ | 26.15 | 26.15 | $56,842,321$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 1997$ | $228,828,537$ | $59,838,662$ | 26.15 | 26.15 | $59,838,662$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 1998$ | $234,703,387$ | $61,140,232$ | 26.05 | 26.05 | $61,140,232$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 1999^{(4)}$ | $244,185,511^{(2)}$ | $54,990,577$ | 22.52 | 22.52 | $54,990,577$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 2000^{(5)}$ | $257,124,568^{(2)}$ | $56,567,405$ | 22.00 | 22.00 | $56,567,405$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 2001$ | $273,311,348^{(2)}$ | $63,654,213$ | 23.29 | 23.29 | $63,654,213$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 2002$ | $260,972,726^{(2)}$ | $60,780,548$ | 23.29 | $23.29^{(6)}$ | $60,780,548$ | 100.00 | 0 |
| $06 / 30 / 2003$ | $271,173,431^{(2)}$ | $63,156,292$ | 23.29 | $23.29^{(6)}$ | $63,156,292$ | 100.00 | 0 |

(1) Contributions for the Long-Term Disability Plan are de minimus and are excluded from this schedule.
${ }^{(2)}$ Estimated.
(3) $26.95 \%$ 7/1/95-10/31/95 \& 26.15\% 11/1/95-6/30/96
(4) Introduction of Year 2000 Plan; change in actuary.
(s) New assumptions adopted.
(6) The $A R C$ is the rate adopted by the Retirement System, the actuarially calculated rate was $22.60 \%$.

## NOTES TO THE SCHEDULES OF TREND INFORMATION

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the dates indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

Valuation Date $\qquad$ June 30, 2003
Actuarial Cost Method $\qquad$ Entry Age Normal
Amortization Method $\qquad$ Level Percent, Closed
Remaining Amortization Period ................................................. 33 Years
$\qquad$

## Actuatial Assumptions:

Investment Rate of Return8.25\%Projected Salary Increases
$4.3 \%$ to $8.0 \%$ *
$\qquad$*Includes Inflation at4.0\%
Cost-of-living Adjustments ..... 3.5\%

## SGHEDULE OFADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## Year Ended June 30, 2003

## PERSONALSERVICES

## Salary

Employee Fringe Benefits
Total Personal Services

| $\$ 378,621$ | $\$ 320,267$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 156,568 | 162,170 |
| 535,189 | 482,437 |


| 115,190 | 60,250 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 93,283 | 0 |
| 9,620 | 7,800 |
| 5,225 | 4,125 |
| 73,043 | 0 |
| 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 5,443 | 0 |
| 316,804 | 87,175 |

## MISCELLANEOUS

| Agency Expense | 262,723 | 559,721 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Depreciation | 28,099 | 6,893 |
| Board \& Staff Development | 49,881 | 55,641 |
| Office Supplies | 55,778 | 26,030 |
| Postage | 50,540 | 62,438 |
| Insurance Premium | 2,782 | 343 |
| Bank Service Charge | 5,541 | 5,308 |
| Building Expenses | 91,226 | 3,529 |
| Other | 53,292 | 45,040 |
| Total Miscellaneous | 599,862 | 764,943 |
| Total Administrative Expenses | $\$ 1,451,855$ | $\$ 1,334,555$ |
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## SCHEDULE OF INUESTMENT EXPENSES

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## Year Ended June 30, 2003

## Assets Under Management at $6 / 30 / 03$ (market value) <br> During FY03

Lnvestment Manager Fees:

| Alliance Capital | $\$ 104,797,485$ | $\$ 400,796$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Artisan Partners | $60,220,172$ | 422,071 |
| Northern Trust Company | $235,527,637$ | 19,930 |
| Rockwood Capital Advisors | $169,476,104$ | 262,857 |
| Rothschild Asset Management | $89,764,362$ | 484,392 |
| United Missouri Bank of Kansas City, N.A. | $404,773,859$ | 281,478 |
| Wachovia Timberland Investment Management | $39,931,598$ | 282,601 |
| Wellington Management Company | $135,690,125$ | 543,256 |
| Total Investment Manager Fees |  | $\$ 2,697,380$ |

Other Investment Fees:
tnvest
Investment Performance Service
N/A
\$95,000

Investment Custodian
N/A
9,000

Investment Expenses
Total Other Investment Fees
93,988
116,285

Total Investment Expense

Notes
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## LETTER FROM CONSULTANT



Asset Consulting Group, Inc.
7700 Bonhomme Avenue, Suite 650
St. Louis, Missouri 63105

## Mr. Norman Robinson

September 30, 2003
Executive Director
Highway and Transportation Employees'
and Highway Patrol Retirement System
P.O. Box 1930

Jefferson City, MO 65102-1930
Dear Mr. Robinson:
As we conclude the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003, we would like to summarize the overall performance of the Missouri Highway and 'Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System (HTEHPRS) investment portfolio.

As of June 30, 2003 the total investment portfolio had a market value of $\$ 1,237,513,221$. Equities represented $61.1 \%$ of the total investment portfolio, with the balance of the portfolio being comprised of domestic fixed-income ( $34.1 \%$ ), timber ( $3.2 \%$ ) and cash equivalents ( $1.7 \%$ ).

During the 12 months ending June 30 , the Fund had a total return (including both income and price change ) of $3.2 \%$, compared to an overall pension fund median return of $4.1 \%$ over the same interval. By comparison, an allocation index consisting of unmanaged index returns comprising the same asset allocation as the overall Fund returned $3.0 \%$ over the same 12 months. During this interval, large cap US stocks posted meager returns in a difficult market environment, significantly lagging excellent returns from bonds. The S $\& P 500$ posted a $0.3 \%$ return, compared to the Lehman Aggregate bond index at $10.4 \%$. The Fund's domestic equity portfolio performed in line with the overall US stock market ( $0.3 \%$, compared to the S\&P 500 at $0.3 \%$ ).

International equities lagged US equities during this 12 month period, with the MSCI EAFE index posting a return of $-6.1 \%$. The Fund's international equity manager significantly outpaced the EAFE index, however, with a return of $0.0 \%$.

The Fund's fixed income allocation is diversified among different styles, ranging from limited duration to core duration. In general, the overall fixed income composite posted returns of $9.7 \%$, compared to index returns for intermediate bonds at $8.7 \%$ and core duration bonds at $10.4 \%$. Performance for the limited duration portfolios over the past 12 months ranged from $6.8 \%$ to $9.6 \%$, whereas the core duration bond manager posted a 12 -month return of $8.0 \%$, lagging the Lehman Aggregate bond index at 10.4\%.

