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Dear Members of The Kansas City Public School Retirement System Board:

## Actuarial Certification

The annual actuarial valuation required for the Kansas City Public School Retirement System has been prepared as of January 1, 2010 by Buck Consultants. The purposes of the report include:
(1) a presentation of the valuation results of the System as of January 1, 2010;
(2) a review of experience under the System for the year ended January 1, 2010;
(3) the provision of reporting and disclosure information for financial statements, governmental agencies, and other interested parties.

The following schedules that we have prepared are included in this report:
(1) Summary of actuarial assumptions and methods (Section 3.5)
(2) Schedule of active member valuation data (Section 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4)

In preparing this valuation, we have employed generally accepted actuarial methods and assumptions, in conjunction with employee data and financial information provided to us by the System, to determine a sound value for the System liability. The employee data has not been audited, but it has been reviewed and found to be consistent, both internally and with prior years' data
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The contribution requirements are determined as a percentage of payroll, and reflect the cost of benefits accruing in 2010 and a fixed 30-year amortization of unfunded liabilities. The amortization period is set by the Board. Contribution levels are set by statute. The ratio of valuation assets to liabilities decreased from $103.5 \%$ to $99.4 \%$ during the year. This report provides an analysis of the factors that led to the decrease.

A summary of the actuarial assumptions and methods is presented in Section 3.5 of this report. The assumptions, when applied in combination, fairly represent past and anticipated future experience of the System.

Future contribution requirements may differ from those determined in the valuation because of:
(1) differences between actual experience and anticipated experience based on the assumptions;
(2) changes in actuarial assumptions or methods;
(3) changes in statutory provisions; or
(4) differences between the contribution rates determined by the valuation and those adopted by the Board.

The undersigned are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and the Society of Actuaries, are fully qualified to provide actuarial services to the System, and are available to answer questions regarding this report.

We believe that the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes and for the disclosures presented in this report satisfy the parameter requirements set forth in the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25. We believe that this report conforms with the requirements of the Missouri statutes, and where applicable, other federal and accounting laws, regulations and rules, as well as generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

Sincerely,


Michael D. Mills, FSA, EA, MAAA
Director, Consulting Actuary


Michelle Reding DeLange, FSA, EA, MAAA Director, Consulting Actuary
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## Report Highlights

This report has been prepared by Buck Consultants, an ACS Company, to:

- Present the results of a valuation of the Kansas City Public School Retirement System as of January 1, 2010;
- Determine the employer's annual required contribution for purposes of GASB Statement Number 25;
- Review experience under the System for the period January 1, 2009 to January 1, 2010;
- Provide reporting and disclosure information for financial statements, governmental agencies, and other interested parties.

This report is divided into three sections. Section 1 contains the results of the valuation. It includes the experience of the System during the 2009 plan year, the current annual costs, and reporting and disclosure information.

Section 2 provides reporting and disclosure information for financial statements, governmental agencies and other interested parties.

Section 3 describes the basis of the valuation. It summarizes the System provisions, provides information relating to the System members, and describes the funding methods and actuarial assumptions used in determining liabilities and costs.

The principal results are as follows:

| Funding Status as of January 1 | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Valuation assets (AVA) | \$ | 832,609,879 | \$ | 814,536,473 |
| (b) Market value of assets (MVA) | \$ | 624,647,065 | \$ | 693,934,794 |
| (c) Accrued liability | \$ | 804,623,080 | \$ | 819,534,391 |
| (d) Unfunded/(surplus) accrued liability on AVA | \$ | (27,986,799) | \$ | 4,997,918 |
| (e) AVA Funding Ratio, (a)/ (c) |  | 103.5\% |  | 99.4\% |
| (f) MVA Funding Ratio, (b) / (c) |  | 77.6\% |  | 84.7\% |
| Actuarially Required Contribution: |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |
| (a) Annual required contribution | \$ | 3,797,954 | \$ | 7,779,060 |
| (b) Contribution as a percentage of payroll |  | 1.85\% |  | 4.00\% |

## A note about asset values:

Calculations for this report are based on actuarial value of assets, rather than market value, as per the actuarial methodology adopted by the Board. The actuarial value of asset method utilized recognizes asset performance better or worse than expected gradually over five years, rather than immediately. The intent is to produce results that are relatively stable from year to year, avoiding some of the volatility in investment gains and losses.

Because exceptionally severe asset losses in 2008 are only $40 \%$ recognized in actuarial value of assets, the gap between actuarial value and market value of assets is wider than is typical. It is important to realize that if calculations were done based on market value of assets, the Plan's funded ratio would be considerably lower, and the actuarially required contribution would be much larger. Unless large asset gains emerge over the next few years, the plan's funded status will deteriorate and the actuarially required employer contribution rate will increase as asset losses in 2008 are fully recognized in the actuarial value of assets.

## Summary and Comparison of Principal Valuation Results

|  |  | Actuarial valuation as of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Plan participants |  |  |  |  |
| a. Active participants |  | 4,648 |  | 4,336 |
| b. Retired participants and beneficiaries |  | 3,247 |  | 3,317 |
| c. Inactive participants* |  | 1,982 |  | 2,375 |
| Total participants in valuation |  | 9,877 |  | 10,028 |
| 2. Annual covered compensation | \$ | 205,326,108 | \$ | 194,474,437 |
| 3. Actuarial present value of future benefits |  | 936,991,015 |  | 953,792,209 |
| 4. Actuarial accrued liability |  | 804,623,080 |  | 819,534,391 |
| 5. Assets |  |  |  |  |
| a. Actuarial value |  | 832,609,879 |  | 814,536,473 |
| b. Market value |  | 624,647,065 |  | 693,934,794 |
| 6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability |  | $(27,986,799)$ |  | 4,997,918 |
| 7. Employer normal cost - due December 31 |  | 6,283,950 |  | 7,335,108 |
| As a \% of covered compensation |  | 3.06\% |  | 3.77\% |

8. Annual required employer contribution
a. Due December 31
\$ 3,797,954
\$
7,779,060
b. As a \% of covered compensation
1.85\%
4.00\%
9. Ratio of assets to actuarial accrued liability
a. At actuarial value
103\%
99\%
b. At market value

78\%
85\%
10. Projected Benefit Obligation
\$ 803,396,505
\$ 825,816,343
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## Analysis of the Valuation

## (1) Investment Experience

Our actuarial calculations were based upon the assumption that the System's assets earn $8.00 \%$, net of expenses. The approximate market value rate of return during 2009 was $15.2 \%$. The approximate actuarial value rate of return was $0.7 \%$. The investment experience resulted in an actuarial gain to the System of $\$ 44$ million on a market value basis and a loss of $\$ 60$ million on an actuarial value basis.

## (2) Demographic Experience

The number of active members decreased from 4,648 to 4,336 for the period. The average age of active members increased by 0.23 years, the average service increased by 0.42 years, and the average annual salary increased $\$ 676$. There were small changes in the inactive statistics as well. The membership statistics are found in Sections 3.2 through 3.4 of this report. The changes in member data produced an actuarial gain of $\$ 4$ million.

## (3) Salary Increases

The average annual salary increased $1.53 \%$ between January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2010. Annual covered compensation decreased $5.29 \%$ between January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2010, as the decrease in active members outweighed the salary increase.
(4) Changes in Methods from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in methods since the prior valuation.
(5) Changes in Assumptions from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in assumptions since the prior valuation.
(6) Changes in Benefit Provisions from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in benefit provisions since the prior valuation.

## (7) Other Changes

Due to an administrative system upgrade during 2009, the data provided for the January 1 , 2010 valuation contained more detail than for prior years. This allowed us to more precisely value certain aspects of member benefits. Improved data reduced the actuarial liability by $\$ 2.6$ million.

## (8) Summary

The overall effect of system experience during the period resulted in a decrease in the funding ratio from $103.5 \%$ to $99.4 \%$. The total contribution rate increased from $1.85 \%$ to $4.00 \%$.

