October 31, 1990

State of Connecticut
State Employees Retirement Commission
55 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106

## Re: Connecticut State Employees Retirement System

Members of the Commission:
At your request, we have made an actuarial valuation of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System as of June 30, 1990. The results of the valuation are contained in the following report.

In Section I we present a summary of the principal results of this valuation. Details regarding system assets, liabilities, and costs are found in Sections II, III, and IV, respectively. The Appendices contain information regarding System membership, an outline of the benefit provisions, a description of the actuarial methods and assumptions employed in this valuation, details on the Actuarial Surplus as of June 30, 1990, and Entry Age Normal Results.

As summarized in Section IV, the recommended contribution for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1991, including Federal reimbursements, is $\$ 431,416,935$.

October 31, 1990
Re: Connecticut State Employees Retirement System
Page 2

In our opinion, this report fairly presents the financial and actuarial position of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System at June 30, 1990. On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices set forth by the American Academy of Actuaries.

Respectfully submitted, MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

Eugene M. Kalwarski, F.S.A.
Consulting Actuary

Althea A. Schwartz, F.S.A. Consulting Actuary
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## SECTION I

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the results of our June 30, 1990 actuarial valuation of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System.

The major findings of the valuation are summarized in the following table:

|  | Valuation Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 1990 | June 30, 1989 |
| Market Value of Assets | \$3.21 billion | \$2.80 billion |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 2.97 billion | 2.61 billion |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability | 2.65 billion | 2.46 billion |
|  | Projected to Fiscal Year Beginning |  |
|  | July 1, 1991 | July 1, 1990 |
| System Contributions - Required |  |  |
| - as a percent of payroll <br> - dollar amount | $21.95 \%$ <br> $\$ 431.4$ million | 20.77\% <br> $\$ 398.0$ million |
| Amount Actually Contributed | N/A | 261.8 million |

Each of the current year's figures differs from what would have been expected, based on the prior year's results. Details of this experience and additional findings of our valuation are presented later in this section.

## OVERVIEW

There were several unusual circumstances which have been reflected in the development of costs for the Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 1991. They are described below:

The actuarial certification for the Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 1990 called for a contribution of $\$ 398.0$ million. The actual contribution made was $\$ 261.8$ million (assuming Federal Reimbursements at last year's level) and was determined according to the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding. This shortfall of $\$ 136.2$ million caused the Unfunded Actuarial Liability to increase substantially, resulting in additional contributions of $\$ 12.3$ million for the next 35 years.

Approximately 2,700 employees retired early in response to the retirement incentive program, receiving bonuses over a 36 month period. These payments, currently $\$ 988,221$ per month, are supplemental to the regular retirement benefits and are being paid from the Retirement Fund. The annual cost to reimburse the System is $\$ 11.9$ million for the next three years.

- The Arbitrator's Award contained several benefit improvements which resulted in increased costs. The benefit improvements fall into three categories with respect to costs:
- provisions whose cost can be measured directly (eg. changes in benefit levels or employee contributions)
- provisions whose cost will emerge as gains or losses to the system depending on usage (eg. purchase of past service)
- provisions whose cost can be anticipated by adjusting the actuarial assumptions (eg. 130\% cap on final compensation excluding mandatory overtime). Assumptions are changed as a result of a formal experience study every 5 years; the next study should be performed before the June 30, 1992 valuation.

The cost impact of benefit improvements that fall in the first category is $\$ 6.4$ million. The System has already experienced liability losses due to benefit improvements falling within the second category. However, only a formal experience review can separate these losses from other gains and losses and can determine the appropriate assumption changes for benefit improvements falling within the third category.

The following table summarizes the effect of these circumstances on the Recommended Contribution:


## OVERALL SYSTEM EXPERIENCE

The primary factors which contribute to changes in the System's assets, unfunded liabilities, and contributions between one year and the next are: (1) expected changes due to the passage of time (eg. new employees) and (2) unexpected changes due to experience gains and losses. During the past year, there were three other factors (contribution shortfall, retirement incentive bonuses, and arbitrator's award) which also contributed to changes in the assets, unfunded liabilities and contributions. In the absence of performing a formal experience review of the System we estimate the source of changes as follows.

## Assets:

Between June 30, 1989 and June 30, 1990, the assets of the System, measured on an actuarial basis, increased by $\$ 361$ million. This change was attributable to the following.
\$ 371 million from State, Federal, and employee contributions

- 251 million from payment of benefits and expenses
+241 million from recognized investment experience
$=\$ 361$ million total increase in assets.
Of this total change, $\$ 346$ million was expected, based on our assumption that the assets of the System would earn $8.50 \%$. However, the effective investment return on the actuarial value of the assets was about $9.04 \%$, resulting in an actuarial gain of $\$ 15$ million.


## Unfunded Actuarial Liability:

The actual increase in the unfunded actuarial liability of the System between June 30, 1989 and June 30, 1990 was $\$ 191.9$ million. This compares with an expected decrease of $\$ 12.0$ million based upon assumptions used in the prior valuation. This difference of $\$ 203.9$ million is attributable to the following factors:

Unfunded Actuarial Liability, June 30, 1989

- increase due to contributions less than expected
- increase due to Arbitrator's Award
- increase due to liability losses
- decrease expected
- decrease due to asset gain

Unfunded Actuarial Liability, June 30, 1990
$\$ 2,460.0$ million
136.2
66.9
15.3
(14.5)
\$2,651.9 million

The liability loss of $\$ 15.3$ million is attributable to the difference between actual and expected experience with respect to number of retirements, employment terminations, disabilities, deaths, salary increases, and new employees. Only a formal experience review can determine the particular amount each component contributed to the total liability loss. We do know, however, that more employees retired than our assumptions predicted, due to the Retirement Incentive Program.

## System Contributions:

System contributions projected to the Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 1991 are $\$ 431.4$ million. This exceeds the prior year's projection of $\$ 398.0$ million by $\$ 33.4$ million.

The sources of this increase are as follows.
Prior year's contribution (projected to 1990)

- increase due to shortfall in State's Contribution for Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 1990
12.3
- increase due to Retirement Bonuses
11.9
- increase due to arbitrator's decision 6.4
- increase due to expected payroll growth to 1991
4.5
- decrease due to liability gains and membership profile
- decrease due to asset gains

Contribution projected to 7-1-91
$\$ 431.4$ million

## SUMMARY

During the past year, the System experienced various events which resulted in both decreases and increases in System costs. This is to be expected in future years as well since the anticipated accuracy of the actuarial assumptions are over the long term and not from year to year. Furthermore, the Projected Unit Credit actuarial funding method employed by the State tends to have some inherent instabilities and sensitivity to annual experience given the two tier benefit levels of this system.

The overall system experience was mixed. On the one hand, the normal cost as a percent of pay decreased from $9.37 \%$ to $9.16 \%$. This is the result of the large number of retirements from Tier I in response to the Retirement Incentive Program. Even though some of these employees were replaced, the new employees entered Tier II, which is a less costly plan than Tier I.

On the other hand, the unfunded actuarial liability, and therefore the amortization payment, increased again this year. The principal cause of the increase in the unfunded was the contribution shortfall of $\$ 136.2$ million. This is an alarming circumstance and could lead to spiralling costs. Each year that contributions are missed, cost requirements for subsequent years are increased in order to "make up" the missed contribution.

In concluding this executive summary, we present on the following page comparative statistics and actuarial information on both the June 30, 1990 and June 30, 1989 valuations.

## CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES <br> RETIREMENT SYSTEM <br> PRINCIPAL RESULTS <br> TOTAL SYSTEMS

June 30, 1990 June 30, 1989 Chg

## SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP

1. Active Membership

- Number of Participants

$$
\begin{array}{rrl}
54,926 & 56,202 & (2.27) \% \\
\$ 1,802,808,993 & \$ 1,759,543,752 & 2.46 \\
32,823 & 31,307 & 4.84
\end{array}
$$

- Payroll
- Average Pay

2. Inactive Membership

- Number of Vested Deferred

658
635 Members

- Number of Retired Members

23,718
21,137
12.21

- Annual Retired Members Benefits \$249,834,621 \$203,854,955 22.56
- Average Annual Retired Member

10,534 Benefit

## ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

1. Assets

- Market Value

14.79
- Actuarial Value

$$
2,972,748,082 \quad 2,611,498,541
$$

$$
13.83
$$

2. Liabilities

- Retired and Deferred Vested
\$2,657,370,442* \$2,089,129,002
- Active Members 2,967,291,638 2,982,390,546
- Total Liability

5,624,662,080 5,071,519,548

- Unfunded Liability

$$
\$ 2,651,913,998 \quad \$ 2,460,021,007
$$

## CONTRIBUTIONS

1. Contributions as a Percent of Payroll

- Normal Cost
- Unfunded Liability
- Total Contribution \%
9.16\%
12.79\%
21.95\%
9.37\%
11.40\%
20.77\%
12.19
5.68

2. Contribution Dollars Projected to the Following FY

| - Normal Cost | $\$ 180,005,022$ | $\$ 179,549,626$ | .25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - Unfunded Liability | $251,411,913$ | $218,467,282$ | 15.08 |
| - Total State Contribution | $431,416,935$ | $398,016,908$ | 8.39 |

- Total State Contribution

431,416,935 398,016,908

* Does not include Liability for Retirement Incentive Bonuses.


## SECTION II

## SYSTEM ASSETS

## SECTION II

## SYSTEM ASSETS

In this section we present the values assigned to the assets held by the Systems. These assets are valued on two different bases: the actuarial value and the market value.

## Actuarial Value of Assets:

For purposes of determining ongoing costs, the recognition of gains and losses are spread over five years. The resulting value is called the actuarial value of assets and is further adjusted as necessary so that the final actuarial value is within $20 \%$ (plus or minus) of the market value of assets.

## Market Value of Assets:

For certain accounting statement purposes, System assets are valued at current market rates. These values represent the "snapshot" or "cash-out" value of System assets as of the valuation date. In addition, the market value of assets provides a reference point to compare to current accrued liabilities.

The following tables present information regarding the actuarial and market values of System assets as of June 30, 1990.

## Table Contents

II-1 Market Value - Summary of Fund Transactions
II-2 Market Value - Breakdown on June 30, 1990
II-3
II-4

Actuarial Value of Assets

Historical Summary (Actuarial and Market)

## MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS SUMMARY OF FUND TRANSACTIONS

Market Value July 1, 1989
\$2,798,932,658

## Contributions

| State | $\$ 288,368,471$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Federal | $53,003,216$ |
| Employee | $\underline{29,198,019}$ |

\$ 370,569,706

Investment Income
Interest and Dividends
\$131,099,442
Realized Gains
Change in Unrealized Gains
17,558,291
145,435,286
\$ 294,093,019

## Disbursements

Benefit Payments
Employee Refunds
Expenses
\$248,812,607
1,847,639
145,616
\$ 250,805,862

Market Value June 30, 1990
\$3,212,789,521

Estimated Rate of Return $\quad 10.29 \%$

## MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS <br> BREAKDOWN ON JUNE 30, 1990

The following is the Market Value of the State Employees Retirement Fund assets as reported to us by the Retirement Division:

|  | Amount | \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ (4,427,447) | -.14\% |
| Accrued Interest | \$ 3,821,812 | . $12 \%$ |
| Investments |  |  |
| Cash Reserve Account | \$ 80,194,401 | 2.50\% |
| Fixed Income | 779,268,212 | 24.26 |
| Equity | 1,268,627,366 | 39.48 |
| Contract | 1,208,499 | . 04 |
| Mortgage | 12,547,531 | . 39 |
| Real Estate | 486,081,684 | 15.13 |
| International Funds | 461,556,189 | 14.36 |
| Commercial Mortgage Fund | 94,431,939 | 2.94 |
| Venture Capital Fund | 21,421,858 | . 67 |
| Connecticut Programs Fund | 8,057,477 | . 25 |
|  | \$3,213,395,156 | 100.02\% |
| Total Market Value of Assets June 30, 1990 | \$3,212,789,521 | 100.00\% |

## ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

| Ending | Realized <br> Gains/Losses | Change in <br> Unrealized <br> Gains/Losses | Gains/Losses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 17,558,291$ | $\$ 145,435,286$ | $\$ 162,993,577$ |
| $6-30-89$ | $(38,287,173)$ | $240,205,288$ | $201,918,115$ |
| $6-30-88$ | $205,394,743$ | $(261,372,286)$ | $(55,977,543)$ |
| $6-30-87$ | $2,414,092$ | $52,019,533$ | $54,433,625$ |
| $6-30-86$ | $10,589,632$ | $177,973,874$ | $188,563,506$ |

Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 1990 is derived as follows:

1. Market Value of Assets 6-30-90:
\$3,212,789,521
2. Five-Year Gains and Losses Not Yet Recognized:
$80 \%$ of FY 90
$60 \%$ of FY 89
$40 \%$ of FY 88
$20 \%$ of FY 87
3. $20 \%$ of (1)
4. Actuarial Value of Assets 6-30-90:
(1) - (2), within (1) $+/-$ (3)

130,394,862
121,150,869
$(22,391,017)$
$10,886,725$
\$ 240,041,439
$\$ 642,557,904$
\$2,972,748,082

Estimated Rate of Return
$9.04 \%$

## HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF SYSTEM ASSETS

 (dollars in billions)
$1=$ Actuarial Value $2=$ Market Value

## SECTION III

 SYSTEM LIABILITIES AND ACCOUNTING INFORMATION
## SECTION III

## SYSTEM LIABILITIES AND ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

In this section we present values assigned to the liabilities of the System and then compare these liabilities to System assets.

As of June 30, 1989, the actuarial funding method used to determine system costs is based on the Projected Unit Credit method. This method is also used to determine the Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO) required by the Government Accounting Standards (GASB). A more detailed description of this method can be found in Appendix C.

The tables in this section present System liabilities as follows:

Table Contents
III-1 System Liabilities
III-2 Accounting Information (GASB)
III-3
Historical Summary of System Liabilities

## SYSTEM LIABILITIES

June 30, 1990 June 30, 1989

1. Liabilities for Retired Members
\$2,645,802,734* \$2,078,455,209$\xrightarrow{11,567,708}$$10,673,793$2. Liabilities for Deferred VestedMembers
2. Total Inactive Liability
\$2,657,370,442 \$2,089,129,0024. Active Members Past ServiceLiability5. Total System Past ServiceLiability
3. Actuarial Value of Assets2,972,748,0822,611,498,541
4. Total System Unfunded Past \$2,651,913,998* ${ }^{*}$ \$2,460,021,007Service Liability

* Does not reflect liability for Retirement Incentive Bonuses (monthly payments of $\$ 988,221$ for a three year period).


## ACCOUNTING INFORMATION (GASB)

1. PBO for Retired Members
2. PBO for Deferred Vested Members
3. Total Inactive PBO
4. PBO for Active Members
a. Accumulated Employee Contribution with Interest
b. Employer-Financed Vested Portion
c. Employer-Financed Nonvested

Portion
d. Total Active PBO
5. Total System PBO

June 30, 1990
$\$ 2,645,802,734^{*} \quad \$ 2,078,455,209$
June 30, 1989

$$
\$ 2,645,802,734^{*}
$$

$\underline{11,567,708}-10,673,793$
$\$ 2,657,370,442 \quad \$ 2,089,129,002$
\$ 256,785,734 \$ 264,154,636
$2,286,724,127 \quad 2,351,656,985$
$\underline{423,781,777} \quad \mathbf{3 6 6 , 5 7 8 , 9 2 5}$
$\$ 2,967,291,638 \quad \$ 2,982,390,546$
$\$ 5,624,662,080^{*} \quad \$ 5,071,519,548$

* Does not reflect liability for Retirement Incentive Bonuses (monthly payments of $\$ 988,221$ for a three year period).