Overall Fund returns for the trailing 3 and 5 year periods ending June 30 were $-2.1 \%$ and $1.9 \%$, respectively, on an annualized basis. These returns lag the median returns of other pension funds ( $-0.9 \%$ and $3.3 \%$, respectively over the 3 and 5 year intervals).

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to the Missouri HTEHPRS and look forward to working with you and your colleagues.
Sincerely,
Recur rowe 2
Randall L. Kirkland, CFA
Managing Director
Asset Consulting Group, Inc.

## Investment Section

INVESTMENT SUMMARY

## Year Ended June 30, 2003



Reconciliation to Statement of Plan Net Assets:

| Less Accrued Income | $(4,893,063)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Less Deferred Interest Purchased | $(91,559)$ |
| Less Investment Sales Receivable | $(13,203,330)$ |
| Plus Investment Purchases Payable | $20,848,876$ |
| Plus Accruals on Pending Transactions | 7,199 |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 4 0 , 1 8 1 , 3 4 4}$ |

## tIME WEIGHTED PERCENTAGERATES OF RETURN

Year Eaded June 30, 2003

|  | Long-TermAverages |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ Year | $\mathbf{3}$ Years | $\mathbf{5}$ Years |
| Total Fund | $\mathbf{3 . 2 0} \%$ | $-\mathbf{2 . 0 8} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 5 \%}$ |
| Equity | 0.23 | -9.86 | -3.08 |
| Fixed Income | 8.23 | 8.90 | 7.15 |
| Timber | 0.25 | 4.36 | $\mathbf{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Cash | $\mathbf{1 . 2 0}$ | 2.93 | 3.02 |

## LARGEST INVESTMENTHOLDINGS

Year Ended June 30, 2003

Largest Equity Securities

| Shares | Security | Market Value |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 288,500 | CITIGROUPINC. | $\$ 12,347,800$ |
| 139,000 | ADR CANON INC | $6,345,350$ |
| 185,000 | PFIZER INC | $6,317,750$ |
| 167,700 | EXXON MOBIL CORP. | $6,022,107$ |
| 226,200 | MICROSOFT CORP. COM | $5,792,982$ |
| 97,400 | AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC | $5,374,532$ |
| 110,400 | MERRILL, LYNCH \& CO. INC. | $5,153,472$ |
| 301,800 | ADR NOKIA CORP | $4,958,574$ |
| 63,800 | ADR TOTAL SA | $4,836,040$ |
| 221,900 | MBNA CORP | $4,624,396$ |

## Largest Fixed IncomeSecurities

| Par | Security | Market Value |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $13,000,000$ | US TREAS NTS 6.5 DUE 08-15-2005 | $\$ 14,407,119.61$ |
| $11,700,000$ | US TREAS NTS DTD 08-31-02 2.125 DUE 08-31-2004 | $11,844,612.00$ |
| $11,500,000$ | US TREAS NTS NT 1.25 DUE 05-31-2005 | $11,494,134.65$ |
| $7,700,000$ | US TREAS NTS DTD 1.5 DUE 02-28-2005 | $7,737,498.38$ |
| $5,850,000$ | FHLMC DEB 6.25 07-15-2004 | $6,159,055.32$ |
| $5,370,000$ | US TREAS NTS 5.75 DUE 11-15-2005 | $5,911,134.68$ |
| $5,775,000$ | US TREAS NTS DTD 11-30-2002 2 DUE 11-30-2004 | $5,844,415.26$ |
| $5,000,000$ | US TREAS NTS 5 DUE 08-15-2011 | $5,608,850.10$ |
| $5,300,000$ | FNMA PREASSIGN 00244 4.75 02-21-2013/02-21-2006 | $5,444,849.10$ |
| $4,200,000$ | US TREAS NTS 6.5 DUE 05-15-2005 | $4,606,896.46$ |

[^2]
## SCHEDULE OF BROKERAGE COMMISSIONS

ABN AMRO INCORPORATED LLC
ABN AMRO SECS USA INC DTC 792
ACCESS SECURITIES, INC.
ADAMS HARKNESS \& HILL INC.
ARCHIPELAGO BCC CAPITAL
ARCHIPELAGO BCC CAPITAL CLEAR
ARNHOLD \& S BLEICHROEDER INC
AVALON RESEARCH GROUP INC.
B TRADE SERVICES
BAIRD, ROBERT W., \& COMPANY INCORPORATED
BANC AMERICA SECUR. MONTGOMERY DIV.
BEAR STEARNS \& CO INC.
BEAR, STEARNS SECURITIES CORP
BERNSTEIN, SANFORD C. \& CO
BLAIR, WILLIAM \& CO
BNY BROKERAGE INC.
BNY CLEARING SERVICES LLC
BNY ESI SECURITIES CO.
BOSTON INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES, INC.
BRIDGE TRADING COMPANY
BROADCORT CAP CORP./SUB OF MLPF \& S
BROADCORT CAPITAL CORP
BROWN BROTHERS, HARRIMAN \& CO NEW YORK
B-TRADE SERVICES LLC
BUCKINGHAM RESEARCH GROUP
BUTTLES CORP./EQUITY/BROADCORT CAP.C
C. L. GLAZER \& COMPANY, INC.

CANTOR FITZGERALD \& CO
CAPITAL INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES INC
CAPITAL INSTITUTIONAL SERV NEW YORK
CIBC WORLD MARKETS CORP
CIBC WORLD MARKETS CORP. NEW YORK
CITATION GROUP/BCC CLRG
CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.
CL KING \& ASSOCIATES
COMMERZBANK CAPITAL MARKETS CORPORATION
CORRESPONDENT SERVICES CORPORATION
CREDIT LYONNAIS SECURITIES (USA) INC
CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION
D. A. DAVIDSON \& CO. INC.