## Section 1 <br> Valuation Results

This section sets forth the results of the actuarial valuation.
Section 1.1 Shows the asset information as of January 1, 2010.
Section 1.2 Shows the actuarial present values as of January 1, 2009 and 2010.
Section 1.3 Calculates the actuarial gain or loss during 2009.
Section 1.4 Develops the total contribution rate.
Section 1.5 Shows the liability on a projected benefit obligation (PBO) basis.

## Section 1 (continued)

## 1.1(a) Statement of Changes in Net Assets as of January 1, 2010

| ADDITIONS TO NET ASSETS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Investment Income |  |
| Net (depreciation) appreciation in fair value of investments | \$ 82,557,217 |
| Interest | 7,955,160 |
| Dividends | 2,719,241 |
| Other income | 13,333 |
| Investment income before expenses | 93,244,951 |
| Less: investment expenses | 2,053,401 |
| Total investment income | 91,191,550 |
| Contributions |  |
| Employers | 27,656,639 |
| Employees | 14,995,429 |
| Total Contributions | 42,652,068 |
| TOTAL ADDITIONS TO NET ASSETS | 133,843,618 |
| DEDUCTIONS FROM NET ASSETS |  |
| Benefits paid directly to participants | 59,226,394 |
| Refunds of contributions | 4,016,669 |
| Depreciation expense | 82,356 |
| Administrative expenses | 1,230,470 |
| TOTAL DEDUCTION FROM ASSETS | 64,555,889 |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE | 69,287,729 |
| NET ASSETS AVAILABLE FOR BENEFITS |  |
| Beginning of year | 624,647,065 |
| End of year | \$693,934,794 |

Based on unaudited assets, with some columns not adding up to totals due to rounding.

## Section 1 (continued)

## 1.1(b) Statement of Net Assets as of January 1, 2010

## As of January 1, 2010

## ASSETS

## INVESTMENTS, AT MARKET VALUE

Cash and short term investments
\$ 21,346,065

US treasury securities
1,496,202
Corporate fixed income
49,048,390
Government bonds \& gov't mortgage-backed securities
29,922,287
Commingled domestic fixed income
57,713,813
Global fixed income
38,975,081
Domestic equity
273,489,457
International equity
101,726,593
Pooled real estate funds
21,329,561
Alternative investments
60,392,094
Private equity
Total Investments, at market value
\$ $\frac{19,794,695}{675,234,238}$

## RECEIVABLES

Plan members contributions \$ 274,091
Employers contributions
Securities sold
12,177,842
Accrued interest and dividends
Total Receivables
$\$ \frac{1,654,432}{21,086,646}$
OTHER ASSETS
Cash 1,883,380
Fixed assets
3,497,194
Other assets
Total Other assets
\$ 5,419,853
TOTAL ASSETS
\$ 701,740,737

## LIABILITIES

Due to broker for securities purchased
\$ 6,906,790
Accounts payable
811,241
Accrued payroll expenses
87,912
Total Liabilities
7,805,943

NET ASSETS AVAILABLE FOR BENEFITS
693,934,794

Based on unaudited assets, with some numbers adjusted to make numbers add as shown.

## Section 1 (continued)

## 1.1(c) Actuarial Value of Assets

The actuarial value of assets method was changed effective January 1, 2009 to recognize future investment gains and losses at 20\% per year over 5 years. Assets are initialized as of January 1, 2008 and will be phased-in over the next five years.

## 2010

(1) Deferral of Investment Return for 2009
(a) Market Value, January 1, 2009
\$624,647,065
(b) Contributions for 2009 42,652,068
(c) Benefit Payments for 2009 63,243,063
(d) Expenses for 2009 3,366,227
(e) Actual Investment Return 93,244,951
(f) Expected Return Rate $\quad 8.00 \%$
(g) Expected Return - Weighted for Timing*

$$
(a . \times f .)+\left[(b .-c .-d .) \times\left(\left((1+f .)^{\wedge} 0.5\right)-1\right)\right]
$$

(h) Investment Gain/(Loss) for the Year (e, -g.)

44,213,039
(i) Deferred Investment Return (h. $\times 80 \%$ )

35,370,431
(2) Actuarial Value, January 1, 2010
(a) Market Value, January 1, 2010
\$693,934,794
(b) Total Deferred Investment Return/(Loss)
(120,601,679)
(c) Actuarial Value, January 1, 2010 (a. - b.) 814,536,473
(d) Ratio of Actuarial Value of Assets to Market Value of Assets
(e) Approximate Actuarial Value Investment Return Rate During 2009 Net of All Expenses

* Contributions, benefit payments, and expenses are assumed to be paid mid-year.

The tables below show the development of gain/(loss) to be recognized in the current year.

| Plan Year <br> Ended | Asset Gain/(Loss) | Gain/(Loss) <br> Recognized in Prior <br> Years | Gain/(Loss) <br> Recognized This <br> Year | Gain/(Loss) <br> Deferred to <br> Future Years |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 31 / 2008$ | $\$(259,953,518)$ | $\$(51,990,704)$ | $\$(51,990,704)$ | $\$(155,972,110)$ |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2009$ | $\$ 44,213,039$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$ 8,842,608$ | $\$ 35,370,431$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$(215,740,479)$ | $\$(51,990,704)$ | $\$(43,148,096)$ | $\$(120,601,679)$ |  |

## Section 1 (continued)

## 1.1(d) Investment Stabilization Reserve

There was no activity in the investment stabilization reserve during 2009.
Investment Stabilization Reserve Reconciliation
(a) Balance as of January 1, 2009 \$ 0
(b) Subtractions 0
(c) Additions 0
(d) Balance as of January 1, 2010

In order to protect the system's funding requirements against a significant shortfall in the rate of return, the Board of Trustees adopted a policy establishing an investment stabilization reserve effective January 1, 2000. A transfer will be made to the investment stabilization reserve when the rate of return on an actuarial valuation basis exceeds $9.00 \%$ or from the investment stabilization reserve when the rate of return is less than $7.00 \%$. The rate of return during 2009 was $0.7 \%$, which would have triggered a transfer from the investment stabilization reserve however no reserve funds were available.

## Section 1 (continued) <br> 1.2 Breakdown of Accrued Liability and Normal Cost

|  | January 1,2009 | January 1, 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal Cost |  |  |
| Retirement Benefits | \$ 13,494,697 | \$ 13,861,890 |
| Disability Benefits | 643,498 | 708,255 |
| Death Benefits | 906,888 | 888,465 |
| Withdrawal Benefits | 6,172,847 | 5,918,740 |
| Total Normal Cost | \$ 21,217,930 | \$ 21,377,350 |
| Accrued Liability |  |  |
| Active Members |  |  |
| Retirement Benefits | \$ 375,462,050 | \$ 373,210,603 |
| Disability Benefits | 12,188,820 | 12,026,279 |
| Death Benefits | 14,765,635 | 14,544,654 |
| Withdrawal Benefits | 31,123,992 | 29,318,248 |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs | $(132,367,935)$ | $(134,257,819)$ |
| Subtotal | \$301,172,562 | \$ 294,841,965 |
| Benefit Recipients |  |  |
| Retiree Benefits | \$452,545,617 | \$473,721,138 |
| Survivor Benefits | 14,626,338 | 15,412,041 |
| Disability Benefits | 10,656,455 | 9,788,190 |
| Subtotal | \$477,828,410 | \$498,921,369 |
| Inactive Members |  |  |
| Deferred Vested Retirement Benefits | N/A | \$ 19,849,076 |
| Nonvested Account Balance | N/A | \$ 5,921,981 |
| Subtotal | \$ 25,622,108 | \$ 25,771,057 |
| Total Accrued Liability | \$ 804,623,080 | \$819,534,391 |

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.3 Development of Actuarial Gain/(Loss) for 2009

