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Ladies and Gentlemen:
We are pleased to provide this actuarial report for the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System. The report shows the financial status of the system as of June 30 , 1988 and presents cost figures for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1989.

As summarized in Section I, the recommended contribution for the flscal year Begiming July, 1989 , including Federal reimbursements, is $\$ 396,368,471$, This represents an increase of $\$ 22.1$ mil1ion over $1988-89$ costs

The membership data provided to us was as of June 30,1988 and included actual compensation paid during fiscal year 1987-1988 (which has been reconciled to total State figures). Compensation Elgures were brought up to July 1 , 1988 哏 payroll levels by adjusting for increases effective as of that date. This data is summarized in Section III and a detailed analysis of the membership by age and service is attached as Exhibit $B$. We did not audit this data (nor the financial data referred to below); however, they are consistent with comparable data from the previous valuation.

Section IV outlines the status of the retirement fund as reported to us by the Retirement Division and describes the adjustment used to spread gains and losses.

The final section of the report outlines our understanding of the benefit provisions embodied in the Connecticut statutes, as amended through 1987.

In our opinion this report fairly presents the financial and actuarial position of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System as of Jume 30 , 1988, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles applied on a basis consistent with the prior valuation. We also certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is both complete and accurate; a copy of our separate certification is attached to the report as Exhibit A.

Respectfully submitted,
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# CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM 

SECTION I

SUMMARY OF JUNE 30, 1988 VALUATION
A. Highlights

The recommended contribution for Fiscal Year 1989 is $\$ 396,368,47 \mathrm{I}$. Compared. to Ghe CY 1988 conctibut10n ot $\$ 374,314,706$ th1s, 1 s an inerease ef $\$ 22$. N mhluons As a percent of earnings, costs decreased by $1,09 \%$ from 24. $16 \%$ to $23.07 \%$

The past service cost increased by almost $\$ 10$ mil1on, apparently as a result of Tier I salary increases. Going forward, we expect the past Service payment to remaln at approximately the same dollar level (and thus become a reduclng percentage of pay).

The Normal Cost remained at approximately $8 \%$ of covered earnings,

For the year, the fund suffered net market losses of approximately $\$ 56$ million. Despite these losses, the total return was $4.6 \%$ and the market value of assets increased by $\$ 266$ million to now exceed $\$ 2$ billion.

On the adjusted basis used for the valuation, the total return was $10.7 \%$ - and the "set aside" for market fluctuations was $\$ 83$ miliion, which is sufficient to cover a $4 \%$ downturn from 1988 values.

Census data was considerably improved over the prior year: only 242 active records were rejected for bad or missing data compared to 904 last year.

## SECTION I

B. Actuarial Balance Sheet

Based on the membership and asset information provided to us (and summarized in Sections III and IV), the actuarial method and assumptions described in Section II, and our understanding of the benefit provisions embodied in Connecticut statutes as sumnarized in Section VI, we have prepared the following valuation of assets and liabilities as of June 30 :

| ASSETS | June 30 , 1987 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 1988 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirement Fund |  |  |
| (Adjusted basis) | \$1,812,332,140 | \$2,189,510,979 |
| Present value of future Normal |  |  |
| Cost contributions | 1,393,984,826 | 1,516,952,265 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability | 2,899,625,697 | 3,038,944,828 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$6,105,942,663 | \$6,745,408,072 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |

Present value of benefits
Active Members
Tier I
Tier II

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\$ 4,073,520,034 & \$ 4,330,554,186 \\
345,442,987 & 537,239,069
\end{array}
$$

Retired Members
1,678,583,438
$1,867,349,142$

Terminated Vested Members

TOTAL LIABLLITIES
$\$ 6,105,942,663 \quad \$ 6,745,408,072$

## SECTION I

## C. Projection of Normal Costs

The valuation calculated Normal Costs as of July 1,1988 based on earnings as of that date, including adjustments for negotiated settlements and for July 1 increases. Earnings were projected to July l, 1989 by assuming that $6 \%$ of the Tier I employees will terminate during the twelve month period and be replaced by Tier II employees at $75 \%$ of the terminated employee's earnings leveI; remaining Tier I employees and all Tier II employees (including replacements of Tier II terminations) are assumed to receive increases as of July 1,1989 equal to $10 \%$. This produced the following projected Normal Costs:


