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## Ladies and Gentlemen:

This report summarizes the actuarial valuation results of Teachers' Retirement System of the State of Illinois as of June 30, 2014 performed by Buck Consultants, LLC.

The actuarial valuation is based on unaudited financial and member data provided by the staff of the TRS and summarized in this report. The benefits considered were provided by staff and summarized in this report. The actuary did not verify the data submitted, but did perform tests for consistency and reasonableness.

All costs, liabilities and other factors under TRS were determined in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and procedures. An actuarial cost method is used to measure the actuarial liabilities which we believe is reasonable. Buck Consultants, LLC is solely responsible for the actuarial data and actuarial results presented in this report. This report fully and fairly discloses the actuarial position of the Plan.

The Teachers' Retirement System of the State of Illinois is funded by Employer and Member Contributions in accordance with the funding policy specified under the Illinois Pension Code (40 ILCS 5/16). The funding objective under the Illinois Pension Code is to Achieve $90 \%$ funding by 2045. The 2045 objective was set in 1994 as a 50 year objective. While TRS members have always contributed their share, the State funding has been inadequate. This inadequate funding has resulted in TRS being among the worst funded public employee retirements systems (PERS) in the United States.

In our opinion, the actuarial assumptions used are reasonable, taking into account the experience of the Plan and reasonable long-term expectations, and represent our best estimate of the anticipated long-term experience under the Plan. The methods mandated by the Illinois Pension Code are inadequate to appropriately fund TRS. A summary of the actuarial assumptions and methods used in this actuarial valuation are shown in Section 6.

The assumptions and methods used to determine the Annual Required Contributions (ARC) of the Teachers' Retirement System of the State of Illinois as outlined in this report and all supporting schedules meet the parameters and requirements for disclosure of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans. Based on member data and asset information provided by the staff of the Retirement System, we have prepared the Schedule of Funding Progress and Schedule of Employer Contributions that are included in the Financial Section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.
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Please note, GASB amended the reporting requirements of Statement 25 and 27 with Statement 67 and 68 for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2013 and June 15, 2014, respectively. This report includes certain computations and financial statement disclosure information with respect to the plan reflecting the new accounting standards. We are still providing GASB 25/27 for comparison purposes and due to the fact that GASB 68 is not yet applicable.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurement presented in this report due to such factors as: plan experience different from that anticipated by the economic and demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. An analysis of the potential range of such future measurements has not been performed as it is beyond the scope of this valuation.

Qualified actuaries completed the valuation in accordance with accepted actuarial procedures as prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board. The qualified actuaries are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and are experienced in performing actuarial valuations of public employee retirement systems. To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practice. The undersigned with actuarial designations is qualified to render the opinions contained in this report.

Use of this report for any other purposes or by anyone other than the Board members and staff of the System may not be appropriate and may result in mistaken conclusions because of failure to understand applicable assumptions, methods, or inapplicability of the letter for that purpose. No one may make any representations or warranties based on any statements or conclusions contained in this report without Buck Consultants' written consent.

In our opinion the calculations also comply with Illinois law and where applicable, federal laws such as the Internal Revenue Code, and the Statements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We certify that the information presented herein is accurate and fairly portrays the actuarial position of the Plan as of June 30, 2014.
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## Executive Summary

## Overview

The Teachers' Retirement System of the State of Illinois (TRS) was established by the State of Illinois on July 1, 1939, to provide retirement, disability, and death benefits to teachers employed by Illinois public elementary and secondary schools outside the city of Chicago. TRS is the administrator of a cost-sharing, multiple employer defined benefit public employee retirement system (PERS). Membership is mandatory for all full-time, part-time, and substitute public school personnel employed outside of Chicago in positions requiring certification. Persons employed at certain state agencies are also members. TRS is governed by the Illinois Pension Code (40 ILCS 5/16).

Members of TRS are employed by school districts, special districts, and certain state agencies. As of June 30, 2014 there were 1,013 employers, comprised of 859 local school districts, 136 special districts and 18 state agencies. The membership totaled over 390,000 members as of June 30, 2013. Of these 390,000 members, 190,000 are retirees to which TRS paid over $\$ 5.2$ billion during the year ending June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, the assets of TRS amounted to almost $\$ 46$ billion.

Under TRS, the amount of the benefit paid to a member upon retirement, termination, disability or death is defined by the Illinois Pension Code (40 ILCS 5/16). The amount of contributions needed to fund these benefits cannot be known with certainty. In Illinois, like other states, these contributions are paid during a teacher's career so that upon retirement, termination, disability or death, there are funds available to pay these benefits. These amounts are determined through an actuarial valuation based on funding provisions of the Illinois Pension Code. This actuarial valuation report is our annual analysis of the financial health of TRS. This report, prepared as of June 30, 2014, presents the results of the seventy-sixth actuarial valuation of TRS.

## Purpose of the Annual Actuarial Valuation

An actuarial valuation is performed on TRS annually as of June 30. Typically, the actuary determines the amount of contributions to be made to a PERS during each member's career that, when combined with investment return, will be sufficient to pay for retirement benefits when the member retires. Under the Illinois Pension Code, the actuary is required to calculate an annual contribution which funds below the level of this standard.

In addition, the annual actuarial valuation is performed to:

- Determine the funding progress of TRS under the Illinois Pension Code's inadequate funding standard,
- Determine the amount of contributions under more standard public sector actuarial practices,
- Explore why the results of the current valuation differ from the results of the previous year valuation, and
- Satisfy regulatory and accounting requirements.

A glossary of actuarial terms and a summary of the valuation process are provided in sections 6.5 and 6.6

## Key Observations

The actuarial valuation is done each year to replace the estimates the actuary assumed for the prior valuation with the actual events that happened. This past year, as expected, some of the assumptions used in the prior valuation were not realized. Key results of the June 30, 2014 valuation, as compared to the June 30, 2013 valuation, are:

- The contribution made by the State of Illinois to TRS under the Illinois Pension Code was insufficient to keep the unfunded actuarial accrued liability from growing; while this was expected in our projections, it is worthwhile to note that this practice continues.
- Market value returns of $17.19 \%$ compared to $8.00 \%$ assumed
- Payroll decreased $4.3 \%$, which was less than the assumed increase
- No changes in benefit provisions, actuarial assumptions, or funding methodology from the prior year's valuation except as noted below:
- At the June 24, 2014 Board meeting, the Board of Trustees adopted the following recommendations by Buck Consultants:
- lower investment return from 8.00\% to 7.50\%
- lower rate of inflation from $3.25 \%$ to $3.00 \%$
- lower all rates of salary increase by $0.25 \%$
- lower the Tier II pay cap increases from $1.625 \%$ to $1.50 \%$
- lower the Tier II COLA increases from $1.625 \%$ to $1.40 \%$
- The Federal Funds contribution rate was set at the same rate as the State's under Public Act 98-0674 (Senate Bill 220)
- Note: Public Act 98-0599 (Senate Bill 1), a comprehensive plan to overhaul the Illinois Pension Code, was signed by Governor Pat Quinn on December 5, 2013. The goal of the new law is to stabilize TRS finances and eliminate the System's unfunded liability by 2044, primarily by reducing benefits for retired and active members and creating funding guarantees and contribution levels that will gradually, fully fund TRS by June 30, 2044. Lawsuits challenging the law as a violation of the Illinois Constitution's pension protection clause were filed in Chicago and Springfield during December of 2013 and January of 2014. These lawsuits have been consolidated and are now pending in Sangamon County Circuit Court. As part of this court challenge, on May 14, 2014, the court issued a temporary injunction that delays the implementation of the new law until the court rules on the consolidated lawsuit. The law did not take effect on June 1, 2014, as had been originally scheduled, and the state's current pension law will continue to govern all aspects of TRS, including retirement eligibility, all pension calculations and cost of living increases. Similarly, this valuation report does not reflect Public Act 98-0599.

When compared to the June 30, 2013 valuation results, the above resulted in:

- A lower funded ratio as of June 30, 2014 based on actuarial value of assets:
- $42.6 \%$ was projected in the June 30,2013 valuation
- $40.6 \%$ is the actual amount determined in this actuarial valuation
- A higher state contribution under the Illinois Pension Code for fiscal year ending June 30, 2016
- $33.02 \%$ of payroll ( $\$ 3.58$ billion) was projected in the June 30, 2013 valuation
- $36.06 \%$ of payroll ( $\$ 3.74$ billion) is the actual amount determined in this actuarial valuation (Contribution amount is $\$ 3.74$ billion determined in this valuation)
- Lower projected benefit amounts being accrued by active members

The funded ratio for TRS is among the worst in the United States. This is due to:

- A lack of commitment from policy makers to keep the Retirement System well-funded
- A history of appropriating and contributing amounts far below that which a prudent actuary would recommend
- A funding policy that systematically underfunds TRS
- Changes in benefits that were unfunded and granted when the funded ratio of TRS is quite low

Funding reform needs to occur for TRS or the benefits of its membership could be compromised.

## The Valuation Process

The following diagram summarizes the inputs and results of the actuarial valuation process.


A more detailed description of the valuation process is provided in Section 6.6.

## Valuation Input: Member Data

As with any estimate, the actuary collects information that we know now. Under the actuarial valuation process, current information about TRS members is collected annually by TRS Staff at the direction of the actuary. Membership data will assist the actuary in estimating benefits in the future. Information about benefit provisions and assets held in the trust as of the valuation date is also collected.

TRS Staff provided membership data as of one year before the valuation date for each member of TRS. The membership data will assist the actuary in estimating benefits that could be paid in the future. The member information the actuary collects includes data elements such as current service, salary and benefit group identifier for members that have not separated service, and actual benefit amounts and form of payment for members that have separated service. Data elements such as gender and date of birth are used to determine when a benefit might be paid and for how long.

## Valuation Input: Member Data (continued)

The graph below provides a history of the number of members over the past ten years. The number of actives has stayed relatively level over time, with a slight peak five years ago. The number of annuitants has steadily increased over the period in line with the expectations.


The graph below provides a history of the number of annuitants as well as the benefits paid over the same period. The fact that they have increased dramatically was expected.


A detailed summary of the membership data used in this valuation is provided in Section 5 of this report.

## Valuation Input: Member Data (continued)

The table below provides a summary of the membership data used in this valuation compared to the prior valuation. Because the census information is collected as of one year before the valuation date, the June 30, 2014 valuation counts are the number of members as of June 30, 2013; similarly, the June 30, 2013 valuation counts are the number of members as of June 30, 2012.

| Data Item | Valuation June 30, 2014 | Valuation June 30, 2013 | Percentage Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active membership: |  |  |  |
| - Full-time and regular part-time: |  |  |  |
| > Number | 132,886 | 132,956 | (0.1) |
| > Annual Compensation | \$ 9,193,086,492 | \$ 9,601,784,939 | (4.3) |
| > Average Compensation | \$ 69,180 | \$ 72,218 | (4.2) |
| - Substitute, part-time, hourly paid (limited schedule) |  |  |  |
| > Number | 28,104 | 29,073 | (3.3) |
| > Annual Compensation | \$ 142,822,013 | \$ 154,239,957 | (7.4) |
| > Average Compensation | \$ 5,082 | \$ 5,305 | (4.2) |
| Total Number | 160,990 | 162,029 | (0.6) |
| Inactive Membership: |  |  |  |
| Eligible for deferred annuities | 17,250 | 16,995 | 1.5 |
| Eligible for refunds or single sum benefits only | 110,403 | 108,531 | 1.7 |
| Annuitants (retirees, disabilitants and survivors): |  |  |  |
| Number | 109,448 | 106,102 | 3.2 |
| Annual annuities | \$ 5,204,460,272 | \$ 4,811,369,695 | 8.2 |
| Average annual annuities | \$ 47,552 | \$ 45,347 | 4.9 |

Salary amounts decreased instead of increasing, which resulted in lower liability amounts. The amount of new retirement benefits paid during the year ended June 30, 2014 resulted in an unexpected increase in liabilities. Deaths resulted in less liability than expected.

## Valuation Input: Asset Data

TRS assets are held in trust and are invested for exclusive benefit of plan members. The market value of assets increased to $\$ 45.8$ billion during the year ended June 30, 2014. Last year's valuation anticipated the assets would grow to $\$ 42.5$ billion. Returns for year ended June 30, 2014 were $17.2 \%$ which was greater than the $8.00 \%$ return assumed for year ended June 30, 2014 in last year's actuarial valuation. That being said, the $\$ 6.8$ billion in returns TRS generated at an $17.2 \%$ return is less than the $\$ 7.5$ billion in returns that TRS would have generated with a return at the $8 \%$ assumption had TRS been fully funded on June 30, 2013. TRS will not invest itself out of its current financial shortfall. More funding is necessary.

Valuation Input: Asset Data (continued)

## Market Value of Assets and Asset Returns

The graph below provides a history of the Retirement System's market value of assets and asset returns over the past ten years.


## Annualized Gross Returns

As seen below, annualized gross returns have generally been higher than the historical assumed rate of return of 8.00\% (8.50\% prior to 2012).


## Valuation Input: Asset Data

 (continued)
## Allocation of Investments

Asset allocation is the primary driver of returns over the long term. The allocation of assets as of June 30, 2014 and the long-term target allocation are as follows:


Based on historical market returns, the current asset allocation, the current investment policy, and the expectation of future asset returns, the $7.50 \%$ investment return assumption used in this valuation is reasonable and appropriate. The return assumption was last reviewed at the June 24, 2014 Board of Trustees meeting. The investment return assumption will be reviewed with the experience review before the June 30, 2015 annual actuarial valuation.

More details regarding the market value of assets are provided in Section 2 of this report.

## Valuation Input: Benefit Provisions

Benefit provisions are described in Article 16 of the Illinois Pension Code. There were no changes in benefit provisions from the prior year's valuation.

Public Act 96-0889 added a new section to the Pension Code that applied different benefits to anyone who first contributed to TRS on or after Jan. 1, 2011 and does not have any previous service credit with a pension system that has reciprocal rights with TRS. These members are referred to as "Tier II" members. The benefits Tier II members received are generally lower than that of Tier I members, whose benefits were not changed under Public Act 96-0889. Highlights of the differences in benefit provisions are summarized below.

| Tier I | Tier II |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benefit Formula |  |
| 2.2\% multiplied by final average salary multiplie by years of creditable service |  |
| Retirement Eligibility |  |
| - Age 55 with 35 years of service if member has elected the $2.2 \%$ formula <br> - Age 55 with 20 years of service for a benefit that is reduced by $6 \%$ for every year the member is under 60 <br> - Age 60 with 10 years of service <br> - Age 62 with 5 years of service | - Age 67 with 10 years of service <br> - Age 62 with 10 years of service for a benefit that is reduced by $6 \%$ for every year the member is under 67 |
| Benefit Caps |  |
| - Maximum benefit is 75\% of final average salary | - Maximum benefit is 75\% of final average salary <br> - In determining final average salary, no member's salary can exceed the Tier II wage cap. |
| Final Average Salary |  |
| Based on highest average salary during 4 out of the last 10 years of service | Based on highest average salary during 8 out of the last 10 years of service |
| Cost-of-living adjustments |  |
| 3 percent, compounded annually | Lesser of 3 percent or one-half of the Consumer Price Index, with the adjustment applied to the original benefit, i.e. not compounded |
| Member Contribution Rate |  |
| 9.4\% of pay |  |

A detailed summary of the benefit provisions is provided in Section 6.1 of this report.
Despite having the same benefit formula and member contribution rate, the value of the Tier II benefit is lower than that of Tier I due to the difference in retirement eligibility, caps on average salary, and cost-of living adjustments. Later in this executive summary we will discuss the subsidy that Tier II members provide to the State due to the member contribution they make being more valuable than the benefit they receive. Here we will focus on the lower value of their benefit.

## Valuation Input: Benefit Provisions

 (continued)The delay in retirement eligibility under 96-0889 represents a reduction in the amount of benefit paid to Tier II members. The amount of reduction varies based on the age at hire of each individual. Projected improvements in life expectancy likely will partially offset these delays.

The increase in averaging period used for final average salary will generally decrease the benefits of Tier II members by five to ten percent, depending on the individual.

The Tier II wage cap is a limit on the salary amount for benefit and contribution purposes for Tier II members. Since the maximum benefit is 75 percent of final average capped salary, a member could receive much less than 75 percent of their actual final average uncapped pensionable salary after a full career. The original limit for 2011 was $\$ 106,800$. Each subsequent year the cap increases by the lesser of $3 \%$ or one-half the percentage increase in the CPI-U as of the preceding September. For 2014 the limit is $\$ 110,631$. In the future, we project that virtually all Tier II members that put in a full career will not receive a benefit of $75 \%$ of their final average uncapped pensionable salary.

Cost-of-living adjustments are critical to ensuring that members' pensions keep pace with inflation. To the extent that pensions do not increase after retirement, inflation erodes the purchasing power for the beneficiary. The graph following illustrates the effectiveness of the Tier I cost-of living adjustment in keeping pace with inflation. A value above 1 for a retiree indicates that the members' pension has stayed ahead of inflation; a value below 1 indicates that the members' pension stayed behind inflation. For example, the pension as of June 30, 2014 for a member retired in 2004 is about 7 percent higher than if the member had received increases equal to the Consumer Price Index; the pension as of June 30, 2014 for a member retired in 1978 is about $20 \%$ lower. Overall, the Tier I COLA has done a reasonable job of keeping pace with inflation for retirees over the past 35 years. For the hypothetical example in the chart on the next page, we have assumed that the COLA was $3 \%$ compounded.

## Valuation Input: Benefit Provisions (continued)

We have also included the hypothetical values had the members received the Tier II cost-of-living adjustment. Given that the Tier II cost-of living adjustment is half of the Consumer Price Index, it should not be surprising that the pension of retirees will not keep pace with inflation. This is exacerbated by the fact that Tier II cost-of-living adjustments are not compounded but simple. For the hypothetical Tier II member that retired in 2014, a full third of the lost purchasing power is due to the simple cost-of-living adjustment under Tier II.


The net effect of these changes is a benefit that does not reward a full career. Later we will see that the $9.40 \%$ contribution made by Tier II members is greater than the value of the pension they receive.

## Valuation Input: Actuarial Assumptions

Actuarial assumptions bridge the gap between the information that we know with certainty as of the valuation date - age, gender, service, pay or benefits of the members - and what may happen in the future.

Demographic assumptions describe future events that relate to people such as retirement rates, termination rates, disability rates, and mortality rates. The rates are developed to model what we expect to occur within TRS. The probability of members retiring, terminating, becoming disabled or dying during their career at illustrative rates is below. Not surprising, as a member ages they are more likely to retire, but also become disabled or die.


## Valuation Input: Actuarial Assumptions (continued)

Mortality is a large driver of costs. The longer a member is expected to live, the larger the expected costs. Below are the expected age at death based on the assumptions used for this valuation. Note that we show expected age at death in 2014 and 2034 as illustrative values. The valuation uses what is known as generational mortality. Each future generation is expected to live longer than the prior. Finally, females continue to live longer than males, although the gap is shrinking.


Economic assumptions describe future events that relate to the Retirement System's assets such as the interest rate, salary increases, the real return, and payroll growth. The investment return assumption is $7.50 \%$ annually. Salary increases vary by age. Members at age 25 are expected to receive a pay increase of $9.00 \%$; members from age 50 and beyond are expected to receive a pay increase of $4.75 \%$.

The actuarial assumptions of TRS are reviewed in a process known as an Experience Review. Based on this review, the actuary will make recommendations on the demographic and economic assumptions. The latest assumptions were adopted for use with the June 30, 2012 actuarial valuation, based on the experience study prepared as of June 30, 2012 and adopted by the Board of Trustees at their August 2012 Board meeting. The next experience study will be prepared after a three year period as of June 30, 2015 and presented to the Board in mid-2015. Assumptions and methods based on the next experience study, as adopted by the Board, will be used with the June 30, 2015 valuation. Reviewing assumptions every three years is a best practice.

In June 2014, Buck Consultants prepared an interim review of the assumed interest rate, underlying inflation assumption and other related economic assumptions. The review was as a result of a proposed change in investment portfolio by the Board. At the June 24, 2014 Board meeting, based on that review, the Board of Trustees adopted the following changes recommended by Buck Consultants for the June 30, 2014 valuation:

- lower investment return from $8.00 \%$ to $7.50 \%$
- lower rate of inflation from $3.25 \%$ to $3.00 \%$
- lower all rates of salary increase by $0.25 \%$
- lower the Tier II pay cap increases from $1.625 \%$ to $1.50 \%$
- lower the Tier II COLA increases from $1.625 \%$ to $1.40 \%$

These changes increased the Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2014 by $\$ 6.4$ billion.
A detailed summary of the actuarial assumptions is provided in Section 6.3 of this report.

## Valuation Input: Funding Methodology

The Funding Methodology is the funding policy for a PERS. There are three broad goals when formulating a funding policy for a PERS.

- Sufficiency - the funding target should be the value of benefits allocated to the benefits accrued to date.
- Intergenerational equity - taxpayers should pay for workers' pensions while those workers are providing their services - fund for benefits over the worker's career.
- Stability of contributions - while stable contributions are easier to budget for, stability should not be achieved at the expense of the first two considerations.

Actuarial Methods describe the funding policy for the PERS. Actuarial Methods generally are comprised of the three components below:

- Actuarial Cost Methods allocate costs to the actuarial accrued liability (i.e. the amount of money that should be in the PERS fund) for past service and normal cost (i.e. the cost of benefits accruing during the year) for current service to allow for systematic payment of the costs over a member's career
- Amortization Payment for UAAL Methods determine the payment schedule for unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)
- Asset Valuation Methods smooth or average the market value returns over time to alleviate contribution volatility that results from market returns that differ from the investment return assumption used in the actuarial valuation

We have broadly referred to funding of a PERS outlined above as "Actuarial Math." We have shown two versions of Actuarial Math in the past few years:

- Contribution based on Generally Accepted Actuarial Standards - since the inception of GASB 25 and 27 in the mid-1990s, the minimum annual required contribution (ARC) contained in those standards has served as the de facto minimum funding standard for a PERS. The basis for this version of Actuarial math is the projected unit credit cost method, with a 30-year open level percent of pay amortization.
- Based on keeping the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) from growing - recognizing that the contribution based on Generally Accepted Actuarial Standards is not sufficient to reduce the unfunded liability from year to year, we have shown this amount. This policy is an improvement over the above.

Neither of these Actuarial Math policies is optimal, primarily because they are not projected to fully fund the unfunded liability. That being said, since GASB 25 was enacted 20 years ago, the Illinois Math policy used has underfunded TRS by almost $\$ 16$ billion when compared to the first policy; when compared to the second policy, this shortfall increases from $\$ 16$ billion to $\$ 45$ billion.

## Valuation Input: Funding Methodology (continued)

The funding of TRS by the State of Illinois does not follow even the minimum Actuarial Math. The State has systematically underfunded TRS using Illinois Math, which has systematically underfunded TRS by:

- Initially selecting a 50 year period over which to pay down unfunded actuarial accrued liability
- Back loading the 50 year plan by using a 15 year period to ramp up contributions to the ultimate level
- Establishing $90 \%$ of the actuarial accrued liability as the funding target
- Using the projected unit credit cost method which understates the funding target compared to the more common entry age normal cost method
- Imposing a maximum contribution based on POB debt payments; while contributions are potentially reduced by the full value of the debt payments, not all of the POB proceeds were directly deposited
- Reducing contributions for fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 and 2007
- Reducing contributions in fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 by introducing an actuarial value of assets
- Reducing contributions to fully reflect the impact of Tier II provisions before the reduction in benefit accruals occurred

The de facto funding policy under GASB 25 and 27 was effectively eliminated with the introduction of GASB 67 and 68 two years ago. In the interim, public sector actuaries have reviewed funding of public sector pensions. While the framework remains the same, the parameters have been refined.

- Actuarial Cost Method based on the entry age normal cost method, which has a higher target than the projected unit credit method under Illinois Math
- Asset Valuation Method which smoothes returns over a five year period without a corridor, similar to that prescribed under the Illinois Pension Code
- Amortization Method which at a minimum pays down the unfunded liability each year. A closed level percent of pay amortization of 15 to 20 years or closed level dollar amortization of no more than 25 years achieves this.

When compared to other public sector retirement systems in the United States, the funding policy for TRS has resulted in TRS being regarded by its peers as among the worst funded in the United States.

There was a change in the funding methodology from the prior year's valuation:

- The Federal Funds contribution rate was set at the same rate as the State's under Public Act 98-0674 (Senate Bill 220)

A detailed summary of the actuarial methods is provided in Section 6.2.

## Valuation Output: Actuarial Value of Assets

The Illinois Pension Code specifies the method for determining the Actuarial Value of Assets for funding purposes. Effective with the 2009 valuation, the method was changed from the market value of assets to a market-related value that recognizes investment gains and losses over five years. The Actuarial Value of Assets is $\$ 42.2$ billion as of June 30, 2014 and $\$ 38.2$ billion as of June 30, 2013.

## Actuarial Value and Market Value of Assets

The graph below provides a history of the market value and actuarial value of assets over the past ten years. The point of using an actuarial value of assets is to develop contributions that are more stable than if the contributions were based solely on market.


## Asset Returns

The graph below provides history of the market value and actuarial value of asset returns over the past ten years.


The more stable returns under the actuarial value results in more stable contributions than if the market value of assets were used to determine the employer contributions.

A detailed summary of the Actuarial Value of Assets is provided in Section 2 of this report.

## Valuation Output: Actuarial Accrued Liability

Using the provided membership data, benefit provisions, and actuarial assumptions, the Retirement System's future benefit payments are estimated. These projected future benefit payments are discounted into today's dollars using the assumed rate of investment return assumption to determine the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) of the Retirement System. The PVFB is an estimate of the current value of the benefits promised to all members as of a valuation date.

Once the PVFB is developed, an actuarial cost method is used to allocate the PVFB. Under the actuarial cost method, the PVFB is allocated to past, current and future service, respectively known as the actuarial accrued liability (AAL), normal cost (NC) and present value of future normal costs (PVFNC). The AAL is also referred to as the amount of money a PERS should ideally have in the trust. The NC is also referred to as the cost of benefits accruing during the year.

## Total Normal Cost

The total normal cost is the cost of benefits accruing during the year. It is often given as a percent of payroll. Below we project the total normal cost by Tier.


A couple of observations:

- The total normal cost rate for Tier I is over double that of Tier II;
- The Tier II total normal cost increases over time as mortality improvements are reflected
- The Tier II total normal cost is less than the Tier II member contribution rate; that is, Tier II members pay for their own pensions and subsidize the State by paying down the UAAL
- Note that the rates above are based on the projected unit credit cost method. Use of the more common entry age normal cost would result in lower total normal costs, and higher actuarial accrued liabilities.


## Valuation Output: Actuarial Accrued Liability

 (continued)
## Actuarial Accrued Liability

The graph below provides a history of the actuarial accrued liability over the past ten years.


The AAL increased from 2013 to 2014 by $\$ 9.8$ billion from $\$ 93.9$ billion to $\$ 103.7$ billion. We expected the AAL to grow to $\$ 97.1$ billion. The additional $\$ 6.6$ billion was primarily due to the changes in assumptions adopted by the Board at the recommendation of Buck Consultants.

A detailed summary of the actuarial accrued liability is provided in Section 1 of this report.

## Valuation Output: Funded Ratio

The funded ratio is a measure of the progress that has been made in funding the plan as of the valuation date. It is the ratio of how much money the Retirement System actually has in the fund to the amount the Retirement System should have in the fund. The funded ratio from 2013 to 2014 was unchanged at $40.6 \%$.

## Funded Ratios

The graph below provides a history and a projection of the funded ratio for TRS over the 50 year funding period under Illinois Math. The funded ratio is the actuarial value of assets divided by the actuarial accrued liability, or it is the ratio of how much money TRS has in the fund to how much it should have in the fund.


The funded ratio should trend to $100 \%$ over a reasonably short period of time - say 15 to 25 years. The $90 \%$ target and the 50 year period used by Illinois Math, while an improvement over funding before 1995, are inadequate. We recommend Illinois Math be replaced with Actuarial Math.

## Valuation Output: Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)

The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is the difference between the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) and actuarial value of assets (AVA). The UAAL is sometimes referred to as "unfunded accrued liability." The UAAL increased from 2013 to 2014 by $\$ 5.9$ billion from $\$ 55.7$ billion to $\$ 61.6$ billion.

## Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)

The graph below provides a projection of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.


The UAAL before the current valuation date has generally increased. While System experience has resulted in some of the increases and decreases in unfunded liability, the State contributions mandated under the Illinois Pension Code were designed to allow the UAAL to grow for more than three decades when the 50 year plan was put in place in 1995. The first year the UAAL decreases is for the year ending June 30, 2031.

## Valuation Output: Employer Contributions <br> Employer Required Contribution Rates

The graph below provides a projection of the State required contributions as provided under the Illinois Pension Code. The required State contribution increased from $\$ 3.41$ billion for 2015 to $\$ 3.74$ billion for 2016.


In the first several years of the 50 year plan, the State contributions were lower as the contribution ramped up from 1995 through 2010. In the future, the increases will continue as payroll increases. A larger increase of almost $10 \%$ occurs in 2034 as the constraint of the POB maximum is lifted. The contributions above are a primary driver of the increasing unfunded liability on the previous page.

The employer contributions to TRS have consistently been less than that needed to keep the unfunded actuarial accrued liability from growing. The graph below provides a history of employer required contribution rates over the past five years. The rates are split into the normal rate and the accrued liability rate. The normal rate is the employers' portion of the employers' cost of benefits accruing after reducing for the member contribution. The accrued liability rate is the payment toward the unfunded liability. As seen in the chart, the employer contributions have been too low to keep the unfunded liability from growing.


Recognizing that the State contributions under the Illinois Pension Code are inadequate, the Board of trustees prepares a certification which includes State contributions under the lllinois Pension Code and two higher thresholds:
i. Minimum generally accepted actuarial standards
ii. An amount to keep the UAAL from growing

The graph below includes these three amounts.


As noted earlier, the amount to keep the unfunded from growing should be regarded as the minimum contribution requirement.

## Sources and Uses of Funds

As seen below, much of the contributions over the next 30 years are used to pay down the UAAL.


This graph provides a comparison of the Sources and Uses of the $\$ 246.62$ billion in contributions projected to be made from year ended June 30, 2016 through the end of the 50 -year funding period of June 30, 2045. Over 70\% of the projected total contributions are being provided by the state and over $20 \%$ is being provided by teachers. In aggregate, teachers pay for the cost of benefits accruing, and the State pays for the pension debt that has accrued as a result of following inadequate funding policies since the inception of TRS. Much of the contributions over the next 30 years are used to pay down pension debt.

The chart below provides a summary of the sources of funding by TRS members and the use of those funds.


As seen above, Tier II members are assisting the State by paying for part of the UAAL in addition to paying for all of their benefit. If the more commonly used entry age method is used, the amount allocated to normal cost would be lower, with more being allocated to the UAAL.

While Tier I and Tier II assets are comingled within TRS to determine the funding requirements and funded ratio, the chart below projects the funded ratio based on allocating Tier II member contributions with projected investment returns to the Tier II assets and the remaining contributions - Tier I member, all State, all School District and all Federal Funds - with projected investment returns to the Tier I assets.


As seen in the chart on the previous page, Tier II member contributions are more than sufficient to fund Tier II benefits, and the excess is used to increase the overall funded ratio of TRS. These Tier II excess contributions increase the June 30, 2045 TRS funded ratio from $80 \%$ to the $90 \%$ target under the Illinois Pension Code.

A detailed summary of the employer required contributions rates is provided in Section 1 of this report.

## Sensitivity Projections

Projections of contribution requirements and funded status into the future can be helpful planning tools for stakeholders. We provide such projections in this valuation report. The projections of the actuarial valuation are known as deterministic projections. Deterministic projections are based on one scenario in the future.

In addition, we have provided alternate deterministic projections. One is based on the same assumptions as the baseline deterministic projection except that it assumes a $0.0 \%$ asset return for year ending June 30, 2015. The second alternate deterministic projection is based on the same assumptions as the baseline deterministic projection except that it assumes a 15.00\% asset return for year ending June 30, 2015.

This graph provides a projection of the funded ratio.


The impact of investment returns on the valuation results can be significant. The impact in the first year is rather modest because only $20 \%$ of the alternate returns are reflected in the actuarial value of assets each valuation. By the fifth year, the returns are fully reflected in the valuation. On the next page we see the impact that these alternate scenarios have on employer contributions.

This graph provides the total state required contributions from the year ending June 30, 2016 through June 30, 2045.


The total impact on contributions over the funding period is significant. The change in returns in alternative scenarios \#1 and \#2 is $\$ 3.4$ billion less or $\$ 3.4$ billion more than the baseline, respectively, yet the impact over time on contributions is over three times those amounts because of the long period of time that this change is funded under Illinois Math.

A detailed summary of the deterministic projections is provided in Section 4 of this report.

## Accounting Information

The Governmental Account Standards Board (GASB) issues statements which establish financial reporting standards for defined benefit pension plans and accounting for pension expenditures and expenses for governmental employers. The required financial reporting information for TRS can be found in Section 3 of this report.

Please note that GASB Statement No. 25 (Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans) is applicable for fiscal years ending prior to 2014 and has been replaced by GASB Statement No. 67 (Financial Reporting for Pension Plans) for fiscal years ending 2014 and later. Similarly, GASB Statement No. 27 (Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers) is applicable for fiscal years ending prior to 2015 and has been replaced by GASB Statement No. 68 (Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions) for fiscal years ending 2015 and later.

The actuarial valuations under Statement No. 25 and Statement No. 67 use different cost methods and assumptions. Statement No. 25 uses the system's cost method and assumptions used for the funding valuation. For TRS, the cost method for the funding valuation is the projected unit cost method and actuarial value of assets. Statement No. 67 uses the entry age normal cost method and assets at fair value. The assumptions are the same for both statements, except the interest rate used for Statement No. 67 is referred to as the discount rate and it may be a blended rate reflecting the interest rate and a municipal bond rate. For June 30, 2014, the discount rate for TRS is the interest rate of $7.5 \%$. Similar to the funding valuation, Statement No. 67 requires the calculation of the funded status by comparing the assets, referred to as Fiduciary Net Position (FNP), to the actuarial accrued liability, referred to as Total Pension Liability (TPL). The difference between the TPL and FNP is the Net Pension Liability (NPL). As of June 30, 2014, TRS has TPL of $\$ 106.7$ billion and FNP of $\$ 45.8$ billion, for NPL of $\$ 60.9$ billion.

