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Telephone: (202) 343-3200

March 31, 2011


Facsimile: (202) 566-5000
E-mail: dcrb@dc.gov

Board of Trustees
District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street NW, 2nd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Board Members:

I am pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ("CAFR") of the District of Columbia Retirement Board ("DCRB") for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010.

## CAFR Transmittal

This annual report is issued in accordance with the Federal "National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997" and the District "Police Officers, Firefighters and Teachers Retirement Benefit Replacement Plan Act of 1998."

This report includes the audit report issued by the independent public accounting firm of Clifton Gunderson LLP, the selection of which was approved by the DCRB Board of Trustees ("Trustees"), for the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund and the District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund (collectively referred to as the "Fund"). This annual report also includes other information concerning the Fund, the Board, the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan and the District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Plan (collectively referred to as the "Plans"), Plan membership, investments, and Board operations. Additional disclosures that are specifically required by statute are also included in the report.

The responsibility for both the accuracy of the data and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures, rests with DCRB management. We believe the data, as presented, is accurate in all material respects; that it is presented in a manner designed to fairly set forth the Plan assets and the changes in Plan assets and financial position of the Fund; and that all disclosures necessary to enable the reader to gain the maximum understanding of the financial activities of the Fund have been included.

Structure of the District of Columbia Retirement Board and the Board of Trustees
DCRB's mission is to prudently invest the pension assets of the police officers, firefighters, and teachers of the District of Columbia, while providing those employees with total retirement services.

DCRB was created by the U.S. Congress in 1979 under the Retirement Reform Act ("Reform Act"). The Reform Act established DCRB's structure, legal responsibilities and composition. The 12 member Board of Trustees consists of 6 individuals elected by their participant groups (2 each by active and retired police officers, firefighters
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Letter of Transmittal
and teachers), 3 appointed by the Mayor, and 3 appointed by the City Council. The D.C. Chief Financial Officer, or his or her designee, sits on the Board of Trustees as an ex-officio, non-voting Trustee. The Fund is managed and controlled by DCRB, and is held in trust by DCRB for the exclusive benefit of members, retirees, survivors and beneficiaries of the Plans.

## History and Legislative Background

Prior to the Reform Act, eligibility and benefit rules and financing arrangements for the Plans were authorized by acts of Congress and administered by the Federal Government. Benefits were paid monthly from the general revenues of the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury"), on a "pay-as-you-go" basis when workers retired, not on a prefunded basis using actuarial assumptions and methods. Under the "National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997" (the "Revitalization Act"), the Federal Government assumed responsibility for the unfunded pension liabilities for retirement benefits earned as of June 30, 1997.

The following year, the District of Columbia ("District") passed the "Police Officers, Firefighters and Teachers Retirement Benefit Replacement Plan Act of 1998" (the "Replacement Plan Act") which established retirement plans for pension benefits accrued after June 30, 1997. To facilitate the effective monitoring of the retirement system, the Reform Act and the Replacement Plan Act require that DCRB publish an annual report for each fiscal year. The DCRB Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) fulfills that requirement.

The District's "Office of Financial Operations and Systems Reorganization Act of 2004", transferred the responsibility for administering the retirement programs for the District's police officers, firefighters and teachers to DCRB. At that time, cooperation with the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of D.C. Pensions ("ODCP") and the District, ensured the transition of Benefits Administration from the District's Office of Pay and Retirement Services ("OPRS") to DCRB.

## Profile of the Plans

The District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan provides retirement, service related disability, non-service-related disability, and death benefits. All police officers and firefighters of the District of Columbia automatically become members on their date of employment. Police cadets are not eligible.

The District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Plan provides retirement, disability retirement, and death benefits. Permanent, temporary, and probationary teachers for the District of Columbia public day schools become members automatically on their date of employment. Other employees covered include librarians, principals, and counselors. Former District of Columbia teachers working at charter schools may be eligible to remain in the Plan.

Upon assuming responsibility for administering the Plans in October 2005, DCRB established a Benefits Administration department that is available to answer questions of active Plan members and retirees, calculates benefit payments, and works closely with ODCP to implement system changes resulting from software upgrades or legislation affecting Plan provisions. DCRB produces Plan communications that include a periodic newsletter, a SummaryAnnual Report reflecting fund investment results, and Summary Plan Descriptions as prescribed by statute. ODCP maintains the retirement information system that calculates benefits, issues monthly benefit payments to retirees and survivors, and handles all payment-related activities, including tax withholdings and premiums for health and life insurance coverages.

## Plan Management, Performance and Investments

In order to minimize administrative expenses while providing a broad range of investment options that are economically feasible, the assets of the Plans are comingled for investment. The investment returns of the Fund are calculated based on the fair value of the assets. DCRB seeks long-term investment returns in excess of the actuarial investment rate of return assumption at a level of risk commensurate with the expected levels of returns and consistent with sound and responsible investment practices set by the Trustees. DCRB, working closely with investment consultants and with input from its actuary, selects the optimal asset allocation policy which best reflects the risk tolerance and investment goals for the Fund.

The asset allocation policy is implemented through the careful screening and selection of investment managers that have an audited favorable long-term track record, a disciplined investment process, and reasonable investment management fees.

The Fund also seeks to outperform the return of the Total Fund Benchmark, computed as the weighted average index return of the following strategic asset allocation:

| Asset Class | Performance Benchmark | Weight |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| U.S. Equities | Russell 3000 Index | $40 \%$ |
| Non-U.S. Equities | MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI) ex-U.S. Index | $20 \%$ |
| Fixed Income | Barclays Capital U.S. Universal Bond Index | $25 \%$ |
| Alternative Investments | $60 \%$ Cambridge Associates U.S. Private Equity Index / 40\% 1-Month LIBOR | $10 \%$ |
| Real Estate | $80 \%$ NCREIF Property Index / 20\% Wilshire Real Estate Securities Index | $5 \%$ |

As of September 30, 2010, the Fund's total assets were $\$ 4.24$ billion after the payment of all benefits and all other administrative expenses, an increase of approximately $\$ 500$ million compared to the end of FY 2009. Over the fiscal year, the Fund generated a gross return of $10.3 \%$, performing in line with The Total Fund Benchmark. The relative outperformance of the Non-U.S. Equities, Fixed Income, and Alternative Investments segments was entirely offset by sub-par returns in the Real Estate program and an overweight to Coash and Cash Equivalents, which caused a drage on total fund performance in the rising market environment.

Over the longer term, the Fund has underperformed the Total Fund Benchmark, with the Fund returning an annualized $3.4 \%$ for the 10 -year period ended September 30, 2010, versus $4.0 \%$ for the Total Fund Benchmark. However, the Fund has outperformed its actuarial return target for the 28-year period since its inception in October 1982. Over this period, the Fund generated an annualized return of $9.1 \%$.

During FY 2010, $\$ 71.0$ million in pension benefits were paid out of the Fund and approximately $\$ 132.0$ million in employer contributions and $\$ 62.0$ million in employee contributions were deposited into the Fund.

As of September 30, 2010, the Plans had 14,950 members, of whom 4,493 were retirees and survivors who receive monthly pension payments, and 10,457 of whom were active members.
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DCRB management is responsible for maintaining internal accounting controls to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized and recorded on an accrual basis in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Pricnicples ("GAAP"), and that financial statements conform to the Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") and The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") reporting standards and Government Finance Officers' Association ("GFOA") guidelines.

Consideration is given to the adequacy of internal accounting controls for systems under the control of DCRB, and systems that are shared with other governmental offices or service providers. DCRB requires that its service providers undergo an annual SAS 70 review by independent public accountants, and that government offices whose systems are used by DCRB are subjected to an annual audit. These controls are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the safekeeping of assets and the reliability of financial records.

We believe the internal controls in effect during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 adequately safeguarded the Fund's assets and provided reasonable assurance regarding the proper recording of financial transactions.

The independent auditor's reports on the Plans are presented in the Financial Section of this report.
The Management's Discussion and Analysis provides a narrative introduction and overview of DCRB's financial statements. It is contained within the Financial Section and serves to supplement the Introductory Section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, as well as the financial statements, notes to the fianancial statements, required supplementary informantion and supplementary information within the Financial Section.

## Plan Funding

The Replacement Plan Act establishes the method for calculating the employer's (District of Columbia) annual contribution to the retirement Fund. DCRB's enrolled actuary must determine the level of covered payroll, expressed as a percentage ("normal contribution rate") for each participant group. Under the Replacement Plan Act, the District must contribute the annual funding amount determined under the Aggregate Actuarial Cost method. No other funding limitations apply. The DC Government is current in providing to the Fund the actuarially determined employer contribution to the Plans.

GASB Statement No. 50 requires funds using the Aggregate Actuarial Cost method to disclose funding status information based on the Entry Age Normal ("EAN") method. As of October 1, 2010, the Plan's funded ratio was $111.0 \%$, based on the EAN method. Funding status and employer contributions are presented as Required Supplementary Information within the Financial Section of the report. The Management's Discussion and Analysis section, has a more in-depth discussion of DCRB's funded status.

## Awards

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada ("GFOA") Awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to DCRB for its comprehensive annual financial report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award, recognizing conformance with the highest standards for preparation of state and local government financial reports.

DCRB received the Public Pension Coordinating Council's ("PPCC") Public Pension Standards 2010 Award in recognition of meeting professional standards for plan design and administration. The PPCC is a national confederation of state retirement associations whose standards are widely recognized benchmarks for public pension systems in the areas of plan design, funding, actuarial and financial audits, as well as member communications.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my appreciation to the U.S. Treasury's Office of D.C. Pensions, the District of Columbia City Council, the D.C. Office of Financial and Operations Systems, the D.C. Office of Budget and Planning, all other D.C. Government Offices that support DCRB, and the DCRB trustees, staff, consultants and service providers for their tireless efforts to assure the financial soundness and successful operation of the District of Columbia Retirement Board.

If you have any questions regarding this Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the District of Columbia Retirement Board for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, please direct them to my office at any time.

Respectfully submitted,


Eric O. Stanchfield,
Executive Director


Thomas R. Anderson, Acting Chief Financial Officer
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Board of Trustees
District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund and the
District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund
We have audited the accompanying statements of net assets of the District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund and the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund (the Total Fund), Pension Trust Funds of the Government of the District of Columbia (the District), as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of changes in net assets for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, these financial statements only present the Total Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present the financial position of the Government of the District of Columbia as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the net assets of the District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund and the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the changes in its net assets for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Audit Standards, we have also issued a report dated December 21, 2010, on our consideration of the Total Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our test of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing on internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audits.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages 18 through 23 and the schedules of funding progress and employer contributions on pages 39 and 40 are not a required part of the financial statements, but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audits were made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The supplementary information included on pages 41 through 43 is for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements, and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The Introductory Section on pages 1 through 14, the Investment section on pages 45 through 49, the Actuarial Section on pages 51 through 69, the Statistical Section on pages 71 through 86, and the Additional Disclosures on pages 89 through 95 have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

## Ceiptor thrndersan $\angle L P$

Baltimore, Maryland
December 21, 2010
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## Management's Discussion and Analysis

This discussion and analysis provides an overview of the financial activities of the District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund ("TRF") and Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund ("POFRF"), for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, which collectively will be referred to as "the District Retirement Funds". This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, the notes to the financial statements, the required supplementary information and the supplementary information provided in this report.

The District of Columbia Retirement Board (the "Board" or "DCRB") is an independent agency of the District of Columbia Government. The Board is responsible for managing the assets of the District Retirement Funds. As authorized by DC Code, the Board pools the assets of the TRF and the POFRF into a single investment portfolio. The Board allocates the investment returns and expenses, and the administrative expenses of the Board, between the two District Retirement Funds in proportion to their respective net asset value. The Board maintains financial records of contributions, purchases of service, benefit payments, refunds, investment earnings, investment expenses and administrative expenses.

Effective October 1, 2005, the Board entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") with the District of Columbia and the United States Department of the Treasury (the "U.S. Treasury") to administer the pension benefits for all retirees, survivors and beneficiaries that are the financial responsibility of the District of Columbia (service earned after July 1, 1997) and the Federal Government (service earned before July 1, 1997). In addition to the Board's administrative duties, the U.S. Treasury also provides certain administrative services related to the administration of pension benefits. The administrative costs incurred while administering the pension benefits are shared by the DCRB and the U.S. Treasury in accordance with a MOU that is agreed to annually between the two parties.

Overview of the Financial Statements and Schedules The following discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to DCRB's financial statements. The basic financial statements include:

The Statements of Net Assets is a point-in-time snapshot of plan fund balances at fiscal year end. It reports the assets available to pay future benefits to retirees, and any liabilities that are owed as of the statement date. The resulting Net Asset Value (Assets - Liabilities = Net Assets) represents the value of assets held in trust for pension benefits.

The Statements of Changes in Net Assets displays the effect of financial transactions that occurred during the fiscal year, where Additions - Deductions = Net Increase (or Net Decrease) in Net Assets. This increase (or decrease) in net assets reflects the change in the value of Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits.

The Notes to Financial Statements contain disclosures and discussions which support the data presented in the financial statements. The notes present information about the creation and administration of the Fund, significant accounting policies, and investments. The notes are an integral part of the financial statements and should be considered in conjunction with any review of the financial statements.

The Required Supplementary Information includes the schedule of funding progress and the schedule of employer contributions for the last 6 fiscal years. The schedule of funding progress includes actuarial information about the status of the defined benefit pension plans from an ongoing, long-term perspective, and the progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay pension benefits under these plans when due. The Actuarial Value of Assets in excess of the Actuarial Accrued Liabilities indicates that sufficient assets exist to fund the future defined pension benefits of the current members and benefits recipients. Actuarial Accrued Liabilities in excess of the Actuarial Value of Assets reflect an Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). The UAAL represents the value, in current dollars, that would need to be accumulated to fund the pensions of all active and retired members as of the date represented in the sched-
ule. The schedule of employer contributions presents historical trend information regarding the value of total annual contributions required to be paid by employers for the employees participating in each defined benefit plan, and the actual performance of employers in meeting this requirement. The information contained in this schedule reflects the required contributions that are based on the actuary's certification which is approved by the Board.

The Supplementary Information includes additional information on the District Retirement Funds including a schedule of administrative expenses, investment expenses and payments to consultants.

## Financial Highlights

The TRF financial highlights for fiscal year 2010 are:

- Net assets held in trust for pension benefits as of September 30, 2010 were $\$ 1.3$ billion anannual increase of $\$ 113.1$ million or $9.4 \%$.
- The investment income net of investment expenses for fiscal year 2010 was $\$ 125.8$ million, a gain of 10.6\%.
- The Fund's share of administrative expenditures for fiscal year 2010 was $\$ 2.3$ million, equivalent to 18 basis points of assets under management.
- The Board's funding objective is to meet long-term pension benefit obligations. As of October 1, 2009, the date of the latest actuarial valuation, TRF's funded ratio was $110.8 \%$. In general, this means that for each dollar's worth of future pension liability, the TRF has accumulated over $\$ 1.11$ to meet that obligation. This actuarial report indicated that if future activity proceeds according to assumptions, the TRF has accumulated sufficient assets to pay all pension liabilities for active members and retirees. The plans funded ratio increased $2.6 \%$ over the prior year. As of October 1, 2008, the actuarial valuation indicated a funded ratio of $108.2 \%$ for the TRF.
- Revenues (additions to plan net assets) for fiscal year 2010 were $\$ 156.4$ million, which consists of member contributions of $\$ 29.9$ million, net income from investment activities of $\$ 125.8$ million, and other income totaling $\$ 0.7$ million. Additions to the plan net assets for fiscal year 2009 totaled ( $\$ 12.2$ ) million, comprised of $\$ 24.9$ million in employee contributions, $\$ 37.9$ million in net losses from investment activities, and $\$ 0.8$ million in other income. The District of Columbia government did not make an employer contribution to the TRF for fiscal year 2010 and 2009 because of its well funded status.
- Expenses (deductions from plan net assets) increased $\$ 2.1$ million from $\$ 41.2$ million during fiscal year 2009 to $\$ 43.3$ million in fiscal year 2010, or $5.2 \%$. This increase relates primarily to pension benefit payments, which increased $\$ 4.1$ million or $12.2 \%$ from 2009 to 2010. Refunds of member contributions decreased by $\$ 1.9$ million, or $36.5 \%$, from 2009 to 2010. Administrative expenses decreased slightly compared to the prior year.

The POFRF financial highlights for fiscal year 2010 are: - Net assets held in trust for pension benefits as of September 30, 201010 were $\$ 2.9$ billion, an annual increase of $\$ 400.7$ million or $15.9 \%$.

- The investment income net of investment expenses for fiscal year 2010 was $\$ 270.3$ million, a gain of $10.6 \%$.
- The Fund's share of administrative expenditures for fiscal year 2010 was $\$ 5.2$ million, equivalent to 18 basis points of assets under management.

The Board's funding objective is to meet long-term pension benefit obligations. As of October 1, 2009, the date of the latest actuarial valuation, POFRF's funded ratio was $100.7 \%$. In general, this means that for each dollar's worth of future pension liability, the POFRF has accumulated approximately $\$ 1.01$ to meet that obligation. This actuarial report indicated that if future activity proceeded according to assumptions, the POFRF has accumulated sufficient
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assets to pay all pension liabilities for active members and retirees. The plans funded ratio increased $0.9 \%$ over the prior year. As of October 1, 2008, the actuarial valuation indicated a funded ratio of $99.8 \%$.

- Revenues (additions to plan net assets) for fiscal year 2010 were $\$ 435.7$ million, which consists of member contributions of $\$ 31.6$ million, employer contributions of $\$ 132.3$ million, net income from investment activities of $\$ 270.3$ million, and $\$ 1.6$ million in other income. Additions to the plan net assets for fiscal year 2009 totaled $\$ 79.4$ million, comprised of $\$ 29.9$ million in employee contributions, $\$ 106.0$ million of employer contributions, $\$ 58.2$ million in a net loss
from investment activities, and $\$ 1.7$ million in other income.
- Expenses (deductions from plan net assets) increased $\$ 3.9$ million from $\$ 31.1$ million during fiscal year 2009 to $\$ 35.0$ million in fiscal year 2010, or $12.6 \%$. This increase consists primarily of increases in pension benefit payments of $\$ 3.3$ million from $\$ 24.6$ million in fiscal year 2009 to $\$ 27.9$ million in fiscal year 2010. Additionally, administrative expenses increased $\$ 0.2$ million from $\$ 4.9$ million in fiscal year 2009 to $\$ 5.1$ million in fiscal year 2010 and refunds of member contributions increased $\$ 0.4$ million from $\$ 1.6$ million in fiscal year 2009 to $\$ 2.0$ million in fiscal year 2010.


## Summary of Financial Information

The following Condensed Statement of Net Assets and Changes in Net Assets presents financial information, with dollar amounts in the thousands, for the combined TRF and POFRF and compares fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008.

| Condensed and Combined Statements of Net Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Amount <br> Increase / (Decrease) | Percent <br> Increase/(Decrease) |
|  | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2009}$ | $\underline{2008}$ | from 2009 to 2010 | from 2009 to 2010 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and short-term investments | \$224,620 | \$226,115 | \$75,115 | \$ $(1,495)$ | -1\% |
| Receivables | 229,131 | 136,521 | 450,311 | 92,610 | 68\% |
| Investments at fair value | 4,174,478 | 3,684,946 | 3,846,252 | 489,532 | 13\% |
| Collateral from securties lending | 515,203 | 470,807 | 468,962 | 44,396 | 9\% |
| Capital assets | 16 | $\underline{22}$ | $\underline{40}$ | (6) | -27\% |
| Total assets | 5,143,448 | 4,518,411 | 4,840,680 | 625,037 | 14\% |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other payables | 6,172 | 15,441 | 12,376 | $(9,269)$ | -60\% |
| Investment commitments payable | 374,502 | 291,257 | 624,862 | 83,245 | 29\% |
| Obligations under securities lending | 519,562 | 482,328 | 468,962 | 37,234 | 8\% |
| Total liabilities | 900,236 | 789,026 | 1,106,200 | 111,210 | 14\% |
| Net assets | \$4,243,212 | \$3,729,385 | \$3,734,480 | \$513,827 | 14\% |


| Condensed and Combined Statements of Changes in Net Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2009}$ | $\underline{2008}$ | AmountIncrease (Decrease) <br> from 2009 to 2010 | Percent <br> Increase/(Decrease)from 2009 to 2010 |
| Employer contributions | \$132,300 | \$106,000 | \$143,000 | \$26,300 | 25\% |
| Employee contributions | 61,547 | 54,807 | 57,637 | 6,740 | 12\% |
| Net investment income/(loss) | 396,033 | $(96,103)$ | $(779,230)$ | 492,136 | 512\% |
| Other Income | 2,250 | 2,473 | 2,942 | (223) | -9\% |
| Total additions | 592,130 | $\underline{67,177}$ | $(575,651)$ | 524,953 | 781\% |
| Benefit payments | 65,483 | 58,101 | 48,984 | 7,382 | 13\% |
| Refunds | 5,348 | 6,927 | 7,072 | $(1,579)$ | -23\% |
| Administrative expenses | 7,472 | 7,244 | 5,186 | 228 | 3\% |
| Total deductions | 78,303 | 72,272 | 61,242 | 6,031 | 8\% |
| Net change in net assets | \$513,827 | \$(5,095) | \$(636,893) | \$518,922 | 10,185\% |

## Financial Section

Management's Discussion and Analysis

## Analysis of Financial Information

DCRB's funding objective is to meet long-term benefit obligations with investment income and contributions. Accordingly, the collection of employer and member contributions and the income generated from investment activities provide the reserves needed to finance future retirement benefits. The discussion below provides an analysis of the current year's financial activities in relation to the current member population and relevant economic conditions for the combined TRF and POFRF.