## SECTION I <br> D. Projection of Total Cost

The Unfunded Liability as of the valuation date (the beginning of the fiscal year) was brought forward to the end of the fiscal year by adding interest and the fiscal year Normal Cost, with interest, and subtracting expected contributions, also with interest:

| Development of Unfunded |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987-88 | 1988-89 |
| Unfunded Accrued |  |  |
| Liability, 7-1 | \$2,899,625,697 | \$3,038,944,828 |
| + Interest | $246,468,184$ | 258,310,310 |
| + Normal Cost | 120,804,026 | 125,118,568 |
| + Interest | 4,637,063 | 4,802,676 |
| - State Payments | 278,643,557 | 325,062,591 |
| - Federal Payments | 42,954,436 | 49,252,115 |
| - Employee Contributions | $29,348,817$ | $29,868,267$ |
| - Interest | 13,471,093 | 15,514,563 |
| Unfunded Accrued |  |  |
| Liability, 6-30 | \$2,907,117,067 | \$3,007,478,846 |
| Amortization Period | 38 years | 37 years |
|  | ************ |  |
| Summary of Costs |  |  |
| Normal Cost | \$. 125,118,568 | \$ 137,531,541 |
| Amortization of Unfunded | 249,196,138 | 258,836,930 |
| - Total Cost | \$ 374,314,706 | \$ 396,368,471 |
| Total Cost (as a percent of payro11) | 24.16\% | 23.07\% |

## SECTION II

ACTUARIAL METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS

## A. Funding Method

1. The actuarial valuation method used in the cost calculations is the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. Recommended annual contributions until the accrued liability is completely funded will consist of two pieces: Normal Cost plus a payment towards the Unfunded Accrued Liability.
2. The Normal Cost is determined by calculating the present value of future benefits for present active Members that will become payable as the result of death, disability, retirement or termination. This cost is then spread as a level percentage of earnings from entry age to termination as an Active Member.
3. If Normal Costs had been paid at this level for all prior years, a fund would have been accumulated. Because this fund represents the portion of benefits that would have been funded to date, it is termed the Accrued Liability. In fact, it is calculated by adding the present value of benefits for retired Members and Terminated Vested Members to the present value of benefits for active Members and subtracting the present value of future contributions.
4. The Unfunded Accrued Liability is the Accrued Liability less Current Assets.
5. Since the valuation was done as of June 30,1988 , costs have been projected to July 1,1989 in order to correspond to the fiscal year as follows:

> SECTION II
> A. Eunding Method (cont'd)
A. Normal costs were determined as a percentage of earnings, by Tier, as of July 1, 1988. Earnings were projected to July 1, 1989, separately for each Tier because of the shifting Tier populations, and the projected earnings were then multiplied by the normal cost factors, by Tier.
B. The Unfunded Accrued Liability was determined as of June 30, 1988, and brought forward to June 30 , 1989 by adding the Normal Cost plus interest and subtracting expected employee, State, and Federal contributions, also with interest. This amount was amortized over the 37 years remaining in the original 40 year amortization period.
C. Normal Cost and Past Service Amortization payments were adjusted to reflect payment in twelve equal installments at the end of each month.

| Mortality: | 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table with ages set back 4 years for females. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment |  |  |
| Return: | 812\%. |  |
| Salary Scale: |  | Annual Rate |
|  | Age | of Increase |
|  | 20 | 10.2\% |
|  | 25 | 9.2 |
|  | 30 | 8.1 |
|  | 35 | 7.1 |
|  | 40 | 6.5 |
|  | 45 | 6.0 |
|  | 50 | 6.0 |
|  | $55$ | 6.0 |
|  |  | 6.0 |
| Disability: |  | Annual Rate of Disability |
|  | Age | (Per 1000 Lives) |
|  | 20 | 0.75 |
|  | 25 | 0.85 |
|  | 30 | 0.97 |
|  | 35 | 1.21 |
|  | 40 | 1.70 |
|  | 45 | 2.79 |
|  | 50 | 5.09 |
|  | 55 | 9.25 |
|  | 60 | 14.42 |
|  | Service connected are assumed to comprise $50 \%$ of total Disability for Hazardous Duty and $20 \%$ for others. |  |

B. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (cont'd)

```
Social Security Wage Base
    Increases: 3\frac{1}{2}% compounded annually.
```

Retirement Ages: Hazardous Duty:

| Age | First Year <br> Eligible | Thereafter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $47-50$ | $50 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 51 | 48 | 10 |
| 52 | 46 | 10 |
| 53 | 44 | 10 |
| 54 | 42 | 10 |
| 55 | 40 | 10 |
| 56 | 38 | 10 |
| 57 | 36 | 10 |
| 58 | 34 | 10 |
| 59 | 30 | 10 |
| 60 | 50 | 30 |
| 61 | 50 | 30 |
| $62-70$ | 100 | 100 |

Al1 Others:

| $55-60$ | $20 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 40 | 5 |
| 62 | 40 | 30 |
| 63 | 40 | 30 |
| 64 | 60 | 30 |
| 65 | 80 | 60 |
| 66 | 80 | 60 |
| 67 | 80 | 60 |
| 68 | 80 | 60 |
| 69 | 80 | 60 |
| 70 | 100 | 100 |

B. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (cont'd)

Five year select and ultimate rates as shown in the Turnover Table below for non-hazardous duty males; the same table with rates increased $10 \%$ for females; the same table with rates reduced $50 \%$ for hazardous duty males.