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF UNFUNDED PAST SERVICE LIABILITIES (dollars in billions)


* Entry Age Normal
** Projected Unit Credit


## SECTION IV

## SYSTEM CONTRIBUTIONS

## SECTION IV

## SYSTEM CONTRIBUTIONS

In this section we present the contributions required of the State in the upcoming fiscal year. Due to the timing of both the actuarial valuation process and the State's budget cycle, valuation results each June 30 must be projected to the following fiscal year in order to determine the State's required contribution.

In the following pages we present information on System contributions as follows:

## Table Contents

IV-1 Projected Unit Credit Normal Cost to 7-1-91
IV-2
IV-3
IV-4
Projected Unit Credit Unfunded Liability to 7-1-91

## PROJECTED UNIT CREDIT NORMAL COST PROJECTIONS TO JULY 1, 1991

|  |  | 7-1-90 | 7-1-90 | 7-1-91 <br> Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan | Normal Cost \% | Earnings | Normal Cost | Earnings |

A. Tier I

| 1. | Hazardous Duty | 18.8737\% | \$ 97,885,744 | \$ 18,474,615 | \$ 101,213,859 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Plan B | 10.6310 | 913,477,780 | 97,111,832 | 944,536,025 |
| 3. | Plan C | 7.6448 | 80,605,902 | 6,162,178 | 83,346,503 |
|  | Total Tier I | 11.1495 | 1,091,969,426 | 121,748,625 | 1,129,096,387 |
| B. | Tier II |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Hazardous Duty | 12.2340 | 98,661,140 | 12,070,159 | 113,372,598 |
| 2. | All Others | $\underline{5.1166}$ | 612,178,427 | 31,322,548 | 722,603,412 |
|  | Total Tier II | 6.1044 | 710,839,567 | 43,392,707 | 835,976,010 |
| C. | Grand Total | 9.1602 | \$1,802,808,993 | \$165,141,332 | \$1,965,072,397 |

# PROJECTED UNIT CREDIT UNFUNDED LIABILITY TO JULY 1, 1991 

1. Unfunded Actuarial Liability 7-1-90 $\$ 2,651,913,99 \mathbf{B}^{*}$
2. One Year's Interest at $8 \frac{1}{2} \% \quad 225,412,690$
3. Normal Cost $176,168,626$
4. Interest on Normal Cost 6,762,233
5. Less State Payments $(208,816,908)$
6. Less Federal Payments
$55,000,000 \quad(53,003,216)$
7. Less Employee Contributions
$(29,198 ; 019)$
8. Less Interest on Contributions
$(11,170,732)$
9. Unfunded Actuarial Liability 7-1-91
$\$ 2,758,068,672^{*}$

* Does not reflect liability for Retirement Incentive Bonuses (monthly payments of $\$ 988,211$ for a three year period).


## PROJECTED UNIT CREDIT TOTAL COSTS TO JULY 1, 1991

1. Unfunded Liability 7-1-91 ..... \$2,758,068,672
2. Amortization Period ..... 35 Years
3. Amortization Payment ..... \$ 239,553,261
4. State Normal Cost ..... $180,005,022$
5. Preliminary Total State Cost ..... \$ 419,558,283
6. Projected Payroll ..... \$1,965,072,397
7. Preliminary Total State Cost \% ..... 21.35\%8. Adjustments for Early Retirement Incentive Bonusbeginning 7-1-91\$ 11,858,652
8. Total Required State Contribution for Fiscal Year
\$ $\underline{\underline{431,416,935}}$
9. Final Total State Cost \%21.95\%

## COMPARISON OF NORMAL COST RATES

Valuation Date<br>July 1, 1990 July 1, 1989

Tier I

| Hazardous Duty | $18.87 \%$ | $19.05 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plan B | 10.63 | 10.99 |
| Plan C | 7.64 | 8.62 |
| Total Tier I | $11.15 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ |

Tier II

| Hazardous Duty | $12.23 \%$ | $9.38 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| All Others | 5.12 | 4.35 |
| Total Tier II | 6.10 | 4.87 |
| ND TOTAL | $9.16 \%$ | $9.19 \%$ |

## APPENDIX A SUMMARY STATISTICS ON SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP

## APPENDIX A SUMMARY STATISTICS ON SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
A. Summary of Active Membership Data ..... A-1
B. Summary of Retired Membership Data ..... A-3
C. Analysis of Active Membership and Salary ..... A-4 by Age and Service
D. Analysis of Retired Membership and Benefits ..... A-9 by Age and Year of Retirement

## SUMMARY OF ACTIVE MEMBERSHIP DATA

We received data on a total of 55,152 members, including employees of State Aided Institutions. Of the active records submitted to us, 226 (.4\%) were rejected due to missing or invalid dates of birth and/or hire. Cost calculations were not revised to reflect these records. The following analysis compares this data with the July 1, 1989 data (see Exhibit A-3 for distribution by age and service).

| Change | $\underline{7-1.90}$ | $\underline{7-1-89}$ | Change | Per Cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Employees | 54,926 | 56,202 | $-1,276$ | $-2.3 \%$ |
| Total Earnings <br> (millions) | $\$ 1,802.9$ | $\$ 1,759.5$ | +43.4 | $+2.5 \%$ |
| Average Earnings | $\$ 32,823$ | $\$ 31,307$ | $+1,516$ | $+4.8 \%$ |

Earnings figures as of July 1 are actual amounts paid during the previous July 1 to June 30 period, adjusted for negotiated increases and merit adjustments effective through and including July 1 ; new entrant earnings are annualized.

These figures are broken down by Plan in the following exhibit.

## SUMMARY OF ACTIVE MEMBERSHIP DATA

Number of Members
Tier I Hazardous Duty
Tier I Plan B
Tier I Plan C
Tier II Hazardous Duty
Tier II Others
Total
Total Annual Compensation (millions)

Tier I Hazardous Duty
Tier I Plan B
Tier I Plan C
Tier II Hazardous Duty
Tier II Others
Total
Average Compensation
Tier I Hazardous Duty
Tier I Plan B
Tier I Plan C
Tier II Hazardous Duty
Tier II Others
Total
Average Age
Tier I Hazardous Duty
Tier I Plan B
41.5

Tier I Plan C
Tier II Hazardous Duty
Tier II Others
Total
Average Service
Tier I Hazardous Duty
Tier I Plan B
Tier I Plan C
Tier II Hazardous Duty
Tier II Others
Total

## 45.1

52.6

| 36.6 |
| :--- |

40.8

| 41.6 | -.1 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 45.3 | -.2 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| 54.3 | -1.7 | $-3.1 \%$ |
| 31.5 | +.4 | $+1.3 \%$ |
| 36.1 | +.5 | $+1.4 \%$ |
| 41.4 | -.6 | $-1.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 14.0 | +0 | $+0.0 \%$ |
| 14.6 | +.3 | $+2.1 \%$ |
| 15.8 | -.6 | $-3.8 \%$ |
| 2.5 | +.3 | $+12.0 \%$ |
| 3.0 | +.4 | $+13.3 \%$ |
| 9.5 | -.4 | $-4.2 \%$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
7-1-90 \\
2,211 \\
23,022 \\
2,348 \\
3,077 \\
24,268 \\
54,926
\end{array}
$$