The valuation has been prepared in accordance with the parameters of Statement Nos. 25, 27 and 67 of the GASB and all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice.

## Funded Status and Funding Policy

TRS assets as of June 30, 2014 are $\$ 45.8$ billion. The actuarial value of assets is $\$ 42.2$ billion. Based on the results of this actuarial valuation, the actual amount of assets that Buck Consultants has determined should be in the TRS trust is $\$ 103.7$ billion. The funded ratio is $40.6 \%$ and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is $\$ 61.6$ billion. The funded status of TRS is universally regarded by its peers as among of the worst in the country. This low funded status is the direct result of a consistent underfunding by the State of Illinois government. Indeed, since inception, the contributions received from the State of Illinois have been insufficient to even pay the interest payment on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, let alone pay it down. The contributions made by the State are governed by the Illinois Pension Code. The Illinois General Assembly has the authority to amend the Illinois Pension Code such that, with the Governor's approval, it would fund according to current actuarial practice. The derogatory term given to the funding provisions the Illinois General Assembly follows is "Illinois Math." In contrast, the term we give for the prudent funding of TRS that we encourage stakeholders to adopt is "Actuarial Math." We will develop these concepts more, later in this report.

In 2012, the TRS Board of Trustees approved a resolution that not only recognized the threat to its members caused by the systematic underfunding under Illinois Math, but set forth a five-point foundation for any change to the pension code designed to secure the future. The five cornerstones of future security for TRS pensions are:

1. Require the use of standard actuarial practices and formulas instead of alternate calculations and practices required by state law that artificially lower state funding levels.
2. Require a legal guarantee that ensures state government fully funds TRS and the other public pension funds in the future.
3. Amend state law to fix a serious financial inequity in the benefits and funding for Tier II pensions that significantly penalizes those members over time and masks the true underfunded status of Tier I benefits.
4. Ensure that any changes in the pension code made by the General Assembly are uncomplicated and easy to administer fairly to all our members.
5. Require that any changes to the pension code adhere to Article 13, Section 5 of the llinois Constitution the pension protection clause.

## Key Takeaways

Key takeaways of this valuation are:

- The annual cost of benefits earned by active teachers in TRS is $\$ 2.0$ billion, 19.19\% of pay in FY 2016. This is the total normal cost.
- Teachers contribute about half of this through member contributions.
- Of the total employer contribution of $\$ 3.9$ billion for FY 2016 ( $\$ 3.7$ billion of which is for the State), $\$ 2.9$ billion is for UAAL, and the other $\$ 1.0$ billion is for the employers' share of the normal cost and expenses.
- Because the employer contribution for UAAL will be less than the $\$ 4.5$ billion interest payment, the UAAL is projected to grow. The UAAL is expected to grow until 2031.
- While the 50 year plan was an improvement over the funding policy prior to 1995, it has increased the UAAL as of June 30, 2014 by over $\$ 16$ billion more than if a 30 year amortization was followed.
- New hires after January 1,2011 will fully fund the cost of their benefit accruals, and excess contributions reduce the State's contributions toward the UAAL.
- Current funding problems are due to historic noncompliance with generally accepted actuarially principles and standards for determining State contributions.
- The funded ratio for TRS is among the worst in the United States. This is due to:
- A lack of commitment from policy makers to keep TRS well-funded
- A history of appropriating and contributing amounts far below that which a prudent actuary would recommend
- A funding policy that systematically underfunds TRS
- Changes in benefits that were unfunded and granted when the funded ratio of TRS was quite low
- Funding reform needs to occur for TRS or the benefits of its membership could be compromised.
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## Section 1: Actuarial Funding Results

### 1.1 Summary of Funding Results

The actuarial accrued liability and normal cost are projected to the valuation date based on census information and a measurement date as of one year prior to the valuation date. See Section 1.9 for the development of the current valuation accrued liability and normal cost.

| Summary of Funding Valuation Results with Last Year's Results for Comparison | June 30, 2014 Valuation | June 30, 2013 Valuation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Results as of Valuation Date | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Funded Status |  |  |
| 1. Actuarial Accrued Liability | \$ 103,740,377,267 | \$ 93,886,988,785 |
| 2. Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) | 42,150,765,261 | 38,155,191,497 |
| 3. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (AVA basis) (1. - 2.) | \$ 61,589,612,006 | \$ 55,731,797,288 |
| 4. Funded Ratio (AVA basis): (2. / 1.) | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| 5. Market Value of Assets (MVA) | 45,824,382,514 | 39,858,768,499 |
| 6. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (MVA basis) (1.-5.) | \$ 57,915,994,753 | \$ 54,028,220,286 |
| 7. Funded Ratio (MVA basis): (5. / 1.) | 44.2\% | 42.5\% |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability |  |  |
| 1. Active Members | \$ 35,622,053,592 | \$ 30,748,827,886 |
| 2. Retired Members and Beneficiaries Receiving Benefits | 65,614,627,003 | 61,254,334,295 |
| 3. Inactive Members with Deferred Benefits | 2,503,696,672 | 1,883,826,604 |
| 4. Total Actuarial Accrued Liability (1. + 2. + 3.) | \$ 103,740,377,267 | \$ 93,886,988,785 |
| Present Value of Future Benefits |  |  |
| 1. Active Members | \$ 57,951,385,818 | \$ 49,890,474,421 |
| 2. Retired Members and Beneficiaries Receiving Benefits | 65,614,627,003 | 61,254,334,295 |
| 3. Inactive Members with Deferred Benefits | 2,503,696,672 | 1,883,826,604 |
| 4. Present Value of Future Benefits (1. + 2. + 3.) | \$ 126,069,709,493 | \$ 113,028,635,320 |
| Results as of Fiscal Year Ending | June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 |
| Certified State Contribution under Illinois Pension Code (includes amount to Guaranteed Minimum Annuity Reserve) | \$ 3,742,702,194 | \$ 3,412,877,953 |
| Normal Cost |  |  |
| 1. Total Normal Cost | \$ 2,010,002,760 | \$ 1,859,287,118 |
| 2. Administrative Expenses | 24,294,066 | 22,519,334 |
| 3. Expected Member Contribution | 1,041,807,455 | 1,045,996,125 |
| 4. Total Employer Normal Cost (1. + 2. - 3.) | \$ 992,489,371 | \$ 835,810,327 |

### 1.2 Derivation of Employer Contributions

Summary of State Contributions under Illinois Pension Code, and Two Higher Thresholds

## Fiscal Year 2016

## 1. Based on Statutory Funding Plan

Total State Contribution for fiscal year 2016:
a. Benefit Trust Reserve*:
i. 36.64\% of membership payroll
ii. minus School Districts Contribution ( $0.58 \%$ of membership payroll)
iii. minus Federal Funds Contribution State Contribution
b. Guaranteed Minimum Annuity Reserve
c. Total State Contribution (current law)
2. Based on minimum generally accepted actuarial standards

Total State Contribution for fiscal year 2016:
a. Benefit Trust Reserve*:
i. normal cost plus amortization
ii. minus School Districts Contribution ( $0.58 \%$ of membership payroll)
iii. minus Federal Funds Contribution State Contribution
b. Guaranteed Minimum Annuity Reserve
c. Total State Contribution
3. Based on Contribution to Pay the Employer Normal Cost and Keep the Projected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability from Growing during the Fiscal Year, ignoring any Unrealized Asset Gains or Losses Total State Contribution for fiscal year 2016:
a. Benefit Trust Reserve*:
i. normal cost plus interest payment
ii. minus School Districts Contribution ( $0.58 \%$ of membership payroll)
iii. minus Federal Funds Contribution State Contribution
b. Guaranteed Minimum Annuity Reserve
c. Total State Contribution
4. Total Normal Cost and Employer Normal Cost Rate for fiscal year 2016
a. Total Normal Cost Rate (including administrative expenses)
b. Member Rate**
c. Employer Normal Cost Rate

* Expected fiscal year 2016 membership payroll is \$10,599,790,566
** The member contribution rate above is the projected rate for all member contributions, not just the base $9.40 \%$ contribution. Additional member contributions are assumed for optional service and Early Retirement Option.


### 1.2 Derivation of Employer Contributions (continued)

| Employer Contribution under Illinois Pension Code | Year Ending June 30, 2016 | As Percentage of Payroll (State, Federal, Total) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Assumed Payroll |  |  |  |
| a. Total Payroll | \$ 10,599,790,566 |  |  |
| b. Less Federal Funds Payroll | $(222,595,602)$ |  |  |
| c. State Payroll | \$ 10,377,194,964 |  |  |
| 2. Employer contribution that would have been required without funds provided by sec. 7.2(d) of General Obligation Bond Act |  |  |  |
| a. Employer's Cost | \$ 4,223,548,251 | 39.85 \% | Total |
| b. Less School Districts under Sec. 16-158(e) | $(61,478,785)$ | (0.58) | Total |
| c. State and Federal Funds Contribution | \$ 4,162,069,466 | 39.27 \% | Total |
| d. Less State Debt Service for TRS portion of all funds provided under sec. 7.2 of General Obligation Bond Act | $(340,003,895)$ | (3.21) | Total |
| e. Maximum State and Federal Funds Contribution under PA 94-0004 | \$ 3,822,065,571 | 36.06 \% | Total |
| 3. Employer contribution recognizing all system assets, before limiting State and Federal Funds contribution |  |  |  |
| a. Employer's Cost | \$ 3,948,438,126 | 37.25 \% | Total |
| b. Less School Districts under Sec. 16-158(e) | $(61,478,785)$ | (0.58) | Total |
| c. State and Federal Funds Contribution | \$ 3,886,959,341 | 36.67 \% | Total |
| 4. State and Federal Funds Contribution under PA 94-0004 Lesser of amounts under (2) and (3) | \$ 3,822,065,571 | 36.06 \% | Total |
| 5. Employer contribution under PA 94-0004 |  |  |  |
| a. State Portion of (4), based on State Payroll | \$ 3,741,802,194 | 36.06 \% | State |
| b. Plus Federal Portion of (4), based on Federal Payroll | 80,263,377 | 36.06 | Federal |
| c. State and Federal Funds Contribution | \$ 3,822,065,571 | 36.06 \% | Total |
| d. Plus School Districts under Sec. 16-158(e) | 61,478,785 | $\underline{0.58}$ | Total |
| e. Employer's Cost | \$ 3,883,544,356 | 36.64 \% | Total |

### 1.2 Derivation of Employer Contributions (continued)

## Notes about employer contribution under PA 94-0004

## (1) Assumed Payrolls

The administrative staff of the System estimated Federal Funds payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016 would be $2.10 \%$ of total payroll.
(2) Determination of Maximum State and Federal Funds Contribution under Public Act 94-0004

Under Section 7.2(d) of the General Obligation Bond Act (GOBA), TRS received $\$ 4.33$ billion on July 2, 2003. Commencing with the fiscal year 2005, the maximum State contribution under the Act equals the State contribution that would have been required if this $\$ 4.33$ billion contribution had not been made, reduced, but not below zero, by the State's debt service on the TRS portion of the full $\$ 10$ billion of Pension Obligation Bonds issued under Section 7.2 of the GOBA. Commencing with the fiscal year 2006 the Federal Funds contribute at the same rate as the State, and so a Combined State and Federal Funds contributions must be determined.
(3) Employer Contribution Recognizing \$4.33 Billion Received July 2, 2003

A gross employer contribution is determined that recognizes all system assets, and that meets the cost of maintaining and administering the System on a $90 \%$ funded basis by June 30, 2045, with level percentage of payroll contributions after a 15 year phase-in beginning in fiscal year 1996
(4) State and Federal Funds Contribution under Public Act 94-0004

The State and Federal Funds contribution is the lesser of the maximum contribution determined under (2) or the contribution determined under (3).
(5) Employer Contribution under Public Act 94-0004

The contribution determined under (4) is allocated to the State and to the Federal Funds in proportion to their respective payrolls (shown in (1)). The required employer contribution under PA 94-0004 equals the sum of these contributions, plus the expected $0.58 \%$ of payroll School District contributions for the $2.2 \%$ formula made under the provisions of Sec. 16-158(e).
(6) State Contribution Amount for FY 2006 and FY 2007 under PA 94-0004

PA 94-0004 specified actual contribution amounts for fiscal years 2006 and 2007 made by the State to the Benefit Trust Reserve.

## Additional Information:

The following contributions made to the Benefit Trust Reserve are not shown above:
(a) From Members:

1. Sec. 16-128 payments for the purchase of optional service credit.
2. Sec. 16-133.2 ERO lump sum payments upon retirement with ERO benefits
3. Sec. 16-152 career contributions of $9.0 \%$ of salary, plus - commencing July 1, 2005 - an additional $0.4 \%$ toward the ERO program.
(b) From School Districts:
4. Sec. 16-128(d-10) payments for excessive sick leave service credit
5. Sec. 16-133.2 ERO lump sum payments when members retire with ERO benefits
6. Sec. 16-158(f) lump sum payments at retirement for the cost of pension benefits arising from salary increases over $6 \%$ used in the final average salary calculation.

Although these types of contributions are not shown in the exhibits, they are all - with the exception of Sec. 16-128(d-10) payments - taken into account in the actuarial projection of the assets and funded status of the system, and the calculation is performed only after the above contributions have been taken into account.

An assumption for optional service purchases has been included in the projections since the June 30, 1994 valuation, and payments under Sec. 16-158(f) have been included since the recertified June 30, 2004 valuation. The career ERO contributions and lump sum payments toward ERO benefits were first recognized in the June 30, 2005 actuarial valuation. Finally, there are no current assumptions for excessive sick leave service credit, and so the actuarial projections do not currently include projected payments under Sec. 16-128(d-10).

### 1.2 Derivation of Employer Contributions (continued)

## Development of State and Federal Funds Statutory Contributions

 under §16-158 of the Illinois Pension CodeYear Ending June 30, 2016

1. Present value as of June 30, 2015 of future obligations to fund:
a. $90 \%$ of June 30, 2045 Actuarial Accrued Liability
b. Benefit disbursements and adminstrative expenses from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2045
c. Total present value of future obligations: a.+b.
2. Projected Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2015:
a. With POB proceeds
b. Without POB proceeds
3. Present value as of June 30, 2015 of future member contributions from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2045
4. Present value as of June 30, 2015 of future School District contributions from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2045 for:
a. FAS cap Increases under §16-158(f)
b. Modified Early Retirement Option under §16-133.2
c. $2.2 \%$ formula under §16-158(e)
d. Total present value of future School District contributions: a.+ b.+c.
5. Present value as of June 30, 2015 of future State and Federal Funds contributions from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2045 under §16-158:
a. Based on including POB proceeds: 1.c.-2.a.-3.-4.d.
b. Based on not including POB proceeds: 1.c.-2.b.-3.-4.d.
6. Present value as of June 30, 2015 of future covered payroll from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2045
7. Determination of preliminary contribution rates for State and Federal Funds for year ending June 30, 2016 :
a. Preliminary rate based on including POB proceeds: 5.a. $\div 6$.
b. Preliminary rate based on not including POB proceeds: $5 . b . \div 6$.
8. Determination of contribution for State and Federal Funds for year ending June 30, 2016 :
a. Projected payroll for year ending June 30, 2016:
i. State projected payroll
ii. Federal Funds projected payroll
iii. Total projected payroll: i.+ ii.
b. State and Federal Funds contribution for year ending June 30, 2016 before maximum: 7.a.x 8.a.iii.
c. State and Federal Funds contribution maximum
for year ending June 30, 2016:
i. Gross Maximum State and Federal Funds contribution: 7.b.x 8.a.iii.
ii. State's Debt service
iii. Net Maximum State and Federal Funds contribution: i.- ii.
d. State and Federal Funds contribution after applying maximum for year ending June 30, 2016:
i. Total contribution as dollar amount: minimum of 8.b and 8.c.iii
ii. Total contribution as rate of payroll: i. $\div 8$ 8.a.iii
iii. State contribution: 8.a.i. x 8.d.ii
iv. Federal Funds contribution: 8.a.ii. x 8.d.ii
\$ 23,326,300,536
$110,836,310,619$
\$ 134,162,611,155

44,381,424,221
39,522,137,161
$18,522,777,546$
\$ 110,541,489
1,406,362,462
1,085,903,564
$\$ \quad 2,602,807,516$

68,655,601,873
73,514,888,932

187,224,752,632
36.67\%
39.27\%
\$ 10,377,194,964
$222,595,602$
$\$ \quad 10,599,790,566$
\$ 10,599,790,566
$3,886,959,341$
\$ 4,162,069,466
340,003,895
\$ 3,822,065,571
\$ 3,822,065,571
36.06\%
\$ 3,741,802,194
80,263,377

### 1.2 Derivation of Employer Contributions (continued)

| Development of State and Federal Funds Contributions based on minimum generally accepted actuarial standards | Year Ending June 30, 2016 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Projected employer Normal Cost for year ending June 30, 2016 : <br> a. Projected total Normal Cost <br> b. Projected administrative expenses <br> c. Projected member contributions <br> d. Projected employer Normal Cost: a.+b.-c. | $\$$ $2,010,002,760$ <br> $24,294,066$  <br> $1,041,807,455$  <br>  $992,489,371$ |
| 2. Projected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2015: <br> a. Projected Actuarial Accrued Liability <br> b. Projected Actuarial Value of Assets <br> c. Projected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: a.-b. | \$ $107,792,526,212$ $44,381,424,221$ $63,411,101,991$ |
| 3. Projected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability payment for year ending June 30, 2016: <br> a. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability amortization factor: <br> i. Present value of future salary from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2045 <br> ii. Projected payroll for year ending June 30, 2016 <br> iii. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability amortization factor: i.; ii. <br> b. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability payment: 2.c. $\div$ a.iii. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 187,224,752,632 \\ 10,599,790,566 \\ 17.66 \\ 3,590,040,266 \end{array}$ |
| 4. Total employer contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 1.d. | \$ 4,582,529,636 |
| 5. Projected School District contributions for year ending June 30, 2016: <br> a. FAS cap Increases under §16-158(f) <br> b. Modified Early Retirement Option under §16-133.2 <br> c. $2.2 \%$ formula under §16-158(e) | $\$$ $5,027,434$ <br> $58,048,699$  <br> $61,478,785$  |
| d. Total School District contributions for year ending June 30, 2016: a.+b.+c. | \$ 124,554,918 |
| 6. State and Federal contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 4.- 5.d. | 4,457,974,718 |
| 7. Portion of total payroll that is Federal Funds for year ending June 30, 2016 | 2.10\% |
| 8. Federal Funds contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 6.x 7 . | \$ 93,617,469 |
| 9. State contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 6.- 8. | 4,364,357,249 |

### 1.2 Derivation of Employer Contributions (continued)

Development of State and Federal Funds Contribution
based on contribution to pay the Employer Normal Cost and keep the projected
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability from growing during the fiscal year, ignoring any unrecognized asset gains or losses

Year Ending June 30, 2016

1. Projected employer Normal Cost for year ending June 30, 2016:
a. Projected total Normal Cost
b. Projected administrative expenses
\$ 2,010,002,760
c. Projected member contributions
d. Projected employer Normal Cost: a.+ b.- c.
2. Projected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2015
a. Projected Actuarial Accrued Liability
b. Projected Actuarial Value of Assets
c. Projected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: a.- b.
3. Interest payment on Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: 2.c.x 7.50\%, adjusted for mid-year payment
4. Total employer contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 1.d.+ 3.
5. Projected School District Contributions for year ending June 30, 2016:
a. FAS cap Increases under §16-158(f)
b. Modified Early Retirement Option under §16-133.2
c. $2.2 \%$ formula under $\S 16$-158(e)
d. Total Projected School District contributions for year ending June 30, 2016: a.+b.+c.
6. State and Federal contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 4.- 5.d.
7. Portion of total payroll that is Federal Funds for year ending June 30, 2016
8. Federal Funds contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 6.x 7.
9. State contribution for year ending June 30, 2016: 6.- 8.

24,294,066
1,041,807,455
992,489,371
\$ 107,792,526,212
44,381,424,221
63,411,101,991

4,583,935,084
5,576,424,454
\$ 5,027,434
58,048,699
61,478,785
\$ $124,554,918$
5,451,869,536
\$ 114,489,260
5,337,380,276

### 1.3 Actuarial (Gain)/Loss

1. Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability
a. Actuarial Accrued Liability at June 30, 2013
b. Normal Cost at June 30, 2013
c. Interest at $8.00 \%$ on $\mathrm{a} .+$ b. to June 30, 2014
d. Benefit Payments and Administrative Expenses for June 30, 2013, with Interest at 8.00\% to June 30, 2014
\$
93,886,988,785
1,821,056,972
7,582,400,050
e. Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability before Changes

5,551,445,630
f. Change in Actuarial Accrued Liability at June 30, 2014, due to Change in Actuarial Assumptions

6,403,256,969
g. Change in Actuarial Accrued Liability at June 30, 2014, due to Change in Plan Provisions

97,739,000,177
h. Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability at June 30, 2014: (e. + f. + g.)
2. Actuarial Accrued Liability at June 30, 2014

103,740,377,267
3. Expected Actuarial Value of Assets
a. Actuarial Value of Assets at June 30, 2013
b. Interest at $8.00 \%$ on a. to June 30, 2014
c. Contributions Made for June 30, 2013
d. Interest at $8.00 \%$ on c. to June 30, 2014
e. Benefit Payments and Administrative Expenses for June 30, 2013, with Interest at 8.00\% to June 30, 2014

5,551,445,630
f. Change in Actuarial Value of Assets at June 30, 2014 due to Change in Method
g. Expected Actuarial Value of Assets at June 30, 2014: (a.+b.+c.+d.-e+f)
4. Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2014

38,155,191,497
3,052,415,320
4,525,463,343
177,536,120
5. Liability (Gain) / Loss: (2. - 1.h.)
6. Actuarial Asset (Gain) / Loss: (3.g. - 4.)
$(1,791,604,611)$
7. Total Actuarial (Gain) / Loss: (5. + 6.)
$(2,193,484,491)$

### 1.4 Reconciliation of Unfunded Accrued Liability

| Reconciliation of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | Year Ended June 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability at beginning of year | \$ | 55,731,797,288 | \$ | 52,079,548,158 |
| Additions (deductions) |  |  |  |  |
| - Employer cost in excess of contributions | \$ | 1,648,042,240 | \$ | 2,125,731,840 |
| - Change in actuarial assumptions and methods |  | 6,403,256,969 |  | - |
| Net additions (deductions) | \$ | 8,051,299,209 | \$ | 2,125,731,840 |
| Actuarial losses (gains) compared to assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| - Salary increases for continuing active members | \$ | $(474,190,195)$ | \$ | $(412,776,000)$ |
| - Asset loss (gain) on actuarial value of assets ${ }^{1}$ |  | (1,791,604,611) |  | 1,557,219,259 |
| - New entrant loss |  | $(315,731)$ |  | 12,677,870 |
| - Mortality other than expected |  | $(74,308,199)$ |  | 7,355,374 |
| - Retirements other than expected |  | 119,675,346 |  | 65,579,020 |
| - Disabilities other than expected |  | $(3,237,170)$ |  | $(6,120,537)$ |
| - Terminations other than expected |  | $(4,442,984)$ |  | 22,925,587 |
| - Rehires |  | 37,754,909 |  |  |
| - Repayments of refunded member contributions ${ }^{2}$ |  | - |  | 25,733,387 |
| - Delayed reporting of retirements (effect on assets) ${ }^{3}$ |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 2,302,527 |
| - Other ${ }^{4}$ |  | $(2,815,856)$ |  | 251,620,803 |
| Net actuarial loss (gain) | \$ | $(2,193,484,491)$ | \$ | 1,526,517,290 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability at end of year | \$ | 61,589,612,006 | \$ | 55,731,797,288 |

${ }^{1}$ Assets are expected to earn $8.0 \%$. This item is the difference between the expected and the actual return on an actuarial basis. For example, in fiscal year 2014, the expected actuarial return of $\$ 3.020$ billion was less than the $\$ 4.812$ billion actual return on the actuarial value of assets, resulting in an actuarial gain which reduced the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by $\$ 1.792$ billion.
${ }^{2}$ This includes the employer-paid portion of the benefit that was restored when members repaid previously refunded contributions.
${ }^{3} 191$ retirements that occurred prior to the 6/30/2012 valuation were not reported to the actuary until 6/30/2013.
${ }^{4}$ Other includes items such as:
(a) Retroactive benefit payments for individuals who delayed applying for retirement.
(b) Differences between actual cost of benefits earned during the year and projected cost.
(c) Retirements with reciprocal service credits.
(d) Delayed reporting of retirements (effect on AAL).

### 1.5 Employer Cost in Excess of Contributions

| Employer Cost in Excess of Contributions | Year Ended June 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |
| 1. Employer cost |  |  |
| a. Employer normal cost | \$ 890,299,621 | \$ 817,433,027 |
| b. Interest on Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability at mid-year | 4,290,235,756 | 4,166,363,853 |
| c. Total employer cost | \$ 5,180,535,377 | \$ 4,983,796,880 |
| 2. Employer contributions toward normal cost and interest on Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability |  |  |
| a. State (excluding Minimum Benefit) | \$ 3,437,478,000 | \$ 2,702,278,000 |
| b. School Districts for 2.2\% and Salary Increase Cap and Modified ERO | 82,743,883 | 86,918,959 |
| c. Federal Funds | 74,484,109 | 68,867,939 |
| d. Total employer credits | \$ 3,594,705,992 | \$ 2,858,064,898 |
| 3. Employer cost in excess of contributions |  |  |
| a. Cost minus contribution: (1.c.-2.d.) | \$ 1,585,829,385 | \$ 2,125,731,982 |
| b. Interest on a. to year-end | 62,212,855 | 83,393,496 |
| c. Total excess with interest | \$ 1,648,042,240 | \$ 2,209,125,478 |

1.6 10 Year History of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability and Funded Ratio

Based on Actuarial Value of Assets

| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Actuarial Accrued <br> Liability | Actuarial Value <br> of Assets* | Unfunded <br> Actuarial Accrued <br> Liability | Percentage <br> Change <br> in Unfunded | Funded <br> Ratio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | $\$ 55,075,029,384$ | $\$ 134,085,218,478$ | $\$$ | $21,989,810,906$ | $13.33 \%$ |
| 2006 | $58,996,912,735$ | $36,584,889,427$ | $22,412,023,308$ | 1.92 | $60.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | $65,648,394,666$ | $41,909,317,753$ | $23,739,076,913$ | 5.92 | 62.0 |
| 2008 | $68,632,366,686$ | $38,430,723,287$ | $30,201,643,399$ | 27.22 | 63.8 |
| 2009 | $73,027,198,172$ | $38,026,043,512$ | $35,001,154,660$ | 15.89 | 56.0 |
| 2010 | $77,293,197,626$ | $37,439,091,771$ | $39,854,105,855$ | 13.87 | 52.1 |
| 2011 | $81,299,745,296$ | $37,769,752,972$ | $43,529,992,324$ | 9.22 | 48.4 |
| 2012 | $90,024,945,369$ | $37,945,397,211$ | $52,079,548,158$ | 19.64 | 46.5 |
| 2013 | $93,886,988,785$ | $38,155,191,497$ | $55,731,797,288$ | 7.01 | 42.1 |
| 2014 | $103,740,377,267$ | $42,150,765,261$ | $61,589,612,006$ | 10.51 | 40.6 |
| Average Annual Change |  |  |  |  |  |

* For 2001 to 2008: Assets are at fair market value.

For 2009 and After: Assets are 5-year smoothed value.
Based on Market Value of Assets

| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Actuarial Accrued <br> Liability | Market Value <br> of Assets | Unfunded <br> Actuarial Accrued <br> Liability | Percentage <br> Change <br> in Unfunded | Funded <br> Ratio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | $\$ 56,075,029,384$ | $\$$ | $34,085,218,478$ | $\$$ | $21,989,810,906$ |
| 2006 | $58,996,912,735$ | $36,584,889,427$ | $22,412,023,308$ | $13.33 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | $65,648,394,666$ | $41,909,317,753$ | $23,739,076,913$ | 5.92 | 62.0 |
| 2008 | $68,632,366,686$ | $38,430,723,287$ | $30,201,643,399$ | 27.22 | 63.8 |
| 2009 | $73,027,198,172$ | $28,531,312,242$ | $44,495,885,930$ | 47.33 | 56.0 |
| 2010 | $77,293,197,626$ | $31,323,784,214$ | $45,969,413,412$ | 3.31 | 39.1 |
| 2011 | $81,299,745,296$ | $37,471,267,194$ | $43,828,478,102$ | $(4.66)$ | 40.5 |
| 2012 | $90,024,945,369$ | $36,516,825,339$ | $53,508,120,030$ | 22.09 | 46.1 |
| 2013 | $93,886,988,785$ | $39,858,768,499$ | $54,028,220,286$ | 0.97 | 40.6 |
| 2014 | $103,740,377,267$ | $45,824,382,514$ | $57,915,994,753$ | 7.20 | 42.5 |
| Average Annual Change |  |  |  |  |  |

### 1.7 10 Year History of Solvency Test

| Solvency Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ended June 30 | Actuarial Accrued Liability for: |  |  | Actuarial Value of Assets | Portion of Actuarial Accrued Liabilties Covered by Assets |  |  |
|  | (1) <br> Active and Inactive Members Accumulated Contributions | (2) <br> Members Currently Receiving Benefits | (3) <br> Active and Inactive Members Employer Portion |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 2005 | \$5,925,696,000 | \$32,861,473,286 | \$17,287,860,098 | \$34,085,218,478 | 100.0\% | 85.7\% | 0.0\% |
| 2006 | 6,303,750,000 | 35,315,528,699 | 17,377,634,036 | 36,584,889,427 | 100.0\% | 85.7\% | 0.0\% |
| 2007 | 6,500,318,000 | 39,785,368,134 | 19,362,708,532 | 41,909,317,753 | 100.0\% | 89.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2008 | 6,931,518,000 | 41,849,963,527 | 19,850,885,159 | 38,430,723,287 | 100.0\% | 75.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 2009 | 7,320,600,000 | 44,495,917,095 | 21,210,681,077 | 38,026,043,512 | 100.0\% | 69.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2010 | 7,715,984,000 | 47,475,905,587 | 22,101,308,039 | 37,439,091,771 | 100.0\% | 62.6\% | 0.0\% |
| 2011 | 8,048,689,000 | 50,567,880,069 | 22,683,176,227 | 37,769,752,972 | 100.0\% | 58.8\% | 0.0\% |
| 2012 | 8,270,073,000 | 58,734,635,863 | 23,020,236,506 | 37,945,397,211 | 100.0\% | 50.5\% | 0.0\% |
| 2013 | 8,569,939,000 | 61,254,334,295 | 24,062,715,490 | 38,155,191,497 | 100.0\% | 48.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 2014 | 8,890,558,488 | 65,614,627,003 | 29,235,191,776 | 42,150,765,261 | 100.0\% | 50.7\% | 0.0\% |

(1) members' contributions on deposits in the system.
(2) basic retirement benefit values attributable to present retired members and beneficiaries.
(3) basic retirement benefit values attributable to active and vested terminated members for service already rendered.
1.8 Department of Insurance Information

| Actuarial Accrued Liabilities | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Retirement | \$ 63,467,624,896 | \$ 59,202,200,493 |
| Disability Retirement | 392,403,043 | 383,302,495 |
| Survivor | 1,754,599,064 | 1,668,831,307 |
|  | \$ 65,614,627,003 | \$ 61,254,334,295 |
| Inactive | 2,503,696,672 | 1,883,826,604 |
| Active | 35,622,053,592 | 30,748,827,886 |
| Total | \$ 103,740,377,267 | \$ 93,886,988,785 |


| Headcounts and Salaries for Active Members |  | June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| Count |  | 37,527 |  | 37,695 |
| Salaries | \$ | 2,465,461,569 | \$ | 2,564,451,539 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| Count |  | 123,463 |  | 124,334 |
| Salaries | \$ | 6,870,446,936 | \$ | 7,191,573,357 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Count |  | 160,990 |  | 162,029 |
| Salaries | \$ | 9,335,908,505 | \$ | 9,756,024,896 |

### 1.9 Actuarial Liabilities and Normal Cost

| Actuarial Accrued Liability <br> Developed for June 30, 2014 Valuation |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Actuarial Accrued Liability measured as of June 30, 2013 | \$ | 99,740,924,170 |
| 2. Normal Cost measured for fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 |  | 1,925,420,029 |
| 3. Expected benefit payments for fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 |  | 5,280,712,767 |
| 4. Interest on 1., 2. and 3. to June 30, 2014 |  | 7,354,745,835 |
| 5. Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2014 (1.+2.-3.+4.) | \$ | 103,740,377,267 |
| 6. Normal Cost measured for fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 |  | 1,988,666,324 |
| 7. Expected benefit payments for fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 |  | 5,582,284,975 |
| 8. Interest on 5., 6. and 7. to June 30, 2015 |  | 7,645,767,596 |
| 9. Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2015 (5.+6.-7.+8.) | \$ | 107,792,526,212 |
| Based on member census as of June 30, 2013, assumptions and methods as of June 30, 2014. |  |  |