(1) Accrued Liability, January 1, 2009
(2) Normal Cost for 2009
(3) Interest on (1) and (2) at 8.00\%
(4) Benefit Payments for 2009
(5) Interest on (4) at $8.00 \%$ for one-half year
(6) Change in Assumptions and Methods*
(7) Expected Accrued Liability, January 1, 2010
$(1)+(2)+(3)-(4)-(5)+(6)$
(8) Accrued Liability, January 1,2010
(9) Liability Gain/(Loss) (7)-(8)
(10) Valuation Assets, January 1, 2009
(11) Interest on (10) at $8.00 \%$
(12) Employer Contributions for 2009
(13) Employee Contributions for 2009
(14) Interest on (12) and (13) at $8.00 \%$ for one-half year
(15) Benefit Payments for 2009
(16) Interest on (15) at $8.00 \%$ for one-half year
(17) Expenses for 2009
(18) Interest on (17) at $8.00 \%$ for one-half year
(19) Change in Assumptions and Methods
(20) Expected Valuation Assets, January 1, 2010
$(10)+(11)+(12)+(13)+(14)-(15)-(16)-$ (17) $-(18)+(19)$
(21) Valuation Assets, January 1, 2010
(22) Asset Gain/(Loss) (21) - (20)
(23) Actuarial Gain/(Loss) (9) + (22)
\$ 804,623,080
21,217,930
66,067,281
63,243,063
2,481,056
(2,600,933)

823,583,239
$819,534,391$
$4,048,848$

| MVA |
| ---: |
| $624,647,065$ |
| $49,971,765$ |
| $27,656,639$ |
| $14,995,429$ |
|  |
| $1,673,261$ |
| $63,243,063$ |
| $2,481,056$ |
| $3,366,227$ |
| 132,059 |
| 0 |

AVA
832,609,879
66,608,790
27,656,639
14,995,429
1,673,261
63,243,063
2,481,056
3,366,227
132,059
$\qquad$

| $649,721,754$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $693,934,794$ |  |
| $44,213,040$ |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l}874,321,593 \\ 814,536,473 \\ \hline\end{array} 59,785,120\right)$ |

$(55,736,272)$
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## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.4 Calculation of Annual Contribution Rate

1. Actuarial present value (APV) of all future benefits
a. Active participants
b. Retired participants and beneficiaries
c. Inactive participants
d. Total APV of future benefits $(a .+b .+c)$
2. a. Total normal cost (beginning of year)
b. Employee portion of normal cost
c. Employer normal cost (a. - b.)
3. Total covered compensation
4. a. Employer normal cost rate at beginning of year (2c) / (3)
b. Total normal cost rate, (2a) / (3)
5. Actuarial accrued liability, (AAL)
6. Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)
7. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability, (5) - (6)
8. Payment to amortize unfunded over 30 years, as of end of year
9. Employer Normal cost contribution due by end of year, (2c) adjusted for interest at 8\%
10. Annual required normal cost contribution due end of year as a \% of covered compensation (9) / (3)
11. Annual required contribution due end of year
12. Contribution rate (11)/(3)
year as of covered compensaion (9)/(3)

|  | January 1, 2009 |  | January 1, 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 433,540,497 | \$ | 429,099,783 |
|  | 477,828,410 |  | 498,921,369 |
|  | 25,622,108 |  | 25,771,057 |
| \$ | 936,991,015 | \$ | 953,792,209 |

\$ $21,217,930$ \$ $21,377,350$

$\$ \quad 205,326,108 \quad \$ \quad 194,474,437$

| $2.8338 \%$ | $3.4924 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $10.3338 \%$ | $10.9924 \%$ |

$\$ \quad 804,623,080 \quad \$ \quad 819,534,394$
\$ 832,609,879 \$ 814,536,473
$(27,986,799) \quad 4,997,918$
$(2,485,996) \quad 443,952$
$\$ \quad 6,283,950 \quad \$ \quad 7,335,108$

$$
3.06 \% \quad 3.77 \%
$$

$\$ 3,797,954 \quad \$ \quad 7,779,060$
$1.85 \% \quad 4.00 \%$

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.5 Projected Benefit Obligation Funded Status

Projected benefit obligation by participant status

1. Retired participants and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits and terminated participants not yet receiving benefits
2. Current active participants
a. Accumulated member contributions, including interest
b. Employer-financed vested benefits

Total projected benefit obligation

Projected benefit obligation funded status

1. Net assets available for benefits at actuarial value
2. Unfunded projected benefit obligation at actuarial value
3. Actuarial value funding ratio
4. Net assets available for benefits at market value
5. Unfunded projected benefit obligation at market value
6. Market value funding ratio
$78 \%$

January 1, 2009
\$ 503,450,518 \$ 524,692,426

\$ 832,609,879 \$ 814,536,473
$(29,213,374) \quad 11,279,870$
104\%
\$ 624,647,065 \$
178,749,440
January 1, 2010

139,860,248
161,263,669
\$ 803,396,505 \$ 825,816,343

99\%
693,934,794
131,881,549

84\%

## Section 2 <br> Information Required by GASB No. 25

This section contains supplementary information on retirement benefits that is required to be disclosed in financial statements to comply with Statements No. 25 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB No. 25).

Section 2.1 Shows the Schedule of Employer Contributions.
Section 2.2 Shows the Schedule of Funding Progress.
Section 2.3 Shows the percent funded by type of liability, known as the Prioritized Solvency Test.
Section 2.4 Shows the Actuarial Assumptions, Methods and Additional Information.

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.1 Schedule of Employer Contributions

The following information is required to satisfy the reporting requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25 on Financial Reporting for Deferred Benefit Plans.

The following table develops the percentage of the employer's annual required contribution that was actually made.

| Fiscal Year Ending <br> December 31 | Annual Required <br> Contribution (ARC) | Actual Contribution | Percentage of ARC <br> Contributed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | $\$$ | $11,011,221$ | $\$$ | $3,489,812$ | $31.69 \%$ |
| 1996 | $\$$ | $9,443,721$ | $\$$ | $5,365,843$ | $56.82 \%$ |
| 1997 | $\$$ | $7,055,431$ | $\$$ | $8,538,810$ | $121.02 \%$ |
| 1998 | $\$$ | $5,999,525$ | $\$$ | $9,997,549$ | $166.64 \%$ |
| 1999 | $\$$ | $5,249,589$ | $\$$ | $9,951,876$ | $189.57 \%$ |
| 2000 | $\$$ | $9,309,354$ | $\$$ | $14,368,922$ | $154.35 \%$ |
| 2001 | $\$$ | $10,996,382$ | $\$$ | $12,176,329$ | $110.73 \%$ |
| 2002 | $\$$ | $12,133,966$ | $\$$ | $13,514,997$ | $111.38 \%$ |
| 2003 | $\$$ | $10,984,595$ | $\$$ | $13,429,802$ | $122.26 \%$ |
| 2004 | $\$$ | $12,338,049$ | $\$$ | $14,002,317$ | $113.49 \%$ |
| 2005 | $\$$ | $12,769,634$ | $\$$ | $14,691,137$ | $115.05 \%$ |
| 2006 | $\$$ | $11,774,051$ | $\$$ | $14,431,062$ | $122.57 \%$ |
| 2007 | $\$$ | $11,523,380$ | $\$$ | $15,365,235$ | $133.34 \%$ |
| 2008 | $\$$ | $3,832,178$ | $\$$ | $15,888,234$ | $414.60 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$$ | $3,797,954$ | $\$$ | $27,656,639^{*}$ | $728.20 \%^{*}$ |
| 2010 | $\$$ | $7,779,060$ |  | $* *$ | $* *$ |

*Includes accrued employer contributions, which were previously not included.
**To be determined at the end of the year.

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.2 Schedule of Funding Progress

The following information is required to satisfy the reporting requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25 on Financial Reporting for Deferred Benefit Plans.

The exhibit below calculated the unfunded accrued liability as a percentage of payroll.