## Additions to Net Assets (Revenues)

As noted, the reserves needed to finance retirement benefits are accumulated primarily through the collection of member and employer contributions, and through investment income (net of investment expenses). Revenues for the year ended September 30, 2010, represented an increase in net assets of $\$ 592.1$ million, which included $\$ 396.0$ million of net income on investments and \$193.8 million of contributions. For fiscal year 2009, revenues represented an increase in net assets of $\$ 67.2$ million, which included $\$ 96.1$ million of net investment losses and $\$ 160.8$ million of contributions. The fiscal year 2009 investment returns improved significantly from the fiscal year 2008 net investment loss of $\$ 779.2$ million.

Total revenues for fiscal year 2010 increased by $\$ 525.0$ million compared to the prior year, mainly due to the significant improvement in investment returns in fiscal year 2010.

Retirement contributions from members and employers comprised $\$ 193.8$ million of the additions in fiscal year 2010 compared to $\$ 160.8$ million in fiscal year 2009. A portion of this increase was the result of an increase of $\$ 6.7$ million in member contributions, or $12.3 \%$. Member contributions include amounts paid by members for future retirement benefits. The increase in member contributions is the result of an increase in the District Retirement Funds' active members from 10,389 to 10,457, annual increases in pay and a one-time payment for payroll increases that were retroactive back to 2007. The retroactive increases were negotiated as part of new Teachers contract.

The fiscal year 2010 employer contribution is derived from the contribution rate calculated in the actuary's report for the period ended October 1, 2008. The fiscal year 2010 employer contribution increased to $\$ 132.3$ million from $\$ 106.0$ million in fiscal year 2009 for an increase of $\$ 26.3$ million. The most significant factor in this increase was the lower than expected asset value of the District Retirement Funds which were caused by lower than expected market returns and recognition of past gains. For the period ended October 1, 2008, the actuarially-determined rate of return on the value of District Retirement Funds' assets was $4.6 \%$ which was below the assumed rate of $7.00 \%$.

Other income totaled $\$ 2.3$ million in fiscal year 2010, reflecting a $\$ 0.2$ million decrease from the $\$ 2.5$ million received in fiscal year 2009. Other income consists mainly of reimbursements from the US Treasury for administrative expenses.

## Deductions from Net Assets (Expenses)

DCRB was created to provide retirement, survivor and disability benefits to qualified members and their beneficiaries. The cost of such programs includes recurring benefit payments, elective refunds of contributions to employees who terminate employment with a participating employer, and the cost of administering the District Retirement Funds.

Expenses for the year ended September 30, 2010 totaled $\$ 78.3$ million, an increase of $8.3 \%$ over fiscal year 2009. In fiscal year 2009 expenses increased by $18.0 \%$ when compared to fiscal year 2008, from $\$ 61.2$ million in 2008 to $\$ 72.3$ million in fiscal year 2009. Pension benefits paid on behalf of current retirees and beneficiaries comprise approximately $85-90 \%$ of the expenses reported in each of these years and accounted for a significant portion of the increases.

Pension benefits for fiscal year 2010 increased by $\$ 7.4$ million over the fiscal year 2009 level, or $12.7 \%$. This increase reflects the combination of a net growth of $6.5 \%$ in the number of retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits coupled with COLA adjustments, an overall increase in the final average salary for new retirees and the changing demographics of the retiree population. Defined benefit pension
payments are based on a formula determined by the years of contributing service and the final average salary. Pension benefits for fiscal year 2009 reflect similar increases, rising $\$ 9.1$ million, or $18.6 \%$, over fiscal year 2008 levels.

Refunds of member accounts are at the discretion of the member, and vary from year to year. In fiscal year 2010, members elected refunds totaled $\$ 5.3$ million, which represents a decrease of $\$ 1.6$ million or $22.8 \%$ from fiscal year 2009. Refunds issued in fiscal year 2009 totaled $\$ 6.9$ million representing a $\$ 0.2$ million decrease over the 2008 level of $\$ 7.1$ million.

DCRB has consistently managed its administrative expense budget with no material variances between planned and actual expenditures in either fiscal year 2010 or 2009. Administrative expenses for fiscal year 2010 totaled $\$ 7.5$ million, an increase of $\$ 0.2$ million from the fiscal year 2009 expenditures of $\$ 7.3$ million.

## Funding Status

As previously noted, the District Retirement Funds' net investment income for the year ended September 30, 2010 represented a gain of $\$ 397.4$ million, or $10.6 \%$. The DCRB is a well funded yet immature system as a result of the 1999 asset split with the United States Treasury in which Treasury assumed responsibility for all benefit obligations prior to June 30, 1997. As with all immature systems, a higher percentage of benefits are funded by current contributions. As the system matures, investment income will provide a greater percentage of the funds necessary to pay retirement benefits. Therefore, the long-term rate of investment return is critical to DCRB's long-term funding status.

To fully understand the funding status of a retirement system, it is often advisable to view actuarial data in conjunction with financial data. The actuarial value of assets used to calculate funded status is not based on year-end fair value (market value) as of the valuation date. Market gains and losses for actuarial funding purposes are smoothed over a rolling seven year period. This smoothing of gains and losses mitigates the need to constantly increase or lower contributions because volatile market conditions can be recognized (smoothed in) over several years.

The reality of actuarial smoothing techniques is that the fair value (market value) of assets may be significantly different from funding value (actuarial value) of assets at a giv-
en point in time. This means that in periods of extended market decline the fair value of assets will usually be less than the funding, or actuarial value of assets. This was the case with DCRB during the significant market downturn in 2008 and 2009 and the moderate recovery in 2010. Conversely, during periods of extended market gains where the actual return exceed the assumed return, the fair value of assets will usually be greater than the funding, or actuarial value of assets.

At October 1, 2009, the date of the latest actuarial valuation, the actuarial value of net assets set aside to pay defined benefit pension benefits was $\$ 1,445.0$ million for the TRF and $\$ 3,048.4$ million for the POFRF for a total of $\$ 4,493.4$ million. The fair value of these defined benefit assets at September 30, 2009 included on the financial statements of DCRB was $\$ 1,204.4$ million for the TRF and $\$ 2,525.0$ million for the POFRF for a total of $\$ 3,729.4$ million. Therefore, when viewing the actuarial funding status, the market value of assets would provide an inferior funding position to the actuarial value of assets as of the October 1, 2009 valuation. Again, it is important to note that during years when the actual rate of return on investments significantly exceeds the assumed rate of return on investment the actuarial value of assets can be less than the market value of pension assets, making the funding status seem less favorable than the actual market values would have indicated.

## Financial Analysis Summary

Net assets may serve over time as a useful indication of DCRB's financial position. At the close of both the fiscal year 2010 and 2009, the net assets of DCRB totaled $\$ 4.2$ billion and $\$ 3.7$ billion, respectively. These net assets are available to meet DCRB's ongoing obligations to plan participants and their beneficiaries. DCRB has weathered the financial storm over the past 3 years and remains a well funded plan with a funding status as of October 1, 2009, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, of $103.7 \%$ for the District Retirement Funds.

## Additional Information

These financial statements present the finances of the Fund in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Questions about these financial statements or other inquiries should be addressed to the Executive Director, District of Columbia Retirement Board, 900 7th Street, NW, 2nd Floor, Washington, DC 20001.
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## Financial Statements

## Statment of Net Assets

## (Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers' <br> Retirement Fund | Police Officers and <br> Firefighters' <br> Retirement Fund | Total | Teachers' <br> Retirement Fund | Police Officers and <br> Firefighters' <br> Retirement Fund | Total |
| Cash and short-term investments | \$69,514 | \$155,106 | \$224,620 | \$72,758 | \$153,357 | \$226,115 |
| Due from Federal Government | 409 | 912 | 1,321 | 732 | 1,540 | 2,272 |
| Investment sales proceeds receivable | 63,986 | 142,772 | 206,758 | 36,278 | 76,465 | 112,743 |
| Accrued interest \& dividends receivable | 3,838 | 8,564 | 12,402 | 3,376 | 7,116 | 10,492 |
| Employee contributions receivable | 1,419 | 1,622 | 3,041 | 1,292 | 1,503 | 2,795 |
| Contribution receivable - District of Columbia | 5,609 | - | 5,609 | 8,219 | - | 8,219 |
| Investments at fair value: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic equity | 506,224 | 1,129,539 | 1,635,763 | 434,543 | 915,914 | 1,350,457 |
| International equity | 238,030 | 531,118 | 769,148 | 227,277 | 479,047 | 706,324 |
| Fixed income | 299,138 | 667,467 | 966,605 | 286,894 | 604,705 | 891,599 |
| Real estate | 58,349 | 130,194 | 188,543 | 61,988 | 130,657 | 192,645 |
| Private equity | 190,146 | 424,273 | 614,419 | 175,020 | 368,901 | 543,921 |
| Total investments at fair value | 1,291,887 | 2,882,591 | 4,174,478 | 1,185,722 | 2,499,224 | 3,684,946 |
| Collateral from securities lending transactions at fair value | 162,369 | 352,834 | 515,203 | 153,109 | 317,698 | 470,807 |
| Capital assets, net | 5 | 11 | 16 | 7 | 15 | 22 |
| Total assets | 1,599,036 | 3,544,412 | 5,143,448 | 1,461,493 | 3,056,918 | 4,518,411 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 1,242 | 2,730 | 3,972 | 1,127 | 2,374 | 3,501 |
| Due to Federal Government | 102 | 216 | 318 | 108 | 227 | 335 |
| Benefits payable | - | - | - | 3,371 | 2,271 | 5,642 |
| Due to District of Columbia Government | 582 | 1,300 | 1,882 | 1,921 | 4,042 | 5,963 |
| Investment commitments payable | 115,898 | 258,604 | 374,502 | 93,719 | 197,538 | 291,257 |
| Obligations under securities lending | 163,742 | 355,820 | 519,562 | 156,856 | 325,472 | 482,328 |
| Total liabilities | 281,566 | 618,670 | 900,236 | 257,102 | 531,924 | 789,026 |
| NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST FOR PENSION BENEFITS | \$1,317,470 | \$2,925,742 | \$4,243,212 | \$1,204,391 | \$2,524,994 | \$3,729,385 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## Statment of Changes in Net Assets

## (Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers' Retirement Fund | Police Officers and <br> Firefighters' Retirement Fund | Total | Teachers' Retirement Fund | Police Officers and <br> Firefighters' Retirement Fund | Total |
| ADDITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District Government | \$ | \$132,300 | \$132,300 | \$ - | \$106,000 | \$106,000 |
| District employees | 29,940 | 31,607 | 61,547 | 24,907 | 29,900 | 54,807 |
| Total contributions | 29,940 | 163,907 | 193,847 | 24,907 | 135,900 | 160,807 |
| Investment income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net appreciation/(depreciation) in fair value of investments | 72,809 | 156,515 | 229,324 | $(64,382)$ | $(112,327)$ | $(176,709)$ |
| Interest and dividends | 56,495 | 121,648 | 178,143 | 28,867 | 59,116 | 87,983 |
| Total gross investment income (loss) | 129,304 | 278,163 | 407,467 | $(35,515)$ | $(53,211)$ | $(88,726)$ |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment expenses | 4,197 | 9,282 | 13,479 | 3,963 | 8,299 | 12,262 |
| Net investment income/(loss) | 125,107 | 268,881 | 393,988 | $(39,478)$ | $(61,510)$ | $(100,988)$ |
| Securities lending income | 1,028 | 2,210 | 3,238 | 2,796 | 5,726 | 8,522 |
| Less: securities lending expense | 379 | 814 | 1,193 | 1,193 | 2,444 | 3,637 |
| Net securities lending income | 649 | 1,396 | 2,045 | 1,603 | 3,282 | 4,885 |
| Total net investment income/(loss) | 125,756 | 270,277 | 396,033 | $(37,875)$ | $(58,228)$ | $(96,103)$ |
| Other income | 695 | 1,555 | 2,250 | 793 | 1,680 | 2,473 |
| Total additions | 156,391 | 435,739 | 592,130 | $(12,175)$ | 79,352 | 67,177 |
| DEDUCTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments | 37,611 | 27,872 | 65,483 | 33,532 | 24,569 | 58,101 |
| Refunds | 3,374 | 1,974 | 5,348 | 5,316 | 1,611 | 6,927 |
| Administrative expenses | 2,327 | 5,145 | 7,472 | 2,340 | 4,904 | 7,244 |
| Total deductions | 43,312 | 34,991 | 78,303 | 41,188 | 31,084 | 72,272 |
| Change in Net Assets | 113,079 | 400,748 | 513,827 | $(53,363)$ | 48,268 | $(5,095)$ |
| NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST FOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST FOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENSION BENEFITS, END OF YEAR | \$1,317,470 | \$2,925,742 | \$4,243,212 | \$1,204,391 | \$2,524,994 | \$3,729,385 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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Note 1: Organization
The District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund (TRF) and the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund (POFRF), which together will be referred to as "the District Retirement Funds", were established in 1979 by the District of Columbia Retirement Reform Act (the Reform Act, Pub. L. 96-122, D. C. Code $\$ 1-701$ et seq.). The Fund holds in trust the assets available to pay pension benefits to teachers, police officers and firefighters of the District of Columbia Government. The Reform Act also established the District of Columbia Retirement Board (the Board or DCRB).

The National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997 ("the Revitalization Act", Title XI of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, Pub. L. 105-33) transferred significant assets and liabilities of the District Retirement Funds to the Federal Government. The Revitalization Act transferred to the Federal Government the liability for retirement benefits for employee service credit earned prior to July 1, 1997, by participants of the District Retirement Funds. The assets transferred to the Federal Government were intended to partially fund this liability.

On September 18, 1998, the Council of the District of Columbia (the Council) enacted the Police Officers, Firefighters, and Teachers Retirement Benefit Replacement Plan Act of 1998 ("the Replacement Act"). The Replacement Act established the pension benefits for employee service earned after June 30, 1997, and provided for full funding of these benefits on an actuarially sound basis.

The Board is an independent agency of the District of Columbia Government (the District) that is responsible for managing the assets of the TRF and the POFRF. Although the assets of these funds are commingled for investment purposes, each fund's assets may only be used for the payment of benefits to the participants of that fund and certain administrative expenses.

The District Retirement Funds are included in the District's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report as a pension trust fund.

Note 2: Fund Administration and Description
District of Columbia Retirement Board - The Board consists of 12 trustees, three appointed by the Mayor of the District, three appointed by the Council of the District, and six elected by the active and retired participants. Included are one active and one retired representative each from the police officers, firefighters, and teachers. Each of the six representatives of plan participants is elected by the respective groups of active and retired employees. In addition, the District's Chief Financial Officer or his designee serves as a non-voting, ex-officio trustee.

Since its inception, the Board has operated under a committee system which provides a two-tiered process for fiduciary review and analysis. In this manner, the Board, consistent with its fiduciary duties, reviews all issues brought before it. The Board has six standing committees: Benefits, Emerging Enterprise, Fiduciary, Investments, Legislative, and Operations. (The functions usually associated with an Audit Committee are performed by the Operations Committee.) To implement its policies, the Board retains an executive director and other staff who are responsible for the day-to-day management of the District Retirement Funds and the administration of the benefits paid from the Funds.

## Police Officers and Fire Fighters' Retirement Fund

## Other Entities involved in Plan Administration -

 The District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement and Relief Board makes findings of fact, conclusions of law, and decisions regarding eligibility for retirement and survivor benefits, determines the extent of disability, and conducts annual medical and income reviews. The Board of Police and Fire Surgeons determines medical eligibility for disability retirement.Benefits Calculation - The DCRB Benefits Department receives the retirement orders for retirement benefit calculations for all active plan members
found eligible for retirement by the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement and Relief Board, and carries out the day-to-day processing of retirement benefits. DCRB also processes employee requests for refunds of contributions and purchases of service.

Eligibility - A participant becomes a member when he/she starts work as a police officer or firefighter in the District. Cadets are not eligible to join the Fund.

Retirement and disability benefit provisions for District of Columbia police officers and firefighters are established by the "Policemen and Firemen's
Retirement and Disability Act" (D.C. Code § 5-701 et seq. (2001 Ed.)).

Members Hired Before February 15, 1980 - Members are eligible for optional retirement with full benefits at any age with 20 years of departmental service, or for deferred retirement at age 55 with five years of departmental service. The annual basic retirement benefit equals $2.5 \%$ of average base pay, which is defined as the highest consecutive 12 months of base pay, multiplied by departmental service through 20 years; plus $3 \%$ of average base pay multiplied by average base pay times departmental service over 20 years; plus $2.5 \%$ of average base pay multiplied by years of creditable service; however, the aggregate annual basic retirement benefit may not exceed $80 \%$ of the member's average base pay. Members terminated after five years of police or fire service are entitled to a deferred pension beginning at age 55 . Benefits are also provided to certain survivors of active, retired, or terminated vested members. Retired members receive the same percent increase in benefits granted to active participants in the schedule rate to which the member would be entitled if in active service.

Members with permanent, service-related disabilities with less than 26 years and eight months of service receive benefits equal to two-thirds of average base pay. Members with 26 years and eight months to 28 years of service receive $2.5 \%$ of average base pay multiplied by the number of years of service. Members with more than 28 years of service receive benefits equal to $70 \%$ of average base pay.

Members with permanent, non-service related disabilities who have years of service between 5 to 20 years of service receive benefits equal to $40 \%$ of average base pay, between 20 to 35 years of service receive benefits equal to $2 \%$ of average base pay multiplied by the number of years of service during that 15 -year period and more than 35 years of service receive benefits equal to $70 \%$ of average base pay.

Members Hired Between February 15, 1980 and November 10, 1996 - Members are eligible for optional retirement with full benefits at age 50 with at least 25 years of departmental service, or after age 55 with five years of departmental service. The annual basic retirement benefit equals $2.5 \%$ of average base pay, which is defined as the highest consecutive 36 months of base pay, multiplied by the number of years of creditable service through 25 years; plus $3 \%$ of average base pay multiplied by the number of years of departmental service over 25 years; plus $2.5 \%$ of average base pay multiplied by the number of years of creditable service; however, the aggregate annual basic retirement benefit may not exceed $80 \%$ of average base salary. Members separated from the Police or Fire Department after five years of departmental service are entitled to a deferred pension beginning at age 55 .

Members with permanent, service-related disabilities receive $70 \%$ of base pay multiplied by percentage of disability, with a minimum benefit of $40 \%$ of base pay. Members with permanent, non-service related disabilities with more than 5 years of service receive $70 \%$ of base pay multiplied by percentage of disability, with a minimum benefit of $30 \%$ of base pay.

Benefits are also provided to certain survivors of active, retired or terminated vested members. Members who retired after February 15, 1980 receive annual benefit increases proportional to changes in the Consumer Price Index.
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Members Hired on or After November 10, 1996 Members are eligible for retirement at any age, with at least 25 years of departmental service or after age 55 with 5 years of departmental service. The annual basic retirement benefit equals $2.5 \%$ of average base pay, which is defined as the highest consecutive 36 months of base pay, multiplied by creditable service; however, the aggregate annual basic retirement benefit may not exceed $80 \%$ of the final pay. Members separated after five years of departmental service are entitled to a deferred pension beginning at age 55. Benefits are also provided to certain survivors of active, retired, or terminated vested members. Members receive annual benefit increases proportional to changes in the Consumer Price Index, but not more than $3 \%$.

Members with permanent, service-related disabilities receive $70 \%$ of base pay multiplied by percentage of disability, with a minimum benefit of $40 \%$ of base pay.

Members with permanent, non-service-related disabilities with more than five years of service receive $70 \%$ of base pay multiplied by percentage of disability, with a minimum benefit of $30 \%$ of base pay.

## Teachers' Retirement Fund

Other Entities involved in Plan Administration The District of Columbia Public School's ("DCPS") Office of Human Resources makes decisions regarding involuntary retirement, survivor benefits and annual medical and income reviews.

Benefits Calculation - The DCRB Benefits Department receives the approved retirement applications for all active plan members found eligible for retirement by the DCPS Office of Human Resources, and carries out the day-to-day processing of retirement benefits. DCRB also processes employee requests for refunds of contributions and purchases of service.

Eligibility - Permanent, temporary, part-time and probationary teachers and certain other employees of the District of Columbia public day schools are automatically enrolled in the Teachers' Retirement

Fund as members on their date of employment. Certain D.C. Public Charter School employees are also eligible to be participants. However, substitute teachers and employees of the Department of School Attendance and Work Permits are not covered.