## Section 2: Plan Assets

### 2.1 Summary of Market Value of Assets

| MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Category | June 30, 2014 Amount | \% | June 30, 2013 Amount | \% |
| 1. Cash | \$ 60,859,067 | 0.11\% | \$ 14,659,145 | 0.03\% |
| 2. Receivables and Prepaid Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| a. Member Contributions | \$ 57,529,290 | 0.11\% | \$ 61,631,048 | 0.15\% |
| b. Employer Contributions | 14,367,466 | 0.03\% | 13,595,958 | 0.03\% |
| c. State of Illinois | 372,984,303 | 0.69\% | 331,413,880 | 0.78\% |
| d. Investment Income | 106,358,243 | 0.20\% | 98,149,767 | 0.23\% |
| e. Pending Investment Sales | 4,876,016,116 | 9.08\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| f. Prepaid Expenses | 2,958,078 | 0.01\% | 2,111,112 | 0.01\% |
| g. Total Receivables and Prepaid Expenses | \$ 5,430,213,496 | 10.12\% | \$ 506,901,765 | 1.20\% |
| 3. Investments at Market Value |  |  |  |  |
| a. Fixed Income | \$ 8,413,584,938 | 15.66\% | \$ 6,872,432,511 | 16.31\% |
| b. Equities | 19,151,133,896 | 35.62\% | 17,116,072,384 | 40.61\% |
| c. Real Estate | 5,638,680,343 | 10.49\% | 4,680,490,237 | 11.11\% |
| d. Short Term Investments | 1,432,002,394 | 2.67\% | 1,448,944,819 | 3.44\% |
| e. Private Equity Investments | 5,038,446,122 | 9.38\% | 4,687,146,815 | 11.12\% |
| f. Real Return | 3,055,818,516 | 5.69\% | 2,661,472,243 | 6.32\% |
| g. Absolute Return | 2,618,256,628 | 4.87\% | 2,110,246,003 | 5.01\% |
| h. Foreign Currency | 84,850,132 | 0.16\% | 114,363,611 | 0.27\% |
| i. Derivatives | 2,805,648 | 0.01\% | $(9,415,670)$ | -0.02\% |
| j. Total Investments | \$ 45,435,578,617 | 84.55\% | \$ 39,681,752,953 | 94.17\% |
| 4. Invested Securities Lending Collateral |  |  |  |  |
| a. Short-Term Investments | \$ 2,718,126,389 | 5.06\% | \$ 1,836,179,323 | 4.36\% |
| b. Fixed Income | 12,965,947 | 0.02\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| c. Securities Lending Collateral with the State Treasurer | 67,457,000 | 0.13\% | 96,375,000 | 0.23\% |
| d. Total Invested Securities Lending Collateral | \$ 2,798,549,336 | 5.21\% | \$ 1,932,554,323 | 4.59\% |
| 5. Property and Equipment | \$ 4,114,038 | 0.01\% | \$ 4,359,612 | 0.01\% |
| 6. Total Assets (1.+2.g.+3.j.+4.d.+ 5.) | \$ 53,729,314,554 | 100.00\% | \$ 42,140,227,798 | 100.00\% |
| 7. Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| a. Benefit and Refunds Payable | \$ 8,324,286 |  | \$ 6,052,691 |  |
| b. Administrative and Investment Expenses Payable | 45,714,593 |  | 44,512,535 |  |
| c. Payable to Brokers for Unsettled Trades | 0 |  | 298,339,750 |  |
| d. Pending Investment Purchases | 5,052,429,964 |  | 0 |  |
| e. Securities Lending Collateral | 2,798,463,197 |  | 1,932,554,323 |  |
| f. Total Liabilities | \$ 7,904,932,040 |  | \$ 2,281,459,299 |  |
| 8. Net Assets for Pension Benefits (6. - 7.f.) | \$ 45,824,382,514 |  | \$ 39,858,768,499 |  |

### 2.2 Changes in Market Value of Assets

| CHANGE IN MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transactions | Year Ended June 30, 2014 |  | Year Ended June 30, 2013 |  |
| Additions |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Contributions |  |  |  |  |
| a. Members | \$ | 928,745,853 | \$ | 921,422,657 |
| b. State of Illinois |  | 3,438,382,892 |  | 2,703,312,213 |
| c. Employers |  |  |  |  |
| i. Early Retirement |  | 23,392,170 |  | 26,233,220 |
| ii. Federal Funds |  | 74,484,109 |  | 68,867,939 |
| iii. 2.2 Benefit Formula |  | 55,181,100 |  | 55,182,660 |
| iv. Excess Salary/Sick Leave |  | 5,277,219 |  | 6,895,424 |
| d. Total Contributions | \$ | 4,525,463,343 | \$ | 3,781,914,113 |
| 2. Investment Income |  |  |  |  |
| From Investment Activities |  |  |  |  |
| a. Net Appreciation (Depreciation) | \$ | 5,804,678,228 | \$ | 3,801,020,789 |
| b. Interest |  | 236,947,917 |  | 237,105,503 |
| c. Real Estate Operating Income |  | 311,383,726 |  | 224,838,678 |
| d. Dividends |  | 515,858,875 |  | 466,665,278 |
| e. Private Equity Income |  | 117,978,674 |  | 85,549,726 |
| f. Other Investment Income |  | 81,912,282 |  | 13,064,572 |
| g. Investment Activity Income | \$ | 7,068,759,702 | \$ | 4,828,244,546 |
| h. Less Investment Expense |  | (300,257,270) |  | (280,372,727) |
| i. Net Investment Activity Income | \$ | 6,768,502,432 | \$ | 4,547,871,819 |
| From Securities Lending Activities |  |  |  |  |
| j. Securities Lending Income | \$ | 7,541,948 | \$ | 7,506,839 |
| k. Securities Lending Management Fees |  | $(863,807)$ |  | $(1,254,991)$ |
| I. Securities Lending Borrower Rebates |  | 6,851,147 |  | 7,644,716 |
| m . Net Securities Lending Activity Income | \$ | 13,529,288 | \$ | 13,896,564 |
| n. Total Investment Income | \$ | 6,782,031,720 | \$ | 4,561,768,383 |
| 3. Total Additions (1.d. + 2.n.) | \$ | 11,307,495,063 | \$ | 8,343,682,496 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Benefits and Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| a. Retirement Benefits | \$ | 4,986,155,845 | \$ | 4,670,384,710 |
| b. Survivor Benefits |  | 208,424,078 |  | 192,390,237 |
| c. Disability Benefits |  | 30,626,905 |  | 30,309,287 |
| d. Refunds |  | 95,456,151 |  | 88,397,549 |
| e. Administrative Expenses |  | 21,218,069 |  | 20,257,553 |
| f. Total Deductions | \$ | 5,341,881,048 | \$ | 5,001,739,336 |
| 5. Net Increase (Decrease) | \$ | 5,965,614,015 | \$ | 3,341,943,160 |
| 6. Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| a. Beginning of Year | \$ | 39,858,768,499 | \$ | 36,516,825,339 |
| b. End of Year | \$ | 45,824,382,514 | \$ | 39,858,768,499 |

### 2.3 Actuarial Value of Assets

## DEVELOPMENT OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS <br> as of June 30, 2014

1. Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 2013
\$ 39,858,768,499
2. Contributions

4,525,463,343
3. Distributions

5,341,881,048
4. Expected return at $8.00 \%$ on
a. Item 1

3,188,701,480
b. Item 2. 181,018,534
c. Item 3.

213,675,242
d. Total (a. + b. - c.)

3,156,044,772
5. Actual return on Market Value for fiscal year

6,782,031,720
6. Gain / (Loss) to be spread for fiscal year (5. - 4.d.)

3,625,986,948
7. Total Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 2014 (1. + 2. -3. + 5.)
\$ 45,824,382,514
8. Return to be spread:

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Gain / <br> (Loss) | Unrecognized <br> Percent | Unrecognized <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | $\$$ | $3,625,986,948$ | $80 \%$ | $\$$ |
| 2013 | $1,689,215,365$ | $60 \%$ |  | $1,000,789,558$ |
| 2012 | $(2,910,862,678)$ | $40 \%$ |  | $(1,164,345,219$ |
| 2011 | $4,618,217,733$ | $20 \%$ |  | $\underline{923,643,547}$ |
|  |  |  | $\$$ | $3,673,617,253$ |

9. Actuarial Value of Assets at June 30, 2014 (7. - 8.)
\$ 42,150,765,261
10. Recognized rate of return for the year on Actuarial Value of Assets
12.75\%
11. Rate of return for the year on Market Value of Assets 17.19\%

### 2.4 10 Year History of System Revenue and Expenses

| 10-YEAR HISTORY OF SYSTEM REVENUE AND EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ended June 30 | Beginning of Year Market Value of Assets | Contributions |  | Market Value Income | Benefits and Expenses | End of Year Market Value of Assets | Investment Return |
|  |  | Member | Employer |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | \$ 31,544,729,285 | \$ 761,790,009 | \$ 1,055,562,346 | \$ 3,330,039,158 | \$ 2,606,902,321 | \$ 34,085,218,477 | 10.8\% |
| 2006 | 34,085,218,477 | 799,034,336 | 657,847,863 | 3,993,289,880 | 2,950,501,129 | 36,584,889,427 | 11.8\% |
| 2007 | 36,584,889,427 | 826,249,007 | 853,585,668 | 6,831,324,439 | 3,186,730,789 | 41,909,317,752 | 19.2\% |
| 2008 | 41,909,317,752 | 865,400,168 | 1,171,788,454 | (2,014,413,780) | 3,501,369,307 | 38,430,723,287 | -5.0\% |
| 2009 | 38,430,723,287 | 876,182,122 | 1,603,920,569 | (8,654,702,712) | 3,724,811,024 | 28,531,312,242 | -22.7\% |
| 2010 | 28,531,312,242 | 899,401,027 | 1,006,282,216 | 3,679,642,960 | 2,792,854,230 | 31,323,784,215 | 12.9\% |
| 2011 | 31,323,784,215 | 909,577,109 | 2,326,028,622 | 7,234,539,490 | 4,322,662,242 | 37,471,267,194 | 23.6\% |
| 2012 | 37,471,267,194 | 917,661,328 | 2,561,259,102 | 224,106,719 | 4,657,469,004 | 36,516,825,339 | 0.8\% |
| 2013 | 36,516,825,339 | 921,422,657 | 2,860,491,456 | 4,561,768,383 | 5,001,739,336 | 39,858,768,499 | 12.7\% |
| 2014 | 39,858,768,499 | 928,745,853 | 3,596,717,490 | 6,782,031,720 | 5,341,881,048 | 45,824,382,514 | 17.2\% |

Notes: Market Value Income represents the net appreciation/(depreciation) in the market value of assets after adjusting for contributions received and benefits and expenses paid.

### 2.5 Development of Projected Actuarial Values of Assets

For determining the certified employer contributions in Section 1.2, the Actuarial Value of Assets is projected one year from the valuation date to the beginning of the contribution fiscal year. The projection assumes no investment gains or losses on the Actuarial Value of Assets, meaning the return is the expected amount based on the assumed interest rate and current Actuarial Value of Assets, as illustrated below.

## Projected Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2015 for Section 1.2 - Derivation of Employer Contributions

| 1. Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2014 | $\$ 42,150,765,260$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2. Assumed contributions | $4,685,274,437$ |
| 3. Assumed distributions | $5,582,284,975$ |
| 4. Expected return at 7.50\% on 1., 2., and 3. | $3,127,669,499$ |
| 5. Projected Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2015 (1.+ 2. -3.+ 4.) | $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 , 3 8 1 , 4 2 4 , 2 2 1}$ |

For projecting future years' valuation results in Section 4, the Market Value of Assets is projected from the current valuation date. The Actuarial Value of Assets is derived from the projected Market Value of Assets, reflecting gains and losses for the years prior to the projected valuation date. The following illustrates a projection of the assets for a valuation date one year after the current valuation date. Future years are similarly projected.

## Projected Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2015 <br> for Section 4 - Valuation Projections

1. Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 2014
2. Assumed contributions
3. Assumed distributions
4. Expected return at $7.50 \%$ on $1 ., 2$., and 3.
5. Assumed actual return on Market Value for fiscal year (4.)
6. Gain / (Loss) to be spread for fiscal year (5. - 4.)
7. Assumed Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 2015 (1.+2. -3.+5.)
8. Return to be spread:

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Gain / <br> (Loss) | Unrecognized <br> Percent | Unrecognized <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2015 | $\$$ | - | $80 \%$ | $\$$ |
| 2014 | $3,625,986,948$ | $60 \%$ |  | - |
| 2013 | $1,689,215,365$ | $40 \%$ |  | $675,686,146$ |
| 2012 | $(2,910,862,678)$ | $20 \%$ |  | $\underline{(582,172,536)}$ |
|  |  |  | $\$$ | $2,269,105,779$ |

9. Projected Actuarial Value of Assets at June 30, 2015 (7. - 8.)
\$ 46,061,456,990
10. Recognized rate of return for the year on Actuarial Value of Assets 11.53\%
11. Rate of return for the year on Market Value of Assets 7.50\%

## Section 3: Accounting Information

### 3.1 Schedule of Funding Progress

| GASB 25 Schedule of Funding Progress |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets (a) * | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) -- Projected Unit Credit <br> (b) | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a) | Funded Ratio (a)/(b) | Covered Payroll (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ( $(b-a) / c)$ |
| 6/30/2005 | \$ 34,085,218,478 | \$ 56,075,029,384 | \$ 21,989,810,906 | 60.8\% | \$ 7,550,510,000 | 291.2\% |
| 6/30/2006 | 36,584,889,427 | 58,996,912,735 | 22,412,024,000 | 62.0\% | 7,765,752,000 | 288.6\% |
| 6/30/2007** | 41,909,317,753 | 65,648,394,666 | 23,739,077,000 | 63.8\% | 8,149,849,000 | 291.3\% |
| 6/30/2008 | 38,430,723,287 | 68,632,366,686 | 30,201,644,000 | 56.0\% | 8,521,717,000 | 354.4\% |
| 6/30/2009 | 38,026,043,512 | 73,027,198,172 | 35,001,154,000 | 52.1\% | 8,945,021,000 | 391.3\% |
| 6/30/2010 | 37,439,091,771 | 77,293,197,626 | 39,854,105,855 | 48.4\% | 9,251,139,345 | 430.8\% |
| 6/30/2011 | 37,769,752,972 | 81,299,745,296 | 43,529,992,324 | 46.5\% | 9,205,602,667 | 472.9\% |
| 6/30/2012** | 37,945,397,211 | 90,024,945,369 | 52,079,548,158 | 42.1\% | 9,321,098,000 | 558.7\% |
| 6/30/2013 | 38,155,191,497 | 93,886,988,785 | 55,731,797,288 | 40.6\% | 9,394,741,000 | 593.2\% |
| 6/30/2014*** | 42,150,765,261 | 103,740,377,267 | 61,589,612,006 | 40.6\% | 9,512,809,680 | 647.4\% |

* For 2005 to 2008: Assets are at fair market value. For 2009 and later: Assets are 5-year smoothed value.
** Revised economic and noneconomic assumptions due to experience review.
*** Revised economic assumptions due to change in investment policy.


### 3.2 Schedule of Employer Contributions

| GASB 25 <br> Schedule of Employer Contributions <br> (\$ in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total Employer Contributions <br> Year <br> Ended |  |  |
| June 30 | Annual <br> Required <br> Contribution | Percentage <br> Contributed |
| 2005 | $\$ 1,683,212$ | $58.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | $1,679,524$ | $35.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | $2,052,396$ | $39.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | $1,949,463$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | $2,109,480$ | $75.9 \%$ |
| 2010 | $2,481,914$ | $90.6 \%$ |
| 2011 | $2,743,221$ | $84.7 \%$ |
| 2012 | $3,429,945$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | $3,582,033$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | $4,091,978$ | $87.8 \%$ |

### 3.3 GASB 27 Disclosure

| GASB 27 Disclosure (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development of Net Pension Obligations |  |  | 6/30/2014 |
| Item |  |  |  |
| 1. | Net Pension Obligation at 6/30/2013 | \$ | 15,740,028 |
| 2. | Employer Normal Cost |  | 787,230 |
| 3. | Amortization of Unfunded AAL * |  | 3,304,748 |
| 4. | Annual Required Contribution (ARC) (2. + 3.) |  | 4,091,978 |
| 5. | Interest on the NPO at 6/30/2013 |  | 1,259,203 |
| 6. | Adjustment to the ARC |  | 928,457 |
| 7. | Pension Cost (4. + 5. - 6.) |  | 4,422,724 |
| 8. | Total Employer Contribution |  | 3,594,706 |
| 9. | Percent of Pension Cost Contributed (8. / 7.) |  | 81.3\% |
| 10. | Change in NPO (7. - 8.) |  | 828,018 |
| 11. | Net Pension Obligation at 6/30/2014 (1. + 10.) | \$ | 16,568,046 |

* The unfunded AAL is amortized as a level percentage of pay over 30 years based on the salary increase assumption and new entrant profile found in Section 6.3 of this report.

The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requires disclosure of the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) under a standard funding methodology. Amounts shown as the ARCs for each year are different from the contributions required by state statute. The information here was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

Valuation date: 6/30/2014
Actuarial Cost Method: Projected Unit Credit
Amortization Method (for GASB disclosure): Level Percent Open
Remaining Amortization Period (for GASB disclosure): 30 years
Payroll Growth Assumption (for GASB disclosure): Projected using the assumed decrements for the members in the system and the valuation new entrant profile
Asset Valuation Method: 5-Year Smoothing
Investment Rate of Return:
Projected Salary Increases: $4.75 \%-9.90 \%$ composite approximates $5.75 \%$
Includes Inflation at:
Post-retirement Increase: Tier I: $3 \%$ compounded
Tier II: $1.4 \%$ not compounded (lesser of $3 \%$ or $1 / 2 \mathrm{CPI}$ increase, but not less than zero)

### 3.4 GASB 67 Net Pension Liability

| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Pension Liability less Plan Fiduciary Net Position | $\begin{array}{r}\$ \\ 106,682,654,886 \\ 45,824,382,514 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102,507,911,628 \\ 39,858,768,499 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | \$ 60,858,272,372 | \$ 62,649,143,129 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability (Asset) | 42.95\% | 38.88\% |

## Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2014

Total Pension Liability

Service Cost
Interest
Changes of Benefit Terms
Difference between Expected and Actual Experience
Change of Assumptions
Benefit Payments, including Refund of Member Contributions
Net Change in Total Pension Liability
Total Pension Liability - Beginning of Year
Total Pension Liability - End of Year
Plan Fiduciary Net Position
Employer Contributions
Member Contributions
Net Investment Income
Benefit Payments, including Refund of Member Contributions
Administrative Expenses
Other
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning of Year
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - End of Year
\$ 1,894,351,211
7,561,104,814
39,050,212
$(5,319,762,979)$
4,174,743,258
\$ 102,507,911,628
\$ 106,682,654,886
\$ 3,595,817,490
928,745,853
6,782,031,720
(5,319,762,979)
$(21,218,069)$
5,965,614,015
\$ 39,858,768,499
\$ 45,824,382,514

| Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\% Decrease | Current | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ Increase |  |
| Discount Rate | $6.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ |
| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | $\$ 75,156,979,079$ | $\$ 60,858,272,372$ | $\$ 49,017,312,800$ |

## GASB 67 Assumptions and Methods:

The assumptions under GASB 67 are the same as under GASB 25 except for the development of the discount rate. The discount rate as of June 30, 2014 is a blend of the assumed long-term rate of return rate of $7.50 \%$ and a municipal bond rate of $3.66 \%$, which is the S\&P Municipal Bond 20 Year High Grade Rate Index as of June 30, 2014. The blended rate is $7.50 \%$, as developed in Section 3.5 of this report. For this intial fiscal year-end disclosure under GASB 67, the assumptions as of June 30, 2014 were assumed to be in effect at the beginning of the fiscal year as well.
The actuarial cost method required under GASB 67 is the entry age normal method. For this system, Total Pension Liability is developed and rolled forward to the fiscal year end based on a valuation date and member census one year prior. For example, TPL is projected to June 30, 2014 based on a valuation date of June 30, 2013. Assets, referred to as Fiduciary Net Position, are measured at fair market value.

### 3.5 Development of GASB 67 Discount Rate



### 3.5 Development of GASB 67 Discount Rate (continued)



### 3.5 Development of GASB 67 Discount Rate (continued)



### 3.5 Development of GASB 67 Discount Rate (continued)



## Section 4: Plan Projections

### 4.1 Projection Assumptions

Projections of contribution requirements and funded status into the future can be helpful planning tools for stakeholders. This section provides such projections. The projections of the actuarial valuation are known as deterministic projections. Deterministic projections are based on one scenario in the future. The baseline deterministic projection is based on the June 30, 2014 valuation results and assumptions.

Key Projection Assumptions:

- Valuation interest rate of $7.50 \%$ for all years
- $7.50 \%$ investment return on market value of assets
- Actuarial assumptions and methods as described in Section 6.3. All future demographic experience is assumed to be exactly realized
- The projected annual contributions under the Illinois Pension Code are contributed each year
- $0 \%$ increase in the total active member population as of the June 30, 2013 measurement date
- Future pay increases based on long-term salary increase assumptions

The assets have been split by Tier for illustration purposes. Estimated Tier II assets are based on the June 30, 2013 accumulated member contributions of $\$ 70,783,523$.

### 4.2 Projection of Funded Ratio to 2046

Amounts above the line are based on prior valuations and amounts below the line are based on the current valuation.

| Year Ended June 30 | Actuarial Accrued Liability | Actuarial Value of Assets | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | Tier I Funded Ratio | Tier II Funded Ratio | Total Funded Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | \$23,980,566,000 | \$12,641,865,000 | (\$11,338,701,000) |  |  | 52.7\% |
| 1996 | 26,141,794,000 | 13,829,711,000 | $(12,312,083,000)$ |  |  | 52.9\% |
| 1997 | 26,951,585,000 | 17,393,108,000 | (9,558,477,000) |  |  | 64.5\% |
| 1998 | 29,908,241,000 | 19,965,887,000 | (9,942,354,000) |  |  | 66.8\% |
| 1999 | 33,205,513,000 | 22,237,709,000 | $(10,967,804,000)$ |  |  | 67.0\% |
| 2000 | 35,886,404,000 | 24,481,413,000 | $(11,404,991,000)$ |  |  | 68.2\% |
| 2001 | 39,166,697,000 | 23,315,646,000 | $(15,851,051,000)$ |  |  | 59.5\% |
| 2002 | 43,047,674,000 | 22,366,285,000 | $(20,681,389,000)$ |  |  | 52.0\% |
| 2003 | 46,933,432,000 | 23,124,823,000 | $(23,808,609,000)$ |  |  | 49.3\% |
| 2004 | 50,947,451,000 | 31,544,729,000 | $(19,402,722,000)$ |  |  | 61.9\% |
| 2005 | 56,075,029,000 | 34,085,218,000 | $(21,989,811,000)$ |  |  | 60.8\% |
| 2006 | 58,996,913,000 | 36,584,889,000 | $(22,412,024,000)$ |  |  | 62.0\% |
| 2007 | 65,648,395,000 | 41,909,318,000 | (23,739,077,000) |  |  | 63.8\% |
| 2008 | 68,632,367,000 | 38,430,723,000 | $(30,201,644,000)$ |  |  | 56.0\% |
| 2009 | 73,027,198,000 | 38,026,043,512 | $(35,001,154,488)$ |  |  | 52.1\% |
| 2010 | 77,293,198,000 | 37,439,091,771 | $(39,854,106,229)$ |  |  | 48.4\% |
| 2011 | 81,299,745,000 | 37,769,752,971 | $(43,529,992,029)$ |  |  | 46.5\% |
| 2012 | 90,024,945,000 | 37,945,397,211 | (52,079,547,789) |  |  | 42.2\% |
| 2013 | 93,886,988,785 | 38,155,191,497 | $(55,731,797,288)$ |  |  | 40.6\% |
| 2014 | 103,740,377,267 | 42,150,765,261 | (61,589,612,006) | 40.6\% | 131.0\% | 40.6\% |
| 2015 | 107,792,526,212 | 46,061,456,990 | $(61,731,069,222)$ | 42.6\% | 136.1\% | 42.7\% |
| 2016 | 111,925,102,017 | 49,280,164,500 | $(62,644,937,518)$ | 43.8\% | 137.8\% | 44.0\% |
| 2017 | 116,136,637,435 | 53,116,430,621 | $(63,020,206,814)$ | 45.4\% | 138.7\% | 45.7\% |
| 2018 | 120,422,182,722 | 56,699,395,858 | $(63,722,786,864)$ | 46.6\% | 139.1\% | 47.1\% |
| 2019 | 124,775,855,518 | 59,609,293,858 | $(65,166,561,660)$ | 47.2\% | 139.5\% | 47.8\% |
| 2020 | 129,206,177,987 | 62,597,356,659 | $(66,608,821,328)$ | 47.7\% | 139.7\% | 48.5\% |
| 2021 | 133,712,395,671 | 65,720,919,680 | $(67,991,475,991)$ | 48.2\% | 139.8\% | 49.2\% |
| 2022 | 138,297,745,090 | 69,004,733,751 | $(69,293,011,339)$ | 48.8\% | 139.9\% | 49.9\% |
| 2023 | 142,959,983,118 | 72,466,688,359 | $(70,493,294,758)$ | 49.3\% | 139.9\% | 50.7\% |
| 2024 | 147,711,556,857 | 76,121,008,584 | $(71,590,548,273)$ | 49.9\% | 140.0\% | 51.5\% |
| 2025 | 152,533,635,983 | 79,971,772,522 | (72,561,863,460) | 50.5\% | 140.0\% | 52.4\% |
| 2026 | 157,412,946,582 | 84,044,356,831 | $(73,368,589,751)$ | 51.2\% | 140.0\% | 53.4\% |
| 2027 | 162,329,943,110 | 88,339,814,427 | $(73,990,128,683)$ | 51.9\% | 140.0\% | 54.4\% |
| 2028 | 167,256,034,721 | 92,833,223,663 | $(74,422,811,058)$ | 52.6\% | 140.1\% | 55.5\% |
| 2029 | 172,168,914,519 | 97,537,847,023 | $(74,631,067,496)$ | 53.4\% | 140.1\% | 56.7\% |
| 2030 | 177,049,940,025 | 102,449,207,122 | (74,600,732,903) | 54.2\% | 140.2\% | 57.9\% |
| 2031 | 181,872,742,808 | 107,569,530,132 | $(74,303,212,676)$ | 55.0\% | 140.3\% | 59.2\% |
| 2032 | 186,610,898,500 | 112,903,955,222 | (73,706,943,279) | 55.9\% | 140.4\% | 60.5\% |
| 2033 | 191,224,279,493 | 118,435,872,702 | (72,788,406,790) | 56.8\% | 140.4\% | 61.9\% |
| 2034 | 195,682,553,930 | 124,583,267,165 | $(71,099,286,766)$ | 58.0\% | 140.4\% | 63.7\% |
| 2035 | 199,948,587,551 | 130,916,292,203 | $(69,032,295,348)$ | 59.3\% | 140.4\% | 65.5\% |
| 2036 | 203,997,468,470 | 137,433,392,843 | $(66,564,075,628)$ | 60.6\% | 140.3\% | 67.4\% |
| 2037 | 207,807,219,934 | 144,144,688,165 | $(63,662,531,769)$ | 62.0\% | 140.2\% | 69.4\% |
| 2038 | 211,340,645,456 | 151,027,407,847 | $(60,313,237,609)$ | 63.5\% | 140.1\% | 71.5\% |
| 2039 | 214,568,761,269 | 158,071,923,507 | $(56,496,837,762)$ | 65.0\% | 140.0\% | 73.7\% |
| 2040 | 217,443,903,664 | 165,250,028,669 | $(52,193,874,995)$ | 66.7\% | 139.8\% | 76.0\% |
| 2041 | 219,957,014,100 | 172,565,974,729 | $(47,391,039,371)$ | 68.5\% | 139.7\% | 78.5\% |
| 2042 | 222,116,510,856 | 180,050,349,339 | $(42,066,161,517)$ | 70.5\% | 139.5\% | 81.1\% |
| 2043 | 223,954,003,498 | 187,759,492,597 | $(36,194,510,900)$ | 72.6\% | 139.3\% | 83.8\% |
| 2044 | 225,539,905,974 | 195,784,766,527 | (29,755,139,448) | 74.9\% | 139.2\% | 86.8\% |
| 2045 | 226,911,906,576 | 204,220,715,919 | $(22,691,190,658)$ | 77.6\% | 139.0\% | 90.0\% |
| 2046 | 228,151,142,748 | 205,336,028,474 | $(22,815,114,275)$ | 76.2\% | 138.8\% | 90.0\% |

### 4.3 Projection of Contributions to Trust to 2046 (Dollars)

Amounts above the line are based on prior valuations and amounts below the line are based on the current valuation.

| Year <br> Ended June 30 | Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | School District |  |  |  | Federal Funds | State | Total |
|  | Member | § 16-158(f) <br> (Increases) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \S 133.2 \\ & \text { (ERO) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { § 16-158(e) } \\ \text { (2.2 Formula) } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |  |
| 1995 | \$421,726,521 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$16,500,000 | \$262,864,800 | \$701,091,321 |
| 1996 | 422,238,847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,000,000 | 324,276,242 | 763,515,089 |
| 1997 | 420,762,625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,300,000 | 377,968,984 | 816,031,609 |
| 1998 | 440,967,595 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,000,000 | 460,439,267 | 919,406,862 |
| 1999 | 866,369,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,675,000 | 16,675,000 | 18,500,000 | 567,067,600 | 1,468,611,600 |
| 2000 | 619,622,000 | 0 | 0 | 34,145,066 | 34,145,066 | 18,200,000 | 634,038,560 | 1,306,005,626 |
| 2001 | 643,563,000 | 0 | 0 | 36,375,498 | 36,375,498 | 20,000,000 | 719,356,841 | 1,419,295,339 |
| 2002 | 681,151,770 | 0 | 0 | 38,664,380 | 38,664,380 | 23,000,000 | 810,618,724 | 1,553,434,874 |
| 2003 | 732,020,451 | 0 | 0 | 12,808,373 | 12,808,373 | 25,000,000 | 926,049,918 | 1,695,878,742 |
| 2004 | 768,661,300 | 0 | 0 | 42,604,912 | 42,604,912 | 29,400,000 | 1,027,258,994 | 1,867,925,206 |
| 2005 | 761,790,009 | 0 | 0 | 44,481,074 | 44,481,074 | 37,860,000 | 902,243,532 | 1,746,374,615 |
| 2006 | 799,034,336 | 14,974,781 | See note (2) | 45,656,648 | 60,631,429 | 24,070,387 | 531,827,700 | 1,415,563,852 |
| 2007 | 826,249,007 | 19,353,893 | 160,339,640 | 46,047,720 | 225,741,253 | 41,328,022 | 735,514,500 | 1,828,832,782 |
| 2008 | 865,400,168 | 0 | 83,137,070 | 48,102,405 | 131,239,475 | 47,829,058 | 1,039,194,988 | 2,083,663,689 |
| 2009 | 876,182,122 | 3,000,000 | 94,319,430 | 51,141,422 | 148,460,852 | 55,707,046 | 1,449,888,800 | 2,530,238,820 |
| 2010 | 909,642,774 | 3,000,000 | 89,212,140 | 53,666,271 | 145,878,411 | 75,718,545 | 2,087,668,469 | 3,218,908,199 |
| 2011 | 948,286,581 | 5,000,000 | 86,576,360 | 56,171,181 | 147,747,541 | 75,405,839 | 2,357,040,597 | 3,528,480,558 |
| 2012 | 976,364,866 | 5,000,000 | 84,768,690 | 57,976,440 | 147,745,130 | 84,654,093 | 2,405,172,175 | 3,613,936,264 |
| 2013 | 967,910,390 | 5,000,000 | 70,492,910 | 57,610,031 | 133,102,941 | 83,575,603 | 2,702,277,829 | 3,886,866,763 |
| 2014 | 1,004,368,089 | 5,000,000 | 61,550,660 | 57,896,194 | 124,446,854 | 97,203,752 | 3,437,478,152 | 4,663,496,847 |
| 2015 | 1,045,996,125 | 5,782,580 | 58,366,010 | 60,413,797 | 124,562,387 | 102,838,282 | 3,411,877,643 | 4,685,274,437 |
| 2016 | 1,041,807,455 | 5,027,434 | 58,048,699 | 61,478,785 | 124,554,918 | 80,263,377 | 3,741,802,194 | 4,988,427,944 |
| 2017 | 1,083,369,593 | 5,225,973 | 63,388,380 | 63,865,110 | 132,479,463 | 81,478,055 | 3,798,429,331 | 5,095,756,442 |
| 2018 | 1,125,515,470 | 5,415,093 | 66,318,657 | 66,344,335 | 138,078,085 | 83,911,165 | 3,911,858,608 | 5,259,363,328 |
| 2019 | 1,168,826,060 | 5,533,591 | 68,936,590 | 68,911,033 | 143,381,214 | 85,779,594 | 3,998,962,980 | 5,396,949,848 |
| 2020 | 1,214,812,813 | 5,730,853 | 73,948,467 | 71,585,474 | 151,264,794 | 87,933,431 | 4,099,372,803 | 5,553,383,841 |
| 2021 | 1,263,337,785 | 5,973,881 | 79,653,490 | 74,380,475 | 160,007,846 | 91,211,065 | 4,252,172,988 | 5,766,729,684 |
| 2022 | 1,315,108,877 | 6,293,932 | 89,955,892 | 77,294,591 | 173,544,415 | 94,679,724 | 4,413,878,586 | 5,997,211,602 |
| 2023 | 1,369,555,094 | 6,808,068 | 102,360,174 | 80,323,299 | 189,491,541 | 98,333,323 | 4,584,205,876 | 6,241,585,835 |
| 2024 | 1,425,013,599 | 7,320,484 | 113,851,751 | 83,449,354 | 204,621,589 | 101,837,964 | 4,747,588,897 | 6,479,062,050 |
| 2025 | 1,483,596,200 | 8,021,282 | 125,468,497 | 86,660,206 | 220,149,985 | 105,511,224 | 4,918,832,784 | 6,728,090,193 |
| 2026 | 1,542,673,725 | 8,977,734 | 138,029,999 | 89,958,358 | 236,966,091 | 109,660,176 | 5,112,252,979 | 7,001,552,971 |
| 2027 | 1,601,103,776 | 10,016,271 | 147,070,463 | 93,332,177 | 250,418,911 | 113,942,627 | 5,311,896,735 | 7,277,362,049 |
| 2028 | 1,658,131,479 | 10,546,395 | 149,535,247 | 96,757,679 | 256,839,321 | 118,015,612 | 5,501,775,440 | 7,534,761,852 |
| 2029 | 1,719,238,747 | 11,259,717 | 156,893,889 | 100,230,573 | 268,384,179 | 122,199,898 | 5,696,842,862 | 7,806,665,686 |
| 2030 | 1,780,093,207 | 11,958,986 | 168,585,525 | 103,720,740 | 284,265,251 | 126,144,265 | 5,880,725,521 | 8,071,228,244 |
| 2031 | 1,841,642,444 | 12,864,860 | 184,977,239 | 107,144,191 | 304,986,290 | 130,062,251 | 6,063,378,266 | 8,340,069,251 |
| 2032 | 1,901,404,660 | 13,796,859 | 197,986,031 | 110,423,703 | 322,206,593 | 134,160,645 | 6,254,441,523 | 8,612,213,422 |
| 2033 | 1,953,179,370 | 14,709,615 | 204,038,614 | 113,515,743 | 332,263,972 | 138,365,033 | 6,450,446,065 | 8,874,254,440 |
| 2034 | 2,003,685,509 | 15,478,026 | 207,809,333 | 116,430,097 | 339,717,456 | 151,032,651 | 7,040,998,332 | 9,535,433,947 |
| 2035 | 2,049,579,843 | 15,815,242 | 206,732,104 | 119,189,518 | 341,736,864 | 154,612,160 | 7,207,871,671 | 9,753,800,538 |
| 2036 | 2,092,181,625 | 16,120,093 | 205,834,479 | 121,808,161 | 343,762,733 | 158,009,054 | 7,366,231,599 | 9,960,185,010 |
| 2037 | 2,136,077,641 | 16,790,069 | 208,177,163 | 124,295,730 | 349,262,962 | 161,235,919 | 7,516,664,961 | 10,163,241,483 |
| 2038 | 2,172,160,753 | 17,541,884 | 202,550,970 | 126,633,402 | 346,726,256 | 164,268,337 | 7,658,033,424 | 10,341,188,769 |
| 2039 | 2,204,466,817 | 17,727,731 | 190,037,792 | 128,800,149 | 336,565,672 | 167,079,032 | 7,789,065,352 | 10,497,176,873 |
| 2040 | 2,231,570,963 | 17,353,711 | 171,371,593 | 130,815,517 | 319,540,821 | 169,693,360 | 7,910,942,812 | 10,631,747,956 |
| 2041 | 2,253,596,731 | 16,408,867 | 140,830,107 | 132,720,871 | 289,959,845 | 172,164,977 | 8,026,167,269 | 10,741,888,823 |
| 2042 | 2,273,303,595 | 15,160,488 | 105,977,522 | 134,569,743 | 255,707,753 | 174,563,326 | 8,137,976,020 | 10,841,550,694 |
| 2043 | 2,292,193,035 | 13,936,666 | 68,172,340 | 136,428,835 | 218,537,841 | 176,974,933 | 8,250,402,823 | 10,938,108,632 |
| 2044 | 2,308,925,002 | 12,175,136 | 28,639,519 | 138,324,336 | 179,138,991 | 179,433,769 | 8,365,031,419 | 11,032,529,181 |
| 2045 | 2,335,799,915 | 10,475,160 | 8,116,349 | 140,293,112 | 158,884,621 | 181,987,657 | 8,484,091,243 | 11,160,763,436 |
| 2046 | 2,369,326,464 | 9,191,168 | 1,345,735 | 142,392,708 | 152,929,611 | 25,496,007 | 1,188,599,578 | 3,736,351,659 |

### 4.3 Projection of Contributions to Trust to 2046 (Dollars) (continued)

## Notes:

(1) The administrative staff of the System estimated the Federal Funds contribution for fiscal years prior to 2006. Commencing with the contribution for fiscal 2006, total payroll for the valuation is split into State and Federal Funds payrolls. Federal Funds payrolls for 2006-2009 were estimated to be $4.33 \%, 5.32 \%, 4.40 \%$, and $3.70 \%$, respectively, of total payrolls for those years. For 2015 the estimate was $3.00 \%$ of payroll. For 2016 the estimate is $2.10 \%$ of payroll. All payrolls are assumed to increase at the same rate for years subsequent to 2016.
(2) School District contributions under 16-158(e) for years subsequent to 2005 are expected to equal $0.58 \%$ of total payroll. Sec. 16-158(f) contributions for 2008 - 2014 were estimated by the administrative staff of the System. Commencing with the contribution for fiscal 2007, Sec. 16133.2 contributions are estimated in this schedule.
(3) Federal Funds and State contributions for years 2005 through 2014 are equal to each group's respective payroll multiplied by the Combined State and Federal Funds Required Rate. Beginning with 2015, the Federal Funds contributions are that group's share of the employer normal cost.
(4) Schedule excludes State ERI contributions of $\$ 1,000,000$ for 2004, and $\$ 1,684,000$ for 2005 (under Public Act 92-0056, as amended).