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets (a) |  | Actuarial Accrued Liabilities (AAL) <br> (b) |  | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a) |  | Funded Ratio (a/b) | Covered Payroll (c) |  | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ( $(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{c}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1/1995 | \$ | 353,329,957 | \$ | 368,874,780 | \$ | 33,544,823 | 91.3\% | \$ | 185,374,096 | 18.1\% |
| 1/1/1996 | \$ | 389,103,803 | \$ | 409,428,594 | \$ | 20,324,791 | 95.0\% | \$ | 171,262,008 | 11.9\% |
| 1/1/1997 | \$ | 428,419,710 | \$ | 429,517,108 | \$ | 1,097,398 | 99.7\% | \$ | 161,802,480 | 0.7\% |
| 1/1/1998 | \$ | 482,599,919 | \$ | 442,614,693 | \$ | $(39,985,225)$ | 102.3\% | \$ | 168,328,728 | -23.8\% |
| 1/1/1999 | \$ | 624,225,667 | \$ | 564,056,509 | \$ | $(60,169,158)$ | 110.7\% | \$ | 153,733,920 | -39.1\% |
| 1/1/2000 | \$ | 660,830,255 | \$ | 640,614,688 | \$ | $(20,215,567)$ | 103.2\% | \$ | 151,091,616 | -13.4\% |
| 1/1/2001 | \$ | 696,071,310 | \$ | 682,531,577 | \$ | $(13,539,734)$ | 102.0\% | \$ | 165,795,367 | -8.2\% |
| 1/1/2002 | \$ | 718,703,692 | \$ | 701,725,938 | \$ | $(16,977,755)$ | 102.4\% | \$ | 171,523,233 | -9.9\% |
| 1/1/2003 | \$ | 717,681,067 | \$ | 701,114,370 | \$ | $(16,566,697)$ | 102.4\% | \$ | 168,391,474 | -9.8\% |
| 1/1/2004 | \$ | 738,612,110 | \$ | 716,126,707 | \$ | $(22,485,404)$ | 103.1\% | \$ | 186,528,530 | -12.1\% |
| 1/1/2005 | \$ | 763,684,602 | \$ | 747,711,194 | \$ | $(15,973,408)$ | 102.1\% | \$ | 195,866,663 | -8.2\% |
| 1/1/2006 | \$ | 788,788,666 | \$ | 780,663,389 | \$ | $(8,125,277)$ | 101.0\% | \$ | 187,445,140 | -4.3\% |
| 1/1/2007 | \$ | 824,302,795 | \$ | 818,027,315 | \$ | $(6,275,480)$ | 100.8\% | \$ | 199,221,110 | -3.2\% |
| 1/1/2008 | \$ | 854,123,580 | \$ | 781,284,025 | \$ | $(72,839,554)$ | 109.3\% | \$ | 202,311,837 | -36.0\% |
| 1/1/2009 | \$ | 832,609,879 | \$ | 804,623,080 | \$ | $(27,986,799)$ | 103.5\% | \$ | 205,326,108 | -13.6\% |
| 1/1/2010 | \$ | 814,536,473 | \$ | 819,534,391 | \$ | 4,997,918 | 99.4\% | \$ | 194,474,437 | 2.6\% |

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.3 Prioritized Solvency Test

A prioritized solvency test is an additional means of checking a system's progress under its funding program. In a prioritized solvency test, the plan's present assets (cash and investments) are compared with:

- Active participant contributions, accumulated with interest;
- The liabilities for future benefits to present inactive participants and beneficiaries; and
- The liabilities for service already rendered by active members.

In a system that has been following the discipline of level percent of payroll financing, the liabilities for active participant accumulated contributions (liability 1) and the liabilities for future benefits to inactive participants and beneficiaries (liability 2 ) will be fully covered by assets if all assumptions are met. In addition, the liabilities for service already rendered by active participants (liability 3) are normally partially covered by the remainder of the present assets. Generally, if the system has been using level cost financing, the funded portion of liability 3 will increase over time. Liability 3 being fully funded does not necessarily result from level percent of payroll funding methods. The schedule on the following page illustrates the history of the liabilities of the system and is indicative of the system following the discipline of level percent of compensation funding.

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.3 Prioritized Solvency Test

Prioritized Solvency Test

| Valuation | Active <br> participants' <br> accumulated |
| :---: | :---: |
| date | accum <br> contributions |
| January 1 |  |

Retirees,
beneficiaries
and inactive
participants

## Active

participants
(employer-

financed) $\quad$| Valuation |
| :---: |
| assets |

## Percent covered by valuation assets

|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |  | $(3)$ |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | $\$$ | $54,703,473$ | $\$ 60,096,766$ | $\$$ | $45,027,324$ | $\$ 157,538,001$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 1988 | $\$$ | $60,631,019$ | $\$$ | $68,133,929$ | $\$$ | $45,164,333$ | $\$ 172,932,203$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1989 | $\$$ | $68,032,000$ | $\$$ | $72,476,675$ | $\$$ | $50,436,314$ | $\$ 192,074,767$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1990 | $\$$ | $77,843,936$ | $\$$ | $79,855,895$ | $\$$ | $52,384,902$ | $\$ 220,844,765$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | $\$$ | $86,392,672$ | $\$$ | $77,212,948$ | $\$$ | $62,859,420$ | $\$ 241,369,537$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $121 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | $\$$ | $91,688,784$ | $\$ 101,408,720$ | $\$$ | $69,055,820$ | $\$ 278,065,508$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $123 \%$ |
| 1993 | $\$$ | $98,482,791$ | $\$ 102,336,338$ | $\$$ | $61,479,865$ | $\$ 307,050,085$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $173 \%$ |
| 1994 | $\$$ | $99,547,061$ | $\$ 123,475,760$ | $\$ 121,674,513$ | $\$ 336,466,320$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ |  |
| 1995 | $\$ 110,658,079$ | $\$ 144,027,489$ | $\$ 124,562,502$ | $\$ 353,451,344$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $79 \%$ |  |  |
| 1996 | $\$ 108,123,636$ | $\$ 177,617,507$ | $\$ 117,169,151$ | $\$ 389,103,803$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $88 \%$ |  |  |
| 1997 | $\$ 104,554,877$ | $\$ 231,762,583$ | $\$ 91,329,968$ | $\$ 428,419,710$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $101 \%$ |  |  |
| 1998 | $\$ 115,847,655$ | $\$ 228,328,855$ | $\$ 108,592,620$ | $\$ 482,599,919$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $127 \%$ |  |  |
| 1999 | $\$ 117,478,379$ | $\$ 274,442,924$ | $\$ 172,607,724$ | $\$ 624,225,667$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $135 \%$ |  |  |
| 2000 | $\$ 113,334,820$ | $\$ 343,382,932$ | $\$ 184,049,309$ | $\$ 660,830,255$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $111 \%$ |  |  |
| 2001 | $\$ 115,781,706$ | $\$ 389,055,603$ | $\$ 184,779,937$ | $\$ 696,071,310$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $103 \%$ |  |  |
| 2002 | $\$ 119,968,776$ | $\$ 406,094,033$ | $\$ 187,309,245$ | $\$ 718,703,692$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $103 \%$ |  |  |
| 2003 | $\$ 112,468,027$ | $\$ 435,548,298$ | $\$ 165,766,206$ | $\$ 717,681,067$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $102 \%$ |  |  |
| 2004 | $\$ 125,754,562$ | $\$ 430,145,689$ | $\$ 179,264,397$ | $\$ 738,612,110$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $102 \%$ |  |  |
| 2005 | $\$ 127,221,118$ | $\$ 431,366,177$ | $\$ 201,836,083$ | $\$ 763,684,602$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $102 \%$ |  |  |
| 2006 | $\$ 133,811,729$ | $\$ 477,844,206$ | $\$ 177,531,611$ | $\$ 788,788,666$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 2007 | $\$ 136,978,872$ | $\$ 498,841,373$ | $\$ 187,966,845$ | $\$ 824,302,795$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 2008 | $\$ 140,844,707$ | $\$ 492,273,102$ | $\$ 156,840,245$ | $\$ 854,123,580$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $141 \%$ |  |  |
| 2009 | $\$ 140,096,771$ | $\$ 503,450,518$ | $\$ 161,075,791$ | $\$ 832,609,879$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $117 \%$ |  |  |
| 2010 | $\$ 139,860,248$ | $\$ 524,692,426$ | $\$ 154,981,717$ | $\$ 814,536,473$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  |  |

Section 2 (continued)

### 2.4 Actuarial Assumptions, Methods and Additional Information

| Valuation Date | January 1, 2010 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry Age Normal Level Percentage of Pay |
| Amortization Method | Level Dollar, Open Period |
| Equivalent Single Amortization Period | 30 years |
| Asset Valuation Method | 5 -year smoothed market |
| Actuarial Assumptions: |  |
| $\quad$ Investment rate of return | $8.00 \%$ |
| Participant account crediting rate | $5.00 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Projected salary increases | $5.00 \%$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ Includes inflation at | $3.5 \%$ |
| Cost-of-living adjustment | $0.00 \%$ |

## Section 3

## Basis of the Valuation

In this section, the basis of the valuation is presented and described. This information - the provisions of the System and the census of members - is the foundation of the valuation, since these are the present facts upon which benefit payments will depend.