97.9

| $7-1-89$ | Change | Per Cent <br> Change |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2,119 | +92 | $+4.3 \%$ |
| 25,882 | $-2,860$ | $-11.1 \%$ |
| 3,186 | -838 | $-26.3 \%$ |
| 2,050 | $+1,027$ | $+50.1 \%$ |
| 22,965 | $+1,303$ | $+5.7 \%$ |
| 56,202 | $-1,276$ | $-2.3 \%$ |


| 89.8 | +8.1 | $+9.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 972.2 | -58.7 | $-6.0 \%$ |
| 102.2 | -21.6 | $-21.1 \%$ |
| 61.1 | +37.6 | $+61.5 \%$ |
| 534.2 | +78.0 | $+14.6 \%$ |
| $1,759.5$ | +43.4 | $+2.5 \%$ |

$$
44,272
$$

913.5
80.6
98.7
612.2
$1,802.9$

$$
39,678
$$

$$
34,330
$$

$$
32,064
$$

$$
25,226
$$

$$
32,823
$$

| 42,368 | $+1,904$ | $+4.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37,565 | +2.113 | $+5.6 \%$ |
| 32,065 | $+2,265$ | $+7.1 \%$ |
| 29,810 | $+2,254$ | $+7.6 \%$ |
| 23,263 | $+1,963$ | $+8.4 \%$ |
| 31,307 | $+1,516$ | $+4.8 \%$ |

## SUMMARY OF RETIRED MEMBERSHIP DATA

The following compares the current retired data with the June 30, 1989 data.

| Retirees | 7-1-90 | 7-1-89 | Change | Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number | 23,482 | 21,137 | $+2,345$ | $+11.1 \%$ |
| Total Annual Benefit* | 259,467 | 203,855 | $+55,612$ | $+27.3 \%$ |
| Average Annual Benefit | 11,050 | 9,644 | $+1,406$ | $+14.6 \%$ |

Terminated Vested
Number
658
635
$+23+3.6 \%$

* (000 omitted)


## ACTIVE MEMBERS

Years of service

| AGE | UNDER 5 | 5 TO 10 | 10 TO 15 | 15 TO 20 | 20 TO 25 | 25 TO 30 | 30 TO 35 | 35 TO 40 | 40 TO 45 | 45 TO 50 | 50 \& UP | ALL YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDER 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 TO 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 TO 29 | 3 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 30 TO 34 | 14 | 261 | 104 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 382 |
| 35 TO 39 | 7 | 145 | 247 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 528 |
| 40 TO 44 | 8 | 75 | 108 | 233 | 108 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 538 |
| 45 TO 49 | 2 | 48 | 44 | 96 | 128 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 378 |
| 50 TO 54 | 5 | 23 | 24 | 38 | 37 | 34 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| 55 TO 59 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 19 | 20 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 60 TO 64 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 65 AND UP | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| TOTALS | 46 | 637 | 567 | 529 | 299 | 110 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,211 |


| AGE | UNDER 5 |  | 5 TO 10 |  | 0 TO 15 |  | 5 TO 20 |  | 0 TO 25 |  | 25 TO 30 |  | 30 TO 35 |  | 35 TO 40 | 40 TO 45 | 45 TO 50 | 50 \& UP | ALL YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDER 20 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 TO 24 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 TO 29 | 55,104 | 39,336 |  | 54,252 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40,522 |
| 30 TO 34 | 42,203 | 40,131 |  | 43,338 |  | 42,478 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,098 |
| 35 TO 39 | 32,650 | 39,922 |  | 43,106 |  | 46,466 |  | 37,325 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,897 |
| 40 TO 44 | 41,272 | 39,272 |  | 42,346 |  | 48,056 |  | 47,545 |  | 50,273 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45,507 |
| 45 TO 49 | 45,057 | 39,077 |  | 43,104 |  | 45,934 |  | 50,020 |  | 51,573 |  | 62,710 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,067 |
| 50 TO 54 | 35,937 | 45,803 |  | 41,776 |  | 45,893 |  | 45,476 |  | 50,252 |  | 57,474 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46,655 |
| 55 TO 59 | 40,367 | 38,566 |  | 44,459 |  | 46,987 |  | 47,107 |  | 50,462 |  | 49,519 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45,335 |
| 60 TO 64 | 73,298 | 44,501 |  | 37,614 |  | 41,766 |  | 37,891 |  |  | 0 |  | $0 \quad 5$ | 54,818 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,655 |
| 65 AND UP | 45,361 | 40,014 |  | 39,582 |  | 48,827 |  | 40,211 |  | 55,546 |  |  | $0 \quad 4$ | 49,755 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43,443 |
| TOTALS | 41,417 | 40,050 |  | 42,941 |  | 46,958 |  | 48,139 |  | 51,019 |  | 55,699 |  | 52,287 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44,272 |

## ACTIVE MEMBERS

| YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | UNDER 5 |  | 5 TO 10 |  | 10 TO 15 |  | 15 TO 20 |  | 20 TO 25 |  | 5 TO 30 |  | OTO 35 |  | 5 TO 40 | 40 TO 45 | 45 TO 50 | $50 \& \cup \mathrm{P}$ | ALL YEARS |
| UNDER 20 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 TO 24 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 25 TO 29 | 14 | 495 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 620 |
| 30 TO 34 | 63 | 1,117 |  | 1,367 |  |  | 57 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,604 |
| 35 TO 39 | 94 | 891 |  | 2,053 |  | 838 | 38 |  | 71 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,947 |
| 40 TO 44 | 56 | 728 |  | 1,52 |  | 1,249 |  | 75 | 55 | 54 | 4 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,366 |
| 45 TO 49 | 41 | 584 |  | 1,067 |  | 816 | 16 | 936 | 36 | 501 |  | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,987 |
| 50 TO 54 | 29 | 411 |  | 80 | 05 | 578 | 78 | 68 | 82 | 535 |  | 343 |  | 49 | 972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,432 |
| 55 TO 59 | 12 | 290 |  | 619 | 19 | 401 | 01 | 44 | 43 | 290 |  | 206 |  | 72 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2,335 |
| 60 TO 64 | 7 | 199 |  | 367 | 67 | 25 | 57 | 25 | 53 | 121 |  | 77 | 7 | 44 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1,331 |
| 65 AND UP | 3 | 59 | 9 | 107 | 7 |  | 56 |  | 75 | 45 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 21 |  | 6 | 1 | 0 | 398 |
| TOTALS | 319 | 4,776 |  | 8,020 |  | 4,25 |  | 3,215 |  | 1,546 |  | 693 |  | 186 |  | 14 | 1 | 0 | 23,022 |