Title 38, Chapter 20 of the D.C. Official Code (D.C. Code $\$ 38-2001.01$ et seq. ( 2001 Ed.)) establishes benefit provisions which may be amended by the District City Council. For employees hired before November 1, 1996, the annuity is equal to the average salary, as defined, multiplied by $1.5 \%$ for each of the first five years of service, $1.75 \%$ for each of the second five years and $2 \%$ for each additional year. For employees hired on or after November 1, 1996, the annuity is equal to a timeweighted average salary, as defined, multiplied by $2 \%$ for each year of service.

The annuity may be further increased by crediting unused sick leave as of the date of retirement. Participants receiving retirement benefits receive an annual benefit increase proportional to changes in the Consumer Price Index; however, the increase may not exceed $3 \%$ for participants hired on or after November 1, 1996.

Participants may select from among several survivor options. Participants who have 5 years of school service (by working for the District of Columbia public school system), and who become disabled and can no longer perform their jobs satisfactorily, may be eligible for disability retirement. Such disability retirement benefits are calculated pursuant to a "guaranteed minimum" formula.

Optional retirement is available for teachers who have a minimum of 5 years of school service and who achieve the following age and length of service requirements:
at age 62 with 5 years of service; at age 60 with 20 years of service; and at age 55 with 30 years of service; or at any age with 30 years of service, if hired by the school system on or afterNovember 1, 1996.

Employees who are involuntarily separated other than for cause and who have 5 years of school service, may
be eligible for retirement at any age with 25 years of service or at age 50 with 20 years of service.

The annuity is reduced if at the time of its commencement the participant is under the age of 55.

Participant Data - The number of participating employees for the years ended September 30 was as follows:

| TRF | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Retirees and survivors receiving benefits (post June 30, 1997) | 2,941 | 2,770 |
| Active plan members | 4,749 | 4,601 |
| Vested terminations | $\underline{720}$ | $\underline{617}$ |
|  | $\underline{\underline{8,410}}$ | $\underline{\underline{7,988}}$ |
| Total participants | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| POFRF |  |  |
|  | $\underline{1,552}$ | 1,449 |
| Retirees and survivors receiving benefits (post June 30, 1997) | $\underline{5,708}$ | 5,788 |
| Active plan members | $\underline{125}$ | $\underline{105}$ |
| Vested terminations | $\underline{\underline{7,385}}$ | $\underline{\underline{7,342}}$ |
| Total participants |  |  |

Contributions - Fund members contribute by salary deductions at rates established by D.C. Code $\$$ 5-706 (2001 Ed.). Members contribute 7\% (or 8\% for Teachers, Police Officers and Firefighters hired on or after November 1, 1996) of annual gross salary, including any differential for special assignment and longevity, but excluding overtime, holiday, or military pay.

The District is required to contribute the amounts necessary to finance the plan benefits of its members through annual contributions at actuarially determined amounts in accordance with the provisions of the Replacement Act. The amount of the District contributions for fiscal years 2010 and 2009 were equal to the amounts computed, if any, by the Board's independent actuary.

Note 3: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies
Basis of Accounting - The financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Employee contributions are recognized by the District Retirement Funds at the time compensation is paid to fund members. Employer contributions to the District Retirement Funds are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the retirement plan's commitment.

The accounting and reporting policies of the District Retirement Funds conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to government organizations. The preparation of financial statements in conformity
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## Notes to Financial Statements

with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during thereporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

GASB Statement 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans, requires that the two District Retirement Funds, be shown separately in the Combining Financial Statements as they are legally separate plans. To meet this requirement, plan assets and liabilities, where possible, were specifically identified to a fund. Assets and liabilities that were not specifically identifiable to a fund were allocated based on the net asset values of each individual fund.

GASB Statement 50, Pension Disclosures, established the standards for enhancing footnote disclosures for pension plans consistent with standards under GASB Statements 43 and 45 .

GASB Statement 51, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets, provides authoritative guidance related to the accounting and financial reporting of intangible assets, including internally developed software. GASB Statement 51 was effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2009. As of September 30, 2010 the District Retirement Funds do not have any intangible assets that are required to be reported in accordance with GASB Statement 51.

GASB Statement 53, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments, established the standards for recognizing, measuring, and disclosing information regarding derivative instruments and transactions. GASB Statement 53 was implemented for the year ended September 30, 2010.

Federal Income Tax Status - The District Retirement Funds are qualified plans under section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code and are exempt from federal income taxes under section 501(a).

Method Used to Value Investments - Investments are reported at fair value. Fair value is the amount that a plan can reasonably expect to receive for an investment in a current sale between a willing buyer and a willing seller, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. All investments, with the exception of real estate and private equity, are valued based on closing market prices or broker quotes. Securities not having a quoted market price have been valued based on yields currently available on comparable securities of issuers with similar credit ratings.

The fair value of investments in real estate or private equity, in the absence of a readily ascertainable market value, are based on management's valuation of estimates and assumptions from information and representations provided by the respective general partners.

Actuarial Data - The District Retirement Funds use the Aggregate Actuarial Cost method to determine the annual employer contribution. Any excess of the actuarial present value of projected benefits of the group included in an actuarial valuation over the sum of the actuarial value of assets plus the actuarial present value of employee contributions is allocated on a level basis over the earnings or service of the group between the valuation date and assumed exit date.

This allocation is performed for the group as a whole, not as a sum of individual allocations. The portion of the actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the normal cost. The actuarial accrued liability is equal to the actuarial value of assets.

## Use of Estimates in Preparing Financial State-

 ments - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Board to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, additions and deductions to net assets held in trust for pension benefits and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.Investment Expenses - The District of Columbia Appropriation Act authorized Fund earnings to be used for investment expenses incurred in managing the assets and administering the Fund. The total investment expenses borne by the District Retirement Funds was $\$ 13,478,613$ in 2010 and \$12,261,344 in 2009.

## Note 4: Investments

The Board is authorized to manage and control the investment of the District Retirement Funds' assets. The Board broadly diversifies the investments of the District Retirement Funds so as to minimize the risk of large losses, unless under the circumstances it is clearly prudent not to do so, as required by D.C. Code § 1-741(a)(2)(C), (2001 Ed.).

Master Trust - The Board has pooled all of the assets under its management (the Investment Pool), as is authorized by D.C. Code § 1-903(b), (2001 Ed.), with a master custodian under a master trust arrangement (the Master Trust). Using an investment pool, each Fund owns an undivided proportionate share of the pool. District and employee contributions are deposited in the respective Retirement Fund for which the contribution was made, and benefit payments and employee contribution refunds are withdrawn from the Fund in which the recipient participates. Investment performance and administrative expenditures are allocated between the two Funds based upon their proportionate equity in the pool.

The fair values of investments of the Investment Pool as of September 30 are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Cash and short-term investments | $\underline{\$ 224,620}$ | $\underline{\$ 226,115}$ |
| Investments at fair value: |  |  |
| Domestic equity | $1,635,763$ | $1,350,457$ |
| International equity | 769,148 | 706,324 |
| Fixed income | 966,605 | 891,599 |
| Real estate | 188,543 | 192,645 |
| Private equity | $\underline{614,419}$ | $\underline{543,921}$ |
| Total investments at fair value | $\underline{4,174,478}$ | $\underline{3,684,946}$ |
| Total | $\underline{\$ 4,399,098}$ | $\underline{\$ 3,911,061}$ |

Debt Instruments - As of September 30, 2010, the Investment Pool held the following debt instruments:

| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair | \% of |  |  |
| Investment Type | Value | Segment | ration | Rating* |
| Agency | \$63,466 | 7\% | 4.95 | AAA |
| Asset Backed | 49,085 | 5\% | 2.51 | A- |
| CMBS | 16,268 | 2\% | 3.15 | AAA |
| CMO | 37,932 | 4\% | 9.49 | AA- |
| Corporate | 314,956 | 33\% | 4.32 | BBB+ |
| Foreign | 17,825 | 2\% | 2.04 | BBB |
| Mortgage Pass-Through | 166,784 | 17\% | 3.62 | NR |
| Municipal | 15,038 | 2\% | 12.70 | AA+ |
| US Treasury | 169,967 | 18\% | 7.89 | NR |
| Yankee | 109,352 | 11\% | 4.99 | AA |
| Other | 5,932 | 1\% | N/A | NR |
| Total Fixed Income | \$966,605 | 100\% |  |  |

## Financial Section

As of September 30, 2009, the Investment Pool held the following debt instruments:

| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Type | Fair <br> Value | \% of Segment | ation | Rating* |
| Agency | \$83,064 | 9\% | 3.58 | AAA |
| Asset Backed | 57,098 | 6\% | 2.59 | A |
| CMBS | 35,976 | 4\% | 6.05 | A |
| CMO | 33,570 | 4\% | 6.32 | BB |
| Corporate | 245,551 | 28\% | 3.29 | A- |
| Foreign | 22,903 | 3\% | 2.97 | A |
| Mortgage Pass-Through | 205,949 | 23\% | 1.81 | NR |
| Municipal | 11,824 | 1\% | 14.06 | AA |
| US Treasury | 126,707 | 14\% | 5.45 | NR |
| Yankee | 66,649 | 7\% | 3.58 | AA |
| Other | 2,308 | 0\% | N/A | NR |
| Total Fixed Income | \$891,599 | 100\% |  |  |

* Using quality ratings provided by Standard \& Poor's

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, an investment with a longer maturity will have a greater sensitivity to fair value changes that are related to market interest rates. The Board monitors the interest rate risk inherent in its portfolio by measuring the weighted average duration of its portfolio. Duration is a measure of a debt investment's exposure to fair value changes arising from changing interest rates. It uses the present value of cash flows, weighted for those cash flows as a percentage of the investment's full price. As a general rule, the risk and return of the Board's fixed income segment of the portfolio is compared to the Barclays Capital US Universal Index. To mitigate interest rate risk, the fixed income segment is expected to maintain a weighted average duration (sensitivity to interest rate changes) within $+/-2$ years of the duration of this Index.

Credit Risk - Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Unless specifically authorized otherwise in writing by the Board, fixed income managers invest in
investment grade instruments rated in the top four rating categories by a recognized statistical rating service.

Foreign Currency Risk - Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in exchange rates will ad-versely impact the fair value of an investment. As a general policy, investment managers with authority to invest in issuers denominated in a foreign currency may reduce exposure to currency risk by systematically hedging foreign currency positions back to U.S. dollars through the forward currency markets. Since the forward exchange rate is seldom equal to the spot exchange rate, forward hedging gains and losses may arise.

As of September 30, 2010, the Investment Pool held investments that were denominated in a currency other than the United States Dollar, as summarized below:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Asset Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed Private |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Dollar | \$453 | \$28,453 | \$1,342 |  | - \$(104) | \$30,144 |
| Canadian Dollar | 177 | 19,790 | 5,372 |  | - - | 25,339 |
| Swiss Franc | 181 | 33,173 | - |  | - - | 33,354 |
| Danish Krone | 130 | 3,088 | - |  | - - | 3,218 |
| Euro | 1,359 | 240,615 | 18,714 | 12,524 | 4 | 273,212 |
| Pound Sterling | 574 | 78,055 | 4,779 |  | - - | 83,408 |
| Hong Kong Dollar | 76 | 39,345 | - |  | - - | 39,421 |
| Israeli Shekel | 50 | 2,847 | - |  | - - | 2,897 |
| Japanese Yen | 478 | 126,885 | 3,466 |  | - - | 130,829 |
| South Korean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Won | - | 4,054 | - |  | - - | 4,054 |
| Norwegian Krone | 134 | 5,572 | - |  | - - | 5,706 |
| New Taiwan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar | - | - | - |  | - - |  |
| New Zealand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar | 47 | 97 | - |  | - - | 144 |
| Swedish Krona | 98 | 23,833 | - |  | - - | 23,931 |
| Singapore Dollar | 191 | 6,663 | - |  | - - | 6,854 |
| South African |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rand | - | - | - |  | - - |  |
| Mexican Peso | 25 | - | - |  | 123 | 148 |
| Brazilian Real | - | - | $=$ | $=$ | - 656 | 656 |
| Total Foreign | \$3,973 | \$612,470\$ | 533,673 | \$12,524 | \$ 6675 | \$663,315 |

As of September 30, 2009, the Investment Pool held investments that were denominated in a currency other than the United States Dollar, as summarized below:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Asset Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Equities | Fixed <br> Income | Swaps | Total |
| Australian Dollar | \$1,695 | \$26,371 | \$8,543 | \$(267) | \$36,342 |
| Canadian Dollar | 110 | 22,315 | - | - | 22,425 |
| Swiss Franc | 43 | 37,127 | - | - | 37,170 |
| Danish Krone | 90 | 5,144 | - | - | 5,234 |
| Euro | 1,424 | 233,083 | 21,621 | - | 256,128 |
| Pound Sterling | 127 | 76,495 | - | - | 76,622 |
| Hong Kong Dollar | 66 | 32,808 | - | - | 32,874 |
| Japanese Yen | 1,347 | 123,930 | 3,188 | - | 128,465 |
| South Korean Won | - | - | - | - |  |
| Norwegian Krone | 409 | 4,918 | - | - | 5,327 |
| New Taiwan Dollar | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Zealand Dollar | 49 | 225 | - | - | 274 |
| Swedish Krona | 1,312 | 15,362 | - | - | 16,674 |
| Singapore Dollar | 55 | 6,384 | - | - | 6,439 |
| South African Rand | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mexican Peso | 3 | - | - | (4) | (1) |
| Brazilian Real | - | - | 1,442 | (14) | 1,428 |
| Total Foreign | \$6,730 | \$584,162 | \$34,794 | \$(285) | \$625,401 |

Securities Lending Transactions - District statutes and the Board's policies permit the District Retirement Funds to participate in securities lending transactions by relying on a Securities Lending Authorization Agreement, which authorizes the master custodian to lend the Board's securities to qualified brokerdealers and banks pursuant to a form of loan agreement.

During 2010 and 2009, the master custodian, at the direction of the Board, loaned certain of the District Retirement Funds' equity and fixed income securities for which it received collateral in the form of United States and foreign currency cash, securities issued or guaranteed by the United States government, the sovereign debt of foreign countries and irrevocable bank letters of credit. This collateral could not be pledged or sold unless the borrower defaulted
on the loan. Borrowers were required to deliver and maintain collateral for each loan in an amount equal to (i) at least $102 \%$ of the market value of the loaned security in the United States; or (ii) $105 \%$ of the market value of the loaned securities in the case of loaned securities not denominated in United States dollars or whose primary trading market was not located in the United States.

The Board and the borrowers maintained the right to terminate all securities lending transactions on demand. The cash collateral received on each loan was invested, together with the cash collateral of other qualified tax-exempt plan lenders, in a collective investment pool (the Quality D Fund). The Quality D Fund does not meet the requirements of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940, and accordingly, the master custodian has valued the Fund's investments at fair value for reporting purposes.

The Quality D Fund is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The master custodian, and consequently the investment vehicles it sponsors (including the Quality D Fund), are subject to the oversight of the Federal Reserve Board and the Massachusetts Commissioner of Banks. The fair value of the Funds' position in the Quality Fund is not the same as the value of the District Retirement Funds' shares.

There was no involuntary participation in an external investment pool by the Quality D Fund and there was no income from one fund that was assigned to another fund by the master custodian during 2010 or 2009.

During 2010 and 2009, the Board did not restrict the amount of the loans that the master custodian made on its behalf. There were no failures by any borrowers to return loaned securities or pay distributions thereon and there were no losses resulting from a default of the borrowers or the master custodian during 2010 and 2009.

The Quality D Fund invests cash collateral from loans of U.S. and non-U.S. equities, U.S. corporate fixed income securities, U.S. Government securities
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and sovereign debt. It had a weighted average maturity of 44.24 days and an average expected maturity of 216.27 days. Because the loans were terminable at will, their duration did not generally match the duration of the investments made withcash collateral. Investments are restricted to issuers with a short-term credit rating not lower than A1/P1, or long-term ratings not lower than $\mathrm{A}-/ \mathrm{A} 3$, or the equivalent thereof. The Quality D Fund may invest in other investment vehicles managed by the master custodian provided they conform to fund guidelines.

On September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Board had no credit risk exposure to borrowers.

As of September 30, 2010 the fair value of securities on loan was $\$ 505,146,361$. Associated collateral totaling $\$ 519,562,273$ was comprised of cash which was invested in the Quality D Fund. As of September 30, 2010 the invested cash collateral had a fair value of $\$ 515,203,003$.

As of September 30, 2009 the fair value of securities on loan was $\$ 468,081,000$. Associated collateral totaling \$482,328,000 was comprised of cash which was invested in the Quality D Fund. As of September 30, 2009 the invested cash collateral had a fair value of $\$ 470,807,000$.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, market value of the shares in the Quality D Fund purchased with cash collateral by the lending agent was less than the cost, resulting in an unrealized loss of \$4,359,270 and \$11,521,000, respectively.

Net security lending income is composed of three components: gross income, broker rebates, and agent fees. Gross income is equal to earnings on cash collateral received in a security lending transaction. A broker rebate is the cost of using that cash collateral. Agent fees represent the fees paid to the agent for administering the lending program. Net security lending income is equal to gross income less broker rebates and agent fees. Security lending income for fiscal year 2010 and 2009 was recorded on a cash basis which approximated the accrual basis. The Fund's
share of securities lending income and expense are on page 33 .

Derivative Investments - Derivatives are generally defined as contracts in which the value depends on, or derives from, the value of an underlying asset, reference rate, or index. Derivative investments generally contain exposure to credit risk, market risk, and/ or legal risk. Credit risk is the exposure to the default of another party to the transaction (counterparty). Market risk is the exposure to changes in the market, such as a change in interest rates, currency exchange rates, or a change in the price or principal value of a security. The Board believes that all contracts entered into are legally permissible in accordance with the policy of the Board.

During 2010 and 2009, the District Retirement Funds, in accordance with the policy of the Board, and through the District Retirement Funds' investment managers who have full discretion over investment decisions, invested in various derivative instruments either to increase potential earnings or to hedge against potential losses. See page 36 for a list of the derivatives aggregated by type and see below for a description of these derivatives.

TBAs (sometimes referred to as "dollar rolls") are used by the District Retirement Funds as an alternative to holding mortgage-backed securities outright to raise the potential yield and to reduce transaction costs. The selected TBAs are used because they are expected to behave the same in duration and convexity as mortgage-backed securities with identical credit, coupon and maturity features. Credit risk is managed by limiting these transactions to primary dealers.

Market risk for this type of security is not significantly different from the market risk for mortgagebacked securities.

Foreign currency forward, futures contracts and foreign currency options are generally used by the District Retirement Funds for defensive purposes. These
contracts hedge a portion of the District Retirement Funds' exposure to particular currencies on occasion when significant adverse short-term movement in exchange rate levels is expected.

Foreign currency forward and futures contracts can pose market risk when the maximum potential loss on a particular contract is greater than the value of the underlying investment. Market risks arise due to movements in the foreign exchange rates underlying the contracts used by the District Retirement Funds. Credit risk is managed by limiting transactions to counterparties with short-termcredit ratings of Al or Pl or by trading on organized exchanges. Market risk for currency options is limited to the purchase cost. Credit risk is managed bylimiting transactions to counterparties with investment-grade ratings or by trading on organized exchanges.

Equity index futures were also used by the District Retirement Funds in order to gain exposure to equity markets in a more efficient and liquid manner than directly investing in all of the underlying equity securities. Equity index futures may pose market risk when the maximum potential loss on a particular contract is greater than the value of the underlying investment. Market risks arise due to movements in the equities markets underlying the contracts used by the District Retirement Funds. The notional amounts of the contracts are not included in the derivatives holdings disclosed. Credit risk is managed by dealing with member firms of the futures exchanges.

Exchange-traded and over-the-counter bond futures and options are used by the District Retirement Funds to gain exposure to fixed income markets in a more efficient and liquid manner than by purchasing the underlying bonds. Market risk for these options is limited to purchase cost. Credit risk is managed by limiting transactions to counterparties with invest-ment-grade ratings or by trading with member firms of organized exchanges.

Warrants are used by the District Retirement Funds to gain equity exposure and to enhance performance. Warrants are often distributed by issuers to holdings of common stock and bonds, and are held for the same fundamental reasons as the original common stock and/or bond holdings. Market risk is limited to the purchase cost. Credit risk is similar to the underlying equity and/or bond holdings. Again, all such risks are monitored and managed by the District Retirement Funds' external investment managers who have full discretion over such investment decisions.

Swaps represent an agreement between two or more parties to exchange a sequence of cash flows during a predetermined timeframe. The District
Retirement Funds utilize swaps for several different reasons: to manage interest rate fluctuations, to protect against a borrower default, and to gain market exposure without having to actually own the asset.

The District Retirement Funds may manage credit exposure through the use of credit default swaps. A credit default swap (CDS) is a contract whereby the credit risk associated with an investment is transferred by entering into an agreement with another party who, in exchange for periodic fees, agrees to make payments in the event of a default or other predetermined credit event. One of the main advantages of a CDS is that it allows for exposure to credit risk while limiting exposure to other risks such as interest rate and currency risk.

