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November 19, 2002

Board of Trustees<br>Connecticut State Teachers' Retirement System<br>21 Grand Street<br>Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Dear Members of the Board:

Submitted in this report are the results of the June 30, 2002 actuarial valuation of the Connecticut State Teachers' Retirement System.

The necessary statistical data on which the valuation was based was furnished by your Administrator and his Staff. Their efforts and cooperation in furnishing the materials needed for this valuation are acknowledged with appreciation.

We have attempted to make the key valuation results more accessible to the users of this report by including a new section, entitled "Introduction". This section includes the following:

- A summary of the key valuation results as of June 30, 2002, using both the prior actuarial assumptions and those adopted by the Board at its meeting on October 17, 2002.
- For comparison purposes, a summary of the same key valuation results as of June $30,2000$.
- Two graphs showing projections of the current active and retired members into the future on the basis of the new valuation assumptions.

We hope these additions will prove to be helpful. We welcome comments from the Board on the contents of this report as well as the latest additions.

The new actuarial assumptions, used for the first time in this actuarial valuation, are summarized in Section E. The Board adopted them based on a study of Retirement System Experience for the period 1996-2001.

The valuation was completed using generally accepted actuarial principles and in accordance with standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board. To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate, and the methods and assumptions produced results which are reasonable.

Respectfully submitted,
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## Introduction

As of June 30, 2002

|  | As of June 30, 2000 | Old Assumptions | New Assumptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Members |  |  |  |
| Retired Members and Beneficiaries |  |  |  |
| Number | 20,724 | 22,303 | 22,303 |
| Anrual Payments | \$ 601,362,660 | \$ 730,978,035 | \$ 730,978,035 |
| Inactive Members |  |  |  |
| Vested | 1,794 | 1,508 | 1,508 |
| Non-Vested | 6,746 | 6,890 | 6,890 |
| Active Members |  |  |  |
| Number | 46,553 | 48,902 | 48,902 |
| Arnual Payroll | \$2,501,471,018 | \$2,698,312,692 | \$2,698,312,692 |
| Actuarial Accrued Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Excess Earnings Account Balance | \$ 1,563,496,139 | \$1,574,029,466 | \$1,574,029,466 |
| Retired Members and Beneficiaries | \$,343,601,053 | 6,397,525,128 | 6,803,186,025 |
| Inactive Members | 265,944,580 | 234,435,092 | 236,427,180 |
| Active Members | 6,188,020,552 | 6,620,662,898 | 6,640,240,318 |
| Total | \$13,361,062,324 | \$14,826,652,584 | \$15,253,882,989 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$11,169,434,208 | \$11,961,346,260 | \$11,961,346,260 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability | \$ 2,191,628,116 | \$ 2,865,306,324 | \$ 3,292,536,729 |
| Funded Ratios |  |  |  |
| Including Excess Earnings Account | 83.60\% | 80.67\% | 78.42\% |
| Excluding Excess Earnings Account | 81.42\% | 78.38\% | 75.93\% |
| Computed State Contribution Rate |  |  |  |
| Normal Cost | 3.80\% | 3.80\% | 3.00\% |
| Unfunded Accrued Liabiiity | $3.84 \%$ * | 5.40\%* | 6.27\% |
| Total | 7.64\% | 9.20\% | 9.27\% |
| State Contribution Amount |  |  |  |
| For Fiscal Year Ending: |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2002 | \$210,701,421 | N/A | N/A |
| June 30, 2003 | \$221,236,492 | N/A | N/A |
| June 30, 2004 | N/A. | \$273,689,880 | \$270,544,487 |
| June 30, 2005 | N/A. | \$287,374,374 | \$281,366,266 |

[^0]
# Expected Development of Present Active Population <br> June 30, 2002 



Retirements Non-Vested Separations $\square$ Deaths and Disabilities $\square$ Vested Separations

The charts show the expected future development of the present population in simplified terms. The retirement system presently covers 48,902 active members. Eventually, $9 \%$ of the population is expected to terminate covered employment prior to retirement and forfeit eligibility for an employerprovided benefit. Nearly $88 \%$ of the present population is expected to receive monthly retirement benefits either by retiring directly from active service, or by retiring from vested deferred starus. $3 \%$ of the present population is expected to become eligible for death-in-service or disability benefits. Within 11 years, over half of the covered membership is expected to consist of new hires.


The projected retired population levels shown in the graph are developed from the current retired population, the addition of new retired members from the active population, and mortality assumptions. The projection indicates that around 2020 the retired population will peak. Note that this graph does not include future retirements of active members that will be hired in the future. If it did, the graph would not be a "hill", but would plateau around 2020.

## SECTION A

Financial Principles

Promises Made and To Be Paid For. As each year is completed, the System in essence hands an "IOU" to each member then acquiring a year of service credit. The "IOU" says: "The Connecticut State Teachers' Retirement System (CSTRS) owes you one year's worth of retirement benefits, payments in cash commencing when you qualify for retirement."

The related key financial questions are:

Which generation of taxpayers contributes the money to cover the IOU?

The present taxpayers, who receive the benefit of the member's present year of service?

Or the future taxpayers, who happen to be in Connecticut at the time the IOU becomes a cash demand?

A sound financial objective for the CSTRS is that this year's taxpayers contribute the money to cover the IOUs being handed out this year so that the employer contribution rate will remain approximately level from generation to generation - our children and our grandchildren will not have to contribute greater percents of payroll than we contribute now.
(There are systems which have a design for deferring contributions to future taxpavers, lured by a lower contribution rate now and putting aside the fact that the contribution rate must then relentlessly grow much greater over decades of time -- consume now, and let your children face higher contribution rates after you retire.)

Iranslated to actuarial terminology, this level percent-of-payroll objective means that the contribution rate must be at least the following:

Normal Cost (the current value of benefits likely to be paid as a result of members' service rendered in the current year)
... plus ...

Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (the difference between the actuarial accrued liability for service already rendered and current plan assets).

An inevitable byproduct of the level percent-of-payroll design is the accumulation of reserve assets for decades and the income produced when the assets are invested. Investment income becomes the third and (often) the largest contributor for benefits to employees, and is interlocked with the contribution amounts required from employees and employers.

Computing Contributions to Support System Benefits. From a given schedule of benefits and from the employee data and asset data furnished, the actuary determines the contribution rates to support the benefits, by means of an actuarial valuation.

An actuarial valuation has a number of components such as; the rate of investment return which plan assets will earn; the rates of withdrawal of active members who leave covered employment before qualifying for any monthly benefit; the rates of mortality; the rates of disability; the rates of pay increases; and the assumed age or ages at actual retirement.

In an actuarial valuation, assumptions must be made as to what the above rates will be, for the next year and for decades in the future. Only the subsequent actual experience of the System can indicate the degree of accuracy of the assumptions.

Reconciling Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experience. Once actual experience has occurred and been observed, it will not coincide exactly with assumed experience, regardless of the accuracy of the various financial assumptions or the skill of the actuary and the precision of the calculations made. The System copes with these continually changing differences by having regular actuarial valuations. Each actuarial valuation is a complete recalculation of assumed future experience, taking into account all past differences between assumed and actual experience. The result is continual adjustments in financial position.

## The Actuarlal Valuation Process

The financing diagram on the next page shows the relationship between the two fundamentally different philosophies of paying for retirement benefits: the method where contributions match eash benefit payments (or barely exceed cash benefit payments, as in the Federal Social Security program), and is thus an increasing contribution method; and the level contribution method which equalizes contributions between the generations.

The actuarial valuation is the mathematical process by which the level contribution rate is determined, and the flow of activity constituting the valuation may be summarized as follows:
A. Covered Person Data, furnished by the plan administrator

Retired members and beneficiaries now receiving benefits
Former employees with vested benefits not yet payable
Active employees
B. + Asset data (cash and investments), furnished by the plan administrator
C. + Benefit provisions that establish eilgibility and amounts of payments to members
D. + Estimates of future experience (actuarial assumptions), which are established by the Board of Trustees after consulting with the actuary.
E. + The funding method for employer contributions (the long-term planned pattern for employer contributions)
F. + Mathematically combining the assumptions, the funding method, and the data
G. = Determination of:

Plan financial position, and/or
New Employer Contribution Rate

## SECTION B

Valuation Results

## COMmENTS

To put this year's actuarial valuation results in perspective, we need to review some recent history. The June 30, 1996 valuation of the System produced a Computed State Contribution Rate of $9.13 \%$, which increased to $9.49 \%$ in the next valuation (as of June 30, 1998). During the following two years, continued substantial asset gains were recognized gradually through the four-year asset smoothing process that the Board adopted in 1996. In addition, the system's actuarial accrued liabilities grew somewhat more slowly than anticipated by the assumptions. The combination of these two factors resulted in a Contribution Rate of $7.64 \%$ in the June 30, 2000 actuarial valuation. As is well known, those asset gains have slowed, and even turned to losses since that time.