DAVIS, MENDEL \& REGENSTEIN, INC.
DEMATTED MONNESS LLC
DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC
DIRECT BROKERAGE INC.
DONALDSON \& CO. INCORPORATED
DONALDSON LUFKIN \& JENRETTE SEC CORP
DOUGHERTY COMPANY
DOWLING \& PARTNERS SECURITIES LLC
DRESDNER KLEINWORT WASSERSTEIN SEC.
EDWARDS, A.G., \& SONS, INC.
EDWARDS. A.G.
ERNST AND COMPANY
FACTSET DATA SYSTEMS
FACTSET DATA SYSTEMS (THRU BEAR STEARNS)
FIRST ALBANY CORPORATION
FIRST ANALYSIS SECURITIES CORP

| 6,100 | $\$ 305$ | $\$ 0.05$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 63,000 | 3,907 | 0.06 |
| 3,300 | 165 | 0.05 |
| 13,100 | 634 | 0.05 |
| 272,000 | 4,691 | 0.02 |
| 324,800 | 6,496 | 0.02 |
| 3,900 | 195 | 0.05 |
| 4,000 | 200 | 0.05 |
| 213,400 | 4,400 | 0.02 |
| 171,800 | 8,736 | 0.05 |
| 699,500 | 34,599 | 0.05 |
| 769,300 | 36,166 | 0.05 |
| 1,400 | 70 | 0.05 |
| 109,000 | 5,554 | 0.05 |
| 14,600 | 604 | 0.04 |
| 400 | 20 | 0.05 |
| 10,100 | 505 | 0.05 |
| 115,100 | 2,302 | 0.02 |
| 4,000 | 280 | 0.07 |
| 69,700 | 2,190 | 0.03 |
| 10,300 | 474 | 0.05 |
| 23,800 | 1,185 | 0.05 |
| 11,900 | 595 | 0.05 |
| 211,200 | 5,070 | 0.02 |
| 48,700 | 2,628 | 0.05 |
| 10,400 | 520 | 0.05 |
| 21,400 | 1,070 | 0.05 |
| 247,500 | 4,681 | 0.02 |
| $9,830,104$ | 6,652 | 0.00 |
| 138,628 | 5,690 | 0.04 |
| 111,000 | 4,9 | 0.04 |

$\begin{array}{lll}138,628 & 5,690 & 0.04 \\ 111,000 & 4,852 & 0.04 \\ 107,800 & 5,395 & 0.05\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}107,800 & 5,395 & 0.05\end{array}$
789,900
36,000
5,600
6,900
819,150
28,900
3,300
3,800
601,519
176,500
2,900
90,200
17,800
34,300
16,000
105,400
$\begin{array}{rr}1,815 & 0.05 \\ 30,468 & 0.04 \\ 1,831 & 0.05\end{array}$
$535 \quad 0.0$
$\begin{array}{ll}280 & 0.0 \\ 295 & 0.0\end{array}$
40,337 0.05
$\begin{array}{rr}1,481 & 0.05 \\ 165 & 0.05\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rl}152 & 0.04 \\ 28.194 & 0.05\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}28,194 & 0.02 \\ 3,629 & 0.04\end{array}$
$126 \quad 0.04$
$5,184 \quad 0.06$
$890 \quad 0.05$
$1,380 \quad 0.04$
$800 \quad 0.05$
3,541
$2,852 \quad 0.05$
18
$1,292 \quad 0.05$
1,859
$\begin{array}{ll}1,390 & 0,05\end{array}$
$707 \quad 0.04$
$516 \quad 0.04$
IRST
858
0.03

FIRST TENNESSEE SECURITIES CORP.
FISERV SECURITIES, INC.

## Investment Section

## SCHEDULE OF BROKERAGECOMMISSIONS [continued]

| Investment Brokerage Firm | Units | Commission | Commission Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOX PIT'T KELTON | 79,900 | 3,977 | 0.05 |
| FRIEDMAN BILLING AND RAMSEY | 14,200 | 626 | 0.04 |
| FULCRUM GLOBAL PARTNERS LLC | 26,202 | 2,815 | 0.11 |
| GERARD KLAIDER MAT"TISON \& COMPANY | 8,700 | 367 | 0.04 |
| GOLDMAN SACHS \& COMPANY | 1,459,681 | 93,683 | 0.06 |
| HARRIS NESBITT GERARD INC. | 22,000 | 863 | 0.04 |
| HEFLIN \& CO, LLC | 123,100 | 2,980 | 0.02 |
| HENDERSON BROTHERS, INC. | 9,900 | 146 | 0.01 |
| HOENIG \& COMPANY INC. | 6,900 | 345 | 0.05 |
| HOWARD WEIL DIVISION - LEGG MASON | 167,400 | 4,001 | 0.02 |
| INSTINET | 59,100 | 1,076 | 0.02 |
| INVESTEC ERNST \& COMPANY | 16,200 | 776 | 0.05 |
| INVESTMENT TECHNOLOGY GROUP INC | 375,201 | 6,227 | 0.02 |
| ISI GROUP INC. | 49,700 | 2,485 | 0.05 |
| J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES INC | 482,490 | 24,427 | 0.05 |
| JACKSON PARTNERS \& ASSOCIATES, INC. | 17,200 | 865 | 0.05 |
| JANNEY MONTGOMERY SCOTT | 52,500 | 2,625 | 0.05 |
| JEFFERIES \& COMPANY | 492,300 | 16,295 | 0.03 |
| JOHNSON RICE \& CO. | 39,600 | 1,980 | 0.05 |
| JONES \& ASSOCIATES | 240,800 | 10,247 | 0.04 |
| KALB VOORHIS \& CO | 30,500 | 915 | 0.03 |
| KEEFE BRUYETTE AND WOODS INC. | 25,654 | 948 | 0.04 |
| KELCOP FINANCIAL INC | 3,900 | 142 | 0.04 |
| KING, CL, \& ASSOCIATES INC. | 11,300 | 565 | 0.05 |
| KINNARD JOHN G. \& COMPANY | 4,300 | 215 | 0.05 |
| KNIGHT EQUITY MARKETS, L.P. | 26,500 | 826 | 0.03 |
| KNIGHT SECURITIES L...P. | 43,100 | 1,569 | 0.04 |
| KV EXECUTION SERVICES LLC | 19,300 | 421 | 0.02 |
| LA BRANCHE FINANCIAL \#2 | 77,800 | 1,023 | 0.01 |
| LA BRANCHE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. | 95,400 | 2,548 | 0.03 |
| LAZARD FRERES \& CO. | 43,300 | 13,516 | 0.31 |
| LEERINK SWANN \& CO./IPO | 11,100 | 548 | 0.05 |
| LEGG MASON WOOD WALKER INC | 55,000 | 2,556 | 0.05 |
| LEHMAN BROTHERS INC | 1,102,831 | 54,502 | 0.05 |
| LYNCH JONES \& RYAN | 342,750 | 15,471 | 0.05 |
| MAXUS CORP | 14,247 | 712 | 0.05 |
| MCDONALD AND COMPANY | 141,232 | 5,033 | 0.04 |
| MERRILL LYNCH CAPr'AAL MARKET'S | 25,569 | 1,514 | 0.06 |
| MERRILL LYNCH INTER NEW YK DTC 161 | 93,900 | 2,817 | 0.03 |
| MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL LONDON | 85,540 | 5,199 | 0.06 |
| MERRILL LYNCH INTL LTD EQUITTES | 48,158 | 6,502 | 0.14 |
| MERRILL LYNCH PIERCE FENNER \& SMITH | 2,119,886 | 94,121 | 0.04 |
| MERRILL LYNCH PROFESSIONAL CLEARING | 5,700 | 229 | 0.04 |
| MERRILL. LYNCH (MLPFS IN') NEW YORK | 34,651 | 1,920 | 0.06 |
| MERRILL PROFESSIONAL CLEARING CORP. | 36,100 | 1,805 | 0.05 |
| MIDWEST RESEARCH SECURITIES | 17,400 | 859 | 0.05 |
| MILLER 'TABAK + COMPANY, LLC | 7,500 | 375 | 0.05 |
| MILLER TABAK HIRSCH | 19,800 | 803 | 0.04 |
| MONNESS CRESPI AND HARDT CO INC | 10,800 | 496 | 0.05 |
| MOORS \& CABOT,INC./IPO TRACKING | 232,350 | 6,253 | 0.03 |
| MORGAN KEEGAN \& COMPANY INC. | 91,500 | 4,495 | 0.05 |
| MORGAN STANLEY \& CO INC. NEW YORK | 549,800 | 26,419 | 0.05 |
| MORGAN STANLEY \& CO. INCORPORATED | 743,200 | 32,393 | 0.04 |
| NATIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES | 8,900 | 267 | 0.03 |
| NBC CLEARING SERVICE TWO | 8,600 | 430 | 0.05 |
| NEEDHAM \& COMPANY | 29,100 | 1,328 | 0.05 |
| NEUBERGER AND BERMAN | 8,000 | 400 | 0.05 |
| OTA LLC | 700 | 35 | 0.05 |
| PACIFIC CREST SECURITES | 2,540 | 289 | 0.11 |
| PACIFIC GROWTH EQUITIES | 3,700 | 185 | 0.05 |
| PALI CAPITAL, LLC | 6,300 | 225 | 0.04 |