### 4.4 Projection of Contributions to Trust to 2046 (Percent of Payroll)

Amounts above the line are based on prior valuations and amounts below the line are based on the current valuation.

| Year Ended June 30 | Assumed Payroll | Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | School District |  |  |  |  | Federal Funds | State | Total |
|  |  | Member | § 16-158(f) $\text { \| (Increases) } \mid$ | § 133.2 <br> (ERO) | § 16-158(e) (2.2 Formula) | Total |  |  |  |
| 1995 | \$4,633,650,000 | 9.10\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.36\% | 5.67\% | 15.13\% |
| 1996 | 4,863,544,432 | 8.68\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.35\% | 6.67\% | 15.70\% |
| 1997 | 4,903,151,093 | 8.58\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.35\% | 7.71\% | 16.64\% |
| 1998 | 5,264,732,966 | 8.38\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.34\% | 8.75\% | 17.46\% |
| 1999 | 5,558,349,721 | 15.59\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.30\% | 0.30\% | 0.33\% | 10.20\% | 26.42\% |
| 2000 | 5,887,080,405 | 10.53\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 0.31\% | 10.77\% | 22.18\% |
| 2001 | 6,271,637,672 | 10.26\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 0.32\% | 11.47\% | 22.63\% |
| 2002 | 6,666,272,399 | 10.22\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 0.35\% | 12.16\% | 23.30\% |
| 2003 | 7,115,762,553 | 10.29\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.18\% | 0.18\% | 0.35\% | 13.01\% | 23.83\% |
| 2004 | 7,345,674,585 | 10.46\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 0.40\% | 13.98\% | 25.43\% |
| 2005 | 7,669,150,690 | 9.93\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 0.49\% | 11.76\% | 22.77\% |
| 2006 | 7,871,835,902 | 10.15\% | 0.19\% | 0.00\% | 0.58\% | 0.77\% | 0.31\% | 6.76\% | 17.98\% |
| 2007 | 7,939,262,146 | 10.41\% | 0.24\% | 2.02\% | 0.58\% | 2.84\% | 0.52\% | 9.26\% | 23.04\% |
| 2008 | 8,293,518,065 | 10.43\% | 0.00\% | 1.00\% | 0.58\% | 1.58\% | 0.58\% | 12.53\% | 25.12\% |
| 2009 | 8,817,486,572 | 9.94\% | 0.03\% | 1.07\% | 0.58\% | 1.68\% | 0.63\% | 16.44\% | 28.70\% |
| 2010 | 9,252,805,323 | 9.83\% | 0.03\% | 0.96\% | 0.58\% | 1.58\% | 0.82\% | 22.56\% | 34.79\% |
| 2011 | 9,684,686,327 | 9.79\% | 0.05\% | 0.89\% | 0.58\% | 1.53\% | 0.78\% | 24.34\% | 36.43\% |
| 2012 | 9,995,937,994 | 9.77\% | 0.05\% | 0.85\% | 0.58\% | 1.48\% | 0.85\% | 24.06\% | 36.15\% |
| 2013 | 9,932,764,038 | 9.74\% | 0.05\% | 0.71\% | 0.58\% | 1.34\% | 0.84\% | 27.21\% | 39.13\% |
| 2014 | 9,982,102,443 | 10.06\% | 0.05\% | 0.62\% | 0.58\% | 1.25\% | 0.97\% | 34.44\% | 46.72\% |
| 2015 | 10,416,171,908 | 10.04\% | 0.06\% | 0.56\% | 0.58\% | 1.20\% | 0.99\% | 32.76\% | 44.98\% |
| 2016 | 10,599,790,566 | 9.83\% | 0.05\% | 0.55\% | 0.58\% | 1.18\% | 0.76\% | 35.30\% | 47.06\% |
| 2017 | 11,011,225,847 | 9.84\% | 0.05\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 1.20\% | 0.74\% | 34.50\% | 46.28\% |
| 2018 | 11,438,678,400 | 9.84\% | 0.05\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 1.21\% | 0.73\% | 34.20\% | 45.98\% |
| 2019 | 11,881,212,547 | 9.84\% | 0.05\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% | 1.21\% | 0.72\% | 33.66\% | 45.42\% |
| 2020 | 12,342,323,115 | 9.84\% | 0.05\% | 0.60\% | 0.58\% | 1.23\% | 0.71\% | 33.21\% | 44.99\% |
| 2021 | 12,824,219,869 | 9.85\% | 0.05\% | 0.62\% | 0.58\% | 1.25\% | 0.71\% | 33.16\% | 44.97\% |
| 2022 | 13,326,653,681 | 9.87\% | 0.05\% | 0.68\% | 0.58\% | 1.30\% | 0.71\% | 33.12\% | 45.00\% |
| 2023 | 13,848,844,679 | 9.89\% | 0.05\% | 0.74\% | 0.58\% | 1.37\% | 0.71\% | 33.10\% | 45.07\% |
| 2024 | 14,387,819,679 | 9.90\% | 0.05\% | 0.79\% | 0.58\% | 1.42\% | 0.71\% | 33.00\% | 45.03\% |
| 2025 | 14,941,414,825 | 9.93\% | 0.05\% | 0.84\% | 0.58\% | 1.47\% | 0.71\% | 32.92\% | 45.03\% |
| 2026 | 15,510,061,766 | 9.95\% | 0.06\% | 0.89\% | 0.58\% | 1.53\% | 0.71\% | 32.96\% | 45.14\% |
| 2027 | 16,091,754,632 | 9.95\% | 0.06\% | 0.91\% | 0.58\% | 1.56\% | 0.71\% | 33.01\% | 45.22\% |
| 2028 | 16,682,358,486 | 9.94\% | 0.06\% | 0.90\% | 0.58\% | 1.54\% | 0.71\% | 32.98\% | 45.17\% |
| 2029 | 17,281,133,272 | 9.95\% | 0.07\% | 0.91\% | 0.58\% | 1.55\% | 0.71\% | 32.97\% | 45.17\% |
| 2030 | 17,882,886,153 | 9.95\% | 0.07\% | 0.94\% | 0.58\% | 1.59\% | 0.71\% | 32.88\% | 45.13\% |
| 2031 | 18,473,136,381 | 9.97\% | 0.07\% | 1.00\% | 0.58\% | 1.65\% | 0.70\% | 32.82\% | 45.15\% |
| 2032 | 19,038,569,437 | 9.99\% | 0.07\% | 1.04\% | 0.58\% | 1.69\% | 0.70\% | 32.85\% | 45.24\% |
| 2033 | 19,571,679,827 | 9.98\% | 0.08\% | 1.04\% | 0.58\% | 1.70\% | 0.71\% | 32.96\% | 45.34\% |
| 2034 | 20,074,154,669 | 9.98\% | 0.08\% | 1.04\% | 0.58\% | 1.69\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 47.50\% |
| 2035 | 20,549,916,920 | 9.97\% | 0.08\% | 1.01\% | 0.58\% | 1.66\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 47.46\% |
| 2036 | 21,001,407,111 | 9.96\% | 0.08\% | 0.98\% | 0.58\% | 1.64\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 47.43\% |
| 2037 | 21,430,298,362 | 9.97\% | 0.08\% | 0.97\% | 0.58\% | 1.63\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 47.42\% |
| 2038 | 21,833,345,239 | 9.95\% | 0.08\% | 0.93\% | 0.58\% | 1.59\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 47.36\% |
| 2039 | 22,206,922,263 | 9.93\% | 0.08\% | 0.86\% | 0.58\% | 1.52\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 47.27\% |
| 2040 | 22,554,399,548 | 9.89\% | 0.08\% | 0.76\% | 0.58\% | 1.42\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 47.14\% |
| 2041 | 22,882,908,867 | 9.85\% | 0.07\% | 0.62\% | 0.58\% | 1.27\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 46.94\% |
| 2042 | 23,201,679,877 | 9.80\% | 0.07\% | 0.46\% | 0.58\% | 1.10\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 46.73\% |
| 2043 | 23,522,212,979 | 9.74\% | 0.06\% | 0.29\% | 0.58\% | 0.93\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 46.50\% |
| 2044 | 23,849,023,477 | 9.68\% | 0.05\% | 0.12\% | 0.58\% | 0.75\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 46.26\% |
| 2045 | 24,188,467,574 | 9.66\% | 0.04\% | 0.03\% | 0.58\% | 0.66\% | 0.75\% | 35.07\% | 46.14\% |
| 2046 | 24,550,466,928 | 9.65\% | 0.04\% | 0.01\% | 0.58\% | 0.62\% | 0.10\% | 4.84\% | 15.22\% |

Notes:

[^1]
### 4.5 Projection of Employer Normal Cost and Amortization Cost to 2046

Amounts above the line are based on prior valuations and amounts below the line are based on the current valuation.

| Year Ended June 30 | Amort. Year | Employer Rate |  |  | Amount of Employer Contribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Normal Cost | Amort. | Total | Normal Cost | Amort. |
| 1995 | 0 | 6.03\% | 8.12\% | -2.09\% | \$279,364,800 | \$376,122,700 | (\$96,757,900) |
| 1996 | 1 | 7.02\% | 8.23\% | -1.21\% | 341,276,242 | 400,134,055 | $(58,857,812)$ |
| 1997 | 2 | 8.06\% | 8.21\% | -0.15\% | 395,268,984 | 402,771,457 | $(7,502,473)$ |
| 1998 | 3 | 9.09\% | 8.38\% | 0.70\% | 478,439,267 | 441,403,004 | 37,036,263 |
| 1999 | 4 | 10.83\% | 7.84\% | 2.99\% | 602,242,600 | 435,910,961 | 166,331,639 |
| 2000 | 5 | 11.66\% | 8.15\% | 3.51\% | 686,383,626 | 479,928,856 | 206,454,770 |
| 2001 | 6 | 12.37\% | 8.65\% | 3.71\% | 775,732,339 | 542,794,806 | 232,937,533 |
| 2002 | 7 | 13.09\% | 8.84\% | 4.25\% | 872,283,104 | 588,971,933 | 283,311,171 |
| 2003 | 8 | 13.55\% | 8.83\% | 4.71\% | 963,858,291 | 628,536,783 | 335,321,507 |
| 2004 | 9 | 14.96\% | 8.15\% | 6.82\% | 1,099,263,906 | 598,462,925 | 500,800,982 |
| 2005 | 10 | 12.84\% | 8.32\% | 4.52\% | 984,584,606 | 637,971,250 | 346,613,356 |
| 2006 | 11 | 7.64\% | 8.20\% | -0.56\% | 601,554,735 | 645,705,698 | $(44,150,963)$ |
| 2007 | 12 | 10.36\% | 8.20\% | 2.17\% | 822,890,242 | 650,835,074 | 172,055,168 |
| 2008 | 13 | 13.69\% | 8.22\% | 5.47\% | 1,135,126,451 | 681,651,502 | 453,474,949 |
| 2009 | 14 | 17.66\% | 9.27\% | 8.39\% | 1,556,737,268 | 817,320,366 | 739,416,902 |
| 2010 | 15 | 23.96\% | 9.15\% | 14.81\% | 2,217,053,286 | 846,936,893 | 1,370,116,393 |
| 2011 | 16 | 25.70\% | 8.77\% | 16.92\% | 2,488,617,617 | 849,716,122 | 1,638,901,495 |
| 2012 | 17 | 25.49\% | 8.43\% | 17.06\% | 2,547,802,708 | 842,532,254 | 1,705,270,454 |
| 2013 | 18 | 28.63\% | 8.23\% | 20.40\% | 2,843,463,463 | 817,433,027 | 2,026,030,436 |
| 2014 | 19 | 35.99\% | 7.89\% | 28.10\% | 3,592,578,098 | 787,230,469 | 2,805,347,629 |
| 2015 | 20 | 33.58\% | 8.02\% | 25.55\% | 3,497,365,750 | 835,810,326 | 2,661,555,424 |
| 2016 | 21 | 36.64\% | 9.36\% | 27.27\% | 3,883,544,356 | 992,489,371 | 2,891,054,985 |
| 2017 | 22 | 35.82\% | 9.08\% | 26.74\% | 3,943,772,496 | 999,430,014 | 2,944,342,483 |
| 2018 | 23 | 35.51\% | 8.80\% | 26.71\% | 4,062,114,108 | 1,006,765,191 | 3,055,348,916 |
| 2019 | 24 | 34.96\% | 8.53\% | 26.43\% | 4,153,653,607 | 1,013,247,432 | 3,140,406,174 |
| 2020 | 25 | 34.51\% | 8.25\% | 26.26\% | 4,258,891,708 | 1,017,865,047 | 3,241,026,661 |
| 2021 | 26 | 34.45\% | 7.96\% | 26.49\% | 4,417,764,528 | 1,020,975,573 | 3,396,788,955 |
| 2022 | 27 | 34.41\% | 7.67\% | 26.75\% | 4,585,852,901 | 1,021,548,169 | 3,564,304,733 |
| 2023 | 28 | 34.39\% | 7.36\% | 27.03\% | 4,762,862,498 | 1,019,797,073 | 3,743,065,425 |
| 2024 | 29 | 34.29\% | 7.06\% | 27.23\% | 4,932,876,215 | 1,015,741,374 | 3,917,134,842 |
| 2025 | 30 | 34.21\% | 6.73\% | 27.48\% | 5,111,004,214 | 1,005,481,045 | 4,105,523,169 |
| 2026 | 31 | 34.25\% | 6.39\% | 27.86\% | 5,311,871,513 | 991,010,844 | 4,320,860,670 |
| 2027 | 32 | 34.30\% | 6.04\% | 28.26\% | 5,519,171,539 | 971,578,466 | 4,547,593,073 |
| 2028 | 33 | 34.27\% | 5.66\% | 28.60\% | 5,716,548,731 | 944,989,625 | 4,771,559,106 |
| 2029 | 34 | 34.25\% | 5.26\% | 29.00\% | 5,919,273,333 | 908,193,791 | 5,011,079,542 |
| 2030 | 35 | 34.17\% | 4.85\% | 29.32\% | 6,110,590,527 | 866,879,949 | 5,243,710,578 |
| 2031 | 36 | 34.11\% | 4.43\% | 29.67\% | 6,300,584,708 | 818,836,257 | 5,481,748,450 |
| 2032 | 37 | 34.14\% | 4.02\% | 30.12\% | 6,499,025,872 | 764,774,692 | 5,734,251,180 |
| 2033 | 38 | 34.25\% | 3.62\% | 30.63\% | 6,702,326,841 | 707,525,929 | 5,994,800,911 |
| 2034 | 39 | 36.41\% | 3.20\% | 33.21\% | 7,308,461,080 | 641,868,405 | 6,666,592,675 |
| 2035 | 40 | 36.41\% | 2.78\% | 33.62\% | 7,481,673,349 | 572,232,334 | 6,909,441,015 |
| 2036 | 41 | 36.41\% | 2.37\% | 34.03\% | 7,646,048,813 | 498,710,015 | 7,147,338,799 |
| 2037 | 42 | 36.41\% | 1.95\% | 34.45\% | 7,802,196,609 | 418,634,173 | 7,383,562,437 |
| 2038 | 43 | 36.41\% | 1.55\% | 34.86\% | 7,948,935,163 | 338,681,952 | 7,610,253,211 |
| 2039 | 44 | 36.41\% | 1.14\% | 35.26\% | 8,084,944,534 | 254,259,291 | 7,830,685,242 |
| 2040 | 45 | 36.41\% | 0.75\% | 35.66\% | 8,211,451,689 | 168,634,248 | 8,042,817,441 |
| 2041 | 46 | 36.41\% | 0.38\% | 36.03\% | 8,331,053,118 | 86,001,732 | 8,245,051,386 |
| 2042 | 47 | 36.41\% | 0.04\% | 36.36\% | 8,447,109,089 | 9,976,442 | 8,437,132,647 |
| 2043 | 48 | 36.41\% | -0.23\% | 36.64\% | 8,563,806,591 | $(54,434,022)$ | 8,618,240,613 |
| 2044 | 49 | 36.41\% | -0.42\% | 36.82\% | 8,682,789,524 | $(99,489,574)$ | 8,782,279,098 |
| 2045 | 50 | 36.41\% | -0.56\% | 36.96\% | 8,806,372,012 | $(134,635,755)$ | 8,941,007,768 |
| 2046 | 51 | 5.53\% | -0.63\% | 6.15\% | 1,356,488,293 | $(153,857,390)$ | 1,510,345,683 |

### 4.5 Projection of Employer Normal Cost and Amortization Cost to 2046 (continued)

## Notes:

(1) Contributions to the Benefit Trust Reserve represent the sum of State and Federal Funds Contributions, as well as School District Contributions for the 2.2\% formula (commencing in 1999). Sec. 16-158 requires calculations of State contribution amounts.
(2) The following employer contributions to the Benefit Trust Reserve were taken into account when determining the above schedule, but are not included in this schedule:
(a) State ERI contributions of $\$ 1,000,000$ for fiscal year 2004 and $\$ 1,684,000$ for fiscal year 2005, which were made under a separate funding plan. (Beginning in fiscal year 2007, the cost of ERI is part of the 50-year funding plan, and included in this schedule);
(b) School District Contributions to the Benefit Trust Reserve under Sec. 16-133.2 and 16-158(f), which are shown in Schedule XII; and
(c) for FY 1999, additional State funding due to PA 90-0582, and \$9,695,600 in additional State Pensions Fund appropriations. No School District contributions are are anticipated under Sec. 16-128(d-10).
(3) Amortization rate in fiscal years 1995-1997 and 2006 is negative on account of the fact that contributions do not cover normal cost. For years 2037 \& beyond, employer normal cost is negative, as member contributions are projected to exceed the cost of benefits accruing.
(4) Employer Rates, Contribution Amounts, and Assumed Payroll shown for fiscal years 1995-2013 are based on the June 30, 1993 - June 30, 2011 actuarial valuations (2004 as recertified per PA 94-0004) and are certified amounts. FY2011 is based on the originally certified amounts.
(5) Modified ERO retirements are recognized commencing with the June 30, 2005 actuarial liability, while FY 2006 and FY 2007 Pipeline ERO retirements are first recognized in the June 30, 2006 and 2007 accrued liabilities.
(6) For calculation purposes, Employer Rates include 15 decimal places. For ease of presentation, only 2 decimal places are shown.
(7) Assumptions and methodology:

- Payroll Growth based on valuation assumptions
- Valuation Interest Rate $=8.00 \%$ prior to 1997, $8.50 \%$ for 1997-2011, 8.0\% for 2012-2013 and 7.5\% after 2013
- Return on Investment equals Valuation Interest Rate
- Assets at cost value prior to 1997, market value 1997-2008 and 5-year smotthing actuarial value after 2008


### 4.6 Projection of Funded Ratio to 2046 by Tier

Amounts above the line are based on prior valuations and amounts below the line are based on the current valuation.

## Total Tier I and Tier II

|  | Contributions | Benefits and Expenses | Asset Return | Actuarial Value of Assets * | Market Value of Assets | Actuarial <br> Accrued <br> Liability | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | Funded Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | \$701,091,321 | \$1,108,283,000 |  | \$12,641,865,000 | \$12,641,865,000 | \$23,980,566,000 | \$11,338,701,000 | 52.7\% |
| 1996 | 763,515,089 | 1,148,919,000 | \$1,573,249,911 | 13,829,711,000 | 13,829,711,000 | 26,141,794,000 | 12,312,083,000 | 52.9\% |
| 1997 | 816,031,609 | 1,186,203,042 | 3,933,568,433 | 17,393,108,000 | 17,393,108,000 | 26,951,585,000 | 9,558,477,000 | 64.5\% |
| 1998 | 919,406,862 | 1,237,762,773 | 2,891,134,911 | 19,965,887,000 | 19,965,887,000 | 29,908,241,000 | 9,942,354,000 | 66.8\% |
| 1999 | 1,468,611,600 | 1,314,929,000 | 2,118,139,400 | 22,237,709,000 | 22,237,709,000 | 33,205,513,000 | 10,967,804,000 | 67.0\% |
| 2000 | 1,306,005,626 | 1,437,474,000 | 2,375,172,374 | 24,481,413,000 | 24,481,413,000 | 35,886,404,000 | 11,404,991,000 | 68.2\% |
| 2001 | 1,419,295,339 | 1,611,050,000 | (974,012,339) | 23,315,646,000 | 23,315,646,000 | 39,166,697,000 | 15,851,051,000 | 59.5\% |
| 2002 | 1,553,434,874 | 1,809,763,000 | (693,032,874) | 22,366,285,000 | 22,366,285,000 | 43,047,674,000 | 20,681,389,000 | 52.0\% |
| 2003 | 1,695,878,742 | 2,051,953,000 | 1,114,612,258 | 23,124,823,000 | 23,124,823,000 | 46,933,432,000 | 23,808,609,000 | 49.3\% |
| 2004 | 1,867,925,206 | 2,320,690,844 | 8,872,671,638 | 31,544,729,000 | 31,544,729,000 | 50,947,451,000 | 19,402,722,000 | 61.9\% |
| 2005 | 1,746,374,615 | 2,604,081,011 | 3,398,195,396 | 34,085,218,000 | 34,085,218,000 | 56,075,029,000 | 21,989,811,000 | 60.8\% |
| 2006 | 1,415,563,852 | 2,948,023,574 | 4,032,130,722 | 36,584,889,000 | 36,584,889,000 | 58,996,913,000 | 22,412,024,000 | 62.0\% |
| 2007 | 1,828,832,782 | 3,184,574,659 | 6,680,170,878 | 41,909,318,000 | 41,909,318,000 | 65,648,395,000 | 23,739,077,000 | 63.8\% |
| 2008 | 2,083,663,689 | 3,498,960,895 | (2,063,297,794) | 38,430,723,000 | 38,430,723,000 | 68,632,367,000 | 30,201,644,000 | 56.0\% |
| 2009 | 2,530,238,820 | 3,723,108,308 | (8,706,541,270) | 38,026,043,512 | 28,531,312,242 | 73,027,198,000 | 35,001,154,488 | 52.1\% |
| 2010 | 3,218,908,199 | 4,003,538,821 | 3,577,102,594 | 37,439,091,771 | 31,323,784,214 | 77,293,198,000 | 39,854,106,229 | 48.4\% |
| 2011 | 3,528,480,558 | 4,329,807,307 | 6,948,809,729 | 37,769,752,971 | 37,471,267,194 | 81,299,745,000 | 43,529,992,029 | 46.5\% |
| 2012 | 3,613,936,264 | 4,641,424,675 | 73,046,556 | 37,945,397,211 | 36,516,825,339 | 90,024,945,000 | 52,079,547,789 | 42.1\% |
| 2013 | 3,886,866,763 | 4,969,794,354 | 4,424,870,751 | 38,155,191,497 | 39,858,768,499 | 93,886,988,785 | 55,731,797,288 | 40.6\% |
| 2014 | 4,524,563,343 | 5,340,981,048 | 6,782,031,720 | 42,150,765,261 | 45,824,382,514 | 103,740,377,267 | 61,589,612,006 | 40.6\% |
| 2015 | 4,685,274,437 | 5,582,284,975 | 3,403,190,793 | 46,061,456,990 | 48,330,562,769 | 107,792,526,212 | 61,731,069,222 | 42.7\% |
| 2016 | 4,988,427,944 | 5,843,322,040 | 3,592,733,679 | 49,280,164,500 | 51,068,402,352 | 111,925,102,017 | 62,644,937,518 | 44.0\% |
| 2017 | 5,095,756,442 | 6,114,459,591 | 3,791,928,808 | 53,116,430,621 | 53,841,628,011 | 116,136,637,435 | 63,020,206,814 | 45.7\% |
| 2018 | 5,259,363,328 | 6,397,054,175 | 3,995,458,694 | 56,699,395,858 | 56,699,395,858 | 120,422,182,722 | 63,722,786,864 | 47.1\% |
| 2019 | 5,396,949,848 | 6,690,980,392 | 4,203,928,544 | 59,609,293,858 | 59,609,293,858 | 124,775,855,518 | 65,166,561,660 | 47.8\% |
| 2020 | 5,553,383,841 | 6,982,428,890 | 4,417,107,850 | 62,597,356,659 | 62,597,356,659 | 129,206,177,987 | 66,608,821,328 | 48.4\% |
| 2021 | 5,766,729,684 | 7,281,176,651 | 4,638,009,988 | 65,720,919,680 | 65,720,919,680 | 133,712,395,671 | 67,991,475,991 | 49.2\% |
| 2022 | 5,997,211,602 | 7,582,999,462 | 4,869,601,931 | 69,004,733,751 | 69,004,733,751 | 138,297,745,090 | 69,293,011,339 | 49.9\% |
| 2023 | 6,241,585,835 | 7,893,056,122 | 5,113,424,896 | 72,466,688,359 | 72,466,688,359 | 142,959,983,118 | 70,493,294,758 | 50.7\% |
| 2024 | 6,479,062,050 | 8,195,381,474 | 5,370,639,649 | 76,121,008,584 | 76,121,008,584 | 147,711,556,857 | 71,590,548,273 | 51.5\% |
| 2025 | 6,728,090,193 | 8,519,234,005 | 5,641,907,751 | 79,971,772,522 | 79,971,772,522 | 152,533,635,983 | 72,561,863,460 | 52.4\% |
| 2026 | 7,001,552,971 | 8,857,262,494 | 5,928,293,832 | 84,044,356,831 | 84,044,356,831 | 157,412,946,582 | 73,368,589,751 | 53.4\% |
| 2027 | 7,277,362,049 | 9,212,657,631 | 6,230,753,178 | 88,339,814,427 | 88,339,814,427 | 162,329,943,110 | 73,990,128,683 | 54.4\% |
| 2028 | 7,534,761,852 | 9,589,775,680 | 6,548,423,063 | 92,833,223,663 | 92,833,223,663 | 167,256,034,721 | 74,422,811,058 | 55.5\% |
| 2029 | 7,806,665,686 | 9,982,924,399 | 6,880,882,073 | 97,537,847,023 | 97,537,847,023 | 172,168,914,519 | 74,631,067,496 | 56.7\% |
| 2030 | 8,071,228,244 | 10,388,315,886 | 7,228,447,740 | 102,449,207,122 | 102,449,207,122 | 177,049,940,025 | 74,600,732,903 | 57.9\% |
| 2031 | 8,340,069,251 | 10,810,784,936 | 7,591,038,696 | 107,569,530,132 | 107,569,530,132 | 181,872,742,808 | 74,303,212,676 | 59.1\% |
| 2032 | 8,612,213,422 | 11,246,709,489 | 7,968,921,157 | 112,903,955,222 | 112,903,955,222 | 186,610,898,500 | 73,706,943,279 | 60.5\% |
| 2033 | 8,874,254,440 | 11,704,017,487 | 8,361,680,527 | 118,435,872,702 | 118,435,872,702 | 191,224,279,493 | 72,788,406,790 | 61.9\% |
| 2034 | 9,535,433,947 | 12,171,863,817 | 8,783,824,333 | 124,583,267,165 | 124,583,267,165 | 195,682,553,930 | 71,099,286,766 | 63.7\% |
| 2035 | 9,753,800,538 | 12,655,699,332 | 9,234,923,833 | 130,916,292,203 | 130,916,292,203 | 199,948,587,551 | 69,032,295,348 | 65.5\% |
| 2036 | 9,960,185,010 | 13,142,470,577 | 9,699,386,206 | 137,433,392,843 | 137,433,392,843 | 203,997,468,470 | 66,564,075,628 | 67.4\% |
| 2037 | 10,163,241,483 | 13,629,467,160 | 10,177,521,000 | 144,144,688,165 | 144,144,688,165 | 207,807,219,934 | 63,662,531,769 | 69.4\% |
| 2038 | 10,341,188,769 | 14,127,340,028 | 10,668,870,940 | 151,027,407,847 | 151,027,407,847 | 211,340,645,456 | 60,313,237,609 | 71.5\% |
| 2039 | 10,497,176,873 | 14,624,926,202 | 11,172,264,989 | 158,071,923,507 | 158,071,923,507 | 214,568,761,269 | 56,496,837,762 | 73.7\% |
| 2040 | 10,631,747,956 | 15,139,978,415 | 11,686,335,621 | 165,250,028,669 | 165,250,028,669 | 217,443,903,664 | 52,193,874,995 | 76.0\% |
| 2041 | 10,741,888,823 | 15,636,159,753 | 12,210,216,990 | 172,565,974,729 | 172,565,974,729 | 219,957,014,100 | 47,391,039,371 | 78.5\% |
| 2042 | 10,841,550,694 | 16,102,344,424 | 12,745,168,340 | 180,050,349,339 | 180,050,349,339 | 222,116,510,856 | 42,066,161,517 | 81.1\% |
| 2043 | 10,938,108,632 | 16,523,297,009 | 13,294,331,636 | 187,759,492,597 | 187,759,492,597 | 223,954,003,498 | 36,194,510,900 | 83.8\% |
| 2044 | 11,032,529,181 | 16,870,300,762 | 13,863,045,511 | 195,784,766,527 | 195,784,766,527 | 225,539,905,974 | 29,755,139,448 | 86.8\% |
| 2045 | 11,160,763,436 | 17,182,843,530 | 14,458,029,486 | 204,220,715,919 | 204,220,715,919 | 226,911,906,576 | 22,691,190,658 | 90.0\% |
| 2046 | 3,736,351,659 | 17,424,294,926 | 14,803,255,821 | 205,336,028,474 | 205,336,028,474 | 228,151,142,748 | 22,815,114,275 | 90.0\% |

Notes:
The projection of assets is based upon the assumption that the Employer maintains the funding policy under Public Act 94-0004 that begins with fiscal year 2006.