During 2001 and 2002, the Board's actuary completed a Study of Retirement System Experience for the period 1996-2001, and recommended that the Board adopt certain changes in the actuarial assumptions to better reflect expected System experience in future years. The Computed State Contribution Rate of $9.27 \%$ that appears on page B-2 of this report is based on the newly adopted assumptions. Had no changes been made to the assumptions; that rate would have been $9.20 \%$. The following table compares the dollar amount of the State's computed contribution using these two rates. To understand these results, it is important to be aware that under the old assumptions, member payroll was expected to grow $5.0 \%$ per year, while the payroll growth rate under the new assumptions is $4.0 \%$. As a result, the higher contribution rate $(9.27 \%)$ is being applied to a lower projected member payroll than is the lower contribution rate of $9.20 \%$.

## Computed State Contribution Amounts

| For Fiscal Year Ending | $9.20 \%$ Rate <br> (Old Assumptions) |  | $9.27 \%$ Rate <br> New Assumptions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30,2004 | $\$ 273,689,880$ |  | $\$ 270,544,487$ |
| June 30, 2005 | $\$ 287,374,374$ | $\$ 281,366,266$ |  |

Finally, the value of the Excess Earnings Account Balance increased less than $1 \%$ between June 30, 2000 and June 30,2002 from $\$ 1,563,496,139$ to $\$ 1,574,029,466$. This latter balance does not reflect approximately $\$ 66,000,000$ needed to cover the Cost-of-Living Adjustments made effective July 1 , 2002.

The funded status (that is, the ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Accrued Liability) of the System continues to exceed $75 \%$.

# State Contribution Rate Computed as of June 30, 2002 For the Two-Year Period Beginning July 1, 2003 

| Computed Contributions for | Percents of Active <br> Member Payroll |
| :---: | :---: |
| Normal Cost |  |
| Age and service annuities | $7.34 \%$ |
| Separation benefits | 1.28 \% |
| Disability annuities | 0.25\% |
| Death-in-service annuities | $0.13 \%$ |
| Total | 9.00\% |
| Member Contributions | $6.00 \%$ |
| State Normal Cost | $3.00 \%$ |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities: |  |
| Plan in effect 6/30/91 (29 years) | 11.14 \% |
| Public Act 82.91 (10 years) | $0.14 \%$ |
| Public Act 87-381 (15 years) | $0.01 \%$ |
| Public Act 92-205 (20 years) | (5.04)\% |
| Public Act 98-251 (25 years) | $0.02 \%$ |
| Total | 6.27 \% |
| State Contribution Rate | 9.27 \% |

Based on a projected member payroll of $\$ 2,918,495,000$ for the 2003-2004 Fiscal Year, the computed State contribution dollar amount for that Fiscal Year is $\$ 270,544,487$. Based on a projected member payroll of $\$ 3,035,234,800$ for the 2004-2005 Fiscal Year, the computed State contribution dollar amount for that Fiscal Year is $\$ 281,366,266$.

The length of an amortization period is a matter of judgment, not a matter of solving an algebraic equation. No one amortization period is "correct" - there is a range of reasonable judgment. As specified in Chapter 167a, Section 10-183z of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) resulting from the plan provisions in effect as of June 30, 1991 is to be amortized over a 40 -year period, while subsequent changes in the UAAL are to be amortized over 30 years. In addition, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25 reguires that the net effective amortization period not exceed 40 years. The contribution rate shown above is sufficient to meet this requirement.

In preparing the Experience Study for the period 1996-2001, it was discovered that the amortization periods previously used for the various components of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability were off by one year in each case. The years shown above are now correct. This change resulted in an increase in the contribution rate of $0.04 \%$.


## Development of Funding Value of Assets

The next two pages show the development of the Funding, or Actuarial, Value of System Assets. Each year, the assumed investment retum is fully recognized. Then, to dampen the effects of year-to-year changes in the market value retums, $25 \%$ of the difference between the assumed return and the market retum is also recognized in a given year. This occurs regardless of whether that difference is positive (a gain) or negative (a loss). One-third of the remaining 75\% of the gain or (loss) is recognized over the next three years until the full amount of the gain/(loss) has been recognized.

## Development of Funding Value of Assets

(4 Year Smoothing)

| Valuation Date June 30 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A. Funding Value Beginning of Year
$\$ 11,888,015,223$
B. Market Value End of Year
C. Market Value Beginning of Year
D. Non-Investment Net Cash Flow
E. Investment Return

E1. Market Total: B-C-D
E2. Assumed Rate
E3. Amount for Immediate Recognition
E4. Amount for Phased In Recognition: E1-E3
$10,125,903,606$
$11,220,376,670$
$(363,048,399)$
(731,424,665)
8.50\%

995,051,737
(1,726,476,402)
F. Phased-In Recognition of Investment Return

F1. Current Year: $0.25 \times$ E4 $\quad(431,619,101) \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$
F2. First Prior Year
F3. Second Prior Year
F4. Third Prior Year
F5. Total Recognized Investment Gain
G. Funding Value End of Year: A+D+E3+F5
H. Difference Between Market and Funding Values $\begin{array}{lllll}(1,835,442,654) & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

1. Recognized Rate of Return
$3.73 \%$

The Funding Value of Assets recognizes assumed investment return (line E3) fully each year. Differences between actual and assumed investment return (Line E4) are phased in over a closed 4 year period. During periods when investment performance exceeds the assumed rate, Funding Value of Assets will tend to be less than market value. During periods when investment performance is less than the assumed rate, Funding Value of Assets will tend to be greater than market value. If assumed rates are exactly realized for 3 consecutive years, funding value will become equal to market value.
Funding Value of Assets - Comparative Statement

| Valuation Date June 30 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A. Funding Value Beginning of Year | $\$ 8,841,960,336$ | $\$ 9,959,514,254$ | $\$ 11,169,434,208$ | $\$ 11,888,015,223$ |
| B. Market Value End of Year | $10,807,413,401$ | $11,949,456,155$ | $11,220,376,670$ | $10,125,903,606$ |
| C. Market Value Beginning of Year | $9,992,279,241$ | $10,807,413,401$ | $11,949,456,155$ | $11,220,376,670$ |
| D. Non-Investment Net Cash Flow | $(217,788,351)$ | $(258,233,080)$ | $(302,124,394)$ | $(363,048,399)$ |
| E. Investment Return |  |  |  |  |
| E1. Market Total: B-C-D | $1,032,922,511$ | $1,400,275,834$ | $(426,955,091)$ | $(731,424,665)$ |
| E2. Assumed Rate | $8,50 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ |
| E3. Amount for Immediate Recognition | $742,310,624$ | $835,583,806$ | $936,561,621$ | $995,051,737$ |
| E4. Amount for Phased In Recognition: E1-E3 | $290,611,887$ | $564,692,028$ | $(1,363,516,712)$ | $(1,726,476,402)$ |
| F. Phased-In Recognition of Investment Return |  |  |  |  |
| F1. Current Year: 0.25 x E4 | $72,652,972$ | $141,173,007$ | $(340,879,178)$ | $(431,619,101)$ |
| F2. First Prior Year | $211,196,987$ | $72,652,972$ | $141,173,007$ | $(340,879,178)$ |
| F3. Second Prior Year | $207,546,263$ | $211,196,987$ | $72,652,972$ | $141,173,007$ |
| F4. Third Prior Year | $101,635,423$ | $207,546,262$ | $211,196,987$ | $72,652,971$ |
| F5. Total Recognized Investment Gain | $593,031,645$ | $632,569,228$ | $84,143,788$ | $(558,672,301)$ |
| G. Total Recognized Investment Return | $1,335,342,269$ | $1,468,153,034$ | $1,020,705,409$ | $436,379,436$ |
| H. Funding Value End of Year: A+D+G | $9,959,514,254$ | $11,169,434,208$ | $11,888,015,223$ | $11,961,346,260$ |
| I. Difference Between Market and Funding Values | $847,899,147$ | $780,021,947$ | $(667,638,553)$ | $(1,835,442,654)$ |
| J. Recognized Rate of Return | $15,29 \%$ | $14.93 \%$ | $9,26 \%$ | $3,73 \%$ |

The market value of the assets of the Retirement System, as of June 30, 2002, was $\$ 10,125,903,606$.