A summary of the System's provisions, as described starting in Section 169.280 in the Revised Statutes of Missouri, is provided in Section 3.1 and member census information is shown in Section 3.2 to Section 3.4 .

The valuation is based upon the premise that the System will continue in existence, so that future events must also be considered. These future events are assumed to occur in accordance with the actuarial assumptions and concern such events as the earnings of the fund; the number of members who will retire, die or terminate their services; their ages at such termination and their expected benefits.

The actuarial assumptions and the actuarial cost method, or funding method, which have been adopted to guide the sponsor in funding the System in a reasonable and acceptable manner, are described in Section 3.5.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Effective date

January 1, 1944, most recently amended in 2004.

## Plan A versus Plan B

All provisions cited below reflect Plan B benefit levels, which apply to all current active members. Some inactive members and retirees participated in Plan A, which provided different benefit levels. All members with Plan A benefits have terminated or retired.

## Eligibility for coverage

All regular employees of the School District of Kansas City, Missouri, the library district or the retirement system and certain employees of charter schools become participants as a condition of employment. Regular employment means working at least five hours per day, five days per week, nine months per year. Temporary and part-time employees are excluded.

## Service

Creditable service is participant service, which is service for which required contributions have been made. There is no cap on creditable service. Prior to 1990, creditable service could not exceed 35 years. The maximum retirement benefit is $60 \%$ of Average Final Compensation, which will be reached upon attainment of 30 years of service.

## Annual compensation

A participant's annual compensation level will be the regular compensation shown on the salary and wage schedules, excluding extra pay, overtime pay, or any pay not on the schedule. Annual compensation will be limited to the scheduled level for a principal with a master's degree for all years prior to 1989 . For years after 1988, there is no limitation on annual compensation.

## Average final compensation

The average final compensation is the highest average annual compensation paid during any four consecutive years of service.

## Normal retirement

## Eligibility

Participants may retire after (a) the completion of five years of creditable service and the attainment of age 60, or (b) having a total of at least 75 credits, with each year of creditable service and year of age, both prorated for fractional years, equal to one credit.

## Benefit

The normal retirement benefit payable monthly equals one twelfth of 2.00\% (1.75\% for participants who retired prior to June 30, 1999) of the participant's average final compensation multiplied by years of creditable service, subject to a maximum of $60 \%$ of average final compensation. Any participant whose years of creditable service exceed 34.25 years on August 28,1993 shall have a maximum greater than $60 \%$, which shall be equal to $1.75 \%$ times the participant's years of creditable service on August 28, 1993.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Minimum benefit

Effective January 1, 1996, any participant with at least 20 years of creditable service at retirement is entitled to a minimum benefit of $\$ 300$ per month, or the actuarial equivalent of $\$ 300$ if an option was elected. Any participant with at least ten years of creditable service, but less than 20 years, is entitled to a minimum benefit of $\$ 150$ per month, plus $\$ 15$ for each full year of creditable service in excess of ten years, or its actuarial equivalent if an option was elected. Beneficiaries of deceased participants who elected an option and who retired with at least ten years of creditable service receive the actuarial equivalent of the minimum benefit. If a participant's accumulated contributions provides more than the participant's retirement benefit (under the actuarial assumptions adopted by the Board of Trustees), the participant's benefit will be increased by this excess.

## Early retirement

Eligibility
Participants may retire at any time after the completion of five years of creditable service and the attainment of age 55.

## Benefit

A participant eligible for early retirement will receive a reduced benefit, with the reduction based on the number of months preceding eligibility for a normal retirement benefit. The reduction factors are as follows:

| Age | Reduction Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 59 | 0.91662 |
| 58 | 0.84138 |
| 57 | 0.77334 |
| 56 | 0.71168 |
| 55 | 0.65572 |

## Disability retirement

## Eligibility

A participant with at least five years of creditable service who is certified to be totally incapacitated for performance of duty by the Medical Board is eligible for a disability retirement.

## Benefit

A disabled participant will receive an unreduced benefit, calculated as for normal retirement, based on service and average final compensation at actual retirement date. The minimum disability retirement benefit will be the lesser of (a) $25 \%$ of the participant's average final compensation, or (b) the participant's service retirement allowance calculated on the participant's average final compensation and the maximum number of years of creditable service the participant would have earned had the participant remained an employee until age 60. Disability benefits are payable immediately.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Vested termination benefits

Eligibility
A participant who has at least five years of creditable service earns a vested interest in his or her accrued benefit, provided the participant leaves his or her contributions in the system.

## Benefit

The vested benefit is calculated as a normal retirement benefit based on service and average final compensation at date of termination and is payable at minimum normal retirement date.

## Non-vested benefits

## Benefit

If the participant's termination is for reasons other than death or retirement and if the participant has not met the vesting or retirement requirements, the participant's contributions with interest will be refunded.

## Death benefit

## Prior to retirement

For a participant who dies before retirement, the participant's designated beneficiary is entitled to receive a monthly retirement benefit if (a) the participant was an active employee, or (b) the participant was an inactive vested member who met the age requirements for either normal or early retirement. The participant's designated beneficiary has the option of selecting a monthly benefit under Option 1 with immediate commencement, or receiving a refund of contributions accumulated with interest.

For an inactive vested participant who dies before retirement and has not met the age requirements for retirement, the participant's accumulated contributions with interest will be paid to the participant's designated beneficiary.

The designated beneficiary is either the participant's spouse or person determined by the Board of Trustees to have been dependent upon the deceased participant. If the beneficiary elects Option 1, such benefit shall be calculated as if the deceased participant had at least ten years of creditable service at the time of death. If the beneficiary is a child, the benefit is only payable until age nineteen.

## Postretirement

The optional form of benefit payment selected will determine what, if any, benefits are payable upon death after retirement. Participants are guaranteed to receive at least their accumulated contributions at retirement, if they die before electing an option.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Normal form of benefit payments

The normal form of benefit payment is the normal retirement benefit amount paid monthly for the life of the participant. If the participant should die before receiving payments totaling the amount of their contributions to the plan, the designated beneficiary shall receive a lump sum payment of the remaining amount.

## Optional forms of benefit payments

Participants may elect from the following optional forms of benefit payment:

## Option 1

Option 1 provides a reduced retirement benefit that will continue on to a designated beneficiary Upon a retiree's death, the retiree's designated beneficiary will receive for life, the same level of monthly retirement benefit. In the event the retiree's designated beneficiary predeceases the retiree, the retiree's monthly retirement benefit will be adjusted to the amount that would have been paid in the normal form of payment.

## Option 2

Option 2 provides a reduced retirement benefit that will continue on to a designated beneficiary. Upon a retiree's death, the retiree's designated beneficiary will receive for life, a monthly benefit equal to one-half of the retiree's monthly retirement benefit. In the event the retiree's designated beneficiary predeceases the retiree, the retiree's monthly retirement benefit will be adjusted to the amount that would have been paid in the normal form of payment.

## Option 3

Option 3 provides that upon a retiree's death, no benefits are payable to the retiree's estate or any beneficiary. Retirement benefits payable under this option will be actuarially increased from the normal form.

Each of the above options produces benefits which are actuarially equivalent to the normal form of benefit which is a monthly annuity payable for the lifetime of the retiree. These options are not available under disability retirement, only service retirement.