Projected amounts may not add to the dollar due to rounding.

* For 2001 to 2008: Assets are at fair market value.

For 2009 and After: Assets are 5-vear smoothed value.

### 4.6 Projection of Funded Ratio to 2046 by Tier (Continued)

Tier I

| Year Ended June 30 | Contributions | Benefits and Expenses | Asset Return | Actuarial Value of Assets | Market Value of Assets | Actuarial <br> Accrued <br> Liability | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | Funded Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | \$4,524,563,343 | \$5,340,981,048 | \$6,782,031,720 | \$42,060,460,784 | \$45,726,207,620 | \$103,665,420,423 | \$61,604,959,639 | 40.6\% |
| 2015 | 4,584,743,391 | 5,573,339,747 | 3,392,393,208 | 45,870,314,846 | 48,130,004,472 | 107,645,190,986 | 61,774,876,140 | 42.6\% |
| 2016 | 4,844,055,496 | 5,827,200,943 | 3,572,882,381 | 48,943,712,447 | 50,719,741,405 | 111,672,150,350 | 62,728,437,903 | 43.8\% |
| 2017 | 4,907,081,116 | 6,087,963,594 | 3,759,697,512 | 52,580,673,725 | 53,298,556,439 | 115,745,045,493 | 63,164,371,767 | 45.4\% |
| 2018 | 5,025,464,662 | 6,360,003,279 | 3,947,346,535 | 55,911,364,357 | 55,911,364,357 | 119,855,832,935 | 63,944,468,578 | 46.6\% |
| 2019 | 5,116,410,603 | 6,642,281,139 | 4,136,132,182 | 58,521,626,003 | 58,521,626,003 | 123,996,139,606 | 65,474,513,603 | 47.2\% |
| 2020 | 5,224,271,440 | 6,925,119,969 | 4,325,340,130 | 61,146,117,604 | 61,146,117,604 | 128,167,122,709 | 67,021,005,104 | 47.7\% |
| 2021 | 5,386,679,840 | 7,214,009,363 | 4,517,433,963 | 63,836,222,045 | 63,836,222,045 | 132,364,266,028 | 68,528,043,983 | 48.2\% |
| 2022 | 5,563,656,354 | 7,507,743,539 | 4,714,813,384 | 66,606,948,243 | 66,606,948,243 | 136,583,435,483 | 69,976,487,239 | 48.8\% |
| 2023 | 5,751,806,651 | 7,808,357,175 | 4,918,400,474 | 69,468,798,193 | 69,468,798,193 | 140,817,442,084 | 71,348,643,891 | 49.3\% |
| 2024 | 5,929,907,378 | 8,097,662,005 | 5,128,869,066 | 72,429,912,632 | 72,429,912,632 | 145,075,275,612 | 72,645,362,980 | 49.9\% |
| 2025 | 6,115,950,358 | 8,415,857,190 | 5,345,996,941 | 75,476,002,741 | 75,476,002,741 | 149,322,979,469 | 73,846,976,728 | 50.5\% |
| 2026 | 6,322,489,059 | 8,747,089,822 | 5,569,777,677 | 78,621,179,655 | 78,621,179,655 | 153,539,714,953 | 74,918,535,298 | 51.2\% |
| 2027 | 6,526,977,910 | 9,094,490,434 | 5,800,306,754 | 81,853,973,885 | 81,853,973,885 | 157,697,560,584 | 75,843,586,698 | 51.9\% |
| 2028 | 6,708,257,703 | 9,461,225,669 | 6,035,811,743 | 85,136,817,663 | 85,136,817,663 | 161,760,774,294 | 76,623,956,631 | 52.6\% |
| 2029 | 6,899,923,281 | 9,842,976,394 | 6,274,896,833 | 88,468,661,382 | 88,468,661,382 | 165,697,045,095 | 77,228,383,713 | 53.4\% |
| 2030 | 7,081,360,312 | 10,234,204,095 | 6,516,917,962 | 91,832,735,561 | 91,832,735,561 | 169,479,215,706 | 77,646,480,145 | 54.2\% |
| 2031 | 7,265,251,493 | 10,640,598,304 | 6,760,879,662 | 95,218,268,412 | 95,218,268,412 | 173,070,814,135 | 77,852,545,723 | 55.0\% |
| 2032 | 7,451,191,772 | 11,058,248,431 | 7,006,105,506 | 98,617,317,259 | 98,617,317,259 | 176,434,459,878 | 77,817,142,620 | 55.9\% |
| 2033 | 7,625,604,223 | 11,493,658,894 | 7,251,246,744 | 102,000,509,332 | 102,000,509,332 | 179,520,294,077 | 77,519,784,744 | 56.8\% |
| 2034 | 8,197,933,523 | 11,937,937,124 | 7,509,788,065 | 105,770,293,796 | 105,770,293,796 | 182,284,545,796 | 76,514,252,001 | 58.0\% |
| 2035 | 8,326,789,862 | 12,390,672,574 | 7,780,376,433 | 109,486,787,517 | 109,486,787,517 | 184,682,892,963 | 75,196,105,446 | 59.3\% |
| 2036 | 8,443,616,297 | 12,841,713,585 | 8,046,580,415 | 113,135,270,645 | 113,135,270,645 | 186,680,439,830 | 73,545,169,186 | 60.6\% |
| 2037 | 8,557,543,244 | 13,288,770,522 | 8,307,724,275 | 116,711,767,641 | 116,711,767,641 | 188,243,616,403 | 71,531,848,762 | 62.0\% |
| 2038 | 8,646,606,029 | 13,741,071,311 | 8,562,340,125 | 120,179,642,485 | 120,179,642,485 | 189,324,548,967 | 69,144,906,482 | 63.5\% |
| 2039 | 8,713,773,187 | 14,190,369,602 | 8,808,100,821 | 123,511,146,891 | 123,511,146,891 | 189,878,392,645 | 66,367,245,754 | 65.0\% |
| 2040 | 8,760,433,255 | 14,643,337,393 | 9,042,727,112 | 126,670,969,865 | 126,670,969,865 | 189,853,555,607 | 63,182,585,742 | 66.7\% |
| 2041 | 8,784,662,609 | 15,070,020,330 | 9,264,621,825 | 129,650,233,969 | 129,650,233,969 | 189,231,304,086 | 59,581,070,117 | 68.5\% |
| 2042 | 8,801,509,133 | 15,460,324,563 | 9,474,061,969 | 132,465,480,508 | 132,465,480,508 | 188,008,437,312 | 55,542,956,804 | 70.5\% |
| 2043 | 8,819,846,726 | 15,796,608,114 | 9,673,282,486 | 135,162,001,605 | 135,162,001,605 | 186,208,130,379 | 51,046,128,773 | 72.6\% |
| 2044 | 8,841,858,364 | 16,055,071,845 | 9,866,654,615 | 137,815,442,738 | 137,815,442,738 | 183,885,596,492 | 46,070,153,754 | 74.9\% |
| 2045 | 8,904,466,203 | 16,250,636,481 | 10,060,676,820 | 140,529,949,281 | 140,529,949,281 | 181,090,028,239 | 40,560,078,959 | 77.6\% |
| 2046 | 1,421,373,620 | 16,363,355,185 | 9,979,421,887 | 135,567,389,603 | 135,567,389,603 | 177,899,170,648 | 42,331,781,045 | 76.2\% |

Notes:
The projection of assets is based upon the assumption that the Employer maintains the funding policy under Public Act 94-0004 that begins with fiscal year 2006.

### 4.6 Projection of Funded Ratio to 2046 by Tier (Continued)

Tier II

| Year Ended June 30 | Contributions | Benefits and Expenses | Asset Return | Actuarial Value of Assets | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market } \\ \text { Value } \\ \text { of Assets } \end{gathered}$ | Actuarial Accrued Liability | Unfunded <br> Actuarial Accrued Liability | Funded Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  |  | \$90,304,477 | \$98,174,894 | \$74,956,844 | (\$15,347,633) | 120.5\% |
| 2015 | \$100,531,046 | \$8,945,228 | \$10,797,585 | 191,142,144 | 200,558,297 | 147,335,226 | $(43,806,918)$ | 129.7\% |
| 2016 | 144,372,448 | 16,121,097 | 19,851,298 | 336,452,053 | 348,660,947 | 252,951,667 | $(83,500,386)$ | 133.0\% |
| 2017 | 188,675,326 | 26,495,997 | 32,231,296 | 535,756,896 | 543,071,572 | 391,591,942 | $(144,164,954)$ | 136.8\% |
| 2018 | 233,898,666 | 37,050,896 | 48,112,159 | 788,031,501 | 788,031,501 | 566,349,787 | $(221,681,714)$ | 139.1\% |
| 2019 | 280,539,245 | 48,699,253 | 67,796,362 | 1,087,667,855 | 1,087,667,855 | 779,715,912 | $(307,951,943)$ | 139.5\% |
| 2020 | 329,112,400 | 57,308,921 | 91,767,720 | 1,451,239,054 | 1,451,239,054 | 1,039,055,278 | $(412,183,776)$ | 139.7\% |
| 2021 | 380,049,844 | 67,167,288 | 120,576,025 | 1,884,697,635 | 1,884,697,635 | 1,348,129,643 | $(536,567,992)$ | 139.8\% |
| 2022 | 433,555,248 | 75,255,923 | 154,788,547 | 2,397,785,508 | 2,397,785,508 | 1,714,309,607 | $(683,475,901)$ | 139.9\% |
| 2023 | 489,779,184 | 84,698,947 | 195,024,422 | 2,997,890,166 | 2,997,890,166 | 2,142,541,034 | $(855,349,132)$ | 139.9\% |
| 2024 | 549,154,672 | 97,719,469 | 241,770,583 | 3,691,095,952 | 3,691,095,952 | 2,636,281,245 | (1,054,814,707) | 140.0\% |
| 2025 | 612,139,835 | 103,376,815 | 295,910,810 | 4,495,769,781 | 4,495,769,781 | 3,210,656,514 | (1,285,113,267) | 140.0\% |
| 2026 | 679,063,912 | 110,172,672 | 358,516,155 | 5,423,177,176 | 5,423,177,176 | 3,873,231,629 | $(1,549,945,547)$ | 140.0\% |
| 2027 | 750,384,139 | 118,167,197 | 430,446,424 | 6,485,840,542 | 6,485,840,542 | 4,632,382,526 | $(1,853,458,016)$ | 140.0\% |
| 2028 | 826,504,149 | 128,550,011 | 512,611,321 | 7,696,406,000 | 7,696,406,000 | 5,495,260,427 | (2,201,145,573) | 140.1\% |
| 2029 | 906,742,406 | 139,948,005 | 605,985,240 | 9,069,185,641 | 9,069,185,641 | 6,471,869,424 | (2,597,316,217) | 140.1\% |
| 2030 | 989,867,933 | 154,111,791 | 711,529,778 | 10,616,471,561 | 10,616,471,561 | 7,570,724,319 | (3,045,747,242) | 140.2\% |
| 2031 | 1,074,817,757 | 170,186,632 | 830,159,034 | 12,351,261,721 | 12,351,261,721 | 8,801,928,673 | $(3,549,333,048)$ | 140.3\% |
| 2032 | 1,161,021,649 | 188,461,058 | 962,815,651 | 14,286,637,963 | 14,286,637,963 | 10,176,438,622 | $(4,110,199,341)$ | 140.4\% |
| 2033 | 1,248,650,217 | 210,358,593 | 1,110,433,783 | 16,435,363,370 | 16,435,363,370 | 11,703,985,416 | $(4,731,377,954)$ | 140.4\% |
| 2034 | 1,337,500,424 | 233,926,693 | 1,274,036,268 | 18,812,973,369 | 18,812,973,369 | 13,398,008,134 | $(5,414,965,235)$ | 140.4\% |
| 2035 | 1,427,010,676 | 265,026,758 | 1,454,547,400 | 21,429,504,686 | 21,429,504,686 | 15,265,694,588 | (6,163,810,098) | 140.4\% |
| 2036 | 1,516,568,713 | 300,756,992 | 1,652,805,791 | 24,298,122,198 | 24,298,122,198 | 17,317,028,640 | $(6,981,093,558)$ | 140.3\% |
| 2037 | 1,605,698,239 | 340,696,638 | 1,869,796,725 | 27,432,920,524 | 27,432,920,524 | 19,563,603,531 | (7,869,316,993) | 140.2\% |
| 2038 | 1,694,582,740 | 386,268,717 | 2,106,530,815 | 30,847,765,362 | 30,847,765,362 | 22,016,096,489 | $(8,831,668,873)$ | 140.1\% |
| 2039 | 1,783,403,686 | 434,556,600 | 2,364,164,168 | 34,560,776,616 | 34,560,776,616 | 24,690,368,624 | (9,870,407,992) | 140.0\% |
| 2040 | 1,871,314,701 | 496,641,022 | 2,643,608,509 | 38,579,058,804 | 38,579,058,804 | 27,590,348,057 | $(10,988,710,747)$ | 139.8\% |
| 2041 | 1,957,226,214 | 566,139,423 | 2,945,595,165 | 42,915,740,760 | 42,915,740,760 | 30,725,710,014 | $(12,190,030,746)$ | 139.7\% |
| 2042 | 2,040,041,561 | 642,019,861 | 3,271,106,371 | 47,584,868,831 | 47,584,868,831 | 34,108,073,544 | $(13,476,795,287)$ | 139.5\% |
| 2043 | 2,118,261,906 | 726,688,895 | 3,621,049,150 | 52,597,490,992 | 52,597,490,992 | 37,745,873,119 | $(14,851,617,873)$ | 139.3\% |
| 2044 | 2,190,670,817 | 815,228,917 | 3,996,390,896 | 57,969,323,788 | 57,969,323,788 | 41,654,309,482 | $(16,315,014,306)$ | 139.2\% |
| 2045 | 2,256,297,233 | 932,207,049 | 4,397,352,666 | 63,690,766,638 | 63,690,766,638 | 45,821,878,337 | $(17,868,888,301)$ | 139.0\% |
| 2046 | 2,314,978,039 | 1,060,939,741 | 4,823,833,934 | 69,768,638,871 | 69,768,638,871 | 50,251,972,100 | $(19,516,666,771)$ | 138.8\% |

Notes:
The projection of assets is based upon the assumption that the Employer maintains the funding policy under Public Act 94-0004 that begins with fiscal year 2006.

Projected amounts may not add to the dollar due to rounding.

### 4.7 Projection of Actuarial Accrued Liability to 2046 by Member Group

| Year <br> Ended <br> June 30 | Tier I <br> Current Active | Tier II Current Active | New Entrants | Inactive | Total <br> Actuarial Accrued Liability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | \$35,547,096,748 | \$74,956,844 | \$0 | \$68,118,323,675 | \$103,740,377,267 |
| 2015 | 39,871,944,734 | 97,665,652 | 49,669,574 | 67,773,246,251 | 107,792,526,211 |
| 2016 | 44,361,443,402 | 119,148,796 | 133,802,871 | 67,310,706,948 | 111,925,102,017 |
| 2017 | 49,018,091,961 | 139,121,554 | 252,470,388 | 66,726,953,532 | 116,136,637,435 |
| 2018 | 53,840,481,516 | 160,701,492 | 405,648,295 | 66,015,351,420 | 120,422,182,723 |
| 2019 | 58,822,552,045 | 186,112,653 | 593,603,259 | 65,173,587,561 | 124,775,855,518 |
| 2020 | 63,965,820,525 | 213,115,794 | 825,939,484 | 64,201,302,186 | 129,206,177,989 |
| 2021 | 69,266,108,029 | 242,117,394 | 1,106,012,249 | 63,098,157,999 | 133,712,395,671 |
| 2022 | 74,720,024,670 | 276,865,694 | 1,437,443,913 | 61,863,410,812 | 138,297,745,089 |
| 2023 | 80,321,931,951 | 318,826,136 | 1,823,714,898 | 60,495,510,133 | 142,959,983,118 |
| 2024 | 86,059,569,289 | 364,954,752 | 2,271,326,493 | 59,015,706,323 | 147,711,556,857 |
| 2025 | 91,915,742,555 | 415,481,046 | 2,795,175,468 | 57,407,236,914 | 152,533,635,983 |
| 2026 | 97,866,857,337 | 470,716,187 | 3,402,515,442 | 55,672,857,617 | 157,412,946,583 |
| 2027 | 103,880,456,080 | 530,986,098 | 4,101,396,428 | 53,817,104,505 | 162,329,943,111 |
| 2028 | 109,913,945,951 | 596,705,881 | 4,898,554,546 | 51,846,828,344 | 167,256,034,722 |
| 2029 | 115,927,671,700 | 668,270,744 | 5,803,598,680 | 49,769,373,395 | 172,168,914,519 |
| 2030 | 121,884,481,361 | 746,094,949 | 6,824,629,370 | 47,594,734,345 | 177,049,940,025 |
| 2031 | 127,736,817,357 | 830,680,643 | 7,971,248,030 | 45,333,996,779 | 181,872,742,809 |
| 2032 | 133,433,045,686 | 922,299,234 | 9,254,139,388 | 43,001,414,193 | 186,610,898,501 |
| 2033 | 138,908,098,023 | 1,021,199,430 | 10,682,785,986 | 40,612,196,054 | 191,224,279,493 |
| 2034 | 144,098,373,105 | 1,127,728,379 | 12,270,279,755 | 38,186,172,691 | 195,682,553,930 |
| 2035 | 148,940,018,704 | 1,242,267,379 | 14,023,427,209 | 35,742,874,261 | 199,948,587,553 |
| 2036 | 153,376,198,536 | 1,365,196,087 | 15,951,832,553 | 33,304,241,295 | 203,997,468,471 |
| 2037 | 157,349,520,221 | 1,496,944,166 | 18,066,659,365 | 30,894,096,183 | 207,807,219,935 |
| 2038 | 160,788,364,830 | 1,637,631,931 | 20,378,464,558 | 28,536,184,140 | 211,340,645,459 |
| 2039 | 163,624,076,558 | 1,787,556,518 | 22,902,812,106 | 26,254,316,088 | 214,568,761,270 |
| 2040 | 165,784,089,577 | 1,946,733,292 | 25,643,614,765 | 24,069,466,033 | 217,443,903,667 |
| 2041 | 167,226,947,906 | 2,115,188,551 | 28,610,521,463 | 22,004,356,183 | 219,957,014,103 |
| 2042 | 167,932,288,841 | 2,293,092,038 | 31,814,981,506 | 20,076,148,474 | 222,116,510,859 |
| 2043 | 167,910,133,119 | 2,479,970,073 | 35,265,903,046 | 18,297,997,263 | 223,954,003,501 |
| 2044 | 167,204,812,850 | 2,675,382,361 | 38,978,927,121 | 16,680,783,647 | 225,539,905,979 |
| 2045 | 165,859,710,244 | 2,875,955,262 | 42,945,923,075 | 15,230,318,000 | 226,911,906,581 |
| 2046 | 163,948,177,591 | 3,079,512,310 | 47,172,459,790 | 13,950,993,063 | 228,151,142,754 |

### 4.8 Projection of Total Normal Cost to 2046 by Member Group

|  | Tier I Current Active | Tier II Current Active | New Entrants | Total Normal Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | \$1,885,678,789 | \$39,741,240 | \$0 | \$1,925,420,029 |
| 2015 | 1,891,504,144 | 23,355,739 | 49,933,218 | 1,964,793,101 |
| 2016 | 1,902,733,424 | 22,556,405 | 84,712,932 | 2,010,002,761 |
| 2017 | 1,915,723,041 | 21,624,158 | 120,215,358 | 2,057,562,557 |
| 2018 | 1,928,879,576 | 20,920,685 | 156,263,656 | 2,106,063,917 |
| 2019 | 1,941,430,085 | 20,763,979 | 192,648,423 | 2,154,842,487 |
| 2020 | 1,953,480,448 | 21,010,546 | 229,899,023 | 2,204,390,017 |
| 2021 | 1,964,963,178 | 21,399,981 | 268,557,879 | 2,254,921,038 |
| 2022 | 1,975,367,867 | 21,973,346 | 308,771,965 | 2,306,113,178 |
| 2023 | 1,984,085,336 | 22,828,365 | 350,697,769 | 2,357,611,470 |
| 2024 | 1,989,047,815 | 23,848,793 | 394,882,370 | 2,407,778,978 |
| 2025 | 1,988,415,460 | 24,978,205 | 441,438,780 | 2,454,832,445 |
| 2026 | 1,981,432,826 | 26,198,140 | 490,505,498 | 2,498,136,464 |
| 2027 | 1,965,914,677 | 27,459,722 | 542,426,534 | 2,535,800,933 |
| 2028 | 1,939,517,639 | 28,823,878 | 596,544,651 | 2,564,886,168 |
| 2029 | 1,903,815,107 | 30,233,029 | 653,777,111 | 2,587,825,247 |
| 2030 | 1,860,583,627 | 31,707,585 | 713,695,472 | 2,605,986,684 |
| 2031 | 1,808,531,065 | 33,238,732 | 776,369,616 | 2,618,139,413 |
| 2032 | 1,745,538,201 | 34,821,338 | 842,184,587 | 2,622,544,126 |
| 2033 | 1,668,801,530 | 36,394,042 | 910,652,645 | 2,615,848,217 |
| 2034 | 1,578,896,751 | 37,962,350 | 982,686,090 | 2,599,545,191 |
| 2035 | 1,478,037,273 | 39,547,672 | 1,057,128,091 | 2,574,713,036 |
| 2036 | 1,368,355,457 | 41,152,398 | 1,133,249,856 | 2,542,757,711 |
| 2037 | 1,251,358,263 | 42,746,972 | 1,211,489,658 | 2,505,594,893 |
| 2038 | 1,124,921,075 | 44,288,726 | 1,291,592,225 | 2,460,802,026 |
| 2039 | 987,185,965 | 45,798,198 | 1,374,845,051 | 2,407,829,214 |
| 2040 | 841,555,924 | 47,229,469 | 1,459,726,526 | 2,348,511,919 |
| 2041 | 693,460,196 | 48,568,947 | 1,545,123,105 | 2,287,152,248 |
| 2042 | 549,109,595 | 49,782,432 | 1,631,211,192 | 2,230,103,219 |
| 2043 | 416,489,684 | 50,791,886 | 1,716,565,982 | 2,183,847,552 |
| 2044 | 300,995,776 | 51,441,637 | 1,802,337,525 | 2,154,774,938 |
| 2045 | 207,739,703 | 51,408,817 | 1,886,577,166 | 2,145,725,686 |
| 2046 | 140,716,228 | 50,645,271 | 1,967,839,420 | 2,159,200,919 |

### 4.9 Projection of Benefit Payments to 2046 by Member Group

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ \text { June } 30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Tier I Current Active | Tier II Current Active | New Entrants | Inactive | Sub-Total Benefit Payments | Administrative Expenses | Total Benefit Payments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | \$127,696,607 | \$4,883,198 | \$0 | \$5,148,132,962 | \$5,280,712,767 | \$21,218,069 | \$5,301,930,836 |
| 2015 | 316,518,832 | 6,886,298 | 2,058,930 | 5,256,820,915 | 5,582,284,975 | 23,873,223 | 5,606,158,198 |
| 2016 | 482,109,116 | 8,909,928 | 7,211,169 | 5,345,091,828 | 5,843,322,041 | 24,294,066 | 5,867,616,107 |
| 2017 | 659,475,461 | 10,986,472 | 15,509,525 | 5,428,488,133 | 6,114,459,591 | 25,237,050 | 6,139,696,641 |
| 2018 | 850,486,530 | 10,177,724 | 26,873,172 | 5,509,516,749 | 6,397,054,175 | 26,216,745 | 6,423,270,920 |
| 2019 | 1,058,748,401 | 7,888,269 | 40,810,984 | 5,583,532,738 | 6,690,980,392 | 27,231,006 | 6,718,211,398 |
| 2020 | 1,276,633,760 | 8,437,349 | 48,871,572 | 5,648,486,210 | 6,982,428,891 | 28,287,843 | 7,010,716,734 |
| 2021 | 1,509,679,869 | 8,852,593 | 58,314,695 | 5,704,329,494 | 7,281,176,651 | 29,392,320 | 7,310,568,971 |
| 2022 | 1,756,313,143 | 5,983,471 | 69,272,452 | 5,751,430,397 | 7,582,999,463 | 30,543,868 | 7,613,543,331 |
| 2023 | 2,017,844,895 | 2,398,953 | 82,299,994 | 5,790,512,280 | 7,893,056,122 | 31,740,697 | 7,924,796,819 |
| 2024 | 2,298,175,674 | 2,435,149 | 95,284,320 | 5,799,486,332 | 8,195,381,475 | 32,975,995 | 8,228,357,470 |
| 2025 | 2,599,329,591 | 2,660,433 | 100,716,382 | 5,816,527,599 | 8,519,234,005 | 34,244,800 | 8,553,478,805 |
| 2026 | 2,925,478,193 | 2,994,224 | 107,178,448 | 5,821,611,629 | 8,857,262,494 | 35,548,104 | 8,892,810,598 |
| 2027 | 3,281,268,811 | 3,395,918 | 114,771,279 | 5,813,221,623 | 9,212,657,631 | 36,881,308 | 9,249,538,939 |
| 2028 | 3,671,771,211 | 3,864,046 | 124,685,965 | 5,789,454,456 | 9,589,775,678 | 38,234,936 | 9,628,010,614 |
| 2029 | 4,092,646,684 | 4,390,212 | 135,557,793 | 5,750,329,710 | 9,982,924,399 | 39,607,291 | 10,022,531,690 |
| 2030 | 4,540,380,427 | 5,005,031 | 149,106,760 | 5,693,823,667 | 10,388,315,885 | 40,986,471 | 10,429,302,356 |
| 2031 | 5,020,990,938 | 5,644,927 | 164,541,705 | 5,619,607,366 | 10,810,784,936 | 42,339,288 | 10,853,124,224 |
| 2032 | 5,532,819,666 | 6,563,465 | 181,897,593 | 5,525,428,765 | 11,246,709,489 | 43,635,226 | 11,290,344,715 |
| 2033 | 6,082,262,072 | 7,740,786 | 202,617,807 | 5,411,396,823 | 11,704,017,488 | 44,857,082 | 11,748,874,570 |
| 2034 | 6,663,779,951 | 9,105,490 | 224,821,203 | 5,274,157,173 | 12,171,863,817 | 46,008,723 | 12,217,872,540 |
| 2035 | 7,275,239,917 | 10,671,170 | 254,355,588 | 5,115,432,658 | 12,655,699,333 | 47,099,141 | 12,702,798,474 |
| 2036 | 7,907,401,744 | 12,469,357 | 288,287,635 | 4,934,311,842 | 13,142,470,578 | 48,133,929 | 13,190,604,507 |
| 2037 | 8,558,203,575 | 14,449,746 | 326,246,892 | 4,730,566,948 | 13,629,467,161 | 49,116,921 | 13,678,584,082 |
| 2038 | 9,235,076,846 | 16,898,893 | 369,369,824 | 4,505,994,464 | 14,127,340,027 | 50,040,679 | 14,177,380,706 |
| 2039 | 9,928,122,025 | 19,675,603 | 414,880,997 | 4,262,247,578 | 14,624,926,203 | 50,896,895 | 14,675,823,098 |
| 2040 | 10,639,555,454 | 23,027,026 | 473,613,996 | 4,003,781,939 | 15,139,978,415 | 51,693,291 | 15,191,671,706 |
| 2041 | 11,339,591,605 | 26,930,140 | 539,209,283 | 3,730,428,726 | 15,636,159,754 | 52,446,215 | 15,688,605,969 |
| 2042 | 12,011,134,758 | 31,214,388 | 610,805,473 | 3,449,189,805 | 16,102,344,424 | 53,176,818 | 16,155,521,242 |
| 2043 | 12,631,439,588 | 36,434,168 | 690,254,727 | 3,165,168,527 | 16,523,297,010 | 53,911,460 | 16,577,208,470 |
| 2044 | 13,173,564,944 | 42,367,389 | 772,861,528 | 2,881,506,902 | 16,870,300,763 | 54,660,490 | 16,924,961,253 |
| 2045 | 13,646,757,521 | 51,486,673 | 880,720,376 | 2,603,878,959 | 17,182,843,529 | 55,438,474 | 17,238,282,003 |
| 2046 | 14,029,284,066 | 62,346,088 | 998,593,653 | 2,334,071,121 | 17,424,294,928 | 56,268,155 | 17,480,563,083 |

### 4.10 Projection of Payroll to 2046 by Member Group

| Year Ended June 30 | Tier I Current Active | Tier II Current Active | New Entrants | Total Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | \$9,246,129,509 | \$374,817,346 | \$214,643,417 | \$9,835,590,272 |
| 2015 | 9,164,213,880 | 369,535,133 | 672,237,367 | 10,205,986,380 |
| 2016 | 9,103,703,020 | 363,029,787 | 1,133,057,759 | 10,599,790,566 |
| 2017 | 9,056,041,117 | 354,695,967 | 1,600,488,763 | 11,011,225,847 |
| 2018 | 9,014,858,029 | 347,700,801 | 2,076,119,570 | 11,438,678,400 |
| 2019 | 8,974,070,111 | 347,191,814 | 2,559,950,622 | 11,881,212,547 |
| 2020 | 8,931,831,922 | 351,095,504 | 3,059,395,689 | 12,342,323,115 |
| 2021 | 8,885,879,513 | 355,560,076 | 3,582,780,280 | 12,824,219,869 |
| 2022 | 8,833,853,184 | 360,686,011 | 4,132,114,486 | 13,326,653,681 |
| 2023 | 8,773,412,724 | 366,308,142 | 4,709,123,813 | 13,848,844,679 |
| 2024 | 8,697,097,689 | 372,460,295 | 5,318,261,695 | 14,387,819,679 |
| 2025 | 8,597,996,850 | 379,632,124 | 5,963,785,851 | 14,941,414,825 |
| 2026 | 8,473,130,039 | 388,015,729 | 6,648,915,998 | 15,510,061,766 |
| 2027 | 8,315,753,189 | 397,440,596 | 7,378,560,847 | 16,091,754,632 |
| 2028 | 8,117,548,654 | 407,874,379 | 8,156,935,453 | 16,682,358,486 |
| 2029 | 7,884,838,914 | 418,946,300 | 8,977,348,058 | 17,281,133,272 |
| 2030 | 7,625,187,369 | 428,489,736 | 9,829,209,048 | 17,882,886,153 |
| 2031 | 7,335,128,532 | 434,012,915 | 10,703,994,934 | 18,473,136,381 |
| 2032 | 7,007,257,008 | 435,844,848 | 11,595,467,581 | 19,038,569,437 |
| 2033 | 6,632,299,342 | 436,007,331 | 12,503,373,154 | 19,571,679,827 |
| 2034 | 6,214,046,644 | 435,580,417 | 13,424,527,608 | 20,074,154,669 |
| 2035 | 5,762,241,526 | 434,587,444 | 14,353,087,950 | 20,549,916,920 |
| 2036 | 5,285,669,155 | 433,095,173 | 15,282,642,783 | 21,001,407,111 |
| 2037 | 4,790,938,374 | 431,068,821 | 16,208,291,167 | 21,430,298,362 |
| 2038 | 4,272,902,334 | 428,238,584 | 17,132,204,321 | 21,833,345,239 |
| 2039 | 3,726,055,051 | 424,611,355 | 18,056,255,857 | 22,206,922,263 |
| 2040 | 3,162,537,363 | 420,228,009 | 18,971,634,176 | 22,554,399,548 |
| 2041 | 2,600,771,935 | 415,001,738 | 19,867,135,194 | 22,882,908,867 |
| 2042 | 2,061,352,820 | 408,796,484 | 20,731,530,573 | 23,201,679,877 |
| 2043 | 1,571,312,396 | 401,095,656 | 21,549,804,927 | 23,522,212,979 |
| 2044 | 1,147,771,484 | 390,964,195 | 22,310,287,798 | 23,849,023,477 |
| 2045 | 807,149,098 | 377,131,186 | 23,004,187,290 | 24,188,467,574 |
| 2046 | 561,057,194 | 359,832,560 | 23,629,577,174 | 24,550,466,928 |