Assets
June 30, 2002
Market value of plan assets $\quad \$ 10,125,903,606$
Market value adjustment
$1,835,442,654$
Funding value of assets prior to adjustment for Excess Earnings Account Balance $\$ 11,961,346,260$
Excess Earnings Account Balance
$(1,574,029,466)$
Net funding value of plan assets $\$ 10,387,316,794$

In financing the Retirement System actuarial accrued liabilities, the applicable assets of \$10,387,316,794 were applied as follows:

Assets Applied to

| Account | Retiree and <br> Beneficiary <br> Liabilities | Assets Applied to <br> Inactive Member <br> Liabilities | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Computed Actuarial Accrued Liabilities | $\$ 6,803,186,025$ | $\$ 6,876,667,498$ | $\$ 13,679,853,523$ |
| Valuation Assets | $6,803,186,025$ | $3,584,130,769$ | $10,387,316,794$ |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities | $\$$ | 0 | $\$ 3,292,536,729$ |$\$ \mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 9 2 , 5 3 6 , 7 2 9}$

## SECTION C

## Employee Census Data and Asset Information

Total active Members in Valuation June 30, 2002 by Attained Age and Years of Service

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Attained } \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ | Years of Service to Valuation Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30 Plus | No. | Valuation Payroll |
| 20-24 | 703 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 704 | \$ 22,230,737 |
| 25-29 | 4,215 | 529 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,744 | 171,871,796 |
| 30-34 | 2,894 | 2,635 | 258 | 3 |  |  |  | 5,790 | 239,364,974 |
| 35-39 | 1,492 | 1,402 | 1,098 | 330 | 1 |  |  | 4,323 | 205,639,894 |
| 40-44 | 1,321 | 936 | 801 | 1,339 | 292 | - 3 |  | 4,692 | 246,450,389 |
| 45-49 | 1,357 | 1,201 | 989 | 1,088 | 1,703 | 617 |  | 6,955 | 399,800,861 |
| 50-54 | 1,018 | 1,079 | 1,235 | 1,410 | 1,294 | 2,847 | 1,605 | 10,488 | 660,507,492 |
| 55-59 | 466 | 429 | 646 | 1,123 | 1,007 | 1,085 | 3,559 | 8,315 | 555,740,804 |
| 60 | 39 | 32 | 61 | 147 | 142 | 142 | 349 | 912 | 61,946,046 |
| 61 | 21 | 24 | 50 | 93 | 97 | 70 | 201 | 556 | 37,437,859 |
| 62 | 21 | 23 | 30 | 49 | 66 | 64 | 128 | 381 | 25,689,194 |
| 63 | 11 | 12 | 21 | 41 | 46 | 41 | 103 | 275 | 19,099,971 |
| 64 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 32 | 29 | 46 | 73 | 218 | 15,040,429 |
| 65 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 29 | 20 | 33 | 52 | 159 | 10,795,382 |
| 66 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 36 | 104 | 7,138,346 |
| 67 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 26 | 78 | 5,202,227 |
| 68 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 32 | 64 | 4,389,125 |
| 69 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 20 | 43 | 3,006,221 |
| 70 \& Over | 3 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 16 | 46 | 101 | 6,960,945 |
| Totals | 13,590 | 8,334 | 5.242 | 5,742 | 4,753 | 5,011 | 6,230 | 48,902 | \$2,698,312,692 |

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age:
Service:
Annual Pay:
45.3 years
14.3 years
$\$ 55,178$

Male, Female, and Total Members in Valuation June 30, 2002 by Years of Service

| Service <br> Years | Active Member Count |  |  | Active Member Pays |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Total | Average |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 287 | 798 | 1,085 | $\$ 24,832,344$ | $\$ 22,887$ |
| 1 | 864 | 2,788 | 3,652 | $136,333,385$ | 37,331 |
| 2 | 839 | 2,491 | 3,330 | $131,614,509$ | 39,524 |
| 3 | 716 | 2,271 | 2,987 | $120,745,490$ | 40,424 |
| 4 | 641 | 1,895 | 2,536 | $107,217,670$ | 42,278 |
| 5 | 538 | 1,592 | 2,130 | $92,133,356$ | 43,255 |
| 6 | 472 | 1,441 | 1,913 | $87,765,825$ | 45,879 |
| 7 | 393 | 1,263 | 1,656 | $79,099,743$ | 47,766 |
| 8 | 332 | 1,067 | 1,399 | $71,066,791$ | 50,798 |
| 9 | 277 | 959 | 1,236 | $65,781,910$ | 53,222 |
| 10 | 204 | 920 | 1,124 | $62,368,164$ | 55,488 |
| 11 | 116 | 655 | 771 | $43,813,350$ | 56,827 |
| 12 | 179 | 841 | 1,020 | $60,766,873$ | 59,575 |
| 13 | 206 | 846 | 1,052 | $63,849,925$ | 60,694 |
| 14 | 206 | 1,069 | 1,275 | $79,442,133$ | 62,308 |
| $15 \&$ Up | 6,449 | 15,287 | 21,736 | $1,471,481,225$ | 67,698 |
| Totals | 12,719 | 36,183 | 48,902 | $\$ 2,698,312,693$ | $\$ 55,178$ |

# Former Active Members and Beneficlaries in Pay Status by Plan Code 

## Number in Each Plan Code

Plan Retirees and Beneficiaries* Disabled Total

| A (Life Annuity) | 476 | 8 | 484 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B (100\% Cash Refund) | 682 | 8 | 690 |
| C (Period Certain and Life) | 1,540 | 8 | 1,548 |
| D (Joint and Survivor) | 3,611 | 0 | 3,611 |
| N (25\% Cash Refund) | 15,103 | 8 | 15,111 |
| S (Survivor) | 493 | 0 | 493 |
| W (Disability) | 0 | 366 | 366 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21,905 | 398 | 22,303 |


| Plan | Monthly Benefits Paid in Each Plan Code <br> Retirees and Beneficiaries* | Disabled | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 784,851$ | $\$ 6,242$ | $\$ 791,093$ |
| A (Life Annuity) | $1,226,736$ | 8,145 | $1,234,881$ |
| B (100\% Cash Refund) | $3,474,652$ | 8,344 | $3,482,996$ |
| C (Period Certain and Life) | $11,086,793$ | 0 | $11,086,793$ |
| D (Joint and Survivor) | $43,369,246$ | 11,800 | $43,381,046$ |
| N (25\% Cash Refund) | 182,775 | 0 | 182,775 |
| S (Survivor) | 0 | 755,252 | 755,252 |
| W (Disability) | $\$ 60,125,053$ | $\$ 789,783$ | $\$ 60,914,836$ |