## SGHEDULE OF BROKERAGECOMMISSIONS [continuell]

| Investment Brokerage Firm | Units | Commission | Commission Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARIBAS CORPORATION | 82,597 | 1,398 | 0.02 |
| PCS SECURITIES INC | 33,400 | 1,670 | 0.05 |
| PERCIVAL FINANCIAI, PARTNERS, LTD | 4,100 | 205 | 0.05 |
| PERSHING LJ.C | 11,900 | 595 | 0.05 |
| PERSHING LLC FORMERLY DLJ | 187,350 | 9,925 | 0.05 |
| PRECURSOR GROUP | 11,100 | 555 | 0.05 |
| PXP SECURITIES CORP | 519,900 | 25,222 | 0.05 |
| RAYMOND JAMES | 69,400 | 3,357 | 0.05 |
| RAYMOND JAMES \& ASSOCIATES INC. | 72,700 | 2,558 | 0.04 |
| RBC DAIN RAUSCHER | 172,550 | 8,545 | 0.05 |
| REFCO SECURITIES INC | 3,900 | 195 | 0.05 |
| REYNDERS, GRAY \& CO.,INCORPORATED | 1,800 | 90 | 0.05 |
| ROBERT W. BAIRD \& COMPANY INC MILWAUKEE USA | 142,075 | 6,868 | 0.05 |
| SANDLER O'NEILL \& PARTNER | 37,500 | 1,830 | 0.05 |
| SANFORD C. BERNSTEIN \& CO., LLC | 217,600 | 10,353 | 0.05 |
| SCHWAB, CHARLES | 46,200 | 694 | 0.02 |
| SCOTT \& STRINGFELLOW INVESTMENT | 104,300 | 4,680 | 0.04 |
| SG COWEN AND COMPANY | 156,700 | 7,847 | 0.05 |
| SG COWEN SECURITIES CORP. | 261,500 | 6,918 | 0.03 |
| SIDOTK AND COMPANY LLC | 26,500 | 1,325 | 0.05 |
| SIMMONS \& CO - NEW YORK | 50,600 | 1,518 | 0.03 |
| SMITH BARNEY INC | 421,465 | 21,160 | 0.05 |
| SOUNDVIEW FINANCIAL GROUP | 248,000 | 8,220 | 0.03 |
| SOUNDVIEW TECHNOLOGY GROUP INC. | 225,700 | 8,091 | 0.04 |
| SOUTHWEST SECURITIES INC | 5,000 | 225 | 0.05 |
| SPEAR LEEDS AND KELLOGG | 74,200 | 1,187 | 0.02 |
| STANDARD \& POORS SECURITIES INC | 112,500 | 5,207 | 0.05 |
| STATE STREET GLOBAL MARKETS, LLC | 25,800 | 692 | 0.03 |
| STATE STREET BROKERAGE SVCS | 1,500 | 45 | 0.03 |
| STEPHENS INC | 54,200 | 2,710 | 0.05 |
| STIFEL, NICOLAUS \& CO.,INC. | 2,800 | 140 | 0.05 |
| SUNTRUST CAPITAL MARKETS, INC. | 58,950 | 3,088 | 0.05 |
| SUNTRUST ROBINSON HUMPHREY | 12,800 | 631 | 0.05 |
| SUSQUEHANA INC | 1,100 | 44 | 0.04 |
| THINKEQUITY PARTNERS LLC | 800 | 16 | 0.02 |
| THOMAS WEISEL PARTNERS LLC | 311,901 | 15,616 | 0.05 |
| THOMSON INSTITUTINAL SERVICES, INC. | 7,300 | 365 | 0.05 |
| U.S. BANCORP PIPER JAFFRAY INC | 155,100 | 7,207 | 0.05 |
| UBS FINANCIAL SERVICES INC. | 15,315 | 825 | 0.05 |
| UBS SECURITIES LLC | 608,736 | 26,829 | 0.04 |
| UBS SECURITIES LLC NEW YORK | 641,900 | 27,069 | 0.04 |
| UBS WARBURG LLC | 120,350 | 5,799 | 0.05 |
| US CLEARING INSTITUTIONAL TRADING | 49,600 | 2,480 | 0.05 |
| VANDHAM SECURITIES CORP | 311,200 | 9,336 | 0.03 |
| VERITAS SECURITIES | 42,900 | 1,287 | 0.03 |
| WACHOVIA CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC | 210,600 | 10,929 | 0.05 |
| WACHOVIA CAPITAL MARKETS 46171 | 137,700 | 6,470 | 0.05 |
| WACHOVIA SECURITIES LLC | 265,300 | 12,731 | 0.05 |
| WAVE SECURITIES LLC | 4,100 | 83 | 0.02 |
| WEDBUSH MORGAN SECURITIES, INC | 9,100 | 385 | 0.04 |
| WEEDEN AND \& CO | 133,600 | 4,691 | 0.04 |
| WELLS FARGO SECURITIES LLC | 27,400 | 1,519 | 0.06 |
| WELLS FARGO VAN KASPER, LLC | 2,400 | 144 | 0.06 |
| WEXFORD CLEARING SERVICES | 54,800 | 1,288 | 0.02 |
| WILLIAM BLAIR \& COMPANY,L.L.C. | 20,300 | 1,015 | 0.05 |
| WILSHIRE ASSOCIATES INCORPORATED | 524,255 | 14,263 | 0.03 |
| TOTAL | 33,755,326 | \$1,048,329 | \$0.03 |
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GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH \& COMPANY
Consultants \& Actuaries
One Townes Square • Suite $800 \cdot$ Southfield, Michigan 48076•248-799-9000 • 800-521-0498 • fax 248-799-9020
April 8, 2004