## AVERAGE SALARIES



## ACTIVE MEMBERS

| YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | UNDER 5 | 5 TO 10 | 10 TO 15 | 15 TO20 | 20 TO25 | 25 TO30 | 30 TO35 | 35 TO 40 | 40 TO 45 | 45 TO 50 | $50 \& \mathrm{UP}$ | ALL YEARS |
| UNDER 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 TO 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 TO 29 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 30 TO 34 | 4 | 42 | 55 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| 35 TO 39 | 6 | 39 | 107 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 |
| 40 TO 44 | 7 | 42 | 71 | 60 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| 45 TO 49 | 2 | 44 | 86 | 67 | 58 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 |
| 50 TO 54 | 4 | 59 | 121 | 72 | 59 | 23 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 |
| 55 TO 59 | 5 | 63 | 163 | 101 | 84 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 472 |
| 60 TO 64 | 6 | 66 | 173 | 90 | 91 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 461 |
| 65 AND UP | 3 | 28 | 83 | 42 | 47 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 230 |
| TOTALS | 38 | 393 | 868 | 477 | 388 | 83 | 41 | 43 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 2,348 |
|  | AVERAGE SALARIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| AGE | UNDER 5 |  | 5 TO 10 |  | 10 TO 15 |  | 15 TO 20 |  | 20 TO 25 |  | 25 TO 30 |  | 30 TO 35 |  | 35 TO 40 |  | 40 TO 45 |  | 45 TO 50 | 50 \& UP | ALL YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDER 20 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 TO 24 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 TO 29 | 27,969 | 29,939 |  | 35,033 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 32,133 |
| 30 TO 34 | 19,367 | 31,571 |  | 30,575 |  | 29,01, |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 30,460 |
| 35 TO 39 | 22,151 | 31,313 |  | 32,348 |  | 33,604 |  | 30,049 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 32,001 |
| 40 TO 44 | 33,610 | 31,812 |  | 33,496 |  | 36,449 |  | 33,136 |  | 35,942 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 33,929 |
| 45 TO 49 | 62,181 | 36,603 |  | 34,529 |  | 36,454 |  | 37,489 |  | 30,094 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 35,994 |
| 50 TO 54 | 36,920 | 28,931 |  | 31,424 |  | 35,908 |  | 38,378 |  | 42,742 |  | 38,050 |  | 34,347 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 34,193 |
| 55 TO 59 | 28,761 | 31,199 |  | 31,612 |  | 37,478 |  | 38,105 |  | 40,220 |  | 38,107 |  | 41,355 |  | 32,824 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 34,899 |
| 60 TO 64 | 28,157 | 31,976 |  | 31,233 |  | 33,230 |  | 38,848 |  | 41,652 |  | 43,884 |  | 58,474 |  | 36,322 |  | 28,859 |  | 0 | 34,301 |
| 65 AND UP | 34,096 | 33,697 |  | 32,743 |  | 37,596 |  | 36,337 |  | 43,444 |  | 23,071 |  | 48,389 |  | 42,949 |  | 48,876 |  | 0 | 35,878 |
| TOTALS | 30,544 | 31,857 |  | 32,122 |  | 35,753 |  | 37,331 |  | 40,012 |  | 38,280 |  | 44,035 |  | 41,088 |  | 43,872 |  | 0 | 34,330 |

## ACTIVE MEMBERS

| YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | UNDER 5 | 5 TO 10 | 10 TO 15 | 15 TO 20 | 20 TO25 | 25 TO 30 | 30 TO 35 | 35 TO 40 | 40 TO 45 | 45 TO 50 | 50 \& UP | ALL YEARS |
| UNDER 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 TO 24 | 408 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419 |
| 25 TO 29 | 925 | 182 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,108 |
| 30 TO 34 | 485 | 177 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 665 |
| 35 TO 39 | 257 | 107 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 |
| 40 TO 44 | 181 | 76 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 |
| 45 TO 49 | 90 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| 50 TO 54 | 49 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 55 TO 59 | 29 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 60 TO 64 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 65 AND UP | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| TOTALS | 2,434 | 628 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,077 |

AVERAGE SALARIES

| AGE | UNDER 5 |  | 5 TO 10 |  | 10 TO 15 |  | 5 TO 20 | 20 TO 25 | 25 TO 30 | 30 TO 35 | 35 TO 40 | $40 . \mathrm{TO} 45$ | 45 TO 50 | 50 \& UP | ALL YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDER 20 | 19,416 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,416 |
| 20 TO 24 | 25,579 | 29,764 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,689 |
| 25 TO 29 | 31,553 | 36,696 |  | 34,904 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,401 |
| 30 TO 34 | 32,265 | 35,366 |  | 50,487 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,172 |
| 35 TO 39 | 32,588 | 37,215 |  | 28,624 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,905 |
| 40 TO 44 | 31,342 | 36,857 |  | 25,744 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,889 |
| 45 TO 49 | 32,614 | 37,244 |  | 35,848 |  | 16,160 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,775 |
| 50 TO 54 | 37,069 | 33,947 |  | 24,417 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,860 |
| 55 TO 59 | 30,791 | 36,869 |  | 62,45 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,102 |
| 60 TO 64 | 31,057 | 35,790 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,029 |
| 65 AND UP | 41,207 | 142,035 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74,816 |
| TOTALS | 30,930 | 36,391 |  | 36,288 |  | 16,160 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,064 |

## ACTIVE MEMBERS

| YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | UNDER 5 | 5 TO 10 | 10 TO 15 | 15 TO 20 | 20 TO25 | 25 TO 30 | 30 TO35 | 35 TO 40 | 40 TO 45 | 45 TO 50 | 50 \& UP | ALL YEARS |
| UNDER 20 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 278 |
| 20 TO 24 | 2,386 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,547 |
| 25 TO 29 | 3,814 | 1,173 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,988 |
| 30 TO 34 | 2,911 | 1,317 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,258 |
| 35 TO 39 | 2,360 | 1,070 | 45 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,497 |
| 40 TO 44 | 2,002 | 993 | 56 | 44 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,131 |
| 45 TO 49 | 1,444 | 698 | 36 | 16 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,219 |
| 50 TO 54 | 916 | 461 | 21 | 14 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,425 |
| 55 TO 59 | 578 | 365 | 22 | 8 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 990 |
| 60 TO 64 | 330 | 262 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606 |
| 65 AND UP | 148 | 161 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 |
| TOTALS | 17,167 | 6,661 | 231 | 101 | 99 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,268 |

## AVERAGE SALARIES

| AGE | UNDER 5 |  | 5 TO 10 |  | 10 TO 15 |  | 5 TO 20 |  | 20 TO 25 |  | 25 TO 30 |  | 30 TO 35 |  | 35 TO 40 | 40 TO 45 | 45 TO 50 | 50 \& UP | ALL YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDER 20 | 4,205 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,205 |
| 20 TO 24 | 16,416 | 22,526 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,802 |
| 25 TO 29 | 24,405 | 27,423 |  | 13,468 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,113 |
| 30 TO 34 | 25,288 | 29,853 |  | 22,774 |  | 5,490 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,678 |
| 35 TO 39 | 25,842 | 31,170 |  | 25,700 |  | 30,741 |  | 36,136 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,514 |
| 40 TO 44 | 25,983 | 30,572 |  | 33,864 |  | 42,036 |  | 40,243 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,969 |
| 45 TO 49 | 25,075 | 29,192 |  | 38,621 |  | 44,091 |  | 47,720 |  | 29,410 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 26,957 |
| 50 TO 54 | 25,323 | 28,259 |  | 31,856 |  | 41,675 |  | 37,082 |  | 34,977 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,636 |
| 55 TO 59 | 23,323 | 27,365 |  | 31,965 |  | 31,720 |  | 37,911 |  | 21,097 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,290 |
| 60 TO 64 | 24,058 | 27,741 |  | 38,798 |  | 19,611 |  | 31,606 |  | 60,080 |  | 28,882 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,919 |
| 65 AND UP | 18,736 | 23,180 |  | 27,785 |  | 40,897 |  | 3,740 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 4,090 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,435 |
| TOTALS | 23,512 | 29,007 |  | 30,904 |  | 39,311 |  | 40,031 |  | 32,211 |  | 28,882 |  | 4,090 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,226 |