[^1]| Year Ending | Number | Monthly Annuity | Monthly Pension | Monthly <br> Voluntary | Total | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 1 | \$ 439 | 90 | \$ 0 | \$ 439 | \$ 439 |
| 1953 | 1 | 734 | 0 | 0 | 734 | 734 |
| 1958 | 2 | 2,019 | 0 | 0 | 2,019 | 1,009 |
| 1959 | 3 | 1,761 | 0 | 0 | 1,761 | 587 |
| 1960 | 4 | 2,484 | 0 | 0 | 2,484 | 621 |
| 1961 | 9 | 7,284 | 0 | 2 | 7,286 | 810 |
| 1962 | 10 | 13,029 | 0 | 7 | 13,036 | 1,304 |
| 1963 | 14 | 17,609 | 0 | 31 | 17,640 | 1,260 |
| 1964 | 10 | 15,140 | 0 | 9 | 15,148 | 1,515 |
| 1965 | 10 | 16,821 | 0 | 2 | 16,823 | 1,682 |
| 1966 | 24 | 26,749 | 0 | 30 | 26,779 | 1,116 |
| 1967 | 23 | 34,187 | 0 | 14 | 34,201 | 1,487 |
| 1968 | 37 | 44,538 | 0 | - 80 | 44,618 | 1,206 |
| 1969 | 60 | 84,55! | 0 | 167 | 84,718 | 1,412 |
| 1970 | 62 | 86,050 | 0 | 194 | 86,244 | 1,391 |
| 1971 | 85 | 124,594 | 0 | 282 | 124,876 | 1,469 |
| 1972 | 100 | 155,360 | 0 | 385 | 155,745 | 1,557 |
| 1973 | 170 | 276,349 | 0 | 963 | 277,312 | 1,631 |
| 1974 | 154 | 258,567 | 0 | 774 | 259,341 | 1,684 |
| 1975 | 196 | 327,704 | 0 | 1,199 | 328,903 | 1,678 |
| 1976 | 191 | 336,040 | 0 | 1,170 | 337,210 | 1,765 |
| 1977 | 251 | 427,497 | 0 | 2,197 | 429,694 | 1,712 |
| 1978 | 290 | 495,667 | 0 | 1,963 | 497,631 | 1,716 |
| 1979 | 299 | 489,852 | 0 | 3,967 | 493,819 | 1,652 |
| 1980 | 355 | 600,294 | 0 | 4,368 | 604,662 | 1,703 |
| 1981 | 352 | 582,844 | 0 | 4,036 | 586,879 | 1,667 |
| 1982 | 438 | 745,580 | 0 | 6,222 | 751,802 | 1,716 |
| 1983 | 495 | 873,060 | 0 | 6,442 | 879,502 | 1,777 |
| 1984 | 489 | 925,362 | 0 | 10,733 | 936,094 | 1,914 |
| 1985 | 568 | 1,109,463 | 0 | 15,520 | 1,124,983 | 1,981 |
| 1986 | 636 | 1,328,033 | 0 | 28,033 | 1,356,066 | 2,132 |
| 1987 | 632 | 1,380,362 | 0 | 31,230 | 1,411,592 | 2,234 |
| 1988 | 593 | 1,314,423 | 0 | 29,011 | 1,343,434 | 2,265 |
| 1989 | 605 | 1,482,287 | 0 | 32,719 | 1,515,006 | 2,504 |
| 1990 | 880 | 2,386,297 | 0 | 58,582 | 2,444,879 | 2,778 |
| 1991 | 937 | 2,645,179 | 0 | 49,408 | 2,694,587 | 2,876 |
| 1992 | 974 | 2,991,415 | 0 | 53,537 | 3,044,951 | 3,126 |
| 1993 | 1,882 | 6,311,134 | 0 | 119,473 | 6,430,607 | 3,417 |
| 1994 | 634 | 1,688,174 | 0 | 28,242 | 1,716,416 | 2,707 |
| 1995 | 1,069 | 3,311,743 | 0 | 60,500 | 3,372,243 | 3,155 |
| 1996 | 1,038 | 3,156,658 | 0 | 52,109 | 3,208,767 | 3,091 |
| 1997 | 1,035 | 3,144,576 | 0 | 54,645 | 3,199,221 | 3,091 |
| 1998 | 1,128 | 3,419,436 | 0 | 52,426 | 3,471,862 | 3,078 |
| 1999 | 1,045 | 3,122,497 | 0 | 47,790 | 3,170,287 | 3,034 |
| 2000 | 1,593 | 5,145,061 | 0 | 54,345 | 5,199,405 | 3,264 |
| 2001 | 1,477 | 4,590,253 | 0 | 54,008 | 4,644,260 | 3,144 |
| 2002 | 1,442 | 4,493,867 | 0 | 55,006 | 4,548,873 | 3,155 |
| TOTAL | 22,303 | 359,993,018 | 50 | \$921,818 | \$60,914,836 | \$ 2,731 |


|  | Asset Reconciliation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 |
| Net Market Value as of July 1 | \$11,949,456,155 | \$11,220,376,670 |
| Additions |  |  |
| Employer Contributions* | 221,727,770 | 207,835,668 |
| Employee Contributions | 166,822,365 | 183,771,410 |
| Change in Net Appreciation | $(828,892,327)$ | (1,120,545,600) |
| Interest and Dividends | 405,272,395 | 387,536,503 |
| Gain on Sale of Securities | (3,335,158) | 1,584,432 |
| Total Additions | \$ (38,404,955) | \$ (339,817,587) |
| Deductions |  |  |
| Benefits | $(683,083,919)$ | (747,349,673) |
| Refunds of Contributions | $(7,590,611)$ | $(7,305,804)$ |
| Total Deductions | \$ (690,674,530) | \$ (754,655,477) |
| Net Increase | (729,079,485) | (1,094,473,064) |
| Net Market Vaiue as of June 30 | \$11,220,376,670 | \$10,125,903,606 |

Pursuant to PA 92-205, a special reserve account, known as the "Excess Earnings Account" was established within the assets for the Teachers' Retirement System. Beginning in 1992, the Account will be charged with the actuarial present value of cost-of-living adjustments to the pensions of any member whose date of retirement is on or after September 1, 1992. The Account is credited with investment eamings in any year that the rate of investment return exceeds $11.5 \%$.

Following is a development of the Excess Earnings Account from June 30, 1999 to June 30, 2002:

|  |  | Eligible <br> Pensioners | Rate of <br> Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Excess Earnings Account Balance, June 30, 1999 | \$ 1,589,628,903 |  |  |
| Actuarial Liability for July 1, 1999 COLA $=1.3 \%$ | (22,072,808) | 5,370 |  |
| Excess Investment Earnings for FY 1999 | 0 |  | 10.55\% |
| Actuarial Liability for January 1,2000 COLA $=2.5 \%$ | $(4,229,121)$ | 701 |  |
| 2. Excess Earnings Account Balance, June 30, 2000 | 1,563,326,974 |  |  |
| Actuarial Liability for July 1, 2000 COLA $=2.5 \%$ | $(55,172,935)$ | 6,812 |  |
| Excess Investment Earnings for FY 2000 | 171,963,674 |  | 13,11\% |
| Actuarial Liability for January 1, 2001 COLA $=3.5 \%$ | $(7,268,028)$ | 816 |  |
| 3. Excess Earnings Account Balance, June 30, 2001 | 1,672,849,685 |  |  |
| Actuarial Liability for July 1, 2001 COLA $=3.5 \%$ | (93,464,799) | 8,064 |  |
| Excess Investment Earnings for FY 2001 | 0 |  | (3.71\%) |
| Actuarial Liability for January 1, 2002 COLA $=1.5 \%$ | $(5,355,420)$ | 952 |  |
| 4. Excess Earnings Account Balance, June 30, 2002 | S 1,574,029,466 |  |  |

## SECTION D



## Benefit Summary

## SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS <br> June 30, 2002

Outlined below are the principal provisions of the System which were reflected in the results shown in this report.

1. Covered Employees

Any teacher, principal, superintendent or supervisor engaged in service of public schools, plus professional employees at State schools of higher education if they choose to be covered.
2. Salary

Amount paid to a teacher as specified in a contract of employment excluding amounts paid for extra duty assigmments, coaching, unused sick time, unused vacation or terminal pay.

## 3. Average Annual Salary

Average of annual salary received during three years of highest salary.

## 4. Credited Service

One month for each month of service as a teacher in Connecticut public schools, maximum 10 months for each school year. Ten months of credited service constitutes one year of Credited Service. Certain other types of teaching service, State employment, or war-time military service may be purchased at retirement, if the Member pays one-half of the cost.

## 5. Normal Retirement

Eligibility: Age 60 with 20 years of Credited Service in Connecticut or 35 years of Credited Service including at least 25 years of service in Connecticut.

Benefit: $2 \%$ times years of Credited Service times Average Annual Salary (maximum percent is $75 \%$ )
plus
any additional amounts derived from the accumulation of 6 th percent contributions made prior to July 1,1989 and voluntary contributions by the teacher.

Minimum Benefit: Effective January 1, 1999, Public Act $98-251$ provides a minimum monthly retirement benefit of $\$ 1,200$ to teachers who retire under the Normal Retirement provisions and who complete at least 25 years of full time Connecticut service at retirement.