## The Retirement Board

Highway and Transportation Employees
and Highway Patrol Retirement System
P.O Box 1930

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-1930
Dear Board Members:
The basic financial objective of the Highway and Transportation Employees and Highway Patrol Retirement System (HTEHPRS) is to establish and receive contributions which
(1) when expressed in terms of percents of active member payroll will remain approximately level from generation to generation of Missouri citizens, and which
(2) when combined with present assets and future investment return will be sufficient to meet the present and future financial obligations of HTEHPRS.

In order to measure progress toward this fundamental objective, HTEHPRS has annual actuarial valuations performed. The valuations (i) measure present financial position, and (ii) establish contribution rates that provide for the current cost and level percent-of-payroll amortization of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities over a reasonable period. An actuarial valuation was performed based upon benefit conditions, data and assumptions as of June 30, 2003. This valuation indicates that contribution rates for the period beginning July 1, 2004 that equal or exceed the calculated contribution rates will meet the Board's financial objective. The calculated contribution rates are $28.28 \%$ of payroll for the 7,819 NonUniformed employees and $43.54 \%$ of payroll for the 1,073 Uniformed patrol employees.

The actuarial valuations are based upon financial and participant data which is prepared by retirement system staff, assumptions regarding future rates of investment return and inflation, and rates of retirement, turnover, death, and disability among HTEHPRS' members and their beneficiaries. We review the data for internal and year-to-year consistency as well as general reasonableness prior to its use in the actuarial valuations. It is also summarized and tabulated for the purpose of analyzing trends. The assumptions were adopted by the Board of Trustees and were based upon actual experience of HTEHPRS during the period July 1, 1994 to June 30, 1999. Assets were valued using a three-year smoothing method. The assumptions and methods utilized in chis valuation, in our opinion, meet the parameters established by Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25.

The current benefit structure is outlined in the introductory section. We provided the information used in the supporting schedules in the actuarial section and the Schedules of Funding Progress in the financial section, as well as the employer contribution rates shown in the Schedule of Employer Contributions in the financial section.

Based upon the valuation results, it is our opinion that the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System for the State of Missouri continues to be in sound condition in accordance with actuarial principles of level percent-of-payroll financing. However, a return to a more normal investment market is important for the Retirement System.

Respectfully submitted,

Bunin B. Tamp
Senior Consultant \& Actuary


Kenneth G. Albert
Consultant
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## SUMMARY OFACTUARIAL <br> METHODSAND ASSUMPTIONS

## METHODS

## Asset Valuation Method

Valuation assets were determined using a three-year smoothed market average. This method recognizes assumed investment return fully each year. Differences between actual and assumed investment return are phased in over a closed three-year period.

## Actuarial Cost Method

The Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method was used for determining liabilities and normal cost. Normal costs were computed as a level percent of pay and were based on the Year 2000 Plan. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities were amortized as a level percent of payroll over 33 years. For this purpose, covered payroll is assumed to increase $4 \%$ per year. Continued yearly reductions in the amortization period will result in a 30 -year period being used for the June 30 , 2006 valuation. This is consistent with GASB amortization period requirements.

## ASSUMPTIONS


$8.25 \%$ per annum, net of administrative fees.
$0.46 \%$ of payroll, based upon actual results from previous year.
$90 \%$ of employees dying in-service will have an eligible beneficiary.

The annual Consumer Price Index is assumed to be 3.5\%.

All future disability benefits will be paid by the external disability insurance carrier. Benefits payable after the termination of disability benefits (such as benefits payable after conversion to normal retirement or benefits payable to survivors of disabled retirees) will be paid by the retirement system.

Rates used in evaluating allowances to be paid to non-disabled pensioners were the 1971 Group Annuity Mortality (GAM) tables projected to the year 2000 set back one year for males and seven years for females. Pre-retirement mortality used was $50 \%$ of the 71 GAM 2000 tables set back one year for males and seven years for females. Disabled pension mortality was based on PBGCDisabled Mortality tables.

## ActuarialSection

## SUMMARY OFACTUARIAL METHODS ANDASSUMPTIONS

Salary Increase Assumptions for an Individual Member

| Age | Non-Uniformed |  |  | Uniformed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> Seniority | Base (Economic) | Increase <br> Next Year |  <br> Seniority | Base (Economic) | Increase <br> Next Year |
| 20 | 3.00\% | 4.00\% | 7.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 25 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 7.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 7.50 |
| 30 | 2.85 | 4.00 | 6.85 | 2.60 | 4.00 | 6.60 |
| 35 | 2.20 | 4.00 | 6.20 | 1.70 | 4.00 | 5.70 |
| 40 | 1.70 | 4.00 | 5.70 | 0.90 | 4.00 | 4.90 |
| 45 | 1.30 | 4.00 | 5.30 | 0.40 | 4.00 | 4.40 |
| 50 | 0.80 | 4.00 | 4.80 | 0.30 | 4.00 | 4.30 |
| 55 | 0.60 | 4.00 | 4.60 | 0.30 | 4.00 | 4.30 |
| 60 | 0.30 | 4.00 | 4.30 | 0.30 | 4.00 | 4.30 |