| RETIREMENTYEAR | ----------- | -NUMBER | F RETIREES ABILITY. $\qquad$ | ---------------- | ------------------------AVERAGE BENEFIT ---------------..----- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SERVICE | SERVICE CONNECTED | NON-SERVICE CONNECTED | HAZARDOUS DUTY | SERVICE | SERVICE CONNECTED | NON-SERVICE CONNECTED | hazardous DUTY |
| PRE-1959 | 120 | 14 | 31 | 8 | 6,406 | 4,491 | 4,384 | 6,435 |
| 1959 | 45 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6,592 | 6,632 | 5,339 | 8,839 |
| 1960 | 38 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 5,923 | 4,136 | 4,681 | 8,634 |
| 1961 | 44 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 6,855 | 6,331 | 4,948 | 7,842 |
| 1962 | 77 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6,608 | 5,035 | 4,662 | 0 |
| 1963 | 83 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 7,317 | 5,555 | 5,398 | 7,208 |
| 1964 | 83 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 6,464 | 5,730 | 5,302 | 8,786 |
| 1965 | 85 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6,932 | 4,998 | 5,765 | 8,527 |
| 1966 | 118 | 9 | 17 | 0 | 6,563 | 5,268 | 5,204 | 0 |
| 1967 | 119 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 6,673 | 3,981 | 6,133 | 0 |
| 1968 | 168 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 7,546 | 6,065 | 5,866 | 7,565 |
| 1969 | 272 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 7,858 | 6,491 | 6,922 | 9,580 |
| 1970 | 273 | 11 | 45 | 4 | 8,550 | 6,674 | 7,041 | 9,786 |
| 1971 | 418 | 15 | 56 | 6 | 9,253 | 7,510 | 6,930 | 11,965 |
| 1972 | 492 | 25 | 64 | 22 | 9,272 | 8,193 | 7,888 | 12,632 |
| 1973 | 582 | 27 | 64 | 21 | 9,665 | 9,922 | 8,169 | 14,163 |
| 1974 | 478 | 23 | 42 | 22 | 8,703 | 9,641 | 8,604 | 16,437 |
| 1975 | 751 | 46 | 65 | 18 | 9,294 | 9,333 | 7,848 | 17,527 |
| 1976 | 825 | 13 | 49 | 23 | 8,602 | 8,276 | 7,762 | 15,414 |
| 1977 | 657 | 12 | 26 | 13 | 8,130 | 6,296 | 6,959 | 14,288 |
| 1978 | 716 | 10 | 38 | 25 | 7,853 | 6,968 | 5,721 | 16,228 |
| 1979 | 1,373 | 11 | 38 | 42 | 8,310 | 8,724 | 6,380 | 16,035 |
| 1980 | 883 | 18 | 36 | 33 | 7,532 | 6,171 | 5,656 | 15,088 |
| 1981 | 731 | 21 | 35 | 52 | 8,347 | 7,080 | 5,963 | 15,248 |
| 1982 | 630 | 18 | 26 | 35 | 8,647 | 8,612 | 6,815 | 16,542 |
| 1983 | 644 | 16 | 37 | 43 | 10,026 | 9,070 | 8,251 | 17,631 |
| 1984 | 817 | 32 | 42 | 63 | 10,434 | 9,878 | 8,485 | 18,384 |
| 1985 | 922 | 34 | 37 | 68 | 11,228 | 9,176 | 9,930 | 19,512 |
| 1986 | 1,006 | 47 | 48 | 57 | 12,671 | 9,404 | 10,184 | 22,565 |
| 1987 | 986 | 36 | 47 | 80 | 13,422 | 10,547 | 8,800 | 22,406 |
| 1988 | 1,137 | 62 | 53 | 95 | 13,716 | 10,878 | 8,967 | 21,948 |
| 1989 | 3,275 | 58 | 58 | 254 | 13,963 | 13,046 | 10,387 | 25,295 |
| 1990 | 97 | 1 | 9 | 48 | 13,556 | 19,440 | 17,804 | 24,040 |


| AGE ATRETIREMENT | $\qquad$ NUMBER OF RETIREES$\qquad$ DISABILITY |  |  | HAZARDOUS DUTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SERVICE | NON-SERVICE |  |
|  | SERVICE | CONNECTED | CONNECTED |  |
| LESS THAN 40 | 1 | 34 | 17 | 0 |
| 40 TO 44 | 2 | 55 | 22 | 6 |
| 45 TO 49 | 2 | 71 | 49 | 86 |
| 50 TO 54 | 2 | 82 | 83 | 216 |
| 55 TO 59 | 1,224 | 98 | 163 | 228 |
| 60 TO 64 | 3,282 | 89 | 182 | 232 |
| 65 TO 69 | 4,570 | 72 | 168 | 160 |
| 70 TO 74 | 4,059 | 55 | 127 | 73 |
| 75 TO 79 | 2,967 | 37 | 108 | 32 |
| 80 TO 84 | 1,693 | 20 | 90 | 15 |
| 85 TO 89 | 824 | 7 | 41 | 4 |
| 90 TO 94 | 256 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 95 TO 99 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| FINAL TOTALS | 18,945 | 621 | 1,061 | 1,052 |


| SERVICE | -_DISABILITY - |  | HAZARDOUS DUTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SERVICE | NON-SERVICE |  |
|  | CONNECTED | CONNECTED |  |
| 12,705 | 11,597 | 5,330 | 0 |
| 11,295 | 9,855 | 8,572 | 23,860 |
| 12,845 | 12,184 | 10,637 | 23,788 |
| 26,082 | 11,571 | 10,415 | 22,975 |
| 15,950 | 8,315 | 9,402 | 21,214 |
| 12,998 | 8,039 | 7,274 | 19,621 |
| 10,953 | 6,094 | 6,631 | 17,582 |
| 9,274 | 6,908 | 6,504 | 14,622 |
| 8,486 | 7,899 | 7,731 | 13,484 |
| 8,618 | 7,375 | 6,660 | 11,201 |
| 7,721 | 5,172 | 5,796 | 9,527 |
| 6,143 | 0 | 4,892 | 0 |
| 4,135 | 2,240 | 3,585 | 0 |
| 10,449 | 8,982 | 7,715 | 20,018 |

## APPENDIX B <br> SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

## APPENDIX B

## SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

## CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Established September 1, 1939
Reflects Arbitrator's Award

## 1. Membership

Tier I [Sec. 5-160]: Each state employee appointed to classified service shall become a member on the first day of the pay period following permanent appointment.

Each officer elected by the people and each appointee of such officer exempt from classified service may elect to become a member, effective on the first day of the pay period following receipt of such election.

Each other state employee exempt from classified service shall become a member on the first day of the pay period following six months of employment.

Except as noted below employees hired prior to January 1, 1984 could elect (no later than January 2, 1984) to be covered under either Plan B or Plan C. Employees under contracts with Union Independent and CSEA unions hired prior to October 1, 1982 had until October 1, 1984 to make such election.

Tier II [Sec. 5-192e]: Tier II consists of employees first joining the retirement system on or after January 1, 1984 plus employees hired between July 1, 1982 and January 1, 1984 who elected Tier II. Each state employee becomes a member on the first day of employment.

## 2. Normal Retirement Eligibility

Tier I - Hazardous Duty [Sec. 5-173]: 20 years of service.
Tier I - Plans B and C [Sec. 5-162]: Age 55 and 25 years of service, age 60 and 10 years of service, or age 70 .

Tier II [Sec. 5-1921]: Age 62 and 10 years of service (effective $7 / 1 / 92$ ) or age 60 and 25 years of service or age 70 and 5 years of service, except hazardous duty members may retire after 20 years.

## 3. Normal Retirement Benefit

Tier I - Hazardous Duty [Sec. 5-173]: 50\% of Final Average Earnings plus $2 \%$ for each year in excess of 20 .

Tier I Plan B [Sec. 5-162]: Same as Plan C up to age 65; thereafter $1 \%$ of Final Average Earnings up to $\$ 4,800$ plus $2 \%$ of Final Average Earnings in excess of $\$ 4,800$ times years, reduced for retirement prior to age 65 with less than 25 years. Minimum benefit with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month.

Tier I Plan C [Sec. 5-162]: 2\% of Final Average Earnings times years of service, reduced for retirement prior to age 65 with less than 25 years. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month.

Tier II Hazardous Duty Members [Sec. 5-192n]: $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$ of Final Average Earnings times up to 20 years of service plus $2 \%$ of Final Average Earnings times years of service in excess of 35 years, if any. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement before 7-1-87).

Tier II All Other [Sec. 5-192l]: $11 / 3 \%$ of Final Average Earnings plus $\frac{1}{2} \%$ of Final Average Earnings in excess of the year's breakpoint*, times up to 35 years of service from 10-1-82 plus $15 / 8 \%$ of Final Average Earnings times years of service in excess of 35 years, if any. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month ( or less if retirement before 7-1-87).

* $\$ 10,700$ increased by $6 \%$ each year after 1982 , rounded to the nearest $\$ 100$, but not greater than Social Security Covered Compensation.