## 6. Early Retirement

Eligibility: At any age after the completion of 25 years of Credited Service including 20 years of Connecticut service or at or after age 55 and the completion of 20 years of Credited Service inciuding 15 years of Connecticut service, with the last 5 years in Connecticut.

Benefit: Reduced normal retirement benefit. The early retirement factors currently in effect are $6 \%$ per year for the first five years by which early retirement precedes the minimum normal retirement age and $4 \%$ per year for the next five years by which early retirement precedes the minimum normal retirement age. The Teachers' Retirement Board has adopted new early retirement factors that will apply effective July 1, 1999 to any member who retires on or after that date with at least 30 years of service. The new factors are $3 \%$ per year by which early retirement precedes the minimum normal retirement age.

## 7. Proratable Retirement

Eligibility: Age 60 with 10 years of Credited Service, with the last 5 years in Connecticut.

Benefit: $2 \%$ less $.1 \%$ for each year less than 20 years times years of Credited Service in Comecticut pius $1 \%$ times years of additional Credited Service times Average Annual Salary.

## 8. Disability Retirement

Eligibility: Disability after 5 years of Credited Service in Connecticut if not incurred in the performance of duty and without regard to service if incurred in the performance of duty,

Benefit: $2 \%$ times Credited Service to date of disability times Average Annual Salary, but not less than $15 \%$ times Average Annual Salary, nor more than $50 \%$ of Average Annual Salary. In addition, in no case will a disability benefit under this plan (without regard to any cost of living adjustments) plus any initial award of Social Security benefits and workers' compensation exceed the Average Annual Salary.

## 9. Termination of Employment

With less than 5 years of Credited Service: Retum of $6 \%$ contributions with interest.

With 5 or more years of Credited Service: Return of $6 \%$ contributions with interest and $1 \%$ contributions made prior to July 1, 1989 without interest.

With 10 or more years of Credited Service: $100 \%$ vested. Member may elect return of all contributions plus interest on $6 \%$ contributions in lieu of vested benefit.

## 10. Pre-Retirement Death Benefits

A lump sum plus one of the following: survivor's benefit, return of all contributions with interest, surviving spouse's benefit, or automatic surviving spouse's benefit.

- Lump Sum: $\$ 1,000$ for the first 5 years of Connecticut service plus $\$ 200$ per year thereafter. Maximum benefit: $\$ 2,000$.
- Survivor's Benefit: For active teachers who die while in service the family maximum benefit payable to survivors has been increased from $\$ 600$ to $\$ 1,500$ per month. Each minor child is entitled to $\$ 300$ per month. The surviving spouse's benefit will be $\$ 300$ per month if the member has 12 or less years of service. For each additional year of service, the surviving spouse's monthly benefit is increased $\$ 25$, up to a maximum of $\$ 600$.
- Accumulated contributions with interest plus dependent children's benefits as described in the "Survivor's Benefit" paragraph.
- Surviving Spouse's Benefit: the $50 \%$ co-participant option plus dependent children's benefits as described in the "Survivor Benefit" paragraph.
- Automatic Surviving Spouse's Benefit: An active member who is eligible for immediate retirement and who has named his or her spouse as primary beneficiary will be automatically covered by a $100 \%$ Plan D co-participant option in the event of his or her death prior to retirement.


## 11. Form of Annuity

Normal: Partial Refund Option - 75\% of total benefit is paid as a life annuity. If $25 \%$ of the benefits paid prior to death do not exceed the Member's $6 \%$ contributions plus interest frozen at the date of benefit commencement, the difference is paid to the Member's beneficiary.

Optional Forms: 5-, 10-, 20-, or 25 -year certain and life. $33-1 / 3 \%, 50 \%, 66-2 / 3 \%, 75 \%$, or $100 \%$ co-participant annuity (if co-participant dies first, benefit reverts to unreduced amount).

## 12. Cost-of-Living Allowance

For teachers who retired prior to September 1, 1992, pension benefit adjustments are made in accordance with increases in the Consumer Price Index, with a minimum of $3 \%$ and a maximum of $5 \%$ per annum. Benefit adjustments for teachers who retire on or after September 1,1992, will be provided through the Excess Earnings Account. The amount of such adjustments will depend upon the adequacy of the Excess Earnings Account as well as the investment returns of the Teachers' Retirement Fund.

## 13. Teachers' Required Contribution

Effective July 1, 1992, each teacher is required to contribute $6 \%$ of annual salary for the pension benefit. An additional $1 \%$ of annual salary is contributed for health insurance of retired teachers, except for the first $\$ 500,000$ of such total.

## 14. State Contribution

The State's contribution requirement to fund the balance of the liability for benefits with annual contributions (currently paid in installments at the beginning of each quarter) is determined in accordance with Section 10-183z (which reflects Public Act 79-436 as amrended).

## Sample Benefit Computations for a Member RETIRING JUNE 30, 2002

The data for the sample member is shown below.

| A. | $\$ 40,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| B. | Average Amnual Salary <br> C. <br> Total Credited Service (all in Connecticut for the <br> purpose of this example) <br> D. <br> E. <br> Age of Retiree |
| Age of Spouse |  |

The computations that would be made for this case are:


#### Abstract

Annual Amount F. Formula Benefit: $2 \% \times \mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B} \quad \$ 25,600$ G. Adjustment for Line $E$ election $\left(1-.828^{*}\right) \times \$ 25,600 \quad 4.403$ H. Net Annual Benefit Payable $\$ 21,197$

Subject to the availability of funds in the Excess Eamings Account, this benefit could be increased by a cost of living adjustment (COLA). The amount of the COLA in a given year depends on the Teachers' Retirement Fund investment returns and the rate of increases in Social Security benefits. *This factor is based on the previous actuarial assumptions. At the time this report was written, the Teachers' Retirement Board had not adopted factors based on the new actuarial assumptions.


## SECTION E

## Disclosures Required by GASB Statements No. 25 and No. 27

## Information for Compliance with Gasb Statements No. 25 and No. 27

The information in this section of the report is provided to assist the Connecticut Teachers' Retirement System (CTRS) with the requirements of Govermmental Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 25 (GAS 25) and No. 27 (GAS 27). The statements provided are:

1. Schedule of Funding Progress (GAS 25) - This provides a six-year history of the following:

- The actuarial value of plan assets,
- The actuarial accrued liability,
- The relationship between the assets and the liability, and
- The relationship between the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and member payroll.

2. Schedule of Employer Contributions - This provides a history of the State's Annual Required Contribution (ARC) and a comparison of the ARC with the actual contributions made each year by the State. (GAS 25)
3. Development of Annual Pension Cost and Net Pension Obligation - This shows a development of the APC and NPO for CTRS beginning in the 1987-1988 fiscal year and ending with the 1999-2000 fiscal year. (GAS 27)
4. Summary of Actuarial Methods and Assumptions - This states the assumptions made with regard to rates of return, salary increases, amortization periods and the actuarial cost method used. (GAS 27)

## Summary of Actuarlal Methods and Assumptions

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

Valuation date
Actuarial cost method

Amortization method
Remaining amortization periods

June 30, 2002
Entry age actuarial cost method

## Level percent of pay

Plan in effect 6/30/91 29 years
Public Act 82-91 10 years
Public Act 87-381 15 years
Public Act 92-205 20 years
Public Act 98-251 25 years
4-year smoothed market
Actuarial assumptions:

$$
\text { Investment rate of return* }{ }^{*} \quad 8.5 \%
$$

Projected salary increases* $\quad 4.0 \%-8.0 \%$
*Includes wage inflation at $4.0 \%$
Cost-of-living adjustments
$3.0 \%$ for retirements prior to September 1, 1992

Membership of the System consisted of the following at June 30, 2002, the date of the latest actuarial valuation:

|  | Totals |
| :--- | ---: |
| Retired Members and Beneficiaries Receiving Benefits | 22,303 |
| Inactive Members |  |
| Vested | 1,508 |
| Non-Vested | 6,890 |
| Active Members | 48,902 |
| Totals | 79,603 |