The District Retirement Funds also hold derivative instruments indirectly by participating in pooled, commingled, or short-term funds that hold derivatives. Information regarding any risks associated with these holdings is not generally available.
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The following is a list of derivatives aggregated by type as of September 30, 2010:

| Type of Derivative | Changes in Fair Value (4) |  | Fair Value at September 30, 2010 |  | Notional (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classification | Amount (1) | Classification | Amount (2) |  |
| Credit Default Swaps | Investment Inc. | 772,427 | Swaps | 2,639,516 | 95,278,119 |
| Fixed Income Futures Long | Investment Inc. | 3,675,520 | Futures | - | 54,600,000 |
| Fixed Income Futures Short | Investment Inc. | $(4,132,561)$ | Futures | - | $(27,200,000)$ |
| Fixed Income Options Written | Investment Inc. | 2,118,009 | Options | $(722,835)$ | $(184,100,000)$ |
| Foreign Currency Options Bought | Investment Inc. | $(572,588)$ | Options | - |  |
| Foreign Currency Options Written | Investment Inc. | 92,652 | Options | - |  |
| Futures Options Written | Investment Inc. | 485,751 | Options | - |  |
| FX Forwards | Investment Inc. | $(718,618)$ | LT Instruments | $(1,745,175)$ | 66,643,843 |
| Index Futures Long | Investment Inc. | 2,178,964 | Futures | - | 20,455 |
| Interest Rate Swaps | Investment Inc. | $(522,727)$ | Swaps | 675,311 | 79,060,000 |
| Rights | Investment Inc. | $(3,052,785)$ | Common Stock | - |  |
| TBA Transactions Long | Investment Inc. | 6,624,925 | LT Instruments | $(345,116)$ | 153,800,000 |
| TBA Transactions Short | Investment Inc. | 38,192 | LT Instruments | - |  |
| Warrants | Investment Inc. | $(64,071)$ | Common Stock | 88,375 |  |
| Grand Totals |  | 6,923,090 |  | 590,076 |  |
| (1) Negative values (in brackets) refer to losses |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Negative values refer to liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Notional may be a dollar amount or size of underlying for futures and options, negative values refer to short positions |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note 5: Commitments

As of September 30, 2010, the District Retirement Funds have entered into investment funding commitments related to alternative investments to fund an additional $\$ 216$ million at some future date.

## Note 6: Actuarial Information

The actuarial funding method used is the Aggregate Actuarial Cost Method. Under this method, the District must contribute the level percent of pay that combined with the actuarial value of assets, expected earnings, and future employee contributions, will pay for the benefits of the current participants by the time the current workforce leaves employment. This method does not separately amortize unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities. Effective for the October 1, 2007, valuation date the District Retirement Funds were required by GASB 50 to use the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method to determine the accrued liabilities.

The funded status of each plan as of October 1, 2009, the most recent actuarial valuation date, is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actuarial Value | Actuarial Accrued | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued | Ratio of AVA to | Annual Covered | UAAL as a \% of Annual Covered |
| Plan | of Assets (AVA) | Liability (AAL) | Liability (UAAL) | AAL | Payroll | Payroll |
| Teachers | \$1,445,000 | \$1,304,500 | \$ $(140,500)$ | 110.8\% | \$336,600 | -41.74\% |
| Fire \& Police | 3,048,400 | 3,027,900 | $(20,500)$ | 100.7\% | 436,100 | -4.70\% |

The funded status of each plan as of October 1, 2008 is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unfunded |  |  |  | Annual | UAAL as a \% of |
|  | Actuarial Value | Actuarial Accrued | Actuarial Accrued | Ratio of AVA to | Covered | Annual Covered |
| Plan | of Assets (AVA) | Liability (AAL) | Liability (UAAL) | AAL | Payroll | Payroll |
| Teachers | \$1,447,600 | \$1,338,000 | \$ $(109,600)$ | 108.2\% | \$359,100 | -30.52\% |
| Fire \& Police | 2,932,100 | 2,938,800 | 6,700 | 99.8\% | 421,800 | 1.59\% |

Fiscal year 2010 employer contributions required and contributions made are as follows:

|  | $\underline{\text { Based on Actuarial Valuation Date }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\underline{\text { October 1 }}$ |  |  |  |
| Teachers | 2008 |  |  |  |
| Annual Required Contribution |  | Percentage Contributed |  |  |
| Fire and Police | 2008 | 132,300 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |

Fiscal year 2009 employer contributions required and contributions made are as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\underline{\text { Based on Actuarial Valuation Date }}$ |  |  |
| Teachers | $\underline{\text { October 1 }}$ | $\underline{\text { Annual Required Contribution }}$ | $\underline{\text { Percentage Contributed }}$ |
| Fire and Police | 2007 | $\$-$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
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The calculation of the actuarial value of assets includes a smoothing of investment gains and losses over a seven year period. For the year ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 the District Retirement Funds experienced an investment gain of $10.6 \%$ and an investment loss of $2.2 \%$, respectively. The impact of these investment losses will be recognized over the next seven years and could have an impact on the funding ratio in the future.

See Required Supplementary Information (RSI) on page 40 for a 6-year schedule of employer contributions.

The funding progress and employer contribution information presented above and the employer contribution information in the RSI schedule were determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the date indicated. Additional information for the District Retirement Funds as of the valuation date October 1:

|  | 2009* | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial cost method for contributions | Aggregate | Aggregate |
| Actuarial cost method for accrued liabilities | Entry Age Normal | Entry Age <br> Normal |
| Amortization method | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Remaining amortization period | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Asset valuation method | Actuarial <br> value: 1/7 <br> excess earnings subtracted from expected actuarial value, limited to an $80 \%$ to $120 \%$ corridor around market value | Actuarial value: $1 / 7$ excess earnings subtracted from expected actuarial value |
| Actuarial assumptions: |  |  |
| Investment rate of return | 7\% | 7\% |
| Projected salary increases: |  |  |
| Police Officers and Fire Fighters | 4.80-10.00\% | 5.30-10.00\% |
| Teachers | 5.00-8.90\% | 5.00-8.90\% |
| Includes inflation at | 4\% | 4\% |
| Cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) | 4\% | 4\% |
| COLAs for Post November 10, 1996 hires | Limited to 3.00\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Limited to } \\ 3.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |

## Note 7: Contribution Receivable - Disctrict of Columbia

During the fiscal year 2007, the Board's actuary was engaged by the District of Columbia Public Schools to review active participant data in order to verify eligibility. The actuary noted a number of participants who should have been enrolled in the Plan, but were wrongly enrolled in the defined contribution plan of the District. The actuary also noted a number of active participants whose contribution rates were wrongly coded and those who should not have enrolled in the Plan.

The actuary used the Entry Age Normal method to estimate the amount receivable from the District of Columbia. The total actuarial impact including interest through October 1, 2011 is estimated to be approximately $\$ 9,000,000$. The District of Columbia has accrued for this amount in its government-wide financial statements as of September 30, 2010. The Board and the District of Columbia agreed to amortize this balance over three years with payments to begin in fiscal year 2010. As of September 30, 2010 and 2009 the balance was $\$ 5,609,000$ and $\$ 8,219,000$, respectively. Two payments of $\$ 3$ million were received on October 30, 2010 and 2009.

[^1]
## Schedule of Funding Progress

(Dollar amounts in thousands)


[^2]Schedule of Employer Contributions
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| TEACHERS' RETIREMENT FUND |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Annual Required Contributions | Percentage Contributions |
| 2010 | $\$-$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | - | $100 \%$ |
| 2008 | 14,600 | $100 \%$ |
| 2007 | 16,500 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | 9,200 | $100 \%$ |
| 2005 | 2,900 | $100 \%$ |


| POLICE OFFICERS AND FIRE FIGHTERS' RETIREMENT FUND |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Annual Required Contributions | Percentage Contributions |
| 2010 | $\$ 132,300$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 106,000 | $100 \%$ |
| 2008 | 137,000 | $100 \%$ |
| 2007 | 140,100 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | 117,500 | $100 \%$ |
| 2005 | 112,100 | $100 \%$ |

## Schedule of Administrative Expenses

|  | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2009}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personnel Services |  |  |
| Salaries | \$3,262,848 | \$2,888,707 |
| Fringe benefits | 907,006 | 716,247 |
| Total personnel services | 4,169,854 | 3,604,954 |
| Non-personnel services |  |  |
| Professional services: |  |  |
| Legal counsel | 36,902 | 246,282 |
| Auditing services | 66,000 | 66,000 |
| Actuarial services | 107,573 | 92,796 |
| Investment advisors and consultants | 12,233,789 | 11,138,012 |
| Consultants and contracts | 2,329,026 | 2,371,368 |
| Office supplies | 119,814 | 119,979 |
| Telephone | 12,696 | 16,791 |
| Rent | 1,418,772 | 1,378,513 |
| Office support | 113,747 | 60,080 |
| Travel | 45,397 | 66,767 |
| Printing | 34,867 | 19,110 |
| Insurance | 130,761 | 110,853 |
| Postage | 9,880 | 73,262 |
| Dues and membership | 51,136 | 59,861 |
| Depreciation | 6,328 | 18,278 |
| Furniture and equipment | 65,075 | 62,990 |
| Total non-personnel services | 16,781,763 | 15,900,942 |
| Total administrative expenses | 20,951,617 | 19,505,896 |
| Investment expenses | (13,478,613) | $(12,261,344)$ |
| Net administrative expenses | \$7,473,004 | \$7,244,552 |

## Schedule of Investment Expenses

|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Investment managers* | $\$ 11,979,562$ | $\$ 10,675,572$ |
| Investment administrative expense | 789,928 | 735,424 |
| Investment consultants | 454,896 | 531,241 |
| Investment custodian | $\underline{254,227}$ | $\underline{319,107}$ |
| Total investment expenses | $\underline{\$ 13,478,613}$ | $\underline{\$ 12,261,344}$ |

* Fees paid to traditional investment managers only; traditional investment managers are those that invest primarily in public equity and fixed income securities.


## Schedule of Payments to Consultants

| Professional/Consultant | Nature of Service | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Consultants |  |  |  |
| MTG Management Consultants LLC | Information technology consulting | \$645,598 | \$525,817 |
| U.S. Treasury Office of D.C. Pensions | Benefit payment processing | 633,222 | 588,323 |
| Robert Half International, Inc. | Information technology consulting | 142,443 | 19,499 |
| NGEN, LLC | Information technology consulting | 122,743 | 99,231 |
| Newlin LLC | Accounting \& audit consulting | 115,588 | 108,299 |
| EFI Actuaries | Actuarial services | 74,793 | 92,796 |
| Clifton Gunderson | Financial audit | 66,000 | 66,000 |
| HCL America, Inc. | Information technology consulting | 49,034 |  |
| Morgan, Lewis \& Brokius | Legal counsel | 33,534 | 144,080 |
| Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting | Actuarial services | 32,780 |  |
| Efusion Consulting, LLC | Information technology consulting | 28,000 |  |
| Oldaker, Belair \& Wittie, LLP | Legal counsel | 27,810 | 32,445 |
| Groom Law Group | Legal counsel | 22,900 | 37,550 |
| Projility, Inc. | Information technology consulting | 20,000 |  |
| Buch Construction, Inc. | Building improvement | 17,917 |  |
| DC Net | City-wide fiber optic network | 14,535 |  |
| Graves, Horton, Askew \& Johns | Legal counsel | 14,003 |  |
| Nupulse Technologies, Inc. | Information technology consulting | 12,097 |  |
| EDAC Systems, Inc. | Information technology consulting | 11,744 |  |
| AON Consulting | Insurance consulting | 8,000 |  |
| HBP, Inc. | Graphic design for publications | 7,648 |  |
| Cooperative Personnel Service | Human resource consulting | 6,035 |  |
| DLT Solutions | Information technology consulting | 5,894 |  |
| Phoenix Graphics | Graphic design for publications | 1,600 |  |
| AES Electrical, Inc. | Electrical systems consulting | 283 |  |
| Document Systems, Inc. | Information technology consulting | - | 586,703 |
| Marc A. Rigrodsky | Legal counsel | - | 64,652 |
| American Arbitration Association | Board elections | - | 33,128 |
| True Ballot, Inc | Board elections | - | 21,842 |
| CostTrend Consulting | Contract and accounting systems consulting | - | 15,978 |
| Total administrative consultants |  | 2,114,201 | 2,436,343 |
| Investment Consulting |  |  |  |
| Watson Wyatt \& Company | Traditional investment consulting | 216,563 | 286,458 |
| Meketa Investment Group | Traditional investment consulting | 73,333 |  |
| Plexus Group | Traditional investment consulting | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Ennis, Knupp \& Associates, Inc. | Asset liability study | - | 76,450 |
| The Townsend Group | Traditional investment consulting | 140,000 | 143,333 |
| Total investment consultants |  | 454,896 | 531,241 |
| Total payments to consultants |  | \$2,569,097 | \$2,967,584 |

## Investment Section

Introduction ..... 45
Investment Objectives and Policies ..... 45
FY 2010 Global Market Overview. ..... 45
FY 2010 Investment Results. ..... 46
Asset Allocation ..... 46
Report on Investment Activity. ..... 46
List of Largest Assets Held ..... 48
Schedule of Fees and Commissions ..... 49
InvestmentSummary ..... 49

## Report on Investment Activity

## Prepared by Sheila Morgan-Johnson

Chief Investment Officer

## Introduction

The District of Columbia Retirement Board, a defined benefit plan, manages and controls the assets belonging to the Teachers' Retirement Fund as well as the Police Officers' and Firefighters' Retirement Fund ("Funds" or "Total Fund"). DCRB is charged by law with responsibility for the investment of these assets.

DCRB retains the services of investment advisors to manage individual investment portfolios. These professional investment managers acknowledge their fiduciary responsibility in writing and possess the necessary specialized research facilities and skills. Each investment manager is accorded full discretion, within general and specific investment manager policy guidelines, to select and time purchase and sale transactions and to appropriately diversify assets.

## Investment Objectives and Policies

DCRB seeks long-term investment returns in excess of the actuarial investment assumption at a level of risk commensurate with the expected levels of return and consistent with sound and responsible investment practices. The assumed actuarial investment rate is currently set at $7.0 \%$, net of investment management fees and administrative expenses. In addition to exceeding the actuarial return target over the long term, a secondary return objective is to exceed the annualized total return of DCRB's strategic asset allocation policy benchmark (the "Total Fund Benchmark"). As of September 30, 2010, the Total Fund Benchmark consisted of the following:

As a long-term investor, DCRB believes that it can generate the highest risk-adjusted returns through a diversified portfolio with an emphasis on equity investments. Although equities may have a greater volatility than other asset classes in the short-term, if properly diversified, they are likely to yield higher returns in the long-term. In addition, while DCRB generally believes in the value of active management, it has pursued passive investment strategies (e.g., index funds) in more efficient markets, where active managers have a lower likelihood of generating returns in excess of their benchmarks.

## FY 2010 Global Market Review

Following a strong rally in global capital markets during the second half of FY 2009, markets continued their upward trend during the first two quarters of FY 2010. The third quarter of FY 2010 saw a sharp reversal of this positive trend, with investors becoming increasingly worried about the sustainability of the global recovery, particularly in light of the sovereign debt crisis in Greece and other troubled European economies. However, equity markets rose again sharply during the fourth quarter of FY 2010, as fears of a double-dip receded in anticipation of new monetary stimulus provided by the Federal Reserve.

In terms of the cumulative performance over FY 2010, the Russell 3000 Index, an index measuring the performance of the 3,000 largest U.S. companies based on total market capitalization and that represents approximately $98 \%$ of the investable U.S. equity market, increased $11.0 \%$. Non-U.S. equities markets, as measured by the MSCI All Country World Index ex U.S., rose $8.4 \%$, partially aided by the appreciation of non-

| Asset Class | Performance Benchmark | Weight |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| U.S. Equities | Russell 3000 Index | $40 \%$ |
| Non-U.S. Equities | MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI) ex-U.S. | $20 \%$ |
| Fixed Income | Barclays Capital U.S. Universal Bond Index | $25 \%$ |
| Alternative Investments | 60\% Cambridge Associates U.S. Private Equity Index / 40\% 1-Month LIBOR | $10 \%$ |
| Real Estate | 80\% NCREIF ODCE Index / 20\% Wilshire Real Estate Securities Index | $5 \%$ |

## Investment Section

U.S. currencies vs. the U.S. dollar. Within the non-U.S. equities segment, emerging markets once again outperformed developed markets, generating a positive return of $20.2 \%$ vs. $3.3 \%$ (in U.S. dollar terms). Over the same period, the Barclays Capital U.S. Universal Bond Index, a broad measure of U.S. fixed income markets, appreciated 8.9\%.

## FY 2010 Investment Results

As of September 30, 2010, the Fund's total assets were $\$ 4.24$ billion after the payment of all benefits and all other administrative expenses, an increase of approximately $\$ 500$ million compared to the end of FY 2009. Over the fiscal year, the Fund generated a gross return of $10.3 \%$, performing in line with the Total Fund Benchmark. The relative outperformance of the Non-U.S. Equities, Fixed Income, and Alternative Investments segments was entirely offset by sub-par returns in the Real Estate program and an overweight to Cash and Cash Equivalents, which caused a drag on total fund performance in the rising market environment.

Over the longer term, the Fund has underperformed the Total Fund Benchmark, with the Fund returning an annualized $3.4 \%$ for the 10 -year period ended September 30, 2010, versus $4.0 \%$ for the Total Fund Benchmark. However, the Fund has outperformed its actuarial return target for the 28-year period since its inception in October 1982. Over this period, the Fund generated an annualized return of 9.1\%.

Presented in the table on the following page are the gross returns for the Fund and for each asset class over the one, three, five, and ten-year time periods as of September 30, 2010. The returns were calculated by the Board's custodian bank, State Street, and are time-weighted returns computed in conformance with the CFA Institute's Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS). Benchmark returns are presented below each asset class for relative performance comparison purposes.

## Asset Allocation

During FY 2010, DCRB maintained its strategic asset allocation targets, which are based on an asset/liability study conducted by Watson Wyatt in FY 2003. The study was most recently reviewed by Ennis Knupp in FY 2009. The current asset class targets are as follows:

|  | Target <br> Asset Class | Allowable <br> Range | Actual <br> Allocation <br> $\mathbf{9 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Equities | $40.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%-45.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| Non-U.S. Equities | $20.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%-25.0 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| Fixed Income* | $25.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%-30.0 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| Real Estate | $5.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%-8.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Alternative |  |  |  |
| Investments | $10.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%-13.0 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| Cash and Cash | $0.0 \%$ | - | $2.3 \%$ |
| Equivalents | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |

${ }^{*}$ Includes private infrastructure investments (1.4\%).
As of September 30, 2010, five out of six asset classes were within their respective target allocation ranges. The Alternative Investments asset class exceeded its target allocation range by $1.5 \%$. The overweight was driven by two factors: 1) strong performance by Bridgewater, DCRB's multi-strategy hedge fund manager and 2) funding of private equity commitments made in prior years. In October 2010, Bridgewater made a significant profit distribution to DCRB, which brought the actual allocation to within $1 \%$ of the target.

## Report on Investment Activity

In June 2010, DCRB retained Meketa Investment Group as its general investment consultant. Meketa, which replaces Towers Watson, is currently reviewing the Fund's strategic asset allocation policy. As a result, DCRB's asset allocation targets and allowable ranges may be revised during FY 2011. In addition, DCRB is currently in the process of conducting a search for a real assets consultant.