## 4. Early Retirement

Tier I - Hazardous Duty: None.
Tier I [Sec. 5-162]: Age 55 and 10 years of service; Normal Retirement Benefit reduced actuarially for retirement prior to age 60.

Tier II [Sec. $5-192 \mathrm{~m}$ ]: Age 55 and 10 years of service; Normal Retirement Benefit reduced $\frac{1}{4} \%$ (effective $7 / 1 / 91$ ) for each month prior to age 65; Minimum benefit with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement prior to 7-1-87).

## 5. Deferred Retirement

Tier I [Sec. 5-162]: May be deferred but not beyond age 70 .
Tier II [Sec. 5-1921]: May be deferred but not beyond age 70. Benefit is based on salary and service to actual retirement.

## 6. Vesting

Tier I [Sec. 5-166]: Ten years of service; actuarially reduced benefit payable at age 55 ; in addition employees are always fully vested in their own contributions (after 1-1-83, Tier I, contributions with $5 \%$ interest from 1-1-82).

Tier I - Hazardous Duty [Sec. 5-173(f)]: As above.
Tier II [Sec. 5-1920]: Ten years of service or age 70 and 5 years; benefit payable at Normal Retirement Age or early retirement benefit payable at age 55 ; minimum benefit with 25 years, payable after age $55, \$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement before 7-1-87).

## 7. Member Contributions

Tier I - Hazardous Duty [Sec. 5-161]: 4\% of earnings up to Social Security Taxable Wage Base plus $5 \%$ above that level.

Plan B [Sec. 5-161]: $2 \%$ of earnings up to Social Security Taxable Wage Base plus $5 \%$ above that level.

Plan C [Sec. 5-161]: $5 \%$ of earnings.
Tier II - All Other [Sec. 5-192u]: None.
Tier II - Hazardous Duty [Sec. 5-192u]: $4 \%$ of earnings

## 8. $\quad$ Cost of Living

[Sec. 5-162b, 5-162d]: Annual adjustment each July 1 of up to $5 \%$ for retirements prior to $7-1-80 ; 3 \%$ for retirements after 7-1-80. For members (or beneficiaries) not covered by Social Security and age 62 and over, the maximum increase is $6 \%$. If an actuarial surplus (as defined in the law) exists, the commission may further increase retired benefits.

## 9. <br> Death Benefits

Tier I - State Police [Sec. 5-146]: Survivor benefits to spouse of $\$ 670$ per month plus $\$ 300$ to a surviving dependent child (or $\$ 700$ to surviving dependent children).

Tier I [Sec. 5-165a]: If eligible for early or normal retirement, spouse benefit equal to $50 \%$ of average of Life Benefit and $50 \%$ J\&S benefit member would have received. If not eligible to retire but 25 years, same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.
[Sec. 5-168]: If not eligible for retirement, return of contributions (after 10-$1-82$, Tier I, with interest from 1-1-82 at $5 \%$.

Tier II [Sec. 5-192r]: If eligible for early or normal retirement, spouse benefit equal to $50 \%$ of member's benefit under a $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{~S}$. If not eligible to retire but 25 years, same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.
[Sec. 5-192t]: If death is due to employment, a spouse with dependent children) under 18 will be paid $\$ 7,500$ in not less than 60 installments while living and not remarried; also $\$ 20$ per month per child under 18. If no children under 18, spouse [or dependent parent(s), if no spouse] will be paid $\$ 4,000$ in not less than 60 installments.

## 10. Disability Benefits

Tier I [Sec. 5-142, 5-169, 5-173]: For non-service disabilities occurring prior to age 60 and after 5 years of service, benefit equals $3 \%$ times base salary times years of service (Maximum $12 / 3 \%$ times service to 65). If disability occurs prior to age 60 and is due to service, benefit equals $12 / 3 \%$ of salary times service projected to 65 (maximum 30 years) and is payable regardless of length of service. Exception: State Police benefit is accrued benefit if more than 20 years of service. State Police receive an additional benefit of $\$ 360$ per month plus $\$ 300$ to spouse plus $\$ 300$ to a surviving dependent child (or $\$ 700$ to surviving dependent children).

Maximum disability benefit is lesser of: $100 \%$ of salary less Workers Compensation and Social Security and less non-rehabilitation earnings or 80\% of salary less Workers Compensation and Social Security. Social Security is primary plus family.

Tier II [5-192p]: Prior to age 65 and due to service or after 10 years of service, benefit is $11 / 3 \%$ of final average earnings, plus $\frac{1}{2} \%$ of excess earnings times service projected to 65 (maximum 30 years or service to Date of Disability if greater than 30 years). Same maximum as Tier I.

Minimum disability benefit including Workers Compensation and Social Security is $60 \%$ of salary.

## 11. Optional Forms of Payment

Tier I [Sec. 5-165]: $50 \%$ Qualified Joint and Survivor (CA) ${ }^{1}$.
Tier II [Sec. 5-192q]: $50 \%$ or $100 \%$ Joint and Survivor (CA). Ten years certain and life. Twenty years certain and life. Life ${ }^{2}$.

## 12. Part-Time Employment

[Sec. $5-162 \mathrm{~g}$ \& Sec. $5-192 \mathrm{k}$ ]: Service treated as if full-time for eligibility. If consistent part-time for all periods, treat as full-time. If varying schedule or some part-time, some full-time, service and salary proportionately adjusted.
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## ACTUARIAL METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS

## A. Funding Method

1. 

Through the 1988 valuation, the actuarial valuation method used in the cost calculations was the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. As of July 1, 1989 the actuarial valuation method used is the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method. Recommended annual contributions until the accrued liability is completely funded will consist of two pieces: Normal Cost plus a payment towards the Unfunded Accrued Liability.
a. The Accrued Liability is determined directly as the present value of benefits accrued to date, where the accrued benefits for each Member is the pro-rata portion (based on service to date) of the proiected benefit payable at death, disability, retirement or termination.
b. The Current Cost is then similarly determined as the present value of the portion of the projected benefit attributable to the current year.
2. The Unfunded Accrued Liability is the Accrued Liability less Current Assets.
3. Since the valuation was done as of June 30, 1990, costs have been projected to July 1, 1991 in order to correspond to the fiscal year as follows:
a. Normal Costs were determined as a percentage of earnings, by Tier, as of July 1, 1990. Earnings were projected to July 1, 1991, separately for each Tier because of the shifting Tier populations, and the projected earnings were then multiplied by the total system normal cost factor.
b. The Unfunded Accrued Liability was determined as of June 30, 1990, and brought forward to June 30, 1991 by adding the Normal Cost plus interest and subtracting expected employee, State, and Federal contributions, also with interest. This amount was amortized over the 35 years remaining in the original 40 year amortization period.
c. Normal Cost and Past Service Amortization payments were adjusted to reflect payment in twelve equal installments at the end of each month.

## B. Actuarial Assumptions

Mortality:
1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table with ages set back 4 years for females.

Service connected deaths are assumed to comprise $20 \%$ of total Death for Hazardous Duty employees and $0 \%$ for all other employees,

Investment Return:* 8 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}} \%$.
Salary Scale:
Age
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
Disability:
Age
$20 \quad 0.75$
$25 \quad 0.85$
30
35
40
45

$$
50
$$

55
60
Service connected disabilities are assumed to comprise 50\% of total Disability for Hazardous Duty employees and 20\% for all other employees.

## Social Security Wage Base Increases:

$6 \frac{1}{2} \%$ compounded annually.

* According to Memorandum of Understanding. See Part C of this Appendix.