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN MILLIONS)

| Actuarial <br> Valuation <br> Date | Actuarial <br> Value <br> of Assets <br> (a) | Actuarial <br> Liability (AAL) <br> -Entry Age <br> (b) | Unfunded <br> AAL <br> (UAAL) <br> (b)-(a) | Funded <br> Ratio <br> (a)/(b) | Covered <br> Payroll <br> (c) | Pay <br> Covered <br> Payroll <br> (b)-(a)]/(c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 30 / 1991$ | $\$ 4,692.0$ | $\$ 8,152.7$ | $\$ 3,460.7$ | $57.6 \%$ | $\$ 1,792.5$ | $193.1 \%$ |
| $6 / 30 / 1992$ | $4,848.0$ | $7,278.2$ | $2,430.2$ | $66.6 \%$ | $1,841.9$ | $131,9 \%$ |
| $6 / 30 / 1994$ | $5,602.1$ | $8,222.6$ | $2,620.5$ | $68.1 \%$ | $2,030.4$ | $129.1 \%$ |
| $6 / 30 / 1996$ | $6,648.2$ | $9,626.8$ | $2,978.6$ | $69.1 \%$ | $2,151.6$ | $138.4 \%$ |
| $6 / 30 / 1998$ | $7,721.1$ | $10,970.1$ | $3,249.0$ | $70.4 \%$ | $2,298.9$ | $141.3 \%$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2000$ | $9,605.9$ | $11,797.6$ | $2,191.7$ | $81.4 \%$ | $2,501.5$ | $87.6 \%$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2002$ | $10,387.3$ | $13,679.9$ | $3,292.6$ | $75.9 \%$ | $2,698.3$ | $122.0 \%$ |

Note: Since the State adopted a biennial budgeting process, formal actuarial valuations have only been prepared as of June 30 of even-numbered years.

Schedule of State Contributions

| Fiscal Year <br> Ended June 30 | Annual Required <br> Contribution | Actual <br> Contributions | Percent <br> Contributed |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | $\$ 180,084,478$ | $\$ 147,884,700$ | $82.1 \%$ |
| 1998 | $211,018,755$ | $179,365,000$ | $85.0 \%$ |
| 1999 | $221,569,693$ | $188,334,000$ | $85.0 \%$ |
| 2000 | $240,524,050$ | $204,445,443$ | $85.0 \%$ |
| 2001 | $252,547,880$ | $214,665,698$ | $85.0 \%$ |
| 2002 | $210,701,421$ | $204,511,460$ | $97.1 \%$ |

Development of annual Pension Cost and Net Pension Obligation

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending 6/30 | Annual Required ontribution(AR | Interest on NPO | ARC <br> Adjustment | Annual <br> Pension $\operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{APC})$ | Actual Contribution | Change in NPO | Net Pension Obligation Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | \$ 241,563,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 241,563,000 | \$ 241,563,000 | § 0 | \$ 0 |
| 1989 | 302,917,000 | 0 | 0 | 302,917,000 | 282,917,000 | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| 1990 | 348,639,000 | 1,600,000 | 845,768 | 349,393,232 | 321,639,000 | 27,754,232 | 47,754,232 |
| 1991 | 304,331,000 | 4,059,110 | 2,018,680 | 306,371,430 | 156,638,250 | $149,733,180$ | 197,487,412 |
| 1992 | 308,724,000 | 16,786,430 | 8,348,240 | 317,162,190 | 133,057,000 | 184,105,190 | 381,592,602 |
| 1993 | 299,589,000 | 32,435,371 | 16,130,783 | 315,893,588 | 111,600,000 | 204,293,588 | 585,886,190 |
| 1994 | 145,786,000 | 49,800,326 | 25,111,757 | 170,474,569 | 124,253,932 | $46,220,637$ | 632,106,827 |
| 1995 | 154,036,000 | 53,729,080 | 27,486,627 | 180,278,453 | 132,503,932 | 47,774,521 | 679,881,348 |
| 1996 | 164,650,000 | 57,789,915 | 30,012,706 | 192,427,209 | 139,953,000 | 52,474,209 | 732,355,557 |
| 1997** | 180,084,478 | $62,250,222$ | $32,841,687$ | 209,493,013 | 147,884,700 | 61,608,313 | 793,963,870 |
| 1998 | 211,018,755 | 67,486,929 | 38,493,859 | 240,011,825 | 179,365,000 | 60,646,825 | 854,610,695 |
| 1999 | 221,569,693 | 72,641,909 | 42,055,657 | 252,155,945 | 188,334,000 | 63,821,945 | 918,432,640 |
| 2000 | 240,524,050 | 78,066,774 | 45,907,853 | 272,682,971 | 204,445,443 | 68,237,528 | 986,670,168 |
| 2001 | 252,547,880 | 83,866,964 | $50,134,245$ | 286,280,599 | 214,665,698 | 71,614,901 | 1,058,285,069 |
| 2002 | 210,701,421 | 89,954,231 | 54,707,921 | 245,947,731 | 204,511,460 | 41,436,271 | 1,099,721,340 |

[^2]
## SECTION F

## Actuarial Assumptions, Methods, and Definitions

# SUMMARY OF THE NEW ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THIS ACTUARIAL Valuation For <br> the Connecticut State Teachers' Retirement System Adopted by Board of Trustees October 17, 2002 AFTER Consulting With Actuary 

## Economic Assumptions

The investment return rate used in making the valuation was $8.5 \%$ per year, compounded annually (net after administrative expenses). This rate of return is not the assumed real rate of return. The real rate of return is the portion of investment return which is more than the inflation rate. Considering wage inflation recognition of $4.0 \%$, the $8.5 \%$ rate translates to an assumed real rate of return of $4.5 \%$. This rate was first used for the June 30, 2002 valuation.

Pay increase assumptions for individual active members are shown on page F-8. Part of the assumption is for a merit and/or seniority increase related to the member's years of service, and the other $4.0 \%$ recognizes wage inflation. These rates were first used for the June 30, 2002 valuation.

The Active Member Group size is assumed to remain constant at its present level.

Total active member payroll is assumed to increase $4.0 \%$ a year, which is the portion of the individual pay increase assumptions attributable to wage inflation. This rate was first used for the June 30, 2002 valuation.

## Non-Economic Assumptions

The mortality table used to measure non-disabled retired life mortality was the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Tables (94 GAM) with a two-year age setback for males and a one-year age setback for females. Related values are shown on page F-3. Each of these modifications of the respective 94 GAM Tables was then given a 10 -year age set-forward to be used for disabled retiree mortality. Rates for active male members are the same as for non-disabled retired male members, while $75 \%$ of the 94 GAM rates for female members without any age setback are used for active female members. Preretirement mortality rates are shown on page F-6. These tables were first used for the June 30, 2002 valuation.

The probabilities of retirement for members eligible to retire are shown on page F-4. These rates were first used in the June 30, 2002 valuation.

The probabilities of withdrawal from service are shown for sample ages on page F-5. Disability rates are shown on page F-7. The withdrawal and disability rates were first used in the valuation as of June 30, 2002 and June 30, 1996 respectively, and do not apply to members who are eligible for retirement.

The entry age actuarial cost method of valuation was used in determining the normal cost and actuarial accrued liabilities for the System.

Differences in the past between assumed experience and actual experience ("actuarial gains and losses") become part of actuarial accrued liabilities:

Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are amortized to produce contribution amounts (the total of principal and interest) which are level percent of payroll contributions.

Asset Valuation Method. A market value related asset method is used as described on page B-4. This method was first used in the June 30, 1996 valuation.