## Cost-of-living allowances

The Board of Trustees shall determine annually whether or not the system can provide an increase in benefits for those retirees who, as of the January 1 preceding the date of such increase, have been retired at least one year. Any such increase also applies to optional retirement allowances paid to a retiree's beneficiary. The Board makes its determination as follows:

1. The actuary recommends to the Board what portion of the investment return is available for increases and the amount available to be paid on the first day of the 14th month following the end of the valuation year. The actuary's recommendation is subject to the following safeguards:
a. The system's funded ratio as of the January 1st of the preceding year of the proposed increase must be at least $100 \%$ after adjusting for the effect of proposed increase. The funded ratio is the ratio of assets to the pension benefit obligation.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Cost-of-living allowances (continued)

b. The actuarially required contribution rate, after adjusting for the effect of the proposed increase, may not exceed the statutory contribution rate.
c. The actuary must certify that the proposed increase will not impair the actuarial soundness of the system.
2. The Board reviews the actuary's recommendation and shall, in their discretion, determine if an increase may be granted. In accordance with Board policy, if an increase is permissible, the amount of the increase will be equal to the lesser of $3 \%$ or the percentage increase in the CPI for the preceding year, subject to a cumulative increase of $100 \%$ subsequent to December 31, 2000.
3. This provision does not guarantee an annual increase to any retired participant.

## Administration of the retirement system

The Board of Trustees is responsible for the general administration and proper operation of the retirement system. The Board consists of 12 members - four members appointed by the Board of Education, one member appointed by the Board of Trustees of the library district, four members elected by and from the participants of the retirement system, two members elected by and from the retirees of the retirement system, and the Superintendent of Schools of the School District of Kansas City, Missouri. Administrative expenses are paid out of the general reserve fund.

## Employee contributions

Participants contribute 7.5\% of earnable annual compensation. Prior to January 1, 1999, participants contributed $5.9 \%$. Prior to 1990, participants contributed $5.0 \%$ of earnable annual compensation plus $2.0 \%$ of earnable annual compensation in excess of $\$ 6,500$, the contribution earnings base.

## Employer contributions

The employers of participants contribute at the fixed rate of $1.99 \%$ of covered compensation effective July 1, 1993; 3.99\% effective July 1, 1995; $5.99 \%$ effective July 1, 1996; and 7.50\% effective January 1, 1999. Prior to July 1, 1993, employer contributions were actuarially determined.

## Changes from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in plan provisions since the prior valuation.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.2 Changes in System Participation

|  | Active Members | Retirees | Beneficiaries | Disabled | Deferred Vested | Nonvested with Balance | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total at January 1, 2009 | 4,648 | 2,968 | 173 | 106 | 421 | 1,561 | 9,877 |
| New Entrants | 343 |  | 27 |  |  | 14 | 384 |
| Rehires/Transfers | 39 | (3) |  |  | (36) |  | 0 |
| Retirements | (151) | 176 |  | (3) | (22) |  | 0 |
| Deaths | (3) | (113) | (6) | (4) |  |  | (126) |
| Vested Terminations | (114) |  |  |  | 114 |  | 0 |
| Nonvested Terminations | (236) |  |  |  |  | 236 | 0 |
| Refunds Paid | (190) |  | (12) |  | (13) | (225) | (440) |
| Data Adjustments* |  | 8 |  |  | 2 | 323 | 333 |
| Total as of January 1, 2010 | 4,336 | 3,036 | 182 | 99 | 466 | 1,909 | 10,028 |

## Note on New Entrants:

343 new members were hired during the year and are still active as of January 1, 2010.
14 new members were hired during the year, but terminated and still have contributions on deposit as of January 1, 2010.
27 beneficiaries began receiving a monthly pension during 2009 as a result of a member's death.
Note on Data Adjustments:
All members listed in the data adjustments row are those owed a benefit by the system who were not previously reported.

## Section 3 (continued)

3.3 Member Census Information

| As of January 1 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 4,862 |  | 4,648 |  | 4,336 |
| Average Age |  | 47.17 |  | 47.23 |  | 47.46 |
| Average Service |  | 8.76 |  | 8.99 |  | 9.41 |
| Average Annual Base Pay | \$ | 42,247 | \$ | 44,175 | \$ | 44.851 |
| Terminated Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 1,924 |  | 1,982 |  | N/A |
| Average Account Balance | \$ | 4,278 | \$ | 8,618 | \$ | N/A |
| Vested Terminated Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | 466 |
| Average Account Balance | \$ | N/A | \$ | N/A | \$ | 28,396 |
| Non-vested Terminated Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | 1,909 |
| Average Account Balance | \$ | N/A | \$ | N/A | \$ | 3,102 |
| Benefit Recipients |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 3,283 |  | 3,247 |  | 3,317 |
| Average Age |  | 72.36 |  | 71.92 |  | 71.96 |
| Average Monthly Benefit | \$ | 1,479 | \$ | 1,485 | \$ | 1,505 |

Section 3 (continued)

### 3.4 Distributions of Active Members

Years of Service By Age
Charter Schools

|  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40+$ | Total |  |
| Under 25 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |  |
| $25-29$ | 152 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 |  |
| $30-34$ | 117 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |  |
| $35-39$ | 101 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |  |
| $40-44$ | 81 | 19 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 |  |
| $45-49$ | 51 | 21 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |  |
| $50-54$ | 61 | 22 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |  |
| $55-59$ | 58 | 24 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 107 |  |
| $60-64$ | 30 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 |  |
| $65-69$ | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 |  |
| $70 \&$ Up | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Total | 713 | 179 | 46 | 17 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 973 |  |

Years of Service By Age
School District \& Retirement System

|  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40+$ | Total |
| Under 25 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| $25-29$ | 133 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| $30-34$ | 109 | 84 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| $35-39$ | 83 | 62 | 50 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| $40-44$ | 91 | 78 | 57 | 43 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291 |
| $45-49$ | 116 | 108 | 59 | 80 | 99 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 483 |
| $50-54$ | 114 | 137 | 79 | 84 | 109 | 44 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 589 |
| $55-59$ | 102 | 130 | 71 | 113 | 119 | 18 | 32 | 10 | 0 | 595 |
| $60-64$ | 61 | 105 | 58 | 66 | 75 | 15 | 16 | 29 | 0 | 425 |
| $65-69$ | 16 | 21 | 20 | 14 | 21 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 113 |
| $70 \&$ Up | 4 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 44 |
| Total | 940 | 770 | 409 | 413 | 451 | 103 | 75 | 60 | 0 | 3,222 |

Section 3 (continued)

### 3.4 Distributions of Active Members

Years of Service By Age Library

| Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40+$ | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| $35-39$ | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| $40-44$ | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| $45-49$ | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| $50-54$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| $55-59$ | 6 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| $60-64$ | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| $65-69$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| $70 \&$ Up | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 54 | 31 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 141 |  |  |  |  |

Years of Service By Age
Total

|  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40+$ | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 289 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 239 | 120 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $35-39$ | 190 | 92 | 60 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-44$ | 178 | 100 | 69 | 49 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $45-49$ | 176 | 132 | 69 | 83 | 101 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-54$ | 181 | 165 | 89 | 93 | 113 | 47 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 710 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $55-59$ | 166 | 161 | 87 | 120 | 126 | 20 | 33 | 13 | 1 | 727 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60-64$ | 94 | 123 | 62 | 69 | 78 | 19 | 17 | 34 | 0 | 496 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $65-69$ | 19 | 29 | 20 | 16 | 22 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $70 \&$ Up | 4 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 06 | 0 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,707 | 980 | 474 | 443 | 468 | 116 | 78 | 69 | 1 | 4,336 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Section 3 (continued)

### 3.5 Distributions of Inactive Members

## Deferred Vested and Nonvested

|  | Years Since Term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Balance | $0-3$ | $3-6$ | $6-9$ | $9-12$ | $12-15$ | $15-18$ | $18-21$ | $21+$ | Total |  |  |
| $0-1,000$ | 284 | 135 | 211 | 203 | 69 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 906 |  |  |
| $1,00-5,000$ | 207 | 127 | 132 | 162 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 666 |  |  |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 95 | 56 | 36 | 63 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 267 |  |  |
| $10,000-25,000$ | 103 | 62 | 29 | 70 | 31 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 309 |  |  |
| $25,000-50,000$ | 58 | 26 | 10 | 40 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 155 |  |  |
| $50,000-75,000$ | 18 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 57 |  |  |
| $75,000-100,000$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| $100,000+$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Total | 772 | 422 | 427 | 552 | 171 | 18 | 6 | 7 | 2,375 |  |  |

Retirees, Beneficiaries and Disabled

| Years Receiving Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $0-5$ | $5-10$ | $10-15$ | $15-20$ | $20-25$ | $25-30$ | $30-35$ | $35+$ | Total |
| Under 55 | 50 | 23 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| $55-60$ | 176 | 51 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| $60-65$ | 304 | 215 | 86 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 608 |
| $65-70$ | 259 | 240 | 173 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 685 |
| $70-75$ | 78 | 151 | 229 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 494 |
| $75-80$ | 22 | 22 | 204 | 151 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 423 |
| $80-85$ | 3 | 6 | 53 | 136 | 127 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 340 |
| $85-90$ | 1 | 4 | 15 | 28 | 93 | 120 | 4 | 0 | 265 |
| $90+$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 74 | 71 | 15 | 173 |
| Total | 893 | 713 | 784 | 365 | 256 | 211 | 79 | 16 | 3,317 |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.6 Actuarial Basis

## Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

## Interest

8\% per annum.