### 4.11 Projection of Member Count to 2046 by Member Group

|  | Tier I |  |  | Tier II |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Ended <br> June 30 | Number <br> Active | Number Retired and Inactive | Sub-Total | Number Active | Number Retired and Inactive | Sub-Total | Number Active | Number Retired and Inactive | Grand Total |
| 2014 | 144,987 | 237,101 | 382,088 | 16,003 | 0 | 16,003 | 160,990 | 237,101 | 398,091 |
| 2015 | 134,748 | 147,553 | 282,301 | 26,245 | 4 | 26,249 | 160,993 | 147,557 | 308,550 |
| 2016 | 125,870 | 165,370 | 291,240 | 35,120 | 15 | 35,135 | 160,990 | 165,385 | 326,375 |
| 2017 | 117,926 | 182,119 | 300,045 | 43,064 | 35 | 43,099 | 160,990 | 182,154 | 343,144 |
| 2018 | 110,765 | 197,731 | 308,496 | 50,226 | 57 | 50,283 | 160,991 | 197,788 | 358,779 |
| 2019 | 104,209 | 212,441 | 316,650 | 56,779 | 99 | 56,878 | 160,988 | 212,540 | 373,528 |
| 2020 | 98,150 | 225,678 | 323,828 | 62,840 | 158 | 62,998 | 160,990 | 225,836 | 386,826 |
| 2021 | 92,489 | 238,102 | 330,591 | 68,500 | 231 | 68,731 | 160,989 | 238,333 | 399,322 |
| 2022 | 87,182 | 249,515 | 336,697 | 73,808 | 327 | 74,135 | 160,990 | 249,842 | 410,832 |
| 2023 | 82,169 | 260,095 | 342,264 | 78,821 | 616 | 79,437 | 160,990 | 260,711 | 421,701 |
| 2024 | 77,396 | 270,065 | 347,461 | 83,591 | 1,106 | 84,697 | 160,987 | 271,171 | 432,158 |
| 2025 | 72,793 | 279,333 | 352,126 | 88,196 | 1,590 | 89,786 | 160,989 | 280,923 | 441,912 |
| 2026 | 68,325 | 288,153 | 356,478 | 92,666 | 2,636 | 95,302 | 160,991 | 290,789 | 451,780 |
| 2027 | 63,958 | 296,524 | 360,482 | 97,033 | 4,141 | 101,174 | 160,991 | 300,665 | 461,656 |
| 2028 | 59,638 | 304,493 | 364,131 | 101,352 | 6,070 | 107,422 | 160,990 | 310,563 | 471,553 |
| 2029 | 55,338 | 312,138 | 367,476 | 105,654 | 8,497 | 114,151 | 160,992 | 320,635 | 481,627 |
| 2030 | 51,174 | 319,136 | 370,310 | 109,817 | 11,312 | 121,129 | 160,991 | 330,448 | 491,439 |
| 2031 | 47,113 | 325,487 | 372,600 | 113,877 | 14,477 | 128,354 | 160,990 | 339,964 | 500,954 |
| 2032 | 43,171 | 331,207 | 374,378 | 117,820 | 17,960 | 135,780 | 160,991 | 349,167 | 510,158 |
| 2033 | 39,267 | 336,504 | 375,771 | 121,724 | 21,756 | 143,480 | 160,991 | 358,260 | 519,251 |
| 2034 | 35,407 | 341,384 | 376,791 | 125,584 | 25,918 | 151,502 | 160,991 | 367,302 | 528,293 |
| 2035 | 31,642 | 345,464 | 377,106 | 129,350 | 30,315 | 159,665 | 160,992 | 375,779 | 536,771 |
| 2036 | 28,009 | 348,621 | 376,630 | 132,982 | 35,029 | 168,011 | 160,991 | 383,650 | 544,641 |
| 2037 | 24,544 | 350,857 | 375,401 | 136,446 | 40,038 | 176,484 | 160,990 | 390,895 | 551,885 |
| 2038 | 21,253 | 352,060 | 373,313 | 139,737 | 45,330 | 185,067 | 160,990 | 397,390 | 558,380 |
| 2039 | 18,067 | 352,388 | 370,455 | 142,922 | 50,924 | 193,846 | 160,989 | 403,312 | 564,301 |
| 2040 | 15,001 | 351,927 | 366,928 | 145,990 | 56,725 | 202,715 | 160,991 | 408,652 | 569,643 |
| 2041 | 12,127 | 350,409 | 362,536 | 148,863 | 62,825 | 211,688 | 160,990 | 413,234 | 574,224 |
| 2042 | 9,494 | 347,684 | 357,178 | 151,495 | 69,209 | 220,704 | 160,989 | 416,893 | 577,882 |
| 2043 | 7,179 | 343,632 | 350,811 | 153,811 | 75,870 | 229,681 | 160,990 | 419,502 | 580,492 |
| 2044 | 5,248 | 338,081 | 343,329 | 155,742 | 82,881 | 238,623 | 160,990 | 420,962 | 581,952 |
| 2045 | 3,685 | 331,092 | 334,777 | 157,303 | 90,119 | 247,422 | 160,988 | 421,211 | 582,199 |
| 2046 | 2,550 | 322,573 | 325,123 | 158,441 | 97,595 | 256,036 | 160,991 | 420,168 | 581,159 |

4.12 Projection of Debt Service to 2033

| Fiscal Year | Debt Service |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2014 | $\$ 344,738,295$ |
| 2015 | $342,400,685$ |
| 2016 | $340,003,895$ |
| 2017 | $352,224,565$ |
| 2018 | $363,801,653$ |
| 2019 | $374,735,158$ |
| 2020 | $399,198,690$ |
| 2021 | $422,197,518$ |
| 2022 | $443,731,640$ |
| 2023 | $463,801,058$ |
| 2024 | $497,200,770$ |
| 2025 | $528,003,960$ |
| 2026 | $541,748,515$ |
| 2027 | $553,983,980$ |
| 2028 | $579,505,355$ |
| 2029 | $602,763,095$ |
| 2030 | $638,552,200$ |
| 2031 | $671,323,125$ |
| 2032 | $686,280,870$ |
| 2033 | $684,179,980$ |
| 2034 | - |
| 2035 |  |
| 2036 | - |
| 2037 |  |
|  |  |
|  | - |

## Section 5: Member Data

### 5.1 Summary of Members Included

## ACTIVE AND INACTIVE MEMBERSHIP OF SYSTEM AS OF JUNE 30, 2013 <br> USED IN JUNE 30, 2014 VALUATION

| Group | Number | Annual Salaries |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Active members: |  |  |
| Reported full-time and regular part-time |  |  |
| Tier 1 | 125,666 | $\$ 8,875,043,445$ |
| Tier 2 | 7,220 | $318,043,046$ |
| Total | 132,886 | $\$ 9,193,086,492$ |
| Reported substitutes and hourly paid |  |  |
| Tier 1 | 19,321 | $\$ 100,856,231$ |
| Tier 2 | 8,783 | $41,965,783$ |
| Total | 28,104 | $\$ 142,822,013$ |
| Total active members |  |  |
| Tier 1 | 144,987 | $\$ 8,975,899,676$ |
| Tier 2 | 16,003 | $360,008,829$ |
| Total | 160,990 | $\$ 9,335,908,505$ |
| Inactives: |  |  |

## ANNUITANTS, DISABILITY BENEFIT RECIPIENTS, AND SURVIVOR ANNUITANTS OF THE SYSTEM AS OF JUNE 30, 2013 <br> USED IN JUNE 30, 2014 VALUATION <br> (Excluding Guaranteed Minimum Annuities)

| Class | Number | Annual Annuities at June 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retired on account of service: |  |  |  |
| Regular | 62,688 | \$ | 2,858,971,486 |
| ERI | 10,115 |  | 547,663,895 |
| ERO | 25,744 |  | 1,569,372,605 |
| Total | 98,547 | \$ | 4,976,007,986 |
| Disability benefit recipients: |  |  |  |
| Retirement allowance | 784 | \$ | 20,859,484 |
| Occupational | 4 |  | 181,997 |
| Temporary | 346 |  | 8,341,643 |
| Total | 1,134 | \$ | 29,383,124 |
| Survivor benefit recipients: |  |  |  |
| Children | 72 | \$ | 736,974 |
| Survivor annuitants | 9,505 |  | 191,848,185 |
| Reversionary annuitants | 190 |  | 6,484,003 |
| Total | 9,767 | \$ | 199,069,162 |
| Grand Total | 109,448 | \$ | 5,204,460,272 |

### 5.2 Reconciliation of Member Counts

| Item | Active <br> Members | Inactive <br> Members |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number as of June 30, 2012 used as proxy <br> for June 30, 2013 Valuation | 162,029 | 125,526 |
| New Participants | 10,921 |  |
| Terminations | $(11,066)$ | 11,066 |
| Retirements | $(4,309)$ | $(837)$ |
| Disabilities | $(157)$ | $(4,598)$ |
| Return to Work | 4,638 | $(5)$ |
| Deceased | $(1)$ | $(3,539)$ |
| Refund | $(60)$ | 0 |
| Lump Sum | $\underline{0}$ | 40 |
| Data Corrections | 160,990 |  |
| Number as of June 30, 2013 used as proxy |  | 127,653 |


| Item |  <br> Beneficiaries |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number as of June 30, 2012 used as proxy | 106,102 |
| for June 30, 2013 Valuation | 5,152 |
| New Retirees \& Beneficiaries | 157 |
| Disabilities | $(40)$ |
| Return to Work | $(2,013)$ |
| Deceased w/o surv | 0 |
| Expiration or Transfer | $(2)$ |
| Suspended | $\underline{92}$ |
| Data Corrections | 109,448 |
| Number as of June 30, 2013 used as proxy |  |
| for June 30, 2014 Valuation |  |

* The Member counts shown represent the data one year prior to the Valuation. For example, the Active Membership data used for the June 30, 2014 valuation is the snapshot as of June 30, 2013


### 5.3 Age and Service Distribution of Active Members

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Substitutes |  | Grand Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 |  | 5-9 |  | 10-14 |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  | 25-29 |  | 30-34 |  | 35-39 |  | 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,169 \\ 42,597 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,169 42,597 | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,334 \\ & 5,892 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,503 \\ 23,572 \end{array}$ |
| 25-29 | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,612 \\ & 48,177 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,724 \\ 57,113 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 16,336 50,761 | \$ | 4,599 5,925 | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,935 \\ & 40,911 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30-34 |  | 4,686 |  | 14,646 |  | 3,670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,002 |  | 2,756 |  | 25,758 |
|  | \$ | 51,286 | \$ | 61,499 | \$ | 70,692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 60,885 | \$ | 5,164 | \$ | 54,923 |
| 35-39 |  | 2,101 |  | 5,588 |  | 10,988 |  | 2,097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,774 |  | 2,384 |  | 23,158 |
|  | \$ | 52,957 | \$ | 63,637 | \$ | 74,273 | \$ | 82,089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 70,045 | \$ | 5,249 | \$ | 63,375 |
| 40-44 |  | 1,602 |  | 3,443 |  | 4,987 |  | 7,661 |  | 1,539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19,232 |  | 3,603 |  | 22,835 |
|  | \$ | 52,578 | \$ | 63,876 | \$ | 75,363 | \$ | 84,997 | \$ | 89,333 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 76,364 | \$ | 5,090 | \$ | 65,118 |
| 45-49 |  | 1,160 |  | 2,527 |  | 2,927 |  | 3,420 |  | 4,722 |  | 1,085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,841 |  | 3,325 |  | 19,166 |
|  | \$ | 52,678 | \$ | 61,665 | \$ | 74,396 | \$ | 85,620 | \$ | 92,057 | \$ | 95,909 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 79,936 | \$ | 5,342 | \$ | 66,995 |
| 50-54 |  | 775 |  | 2,034 |  | 2,526 |  | 2,386 |  | 2,402 |  | 3,798 |  | 961 |  |  |  |  |  | 14,882 |  | 3,026 |  | 17,908 |
|  | \$ | 52,595 | \$ | 62,386 | \$ | 72,252 | \$ | 80,803 | \$ | 90,049 | \$ | 96,325 | \$ | 99,317 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 82,015 | \$ | 5,328 | \$ | 69,057 |
| 55-59 |  | 394 |  | 1,225 |  | 2,143 |  | 2,253 |  | 2,041 |  | 1,908 |  | 2,568 |  | 307 |  |  |  | 12,839 |  | 2,654 |  | 15,493 |
|  | \$ | 55,920 | \$ | 64,506 | \$ | 73,265 | \$ | 81,650 | \$ | 88,548 | \$ | 95,704 | \$ | 101,720 | \$ | 103,760 |  |  | \$ | 85,553 | \$ | 5,526 | \$ | 71,844 |
| 60-64 |  | 185 |  | 542 |  | 1,041 |  | 1,338 |  | 1,326 |  | 940 |  | 633 |  | 398 |  | 62 |  | 6,465 |  | 2,039 |  | 8,504 |
|  | \$ | 55,282 | \$ | 66,677 | \$ | 73,530 | \$ | 81,848 | \$ | 91,548 | \$ | 96,508 | \$ | 104,167 | \$ | 107,452 | \$ | 105,483 | \$ | 86,586 | \$ | 5,311 | \$ | 67,099 |
| Over 64 | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 61,906 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141 \\ 72,245 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227 \\ 81,511 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 254 \\ 84,897 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 261 \\ 90,033 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 185 \\ 98,113 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108 \\ 100.587 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ 106,062 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 112,865 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,346 \\ 88741 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,384 \\ & 4,900 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,730 \\ 46,237 \end{array}$ |
| Total |  | 24,726 |  | 34,870 |  | 28,509 |  | 19,409 |  | 12,291 |  | 7,916 |  | 4,270 |  | 759 |  | 136 |  | 132,886 |  | 28,104 |  | 160,990 |
|  | \$ | 49,518 | \$ | 61,775 | \$ | 73,791 | \$ | 83,670 | \$ | 90,643 | \$ | 96,182 | \$ | 101,513 | \$ | 105,860 | \$ | 109,500 | \$ | 71,567 | \$ | 5,417 | \$ | 60,020 |

### 5.4 10 Year History of Active Membership Data

Full-Time and Regular Part-Time

| Census <br> Date <br> June 30 | Number <br> of Active <br> Members | Percentage <br> Change in <br> Membership | Average <br> Annual <br> Salary | Percentage <br> Change in <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 127,405 | $(0.57) \%$ | $\$$ | 56,871 |
| 2005 | 126,798 | $(0.48)$ | $2.53 \%$ |  |
| 2006 | 130,867 | 3.21 | 58,715 | 3.24 |
| 2007 | 132,287 | 1.09 | 59,948 | 2.10 |
| 2008 | 136,328 | 3.05 | 61,713 | 2.94 |
| 2009 | 138,180 | 1.36 | 63,986 | 3.68 |
| 2010 | 137,711 | $(0.34)$ | 66,199 | 3.46 |
| 2011 | 133,752 | $(2.87)$ | 68,352 | 3.25 |
| 2012 | 132,956 | $(0.60)$ | 69,969 | 2.37 |
| 2013 | 132,886 | $(0.05)$ | 72,218 | 3.21 |

Substitutes, Part-Time and Hourly-Paid

| Census Date June 30 | Number of Active Members | Percentage Change in Membership | Average <br> Annual <br> Salary |  | Percentage Change in Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 30,238 | 2.00\% | \$ | 4,294 | (6.39)\% |
| 2005 | 29,148 | (3.60) |  | 4,636 | 7.96 |
| 2006 | 28,355 | (2.72) |  | 4,784 | 3.19 |
| 2007 | 28,514 | 0.56 |  | 4,890 | 2.22 |
| 2008 | 29,146 | 2.22 |  | 5,128 | 4.87 |
| 2009 | 30,993 | 6.34 |  | 4,973 | (3.02) |
| 2010 | 32,479 | 4.79 |  | 4,971 | (0.04) |
| 2011 | 32,120 | (1.11) |  | 4,772 | (4.00) |
| 2012 | 29,073 | (9.49) |  | 5,305 | 11.17 |
| 2013 | 28,104 | (3.33) |  | 5,082 | (4.20) |

### 5.5 10 Year History of Annuitant and Survivor Annuitant Membership

| Census Date <br> June 30 | Number on Roll | Percentage Change in Membership |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 82,491 | $6.90 \%$ |
| 2006 | 85,153 | 3.23 |
| 2007 | 89,269 | 4.83 |
| 2008 | 91,497 | 2.50 |
| 2009 | 94,419 | 3.19 |
| 2010 | 97,796 | 3.58 |
| 2011 | 101,352 | 3.64 |
| 2012 | 105,499 | 4.09 |
| 2013 | 106,102 | 0.57 |
| 2014 | 109,448 | 3.15 |

### 5.6 Benefit Stream for Guaranteed Minimum Annuity Reserve

| Benefit Payment Stream |  | Benefit Payment Stream |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Guaranteed Minimum | Fiscal Year |  | anteed imum |
| 2015 | \$ 786,794 | 2039 | \$ | 13,925 |
| 2016 | 687,742 | 2040 |  | 11,205 |
| 2017 | 598,714 | 2041 |  | 8,957 |
| 2018 | 519,273 | 2042 |  | 7,103 |
| 2019 | 448,827 | 2043 |  | 5,581 |
| 2020 | 386,697 | 2044 |  | 4,346 |
| 2021 | 332,252 | 2045 |  | 3,350 |
| 2022 | 284,827 | 2046 |  | 2,555 |
| 2023 | 243,666 | 2047 |  | 1,929 |
| 2024 | 208,037 | 2048 |  | 1,441 |
| 2025 | 177,275 | 2049 |  | 1,067 |
| 2026 | 150,746 | 2050 |  | 781 |
| 2027 | 127,899 | 2051 |  | 564 |
| 2028 | 108,260 | 2052 |  | 402 |
| 2029 | 91,420 | 2053 |  | 282 |
| 2030 | 77,008 | 2054 |  | 195 |
| 2031 | 64,703 | 2055 |  | 133 |
| 2032 | 54,212 | 2056 |  | 89 |
| 2033 | 45,277 | 2057 |  | 58 |
| 2034 | 37,668 | 2058 |  | 37 |
| 2035 | 31,201 | 2059 |  | 24 |
| 2036 | 25,721 | 2060 |  | 15 |
| 2037 | 21,086 | 2061 |  | 9 |
| 2038 | 17,188 | 2062 |  | - |

Notes:
(1) Above benefit payment amounts were projected based on the mortality assumptions for the general pensioner population.
(2) As separate mortality studies have not been performed for this special group, the fiscal 2016 State Contribution shown on the Summary of Principal Results has been adjusted to ensure payment of all required benefit amounts, as required by Statute.

### 5.7 Members in Active Service Distributed by Age

## Full-Time and Regular Part-Time

| Age | Men |  | Women |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation |
| 21 |  |  | 1 | \$ 41,979 | 1 | \$ 41,979 |
| 22 | 8 | \$ 333,376 | 22 | 871,908 | 30 | 1,205,284 |
| 23 | 101 | 4,292,256 | 544 | 22,397,841 | 645 | 26,690,098 |
| 24 | 272 | 12,094,119 | 1,221 | 52,362,467 | 1,493 | 64,456,586 |
| 25 | 445 | 21,012,108 | 1,798 | 81,343,643 | 2,243 | 102,355,750 |
| 26 | 600 | 29,009,049 | 2,182 | 101,988,234 | 2,782 | 130,997,283 |
| 27 | 691 | 35,170,214 | 2,667 | 132,177,811 | 3,358 | 167,348,025 |
| 28 | 769 | 42,389,422 | 2,968 | 155,327,108 | 3,737 | 197,716,530 |
| 29 | 928 | 52,899,813 | 3,288 | 177,917,592 | 4,216 | 230,817,405 |
| 30 | 992 | 58,760,788 | 3,445 | 192,184,046 | 4,437 | 250,944,834 |
| 31 | 1,153 | 72,638,225 | 3,661 | 211,574,538 | 4,814 | 284,212,763 |
| 32 | 1,049 | 68,733,971 | 3,465 | 205,899,551 | 4,514 | 274,633,522 |
| 33 | 1,119 | 77,005,984 | 3,471 | 212,384,659 | 4,590 | 289,390,643 |
| 34 | 1,135 | 81,044,822 | 3,512 | 220,249,042 | 4,647 | 301,293,863 |
| 35 | 1,084 | 78,803,165 | 3,214 | 205,978,975 | 4,298 | 284,782,139 |
| 36 | 1,167 | 90,862,514 | 3,251 | 214,444,904 | 4,418 | 305,307,418 |
| 37 | 1,125 | 88,917,654 | 2,962 | 198,631,494 | 4,087 | 287,549,148 |
| 38 | 1,059 | 86,604,030 | 2,925 | 199,637,688 | 3,984 | 286,241,718 |
| 39 | 1,086 | 89,538,650 | 2,901 | 201,696,164 | 3,987 | 291,234,814 |
| 40 | 1,046 | 90,110,711 | 2,691 | 188,799,326 | 3,737 | 278,910,038 |
| 41 | 1,052 | 91,591,912 | 2,746 | 195,579,566 | 3,798 | 287,171,479 |
| 42 | 1,020 | 90,710,793 | 2,857 | 204,551,536 | 3,877 | 295,262,330 |
| 43 | 1,072 | 95,738,168 | 2,989 | 217,907,743 | 4,061 | 313,645,911 |
| 44 | 998 | 92,901,408 | 2,761 | 200,742,104 | 3,759 | 293,643,512 |
| 45 | 912 | 85,923,512 | 2,595 | 192,013,588 | 3,507 | 277,937,100 |
| 46 | 840 | 77,804,966 | 2,460 | 183,807,682 | 3,300 | 261,612,648 |
| 47 | 790 | 74,753,017 | 2,333 | 174,644,198 | 3,123 | 249,397,215 |
| 48 | 777 | 72,950,376 | 2,187 | 166,246,251 | 2,964 | 239,196,627 |
| 49 | 697 | 66,508,383 | 2,250 | 171,613,620 | 2,947 | 238,122,003 |
| 50 | 710 | 67,419,375 | 2,269 | 174,057,124 | 2,979 | 241,476,499 |
| 51 | 707 | 67,508,746 | 2,286 | 176,199,388 | 2,993 | 243,708,133 |
| 52 | 654 | 64,514,526 | 2,370 | 182,896,250 | 3,024 | 247,410,777 |
| 53 | 634 | 62,505,439 | 2,313 | 181,732,518 | 2,947 | 244,237,957 |
| 54 | 608 | 59,704,931 | 2,331 | 184,002,576 | 2,939 | 243,707,507 |
| 55 | 589 | 59,479,883 | 2,321 | 188,292,575 | 2,910 | 247,772,458 |
| 56 | 492 | 48,528,760 | 2,278 | 184,244,657 | 2,770 | 232,773,417 |
| 57 | 471 | 46,703,603 | 2,070 | 172,226,367 | 2,541 | 218,929,970 |
| 58 | 442 | 42,276,287 | 1,929 | 162,693,517 | 2,371 | 204,969,804 |
| 59 | 379 | 37,047,469 | 1,868 | 156,926,311 | 2,247 | 193,973,780 |
| 60 | 333 | 31,255,141 | 1,562 | 132,088,474 | 1,895 | 163,343,615 |

5.7 Members in Active Service Distributed by Age Full-Time and Regular Part-Time (continued)

|  | Men |  |  | Women |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation |  |
| 61 | 243 | $\$$ | $22,963,242$ | 1,266 | $\$$ | $106,384,285$ |  |
| 62 | 229 | $20,660,010$ | 1,060 | 1,509 | $\$ 129,347,527$ |  |  |
| 63 | 179 | $16,635,117$ | 845 | $73,247,620$ | 1,289 | $111,907,630$ |  |
| 64 | 128 | $11,455,894$ | 620 | $53,385,885$ | 1,024 | $90,338,001$ |  |
| 65 | 99 | $9,990,053$ | 395 | $34,644,826$ | 748 | $64,841,264$ |  |
| 66 | 70 | $6,496,141$ | 264 | $22,652,853$ | 394 | $44,634,879$ |  |
| 67 | 42 | $3,533,534$ | 153 | $13,639,137$ | 195 | $17,148,994$ |  |
| 68 | 35 | $3,487,821$ | 73 | $6,470,981$ | 108 | $9,958,871$ |  |
| 69 | 19 | $1,867,320$ | 52 | $4,266,693$ | 71 | $6,134,013$ |  |
| 70 | 18 | $1,712,093$ | 36 | $3,124,421$ | 54 | $4,836,513$ |  |
| 71 | 8 | 572,267 | 30 | $2,594,924$ | 38 | $3,167,191$ |  |
| 72 | 1 | 42,062 | 14 | $1,164,269$ | 15 | $1,206,331$ |  |
| 73 | 3 | 254,904 | 10 | 840,931 | 13 | $1,095,835$ |  |
| 74 | 2 | 125,543 | 6 | 569,467 | 8 | 695,010 |  |
| 75 |  |  | 4 | 389,668 | 4 | 389,668 |  |
| 76 | 4 | 281,687 | 2 | 242,491 | 6 | 524,179 |  |
| 77 | 1 | 117,263 | 3 | 218,617 | 4 | 335,880 |  |
| 78 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 63,673 |  |
| 79 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 80 |  |  |  | 81,894 | 1 | 63,673 |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 31,087 | $\$ 2,516,242,519$ | 101,799 | $\$ 6,994,060,021$ | 132,886 | $\$ 9,510,302,539$ |  |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

In addition, there are the following active members:

## SUBSTITUTES, PART-TIME, AND HOURLY-PAID TEACHERS WHO ARE ON A FLEXIBLE OR LIMITED WORK SCHEDULE

| Number | 28,104 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Annual Salaries | $152,241,262$ |
| Average Age | 42.37 |
| Average Service | 2.13 |

### 5.8 Members in Active Service Distributed by Service

## Full-Time and Regular Part-Time

| Service | Men |  | Women |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation |
| 0 | 287 | \$ 11,261,946 | 886 | \$ 33,378,000 | 1,173 | \$ 44,639,946 |
| 1 | 1,556 | 77,612,935 | 5,029 | 230,136,132 | 6,585 | 307,749,067 |
| 2 | 1,462 | 76,331,647 | 4,786 | 229,759,972 | 6,248 | 306,091,618 |
| 3 | 1,109 | 62,635,350 | 3,902 | 196,935,786 | 5,011 | 259,571,136 |
| 4 | 1,254 | 71,693,346 | 4,455 | 234,627,044 | 5,709 | 306,320,390 |
| 5 | 1,372 | 83,977,734 | 5,358 | 297,297,697 | 6,730 | 381,275,432 |
| 6 | 1,745 | 112,472,250 | 5,668 | 326,845,621 | 7,413 | 439,317,871 |
| 7 | 1,545 | 106,254,408 | 5,543 | 333,432,882 | 7,088 | 439,687,290 |
| 8 | 1,640 | 118,535,707 | 5,697 | 355,842,330 | 7,337 | 474,378,036 |
| 9 | 1,407 | 104,853,122 | 4,895 | 314,597,039 | 6,302 | 419,450,162 |
| 10 | 1,305 | 104,332,142 | 4,500 | 300,160,047 | 5,805 | 404,492,189 |
| 11 | 1,381 | 111,550,561 | 4,527 | 312,853,637 | 5,908 | 424,404,198 |
| 12 | 1,328 | 111,784,054 | 4,500 | 318,250,328 | 5,828 | 430,034,382 |
| 13 | 1,346 | 116,778,698 | 4,347 | 317,082,956 | 5,693 | 433,861,654 |
| 14 | 1,304 | 114,831,183 | 3,971 | 296,081,294 | 5,275 | 410,912,478 |
| 15 | 1,113 | 101,908,949 | 3,470 | 263,567,382 | 4,583 | 365,476,330 |
| 16 | 984 | 91,356,952 | 2,943 | 228,898,922 | 3,927 | 320,255,874 |
| 17 | 911 | 86,320,047 | 2,539 | 202,536,966 | 3,450 | 288,857,013 |
| 18 | 850 | 82,536,460 | 2,549 | 210,185,673 | 3,399 | 292,722,133 |
| 19 | 1,120 | 113,331,802 | 2,930 | 243,308,379 | 4,050 | 356,640,181 |
| 20 | 771 | 78,497,050 | 2,251 | 188,118,343 | 3,022 | 266,615,393 |
| 21 | 580 | 60,705,192 | 1,975 | 169,649,368 | 2,555 | 230,354,560 |
| 22 | 624 | 65,968,986 | 1,911 | 165,058,537 | 2,535 | 231,027,523 |
| 23 | 522 | 55,018,883 | 1,784 | 156,803,770 | 2,306 | 211,822,653 |
| 24 | 454 | 48,342,230 | 1,419 | 125,928,549 | 1,873 | 174,270,779 |
| 25 | 374 | 39,406,628 | 1,387 | 126,343,511 | 1,761 | 165,750,140 |
| 26 | 392 | 42,819,721 | 1,287 | 117,297,739 | 1,679 | 160,117,460 |
| 27 | 372 | 41,677,471 | 1,181 | 108,232,582 | 1,553 | 149,910,053 |
| 28 | 350 | 37,960,716 | 1,219 | 114,087,591 | 1,569 | 152,048,307 |
| 29 | 362 | 39,805,395 | 992 | 93,741,726 | 1,354 | 133,547,121 |
| 30 | 263 | 29,240,057 | 800 | 76,261,382 | 1,063 | 105,501,440 |
| 31 | 223 | 25,978,805 | 726 | 71,071,283 | 949 | 97,050,088 |
| 32 | 266 | 31,349,435 | 728 | 71,578,490 | 994 | 102,927,926 |
| 33 | 163 | 18,484,166 | 638 | 62,274,653 | 801 | 80,758,820 |
| 34 | 102 | 11,112,840 | 361 | 36,110,682 | 463 | 47,223,521 |
| 35 | 63 | 7,211,589 | 186 | 18,854,346 | 249 | 26,065,935 |
| 36 | 63 | 7,357,825 | 137 | 13,724,285 | 200 | 21,082,109 |
| 37 | 41 | 4,901,875 | 99 | 10,220,444 | 140 | 15,122,319 |
| 38 | 29 | 3,514,940 | 66 | 6,818,472 | 95 | 10,333,411 |
| 39 | 17 | 2,005,403 | 58 | 5,738,191 | 75 | 7,743,594 |

5.8 Members in Active Service Distributed by Service Full-Time and Regular Part-Time (continued)

| Service | Men |  | Women |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation |
| 40 | 8 | \$ 906,660 | 26 | \$ 2,929,592 | 34 | \$ 3,836,253 |
| 41 | 9 | 1,063,849 | 16 | 1,573,755 | 25 | 2,637,604 |
| 42 | 7 | 779,624 | 23 | 2,270,439 | 30 | 3,050,064 |
| 43 | 3 | 551,417 | 14 | 1,526,433 | 17 | 2,077,850 |
| 44 | 4 | 433,997 | 10 | 994,724 | 14 | 1,428,721 |
| 45 | 2 | 242,779 | 1 | 123,418 | 3 | 366,197 |
| 46 | 2 | 327,324 | 1 | 135,496 | 3 | 462,820 |
| 47 |  |  | 2 | 222,641 | 2 | 222,641 |
| 48 | 2 | 218,368 | 1 | 105,414 | 3 | 323,782 |
| 49 |  |  | 1 | 99,865 | 1 | 99,865 |
| 50 |  |  | 2 | 201,518 | 2 | 201,518 |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 |  |  | 1 | 102,800 | 1 | 102,800 |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 |  |  | 1 | 81,894 | 1 | 81,894 |
| Total | 31,087 | \$2,516,242,519 | 101,799 | \$6,994,060,021 | 132,886 | \$9,510,302,539 |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

In addition, there are the following active members:

## SUBSTITUTES, PART-TIME, AND HOURLY-PAID teachers who are on a flexible or LIMITED WORK SCHEDULE

Number
Annual Salaries
Average Age
Average Service

28,104
152,241,262
42.37
2.13
5.9 Retired Annuitants Distributed by Age

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities |
| 50 | 1 | \$ 36,156 |  |  | 1 | \$ 36,156 |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 1 | 66,782 | 1 | \$ 7,786 | 2 | 74,568 |
| 54 |  |  | 2 | 103,462 | 2 | 103,462 |
| 55 | 51 | 3,821,757 | 141 | 8,026,350 | 192 | 11,848,106 |
| 56 | 186 | 12,393,824 | 441 | 23,332,163 | 627 | 35,725,987 |
| 57 | 274 | 19,648,762 | 744 | 42,316,056 | 1,018 | 61,964,818 |
| 58 | 389 | 27,330,384 | 1,004 | 56,980,402 | 1,393 | 84,310,786 |
| 59 | 435 | 30,864,478 | 1,266 | 72,382,573 | 1,701 | 103,247,051 |
| 60 | 736 | 48,487,297 | 2,053 | 107,904,963 | 2,789 | 156,392,260 |
| 61 | 898 | 56,417,967 | 3,078 | 152,091,677 | 3,976 | 208,509,644 |
| 62 | 1,179 | 82,530,198 | 3,774 | 196,986,057 | 4,953 | 279,516,255 |
| 63 | 1,368 | 94,246,076 | 3,973 | 201,360,824 | 5,341 | 295,606,900 |
| 64 | 1,533 | 103,723,821 | 3,984 | 196,049,205 | 5,517 | 299,773,026 |
| 65 | 1,637 | 112,261,602 | 4,105 | 202,048,892 | 5,742 | 314,310,493 |
| 66 | 2,008 | 139,214,970 | 4,481 | 221,366,433 | 6,489 | 360,581,402 |
| 67 | 1,916 | 131,274,998 | 3,842 | 188,429,349 | 5,758 | 319,704,347 |
| 68 | 1,557 | 104,347,802 | 2,932 | 139,893,473 | 4,489 | 244,241,275 |
| 69 | 1,505 | 97,893,166 | 2,803 | 129,755,957 | 4,308 | 227,649,122 |
| 70 | 1,534 | 97,920,500 | 2,838 | 125,922,316 | 4,372 | 223,842,816 |
| 71 | 1,432 | 87,577,697 | 2,766 | 123,784,664 | 4,198 | 211,362,361 |
| 72 | 1,221 | 74,969,235 | 2,160 | 94,269,209 | 3,381 | 169,238,444 |
| 73 | 1,023 | 62,225,525 | 1,928 | 81,899,258 | 2,951 | 144,124,783 |
| 74 | 964 | 58,395,857 | 1,724 | 73,443,667 | 2,688 | 131,839,525 |
| 75 | 955 | 58,256,138 | 1,623 | 68,840,108 | 2,578 | 127,096,246 |
| 76 | 840 | 49,144,899 | 1,468 | 59,945,482 | 2,308 | 109,090,381 |
| 77 | 850 | 48,166,163 | 1,327 | 52,516,834 | 2,177 | 100,682,996 |
| 78 | 814 | 46,756,863 | 1,207 | 48,679,564 | 2,021 | 95,436,427 |
| 79 | 701 | 39,750,950 | 1,142 | 43,354,929 | 1,843 | 83,105,879 |
| 80 | 664 | 37,468,172 | 987 | 37,040,482 | 1,651 | 74,508,654 |
| 81 | 632 | 33,702,504 | 981 | 35,065,758 | 1,613 | 68,768,263 |
| 82 | 623 | 31,834,375 | 914 | 33,269,863 | 1,537 | 65,104,238 |
| 83 | 552 | 27,581,905 | 895 | 30,090,799 | 1,447 | 57,672,703 |
| 84 | 451 | 22,976,402 | 887 | 29,026,655 | 1,338 | 52,003,057 |
| 85 | 452 | 21,696,598 | 832 | 25,778,603 | 1,284 | 47,475,201 |
| 86 | 381 | 17,921,988 | 769 | 23,339,738 | 1,150 | 41,261,726 |
| 87 | 334 | 15,554,032 | 643 | 18,763,207 | 977 | 34,317,239 |
| 88 | 259 | 12,329,780 | 517 | 14,510,031 | 776 | 26,839,811 |
| 89 | 241 | 10,816,938 | 485 | 13,933,742 | 726 | 24,750,680 |
| 90 | 166 | 6,985,733 | 470 | 11,969,004 | 636 | 18,954,737 |