The data about persons now covered and about present assets was furnished by the System's administrative staff. Although examined for general reasonableness, the data was not audited by the Actuary,

The actuarial valuation computations were made by or under the supervision of a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (M.A.A.A.).

| Age | $\%$ Dying Next Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |
| 50 | $0.2102 \%$ | $0.1310 \%$ |
| 51 | $0.2326 \%$ | $0.1428 \%$ |
| 52 | $0.2579 \%$ | $0.1568 \%$ |
| 53 | $0.2872 \%$ | $0.1734 \%$ |
| 54 | $0.3213 \%$ | $0.1907 \%$ |
| 55 | $0.3584 \%$ | $0.2084 \%$ |
| 56 | $0.3979 \%$ | $0.2294 \%$ |
| 57 | $0.4425 \%$ | $0.2563 \%$ |
| 58 | $0.4949 \%$ | $0.2919 \%$ |
| 59 | $0.5581 \%$ | $0.3359 \%$ |
| 60 | $0.6300 \%$ | $0.3863 \%$ |
| 61 | $0.7090 \%$ | $0.4439 \%$ |
| 62 | $0.7976 \%$ | $0.5093 \%$ |
| 63 | $0.8986 \%$ | $0.5832 \%$ |
| 64 | $1.0147 \%$ | $0.6677 \%$ |
| 65 | $1.1471 \%$ | $0.7621 \%$ |
| 66 | $1.2940 \%$ | $0.8636 \%$ |
| 67 | $1.4535 \%$ | $0.9694 \%$ |
| 68 | $1.6239 \%$ | $1.0764 \%$ |
| 69 | $1.8034 \%$ | $1.1763 \%$ |
| 70 | $1.9859 \%$ | $1.2709 \%$ |
| 71 | $2.1729 \%$ | $1.3730 \%$ |
| 72 | $2.3730 \%$ | $1.4953 \%$ |
| 73 | $2.5951 \%$ | $1.6506 \%$ |
| 74 | $2.8481 \%$ | $1.8344 \%$ |
| 75 | $3.1201 \%$ | $2.0381 \%$ |
| 76 | $3.4051 \%$ | $2.2686 \%$ |
| 77 | $3.7211 \%$ | $2.5325 \%$ |
| 78 | $4.0858 \%$ | $2.8366 \%$ |
| 79 | $4.5171 \%$ | $3.1727 \%$ |
| 80 | $5.0211 \%$ | $3.5362 \%$ |


| Age | $\%$ Dying Next Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |
| 81 | $5.5861 \%$ | $3.9396 \%$ |
| 82 | $6.2027 \%$ | $4.3952 \%$ |
| 83 | $6.8615 \%$ | $4.9153 \%$ |
| 84 | $7.5532 \%$ | $5.4857 \%$ |
| 85 | $8.2510 \%$ | $6.0979 \%$ |
| 86 | $8.9613 \%$ | $6.7738 \%$ |
| 87 | $9.7240 \%$ | $7.5347 \%$ |
| 88 | $10.5792 \%$ | $8.4023 \%$ |
| 89 | $11.5671 \%$ | $9.3820 \%$ |
| 90 | $12.6980 \%$ | $10.4594 \%$ |
| 91 | $13.9452 \%$ | $11.6265 \%$ |
| 92 | $15.2931 \%$ | $12.8751 \%$ |
| 93 | $16.7260 \%$ | $14.1973 \%$ |
| 94 | $18.2281 \%$ | $15.5931 \%$ |
| 95 | $19.8392 \%$ | $17.0677 \%$ |
| 96 | $21.5700 \%$ | $18.6213 \%$ |
| 97 | $23.3606 \%$ | $20.2538 \%$ |
| 98 | $25.1510 \%$ | $21.9655 \%$ |
| 99 | $26.8815 \%$ | $23.7713 \%$ |
| 100 | $28.5277 \%$ | $25.6712 \%$ |
| 101 | $30.1298 \%$ | $27.6427 \%$ |
| 102 | $31.7238 \%$ | $29.6629 \%$ |
| 103 | $33.3461 \%$ | $31.7093 \%$ |
| 104 | $35.0330 \%$ | $33.8505 \%$ |
| 105 | $36.8542 \%$ | $36.1016 \%$ |
| 106 | $38.7855 \%$ | $38.3597 \%$ |
| 107 | $40.7224 \%$ | $40.5217 \%$ |
| 108 | $42.5599 \%$ | $42.4846 \%$ |
| 109 | $44.1935 \%$ | $44.4368 \%$ |
| 110 | $100.0000 \%$ | $100.0000 \%$ |
| Ref | 261.1 .002 | 2621.001 |

## Probabllities of Age and Service Retirement FOR MEMBERS ELIGIBLE TO RETIRE

| Age | \% of Active Participants Retiring |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Uureduced |  | Proratable |  | Reduced |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Maie | Femaie |
| 50 | 25\% | 15\% |  |  | 2\% | 2\% |
| 51 | 25\% | 15\% |  |  | 2\% | 2\% |
| 52 | 25\% | 15\% |  |  | 2\% | $3 \%$ |
| 53 | 25\% | 15\% |  |  | 3\% | 4\% |
| 54 | $25 \%$ | 15\% |  |  | 3\% | 5\% |
| 55 | $35 \%$ | 30\% |  |  | 4\% | $7 \%$ |
| 56 | $35 \%$ | 30\% |  |  | $7 \%$ | 8\% |
| 57 | $35 \%$ | 30\% |  |  | 10\% | 8\% |
| 58 | $35 \%$ | 30\% |  |  | 10\% | 10\% |
| 59 | 35\% | 30\% |  |  | 10\% | 10\% |
| 60 | 20\% | 20\% | 6\% | 6\% |  |  |
| 61 | $23 \%$ | 22\% | 6\% | 8\% |  |  |
| 62 | 23\% | $22 \%$ | 15\% | 11\% |  |  |
| 63 | 25\% | $22 \%$ | 10\% | 8\% |  |  |
| 64 | 25\% | 22\% | 10\% | 8\% |  |  |
| 65 | $33 \%$ | 30\% | 20\% | 15\% |  |  |
| 66 | 25\% | 30\% | 20\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 67 | $25 \%$ | 30\% | 20\% | 15\% |  |  |
| 68 | $25 \%$ | 30\% | 20\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 69 | 25\% | $30 \%$ | 35\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 70 | 100\% | 40\% | 35\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 71 | 100\% | 40\% | 35\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 72 | 100\% | 40\% | 35\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 73 | 100\% | 40\% | 35\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 74 | 100\% | 40\% | 35\% | 20\% |  |  |
| 75 | 100\% | 40\% | 40\% | 20\% |  |  |
| 76 | 100\% | 40\% | 40\% | 20\% |  |  |
| 77 | 100\% | 40\% | 40\% | 20\% |  |  |
| 78 | 100\% | 40\% | 40\% | 20\% |  |  |
| 79 | 100\% | 40\% | 40\% | 20\% |  |  |
| 80 | 100\% | 100\% | 40\% | 20\% |  |  |
| Tbl | 804 | 805 | 806 | 807 | 808 | 809 |
| Anch | 50 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 45 | 45 |


| \% of Active Participants Withdrawing |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Based Withdrawal |  |  | Age Based Withdrawal |  |  |
| Service | Maie | Fernale | Age | Male | Femaie |
| 0-1 | 0.0975 | 0.1000 | 25 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 1-2 | 0.0775 | 0.0750 | 26 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 2-3 | 0.0525 | 0.0550 | 27 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 3-4 | 0.0375 | 0.0500 | 28 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 4-5 | 0.0350 | 0.0500 | 29 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 5.6 | 0.0350 | 0.0450 | 30 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 6-7 | 0.0350 | 0.0450 | 31 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 7-8 | 0.0200 | 0.0400 | 32 | 0.0250 | 0.0300 |
| 8-9 | 0.0200 | 0.0300 | 33 | 0.0230 | 0.0290 |
| 9-10 | 0.0100 | 0.0300 | 34 | 0.0210 | 0.0280 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.0190 | 0.0270 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.0170 | 0.0260 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.0150 | 0.0250 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.0145 | 0.0230 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.0140 | 0.0210 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.0135 | 0.0190 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.0130 | 0.0170 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.0125 | 0.0150 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.0125 | 0.0140 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 0.0125 | 0.0130 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.0125 | 0.0120 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.0125 | 0.0110 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 0.0125 | 0.0100 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 0.0130 | 0.0105 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 0.0135 | 0.0110 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 0.0140 | 0.0115 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 0.0145 | 0.0120 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 0.0150 | 0.0125 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 0.0170 | 0.0135 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 0.0190 | 0.0145 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 0.0210 | 0.0155 |
|  |  |  | 56 | 0.0230 | 0.0165 |
|  |  |  | 57 | 0.0250 | 0.0175 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 0.0250 | 0.0175 |
|  |  |  | 59 | 0.0250 | 0.0175 |
| Sw | 266 | 267 | Wx | 492 | 493 |