There were no investment manager changes during FY 2010.

| Gross Annualized Returns <br> For Periods Ended September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Class | 1-Year | 3-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year |
| Total Fund | 10.3\% | -3.6\% | 2.9\% | 3.4\% |
| Total Fund Benchmark ${ }^{1}$ | 10.4\% | -1.9\% | 4.3\% | 4.0\% |
| Actuarial Assumed Rate of Return | 7.0\% | 7.2\% | 7.2\% | 7.2\% |
| U.S. Equities | 11.0\% | -7.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% |
| Russell 3000 Index | 11.0\% | -6.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% |
| Non-U.S. Equities | 8.8\% | -6.3\% | 4.8\% | 3.5\% |
| MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI) ex U.S. ${ }^{2}$ | 7.6\% | -7.4\% | 4.2\% | 3.7\% |
| Fixed Income | 11.5\% | 6.6\% | 5.9\% | 7.0\% |
| Barclays Capital U.S. Universal Index ${ }^{3}$ | 8.9\% | 7.3\% | 6.2\% | 6.4\% |
| Alternative Investments | 17.1\% | 2.8\% | 5.5\% | 0.1\% |
| 60\% Cambridge Associates U.S. Private Equity Index / 40\% 1-Month LIBOR ${ }^{4}$ | 11.4\% | 0.3\% | 8.6\% | 6.9\% |
| Real Estate | -6.0\% | -15.7\% | -3.8\% | -1.0\% |
| 80\% NCREIF ODCE Index / 20\% Wilshire U.S. Real Estate Securities Index ${ }^{5}$ | 11.8\% | -3.3\% | 4.1\% | 7.5\% |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 3.1\% | 2.8\% |
| 3-month U.S. Treasury Bills | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |

${ }^{1}$ The Total Fund Benchmark currently is a composite of $40 \%$ Russell 3000 Index, 20\% MSCI All Country World Index ex U.S., $25 \%$ Barclays Capital U.S. Universal Bond Index, 6\% Cambridge Associates U.S. Private Equity Index, 4\% 1-Month LIBOR, 4\% NCREIF ODCE Index, $1 \%$ Wilshire U.S. Real Estate Securities Index. From 4/1/03 to 3/31/06: $40 \%$ Russell 3000 Index, 20\% MSCI EAFE Index, 25\% Lehman Brothers Aggregate Bond Index, 10\% Cambridge Associates U.S. Private Equity Index, 5\% NCREIF Property Index. From 6/30/99 to 3/31/03: 43.7\% Russell 3000 Index, 20\% MSCI EAFE Index, 30.3\% Lehman Brothers Aggregate Bond Index, 5\% Cambridge Associates U.S. Private Equity Index, 1\% 3-month U.S. Treasury Bills.
${ }^{2}$ Prior to 4/1/06, MSCI EAFE Index.
${ }^{3}$ Prior to 4/1/06, Lehman Brothers Aggregate Bond Index.
${ }^{4}$ Prior to $4 / 1 / 06$, Cambridge Associates U.S. Private Equity Index.
${ }^{5}$ Prior to 10/01/2009, 80\% NCREIF Property Index, 20\% Wilshire U.S. Real Estate Securities Index. Prior to 4/1/06, NCREIF Property Index.
Note: All returns are calculated using time-weighted rates of return.
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## List of Largest Assets Held

|  | Top 10 Public Equity Holdings <br> As of September 30, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Security Name | Shares | Market Value |
| 1 | APPLE INC | 102,508 | $\$ 29,086,645$ |
| 2 | EXXON MOBIL CORP | 339,611 | $\$ 20,984,564$ |
| 3 | GOOGLE INC | 35,433 | $\$ 18,630,317$ |
| 4 | MICROSOFT CORP | 757,768 | $\$ 18,557,738$ |
| 5 | VISA INC | 245,529 | $\$ 18,232,984$ |
| 6 | QUALCOMM INC | 113,700 | $\$ 18,168,831$ |
| 7 | AMAZON.COM INC | 249,887 | $\$ 17,857,722$ |
| 10 | COCA COLA CO/THE | 824,571 | $\$ 14,623,387$ |
|  | PFIZER INC | $1,048,490$ | $\$ 14,157,884$ |


| Top 10 Fixed Income Holdings As of September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Security Name | Quality Rating | Par Value | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Market Value |
| 1 | FNMA TBA OCT 30 SINGLE FAM | AAA | \$52,300,000 | 4.500\% | 12/3/2103 | \$54,457,375 |
| 2 | FNMA TBA SINGLE FAMILY NOV 30 | AAA | \$37,000,000 | 4.000\% | 12/3/2103 | \$37,925,000 |
| 3 | US TREASURY N/B | AAA | \$28,900,000 | 3.625\% | 8/16/2023 | \$31,781,041 |
| 4 | FNMA OCT TBA TBAXXX | AAA | \$28,700,000 | 4.000\% | 12/3/2103 | \$29,498,147 |
| 5 | WI TREASURY SEC | AAA | \$29,230,000 | 1.250\% | 10/1/2019 | \$29,184,343 |
| 6 | US TREASURY N/B | AAA | \$26,500,000 | 3.375\% | 11/16/2023 | \$28,547,655 |
| 7 | UNITED STATES TREAS NTS | AAA | \$22,430,000 | 2.750\% | 2/16/2023 | \$23,269,331 |
| 8 | FNMA POOL 725423 | AAA | \$16,674,373 | 5.500\% | 5/2/2038 | \$17,915,447 |
| 9 | FNMA TBA OCT 30 SINGLE FAM | AAA | \$13,100,000 | 6.000\% | 12/3/2103 | \$14,070,186 |
| 10 | TREASURY BILL | AAA | \$12,373,000 | 0.151\% | 10/15/2014 | \$12,372,531 |

Schedule of Fees and Commissions
For FY 2010, DCRB paid the following fees and commissions:

| Expense Category | Amount | Basis <br> points |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Investment Managers | $\$ 11,979,562$ | 28 bps |
| Investment Consultants | $\$ 454,896$ | 1 bp |
| Investment Custodian | $\$ 254,227$ | 1 bp |
| Brokerage Commissions ${ }^{* *}$ | $\$ 1,758,378$ | 4 bps |

* Includes fees paid to traditional investment managers only. Traditional investment managers are those that invest primarily in public equity and fixed income securities.
** Includes separate account relationships only.

Investment Summary

| Asset Class | Market <br> Value $\$ \mathbf{( 0 0 0 )}$ | of Fund |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| U.S. Equities | $\$ 1,527,773$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| Non-U.S. Equities | $\$ 782,901$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| Fixed Income | $\$ 1,028,725$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| Alternative Investments | $\$ 615,588$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| Real Estate | $\$ 192,224$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | $\$ 96,544$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 4,243,755$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |
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Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting, LLC, under contract with the District of Columbia Retirement Board (DCRB), performed actuarial valuations of the D.C. Police Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement Plan and the D.C. Teachers' Retirement Plan as of October 1,2010. The date of the most recent valuation prior to this, performed by the previous actuary, was October 1, 2009. Valuations are conducted annually for DCRB. In this study, we relied on participant and financial data supplied by DCRB staff, the D.C. Office of Pay and Retirement Services, and the U.S. Department of the Treasury. We examined such data for reasonableness and consistency.

Actuarial funding is based on the Aggregate Cost method. Under this method, the District must contribute the level percent of pay that combined with the actuarial value of assets, expected investment earnings, and future employee contributions - will pay for the benefits of the current participants by the time the current workforce leaves employment.

The funding objective of the Plan is to establish contribution rates that, over time, are likely to remain relatively level as a percentage of payroll. For actuarial valuation purposes, Plan assets are determined at Actuarial Value, recognizing one-seventh of the difference between the expected actuarial value and the actual end of year market value of assets. The purpose of this is to smooth contributions, allowing investment gains and losses to offset each other over time.

We reviewed and accepted the economic and demographic actuarial assumptions selected by the previous actuary as appropriate for Plan funding. Those assumptions were based on the results of an analysis of the Plan's experience performed for the years 2002 through 2006. The assumptions, approved by the Board and used in this most recent valuation, produce results that, in the aggregate, reasonably approximate the anticipated future experience of the Plan. The next experience analysis is expected to cover the years 2006 through 2010.

We provided most of the information used in the supporting schedules in the Actuarial and Statistical Sections, as well as the Schedule of Funding Progress and the employer contributions shown in the Schedule of Employer Contributions in the Financial Section. The historical information is for years prior to our tenure with DCRB. We certify that the valuation was performed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. In particular, the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes meet the parameters of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 25.

The undersigned are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained in the subject valuation report.

Respectfully Submitted,


Thomas J. Cavanaugh, FSA, FCA, EA, MAAA
Chief Executive Officer


Edward J. Koebel, EA, FCA, MAAA
Principal and Consulting Actuary

TJC/EJK:kc
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Required Actuarial Certification Under District of Columbia Code \$1-907

| (in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certification | Code Section | Teachers | Police | Fire | Police/Fire Combined | Total District |
| FY 2012 Normal Contribution Rate | 1-907.03(a)(3)(A) | 0.0\% | 26.4\% | 30.3\% | 27.5\% | 14.7\% |
| Estimated FY 2012 Covered Payroll | N/A | \$405.0 | \$325.7 | \$139.4 | \$465.1 | \$870.1 |
| FY 2012 District Payment before 1-907.02 (c) | N/A | \$0.0 | \$85.8 | \$42.2 | \$128.0 | \$128.0 |
| FY 2010 Shortfall/Overpayment | 1-907.02 (c) | \$0.0 | (\$9.8) | (\$1.6) | (\$11.4) | (\$11.4) |
| FY 2012 District Payment | N/A | \$0.0 | \$76.0 | \$40.6 | \$116.7 | \$116.7 |
| Present Value of Future Benefits | 1-907.03(a)(3)(8) | \$1,671.2 | \$3.525.1 | \$1,612.3 | \$5,137.4 | \$6,808.6 |
| Current Value of Assets | 1-907.03(a)(3)(C) | \$1,314.4 | \$2,103.0 | \$817.8 | \$2,920.8 | \$4,235.2 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 1-907.03(a)(3)(D) | \$1,571.0 | \$2,457.8 | \$961.0 | \$3,418.8 | \$4,989.8 |

Actuarial Assumptions (as selected by the previous actuary)
The non-economic assumptions used for the valuation represent the actuary's best estimates of the future experience for the plans. Upon review of recommended economic assumptions, the Board elected to choose an inflation assumption slightly more conservative than the previous actuary's recommended rate. All assumptions are scheduled to be reviewed during the current fiscal year.

Contribution Adjustment for Teachers Corrections

|  |  | Teachers | Police | Fire | Police/Fire <br> Combined | Total <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FY 2012 District Payment from above |  | $\$-$ | $\$ 76.0$ | $\$ 40.6$ | $\$ 116.7$ | $\$ 116.7$ |
| Additional Payment for Teachers Corrections |  | $\$ 3.0$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 3.0$ |
| Total Payment for FY2012 |  | $\$ 3.0$ | $\$ 76.0$ | $\$ 40.6$ | $\$ 116.7$ | $\$ 119.7$ |
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The asumptions used in the actuarial valuation were adopted by DCRB on the date of the most recent experience study, November 21, 2007. The assumptions adopted by DCRB are as follow:

Vavluation Date: All assets and liabilities are computed as of October 1, 2010. Demographic information was collected as of June 30, 2010.

Investment rate of return: $7.00 \%$ per annum, compounded annually (net of administrative expenses).

Inflation Assumption: 4.25\% per year.
Payroll Growth Assumption:: 4.75\% per year.
Percent Married: 64\% of Teachers are assumed to be married and $80 \%$ of Police Officers and Firefighters are assumed to be married, with the wife 3 years younger than the husband. Active members are assumed to have one dependent child aged 10 .

Actuarial Method for Contributions: Aggregate Cost Method.

Actuarial Method for Accrued Liabilities:: Entry Age Normal Cost Method.

Assets: The method of valuing assets is intended to recognize a "smoothed" market value of assets. Under this method, the difference between actual return on market value from investment experience and the expected return on market value is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets is constrained to an $80 \%$ to $120 \%$ corridor around market value of assets. In addition, there is an adjustment made for the effect of the adjustment pursuant to D.C. Code $\$ 1-907.02(c)$.

Withdrawal Assumption: For Teachers, it was assumed that $35 \%$ of the vested members who terminate elect to withdraw their contributions while the remaining $65 \%$ elect to leave their contributions in the plan in order to be eligible for a benefit at their retirement date. For Po-
lice Officers and Firefighters, it was assumed that $80 \%$ of the vested members who terminate elect to withdraw their contributions while the remaining $20 \%$ elect to leave their contributions in the plan.

Other Assumptions: To value the pre-retirement death benefit for Police Officers and Firefighters, the benefit form for all retirements (normal or disabled) is assumed to be a $67.8 \%$ Joint and Survivor annuity for all participants (based on $40 \%$ of average pay survivor benefits). One-fourth of all Police Officer and Firefighter active deaths are assumed to occur in the line of duty.

Cost of Living Adjustment: The cost of living as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) will increase at the rate of $4.25 \%$ per year.

## Actuarial Section

## Teachers

Salary Increases: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of future salary increases are as follows and include inflation at 4.75\% per annum:

| Years of Service | Pay Increase Assumptions for an Individual Member |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Inflation \& | Increase |
|  | Merit \& Seniority | Productivity (Economy) | (Next Year) |
| 5 | 4.00\% | 4.75\% | 8.75\% |
| 10 | 3.0 | 4.75 | 7.75 |
| 15 | 0.5 | 4.75 | 5.25 |
| 20 | 0.2 | 4.75 | 4.95 |
| 25 | 0.2 | 4.75 | 4.95 |
| 30 | 0.2 | 4.75 | 4.95 |
| 35 | 0.2 | 4.75 | 4.95 |

Separations from Active Service: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of withdrawal, service retirement, and disability are shown in the following tables:

| Percent of Members <br> Separating Within the Next Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Withdrawal |  |  |  | Service Retirement |  |  |  |
| Sample Ages | 0 to 3 <br> yrs of <br> service | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { to } 9 \\ \text { yrs of } \\ \text { service } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \& u p \\ \text { yrs of } \\ \text { service } \end{gathered}$ | Under 30 yrs of service | 30 \& up yrs of service <br> (hired <br> before <br> 11/1/1996) | 30 \& up yrs of service <br> (hired after 11/1/1996) | Disability Retirement |
| 20 | 25.00\% | 18.00\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 0.03\% |
| 25 | 23.5 | 16 | 0 |  |  |  | 0.03 |
| 30 | 22 | 14 | 3.5 |  |  |  | 0.06 |
| 35 | 20.5 | 12 | 3.5 |  |  |  | 0.09 |
| 40 | 19 | 10 | 3.5 |  |  |  | 0.13 |
| 45 | 17.5 | 8 | 3.5 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| 50 | 16 | 8 | 3.5 | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 10.00\% | 0.32 |
| 55 | 14.5 | 8 | 3.5 | 8 | 35 | 35 | 0.55 |
| 60 | 13 | 8 | 3.5 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0.57 |
| 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |
| 65 |  |  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
| 70 |  |  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |

Mortality: The UP-1994 Table with Projection Scale AA is used for healthy active members, retirees, and beneficiaries. The following disability mortality table is used for disabled retirees.sability are shown in the following tables:

| Disabled Retiree Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | Females |  |
| Sample Ages | $2.40 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ |
| 20 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 30 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 40 | 2.45 | 2.4 |
| 50 | 2.59 | 2.4 |
| 60 | 3.81 | 2.4 |
| 70 | 7.21 | 4.14 |
| 80 | 13.16 | 10 |
| 90 | 27.29 | 23.78 |
| 100 |  |  |

## Police Officers

Salary Increases: Police Officers are assumed to receive a longevity increase of $5 \%, 10 \%, 15 \%$, and $20 \%$ applied to individual base pay after $15,20,25$, and 30 years of service. These are approximated by increases of $3.5 \%$ to final average salary. Representative values of the assumed annual rates of future salary increases are as follows and include inflation at $4.75 \%$ per annum:

| Years of Service | Pay Increase Assumptions for an Individual Member |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> Seniority |  <br> Productivity <br> (Economy) | Total <br> Increase <br> (Next Year) |
| 5 | 3.56\% | 4.75\% | 8.31\% |
| 10 | 2.58 | 4.75 | 7.33 |
| 15 | 2.31 | 4.75 | 7.06 |
| 20 | 2.5 | 4.75 | 7.25 |
| 25 | 1.1 | 4.75 | 5.85 |
| 30 | 0.5 | 4.75 | 5.25 |
| 35 | 0 | 4.75 | 4.75 |

Seperations from Active Service: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of withdrawal, service retirement, and disability are shown in the following tables:

| Percent of Members Separating Within the Next Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Withdrawal <br> $\left(3\right.$ years of service \& up) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Dis <br> Reti | bility ment ${ }^{3}$ |
| Sample Ages | Males | Females | Service Retirement ${ }^{2}$ | Males | Females |
| 20 | 6.00\% | 2.50\% |  | 0.22\% | 0.44\% |
| 25 | 6 | 2.5 |  | 0.22 | 0.44 |
| 30 | 3.5 | 2 |  | 0.29 | 0.58 |
| 35 | 2 | 2 |  | 0.43 | 0.85 |
| 40 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 15.00\% | 0.63 | 1.25 |
| 45 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 15 | 0.98 | 1.96 |
| 50 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 22 | 1.63 | 3.25 |
| 55 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 2.89 | 5.78 |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 4.13 | 8.25 |

[^3]Mortality: The UP-1994 Table with Projection scale AA set forward 3 years is used for healthy active members and the UP-1994 Table with Projection Scale AA set forward 2 years is used for retirees and beneficiaries. The following disability mortality table is used for disabled retirees.

| Disabled Retiree Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Ages | Males | Females |
| 20 | $0.90 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ |
| 30 | 0.9 | 0.56 |
| 40 | 0.9 | 0.56 |
| 50 | 0.9 | 0.56 |
| 60 | 1.3 | 0.83 |
| 70 | 2.64 | 1.71 |
| 80 | 6.5 | 4.23 |
| 90 | 15.68 | 12.21 |
| 100 | 57.84 | 56.11 |

## Actuarial Section

## Firefighters

Salary Increases: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of future salary increases are as follows and include inflation at $4.75 \%$ per annum:

| Years of Service | Pay Increase Assumptions for an Individual Member |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Merit \& Seniority |  <br> Productivity <br> (Economy) | Total <br> Increase (Next Year) |
| 5 | 2.50\% | 4.75\% | 7.25\% |
| 10 | 2.5 | 4.75 | 7.25 |
| 15 | 2.5 | 4.75 | 7.25 |
| 20 | 2.5 | 4.75 | 7.25 |
| 25 | 2.5 | 4.75 | 7.25 |
| 30 | 2.5 | 4.75 | 7.25 |
| 35 | 2.5 | 4.75 | 7.25 |

Separations from Active Service: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of withdrawal, service retirement, and disability are shown in the following tables:

| Percent of Members Separating Within the Next Year Withdrawal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample | (2 years of | Disability | Years | Service |
| Ages | service \& up) ${ }^{1}$ | Retirement ${ }^{2}$ | of Service | Retirement ${ }^{3}$ |
| 20 | 2.80\% | 0.14\% | 20 | 12.00\% |
| 25 | 1.87 | 0.14 | 25 | 12 |
| 30 | 1.24 | 0.19 | 30 | 25 |
| 35 | 0.83 | 0.28 | 35 | 35 |
| 40 | 0.55 | 0.41 |  |  |
| 45 | 0.37 | 0.65 |  |  |
| 50 | 0.25 | 1.07 |  |  |
| 55 | 0 | 1.91 |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | 2.72 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Members of any age with less than 2 years of service have a $9 \%$ withdrawal assumption. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ It is assumed that $75 \%$ of the disabilities are due to accidents in the line of duty and the "percent of disability" is assumed to be $100 \%$. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3} 100 \%$ of active members are assumed to retire at age 60. |  |  |  |  |

Mortality: The UP-1994 Table with Projection Scale AA set forward 3 years is used for healthy active members and the UP-1994 Table with Projection Scale AA set forward 2 years is used for retirees and beneficiaries. The following disability mortality table is used for disabled retirees.

| Disabled Retiree Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Ages | Males | Females |
| 20 | $0.90 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ |
| 30 | 0.9 | 0.56 |
| 40 | 0.9 | 0.56 |
| 50 | 0.9 | 0.56 |
| 60 | 1.3 | 0.83 |
| 70 | 2.64 | 1.71 |
| 80 | 6.5 | 4.23 |
| 90 | 15.68 | 12.21 |
| 100 | 57.84 | 56.11 |

## District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Plan

Effective Date: Established on July 1, 1997. The Treasury Department is responsible for paying all benefits accrued before this date.

## Definitions

## Affiliated Employers

District of Columbia Public Schools, Public Charter Schools.

## Covered Members

Permanent, temporary, part-time and probationary teachers for the District of Columbia public day schools become members automatically on their date of employment. Other employees covered by the Retirement of Public School Teachers Act - including librarians, principals, and counselors - also become members on their date of employment. Substitute teachers and employees of the Department of School Attendance and Work Permits are not covered. Some former D.C teachers working at charter schools are eligible to remain in the Program.

## Service Credit

One year of school service is given for each year of employment with DCPS. After years of service are accrued, additional service may be purchased or credited for service outside of DCPS.

## Average Salary

Highest 36 consecutive months of pay, divided by three.

## Vested

Members who accrue five or more years of Service Credit are vested for benefits. If these members leave service they may leave their Member Contribution Accounts with the Plan for a future benefit when reaching eligibility (deferred vested in this report).

## Contributions

## Member Contributions

Members hired before November 1, 1996 are required to contribute $7 \%$ of annual pay. Members hired on or after November 1, 1996 contribute $8 \%$ of annual pay. Members can also make voluntary posttax contributions of up to $10 \%$ of annual pay towards an annuity in addition to any vested pension. Interest is not credited to each Member's accumulated contributions.

## Refund of Member Contributions

In the event a member leaves service for a reason other than death or retirement, member contribution accounts are refunded upon request.

## Service Retirement

## Eligibility

The Age and Service Credit requirements to be eligible for a full Service Retirement are listed below:

Members hired before November 1, 1996

| Age | Service Credit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 30 , including 5 years school service |
| 60 | 20 , including 5 years school service |
| 62 | 5 years school service |

Members hired on and after November 1, 1996

Age Service Credit
Any Age 30, including 5 years school service
602 0, including 5 years school service
625 years school service

## Benefit

For members hired before November 1, 1996:

- $1.5 \%$ of Average Salary times service up to 5 years, plus
- $1.75 \%$ of Average Salary times service between 5 and 10 years, plus
- $2.0 \%$ of Average Salary times service over 10 years.