## Participant account interest crediting rate

5\% per annum.

## Expenses

The rate of interest assumed is net of total expenses.

## Mortality

The RP-2000 Combined Healthy Lives Mortality Table is used for active participants, retired participants and beneficiaries. Rates are shown in Table 1.

## Disability mortality

The RP-2000 Combined Healthy Lives Mortality Table set forward five years is used for disabled participants. Rates are shown in Table 5.

## Withdrawal

Withdrawals are assumed to occur at rates based on actual experience of the retirement system. During the first eight years of membership, withdrawals are assumed to occur at the following rates:

| Year of <br> Membership | Non-charter <br> school <br> employees | Charter <br> school <br> employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | $25.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $20.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | $12.5 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | $10.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | $9.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |

The rates used after the first eight years of membership are shown in Table 2.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.6 Actuarial Basis

## Salary scale

Salaries are assumed to increase at the rate of 5\% per year.

## Disability

Disabilities are assumed to occur at rates based on the actual experience of the retirement system. The rates used are shown in Table 4.

## Retirement

Retirements are assumed to occur at rates based on $40 \%$ electing to retire when first eligible. Thereafter, retirements occur at rates based on the actual experience of the retirement system. The age-related rates used are shown in Table 3.

## Family structure

All participants are assumed to be married and female spouses are assumed to be five years younger.

## Usage of cash-out option

Participants terminating in vested status are given the option of taking a refund of their accumulated participant contributions (and thereby forfeiting the employer-provided benefit) or deferring their vested benefit. Active members who terminate in the future with a vested benefit are assumed to take a deferred vested annuity, unless a refund of contributions and interest is greater than the actuarial present value of their vested deferred benefit.

## Deferred Vested Liability

The value of deferred vested liabilities is $150 \%$ of the total value of individual participant account balances to reflect the fact that some members will take a deferred annuity.

## Amortization Basis

In determining the annual required contribution, the funding shortfall or surplus is amortized over a 30-year open period, based on a level dollar payment.

## Future Benefit Increases or Additional Benefits

When funding is adequate, the Board may authorize cost of living adjustments (COLAs), as noted in the summary of plan provisions. In the past, the Board has also sometimes granted an additional monthly payment to retirees ( $13^{\text {th }}$ check.) This valuation assumes that no future COLAs and no future $13^{\text {th }}$ checks will be awarded.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.6 Actuarial Basis

Actuarial Method - Entry Age Actuarial Cost

Liabilities and contributions shown in the report are computed using the Entry Age Actuarial Cost method of funding. Any funding surpluses or unfunded accrued liability is amortized over 30 years as a level dollar amount. However, in keeping with GASB requirements, the net amortization period will not exceed 30 years.

Projected pension and preretirement spouse's death benefits were determined for all active members. Cost factors designed to produce annual costs as a constant percentage of each member's expected compensation in each year for pension benefits from the assumed entry age to the assumed retirement age were applied to the projected benefits to determine the normal cost (the portion of the total cost of the plan allocated to the current year under the method). The normal cost is determined by summing intermediate results for active members and determining an average normal cost rate which is then related to the total payroll of active members. The actuarial accrued liability for active members (the portion of the total cost of the plan allocated to prior years under the method) was determined as the excess of the actuarial present value of projected benefits over the actuarial present value of future normal costs.

The actuarial accrued liability for retired members and their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, terminated vested members and disabled members not yet receiving benefits was determined as the actuarial present value of the benefits expected to be paid. No future normal costs are payable for these members.

The actuarial accrued liability under this method at any point in time is the theoretical amount of the fund that would have been accumulated had annual contributions equal to the normal cost been made in prior years (it does not represent the liability for benefits accrued to the valuation date). The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is the excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of plan assets measured on the valuation date.

Under this method, experience gains or losses, i.e., decreases or increases in accrued liabilities attributable to deviations in experience from the actuarial assumptions, adjust the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

## Valuation of Assets

Effective January 1, 2009, the asset valuation method recognizes $20 \%$ of the investment gain or loss in the market value of assets in each of the current and preceding four years. This method will be phased in over five years. Assets are initialized at market value as of January 1, 2008. All assets are valued at market value. Assets are accounted for on an accrued basis and are taken directly from financial statements provided. There is no minimum or maximum corridor applied. An investment stabilization reserve, as described in Section 1.1(d) also applies.

## Changes from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in assumptions or methods since the prior valuation.

Section 3 (continued)

### 3.6 Actuarial Basis

### 3.6. Actuarial Basis

|  | Rate |  |  | Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Male | Female | Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.345 | 0.191 | 60 | 6.747 | 5.055 |
| 21 | 0.357 | 0.192 | 61 | 7.676 | 5.814 |
| 22 | 0.366 | 0.194 | 62 | 8.757 | 6.657 |
| 23 | 0.373 | 0.197 | 63 | 10.012 | 7.648 |
| 24 | 0.376 | 0.201 | 64 | 11.280 | 8.619 |
| 25 | 0.376 | 0.207 | 65 | 12.737 | 9.706 |
| 26 | 0.378 | 0.214 | 66 | 14.409 | 10.954 |
| 27 | 0.382 | 0.223 | 67 | 16.075 | 12.163 |
| 28 | 0.393 | 0.235 | 68 | 17.871 | 13.445 |
| 29 | 0.412 | 0.248 | 69 | 19.802 | 14.860 |
| 30 | 0.444 | 0.264 | 70 | 22.206 | 16.742 |
| 31 | 0.499 | 0.307 | 71 | 24.570 | 18.579 |
| 32 | 0.562 | 0.350 | 72 | 27.281 | 20.665 |
| 33 | 0.631 | 0.394 | 73 | 30.387 | 22.970 |
| 34 | 0.702 | 0.435 | 74 | 33.900 | 25.458 |
| 35 | 0.773 | 0.475 | 75 | 37.834 | 28.106 |
| 36 | 0.841 | 0.514 | 76 | 42.169 | 30.966 |
| 37 | 0.904 | 0.554 | 77 | 46.906 | 34.105 |
| 38 | 0.964 | 0.598 | 78 | 52.123 | 37.595 |
| 39 | 1.021 | 0.648 | 79 | 57.927 | 41.506 |
| 40 | 1.079 | 0.706 | 80 | 64.368 | 45.879 |
| 41 | 1.142 | 0.774 | 81 | 72.041 | 50.780 |
| 42 | 1.215 | 0.852 | 82 | 80.486 | 56.294 |
| 43 | 1.299 | 0.937 | 83 | 89.718 | 62.506 |
| 44 | 1.397 | 1.029 | 84 | 99.779 | 69.517 |
| 45 | 1.508 | 1.124 | 85 | 110.757 | 77.446 |
| 46 | 1.616 | 1.223 | 86 | 122.797 | 86.376 |
| 47 | 1.734 | 1.326 | 87 | 136.043 | 96.337 |
| 48 | 1.860 | 1.434 | 88 | 150.590 | 107.303 |
| 49 | 1.995 | 1.550 | 89 | 166.420 | 119.154 |
| 50 | 2.138 | 1.676 | 90 | 183.408 | 131.682 |
| 51 | 2.449 | 1.852 | 91 | 199.769 | 144.604 |
| 52 | 2.667 | 2.018 | 92 | 216.605 | 157.618 |
| 53 | 2.916 | 2.207 | 93 | 233.662 | 170.433 |
| 54 | 3.196 | 2.424 | 94 | 250.693 | 182.799 |
| 55 | 3.624 | 2.717 | 95 | 267.491 | 194.509 |
| 56 | 4.200 | 3.090 | 96 | 283.905 | 205.379 |
| 57 | 4.693 | 3.478 | 97 | 299.852 | 215.240 |
| 58 | 5.273 | 3.923 | 98 | 315.296 | 223.947 |
| 59 | 5.945 | 4.441 | 99 | 330.207 | 231.387 |