| Age | \% Dying Next Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.0460\% | 0.0213\% |
| 21 | 0.0484\% | 0.0214\% |
| 22 | 0.0507\% | 0.0217\% |
| 23 | 0.0530\% | 0.0219\% |
| 24 | 0.0556\% | 0.0218\% |
| 25 | 0.0589\% | 0.0218\% |
| 26 | 0.0624\% | 0.0220\% |
| 27 | 0.0661\% | 0.0227\% |
| 28 | 0.0696\% | 0.0236\% |
| 29 | 0.0727\% | 0.0248\% |
| 30 | 0.0754\% | 0.0263\% |
| 31 | 0.0779\% | 0.0280\% |
| 32 | 0.0801\% | 0.0298\% |
| 33 | 0.0821\% | 0.0317\% |
| 34 | 0.0839\% | 0.0337\% |
| 35 | 0.0848\% | 0.0359\% |
| 36 | 0.0849\% | 0.0384\% |
| 37 | 0.0851\% | 0.0413\% |
| 38 | 0.0862\% | 0.0449\% |
| 39 | 0.0891\% | 0.0489\% |
| 40 | 0.0939\% | 0.0532\% |
| 41 | 0.0999\% | 0.0576\% |
| 42 | 0.1072\% | 0.0619\% |
| 43 | 0.1156\% | 0.0658\% |
| 44 | 0.1252\% | 0.0692\% |
| 45 | 0.1352\% | 0.0730\% |
| 46 | 0.1458\% | 0.0775\% |
| 47 | 0.1578\% | 0.0834\% |
| 48 | 0.1722\% | 0.0904\% |
| 49 | 0.1899\% | 0.0982\% |
| 50 | 0.2102\% | 0.1071\% |
| 51 | 0.2326\% | 0.1176\% |
| 52 | 0.2579\% | 0.1301\% |
| 53 | 0.2872\% | 0.1430\% |
| 54 | 0.3213\% | 0.1563\% |
| 55 | 0.3584\% | 0.1721\% |
| 56 | 0.3979\% | 0.1922\% |
| 57 | 0.4425\% | 0.2189\% |
| 58 | 0.4949\% | 0.2519\% |
| 59 | 0.5581\% | 0.2897\% |
| 60 | 0.6300\% | 0.3329\% |
| 61 | 0.7090\% | 0.3820\% |
| 62 | 0.7976\% | 0.4374\% |
| 63 | 0.8986\% | 0.5008\% |
| 64 | 1.0147\% | 0.5716\% |
| 65 | 1.1471\% | 0.6477\% |
| Ref | 2611.002 | 262.0 .750 |



| Service | \% Increases in Salaries Next Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Merit \& Seniority | Base | Total |
| 0 | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 1 | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 2 | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 3 | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 4 | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 5 | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 6 | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 7 | 3.00\% | 4.00\% | 7.00\% |
| 8 | 3.00\% | 4.00\% | 7.00\% |
| 9 | 2.00\% | 4.00\% | 6.00\% |
| 10 | 2.00\% | 4.00\% | 6.00\% |
| 11 | 1.75\% | 4.00\% | 5.75\% |
| 12 | 1.50\% | 4.00\% | 5.50\% |
| 13 | 1.50\% | 4.00\% | 5.50\% |
| 14 | 1.25\% | 4.00\% | 5.25\% |
| 15 | 1.25\% | 4.00\% | 5,25\% |
| 16 | 0.25\% | 4.00\% | 4.25\% |
| 17 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 18 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 19 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 20 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 21 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 22 | 0.00\% | 4,00\% | 4.00\% |
| 23 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 24 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 25 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 20 | 0.00\% | 4,00\% | 4.00\% |
| 27 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 28 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 29 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 30 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 31 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 32 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 33 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 34 | 1.00\% | 4.00\% | 5.00\% |
| 35 | 1.00\% | 4.00\% | 5.00\% |
| 36 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 37 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 38 | 1.00\% | 4.00\% | 5.00\% |
| 39 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| 40 | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Ref | 71 |  |  |


| Marriage Assumption: | $85 \%$ of males and $75 \%$ of females are assumed to be married for <br> pupposes of valuing death-in-service benefits. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pay Increase Timing: | Begimning of (fiscal) year. |
| Eligibility Testing: | Eligibility for benefits is determined based upon the age nearest <br> birthday and exact service on the date the decrement is assumed <br> to occur. |
| Benefit Service: | Exact years of service is used to determine the amount of benefit <br> payable. |
| Decrement Timing: | Retirement decrements are assumed to occur at the beginning of <br> the year, other decrements are assumed to occur mid-year. |
| Decrement Relativity: | Decrement rates are used directly from the experience study, <br> without adjustment for multiple decrement table effects. |
| Decrement Operation: | Disability and turnover decrements do not operate after member <br> reaches retirement eligibility. |
| Incidence of Contributions: | Contributions are assumed to be received contimuously <br> throughout the year based upon the computed percent of payroll <br> shown in this report, and the actual payroll payable at the time <br> contributions are made. |
| Miscellaneous Loading Factors: | None. |

Accrued Service. The service credited under the plan which was rendered before the date of the actuarial valuation.

Accumulated Benefit Obligation. The actuarial present vaiue of vested and non-vested benefits based on service to date and past and current salary levels.

> Actuarial Accrued Liability. The difference between (i) the actuarial present value of future plan benefits, and (ii) the actuarial present value of future normal cost. Sometimes referred to as "accrued liability" or "past service liability."

Actuarial Assumptions. Estimates of future plan experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, turnover, retirement, rate or rates of investment income and salary increases. Decrement assumptions (rates of mortality, disability, turnover and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate in an inflation-free environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation.

Actuarial Cost Method. A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the "actuarial present value of future plan benefits" between the actuarial present value of future normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the "actuarial funding method."

Actuarial Equivalent. A single amount or series of amounts of equal value to another single amount or series of amounts, computed on the basis of the rate(s) of interest and mortaiity tables used by the plan.

Actuarial Present Value. The amount of funds presently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting the future payments at a predeternined rate of interest, taking into account the probability of payment.

Actuarial Present Value of Credited Projected Benefits or Pension Benefit Obligation. The present value of future benefits based on service to date and the effect projected salary increases.

Actuary. A person who is trained in the applications of probability and compound interest to problems in business and finance that involve payment of money in the future, contingent upon the occurrence of future events. Most actuaries in the United States are Members of the American Academy of Actuaries. The Society of Actuaries is an intemational research, education and membership organization for actuaries in the life and health insurance, employee benefits, and pension fields. It administers a series of examinations leading initially to Associateship and the designation A.S.A. and ultimately to Fellowship with the designation F.S.A.

Amortization. Paying off an interest-bearing liability by means of periodic payments of interest and principal, as opposed to paying it off with a lump sum payment.

Experience Gain (Loss). A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of actuarial assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, in accordance with the actuarial cost method being used.

Normal Cost. The annual cost assigned, under the actuarial funding method, to current and subsequent plan years. Sometimes referred to as "current service cost." Any payment toward the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is not part of the normal cost.

Pension Benefit Obligation. A standardized disclosure measure of the present value of pension benefits, adjusted for the effects of projected salary increases, estimated to be payable in the future as a result of employee service to date. The measure is the actuarial present value of credited projected benefits and is intended to (i) help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concem basis, (ii) assess progress being made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due, and (iii) allow for comparisons among public employee retirement plans. The measure is independent of the actuarial funding method used to determine contributions to the plan.

Plan Termination Liability. The actuarial present value of future plan benefits based on the assumption that there will be no further accruals for future service and salary. The termination liability will generally be less than the liabilities computed on a "going concern" basis and is not normally determined in a routine actuarial valuation.

Reserve Account. An account used to indicate that funds have been set aside for a specific purpose and are not generally available for other uses.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. The difference between the actuarial accrued liability and valuation assets. Sometimes referred to as "unfunded accrued liability."

Valuation Assets. The value of current plan assets recognized for valuation purposes. Generally based on book value plus a portion of unrealized appreciation or depreciation.


[^0]:    * Includes adjustment for compliance with Governmertal Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 25 and No. 27.

[^1]:    - Beneficiaries caregory includes 493 Surviving Spoures and Dependents combined.

[^2]:    * The ARC for the fiscal year ending 6/30/1997 was developed as $\$ 173,982,000+\$ 6.102,478$. This is the actuarially calculated contribution plus an additional amount that reduces the Effective Single Amortization Period to 40 years in accordance with GASB parameters.