## Actuarial Section

Provisions Interpreted for Valuation Purposes

## Survivor Benefits

For members hired on or after November 1, 1996:

- $2.0 \%$ of Average Salary times service.

All members receive a minimum benefit of $1.0 \%$ of Average Salary plus $\$ 25$ for each year of service.

## Involuntary Service Retirement

## Eligibility

The Age and Service Credit requirements to be eligible for a Reduced Service Retirement are listed below:

All Members, regardless of date of hire

## Age Service Credit <br> Any Age 25, including 5 years school service <br> $50 \quad 20$, including 5 years school service

## Benefit

Service Retirement Benefit reduced by $1 / 6 \%$ per month (or $2 \%$ per year) that date of retirement precedes age 55 .

## Disability Retirement

## Eligibility

Active members with five or more years of school service credit are covered (vested) for disability retirement. To be eligible, the member must be found to be totally and permanently disabled (mentally or physically) from regular and gainful employment.

## Benefit

Equal to Service Retirement benefit. Minimum benefit is the lesser of a) or b):
a) $40 \%$ of Average Salary
b) Calculated benefit amount by projecting service to age 60 .

## Lump Sum

Eligibility
Death before completion of 18 months of school service or death without an eligible spouse, child or parent.

## Benefit

Refund of member contributions.

## Spouse Only <br> Eligibility

Death before retirement and married for at least two years, or have a child by the marriage.

## Benefit

$55 \%$ of Service Retirement benefit. Minimum benefit is the lesser of $a$ ) or $b$ ):
a) $55 \%$ of $40 \%$ of Average Salary
b) $55 \%$ of the calculated benefit amount by projecting service to age 60 .

## Spouse and Dependent Children Eligibility

Death before retirement and married for at least two years, or have a child by the marriage. Children must be unmarried and under age 18, or 22 if full-time student. Also, any dependent child because of a disability incurred before age 18. Death does not have to occur before retirement for the children's benefit.

## Spouse Benefit

$55 \%$ of Service Retirement benefit. Minimum benefit is the lesser of a ) or b ):
a) $55 \%$ of $40 \%$ of Average Salary
b) $55 \%$ of the calculated benefit amount by projecting service to age 60 .

## Child Benefit

A benefit per child equal to the smallest of a) or b) or c):
a) $60 \%$ of Average Salary divided by the number of eligible children
b) $\$ 6,024^{*}$ (if hired before $1 / 1 / 1980$ ), $\$ 5,820^{*}$ (if hired between $1 / 1 / 1980$ and $10 / 31 / 1996$ ), or $\$ 5,700^{*}$ (if hired on or after $11 / 1 / 1996$ ) per child
c) $\$ 18,072^{*}$ (if hired before $1 / 1 / 1980$ ), $\$ 17,460^{*}$ (if hired between $1 / 1 / 1980$ and $10 / 31 / 1996$ ), or $\$ 17,460^{*}$ (if hired on or after $11 / 1 / 1996$ ) divided by the number of children.

## Dependent Children Only Eligibility

Children must be unmarried and under age 18, or 22 if full-time student. Also, any dependent child because of a disability incurred before age 18. Death does not have to occur before retirement for the children's benefit.

## Benefit

A benefit per child equal to the smallest of a) or b) or c):
d) $75 \%$ of Average Salary divided by the number of eligible children
e) $\$ 7,356^{*}$ (if hired before $1 / 1 / 1980$ ), $\$ 7,092^{*}$ (if hired between $1 / 1 / 1980$ and $10 / 31 / 1996$ ), or $\$ 6,912^{*}$ (if hired on or after 11/1/1996) per child
f) $\$ 22,068^{*}$ (if hired before $1 / 1 / 1980$ ), $\$ 21,276^{*}$ (if hired between $1 / 1 / 1980$ and $10 / 31 / 1996$ ), or $\$ 20,736^{*}$ (if hired on or after $11 / 1 / 1996$ ) divided by the number of children.
*Survivor benefit amounts are as of March 2009, and are subject to annual inflation adjustments.

## Parents Only

Eligibility
Death before retirement and no eligible spouse or children, and parents must receive at least one-half of their total income from member.

## Benefit

$55 \%$ of Service Retirement benefit. Minimum benefit is the lesser of a) or b):
a) $55 \%$ of $40 \%$ of Average Salary
b) $55 \%$ of the calculated benefit amount by projecting service to age 60 .

## Deferred Vested Retirement

## Eligibility

Active members with five or more years of school service credit.

## Benefit

Benefit is calculated in the same manner as Service Retirement benefit and may be collected starting at age 62.

## Options

Retirement and disability benefits are payable for the life of the retired member. Optional reduced benefits may be elected at the time of retirement to provide for continuation of a reduced benefit amount to a designated beneficiary. Optional forms include:
a) Reduced Annuity with a Maximum Survivor Annuity (to Spouse) Reduced benefit paid to member so that upon member's death, the spouse will receive $55 \%$ of the unreduced normal life annuity. Member's benefit is reduced by $2.5 \%$ of retirement benefit, up to $\$ 3,600$, plus $10 \%$ of any retirement benefit over \$3,600.
b) Reduced Annuity with a Partial Survivor Annuity (to Spouse) Reduced benefit paid to member so that upon member's death, the spouse will receive a partial annuity that can range from $\$ 1$ up to $55 \%$ of

## Actuarial Section

Provisions Interpreted for Valuation Purposes
the unreduced normal life annuity amount. Member's benefit is reduced by the same amount as option a, multiplied by the ratio of the chosen benefit percent to the maximum benefit percent (55\%).
c) Reduced Annuity with a Life Insurance Benefit Member elects a life insurance amount, payable in a lump sum to designated beneficiary upon member's death.
d) Reduced Annuity with a Survivor Annuity to a Person with an Insurable Interest A 55\% joint and survivor annuity where the original benefit is reduce by $10 \%$ plus an additional $5 \%$ for each full 5 years, up to 25 years, that the designated beneficiary is younger than the member. Maximum reduction is $40 \%$ for any beneficiary who is 25 or more years younger than the member.

## Cost of Living Adjustments

Each year on March 1st, benefits which have been paid for at least twelve months proceeding March 1 st are increased. The increase is equal to the annual CPI. COLA's are included in benefit payments on and after April 1st.

For members hired on or after November 1, 1996, the cost of living increase is limited to $3 \%$ per year. In addition, cost of living adjustments do not apply to retirement benefit payments resulting from voluntary contributions.

## District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan

## Effective Date

Established on July 1, 1997. The Treasury Department is responsible for paying all benefits accrued before this date.

## Definitions

## Affiliated Employers

District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters, except Police cadets.

## Covered Members

All employees of DC Police Department and Fire Department become members on their first day of active duty. Membership is not automatic for uniformed EMT Firefighters.

## Service Credit

One year of service is given for each year of employment with DCPD or DCFD. Additional service may be purchased or credited for lateral transfer service, EMT service, prior military service, and certain civilian service.

## Average Salary

For members hired before February 15, 1980, the highest 12 consecutive months of pay. For members hired on or after February 15, 1980, the highest 36 consecutive months of pay, divided by 3 .

## Vested

Members who accrue five or more years of Service Credit are vested for benefits. If these members leave service they may leave their Member Contribution Accounts with the Plan for a future benefit when reaching eligibility (deferred vested in this report).

## Contributions

## Member Contributions

Members hired before November 10, 1996 contribute 7.0\% of salary. Members hired on or after November 10, 1996 contribute $8.0 \%$ of salary. Member contri-
butions, together with any purchased service credit payments, are credited to individual Member Contribution Accounts. No interest is accrued on contributions.

## Refund of Member Contributions

In the event a member leaves service for a reason other than death or retirement, member contribution accounts are refunded upon request.

## Service Retirement

## Eligibility

The Age and Service Credit requirements to be eligible for a full Service Retirement are listed below:

Members hired before November 10, 1996
Age Service Credit
Any age 20 (only if hired before $2 / 15 / 1980$ )
$50 \quad 25$ years departmental service
605 years departmental service
Members hired on and after November 10, 1996

## Age Service Credit

Any age 25 years departmental service
605 years departmental service

## Benefit

For members hired before November 10, 1996:

- 2.5\% of Average Salary times departmental service up to 25 years ( 20 years if hired before $2 / 15 / 1980$ ), plus
- 3.0\% of Average Salary times departmental service over 25 years (or 20), plus
- $2.5 \%$ of Average Salary times purchased or credited service.

For members hired on or after November 10, 1996:

- $2.5 \%$ of Average Salary times total service.

All members are subject to a maximum benefit of $80 \%$ of Average Salary.

## Actuarial Section

Provisions Interpreted for Valuation Purposes

Service-Related Disability Retirement

## Eligibility

Disabled as a result of an illness or injury in the line of duty.

## Benefit

For members hired before February 15, 1980:
$2.5 \%$ of Average Salary times total years of service, subject to a minimum of $66-2 / 3 \%$ of Average Salary and a maximum of $70 \%$ of Average Salary.

For members hired on or after February 15, 1980:
$70 \%$ of final pay times percentage of disability, subject to a minimum of $40 \%$ of final pay.

## Nonservice-related Disability Retirement

## Eligibility

Active members with five or more years of departmental service are covered (vested) for disability retirement. To be eligible, the member must be found to be totally and permanently disabled (mentally or physically) from regular and gainful employment.

## Benefit

For members hired before February 15, 1980:
2.0\% of Average Salary times total years of service, subject to a minimum of $40 \%$ of Average Salary and a maximum of 70\% of Average Salary.

For members hired on or after February 15, 1980:
$70 \%$ of final pay times percentage of disability, subject to a minimum of $30 \%$ of final pay.

## Survivor Benefits

## Lump Sum <br> Eligibility

Death before retirement without an eligible spouse or child.

## Benefit

Refund of member contributions according to plan order of precedence.

## Lump Sum - Death in Line of Duty Eligibility

Death occurring in the line of duty, not resulting from willful misconduct.

## Benefit

\$50,000

## Spouse Only - Death in Line of Duty Eligibility

Member killed in line of duty, after December 29, 1993.

## Benefit

$100 \%$ of final pay.

## Spouse Only - Death Not in Line of Duty Eligibility

Member death, not in line of duty, after December 29,1993 . If retired, must be married for at least one year or have a child by the marriage.

Benefit $40 \%$ of the greater of a) or b):
a) Average Salary
b) Salary for step 6 salary class 1 of the DC Police and Fireman's Salary Act in effect, adjusted for cost-of-living increases if death occurs after retirement.

Benefit cannot be higher than rate of pay at death (or retirement if death occurs after retirement).

## Spouse \& Dependent Children Eligibility

Member death, not in line of duty, after December 29,1993 . If retired, must be married for at least one year or have a child by the marriage. Children must be unmarried and under age 18, or 22 if full-time student. Also, any dependent child because of a disability incurred before age 18 . Death does not have to occur before retirement for the children's benefit.

## Spouse Benefit

$40 \%$ of the greater of a) or b):
a) Average Salary
b) Salary for step 6 salary class 1 of the DC Police and Fireman's Salary Act in effect, adjusted for cost-of-living increases if death occurs after retirement.

Benefit cannot be higher than rate of pay at death (or retirement if death occurs after retirement).

## Child Benefit

A benefit per child equal to the smallest of a) or b) or c):
a) $60 \%$ of Average Salary divided by the number of eligible children
b) $\$ 3,552^{*}$ (if hired before $11 / 1 / 1996$ ) or $\$ 3,480^{*}$ (if hired on or after 11/1/1996) per child
c) $\$ 10,656^{*}$ (if hired before $11 / 1 / 1996$ ) or $\$ 10,490^{*}$ (if hired on or after $11 / 1 / 1996$ ) divided by the number of children.

## Dependent Children Only <br> Eligibility

Children must be unmarried and under age 18, or 22 if full-time student. Also, any dependent child because of a disability incurred before age 18. Death does not have to occur before retirement for the children's benefit.

## Benefit

$75 \%$ of Average Salary divided by the number of eligible children, adjusted for cost-of-living increases.
*Survivor benefit amounts are as of March 2009, and are subject to annual inflation adjustments.

## Eligibility

Active members with five or more years of departmental service.

## Benefit

Benefit is calculated in the same manner as Service Retirement benefit and may be collected starting at age 55.

## Options

Retirement and disability benefits are payable for the life of the retired member. This includes an unreduced joint and survivor annuity as defined above in the "Survivor Benefits - Spouse and Dependent Children" section.

An optional reduced benefit may be elected at the time of retirement to provide for an additional survivor benefit to a designated beneficiary. Member's original annuity is reduced by $10 \%$ and that amount is added to the survivor's benefit. If the designated beneficiary is more than five years younger than the member, the additional amount will be reduced by $5 \%$ for each full five years that the beneficiary is younger than the member, subject to a maximum of 40\%.

## Cost of Living Adjustments

Each year on March 1st, benefits which have been paid for at least twelve months proceeding March 1 st are increased. The increase is equal to the annual CPI. COLA's are included in benefit payments on and after April 1st.

For members hired on or after November 10, 1996, the cost of living increase is limited to 3\% per year. Members (not beneficiaries) hired before February 15,1980 , will receive equalization pay, which is defined as the percentage increase as active employees' salary increases.

## Actuarial Section

## District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Plan

| Valuation Date | Number | Annual Payroll | Annual Average Pay $\%$ increase in Average Pay |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1, 2010 | 4,749 | $\$ 337,516,000$ | $\$ 71,071$ | $-3 \%$ |
| October 1, 2009 | 4,601 | $336,600,000$ | 73,158 | $-2 \%$ |
| October 1, 2008 | 4,821 | $359,100,000$ | 74,487 | $50 \%$ |
| October 1, 2007 | 5,027 | $249,900,000$ | 49,712 | $-22 \%$ |
| October 1, 2006 | 5,088 | $322,300,000$ | 63,345 | $11 \%$ |
| October 1, 2005 | 5,707 | $325,800,000$ | 57,088 | $-6 \%$ |
| October 1, 2004 | 5,564 | $\$ 338,900,000$ | $\$ 60,909$ | $10 \%$ |

Police Officers' Portion of the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan

| Valuation Date | Number | Annual Payroll | Annual Average Pay $\%$ increase in Average Pay |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1, 2010 | 3,915 | $\$ 296,837,000$ | $\$ 75,820$ | $-2 \%$ |
| October 1, 2009 | 4,014 | $310,700,000$ | 77,404 | $1 \%$ |
| October 1, 2008 | 3,928 | $301,700,000$ | 76,808 | $13 \%$ |
| October 1, 2007 | 3,844 | $261,000,000$ | 67,898 | $2 \%$ |
| October 1, 2006 | 3,747 | $250,600,000$ | 66,880 | $2 \%$ |
| October 1, 2005 | 3,741 | $245,400,000$ | 65,597 | $18 \%$ |
| October 1, 2004 | 3,726 | $\$ 206,900,000$ | $\$ 55,529$ | $0 \%$ |

Firefighters' Portion of the District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan

| Valuation Date | Number | Annual Payroll | Annual Average Pay | \% increase in Average Pay |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1, 2010 | 1,793 | $\$ 127,017,000$ | $\$ 70,840$ | $0 \%$ |
| October 1, 2009 | 1,774 | $125,400,000$ | 70,688 | $2 \%$ |
| October 1, 2008 | 1,733 | $120,000,000$ | 69,244 | $7 \%$ |
| October 1, 2007 | 1,706 | $110,300,000$ | 64,654 | $-3 \%$ |
| October 1, 2006 | 1,509 | $100,400,000$ | 66,534 | $5 \%$ |
| October 1,2005 | 1,481 | $93,900,900$ | 63,404 | $14 \%$ |
| October 1,2004 | 1,460 | $\$ 81,100,000$ | $\$ 55,548$ | $2 \%$ |


| Fiscal Year <br> Ended | Plan | New Members Added |  | Members Removed |  | Changes due to Plan <br> Amendments | Rolls at End of Year |  | Percentage <br> Increase in Average <br> Annual Annual <br> Allowances Allowances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Annual Allowances | Number | Annual Allowances |  | Number | Annual Allowances |  |  |
| 9/30/2010 | Teachers | 203 | \$4,225 | 32 | \$337 | \$1,489 | 2,941 | \$37,254 | 16.76\% | \$12,667 |
|  | Fire/Police | 127 | 3,511 | 24 | 208 | 3,003 | 1,552 | 28,717 | 27.04\% | 18,503 |
| 9/30/2009 | Teachers | 406 | 7,361 | 27 | 281 | (70) | 2,770 | 31,907 | 28.16\% | 11,519 |
|  | Fire/Police | 193 | 2,639 | 108 | 2,727 | (563) | 1,449 | 22,605 | -2.80\% | 15,601 |
| 9/30/2008 | Teachers | 63 | 939 | 36 | 193 | 429 | 2,391 | 24,897 | 4.95\% | 10,413 |
|  | Fire/Police | 78 | 5,349 | 28 | 133 | $(1,229)$ | 1,364 | 23,257 | 20.69\% | 17,050 |
| 9/30/2007 | Teachers | 230 | 3,564 | 41 | 241 | 2,879 | 2,364 | 23,721 | 35.40\% | 10,034 |
|  | Fire/Police | 153 | 3,180 | 45 | 171 | 2,476 | 1,314 | 19,270 | 39.78\% | 14,665 |
| 9/30/2006 | Teachers | 199 | 2,935 | 39 | 262 | 582 | 2,175 | 17,520 | 22.82\% | 8,055 |
|  | Fire/Police | 166 | 2,892 | 15 | 68 | 550 | 1,207 | 13,786 | 32.40\% | 11,422 |
| 9/30/2005 | Teachers | 274 | 3,714 | 22 | 109 | 412 | 2,015 | 14,264 | 39.20\% | 7,079 |
|  | Fire/Police | 97 | 1,814 | 23 | 87 | 413 | 1,056 | 10,412 | 25.89\% | 9,860 |
| 9/30/2004 | Teachers | 383 | 3,433 | 96 | 226 | 43 | 1,763 | 10,247 | 46.44\% | 5,812 |
|  | Fire/Police | 173 | \$1,864 | 485 | \$778 | \$354 | 982 | \$8,271 | 21.07\% | \$8,423 |

## District of Columbia Teacher's Retirement Fund (in thousands of dollars)

| Valuation Date | October 1,2010 | October 1,2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Number of Active Members | 4,749 | 4,601 |
| Total Annual Covered Payroll | $\$ 337,516$ | $\$ 336,600$ |
| Number of Retired Members and Survivors | 2,941 | 2,770 |
| Annual Retirement Benefits | $\$ 37,254$ | $\$ 31,877$ |
| Assets: |  |  |
| Actuarial Value | $\$ 1,570,968$ | $\$ 1,444,972$ |
| Market Value | $\$ 1,314,357$ | $\$ 1,204,393$ |
| Liabilities: |  |  |
| Present Value of Future Benefits | $\$ 1,671,184$ | $\$ 1,567,548$ |
| Present Value of Future Employee Contributions | $\$ 214,146$ | $\$ 195,100$ |
| Present Value of Future District Contributions | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Present Value of Future Salaries | $\$ 2,784,739$ | $\$ 2,534,903$ |
| Funding Ratios : |  |  |
| Based on Actuarial Value | $118.27 \%$ | $110.77 \%$ |
| Based on Market Value | $98.95 \%$ | $92.33 \%$ |
| Contributions for Fiscal Year Ending: | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 / 2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 / 2 0 1 1}$ |
| Normal Contribution Rate | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Estimated Fiscal Year End Covered Payroll | $\$ 405,020$ | $\$ 352,600$ |
| Fiscal Year District Payment before 1-907.02(c) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Shortfall/Overpayment | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Fiscal Year District Payment | $\$ 2,983$ | $\$ 2,983$ |
| Additional Payment for Teachers Data Corrections |  | $\$ 2,983$ |
| Total Payment for Fiscal Year |  |  |

## District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund

(in thousands of dollars)

| Valuation Date | October 1,2010 October 1,2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Active Police Officers | 3,915 | 4,014 |
| Annual Covered Payroll | \$296,837 | \$310,700 |
| Number of Active Firefighters | 1,793 | 1,774 |
| Annual Covered Payroll | \$127,017 | \$125,400 |
| Total Number of Active Members | 5,708 | 5,788 |
| Total Annual Covered Payroll | \$423,854 | \$436,100 |
| Number of Retired Members and Survivors | 1,552 | 1,449 |
| Annual Retirement Benefits | \$28,718 | \$22,411 |
| Assets: |  |  |
| Actuarial Value | \$3,418,796 | \$3,032,094 |
| Market Value | \$2,920,790 | \$2,524,995 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |
| Present Value of Future Benefits | \$5,137,409 | \$4,963,814 |
| Present Value of Future Employee Contributions | \$366,691 | \$388,100 |
| Present Value of Future District Contributions | \$1,352,151 | \$1,543,820 |
| Present Value of Future Salaries | \$4,873,161 | \$5,267,241 |
| Funding Ratios : |  |  |
| Based on Actuarial Value | 107.96\% | 100.66\% |
| Based on Market Value | 92.23\% | 83.39\% |
| Contributions for Fiscal Year Ending: | 10/1/2012 | 10/1/2011 |
| Normal Contribution Rate | 27.53\% | 28.96\% |
| Estimated Fiscal Year End Covered Payroll | \$465,077 | \$456,800 |
| Fiscal Year District Payment before 1-907.02(c) | \$128,039 | \$131,600 |
| Shortfall/Overpayment | $(\$ 11,375)$ | (\$4,400) |
| Fiscal Year District Payment | \$116,664 | \$127,200 |