## Severance Assumptions <br> Annual Terminations Per 100 Employees



## Actuarialsection

## SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL METHODS ANDASSUMPTIONS

## Retirement Assumptions

Percents of Eligible Members Retiring Within Next Year

| Retirement <br> Age | Non-Uniformed |  | Uniformed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female |  | All |
| 50 | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| 51 | 12 | 12 | 20 |
| 52 | 12 | 12 | 25 |
| 53 | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| 54 | 12 | 12 | 25 |
| 55 | 12 | 12 | 30 |
| 56 | 12 | 12 | 30 |
| 57 | 12 | 12 | 30 |
| 58 | 12 | 15 | 30 |
| 59 | 12 | 15 | 30 |
| 60 | 16 | 10 | 100 |
| 61 | 18 | 10 |  |
| 62 | 50 | 50 |  |
| 63 | 40 | 15 |  |
| 64 | 30 | 15 |  |
| 65 | 40 | 50 |  |
| 66 | 35 | 50 |  |
| 67 | 35 | 50 |  |
| 68 | 35 | 50 |  |
| 69 | 35 | 50 |  |
| 70 | 100 | 100 |  |

ActuarialSection

## SCHEDULE OFACTIVE MEMBER VALUATION DATA

| Actuarial <br> Valuation Date | Number | Covered <br> Payroll | Average <br> Pay | \% Change <br> in Average Pay <br> from Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 30 / 1994$ | 8,849 | $\$ 242,864,780$ | $\$ 27,445$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $06 / 30 / 1995$ | 8,904 | $250,529,253$ | 28,137 | 2.5 |
| $06 / 30 / 1996$ | 9,023 | $264,196,115$ | 29,280 | 4.1 |
| $06 / 30 / 1997$ | 8,997 | $280,209,116$ | 31,145 | 6.4 |
| $06 / 30 / 1998$ | 8,871 | $284,889,796$ | 32,115 | 3.1 |
| $06 / 30 / 1999$ | 9,140 | $298,673,247$ | 32,678 | 1.8 |
| $06 / 30 / 2000$ | 9,171 | $312,532,009$ | 34,078 | 4.3 |
| $06 / 30 / 2001$ | 9,087 | $327,049,257$ | 35,991 | 5.6 |
| $06 / 30 / 2002$ | 8,695 | $312,747,492$ | 35,969 | $(0.1)$ |
| $06 / 30 / 2003$ | 8,895 | $318,744,193$ | 35,846 | $(0.3)$ |
|  |  |  | Ten Year Average | $2.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS*

As of June 30, 2003


| Plan Provision | Closed Plan | Year 2000 Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Membership Eligibility | Members who work in a position normally requiring at least 1,000 hours of work a year. | Members hired for the first time on or after July 1, 2000, in a position requiring at least 1,000 hours of work a year. <br> Members who left state employment prior to becoming vested and return to work on or after July 1, 2000, in a position normally requiring at least 1,000 hours of work a year. |
| Notmal Retirement Eligibility | Age 658 active with 4 years of service. Age 65 with 5 years of service. Age 60 with 15 years of service. "Rule of 80 " $/$ minimum age 50 . Age 55 with 4 years of service. (uniformed members only) | Age 62 with 5 years of scrvice. "Rule of 80 "/minimum age 50 . Age 60 (mandatory with 5 years of service -uniformed members only). |
| Early Retrement Eligibility | Age 55 with 10 years of creditable service. | Age 57 with 5 years creditable service. |
| Benefit <br> Life Benefit <br> Tempority Benefit | $1.6 \% \times$ FAP $^{* *}$ x service <br> (Base benefit is increased by $331 / 3 \%$ for uniformed patrol members only.) <br> Not available. | $1.7 \% \times$ FAP $^{* *} \times$ service <br> $0.8 \% \times$ FAP** $\times$ service (until age 62 - only if retiring under "Rule of 80 "), |
| Vesting | 5 years of service. | 5 years of service. |
| COLA <br> (Costof Living Adjusthent) | If hired before August 28, 1997, annual COLA is a minimum of $4 \%$, maximum $5 \%$, based on $80 \%$ of the increase in the CPI-U over the previous year, up to a maximum of $65 \%$ of original benefit. After $65 \%$ cap is reached, annual COLA increases will be equal to $80 \%$ of the change in che CPI-U, with a maximum of $5 \%$. If hired after the above date, annual COLAs will be equal to $80 \%$ of the increase in the CPI-U, maximum $5 \%$, with no guaranteed minimum. | Annual COLA is equal to $80 \%$ of the change in the CPI-U with a maximum rate of $5 \%$. |
| Survivot Benéfit <br> (Death before setirement) <br> Non duty related Death <br> Duty related Death | Survivor benefit to eligible spouse calculated using the Joint and $100 \%$ survivor option or $80 \%$ of the member's life income annuity paid to eligible children. <br> If at least 3, but less than 5 , years of service the survivor benefit is calculated using $25 \%$ of the member's base benefit calculated as if the member retired on their date of death. <br> Survivor benefit to eligible spouse or children equal to $50 \%$ of final average pay (no service requirement). | Survivor benefit to eligible spouse calculated using the Joint \& $100 \%$ survivor option or $80 \%$ of the member's life income annuity paid to eligible children. <br> Survivor benefit to eligible spouse or children equal to $50 \%$ of final average pay (no service requirement). |
| Optional Foms of Payment (Death after retifement) $\square$ <br>  <br>  | Survivor benefit to eligible spouse based on payment option elected at retirement. <br> Payment options include: <br> Life Income Annuity <br> Unreduced Joint \& $50 \%$ Survivor <br> Joint \& $100 \%$ Survivor <br> 60 or 120 Guaranteed Payments <br> BackDROP | Survivor benefit to eligible spouse based on payment option elected at retirement. <br> Payment options include: <br> Life Income Annuity <br> Joint \& $50 \%$ Survivor <br> Joint \& $100 \%$ Survivor <br> 120 or 180 Guaranteed Payments <br> BackDROP |
| Disability | Long-Term Disability, Work-Related and Normal Disability | Long-Term Disability and Work-Related Disability |

[^3]
## LEGISLATIVE CHANGES

On June 10, 2003, Governor Bob Holden signed into law Senate Bill 248 which contained several provisions affecting our retirement system.

## Retirement Incentive

Active employees who first qualified for normal retirement between October 1, 2003, and January 1, 2004, are considered eligible for normal retirement during the incentive period (with no adjustment for retiring early). These members are considered to have satisfied the eligibility requirement for normal retirement. The calculation of the retirement benefit is based only on the actual service credit at the date of retirement. Active employees who meet the eligibility requirements for the BackDROP between October 1, 2003, and January 1,2004 , are able to retire during the incentive period and qualify for the BackDROP amount accrued up to the date of actual retirement.