Section 3 (continued)

### 3.6 Actuarial Basis

| Table 2 <br> Withdrawal Mortality Rates <br> Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Rate | Age | Rate |
| 20 | 180.0 | 45 | 45.0 |
| 21 | 171.0 | 46 | 43.2 |
| 22 | 162.0 | 47 | 41.4 |
| 23 | 153.0 | 48 | 39.6 |
| 24 | 144.0 | 49 | 37.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 135.0 | 50 | 36.0 |
| 26 | 126.0 | 51 | 35.1 |
| 27 | 117.0 | 52 | 34.2 |
| 28 | 108.0 | 53 | 33.3 |
| 29 | 99.0 | 54 | 32.4 |
| 30 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 90.0 | 55 | 31.5 |
| 32 | 86.4 | 56 | 30.6 |
| 33 | 82.8 | 57 | 29.7 |
| 34 | 79.2 | 58 | 28.8 |
| 35 | 75.6 | 59 | 27.9 |
| 36 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 72.0 | 60 | 27.0 |
| 38 | 68.4 | 61 | 0.0 |
| 39 | 61.8 | 62 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 57.6 | 63 | 0.0 |
| 41 |  | 64 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 54.0 |  |  |
| 43 | 52.2 |  |  |
| 44 | 40.4 |  |  |

Section 3 (continued)
3.6 Actuarial Basis

Table 3
Retirement Rates Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rate |
| :---: | ---: |
| 45 | 20.0 |
| 46 | 20.0 |
| 47 | 20.0 |
| 48 | 20.0 |
| 49 | 20.0 |
| 50 | 20.0 |
| 51 | 20.0 |
| 52 | 20.0 |
| 53 | 20.0 |
| 54 | 20.0 |
| 55 | 20.0 |
| 56 | 20.0 |
| 57 | 20.0 |
| 58 | 20.0 |
| 59 | 20.0 |
| 60 | 100.0 |
| 61 | 100.0 |
| 62 | 250.0 |
| 63 | 100.0 |
| 64 | 100.0 |
| 65 | 400.0 |
| 66 | 300.0 |
| 67 | 300.0 |
| 68 | 300.0 |
| 69 | 300.0 |
| 70 | 1000.0 |

### 3.6 Actuarial Basis

Table 4
Disability Rates Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rate | Age | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.0 | 45 | 1.5 |
| 21 | 0.1 | 46 | 1.7 |
| 22 | 0.2 | 47 | 1.9 |
| 23 | 0.3 | 48 | 2.1 |
| 24 | 0.4 | 49 | 2.3 |
| 25 | 0.5 | 50 | 2.5 |
| 26 | 0.6 | 51 | 2.8 |
| 27 | 0.7 | 52 | 3.1 |
| 28 | 0.8 | 53 | 3.4 |
| 29 | 0.9 | 54 | 3.7 |
| 30 | 1.0 | 55 | 4.0 |
| 31 | 1.0 | 56 | 4.2 |
| 32 | 1.0 | 57 | 4.4 |
| 33 | 1.0 | 58 | 4.6 |
| 34 | 1.0 | 59 | 4.8 |
| 35 | 1.0 | 60 | 5.0 |
| 36 | 1.0 | 61 | 5.0 |
| 37 | 1.0 | 62 | 5.0 |
| 38 | 1.0 | 63 | 5.0 |
| 39 | 1.0 | 64 | 5.0 |
| 40 | 1.0 | 65 | 0.0 |
| 41 | 1.1 |  |  |
| 42 | 1.2 |  |  |
| 43 | 1.3 |  |  |
| 44 | 1.4 |  |  |

Basis of the Valuation
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### 3.6 Actuarial Basis

Table 5
Post-Disability Mortality Rates
Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rate |  |  | Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.376 | 0.207 | 60 | 12.737 | 9.706 |
| 21 | 0.378 | 0.214 | 61 | 14.409 | 10.954 |
| 22 | 0.382 | 0.223 | 62 | 16.075 | 12.163 |
| 23 | 0.393 | 0.235 | 63 | 17.871 | 13.445 |
| 24 | 0.412 | 0.248 | 64 | 19.802 | 14.860 |
| 25 | 0.444 | 0.264 | 65 | 22.206 | 16.742 |
| 26 | 0.499 | 0.307 | 66 | 24.570 | 18.579 |
| 27 | 0.562 | 0.350 | 67 | 27.281 | 20.665 |
| 28 | 0.631 | 0.394 | 68 | 30.387 | 22.970 |
| 29 | 0.702 | 0.435 | 69 | 33.900 | 25.458 |
| 30 | 0.773 | 0.475 | 70 | 37.834 | 28.106 |
| 31 | 0.841 | 0.514 | 71 | 42.169 | 30.966 |
| 32 | 0.904 | 0.554 | 72 | 46.906 | 34.105 |
| 33 | 0.964 | 0.598 | 73 | 52.123 | 37.595 |
| 34 | 1.021 | 0.648 | 74 | 57.927 | 41.506 |
| 35 | 1.079 | 0.706 | 75 | 64.368 | 45.879 |
| 36 | 1.142 | 0.774 | 76 | 72.041 | 50.780 |
| 37 | 1.215 | 0.852 | 77 | 80.486 | 56.294 |
| 38 | 1.299 | 0.937 | 78 | 89.718 | 62.506 |
| 39 | 1.397 | 1.029 | 79 | 99.779 | 69.517 |
| 40 | 1.508 | 1.124 | 80 | 110.757 | 77.446 |
| 41 | 1.616 | 1.223 | 81 | 122.797 | 86.376 |
| 42 | 1.734 | 1.326 | 82 | 136.043 | 96.337 |
| 43 | 1.860 | 1.434 | 83 | 150.590 | 107.303 |
| 44 | 1.995 | 1.550 | 84 | 166.420 | 119.154 |
| 45 | 2.138 | 1.676 | 85 | 183.408 | 131.682 |
| 46 | 2.449 | 1.852 | 86 | 199.769 | 144.604 |
| 47 | 2.667 | 2.018 | 87 | 216.605 | 157.618 |
| 48 | 2.916 | 2.207 | 88 | 233.662 | 170.433 |
| 49 | 3.196 | 2.424 | 89 | 250.693 | 182.799 |
| 50 | 3.624 | 2.717 | 90 | 267.491 | 194.509 |
| 51 | 4.200 | 3.090 | 91 | 283.905 | 205.379 |
| 52 | 4.693 | 3.478 | 92 | 299.852 | 215.240 |
| 53 | 5.273 | 3.923 | 93 | 315.296 | 223.947 |
| 54 | 5.945 | 4.441 | 94 | 330.207 | 231.387 |
| 55 | 6.747 | 5.055 | 95 | 344.556 | 237.467 |
| 56 | 7.676 | 5.814 | 96 | 358.628 | 244.834 |
| 57 | 8.757 | 6.657 | 97 | 371.685 | 254.498 |
| 58 | 10.012 | 7.648 | 98 | 383.040 | 266.044 |
| 59 | 11.280 | 8.619 | 99 | 392.003 | 279.055 |


[^0]:    *Includes former participants entitled to a refund of contributions or a deferred annuity.

[^1]:    *There was an actuarial gain due to having more detailed data. There were no changes in assumptions or methods.