## Actuarial Section

## Accrued Liabilities Under Entry Age Normal Method

The table below shows the present value of the future District benefits, as well as the value of the District benefits accrued based on past service computed under the Entry Age Normal method, which assigns total liabilities to past service (accrued liabilities), current service (normal cost), and future service (future normal costs). The actuarial assumptions (demographic and economic) used for the calculations in the table below are the same as were used to determine the required contributions.

| Present Value of Future District Benefits <br> As of October 1, 2010 <br> (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers | Police | Fire | Total |
| Active Present Value of Future Benefits | \$1,048,930 | 3,089,137 | \$1,464,933 | \$5,603,000 |
| Inactive Present Value of Future Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| Service Retirees | \$534,549 | \$261,815 | \$110,320 | \$906,684 |
| Disabled Retirees | 38,293 | 147,642 | 26,023 | 211,958 |
| Beneficiaries | 5,617 | 17,297 | 6,977 | 29,891 |
| Terminations | 43,795 | 9,232 | 4,033 | 57,060 |
| Total Inactives | \$622,254 | \$435,986 | \$147,353 | \$1,205,593 |
| Total Present Value of Future Benefits | \$1,671,184 | \$3,525,123 | \$1,612,286 | \$6,808,593 |


| Accrued Liabilities for District Benefits <br> As of October 1, 2010 <br> (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers | Police | Fire | Total |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) | \$1,328,299 | \$2,270,630 | \$896,200 | \$4,495,128 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) | \$1,570,968 | \$2,457,752 | \$961,044 | \$4,989,764 |
| Market Value of Assets (MVA) | \$1,314,357 | \$2,102,969 | \$817,821 | \$4,235,147 |
| AVA Funding Ratio (AVA/AAL) | 118.27\% | 108.24\% | 107.24\% | 111.00\% |
| MVA Funding Ratio (MVA/AAL) | 98.95\% | 92.62\% | 91.25\% | 94.22\% |


| Solvency Test <br> (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate Accrued Liabilities For* |  |  |  |  | Portion of Accrued Liabilities Covered by Reported Asset |  |  |
| Valuation Date | (1) Active Member Contributions | (2) Retirees, Survivors and Inactive Members | (3) Active Members (Employer Financed Portion) | Reported Assets | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/1/2006 | \$273,887 | \$624,110 | \$2,667,041 | \$3,621,500 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 10/1/2007 | 303,059 | 805,475 | 2,790,093 | 4,405,200 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 10/1/2008 | 332,834 | 851,489 | 3,092,491 | 3,734,700 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 82.5\% |
| 10/1/2009 | 335,481 | 995,361 | 3,001,587 | 3,743,000 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 80.4\% |
| 10/1/2010 | 136,055 | 622,253 | 569,991 | 1,314,357 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 97.6\% |
| District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/1/2006 | \$273,887 | \$624,110 | \$2,667,041 | \$3,621,500 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 10/1/2007 | 303,059 | 805,475 | 2,790,093 | 4,405,200 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 10/1/2008 | 332,834 | 851,489 | 3,092,491 | 3,734,700 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 82.5\% |
| 10/1/2009 | 335,481 | 995,361 | 3,001,587 | 3,743,000 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 80.4\% |
| 10/1/2010 | 211,961 | 583,338 | 2,371,531 | 2,920,790 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 89.6\% |

*Prior to $10 / 1 / 2010$, the results are shown in aggregate and were reported by the prior actuary.
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## Statistical Section

Schedule of Changes in Net Assets

| District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands of dollars) | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Additions |  |  |  |
| Contributions: |  |  |  |
| District Government | \$200 | \$- | \$- |
| District employees | 24,047 | 25,374 | 26,047 |
| Total Contributions | 24,247 | 25,374 | 26,047 |
| Total net investment income/(loss) | $(104,536)$ | $(65,976)$ | 121,326 |
| Other income | - | - | - |
| Total Additions | $(80,289)$ | $(40,602)$ | 147,373 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments | 2,600 | 3,800 | 5,100 |
| Refunds* | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Administrative expenses | 822 | 989 | 978 |
| Total Deductions | 3,422 | 4,789 | 6,078 |
| Changes in Net Assets | $(83,711)$ | $(45,391)$ | 141,295 |
| Net assets held in trust for pension benefits, beginning of year | 862,465 | 778,754 | 733,363 |
| Net assets hend in trust for pension benefits, end of year | \$778,754 | \$733,363 | \$874,658 |

*Refunds were included in Benefit Payments prior to 2009.

| 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$- | \$9,200 | \$15,500 | \$14,600 | \$6,000 | \$- | \$- |
| 26,283 | 24,778 | 25,807 | 26,793 | 25,919 | 24,907 | 29,940 |
| 26,283 | 33,978 | 41,307 | 41,393 | 31,919 | 24,907 | 29,940 |
| 102,890 | 137,333 | 120,114 | 217,731 | $(259,309)$ | $(37,875)$ | 125,756 |
| - | - | - | 740 | 990 | 793 | 695 |
| 129,173 | 171,311 | 161,421 | 259,864 | $(226,400)$ | $(12,175)$ | 156,391 |
| 8,600 | 20,869 | 23,793 | 25,801 | 30,692 | 33,532 | 37,611 |
| N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 5,316 | 3,374 |
| 942 | 2,210 | 1,010 | 2,901 | 2,919 | 2,340 | 2,327 |
| 9,542 | 23,079 | 24,803 | 28,702 | 33,611 | 41,188 | 43,312 |
| 119,631 | 148,232 | 136,618 | 231,162 | $(260,011)$ | $(53,363)$ | 113,079 |
| 874,658 | 994,289 | 1,142,521 | 1,286,603 | 1,517,765 | 1,257,754 | 1,204,391 |
| \$994,289 | \$1,142,521 | \$1,279,139 | \$1,517,765 | \$1,257,754 | \$1,204,391 | \$1,317,470 |

## Statistical Section

Schedule of Changes in Net Assets

| District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Fund (in thousands of dollars) | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions |  |  |  |
| Contributions: |  |  |  |
| District Government | \$49,000 | \$74,600 | \$68,900 |
| District employees | 16,832 | 19,390 | 19,867 |
| Total Contributions | 65,832 | 93,990 | 88,767 |
| Total net investment income/(loss) | $(147,023)$ | $(99,564)$ | 180,790 |
| Other income | - | - | - |
| Total Additions | $(81,191)$ | $(5,574)$ | 269,557 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments | 2,838 | 3,222 | 6,091 |
| Refunds* | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Administrative expenses | 1,161 | 1,445 | 1,501 |
| Total Deductions | 3,999 | 4,667 | 7,592 |
| Changes in Net Assets | $(85,190)$ | $(10,241)$ | 261,965 |
| Net assets held in trust for pension benefits, beginning of year | 1,179,011 | 1,093,821 | 1,083,580 |
| Net assets hend in trust for pension benefits, end of year | \$1,093,821 | \$1,083,580 | \$1,345,545 |

*Refunds were included in Benefit Payments prior to 2009.

| 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$96,700 | \$112,100 | \$117,500 | \$140,100 | \$137,000 | \$106,000 | \$132,300 |
| 20,847 | 23,804 | 25,142 | 27,489 | 31,718 | 29,900 | 31,607 |
| 117,547 | 135,904 | 142,642 | 167,589 | 168,718 | 135,900 | 163,907 |
| 165,374 | 235,515 | 212,089 | 400,433 | $(516,438)$ | $(58,228)$ | 270,277 |
| - | - | - | 1,383 | 1,952 | 1,680 | 1,555 |
| 282,921 | 371,419 | 354,731 | 569,406 | $(345,768)$ | 79,352 | 435,739 |
| 7,903 | 13,564 | 15,795 | 20,587 | 25,364 | 24,569 | 27,872 |
| N.A | N.A. | N.A | N.A | N.A | 1,611 | 1,974 |
| 1,537 | 3,789 | 1,817 | 5,421 | 5,750 | 4,904 | 5,145 |
| 9,440 | 17,353 | 17,612 | 26,008 | 31,114 | 31,084 | 34,991 |
| 273,481 | 354,066 | 337,119 | 543,397 | $(376,882)$ | 48,268 | 400,748 |
| 1,345,545 | 1,619,026 | 1,973,092 | 2,310,211 | 2,853,608 | 2,476,726 | 2,524,994 |
| \$1,619,026 | \$1,973,092 | \$2,310,211 | 2,853,608 | \$2,476,726 | \$2,524,994 | \$2,925,742 |

## Statistical Section

Schedule of Investment Expenses

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Managers* | $\$ 4,260,893$ | $\$ 4,526,156$ | $\$ 4,526,156$ | $\$ 6,017,494$ |
| Investment Administrative Expenses | $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. | $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. | $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. | $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. |
| Investment Consultants | 388,000 | 414,833 | 414,833 | 338,750 |
| Investment Custodian | 503,908 | 465,160 | 465,160 | 540,307 |
| Total Investment Expenses | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 1 5 2 , 8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 4 0 6 , 1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 4 0 6 , 1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 8 9 6 , 5 5 1}$ |

*Fees paid to traditional investment managers only; traditional investment managers are those that invest primarily in public equity and fixed income securities.
** Investment Administrative Expenses not included prior to 2007

Statistical Section
Schedule of Investment Expenses

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 7,950,600$ | $\$ 10,010,063$ | $\$ 11,585,638$ | $\$ 14,299,838$ | $\$ 10,675,572$ | $\$ 11,979,562$ |
| N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 650,278 | 735,424 | 789,928 |
| 338,333 | 347,917 | 380,516 | 494,500 | 531,241 | 454,896 |
| 726,099 | 822,081 | 957,515 | 485,384 | 319,107 | 254,227 |
| $\$ \mathbf{\$ , 0 1 5 , 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 1 8 0 , 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 9 2 3 , 6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 9 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 2 6 1 , 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 4 7 8 , 6 1 3}$ |

## Statistical Section

Schedule of Administrative Expenses

|  | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Personnel services |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | $\$ 873,249$ | $\$ 1,045,971$ | $\$ 1,045,971$ | $\$ 1,138,702$ |
| Fringe Benefits | 107,568 | 147,123 | 147,123 | 160,101 |
| Total Personnel Services | 980,818 | $1,193,094$ | $1,193,094$ | $1,298,803$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Personnel Services |  |  |  |  |
| Professional services |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Counsel |  |  |  |  |
| Auditing Services | 45,693 | 22,864 | 22,864 | 33,473 |
| Actuarial Services | 45,825 | 46,182 | 46,182 | 46,182 |
| Investment Advisors and Consultants | 30,375 | 25,225 | 25,225 | 51,661 |
| Consultants and Contracts | $4,764,801$ | $5,381,333$ | $5,381,333$ | $6,896,551$ |
| Office Supplies | 470,882 | 71,447 | 71,447 | 33,540 |
| Telephone | 73,585 | 97,326 | 97,326 | 95,279 |
| Rent | 976 | 6,239 | 6,239 | 6,659 |
| Office Support | 211,504 | 215,599 | 215,599 | 224,863 |
| Travel | 332 | 38,336 | 38,336 | 37,494 |
| Printing | 55,161 | 75,791 | 75,791 | 87,641 |
| Insurance | 17,065 | 16,859 | 16,859 | 13,977 |
| Postage | 1,547 | 153,627 | 153,627 | 161,377 |
| Dues and Membership | 13,156 | 25,075 | 25,075 | 23,860 |
| Depreciation | 8,594 | 11,010 | 11,010 | 10,545 |
| Furniture and Equipment | - | - | - | - |
| Total Non-Personnel Services | 27,339 | 66,268 | 66,268 | 15,404 |
| Total Administrative Expenses |  | $5,766,833$ | $6,253,180$ | 706,130 |

Statistical Section Schedule of Administrative Expenses

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,348,189 | \$2,386,718 | \$2,314,202 | \$2,757,520 | \$2,888,707 | \$3,262,848 |
| 206,533 | 380,237 | 417,026 | 504,836 | 716,247 | 907,006 |
| 1,554,722 | 2,766,955 | 2,731,228 | 3,262,356 | 3,604,954 | 4,169,854 |
| 242,182 | 208,123 | 199,219 | 341,083 | 246,282 | 36,902 |
| 48,532 | 51,620 | 54,371 | 98,053 | 66,000 | 66,000 |
| 46,799 | 46,149 | 78,084 | 100,197 | 92,796 | 107,573 |
| 9,015,032 | 11,180,061 | 12,923,669 | 12,392,908 | 11,138,012 | 12,233,789 |
| 432,864 | 1,421,340 | 3,103,663 | 2,763,644 | 2,371,368 | 2,329,026 |
| 143,955 | 101,676 | 117,832 | 130,619 | 119,979 | 119,814 |
| 15,569 | 33,771 | 20,603 | 32,673 | 16,791 | 12,696 |
| 183,249 | 1,012,781 | 1,196,975 | 1,282,134 | 1,378,513 | 1,418,772 |
| 9,584 | 214,813 | 184,963 | 101,728 | 60,080 | 113,747 |
| 111,396 | 87,815 | 96,054 | 89,320 | 66,767 | 45,397 |
| 33,297 | 56,167 | 40,579 | 56,551 | 19,110 | 34,867 |
| 114,746 | 117,213 | 57,259 | 119,921 | 110,853 | 130,761 |
| 33,926 | 46,516 | 36,163 | 54,721 | 73,262 | 9,880 |
| 12,280 | 13,270 | 15,228 | 17,007 | 59,861 | 51,136 |
| - | - | - | - | 18,278 | 6,328 |
| 790,062 | 200,286 | 72,501 | 99,779 | 62,990 | 65,075 |
| 11,233,473 | 14,791,601 | 18,197,162 | 17,680,338 | 15,900,942 | 16,781,763 |
| \$12,788,195 | \$17,558,556 | \$20,928,390 | \$20,942,694 | \$19,505,896 | \$20,951,617 |

District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Fund
(in thousands of dollars)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Employee Contribution | Employer Contribution | Securities <br> Lending <br> Income, <br>  <br> Dividends | Net <br> Appreciation <br> (Depreciation) <br> in Fair <br> Value of Investments | Securities <br> Lending, Investment, and Administrative Expenses | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | \$29,940 | \$0 | \$57,523 | \$72,809 | $(\$ 6,208)$ | \$154,064 |
| 2009 | 24,907 | - | 31,663 | $(64,382)$ | $(6,703)$ | $(14,515)$ |
| 2008 | 25,919 | 6,000 | 44,433 | $(294,079)$ | $(11,594)$ | $(229,321)$ |
| 2007 | 26,793 | 14,600 | 47,745 | 183,224 | $(15,399)$ | 256,963 |
| 2006 | 25,807 | 15,500 | 44,505 | 86,084 | $(11,485)$ | 160,411 |
| 2005 | 24,778 | 9,200 | 37,254 | 106,378 | $(8,509)$ | 169,101 |
| 2004 | 26,283 | - | 25,580 | 80,836 | $(4,468)$ | 128,231 |
| 2003 | 26,047 | - | 22,074 | 101,914 | $(3,640)$ | 146,395 |
| 2002 | 25,374 | - | 24,242 | $(86,692)$ | $(4,515)$ | $(41,591)$ |
| 2001 | \$24,047 | \$200 | \$31,112 | $(\$ 129,875)$ | $(\$ 6,595)$ | \$ $(81,111)$ |

District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters Retirement Fund (in thousands of dollars)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Employee Contribution | Employer Contribution | Securities <br> Lending <br> Income, <br>  <br> Dividends | Net <br> Appreciation <br> (Depreciation) <br> in Fair <br> Value of Investments | Securities <br> Lending, <br> Investment, and <br> Administrative Expenses | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | \$31,607 | \$132,300 | \$123,858 | \$156,515 | \$(13,686) | 430,594 |
| 2009 | 29,900 | 106,000 | 64,842 | $(112,327)$ | $(13,967)$ | 74,448 |
| 2008 | 31,718 | 137,000 | 90,333 | $(585,796)$ | $(50,137)$ | $(376,882)$ |
| 2007 | 27,489 | 140,100 | 89,841 | 335,005 | $(49,038)$ | 543,397 |
| 2006 | 25,142 | 117,500 | 83,495 | 148,175 | $(37,193)$ | 337,119 |
| 2005 | 23,804 | 112,100 | 63,686 | 182,608 | $(28,132)$ | 354,066 |
| 2004 | 20,847 | 96,700 | 41,696 | 129,427 | $(15,189)$ | 273,481 |
| 2003 | 19,867 | 68,900 | 33,247 | 151,584 | $(11,633)$ | 261,965 |
| 2002 | 19,390 | 74,600 | 35,226 | $(129,669)$ | $(9,788)$ | $(10,241)$ |
| 2001 | \$16,832 | \$49,000 | \$44,214 | \$ $(182,944)$ | \$(12,292) | \$ 85,190 ) |


| District of Columbia Teachers Retirement Fund (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Benefits* | Separation | Death | Total |
| 2010 | \$37,611 | \$3,175 | \$199 | \$40,985 |
| 2009 | 33,532 | 4,901 | 415 | 38,848 |
| 2008 | 25,238 | 5,077 | 376 | 30,691 |
| 2007 | 21,733 | 3,907 | 161 | 25,801 |
| 2006 | 15,900 | 7,608 | 285 | 23,793 |
| 2005 | 12,400 | 8,440 | 29 | 20,869 |
| 2004 | 8,600 | - | - | 8,600 |
| 2003 | 5,100 | - | - | 5,100 |
| 2002 | 3,800 | - | - | 3,800 |
| 2001 | \$2,600 | \$ | \$ | \$2,600 |

District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Fund
(in thousands of dollars)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Benefits* | Refunds |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Separation | Death |  |
| 2010 | \$27,872 | \$1,974 | \$- | \$29,846 |
| 2009 | 24,569 | 1,611 | - | 26,180 |
| 2008 | 25,364 | 1,610 | 8 | 26,982 |
| 2007 | 20,587 | 1,695 | 125 | 22,407 |
| 2006 | 15,795 | 2,741 | 54 | 18,590 |
| 2005 | 13,564 | 3,979 | 1 | 17,544 |
| 2004 | 7,903 | - | - | 7,903 |
| 2003 | 6,091 | - | - | 6,091 |
| 2002 | 3,222 | - | - | 3,222 |
| 2001 | \$2,838 | \$ - | \$ - | 2,838 |

[^4]

| (in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers' Reti | rement Fund | Police Off <br> Firefighters' | ficers' and tirement Fund | Total | Fund |
| Year Ending | Annual Required Contribution | Percentage <br> Contributed | Annual Required Contribution | Percentage <br> Contributed | Annual Required Contribution | Percentage Contributed |
| September 30, 2010 | \$- | 100\% | \$132 | 100\% | \$132 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2009 | - | 100\% | 106 | 100\% | 106 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2008 | 6 | 100\% | 137 | 100\% | 143 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2007 | 15 | 100\% | 140 | 100\% | 155 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2006 | 17 | 100\% | 118 | 100\% | 135 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2005 | 9 | 100\% | 112 | 100\% | 121 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2004 | 3 | 100\% | 97 | 100\% | 00 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2003 | - | 100\% | 69 | 100\% | 69 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2002 | 1 | 100\% | 61 | 100\% | 62 | 100\% |
| September 30, 2001 | \$ 7 | 100\% | 47 | 100\% | \$54 | 100\% |

## Statistical Section

Schedule of Average Benefit By Type

| District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Plan* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal <br> Year | Number <br> of <br> Retirees | Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount | Number <br> of Beneficiaries | Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount | Number <br> of <br> Disabled | Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount | Total <br> Number | Total <br> Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount |
| 2010 | 2,737 | \$12,533 | 90 | \$4,749 | 114 | \$22,140 | 2,941 | \$12,667 |
| 2009 | 2,581 | 11,640 | 84 | 4,542 | 105 | 13,838 | 2,770 | 11,508 |
| 2008 | 2,216 | 10,489 | 76 | 4,299 | 99 | 12,383 | 2,391 | 10,371 |
| 2007 | 2,192 | 9,989 | 82 | 4,003 | 90 | 11,314 | 2,364 | 9,832 |
| 2006 | 2,017 | 7,493 | 71 | 4,442 | 87 | 7,219 | 2,175 | 7,382 |
| 2005 | 1,867 | 6,548 | 67 | 3,499 | 81 | 6,233 | 2,015 | 6,434 |
| 2004 | 1,639 | 5,273 | 58 | 2,825 | 66 | 21,841 | 1,763 | 5,813 |
| 2003 | 1,287 | 4,244 | 127 | 1,751 | 62 | 21,176 | 1,476 | 4,741 |
| 2002 | 1,010 | 3,235 | - | - | 52 | 22,322 | 1,062 | 4,170 |
| 2001 | 785 | \$2,382 | - | \$ | 44 | \$2,228 | 829 | \$2,374 |


| District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal <br> Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Retirees } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount | Number of Beneficiaries | Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount |  | Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount | Total <br> Number | Total <br> Average <br> Benefit <br> Amount |
| 2010 | 1,039 | \$18,170 | 209 | \$8,031 | 304 | \$26,841 | 1,552 | \$18,503 |
| 2009 | 957 | 16,573 | 196 | 7,104 | 296 | 16,916 | 1,449 | 15,362 |
| 2008 | 887 | 15,383 | 182 | 6,797 | 295 | 17,408 | 1,364 | 14,676 |
| 2007 | 808 | 13,296 | 217 | 4,960 | 289 | 16,575 | 1,314 | 12,641 |
| 2006 | 715 | 9,074 | 229 | 4,122 | 263 | 16,125 | 1,207 | 9,671 |
| 2005 | 678 | 7,818 | 176 | 3,800 | 202 | 14,001 | 1,056 | 8,331 |
| 2004 | 623 | 6,428 | 181 | 3,529 | 178 | 20,380 | 982 | 8,423 |
| 2003 | 548 | 5,176 | 592 | 1,735 | 154 | 19,273 | 1,294 | 5,280 |
| 2002 | 520 | 2,876 | - | - | 158 | 10,928 | 678 | 4,753 |
| 2001 | 452 | \$2,307 | - | \$ - | 133 | \$9,475 | 585 | \$3,937 |