## B. Actuarial Assumptions (cont'd)

Retirement Ages: Hazardous Duty:

|  | First Year There- <br> Age |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{\text { Eligible }}$ | after |  |


| $55-60$ | $20 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 40 | 5 |
| 62 | 40 | 30 |
| 63 | 40 | 30 |
| 64 | 60 | 30 |
| 65 | 80 | 60 |
| 66 | 80 | 60 |
| 67 | 80 | 60 |
| 68 | 80 | 60 |
| 69 | 80 | 60 |
| 70 | 100 | 100 |

## B. Actuarial Assumptions (cont'd)

## Turnover:

Five year select and ultimate rates as shown in the Turnover Table below for non-hazardous duty males; the same table with rates increased $10 \%$ for females; the same table with rates reduced $50 \%$ for hazardous duty.

## Turnover Table

Years of Participation

| Age | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5} \&$ <br> Over |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $50 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 25 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 10 |
| 30 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 8 |
| 35 | 35 | 28 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 6 |
| 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| 45 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 50 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 55 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cost of Living Increases: $\quad 3 \%$ per year for retirees on or after 1-1-80. $4 \frac{1}{2} \%$ per year for retirees prior to $1-1-80$.

Asset Valuation:
Adjusted market value: assets are written up (or down) by spreading recognition of gains and losses over five years, plus an additional write-up (or writedown) as necessary so that the final adjusted value is within $20 \%$ of market value.
C. Memorandum of Understanding

The Memorandum of Understanding sets forth the procedure to be used to determine the interest rate assumption to be used for the June 30, 1990 through June 30, 1992 valuations:

10 Year Average of
Actual Rates of Return
If
If at least $13.5 \%$ and

If

| If | between $13.0 \%$ <br> and $13.5 \%$ | and | between $13.0 \%$ <br> and $13.5 \%$ | then use | $9.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| If | less than $13.0 \%$ | and | less than $13.0 \%$ | then use | $8.5 \%$ |

For the June 30, 1990 valuation, this leads to the following result:

10 Year Average of
Actual Rates of Return*
$13.5 \%$

Rate of Return for FY ending on Valuation Date
at least $13.5 \%$
between $13.0 \%$ and $13.5 \%$
less than $13.0 \%$ then use
then use

Interest Rate Assumption
9.5\%
9.0\%
8.5\%

Rate of Return for
FY ending on June 30, 1990
$10.3 \%$

Interest Rate Assumption
$8.5 \%$

* Provided by the Office of the Treasurer and used by us without audit.

Stere is jotting the actual nates of nation for the past 10 years so that we can include the value for documentation purposes
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## ACTUARIAL SURPLUS TEST AS OF JUNE 30, 1990

Section $5-162 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~b})$ of the General Statutes of Connecticut provides that the Retirement Commission may grant additional cost of living adjustments for retired employees if an actuarial surplus exists. An actuarial surplus is deemed to exist if three criteria are met:
I. Investment Income: actual rate of return for the Fiscal Year ending June 30,1990 must exceed the actuarial assumption of $8.5 \%$.
A. Market Value of Assets July 1, 1989 \$2,798,932,658
B. Market Value of Assets June 30, 1990

3,212,798,521
I. Investment Income for F'Y 89-90 294,093,019

Actual Rate of Return for FY89-90 $=\frac{2 I}{A+B-I}=10.29 \%$
Actual Rate of Return of $10.29 \%$ exceeds assumption of $8.5 \%$, so first criteria is met.
II. Assets Versus Liabilities: Market value of assets must exceed $50 \%$ of specified liabilities.
A. Market Value of Assets June 30, $1990 \quad \$ 3,212,798,521$
B. Specified Liabilities June 30, 1990

Liability for Retired Members $\quad \$ 2,645,802,734$
Liability for Terminated Vested Members 11,567,708
Active Member Contributions 222,541,359
Interest on Active Member Contributions 48,869,999
Total
$\$ 2,928,781,800$
C. $50 \%$ of Specified Liabilities

1,464,390,900
Market Value of Assets exceeds $50 \%$ of Specified Liabilities, so second criteria is met.
III. Unfunded Liability: actual unfunded liability must be less than the projected unfunded liability five years from determination date.
A. Actual Unfunded Liability June 30, $1990 \quad \$ 2,651,913,998$
B. Projected Unfunded Liability June 30, 1995* 1,870,068,000

Actual Unfunded Liability exceeds Projected Unfunded Liability so third criteria is not met and an actuarial surplus does not exist.

* See following page.


## Projection of Unfunded Liability

Section $5-162-\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{b})(2)$ of the General Statutes of Connecticut specifies the means of calculating the Projected Unfunded Liability used in the third criteria of the Actuarial Surplus Test. The Projection reflects the actual unfunded liability as of December 31, 1983 adjusted for changes in actuarial assumptions and cost methods through the determination date. The following Projection reflects the following changes: data correction (June 30, 1987), change in actuarial assumptions (June 30, 1987), change in actuarial cost method (June 30, 1988), change in actuarial assumptions - interest rate only (June 30, 1989), change in actuarial cost method amortization period only (June 30, 1992)
(000)

June 30
Unfunded
Year Liability
1984 2,155,459
1985 2,201,605
1986 2,206,090
1987 - 2,524,556
1988 1,954,257
1989 1,432,333
1990 1,939,758
1991 1,930,524
1992 1,920,505
1993 1,905,044
1994 1,888,269
1995 1,870,068
1996 1,850,320
1997 1,828,893
1998 1,805,645
1999 1,780,421
2000 1,753,053
2001 1,723,359
2002 1,691,140
2003 1,656,182
2004 1,618,254
2005 1,577,101
2006 1,532,450
2007 1,484,003
2008 1,431,439
(000)

June 30
Unfunded
Year Liability

| 2009 | $1,374,407$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | $1,312,528$ |
| 2011 | $1,245,388$ |
| 2012 | $1,172,542$ |
| 2013 | $1,093,503$ |
|  |  |
| 2014 | $1,007,747$ |
| 2015 | 914,701 |
| 2016 | 813,746 |
| 2017 | 704,209 |
| 2018 | 585,363 |
|  |  |
| 2019 | 456,415 |
| 2020 | 316,505 |
| 2021 | 164,704 |
| 2022 | 0 |
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ENTRY AGE NORMAL VALUATION RESULTS

## A. CURRENT RESULTS

1. Present Value of Future Benefits

- Active Members
- Retired and Beneficiaries
- Deferred Vested Members
- Total Present Value
\$5,469,590,164 2,645,802,734
11,567,708
8,126,960,606
1,758,094,970 Contributions

3. Total Actuarial Liability: (1)-(2) $6,368,865,636$
4. Actuarial Value of Assets 2,972,748,082
5. Unfunded Actuarial Liability: (3)-(4) 3,396,117,554
6. Total Normal Cost

174,371,501
7. Expected Employee Contributions

31,729,498
142,642,003
8. State Normal Cost: (6)-(7)
B. PROJECTIONS TO FOLLOWING YEAR

1. State Normal Cost
2. Unfunded Actuarial Liability
3. Total Cost*
4. Total Cost as a Percent of Pay

| 1. | State Normal Cost | \$ | $162,657,469$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2. | 150,071,194 |  |  |
| 3. | Unfunded Actuarial Liability | $3,538,430,348$ | $3,152,216,670$ |
| 3. | Total Cost* | $469,989,385$ | $422,555,181$ |
| 4. | Total Cost as a Percent of Pay | $23.92 \%$ | $22.05 \%$ |

\$ 150,071,194
$3,538,430,348 \quad 3,152,216,670$
469,989,385
$23.92 \%$
\$5,327,647,771
2,078,455,209 10,673,793

7,416,776,773
$1,624,815,816$

5,791,960,957
2,611,498,541
3,180,462,416
160,100,956
28,071,664
132,029,292

Note: The June 30, 1989 values do not reflect adjustment for Arbitrator's Award or Early Retirement Incentives; the 1990 values reflect the Arbitrator's Award, but do not reflect the Early Retirement Incentives.


[^0]:    1 Normal Form if married at least 12 months.
    2 Normal Form if not married at least 12 months.