## Minimum Age 48 for Rule of 80

Senate Bill 248 also contains provisions that lower the minimum age for Rule of 80 from age 50 to age 48 .

## Subsidized Purchase of Service - Uniformed Patrol

This provision of Senate Bill 248 allows uniformed members, who have full-time non-federal public employment to purchase this additional service credit at a reduced rate. The member must purchase all of his or her creditable service not to exceed four years. The calculation used to determine the cost of purchase will be the same as a military purchase. The member is responsible for providing the retirement system the required verification of the service and the purchase must be made prior to the effective date of the retirement.

## Transfer of Service - Certain Public Safety Employees

Another provision found in Senate Bill 248 allows employees of the Division of Public Safety, who are transferred to the Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) by virtue of executive order 03-05, the option of electing to remain in MOSERS or transferring their service to the HTEHPRS. For an employee who elects to transfer their service to HTEHPRS, MOSERS will be required to pay to HTEHPRS an amount actuarially determined to equal the liability at the time of transfer to the extent that liability is funded as of the most recent actuarial valuation not to exceed $100 \%$.

## Administrative Changes

The legislation contains provisions that involve minor modifications to and clarifications of the Closed Plan and the Year 2000 Plan. These changes enable HTEHPRS to more effectively administer the benefit provisions of the retirement system.

## Statistical Section

In This Section

Active Member Data
Terminated Vested Member Data
Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Benefit
Schedule of Average Monthly Benefit Payments
Retired Members Data (Ten-Year Averages)
Benefit Recipients
Membership Distribution


## AETIVE MEMBER DATA

BY AGE

| Age | Total | Department of <br> Transportation | Civilian <br> Patrol | Uniformed <br> Patrol | Retirement <br> System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<21$ | 52 | 47 | 5 | - | - |
| $21-25$ | 508 | 384 | 51 | 72 | 1 |
| $26-30$ | 917 | 635 | 107 | 175 | - |
| $31-35$ | 1,253 | 861 | 106 | 283 | 3 |
| $36-40$ | 1,419 | 1,057 | 162 | 199 | 1 |
| $41-45$ | 1,601 | 1,281 | 188 | 131 | 1 |
| $46-50$ | 1,458 | 778 | 199 | 131 | 2 |
| $51-55$ | 1,018 | 405 | 80 | 71 | - |
| $56-60$ | 501 | 124 | 20 | 14 | 2 |
| $61-65$ | 144 | 6,716 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 3}$ | - | - |
| $>65$ | 24 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Total | 8,895 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| AverageAge |  |  |  |  |  |

BY Years of service

| Years of <br> Service | Total | Department of <br> Transportation | Civilian <br> Patrol | Uniformed <br> Patrol | Retirement <br> System |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | 940 | 753 | 104 | 82 | 1 |
| $1-5$ | 2,087 | 1,651 | 272 | 162 | 2 |
| $6-10$ | 1,845 | 1,263 | 229 | 352 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 5}$ | 1,235 | 951 | 134 | 149 | 1 |
| $16-20$ | 1,207 | 939 | 136 | 131 | 1 |
| $21-25$ | 718 | 541 | 97 | 78 | 2 |
| $26-30$ | 590 | 413 | 86 | 90 | 1 |
| $31-35$ | 200 | 142 | 29 | 29 | - |
| $36-40$ | 62 | 52 | 6 | 3 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $41-\mathbf{4 5}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | - | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 , 8 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |  |

## Statistical Section

## TERMINATED VESTED MEMBER DATA

| BY AGE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Total | Department of <br> Transportation | Civilian <br> Patrol | Uniformed <br> Patrol |
| $<21$ | - | - | - | - |
| $21-25$ | - | - | - | - |
| $26-30$ | 41 | 30 | 7 | 4 |
| $31-35$ | 183 | 122 | 22 | 39 |
| $36-40$ | 258 | 200 | 30 | 28 |
| $41-45$ | 279 | 159 | 38 | 12 |
| $46-50$ | 203 | 127 | 29 | 15 |
| $51-55$ | 171 | 34 | 13 | 18 |
| $56-60$ | 48 | - | 1 | 1 |
| $61-65$ | - | 924 | - | - |
| $>65$ | 1,207 | 43 | 166 | 117 |
| Total |  |  | 44 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

BY YEARS OF SERVICE

| Years of <br> Service | Total | Department of <br> Transportation | Civilian <br> Patrol | Uniformed <br> Patrol |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | - | - | - | - |
| $1-5$ | 202 | 148 | 31 | 23 |
| $6-10$ | 558 | 437 | 64 | 57 |
| $11-15$ | 291 | 219 | 47 | 25 |
| $16-20$ | 115 | 90 | 17 | 8 |
| $21-25$ | 35 | 27 | 6 | 2 |
| $26-30$ | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| $31-35$ | - | - | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ |
| AverageService |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

## SCHEDULE OF RETIRED MEMBERS BY TYPE OF BENEFIT

| Amount of Monthly Benefit | ALL MEMBERS Type of Benefit |  |  |  | Survivor | Total Recipients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retirement |  | Disability |  |  |  |
|  | Normal | Early | Normal | Long-Term |  |  |
| 1-200 | 23 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 294 | 344 |
| 201-400 | 87 | 70 | 9 | 23 | 381 | 570 |
| 401-600 | 159 | 62 | 8 | 21 | 282 | 532 |
| 601-800 | 229 | 38 | 9 | 10 | 174 | 460 |
| 801-1000 | 241 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 124 | 396 |
| 1001-1200 | 275 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 94 | 390 |
| 1201-1400 | 275 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 63 | 360 |
| 1401-1600 | 320 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 51 | 388 |
| 1601-1800 | 311 | 3 | 5 | - | 51 | 370 |
| 1801-2000 | 305 | 1 | 3 | - | 34 | 343 |
| 2001-2200 | 306 | 2 | - | 1 | 32 | 341 |
| 2201-2400 | 298 | - | 2 | - | 29 | 329 |
| 2401-2600 | 223 | 1 | 1 | - | 24 | 249 |
| 2601-2800 | 213 | - | - | - | 18 | 231 |
| 2801-3000 | 194 | - | 1 | - | 21 | 216 |
| $>3000$ | 999 | - | - | - | 38 | 1,037 |
| TOTALS | 4,458 | 233 | 75 | 80 | 1,710 | 6,556* |

## MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

| Type of Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount of Monthly Benefit | Retirement |  | Disability |  | Survivor | Total Recipients |
|  | Normal | Early | Normal | Long-Term |  |  |
| 1-200 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 275 | 314 |
| 201-400 | 65 | 58 | 9 | 23 | 355 | 510 |
| 401-600 | 127 | 55 | 8 | 16 | 260 | 466 |
| 601-800 | 197 | 33 | 9 | 10 | 148 | 397 |
| 801-1000 | 215 | 16 | 6 | 8 | 102 | 347 |
| 1001-1200 | 242 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 71 | 329 |
| 1201-1400 | 249 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 45 | 315 |
| 1401-1600 | 274 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 42 | 331 |
| 1601-1800 | 265 | 3 | 3 | - | 35 | 306 |
| 1801-2000 | 261 | 1 | 3 | - | 23 | 288 |
| 2001-2200 | 262 | 2 | - | 1 | 22 | 287 |
| 2201-2400 | 262 | - | 1 | - | 21 | 284 |
| 2401-2600 | 193 | 1 | - | - | 15 | 209 |
| 2601-2800 | 185 | - | - | - | 16 | 201 |
| 2801-3000 | 162 | - | - | - | 20 | 182 |
| $>3000$ | 505 | - | - | - | 24 | 529 |
| TOTALS | 3,483 | 201 | 67 | 70 | 1,474 | 5,295 |
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## Statistical Section

## SGHEDULE OF RETIRED MEMBERS BY TYPE OF BENEFIT

CIVILIAN PATROL

| Amount of Monthly Benefit | Type of Benefit |  |  |  | Survivor | Total <br> Recipients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retirement |  | Disability |  |  |  |
|  | Normal | Early | Normal | Long-Term |  |  |
| 1-200 | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 17 | 27 |
| 201-400 | 18 | 12 | - | - | 15 | 45 |
| 401-600 | 24 | 7 | - | 5 | 14 | 50 |
| 601-800 | 30 | 5 | - | - | 10 | 45 |
| 801-1000 | 24 | 1 | - | - | 12 | 37 |
| 1001-1200 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 50 |
| 1201-1400 | 24 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 31 |
| 1401-1600 | 40 | - | 1 | - | - | 41 |
| 1601-1800 | 41 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 45 |
| 1801-2000 | 40 | - | - | - | , | 41 |
| 2001-2200 | 40 | - | - | - | 1 | 41 |
| 2201-2400 | 29 | - | - | - | 1 | 30 |
| 2401-2600 | 17 | - | - | - | - | 17 |
| 2601-2800 | 12 | - | - | - | 1 | 13 |
| 2801-3000 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| >3000 | 45 | - | - | - | 2 | 47 |
| TOTALS | 424 | 32 | 3 | 10 | 98 | 567 |

## UNIFORMED PATROL

Type of Benefit

| Amount of | Retirement |  | Disability |  | Survivor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Benefit | Normal | Early | Normal | Long-Term |  | Recipients |


| 1-200 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201-400 | 4 | - | - | - | 11 | 15 |
| 401-600 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 | 16 |
| 601-800 | 2 | - | - | - | 16 | 18 |
| 801-1000 | 2 | - | - | - | 10 | 12 |
| 1001-1200 | 3 | - | - | - | 8 | 11 |
| 1201-1400 | 2 | - | - | - | 12 | 14 |
| 1401-1600 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 9 | 16 |
| 1601-1800 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 13 | 19 |
| 1801-2000 | 4 | - | - | - | 10 | 14 |
| 2001-2200 | 4 | - | - | - | 9 | 13 |
| 2201-2400 | 7 | - | 1 | - | 7 | 15 |
| 2401-2600 | 12 | - | 1 | - | 9 | 22 |
| 2601-2800 | 16 | - | - | - | 1 | 17 |
| 2801-3000 | 25 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 27 |
| >3000 | 449 | - | - | - | 12 | 461 |
| TOTALS | 549 | - | 5 | - | 138 | 692 |

## Statistical Section

## SEHEDULE OF AYERAGE MONTHIY BENEFIT PAYMENTS

BY YEARS OF SERVICE



## CIVILIANPATROL

| 1999 | Average Benefit | 0 | 327 | 466 | 1,010 | 1,329 | 2,391 | 2,371 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Retirees | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 0 |
| 2000 | Average Bencfit | 256 | 528 | 648 | 1,177 | 1,715 | 2,523 | 2,874 | 2,050 |
|  | Current Retirees | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| 2001 | Average Benefit | 720 | 508 | 700 | 1,283 | 1,939 | 2,381 | 3,020 | 3,035 |
|  | Current Retirees | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 22 | 10 | 2 |
| 2002 | Average Benefit | 169 | 277 | 807 | 1,187 | 1,901 | 2,387 | 2,250 | 0 |
|  | Current Retirees | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 2003 | Average Benefit | 326 | 362 | 544 | 1,310 | 1,908 | 1,961 | 2,467 | 1,919 |
|  | Current Retirees | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 3 | 4 |



## Statistical Section

## RETIRED MEMBERS DATA [TEN-YEAR AVERAGES]

## RETIREMENT AGE / YEARS OF SERVICE / BENEFTT INFORMATION

(The information contained in this tabulation relates to courent retirees who retired in the years indicated)


[^5] Year 2000 Plan are $80 \%$ of the CPI-U.

## Statistical Section

BENEFIT REGIPIENTS


MEMBERSHIP DISTRIBUTION





[^0]:    * The Retirement System reimburses the department for time spent by these individuals in performing retirement related duties.

[^1]:    520 Dix Road • Jefferson City, Missouri 65109 - 573/635-0227 • FAX 573/634-3764
    Village Green Shopping Center - 1021 W. Buchanan Street, Ste. 10 - California, Missouri 65018 - 573/796-3210 - FAX 573/796-3452
    3938 Hwy. 54, Suite A - Osage Beach, Missouri 65065 - 573/348-4141 - FAX 573/348-0989
    Member Affiliated Offices Worldwide

[^2]:    Space and cost restrictions make it impractical to print the entire investment portfolio in this report. However, a portfolio listing is available for review in the office of the Executive Director of the Highway and Transportation Employees' and Highway Patrol Retirement System.

[^3]:    This summary describes the plan provisions of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (RSMo.), as amended, that governed the programs, which HTEHPRS administered during the period covered by this report. It does not overrule any applicable statute or administrative rule and, in the event of a conflict, the applicable statute or rule would apply. The Year 2000 Plan is effective July $1,2000$.
    ** Final Average Pay -highest 36 consecutive months of pay.

[^4]:    * This number includes two retirement system staff retirees.

[^5]:    NOTE: Annual cost-of-living adjustments for the Closed Plan range between $4 \%$ and $5 \%$. Annual cost-of-living adjustments for the