[^5]Statistical Section
Schedule of Participant Data

| Fiscal Year | Teachers | Active <br> Police Officers and Firefighters | Subtotal | Retired <br> Teachers | embers, Beneficiari <br> Police Officers and Firefighters | Disabled <br> Subtotal | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 4,749 | 5,708 | 10,457 | 2,941 | 1,552 | 4,493 | 14,950 |
| 2009 | 4,601 | 5,788 | 10,389 | 2,770 | 1,449 | 4,219 | 14,608 |
| 2008 | 4,821 | 5,661 | 10,482 | 2,391 | 1,364 | 3,755 | 14,237 |
| 2007 | 5,027 | 5,550 | 10,577 | 2,364 | 1,314 | 3,678 | 14,255 |
| 2006 | 5,088 | 5,256 | 10,344 | 2,175 | 1,207 | 3,382 | 13,726 |
| 2005 | 5,707 | 5,222 | 10,929 | 2,015 | 1,056 | 3,071 | 14,000 |
| 2004 | 5,564 | 5,186 | 10,750 | 1,763 | 982 | 2,745 | 13,495 |
| 2003 | 6,145 | 4,971 | 11,116 | 1,476 | 1,294 | 2,770 | 13,886 |
| 2002 | 6,558 | 4,816 | 11,374 | 1,062 | 678 | 1,740 | 13,114 |
| 2001 | 6,703 | 4,920 | 11,623 | 829 | 585 | 1,414 | 13,037 |

District of Columbia Teachers' Retirement Plan

Type of Retirement

| Amount of Monthy Benefit | Number of Benefits Recipients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| \$1-250 | 27 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 2 |
| 251-500 | 71 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 1 |
| 501-750 | 104 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 15 | 1 |
| 751-1,000 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 7 | 2 |
| 1,001-1,250 | 98 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 24 | 2 |
| 1,251-1,500 | 162 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 38 | 39 | 3 |
| 1,501-1,750 | 130 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 34 | 7 | 1 |
| 1,751-2,000 | 180 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 30 | 6 | 0 |
| 2,001-3,000 | 1,194 | 862 | 27 | 1 | 246 | 50 | 8 | 0 |
| 3,001-4,000 | 2,349 | 2,220 | 27 | 1 | 81 | 18 | 2 | 0 |
| 4,001-5,000 | 1,208 | 1,175 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5,001-6,000 | 347 | 335 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6,001-7,000 | 75 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 7,001-8,000 | 32 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8,001-9,000 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 9,001-10,000 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| over \$10,000 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 6,039 | 5,064 | 90 | 2 | 494 | 262 | 115 | 12 |

## Type of Retirement:

A - Retired From Affiliate or Resignation
B - Termination - Early Involuntary
C - Partial Total Disabilty
D - Disabled not in the Line of Duty
E - Survivor of Retired Teacher
F - Survivor of Active Teacher
G - Ex-Spouse (QDRO)

|  |  | Option Selected |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amount of Monthy Benefit | Number of Benefits Recipients | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| $\$ 1-250$ | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $251-500$ | 56 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| $501-750$ | 77 | 67 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| $751-1,000$ | 71 | 51 | 17 | 3 | 0 |
| $1,001-1,250$ | 48 | 36 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| $1,251-1,500$ | 81 | 56 | 23 | 1 | 1 |
| $1,501-1,750$ | 88 | 74 | 11 | 2 | 1 |
| $1,751-2,000$ | 135 | 119 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| $2,001-3,000$ | 1,074 | 865 | 204 | 5 | 0 |
| $3,001-4,000$ | 2,284 | 1,753 | 524 | 5 | 2 |
| $4,001-5,000$ | 1,191 | 948 | 238 | 5 | 0 |
| $5,001-6,000$ | 340 | 268 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| $6,001-7,000$ | 75 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| $7,001-8,000$ | 32 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| $8,001-9,000$ | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $9,001-10,000$ | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| over $\$ 10,000$ | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 5 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |

## Option Selected:

1 - Unreduced Annuity
2 - Reduced Annuity with Survivor Option
3 - Reduced Annuity with Life Insurance Benefit
4 - Reduced Annuity with Insurable Interest

## District of Columbia Police Officers and Firefighters' Retirement Plan

Type of Retirement

| Amount of Monthy <br> Benefit | Number of Benefits <br> Recipients | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1-250$ | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| $251-500$ | 98 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 3 | 8 |
| $501-750$ | 32 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 22 |
| $751-1,000$ | 34 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 24 |
| $1,001-1,250$ | 46 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 36 |
| $1,251-1,500$ | 45 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| $1,501-1,750$ | 117 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 11 | 46 | 0 | 29 |
| $1,751-2,000$ | 298 | 21 | 0 | 31 | 61 | 158 | 1 | 26 |
| $2,001-3,000$ | 1,833 | 410 | 0 | 163 | 90 | 1,139 | 0 | 31 |
| $3,001-4,000$ | 2,158 | 1,371 | 0 | 649 | 10 | 121 | 3 | 4 |
| $4,001-5,000$ | 1,391 | 1,034 | 0 | 285 | 38 | 29 | 4 | 1 |
| $5,001-6,000$ | 699 | 596 | 0 | 84 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| $6,001-7,000$ | 368 | 334 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $7,001-8,000$ | 168 | 160 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $8,001-9,000$ | 77 | 72 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $9,001-10,000$ | 49 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| over $\$ 10,000$ | 84 | 74 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 7,509 | $\mathbf{4 , 1 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ |

## Type of Retirement:

A - Retired From Affiliate or Resignation
B - Termination - Early Involuntary
C - Partial Total Disabilty
D - Disabled not in the Line of Duty
E - Survivor of a Retired Police Officer or Firefighter
F- Sruvivor of a Active Police Officer or Firefighter
G - Ex-Spouse (QDRO)

|  |  | Option Selected |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount of Monthy Benefit | Number of Benefits Recipients | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| $\$ 1-250$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $251-500$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $501-750$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $751-1,000$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $1,001-1,250$ | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $1,251-1,500$ | 16 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| $1,501-1,750$ | 40 | 14 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| $1,751-2,000$ | 108 | 76 | 1 | 30 | 1 |
| $2,001-3,000$ | 652 | 570 | 0 | 82 | 0 |
| $3,001-4,000$ | 2,070 | 2,042 | 3 | 25 | 0 |
| $4,001-5,000$ | 1,342 | 1,299 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| $5,001-6,000$ | 683 | 640 | 3 | 40 | 0 |
| $6,001-7,000$ | 362 | 340 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| $7,001-8,000$ | 166 | 158 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| $8,001-9,000$ | 75 | 71 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| $9,001-10,000$ | 48 | 46 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| over $\$ 10,000$ | 76 | 72 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 6 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 4 6}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{2 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Option Selected:

1 - Police Officer or Firefighter without 10\% reduction
2 - Police Officer or Firefighter 10\% reduction
3 - Police Officer or Firefighter hired after 02/15/80 without $10 \%$ reduction
4 - Police Officer or Firefighter hired after 02/15/80 10\% reduction

## Additional Disclosures

Schedule of Reportable Transactions ..... 91
Custodial Bank Financial Statements ..... 92
Schedule of Transactions with Parties in Interest. ..... 93
Names and Addresses of the Board of Trustees. ..... 94
Schedule of Trustee Activities Sponsored by Service Providers. ..... 95

| Description | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Activity Units | Cost of Asset | Current Value | Gains/(Losses) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Bearing Cash |  |  | 2,673 | \$3,186,851,289 | \$3,186,851,289 | \$- |
| Total Interest Bearing Cash |  |  |  | 3,186,851,289 | 3,186,851,289 | - |
| U.S. Government Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA | 5\% | 12/1/2099 | 28 | 263,624,104 | 266,905,477 | 3,281,373 |
| FNMA | 5\% | 12/1/2099 | 27 | 253,524,461 | 253,539,613 | 15,152 |
| FNMA | 5\% | 12/1/2099 | 34 | 492,488,968 | 490,835,265 | (1,653,703) |
| FNMA | 5\% | 12/1/2099 | 20 | 278,972,094 | 283,232,969 | 4,260,875 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 21 | 794,496,500 | 794,796,307 | 299,807 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 43 | 593,741,844 | 596,269,102 | 2,527,258 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 27 | 682,798,023 | 684,237,701 | 1,439,678 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 26 | 766,556,031 | 768,606,714 | 2,050,683 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 32 | 568,633,141 | 566,497,958 | $(2,135,183)$ |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 21 | 546,258,031 | 548,797,621 | 2,539,590 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 27 | 446,412,484 | 446,137,800 | $(274,684)$ |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 19 | 290,070,252 | 289,884,332 | $(185,920)$ |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 16 | 224,385,360 | 224,429,094 | 43,734 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 16 | 324,478,547 | 323,480,774 | $(997,773)$ |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 19 | 331,141,562 | 331,867,539 | 725,977 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 22 | 456,030,390 | 456,035,507 | 5,117 |
| FNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 10 | 312,948,750 | 312,955,557 | 6,807 |
| GNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 12 | 267,351,406 | 267,571,797 | 220,391 |
| GNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 6 | 258,970,000 | 258,878,125 | $(91,875)$ |
| GNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 8 | 260,178,750 | 259,776,445 | $(402,305)$ |
| GNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 26 | 520,514,453 | 520,286,758 | $(227,695)$ |
| GNMA | 6\% | 12/1/2099 | 7 | 315,170,000 | 314,561,250 | $(608,750)$ |
| US Treasury NTS | 5\% | 8/15/2017 | 40 | 416,000,151 | 415,227,678 | $(772,473)$ |
| US Treasury N/B | 3\% | 3/31/2013 | 16 | 467,027,831 | $\underline{467,950,071}$ | $\underline{922,240}$ |
| Total U.S. Government Securities |  |  | 523 | $\underline{10,131,773,133}$ | $\underline{10,142,761,454}$ | 10,988,321 |
| Corporate Debt Instruments - All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Rate Swap | 5\% | 9/17/2010 | 2 | 227,136,434 | 229,116,001 | 1,979,567 |
| Interest Rate Swap | 1\% | 9/17/2010 | 2 | 231,310,448 | 229,863,939 | $(1,446,509)$ |
| Interest Rate Swap | 5\% | 3/14/2010 | 3 | 209,408,360 | 209,459,066 | 50,706 |
| Interest Rate Swap | 1\% | 3/14/2010 | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{208,443,522}$ | $\underline{\text { 208,925,942 }}$ | 482,420 |
| Total Corporate Debt Instruments- All Other |  |  | 10 | 876,298,764 | 877,364,948 | $\underline{1,066,184}$ |
| Corporate Stock - Common |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridgewater Pure Alpha Fund I |  |  | $\underline{2}$ | \$200,000,000 | \$206,757,267 | \$6,757,267 |
| Total Corporate Stock - Common |  |  |  | 200,000,000 | $\underline{206,757,267}$ | 6,757,267 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Rate Swap |  |  |  | 295,800,000 | 298,170,058 | 2,370,058 |
| Interest Rate Swap |  |  |  | 296,169,850 | 298,776,156 | 2,606,306 |
| Total Other |  |  |  | 591,969,850 | 596,946,214 | 4,976,364 |
| Registered Investment Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pimco FDS PAC Investment Management |  |  | 7 | $\underline{227,615,460}$ | 229,170,237 | $\underline{1,554,777}$ |
| Total Registered Investment Company |  |  |  | $\underline{227,615,460}$ | 229,170,237 | $\underline{1,554,777}$ |
| Total Reportable Transactions |  |  |  | \$15,214,508,496 | \$15,239,851,409 | \$25,342,913 |
| Total Non-Reportable Transactions |  |  |  | \$22,239,143,161 | \$22,389,468,308 | \$150,325,147 |

## Additional Disclosures

| State Street Corporation Consolidated Statement of Condition (in millions of dollars, except for share amounts) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$3,615 | \$4,583 | \$2,641 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with banks | 22,234 | 24,560 | 26,632 |
| Securities purchased under resale agreements | 2,928 | 3,941 | 2,387 |
| Trading account assets | 479 | 1,485 | 148 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 81,881 | 80,719 | 72,699 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 12,249 | 17,577 | 20,877 |
| Loans and leases (net of allowance of \$100, \$101 and \$79) | 11,857 | 13,665 | 10,729 |
| Premises and equipment | 1,843 | 1,835 | 1,953 |
| Accrued income receivable | 1,733 | 1,767 | 1,497 |
| Goodwill | 5,597 | 5,521 | 4,550 |
| Other intangible assets | 2,593 | 2,812 | 1,810 |
| Other assets | 13,800 | 14,499 | 12,023 |
| Total assets | \$160,809 | \$172,964 | \$157,946 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$17,464 | \$17,313 | \$11,969 |
| Interest-bearing -- U.S. | 6,957 | 9,823 | 5,956 |
| Interest-bearing -- Non-U.S. | 74,228 | 77,898 | 72,137 |
| Total deposits | 98,649 | 105,034 | 90,062 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 7,599 | 8,671 | 10,542 |
| Federal funds purchased | 7,748 | 5,308 | 4,532 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 8,694 | 13,657 | 20,200 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 11,779 | 14,152 | 9,281 |
| Long-term debt | 8,553 | 8,573 | 8,838 |
| Total liabilities | 143,022 | 155,395 | 143,455 |
| Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, no par: authorized 3,500,000; none issued |  |  |  |
| Common stock, \$1 par: authorized 750,000,000 shares; |  |  |  |
| 502,064,454, 502,029,493 and 495,365,571, shares issued | 502 | 502 | 495 |
| Surplus | 9,356 | 9,310 | 9,180 |
| Retained earnings | 8,634 | 8,556 | 7,071 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (689) | (782) | $(2,238)$ |
| Treasury stock (at cost 420,016, 437,953 and 431,832 shares) | (16) | (17) | (17) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 17,787 | 17,569 | 14,491 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$160,809 | \$172,964 | \$157,946 |


| Administrative Contractual Vendors | FY 2010 <br> Expenditures |  | FY 2010 <br> Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IBEW Headquarters Building, LLC. | \$1,433,800 | American Arbitration Association | \$20,000 |
| MTG Management Consultants, LLC. | 645,598 | Projility, Inc. | 20,000 |
| NGEN, LLC. | 128,614 | Buch Construction, Inc. | 17,917 |
| AON Risk Services, Inc. | 122,743 | Evestment Alliance, LLC. | 16,500 |
| Newlin, LLC. | 115,588 | KOFAX, Inc. | 15,912 |
| Robert Half International, Inc. | 142,443 | District of Columbia Agencies - OCTO | 14,535 |
| Ed Friend, Inc. | 74,793 | Graves, Horton, Askew \& Johns | 14,003 |
| Clifton Gunderson, LLP. | 66,000 | DLT Solutions, Inc. | 5,894 |
| HCL America, Inc. | 49,034 | Nu-Pulse Technologies | 12,097 |
| Wilshire Associates, Inc. | 36,000 | AON Consulting | 8,000 |
| Morgan, Lewis \& Bockius, LLP. | 33,534 | Avitecture, Inc. | 7,995 |
| Cavanaugh Macdonald Cunsulting | 32,780 | HBP, Inc. | 7,648 |
| EFUSION Consulting, LLC | 28,000 | The Hartford Insurance | 7,544 |
| Oldaker, Belair \& Wittie, LLP. | 27,810 | EDAC Systems, Inc. | 11,744 |
| Groom Law Group | 22,900 | Cooperative Personnel Service | 6,035 |
| Dell Computer Corporation | \$22,726 | Bloomberg Finance, LP. | \$5,750 |
| Administrative Non-Contractual Vendors |  |  |  |
| Washington Metro Transit Authority | \$39,633 | Americom Telehpone Systems, Inc. | \$10,482 |
| Staples, Inc. | 28,355 | BCE Corporation of Rockville | 7,040 |
| InterPark | 23,300 | BALMER, Inc. | 6,784 |
| Sharp Electronics Corporation | 18,515 | Iron Mountain | 6,525 |
| Verizon Federal, Inc. | 13,433 | Pitney Bowes Global Financial | 5,400 |
| Kastle Systems | 13,274 | Deli Group T/A Washington Deli | \$5,197 |
| Joe Ragans | \$12,441 |  |  |
| Traditional Investment Managers |  |  |  |
| PIMCO | \$1,376,317 | Mazam Capital Management | \$602,268 |
| Goldman Sachs Asset Management | 1,170,569 | McKinley Capital Management, Inc. | 397,446 |
| LSV Aset Management | 919,952 | LSV Emerging Markets Equity Fund | 493,479 |
| Gryphon International Investment | 1,034,534 | BlackRock Securities | 729,075 |
| Sound Shore LG CAP Value | 813,276 | PIMCO Liquidating Fund | 65,332 |
| EACM Advisors, LLC. | 731,987 | Western Assets Index Plus | 28,652 |
| Thompson Siegel \& Walmsley | 1,209,161 | Alliance Index Fund | \$87,532 |
| Sands Capital Management, Inc. | \$657,338 |  |  |
| Other Investment Advisers |  |  |  |
| Watson Wyatt Investment Consulting | \$216,563 | Meketa Investment Group | \$73,333 |
| The Townsend Group | \$140,000 | Plexus Group, Inc. | \$25,000 |
| Trustees |  |  |  |
| Deborah Hensley | \$9,989 | Edward Smith | \$8,947 |
| Barbara Davis-Blum | 9,989 | Thomas N. Tippett | 8,273 |
| Joseph W. Clark | 9,989 | George Suter | 6,925 |
| Darrick Ross | 9,928 | Diana Bulger | 5,944 |
| Lyle Blanchard | 9,438 | Joseph M. Bress | \$5,577 |
| Judith Marcus | \$9,254 |  |  |

## Lyle M. Blanchard

Greenstein Delorme \& Luchs
1620 L Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036

## Barbara Davis Blum

District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

## Diana K. Bulger

District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

## Joseph M. Bress

District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

## Joseph W. Clark

District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

## Deborah Hensley

District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

Judith C. Marcus
District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

## Darrick O. Ross

Metropolitan Police Dept.
1700 Rhode Island Ave., NE
Washington, D.C. 20018-1844

## George R. Suter

District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
Edward C. Smith
District of Columbia Retirement Board 900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

## Thomas N. Tippett

District of Columbia Retirement Board 900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
Michael J. Warren
District of Columbia Retirement Board
900 7th Street, NW, Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

| Judith Marcus: <br> Date | Service Provider | Activity | Purpose of Activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 9 / 2009$ | Mid-Atlantic Plan <br> Sponsors (MAPS) | Lunch | Updates on investment outlook |
|  | Various | Public Funds Roundtable <br> sponsored by Institutional <br> Investor Institute | Continuing education |
| $04 / 21-22 / 10$ | Various | Mid-Atlantic Plan Sponsors <br> (MAPS) conference | Continuing education |
| $06 / 10-11 / 10$ |  |  |  |

District of Columbia Retirement Board 900 7th Street, N.W
2nd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001


[^0]:    *As of the date of the audit report, the latest actuarial valuation available was as of October 1, 2009.

[^1]:    ${ }^{*}$ As of the date of the audit report, the latest actuarial valuation available was as of October 1, 2009.

[^2]:    *As of the date of the audit report, the latest actuarial valuation available was as of October 1, 2009.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Males of any age with less than 3 years of service have a $10 \%$ withdrawal assumption. Females of any age with less than 3 years of service have an $8 \%$ withdrawal assumption.
    ${ }^{2}$ At 31 years of service, half of all eligible active members are assumed to retire.
    ${ }^{3}$ It is assumed that $75 \%$ of the disabilities are due to accidents in the line of duty and the "percent of disability" is assumed to be $100 \%$.

[^4]:    *Benefit payment detail not available at the time of this report.

[^5]:    *Information regarding average years of credited service and average monthly benefit amounts were not available at the time of this report.