5.9 Retired Annuitants Distributed by Age (continued)

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities |
| 91 | 129 | \$ 4,908,501 | 402 | \$ 9,819,086 | 531 | \$ 14,727,587 |
| 92 | 85 | 3,136,082 | 399 | 9,582,234 | 484 | 12,718,315 |
| 93 | 66 | 2,182,224 | 334 | 7,991,929 | 400 | 10,174,153 |
| 94 | 48 | 1,519,920 | 240 | 5,391,831 | 288 | 6,911,750 |
| 95 | 37 | 1,125,185 | 230 | 4,989,514 | 267 | 6,114,699 |
| 96 | 24 | 645,828 | 143 | 3,148,408 | 167 | 3,794,237 |
| 97 | 18 | 700,906 | 108 | 2,321,587 | 126 | 3,022,493 |
| 98 | 8 | 332,608 | 77 | 1,586,413 | 85 | 1,919,021 |
| 99 | 10 | 350,162 | 84 | 1,682,460 | 94 | 2,032,622 |
| 100 | 8 | 447,229 | 58 | 1,103,711 | 66 | 1,550,941 |
| 101 | 5 | 175,170 | 27 | 527,406 | 32 | 702,576 |
| 102 | 1 | 36,885 | 23 | 448,986 | 24 | 485,871 |
| 103 | 1 | 70,538 | 10 | 215,086 | 11 | 285,624 |
| 104 |  |  | 9 | 209,754 | 9 | 209,754 |
| 105 |  |  | 6 | 115,987 | 6 | 115,987 |
| 106 |  |  | 5 | 123,833 | 5 | 123,833 |
| 107 |  |  | 1 | 14,660 | 1 | 14,660 |
| 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 |  |  | 1 | 32,037 | 1 | 32,037 |
| Total | 31,203 | \$1,942,223,531 | 67,344 | \$3,033,784,455 | 98,547 | \$4,976,007,986 |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

### 5.10 Survivor Annuitants Distributed by Age


5.10 Survivor Annuitants Distributed by Age (continued)

| Age | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annuities |  | Number | Annuities |  | Number |  | Annuities |
| 43 | 2 | \$ | 24,896 | 1 | \$ | 28,835 | 3 | \$ | 53,732 |
| 44 | 4 |  | 89,150 | 5 |  | 115,013 | 9 |  | 204,163 |
| 45 | 3 |  | 52,388 | 3 |  | 56,935 | 6 |  | 109,323 |
| 46 | 5 |  | 51,742 | 2 |  | 30,305 | 7 |  | 82,046 |
| 47 | 2 |  | 15,506 | 5 |  | 83,559 | 7 |  | 99,065 |
| 48 | 6 |  | 62,378 | 4 |  | 43,912 | 10 |  | 106,290 |
| 49 | 5 |  | 52,019 | 4 |  | 48,421 | 9 |  | 100,440 |
| 50 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 103,111 | 7 |  | 103,111 |
| 51 | 9 |  | 115,145 | 11 |  | 301,892 | 20 |  | 417,037 |
| 52 | 10 |  | 158,854 | 12 |  | 250,945 | 22 |  | 409,799 |
| 53 | 15 |  | 185,213 | 18 |  | 403,944 | 33 |  | 589,156 |
| 54 | 11 |  | 174,553 | 18 |  | 408,547 | 29 |  | 583,100 |
| 55 | 23 |  | 382,010 | 14 |  | 195,813 | 37 |  | 577,823 |
| 56 | 25 |  | 339,634 | 19 |  | 448,512 | 44 |  | 788,146 |
| 57 | 27 |  | 469,430 | 20 |  | 518,190 | 47 |  | 987,620 |
| 58 | 18 |  | 274,409 | 36 |  | 766,493 | 54 |  | 1,040,902 |
| 59 | 25 |  | 475,258 | 39 |  | 871,601 | 64 |  | 1,346,858 |
| 60 | 34 |  | 721,624 | 45 |  | 1,373,088 | 79 |  | 2,094,712 |
| 61 | 49 |  | 1,039,216 | 73 |  | 2,012,471 | 122 |  | 3,051,688 |
| 62 | 51 |  | 1,050,927 | 71 |  | 1,830,995 | 122 |  | 2,881,922 |
| 63 | 61 |  | 1,286,207 | 74 |  | 1,997,921 | 135 |  | 3,284,128 |
| 64 | 56 |  | 1,069,322 | 111 |  | 3,249,632 | 167 |  | 4,318,955 |
| 65 | 94 |  | 1,984,551 | 119 |  | 3,409,870 | 213 |  | 5,394,421 |
| 66 | 88 |  | 2,089,349 | 135 |  | 3,549,275 | 223 |  | 5,638,624 |
| 67 | 79 |  | 1,510,316 | 133 |  | 3,734,705 | 212 |  | 5,245,021 |
| 68 | 68 |  | 1,354,964 | 133 |  | 3,577,851 | 201 |  | 4,932,815 |
| 69 | 78 |  | 1,522,111 | 165 |  | 4,222,544 | 243 |  | 5,744,655 |
| 70 | 83 |  | 1,774,911 | 161 |  | 4,617,505 | 244 |  | 6,392,415 |
| 71 | 115 |  | 2,407,586 | 201 |  | 5,044,098 | 316 |  | 7,451,683 |
| 72 | 96 |  | 1,876,980 | 180 |  | 4,733,398 | 276 |  | 6,610,378 |
| 73 | 81 |  | 1,638,050 | 171 |  | 4,442,564 | 252 |  | 6,080,614 |
| 74 | 89 |  | 1,612,305 | 178 |  | 4,668,325 | 267 |  | 6,280,630 |
| 75 | 100 |  | 1,990,281 | 209 |  | 5,348,750 | 309 |  | 7,339,031 |
| 76 | 76 |  | 1,322,012 | 235 |  | 5,848,037 | 311 |  | 7,170,049 |
| 77 | 93 |  | 1,571,934 | 199 |  | 4,841,275 | 292 |  | 6,413,209 |
| 78 | 93 |  | 1,608,188 | 230 |  | 5,339,309 | 323 |  | 6,947,496 |
| 79 | 85 |  | 1,596,115 | 212 |  | 4,785,447 | 297 |  | 6,381,562 |
| 80 | 103 |  | 1,849,184 | 211 |  | 4,701,323 | 314 |  | 6,550,507 |
| 81 | 109 |  | 1,659,317 | 252 |  | 5,399,341 | 361 |  | 7,058,658 |
| 82 | 129 |  | 2,059,661 | 264 |  | 5,734,870 | 393 |  | 7,794,531 |

5.10 Survivor Annuitants Distributed by Age (continued)

| Age | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annuities |  | Number | Annuities |  | Number | Annuities |  |
| 83 | 113 | \$ | 1,704,975 | 264 | \$ | 5,682,286 | 377 | \$ | 7,387,261 |
| 84 | 100 |  | 1,455,057 | 271 |  | 5,666,783 | 371 |  | 7,121,840 |
| 85 | 95 |  | 1,473,259 | 247 |  | 5,076,836 | 342 |  | 6,550,095 |
| 86 | 103 |  | 1,464,360 | 224 |  | 4,474,553 | 327 |  | 5,938,913 |
| 87 | 98 |  | 1,451,314 | 230 |  | 4,459,057 | 328 |  | 5,910,372 |
| 88 | 86 |  | 1,122,095 | 197 |  | 3,403,213 | 283 |  | 4,525,308 |
| 89 | 89 |  | 1,100,704 | 168 |  | 3,152,573 | 257 |  | 4,253,277 |
| 90 | 98 |  | 1,261,940 | 155 |  | 2,447,316 | 253 |  | 3,709,257 |
| 91 | 74 |  | 881,040 | 131 |  | 2,287,533 | 205 |  | 3,168,573 |
| 92 | 63 |  | 659,558 | 125 |  | 2,012,027 | 188 |  | 2,671,585 |
| 93 | 56 |  | 522,954 | 110 |  | 1,688,232 | 166 |  | 2,211,186 |
| 94 | 41 |  | 428,031 | 86 |  | 1,239,309 | 127 |  | 1,667,340 |
| 95 | 31 |  | 314,816 | 66 |  | 919,302 | 97 |  | 1,234,118 |
| 96 | 25 |  | 205,862 | 55 |  | 664,659 | 80 |  | 870,521 |
| 97 | 20 |  | 151,661 | 37 |  | 476,108 | 57 |  | 627,769 |
| 98 | 15 |  | 118,417 | 26 |  | 339,066 | 41 |  | 457,483 |
| 99 | 6 |  | 53,163 | 23 |  | 291,748 | 29 |  | 344,911 |
| 100 | 5 |  | 54,048 | 11 |  | 138,303 | 16 |  | 192,350 |
| 101 | 2 |  | 26,865 | 1 |  | 23,930 | 3 |  | 50,795 |
| 102 | 4 |  | 26,906 | 5 |  | 60,875 | 9 |  | 87,782 |
| 103 | 1 |  | 12,060 | 3 |  | 28,331 | 4 |  | 40,392 |
| 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | 1 |  | 7,697 | 3 |  | 70,269 | 4 |  | 77,966 |
| 106 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 43,487 | 3 |  | 43,487 |
| Total | 3,297 | \$ | 54,640,505 | 6,470 | \$ | 144,428,657 | 9,767 | \$ | 199,069,162 |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

### 5.11 Disability Annuitants Distributed by Age

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities |
| 28 |  |  | 3 | \$ 56,874 | 3 | \$ 56,874 |
| 29 |  |  | 2 | 34,163 | 2 | 34,163 |
| 30 |  |  | 1 | 17,154 | 1 | 17,154 |
| 31 |  |  | 5 | 85,213 | 5 | 85,213 |
| 32 |  |  | 4 | 69,629 | 4 | 69,629 |
| 33 |  |  | 1 | 18,412 | 1 | 18,412 |
| 34 | 1 | \$ 15,867 | 7 | 138,037 | 8 | 153,904 |
| 35 |  |  | 5 | 97,301 | 5 | 97,301 |
| 36 |  |  | 5 | 94,031 | 5 | 94,031 |
| 37 |  |  | 6 | 109,404 | 6 | 109,404 |
| 38 |  |  | 10 | 185,103 | 10 | 185,103 |
| 39 |  |  | 5 | 101,710 | 5 | 101,710 |
| 40 | 1 | 23,720 | 8 | 158,262 | 9 | 181,982 |
| 41 | 2 | 59,403 | 13 | 270,553 | 15 | 329,956 |
| 42 | 2 | 38,668 | 5 | 100,934 | 7 | 139,602 |
| 43 | 1 | 27,571 | 15 | 320,653 | 16 | 348,224 |
| 44 | 1 | 23,938 | 12 | 223,960 | 13 | 247,898 |
| 45 | 3 | 78,584 | 23 | 478,156 | 26 | 556,740 |
| 46 | 1 | 29,767 | 14 | 286,330 | 15 | 316,097 |
| 47 | 3 | 77,891 | 11 | 223,486 | 14 | 301,378 |
| 48 | 5 | 113,830 | 14 | 318,550 | 19 | 432,380 |
| 49 | 3 | 80,001 | 12 | 227,515 | 15 | 307,516 |
| 50 | 8 | 201,893 | 23 | 590,859 | 31 | 792,752 |
| 51 | 5 | 107,999 | 16 | 397,412 | 21 | 505,411 |
| 52 | 7 | 170,338 | 20 | 447,657 | 27 | 617,996 |
| 53 | 10 | 259,208 | 20 | 490,628 | 30 | 749,836 |
| 54 | 2 | 60,348 | 34 | 758,070 | 36 | 818,418 |
| 55 | 6 | 138,550 | 29 | 775,646 | 35 | 914,195 |
| 56 | 9 | 253,519 | 36 | 1,006,010 | 45 | 1,259,529 |
| 57 | 10 | 419,529 | 48 | 1,511,803 | 58 | 1,931,332 |
| 58 | 9 | 282,213 | 31 | 989,529 | 40 | 1,271,742 |
| 59 | 4 | 89,615 | 46 | 1,315,775 | 50 | 1,405,390 |
| 60 | 7 | 162,434 | 48 | 1,382,945 | 55 | 1,545,379 |
| 61 | 10 | 356,589 | 43 | 1,430,235 | 53 | 1,786,825 |
| 62 | 10 | 304,578 | 44 | 1,293,006 | 54 | 1,597,584 |
| 63 | 6 | 169,922 | 37 | 1,020,162 | 43 | 1,190,084 |
| 64 | 10 | 229,054 | 32 | 884,917 | 42 | 1,113,972 |
| 65 | 6 | 182,202 | 26 | 768,704 | 32 | 950,905 |
| 66 | 5 | 125,455 | 30 | 794,979 | 35 | 920,434 |
| 67 | 9 | 395,760 | 25 | 778,056 | 34 | 1,173,816 |

### 5.11 Disability Annuitants Distributed by Age (continued)

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities |
| 68 |  |  | 13 | $\$$ | 344,811 | 13 |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.
5.12 Guaranteed Minimum Annuity Reserve of Retired Annuitants

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annuities | Number | Annuities | Number |  | uities |
| 62 |  |  | 2 | \$ 161 | 2 | \$ | 161 |
| 63 |  |  | 1 | 1,095 | 1 |  | 1,095 |
| 64 |  |  | 1 | 751 | 1 |  | 751 |
| 65 |  |  | 6 | 3,463 | 6 |  | 3,463 |
| 66 | 1 | \$ 167 | 8 | 2,896 | 9 |  | 3,063 |
| 67 |  |  | 3 | 1,990 | 3 |  | 1,990 |
| 68 | 1 | 247 | 5 | 4,267 | 6 |  | 4,515 |
| 69 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1,462 | 6 |  | 1,468 |
| 70 | 3 | 181 | 8 | 2,370 | 11 |  | 2,551 |
| 71 | 1 | 75 | 11 | 5,472 | 12 |  | 5,547 |
| 72 | 2 | 575 | 10 | 4,499 | 12 |  | 5,074 |
| 73 |  |  | 7 | 7,976 | 7 |  | 7,976 |
| 74 |  |  | 15 | 9,042 | 15 |  | 9,042 |
| 75 | 2 | 1,231 | 25 | 13,112 | 27 |  | 14,342 |
| 76 | 1 | 584 | 30 | 15,519 | 31 |  | 16,103 |
| 77 | 4 | 3,920 | 24 | 13,324 | 28 |  | 17,244 |
| 78 | 1 | 347 | 26 | 13,793 | 27 |  | 14,140 |
| 79 | 1 | 801 | 25 | 11,181 | 26 |  | 11,982 |
| 80 | 3 | 542 | 15 | 11,866 | 18 |  | 12,408 |
| 81 | 1 | 435 | 20 | 14,949 | 21 |  | 15,384 |
| 82 | 5 | 2,811 | 30 | 19,544 | 35 |  | 22,355 |
| 83 | 4 | 925 | 44 | 30,407 | 48 |  | 31,332 |
| 84 | 6 | 5,915 | 41 | 29,567 | 47 |  | 35,482 |
| 85 | 6 | 5,094 | 51 | 30,846 | 57 |  | 35,940 |
| 86 | 10 | 4,616 | 49 | 44,587 | 59 |  | 49,203 |
| 87 | 9 | 7,869 | 51 | 42,165 | 60 |  | 50,034 |
| 88 | 3 | 2,946 | 43 | 35,260 | 46 |  | 38,206 |
| 89 | 3 | 3,282 | 35 | 34,047 | 38 |  | 37,328 |
| 90 | 8 | 6,627 | 61 | 61,062 | 69 |  | 67,689 |
| 91 | 5 | 3,323 | 49 | 52,352 | 54 |  | 55,675 |
| 92 | 5 | 6,324 | 45 | 49,013 | 50 |  | 55,337 |
| 93 | 3 | 4,605 | 46 | 57,786 | 49 |  | 62,391 |
| 94 | 3 | 2,146 | 39 | 37,469 | 42 |  | 39,615 |
| 95 | 3 | 2,625 | 32 | 42,493 | 35 |  | 45,118 |
| 96 | 4 | 6,778 | 20 | 36,635 | 24 |  | 43,413 |
| 97 | 1 | 2,628 | 15 | 15,747 | 16 |  | 18,375 |
| 98 | 1 | 747 | 22 | 25,821 | 23 |  | 26,568 |
| 99 | 1 | 1,546 | 18 | 25,401 | 19 |  | 26,947 |
| 100 |  |  | 14 | 18,368 | 14 |  | 18,368 |

### 5.12 Guaranteed Minimum Annuity Reserve of Retired Annuitants (continued)

| Age | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Annuities |  | Number | Annuities |  | Number | Annuities |  |
| 101 | 2 | \$ | 1,491 | 5 | \$ | 11,513 | 7 | \$ | 13,004 |
| 102 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 9,440 | 6 |  | 9,440 |
| 103 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 6,361 | 2 |  | 6,361 |
| 104 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 3,893 | 2 |  | 3,893 |
| 105 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2,485 | 1 |  | 2,485 |
| 106 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 5,887 | 3 |  | 5,887 |
| Total | 104 | \$ | 81,409 | 971 | \$ | 867,338 | 1,075 | \$ | 948,746 |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

## Section 6 - Basis of the Actuarial Valuation

### 6.1 Summary of Benefit and Contribution Provisions

## MEMBERSHIP

Employers of the System include:
(a) the Illinois public common school districts outside of Chicago,
(b) certain state agencies employing certificated teachers, and
(c) the State Board of Education, Illinois School Board Association, statewide and national teacher organizations, educational cooperatives and the retirement system.

Employees covered under the System include:

- Any educational, administrative, professional or other staff employed in the public common schools outside the City of Chicago in a position requiring certification under the teacher certification law, including substitute teachers, part-time teachers, and hourly paid teachers who are on a flexible work schedule;
- Any position requiring teacher certification in certain state agencies;
- Any regional superintendent of schools, assistant regional superintendent of schools, State Superintendent of Education; any person employed by the State Board of Education as an executive; any executive of the boards engaged in the service of public common school education in school districts covered under this system of which the State Superintendent of Education is an ex-officio member;
- Any employee of a school board association who is certificated under the teacher certification law;
- Any person employed by the retirement system who was an employee of and a member in the system on August 17, 2001 or becomes an employee of the system on or after August 17, 2001;
- Any educational, administrative, professional or other staff employed by and under the supervision and control of a regional superintendent of schools, provided such employment position requires the person to be certificated under the teacher certification law;
- Any educational, administrative, professional or other staff in a certificated position employed by a program serving two or more school districts in accordance with a joint agreement authorized by the School Code or by federal legislation;
- Any officer or employee of a statewide teacher organization or officer of a national teacher organization who is certified under the teacher certification law, provided the member had previously established creditable service under TRS and files an irrevocable election for TRS membership before January 5, 2012, and does not receive credit under any other article of the pension code; and
- Any educational, administrative, professional, or other staff employed in a charter school that is certificated under the teacher certification law.


### 6.1 Summary of Benefit and Contribution Provisions (continued)

Employment on a full-time basis covers only teachers whose normal employment schedule consists of working at least four clock hours daily, five days per week. Employment on a part-time basis covers teachers who are employed less than four clock hours daily or less than five days per week. A substitute teacher is employed on a temporary basis to replace another teacher.

Creditable service rendered as an employee for a regular school year in any district, in accordance with the provisions of the Pension Code, is equal to one year of service, and time less than a legal year is counted as such portion of a year as the number of days taught bears to 170 days. Additionally, members may purchase various types of optional service credit.
"Tier II" means a member, or a benefit provision that applies to a member, who first contributed to TRS on or after January 1, 2011 and has no preexisting creditable service with a reciprocal pension system prior to January 1, 2011. "Tier I" means all other members and applicable benefit provisions.

For determining both member benefits and contribution amounts, salary for Tier II is capped at a limit that is tied to the Consumer Price Index. The initial limit is $\$ 106,800$ as of January 1, 2011. Each subsequent year the limit will increase by an amount equal to the then current limit times the lesser of $3 \%$ or one-half the percentage increase in cpi-u as of the preceding September.
"Final average salary" means for Tier I the average salary for the highest 4 consecutive years within the last 10 years of creditable service as determined under the rules of the Board. For Tier II, the average is for the highest 8 consecutive years within the last 10 years.

## BENEFITS

## Normal Retirement

Eligibility

Amount

For Tier I, age 60 with 10 years of service, or age 62 with 5 years of service. For Tier II, age 67 with 10 years of service.

For a Tier I person who first became a teacher before July 1, 2005, the annual benefit amount is the greatest of (i), (ii) and (iii) below. For a Tier I person who first became a teacher on or after July 1, 2005, the annual benefit amount is the greater of (i) and (ii) below. For Tier II, the annual benefit is the amount under (i) below.
(i) For service earned before July 1, 1998, 1.67\% of final average salary for each of the first 10 years of creditable service, plus $1.90 \%$ of final average salary for each year in excess of 10 but not exceeding 20, plus $2.10 \%$ of final average salary for each year in excess of 20 but not exceeding 30 , and $2.30 \%$ of final average salary for each year in excess of 30 . For all other service, $2.2 \%$ of final average salary.*

* Service earned before July 1, 1998 can be upgraded to $2.2 \%$ through additional member contributions or $1 \%$ of the member's highest salary within the last four years for each year of prior service. Maximum payment is $20 \%$ of salary, but all years are upgraded. The number of years to be upgraded is reduced by one for each three full years worked under the $2.2 \%$ formula. The $2.2 \%$ formula upgrade cost is reduced on a sliding scale for members who have more than 34 years of service credit.
(ii) $1 \frac{1}{2} \%$ of final average salary for each year of creditable service, plus $\$ 7.50$ per year for each of the first 20 years of creditable service.


### 6.1 Summary of Benefit and Contribution Provisions (continued)

(iii) An actuarially equivalent life annuity, resulting from the member's contributions and State-matching contributions (1.4 times member contributions) plus compound interest on both.

Maximum amount under (i) and (ii) above - 75\% of final average salary.

## Early Retirement

Eligibility

Amount

Early Retirement Option

For Tier I, age 55 with 20 years of service. For Tier II, age 62 with 10 years of service.

For Tier I, equal to the amount computed under normal retirement, reduced by $6 \%$ for each year the member is under age 60. There is no reduction for a member who retires prior to age 60 with 35 years of credited service. For Tier II, the reduction is $6 \%$ for each year the member is under age 67 .

A member retiring after June 1, 1980 and within six months of the last day of teaching for which retirement contributions were required, may elect to make a one time employee contribution to avoid the early retirement reduction described in the foregoing paragraph. Such employee and employer contributions will be a multiple of the member's last full time annual salary rate as a teacher, the full time equivalent if less than full time, or the highest year's salary used for determining final average salary.

The member lump sum ERO contribution rate for members is $14.4 \%$, and the employer lump sum ERO contribution rate for such persons is $29.3 \%$. The multiple of salary to be contributed by the member equals the member rate times the lesser of the following two periods: (a) the number of years (including fractional years) that the member is less than age 60; or (b) the number of years (including fractional years) that the member's creditable service is less than 35 years.

The multiple of salary to be contributed by the member's employer equals the employer rate times the number of years (including fractional years) that the member is less than age 60.

A Tier I employee of a state agency retiring on or after January 1, 2001 is entitled to a nondiscounted annuity if his or her attained age at retirement and total creditable service equal at least 85 , provided he or she has (i) earned during the period immediately preceding the last day of service at least one year of contributing creditable service as a state employee and (ii) has earned at least 5 years of contributing creditable service as a state employee.

Single Sum Benefit
Eligibility
Amount

Age 65 with fewer than 5 years of creditable service after July 1, 1947.
Lump sum payment actuarially equivalent to a life annuity consisting of $1.67 \%$ of final average salary for each year of service.

### 6.1 Summary of Benefit and Contribution Provisions (continued)

Temporary Disability Benefit
Eligibility
Amount
sabiity Retirement Annuity
Eligibility
Amount

Occupational Disability
Eligibility
Amount

Deferred Vested Benefits
Eligibility
Amount

Reversionary Retirement Annuity

3 years of credited service.
Equal to $40 \%$ of the member's most recent annual contract salary at time of disablement. The benefit is payable beginning with the 31st day after disablement and ending at the earlier of (1) cessation of disability, (2) when the member requests termination of the benefit, (3) when the period for which payments have been made equals one-fourth the period of creditable service, or (4) the member is gainfully employed or able to be gainfully employed.

Termination of temporary disability benefit, provided member remains disabled.
The larger of (a) $35 \%$ of the member's most recent annual contract salary or (b) the benefit payable as for normal retirement, but reduced by $112 \%$ for each month by which the member is less than age 60, or age 55 if the member has 20 years of service.

Other formulas may be applicable if disability retirement occurred prior to July 1, 1971.

Totally and immediately incapacitated for the performance of duty.
Equal to $60 \%$ of salary, if disability is duty-connected or occupational adjudicated by the Illinois Industrial Commission as compensable under either the Workers' Compensation or Occupational Diseases Act. Any amounts payable under these Acts shall be applied as an offset to any occupational disability benefits payable by the Teachers' Retirement System. In general, benefits are payable throughout the period of disability.

For Tier I, 5 years of service. For Tier II, 10 years of service.
For Tier I, equal to the amount computed under normal retirement deferred to age 62 if member has less than 10 years of service. With 10 or more years of service the annuity is payable at age 60 . For Tier II, equal to the amount computed under normal retirement, payable at age 67 or in a reduced amount as early as age 62. The reduction is $6 \%$ for each year the member is under age 67 .

Any member entitled to a retirement annuity for age may elect to receive a reduced annuity with the remainder determined on an actuarial basis to become, upon the member's death, an annuity for life to any designated person dependent upon the member at the time of the member's retirement, provided such payment shall not be less than $\$ 10$ nor more than the amount of reduced age retirement monthly annuity to which the member is entitled.

### 6.1 Summary of Benefit and Contribution Provisions (continued)

| Refund of Contributions | A member who ceases to be a member for any reason other than death or <br> retirement, shall be entitled to a refund of all retirement contributions and <br> payments made into the fund by him which have not previously been refunded, <br> without interest thereon. |
| :--- | :--- |
| A member who retires on ERO shall not receive a refund of the 0.4\% career ERO <br> contributions; otherwise, the 0.4\% career ERO contributions are refunded, <br> without interest, to the member, or the member's beneficiary or Estate (if <br> applicable) if any of the following occur: (1) the ERO program is discontinued <br> under Section 16-176; or (2) the member either retires without ERO, terminates <br> employment and withdraws the member account balance, or dies before <br> retirement. |  |
| Refunds of the deceased member's accumulated contributions are paid to <br> survivors or to the member's estate. Additional lump sum death benefits are also <br> payable. |  |

Survivor Benefit

Types of Beneficiaries

| Time of Death | Dependents | Non-dependents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| While employed | Lump sum up to last salary or $\$ 1,000$ and a monthly benefit generally not less than $\$ 400^{*}$ or $\$ 600$ with minor children** | Lump sum up to last salary |
| Inactive within 12 months of last day of credit | Lump sum up to last salary or $\$ 1,000$ and a monthly benefit generally not less than $\$ 400^{*}$ or $\$ 600$ with minor children** | Lump sum up to last salary |
| Inactive with 20 or more years of service | Lump sum of $\$ 3,000$ or $1 / 6$ of last salary ${ }^{* * *}$ or $\$ 1,000$ and a monthly benefit generally $1 / 2$ for Tier I and $2 / 3$ for Tier II of member's earned benefit at time of death | Lump sum of $\$ 3,000$ or $1 / 6$ of last salary*** |
| Annuitant | Lump sum of $\$ 3,000$ or $1 / 6$ of last salary ${ }^{* * *}$ or $\$ 1,000$ and a monthly benefit generally $1 / 2$ for Tier I and $2 / 3$ for Tier II of annuitant's earned benefit at time of death | Lump sum of $\$ 3,000$ or $1 / 6$ of last salary ${ }^{* * *}$ |

* Certain circumstances might provide a monthly annuity less than $\$ 400$ per month for an active member.
** TRS will pay 50 percent of the member's earned retirement annuity at death if it is greater than the above amounts.
*** Certain lump sums may be greater if the annuitant or inactive member has been in retirement or out of service for less than five years.


### 6.1 Summary of Benefit and Contribution Provisions (continued)

## Automatic Postretirement Benefit

Cost-of-Living Adjustment
Eligibility Member contributed for at least an equivalent period of one full year of creditable service after July 1, 1969.

Amount For Tier I, initial increase of $1 \frac{1}{2} \%$ of base annuity for periods prior to January 1 , 1972, 2\% for periods from and after January 1, 1972 and prior to January 1, 1978, and $3 \%$ for periods thereafter (such periods to exclude any period of retirement that precedes attainment of age 55). Initial increase payable effective with the later of: January 1 following first anniversary of retirement; or January 1 following attainment of age 61.

Following the initial increase, automatic annual increases payable on each January 1 thereafter. Prior to January 1, 1990, annual increases were determined as a percentage of the original retirement annuity. Effective on and after January 1, 1990, automatic annual increases granted to eligible annuitants equal $3 \%$ of the total annuity being received, including previous increases granted.

For Tier II retirement and deferred vested benefits, the annual increase is equal to the original granted annuity benefit times the lesser of $3 \%$ or one-half the increase in the cpi-u as of the preceding September. The initial increase is effective Jan. 1 after the later of attaining age 67 or the first anniversary of the annuity starting date.

For Tier I and Tier II disability benefits, the initial increase is generally $7 \%$ effective Jan. 1 following the fourth anniversary of the initial payment and $3 \%$ annually thereafter of the then current benefit amount.

For Tier I and Tier II survivor benefits, the initial increase is effective Jan. 1 following the first anniversary of the initial survivor payment, or after the survivor benefit has been granted benefits for survivors of annuitants, and annually thereafter. The Tier I increase is $3 \%$ of the then current benefit. The increase for Tier II is the lesser of $3 \%$ or one-half the percentage increase in cpi-u as of the preceding September of the original benefit amount.

Member Contributions
Beginning July 1, 2005, each member contributes an additional $0.4 \%$ of pay "career ERO contribution," bringing the total contribution to $9.4 \%$. This contribution requirement shall cease if the Early Retirement Option program ends.

Beginning July 1, 1998, contributions for creditable service are made at the rate of $8 \%$ (exclusive of the $1 \%$ Survivor Benefit Contribution) of salary which is comprised of a rate of $71 / 2 \%$ of salary towards the cost of the retirement annuity plus $1 / 2 \%$ of salary toward the cost of the automatic annual increase in retirement annuity.

### 6.1 Summary of Benefit and Contribution Provisions (continued)

New Benefit Increases: The term "new benefit increase" means an increase in the amount of any benefit provided by the statute, or an expansion of the eligibility requirements for any benefit provided by the statute, resulting from an amendment that takes effect on or after June 1, 2005.

Every new benefit increase must have an identified funding source whose adequacy is verified and periodically confirmed by the Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability (CGFA).

Every new benefit increase will automatically expire at the earlier of (i) five years after its effective date ; (ii) at an earlier time specified in the amendment creating the benefit; or (iii) at the end of the fiscal year in which CGFA certifies that the identified funding source is inadequate; except that any new benefit increase will continue to apply to persons who applied for and qualified for the increase while it was in effect, and except that any new benefit increase may be extended or recreated by the General Assembly (subject to the adequacy of the funding source).

Sick Leave Service Accruals: Any unused and uncompensated accumulated sick leave is counted as creditable service provided that each former employer certifies to the System the number of unused and uncompensated accumulated sick leave days upon termination of the member. The service granted is the ratio of the number of unused and uncompensated accumulated sick leave days to 170 days, subject to a maximum of 2 years of service credit. The period of sick leave shall not be considered in determining the effective date of retirement.

### 6.2 Summary of Actuarial Methods

The methods below used for the funding valuation are prescribed by the Illinois Pension Code. They do not necessarily represent the recommendations of the actuary.

VALUATION COST METHOD: The projected unit credit cost method, effective June 30, 1989, applies for funding and GASB 25. The entry age normal cost method applies for GASB 67. Gains and losses are reflected in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (net pension liability for GASB 67).

ASSET VALUATION METHOD: For the funding valuation, beginning with the June 30, 2009 valuation, the method for determining the actuarial value of assets was changed from the market value to a smoothed value. The smoothed value recognizes the actuarial investment gains or losses for each fiscal year in equal amounts over the ensuing five-year period. The same method is used for GASB 25 . For GASB 67, the fair market value is used.

AMORTIZATION OF UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIABILITY: For GASB 25, the unfunded accrued liability is amortized as a level percentage of pay over 30 years based on the salary increase assumption and new entrant profile found elsewhere in the report. The determination of the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) can be found in Schedule II of this report. For funding purposes under the Illinois Pension Code, the unfunded liability is not explicitly amortized. The employer contribution is the amount which, as a level percentage of member payroll, will result in the System being 90\% funded by June 30, 2045.

LIABILITY ADJUSTMENT: The current actuarial valuation was based on the latest membership data available, which was submitted by the System for active, inactive and retired members as of the prior valuation date. In projecting results to account for the one-year difference in the census date and the valuation date, we made use of the valuation assumptions. To the extent that changes have occurred in the census from the date the census information is determined and the valuation date, we will work with TRS staff to determine if additional adjustments need to be made. Otherwise, any change in liability due to changes in census between the collection date of the census information and the valuation date will be captured in the next actuarial valuation.

### 6.3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions adopted by the TRS Board effective June 30, 2012, except as otherwise noted.
INTEREST RATE: $7.50 \%$ per annum, compounded annually, effective with the June 30, 2014 valuation. The interest rate assumption includes the following components: inflation $3.00 \%$, and real rate of return $4.50 \%$.

SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE (OTHER THAN SERVICE RETIREMENT) AND SALARY
INCREASES: Representative values of the assumed rates of separation, annual rates of salary increase, are shown in the following table:

| Age | Annual Rates* of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Termination |  | Death** | Disability*** | Salary Increase | Merit or Seniority Component |
|  | $<5$ years | $>=5$ years |  |  |  |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 12.0 | 8.0 | . 023 | . 029 | 9.90\% | 6.15\% |
| 25 | 7.0 | 6.0 | . 029 | . 029 | 9.00\% | 5.25\% |
| 30 | 8.6 | 3.7 | . 035 | . 026 | 7.20\% | 3.45\% |
| 40 | 11.1 | 1.5 | . 061 | . 051 | 6.00\% | 2.25\% |
| 50 | 12.0 | 1.4 | . 122 | . 094 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 55 | 16.0 | 4.0 | . 183 | . 111 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 60 | 21.0 | 4.0 | . 303 | . 170 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 65 | 21.0 | 4.0 | . 531 | . 510 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 18.0 | 10.0 | . 011 | . 045 | 9.90\% | 6.15\% |
| 25 | 7.8 | 9.0 | . 011 | . 045 | 9.00\% | 5.25\% |
| 30 | 10.6 | 6.0 | . 013 | . 117 | 7.20\% | 3.45\% |
| 40 | 10.0 | 2.2 | . 031 | . 162 | 6.00\% | 2.25\% |
| 50 | 10.0 | 1.4 | . 069 | . 172 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 55 | 15.0 | 3.1 | . 116 | . 197 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 60 | 14.0 | 4.0 | . 219 | . 144 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 65 | 40.0 | 4.0 | . 395 | . 287 | 4.75\% | 1.00\% |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

* Rates of separation are rates per 100 members. For example, $7 \%$ of all 25 year-old actively employed non-vested male members (i.e., 7.0 per 100) are assumed to terminate employment each year for reasons other than death, disability, or service retirement.
** Representative values. Refer to "Mortality Tables" later in the section for a more detailed description.
*** A 2\% load was placed on disability benefits to account for Occupational Disability benefits being greater than standard disability.

The basic salary increase assumption averages $5.50 \%$ per annum plus an additional $0.25 \%$ per annum to cover employment type and status changes, for a composite increase that averages approximately $5.75 \%$ per annum. The $5.75 \%$ salary increase assumption includes the following components:

- Inflation of $3.00 \%$ and real wage growth (productivity) of $0.75 \%$
- Merit or seniority (includes employment type and status changes): ranges from $6.15 \%$ at age 20 to $1.00 \%$ at age 50 and above and averages $2.00 \%$.


### 6.3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (continued)

SERVICE RETIREMENT FROM ACTIVE SERVICE AND UTILIZATION OF ERO FOR MEMBERS HIRED BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2011: The assumed rates of retirement and utilization of ERO are shown in the following tables:

| Annual Service Retirement Rates per 100 Eligible Members |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Service* $^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $5-18$ | $19-30$ | 31 | $32-33$ | $34+$ |
| 54 | - | 6 | 12 | 38 | 40 |
| 55 | - | 10 | 20 | 38 | 40 |
| 56 | - | 7 | 16 | 38 | 32 |
| 57 | - | 7 | 16 | 38 | 32 |
| 58 | - | 7 | 13 | 38 | 32 |
| 59 | - | 25 | 34 | 45 | 31 |
| 60 | 14 | 27 | 45 | 45 | 31 |
| 61 | 14 | 24 | 30 | 45 | 31 |
| 62 | 14 | 26 | 36 | 45 | 31 |
| 63 | 14 | 26 | 36 | 45 | 31 |
| 64 | 20 | 33 | 36 | 45 | 31 |
| 65 | 23 | 33 | 45 | 45 | 31 |
| 66 | 23 | 33 | 45 | 45 | 31 |
| 67 | 23 | 33 | 45 | 45 | 31 |
| 68 | 27 | 33 | 45 | 45 | 31 |
| 69 | 27 | 33 | 45 | 45 | 31 |
| 70 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Utilization of ERO among All Active Service Retirees*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service* $^{* \mid}$ | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 |  |
|  | $68 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $23 \%$ |  |
| 31 | $90 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $27 \%$ |  |
| 32 | $49 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $28 \%$ |  |
| 33 | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |  |

Notes:

* Active member service rounded to nearest year on June 30 prior to retirement
** Age rounded to nearest year on June 30 prior to retirement
${ }^{* * *}$ ERO Utilization Rates are applied only to members who have less than 35 years of total service at the assumed retirement date (including assumed sick leave and optional service purchased at retirement). Based on the sick leave and optional service assumptions, the majority of members with 33 years of service at the beginning of the year of retirement will not be assumed to retire on ERO because they will be assumed to have at least 35 years of service at retirement.

In addition, ERO Utilization Rates are not applied to members whose pension under the ERO program would be less than their money purchase benefit.

### 6.3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (continued)

SERVICE RETIREMENT FROM ACTIVE SERVICE FOR MEMBERS HIRED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2011: The assumed rates of retirement for members hired January 1, 2011 and later are shown in the following tables:

| Annual Service Retirement Rates per 100 Eligible Members |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Service $^{*}$ | 31 | $32-33$ |
| Age** $^{*}$ | $9-18$ | $19-30$ | 31 | $34+$ |  |
| 61 and |  |  |  |  |  |
| younger | - | - | - | - | - |
| 62 | 13 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 25 |
| 63 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| 64 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| 65 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| 66 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| 67 | 20 | 40 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 68 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 69 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 70 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes:

* Active member service rounded to nearest year on June 30 prior to retirement
** Age rounded to nearest year on June 30 prior to retirement

MORTALITY: For annuitants, the RP-2000 White Collar Table projected nine years using scale AA, with a two-year age setback from men and no age setback for women. Rates for women are further adjusted for ages $63-77$ by $65 \%$ and ages $78-87$ by $85 \%$.

For beneficiaries, the RP-2000 blended table, projected nine years using scale AA, with a one-year age setback for both men and women.

For the period after disability retirement, the RP-2000 Disabled Table, projected nine years using scale AA, with a one-year age setback for both men and women.

Future generational rates are projected from 2009 based on scale AA.
MARITAL DATA: It is assumed that $85 \%$ of members are married and that the female spouse is three years younger than the male spouse. (Adopted effective June 30, 1993.)

GROWTH IN ACTIVE MEMBERSHIP: For purposes of the projection required by State funding law, it is assumed that the active membership of the System will remain constant in number, with no change in the size of either the full-time/part-time group or the hourly/substitute group. (Adopted effective June 30, 1994.)

### 6.3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (continued)

SEVERANCE PAY: For members hired before January 1, 2011, the percent of retirees from active service assumed to receive severance payments, and the amount of such severance payments, are assumed to be as follows:

|  | Severance Pay as a <br> Percent of <br> Other Pensionable <br> Earnings in <br> the Last Year of <br> Employment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Retirees <br> Who Receive <br> Severance Pay | $6 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ |  |

OPTIONAL SERVICE PURCHASES: The pension benefit obligation for retirement benefits for active members who have not previously purchased optional service is increased to cover the employer cost of out-of-system service purchased in the last two years prior to retirement. The amount purchased varies by the amount of regular service at retirement. Representative amounts purchased at retirement, and other assumptions used, are as follows:

| Regular Service at Retirement | Maximum Service Purchased |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 years | 0.473 years |
| 20 years | 0.835 years |
| 25 years | 1.360 years |
| 30 years | 1.040 years |
| 34 or more | None |

(a) Actual optional service credit for each current member is provided by TRS; and
(b) No additional service purchases will be assumed for members who currently have optional service credit; and
(c) Members will not purchase service if it does not improve their pension benefit; and
(d) When optional service is purchased within the last two years prior to retirement, $25 \%$ of the cost is covered by member payments and the remaining cost is the responsibility of the employer.

The PBO covered by future member payments is not included in the liability on the valuation date, but is brought into projected liabilities as those payments are brought into the assets.

### 6.3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (continued)

UNUSED AND UNCOMPENSATED SICK LEAVE SERVICE AT RETIREMENT: Such credit varies by the amount of regular service at retirement. Representative assumed amounts of unused and uncompensated sick leave service are as follows:

| Regular Service at Retirement | Sick Leave Service Credit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 years | 1.035 years |
| 25 years | 1.847 years |
| 30 years | 1.454 years |
| 34 years | 1.000 years |
| 35 or more | None |

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES: The administrative staff of the System estimates the expected administrative expenses for the fiscal year following the valuation. Total payroll for the same year is projected based on valuation assumptions and the expected administrative expenses are then expressed as a percent of total payroll. Administrative expenses in future years are then assumed to remain constant as a percent of total payroll. The rate changes annually. This year's rate can be found on exhibit 1.1. (Adopted effective June 30, 1994.)
2.2 UPGRADE ASSUMPTION: For those active members who have already made a payment to upgrade past service prior to June 30, 1998, their benefits are based on their upgrading at the valuation date. For all other active members, they are assumed to upgrade at retirement. (Adopted effective June 30, 1999.)

TIER II PAY CAP INCREASE: 1.50\% per annum.
TIER II COLA INCREASE: 1.40\% per annum.
FUTURE PAYROLL ASSUMPTION: Future payroll is projected using the assumed decrements for the members in the system and the new entrant profile as described below.

415 AND 401(a)(17) LIMITS: Benefits are limited by these Internal Revenue Code limits and are assumed to increase 3.00\% annually.

### 6.3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (continued)

## NEW MEMBER PROFILE

Distribution of New Entrants is as follows (based on 6/30/2009-6/30/2011 new hire counts):

| Age <br> Group | Full Time/Part Time |  |  | Hourly/Substitute |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| 20-24 | 5.4\% | 26.2\% | 31.6\% | 6.9\% | 18.1\% | 25.0\% |
| 25-29 | 7.7\% | 24.6\% | 32.3\% | 8.2\% | 15.1\% | 23.3\% |
| 30-34 | 3.6\% | 10.3\% | 13.9\% | 2.7\% | 6.0\% | 8.7\% |
| 35-39 | 1.8\% | 5.6\% | 7.4\% | 2.0\% | 6.6\% | 8.6\% |
| 40-44 | 1.4\% | 3.9\% | 5.3\% | 2.7\% | 9.4\% | 12.1\% |
| 45-49 | 0.8\% | 3.3\% | 4.1\% | 1.8\% | 6.2\% | 8.0\% |
| 50-54 | 0.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.6\% | 3.9\% | 5.5\% |
| 55-59 | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.7\% | 4.4\% |
| 60-64 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 3.0\% |
| 65-69 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% |
| 70 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Total | 22.3\% | 77.7\% | 100.0\% | 29.8\% | 70.2\% | 100.0\% |

Service Credit Earned in Each Future Year (Full Time/Part Time based on 6/30/2009-6/30/2011 new hire service credits and Hourly/Substitutes based on 6/30/2011 new hire service credits):

| Age <br> Group | Full Time/Part Time |  |  | Hourly/Substitute |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| 20-24 | 0.917 | 0.923 | 0.922 | 0.311 | 0.336 | 0.335 |
| 25-29 | 0.947 | 0.934 | 0.937 | 0.309 | 0.298 | 0.298 |
| 30-34 | 0.909 | 0.915 | 0.913 | 0.340 | 0.276 | 0.297 |
| 35-39 | 0.930 | 0.916 | 0.920 | 0.288 | 0.301 | 0.300 |
| 40-44 | 0.931 | 0.901 | 0.908 | 0.252 | 0.308 | 0.298 |
| 45-49 | 0.900 | 0.905 | 0.904 | 0.304 | 0.317 | 0.312 |
| 50-54 | 0.888 | 0.928 | 0.919 | 0.321 | 0.338 | 0.334 |
| 55-59 | 0.972 | 0.903 | 0.926 | 0.353 | 0.345 | 0.346 |
| 60-64 | 0.893 | 1.113 | 1.010 | 0.328 | 0.330 | 0.327 |
| 65-69 | - | - | - | 0.315 | 0.304 | 0.308 |
| 70 | - | - | - | 0.285 | 0.255 | 0.268 |
| Average | 0.928 | 0.924 | 0.924 | 0.313 | 0.315 | 0.314 |

### 6.3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (continued)

NEW MEMBER PROFILE (continued)
Projected Annual Rate of Pay at $6 / 30 / 2012^{*}$
(for one year of service credit - Full Time/Part Time based on 6/30/2009-6/30/2011 new hire pay normalized to 6/30/2012 and Hourly/Substitutes based on 6/30/2011 new hire pay)

| Age Group | Full Time/Part Time |  |  |  |  | Hourly/Substitute |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |
| 20-24 | \$ 46,349 | \$ | 45,029 | \$ | 45,254 | \$ | 17,475 | \$ | 18,053 | \$ | 17,893 |
| 25-29 | \$ 47,771 | \$ | 48,290 | \$ | 48,167 | \$ | 17,467 | \$ | 17,374 | \$ | 17,407 |
| 30-34 | \$ 55,110 | \$ | 52,482 | \$ | 53,163 | \$ | 17,704 | \$ | 16,908 | \$ | 17,155 |
| 35-39 | \$ 57,001 | \$ | 54,980 | \$ | 55,472 | \$ | 16,839 | \$ | 16,595 | \$ | 16,652 |
| 40-44 | \$ 64,467 | \$ | 55,424 | \$ | 57,812 | \$ | 16,616 | \$ | 15,919 | \$ | 16,075 |
| 45-49 | \$ 68,190 | \$ | 55,885 | \$ | 58,286 | \$ | 16,348 | \$ | 16,192 | \$ | 16,227 |
| 50-54 | \$ 74,055 | \$ | 56,203 | \$ | 60,323 | \$ | 16,806 | \$ | 16,281 | \$ | 16,434 |
| 55-59 | \$ 68,428 | \$ | 68,443 | \$ | 68,438 | \$ | 16,095 | \$ | 16,233 | \$ | 16,180 |
| 60-64 | \$ 77,237 | \$ | 58,749 | \$ | 66,672 | \$ | 15,935 | \$ | 16,183 | \$ | 16,067 |
| 65-69 | \$ | \$ | 52,933 | \$ | 26,466 | \$ | 15,841 | \$ | 15,565 | \$ | 15,716 |
| 70 | - |  | 47,386 |  | - |  | 15,783 |  | 14,993 | \$ | 15,520 |
| Total | \$ 52,582 | \$ | 49,509 | \$ | 50,195 | \$ | 17,074 | \$ | 16,989 | \$ | 17,014 |

[^2]
### 6.4 History of Legislative Changes

The actuarial cost method utilized is the projected unit credit cost method, which became effective with the June 30, 1989 valuation. Administrative expenses have been a component of the normal cost rate since the June 30, 1994 valuation. The financing objective under Article 16 of the Illinois Pension Code is to meet the cost of maintaining and administering the system on a $90 \%$ funded basis by June 30, 2045. Following is a brief summary of the changes in funding requirements.

- Public Act 88-0593, enacted in 1994, established a fifty-year funding plan for fiscal years 1996 through 2045. It required a fifteen-year ramp period of gradually increasing State contributions followed by a 35year period of State contributions at a level percent of pay.
- Public Act 90-0448, enacted in 1997, required the System's assets to be valued at fair market value instead of book value.
- Public Act 90-0582, enacted in 1998, changed the defined benefit formula and added minimum state contribution rates in fiscal year 1999 that remained in effect through fiscal year 2004.
- Public Act 93-0002, enacted in 2003, provided pension obligation bond proceeds and placed upper limits on State contributions beginning with the State contribution due for fiscal year 2005.
- Public Act 94-0004, enacted in 2005, removed the money purchase formula for new hires, added new employer contributions for excess salary increases and sick leave, specified the level of state contributions for fiscal years 2006 and 2007, and required a return to the statutory funding plan in fiscal year 2008.
- Public Act 94-1057, enacted in 2006, contained exemptions from some of the new employer contribution requirements enacted in 2005.
- Public Act 96-0043, enacted in 2009, required the use of a smoothed actuarial value of assets beginning with the June 30, 2009 valuation.
- Public Act 96-0889, enacted in 2009, established Tier II provisions.
- Public Act 96-1511, enacted in 2011, required the state retirement systems to recertify their fiscal year 2011 state funding requirements and assume the Tier II benefits of Public Act 96-0889 were in effect on June 30, 2009.
- Public Act 97-0694, enacted in 2012, required the auditor general to hire an actuary to serve as the State Actuary.

A more complete history of legislative changes can be found at the following link:
http://trs.illinois.gov/pubs/history.pdf

### 6.5 Glossary of Actuarial Terms

Note that the first definitions given are the "official" definitions of the term. For some terms there is a second definition, in italics.

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL). The portion of the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) allocated to past service. Also difference between (i) the actuarial present value of future benefits, and (ii) the present value of future normal cost. Sometimes referred to as "accrued liability" or "past service liability." The amount of money that should be in the Fund. The funding target.

Actuarial Assumptions. Estimates of future plan experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, retirement, investment income and salary increases. Demographic ("people") assumptions (rates of mortality, separation, and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic ("money") assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate appropriate in an inflation-free environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation. Estimates of future events used to project what we know now- current member data, assets, and benefit provisions - into an estimate of future benefits.

Actuarial Cost Method. A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the Present Value of Projected Benefits (PVFB) between the normal costs to be paid in the future and the actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the "actuarial funding method."

Actuarial Math. The term given to a funding policy which is designed to systematically fully fund a public employee retirement system over a reasonable amount of time. Refer to the executive summary for more details.

Actuarial Methods. The collective term for the Actuarial Cost Method, the Amortization Payment for UAAL Method, and the Asset Valuation Method used to develop the contribution requirements for the Retirement System. The Funding Policy.

Actuarial Equivalent. Benefits whose actuarial present values are equal.
Actuarial Present Value. The amount of funds presently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting the future payments at a predetermined rate of interest, taking into account the probability of payment.

Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA). The value of assets used to determine the contribution requirement and funded ratio. The AVA can be thought of as the average value of assets over a period of years. This smoothed value of assets is used to limit contribution volatility. Also known as the funding value of assets. Smoothed value of assets.

### 6.5 Glossary of Actuarial Terms (continued)

Amortization Payment for UAAL. Payment of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by means of periodic contributions of interest and principal, as opposed to a lump sum payment. The components of the amortization payment for UAAL includes:

- Amortization Period Length - Generally amortization periods up to 30 years are allowed, although more recent white papers regarding public sector actuarial practice favor reducing the period to 15 to 20 years. Similar to a mortgage, the shorter the amortization period, the higher the payment and the faster the UAAL is paid off.
- Amortization payment increases - Future payments can be level dollar, like a mortgage, or as a level percent of pay. Most PERS amortize UAAL as a level percent of pay which when combined with the employer normal cost that is developed as a level percent of pay can result in contributions that are easier to budget.
- Amortization schedule can be closed or open. A closed amortization schedule is similar to a mortgage - at the end of the amortization period the UAAL is designed to be paid off. An open amortization period is similar to refinancing the UAAL year after year.
- Amortization schedule UAAL can be amortized over a single amortization period, or it can be amortized over a schedule, also known as layered amortization.

The amortization payment for UAAL can be thought of as the UAAL mortgage payment.
Asset Valuation Method. The components of how the actuarial value of assets is to be developed. Typical components are the averaging period and the corridor. The averaging period tends to be 3 to 5 years but can be longer. The corridor limits the actuarial value of assets to within a percent, say $20 \%$, of the actual market value. Corridors are more common with longer averaging periods; white papers on the subject suggest that no corridor is needed for averaging periods of five years or less because the period is short enough to recognize asset gains and losses over a sufficiently small period and because corridors provide for excessive contribution volatility.

Experience Gain Loss. A measure of the difference between actual experience and experience anticipated by a set of actuarial assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, in accordance with the actuarial cost method being used. The experience Gain (Loss) represents how much the actuary missed the mark in a given year.

Fiduciary Net Position (FNP). Used for GASB 67, it represents the assets, measured at fair value, held in trust to provide benefits.

Funded Ratio. The percent of the actuarial accrued liabilities covered by the actuarial value of assets. Also known as the funded status. The ratio of how much money you actually have in the fund to the amount you should have in the fund.

Illinois Math. The term given to the various schemes in the Illinois Pension Code designed to systematically underfund public employee retirement systems in the state of Illinois. Refer to the executive summary for more details.

Net Pension Liability (NPL). Used for GASB 67, it is the difference between the total pension liability (TPL) and fiduciary net position (FNP). It is similar to the UAAL. It represents the liability of employers and nonemployer contributing entities to plan members for benefits provided through a defined benefit pension plan.

### 6.5 Glossary of Actuarial Terms (continued)

Normal Cost. The annual cost assigned, under the actuarial funding method, to current and subsequent plan years. Sometimes referred to as "current service cost." An amortization payment toward the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is paid in addition to the normal cost to arrive at the total contribution in a given year. The cost of benefits accruing during the year.

PERS. A generic term given to a Public Employees Retirement System
Present Value of Future Normal Cost (PVFNC). The portion of the Present Value of Projected Benefits (PVFB) allocated to future service. The value in today's dollars of the amount of contribution to be made in the future for benefits accruing for members in the Retirement System as of the valuation date. Note that in practice, this number is rarely discussed.

Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB). The projected future benefit payments of the plan are discounted into today's dollars using an assumed rate of investment return assumption to determine the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) of the Retirement System. The PVFB is the discounted value of the projected benefits promised to all members as of a valuation date, including future pay and service for members which has not yet been earned. If the Retirement System held assets equal to the PVFB and all the assumptions were realized, there would be sufficient funds to pay off all the benefits to be paid in the future for members in the Retirement System as of the valuation date.

Reserve Account. An account used to indicate that funds have been set aside for a specific purpose and are not generally available for other uses.

Service Cost. Used for GASB 67, it is the annual cost assigned, using the cost method (entry age normal) and assumptions under GASB 67, to current and subsequent plan years. It is similar to normal cost.

Total Pension Liability (TPL). Used for GASB 67, it is the portion of the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) allocated to past service using the cost method (entry age normal) and assumptions under GASB 67. It is similar to the actuarial accrued liability.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). The difference between the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) and actuarial value of assets (AVA). The UAAL is sometimes referred to as "unfunded accrued liability." Funding shortfall, pension debt or prefunded amount if negative.

Valuation Date. The date that the actuarial valuation calculations are performed as of. Also known as the "snapshot date".

### 6.6 The Actuarial Valuation Process

## Purpose of an Actuarial Valuation

The Teachers' Retirement System of the State of Illinois is a defined benefit (DB) retirement systems. Under a DB Retirement System, the amount of benefits payable to a member upon retirement, termination, death or disability is defined in various contracts and legal instruments and is based, in part, on the member's years of credited service and final compensation. The amount of contribution needed to fund these benefits cannot be known with certainty. A primary responsibility of the Board of Trustees of a Retirement System is to establish and monitor a funding policy for the contributions made to the Retirement System.

While somewhat uncommon, in some jurisdictions, contributions are made by the plan sponsor as benefits come due. This is known as pay-as-you-go financing. More commonly, contributions for benefits are made in advance during the course of active employment of the members. This is known as actuarial pre-funding. The lllinois Pension Code (40 ILCS 5/16), for example, provides for State contributions designed to fund for $90 \%$ of a teacher's pension based on the results of the annual actuarial valuation. The more common (and recommended) practice is to fund for $100 \%$ of a members pension.

## The Actuarial Valuation Process

The following diagram summarizes the inputs and results of the actuarial valuation process. A narrative of the process follows the diagram. The reader may find it worthwhile to refer to the diagram from time to time.


Under the actuarial valuation process, current information about Retirement System members is collected annually by staff at the direction of the actuary, namely member data, asset data and information on benefit provisions. Member data is collected for each member of the Retirement System. The member data will assist the actuary in estimating benefits that could be paid in the future. The member information the actuary collects to estimate the amount of benefit includes elements such as current service, salary and benefit group identifier for members that have not separated service; for those that have, the actual benefit amounts are collected. The actuary collects information such as gender and date of birth to determine when a benefit might be paid and for how long. The actuary collects summary information about assets as of the valuation date and information on cash flows for the year ending on the valuation date. Information about benefit provisions as of the valuation date is also collected. To bridge the gap between the information collected and potential benefits to be paid in the future, the actuary must make assumptions about future activities. These assumptions are recommended by the actuary to the Boards based on the results of an experience review. An experience review is a review of the Retirement System over a period of time, typically five years, where the actuary analyzes the demographic and economic assumptions of the Retirement System. Based on this review, the actuary will make recommendations on the demographic assumptions, such as when members will be projected to retire, terminate, become disabled and/or die in the future, as well as the economic assumptions, such as what rate of return is projected to be earned by the fund based on the Retirement System investment policy and what level of future salary increases is

### 6.6 The Actuarial Valuation Process (continued)

expected for members. To maintain the assumptions, the Board should adopt a prudent policy of having an experience review being performed at least every five years. (The next experience review for TRS will be based on the three-year period ending on June 30, 2015 and will be presented during 2015.) Using these assumptions, the actuary is able to use the member data, asset data and benefit provision information collected to project the benefits that will be paid from the Retirement System to current members. These projected future benefit payments are based not only on service and pay through the valuation date but includes future pay and service, which has not yet been earned by the members but is expected to be earned.

These projected future benefit payments are discounted into today's dollars using the assumed rate of investment return assumption to determine the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) of the Retirement System. The PVFB is an estimate of the value of the benefits promised to all members as of a valuation date. If the Retirement System held assets equal to the PVFB and all the assumptions were realized, there would be sufficient funds to pay off all the benefits to be paid in the future for members in the Retirement System as of the valuation date.

The PVFB is a large sum of money, typically much larger than the amount of Retirement System assets held in the trust. The next step is for the actuary to apply the Funding Policy as adopted by the Board to determine the employer contributions to be made to the Retirement System so that the gap between the PVFB and assets is systematically paid off over time. The Funding Policy is adopted by the Board based on discussions with the actuary. When the Board develops a funding policy, a balance between contributions which are responsive to the needs of the Retirement System yet stable should be struck. There are many different funding policies for the Board to consider, and the actuary is responsible for discussing the various features of the funding policies under consideration. Funding Policies are generally reviewed during an experience review, but it is not uncommon to review a funding policy in between, particularly during period where large increases or decreases in contributions are expected. The Funding Policy is composed of three components: the actuarial cost method, the asset valuation method, and the amortization method.

Once the PVFB is developed, an actuarial cost method is used to allocate the PVFB. Under the actuarial cost method, the PVFB is allocated to past, current and future service, respectively known as the actuarial accrued liability (AAL), normal cost (NC) and present value of future normal costs (PVFNC). The actuary computes the liability components (PVFB, NC, AAL, and PVFNC) for each member in the Retirement System at the valuation date. These liability components are then totaled for the Retirement System. There are many actuarial cost methods. Different actuarial methods will produce different contribution patterns, but do not change the ultimate cost of the benefits. The entry age normal cost method is the most prevalent method used for public sector plans in the United States, because the expected normal cost is calculated in such a way that it will tend to stay level as a percent of pay over a member's career. Most public employee retirement systems use the entry age normal cost method.

The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) is also referred to as the amount of money the Retirement System should ideally have in the trust. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the portion of actuarial accrued liability that is not covered by the assets of the Retirement System. The UAAL can be a negative number, which means that the Retirement System has more assets than actuarial accrued liability. We refer to this condition as overfunded liability in this summary. Having UAAL does not indicate that the Retirement System is in failing actuarial health. UAAL is a common occurrence. Currently, many Retirement Systems in the United States have UAAL as a result of the Great Recession of 2008. Another related statistic of the Retirement System is the funded ratio. The funded ratio is the percent of the actuarial accrued liabilities covered by the actuarial value of assets. The assets used for these purposes are an actuarial value of assets (AVA), not market. The actuarial value of assets is based on the asset valuation method as recommended by the actuary and adopted by the Board. An actuarial value of assets is a smoothed, or averaged, value of assets, which is used to limit employer contribution volatility. Typically, assets are smoothed, or averaged, over a period of 3 to 5 years, although longer periods are becoming more common. By averaging returns, the UAAL is not as volatile, which we will see later results in contributions that are not as volatile as well.

### 6.6 The Actuarial Valuation Process (continued)

While having UAAL is common, it is acceptable only if it is systematically being paid off. The method by which the UAAL is paid off is known as the amortization method. The concept is similar to that of a mortgage payment. The Board adopts the amortization method used to pay off the UAAL over a period of time. The amortization method is composed of the amortization period, the amount of payment increase, whether the period is open or closed and by the amount of amortization schedules. The amortization period is the amount of time over which the UAAL will be paid off. This is generally a period of thirty years or less, but actuaries are beginning to recommend shorter periods of around 15 to 20 years. The payments can be developed to stay constant from year to year like a mortgage, but often they are developed to increase each year at the same level payroll increases. Amortization type can be closed or open. Under a closed period, the UAAL is expected to be paid off over the amortization period. This is similar to a typical mortgage. Under an open period, the amortization period remains unchanged year after year. The concept is similar to re-mortgaging annually. In many instances, an amortization schedule is developed, whereby the UAAL is amortized over a closed period from the point the UAAL is incurred. Finally, some amortization methods are defined by a schedule of payments, where a new schedule of payments is added with each valuation. This is referred to as amortization layers. Regardless of the amortization type or period, the funding policy should generate a contribution that pays off the UAAL, which results in the funded ratio trending to $100 \%$ over time. Caution should be used when an open method is used, because typically an open amortization policy does not result in the UAAL being paid off.

To satisfy the requirements of the Illinois Pension Code, the actuary calculates a projection of actuarial accrued liabilities and benefit payments through the year 2045. The contribution is developed as a level percent of pay to fund $90 \%$ of the 2045 actuarial accrued liability. The contribution is typically further reduced to coordinate with the pension obligation bonds debt service through the year 2033. The contribution requirements under the Illinois Pension Code are inadequate and have resulted in TRS being among the worst funded public employee retirement systems. The recommended practice is to develop the total annual contribution to the Retirement System as the normal cost plus a contribution towards UAAL. Said another way, this contribution is sufficient to pay for the cost of benefits accruing during the year (normal cost) plus the mortgage payment (UAAL payment). The total contribution is reduced by the amount of member contributions, if any, to arrive at the employer contribution.

An actuarial valuation report is produced annually, which contains the contribution for the fiscal year as well as the funded ratio of the Retirement System. The primary purpose of performing an actuarial valuation annually is to replace the estimated activities from the previous valuation, which were based on assumptions, with the actual experience of the Retirement System for the prior year. The experience gain (loss) is the difference between the expected and the actual UAAL of the Retirement System. An experience loss can be thought of as the amount of additional UAAL over and above the amount that was expected from the prior year due to deviation of actual experience from the assumption. Similarly, an experience gain can be thought of as having less UAAL than that which was expected from the prior year assumptions. As an example, if the Retirement System achieves an asset return of $15 \%$ when the assumption was a $7.50 \%$ return, an actuarial gain is said to have happened, which typically results in lower contributions and higher funded ratio, all else being equal. Alternatively, a return of $2 \%$ under the same circumstances would result in an actuarial loss, requiring an increase in contributions and a funded ratio that is lower than anticipated. Experience gains and losses are common within the valuation process. Typically gains and losses offset each other over time. To the extent that does not occur, the reasons for the gains and losses should be understood, and appropriate recommendations should be made by the actuary after an experience review to adjust the assumptions.

The actuarial valuation report will contain histories of key statistics from prior actuarial valuation reports. In particular, a history of the funded ratio of the Retirement System is an important exhibit. Trustees should understand the reason for the trend of the funded ratio of the Retirement System over time. The actuary will discuss the reasons for changes in the funded ratio of the Retirement System with each valuation report. To the extent that there are unexplained changes in funded ratio corrective action should be explored and the actuary

### 6.6 The Actuarial Valuation Process (continued)

will make recommendations as to whether there should be changes in the assumptions, funding policy, or some other portion of the actuarial valuation process.

In addition to historical information, projections of contributions and funded ratio based on current assumptions can sometimes be found in an actuarial valuation report. Projections of contributions can allow the employer to plan their budget accordingly. Surprises in Retirement System contributions to be paid by the employer serve no one. A one-year projection based on "bad" asset returns can provide ample time for the employer to plan, or allow for a discussion of changing the funding policy to occur. Contribution surprises are a primary contributor to employers considering pension reform. It is important to keep the employer apprised of future contribution requirements. A projection of funded ratio can serve the Trustees by illustrating the trend of the funded ratio over time. The funded ratio, under a prudent funding policy, should trend to $100 \%$ over a period of less than 30 years. It is worthwhile to note that while 30 years has served as an industry standard for the longest period over which $100 \%$ funding should be achieved, that period is coming under scrutiny by the actuarial community and has been shortened to 15 to 20 years. If a projection of funded ratio does not trend to $100 \%$ over time, consideration should be given to fixing the funding policy to achieve this goal. For TRS, projections are performed to determine the contribution requirements under the Illinois Pension Code. The projection shows the funded ratio trend to $90 \%$ over a period longer than old industry standard of 30 years, and certainly much longer than the new standard of 15 to 20 years.

The actuarial report will contain schedules of information about the census, plan and asset information submitted by Retirement System staff upon which the actuarial valuation is based. It is important that the Board of Trustees review that information and determine if the information is consistent with their understanding of the Retirement System. If after questioning staff, the Board of Trustees is not comfortable that the information provided is correct, the actuary should be notified to determine if the actuarial valuation report should be corrected.

Finally, the valuation report and/or presentation should contain sufficient information in an understandable fashion to allow the Board to take action and adopt the contribution rate for the upcoming year. It should also allow stakeholders to understand key observations over the past year that resulted in contributions increasing (or decreasing) and where contributions are headed. The actuary is always open to making the results understandable. Buck works with the TRS staff to make your reports and presentations understandable and actionable. If something doesn't make sense - speak up!!


[^0]:    A quote from the 1954 valuation report:
    "Although State contributions to the fund were increased substantially over the previous year, the rate of State contributions continues to be inadequate. A general revision of the contribution policy of the State is very desirable."

[^1]:    (1) The administrative staff of the System estimated the Federal Funds contribution for fiscal years prior to 2006. Commencing with the contribution for fiscal 2006, total payroll for the valuation is split into State and Federal Funds payrolls. Federal Funds payrolls for 2006-2009 were estimated to be $4.33 \%, 5.32 \%, 4.40 \%$, and $3.70 \%$, respectively, of total payrolls for those years. For 2015, 2016 and 2017 the estimates are $3.00 \%, 3.50 \%$ and $4.00 \%$ of payroll. All payrolls are assumed to increase at the same rate for years subsequent to 2017.

[^2]:    * The rate of pay profile will increase by the inflation and real wage growth assumptions.