Turnover Table

| Turnover Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 58 | \& Over |
| 20 | 50\% | 45\% | 30\% | 25\% | 20\% |  | 15\% |
| 25 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 |  | 10 |
| 30 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 |  | 8 |
| 35 | 35 | 28 | 20 | 15 | 10 |  | 6 |
| 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 |  | 4 |
| 45 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 |  | 2 |
| 50 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |  | 0 |
| 55 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 60 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

$3 \%$ per year for retirees on or after 1-1-80. $4 \frac{1}{2} \%$ per year for retirees prior to $1-1-80$.

Adjusted market value: assets are written up (or down) by spreading recognition of gains and losses over five years, plus an additional write-up (or write-down) as necessary so that the final adjusted value is within $20 \%$ of market value.

Cost of Living Increases:

Asset Valuation:

## CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM

SECTION III

## MEMBERSHIP DATA

## A. Active Members

We received data on a total of 55,568 members, including employees of state Aided Institutions. The following analysis compares this data with the July I, 1987 data (see Exhibit $B$ for distribution by age and service).


## SECTION III

B. Actives By Plany

|  | 7-1-87 | 7-1-88 | Change | Per Cent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Members |  |  |  |  |
| Hazardous Duty | 4,126 | 4,451 | + 325 | + $7.9 \%$ |
| Plan B | 28,468 | 26,981 | -1,487 | - 5.2 |
| Plan C | 4,083 | 3,632 | - 451 | - 11.0 |
| Tier II | 16,307 | 20,504 | +4,197 | + 25.7 |

Total Annual Compensation (millions)

| Hazardous Duty | $\$ 135.9$ | $\$ 152.2$ | $+\$ 16.3$ | $+12.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plan B | 878.5 | 907.2 | +28.7 | +3.3 |
| Plan C | 109.7 | 106.1 | -3.6 | -3.3 |
| Tier II | 305.0 | 417.5 | +112.5 | +36.9 |

Average Compensation

| Hazardous Duty | $\$ 32,938$ | $\$ 34,194$ | $+\$ 1,256$ | $+3.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plan B | 30,858 | 33,623 | $+2,765$ | +9.0 |
| Plan C | 26,873 | 29,219 | $+2,346$ | +8.7 |
| Tier II | 18,703 | 20,360 | $+1,657$ | +8.9 |

Hazardous Duty Members include 1,746 Tier II Members, compared to l,209 last year. Because of their higher average age, Plan C continues to decrease more rapidly than Plan B.

## SECTION III

B. Actives ByPlan (cont ${ }^{1}$ d)

This analysis of age and service excludes the 242 records with incorrect birth dates or hire dates and 15 vending stand operators, data for which was received after this analysis was completed.

7-1-87 $\quad$ 7-1-88 Change
Average Age

| Hazardous Duty | 37.7 | 37.3 | -0.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plan B | 44.0 | 44.6 | +0.6 |
| Plan C | 54.0 | 54.2 | +0.2 |
| Tier II | 34.6 | 35.0 | +0.4 |

Average Years of Service

| Hazardous Duty | 9.0 | 8.7 | -0.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plan B | 12.7 | 13.6 | +0.9 |
| Plan C | 14.3 | 15.1 | +0.8 |
| Tier II | 1.9 | 2.3 | +0.4 |

SECTION III
C. Retired and Terminated Vested Members

The following compares the current retired data with the June 30,1987 data. [See Exhibit $C$ for analysis of retirees by age, year of retirement and type of retirement].


## Beneficiaries

| Number | 749 | .845 | +96 | $+12.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Annual Benefit* | $\$ 5,259$ | $\$ 6,030$ | $+\$ 771$ | +14.7 |
| Average Monthly Benefit | $\$ ~ 585$ | $\$ .595$ | $+\$ 10$ | +1.7 |

Terminated Vested

554
$638+84$
$+15.2 \%$

* (000 omitted)

SECTION IV

## RETIREMENT FUND

## A. Summary of Fund



Investment Income

| Interest and Dividends | $\$ 151,410,683$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Realized Gains | $205,394,743$ |
| Change in Unrealized Gains | $(261,372,286)$ |

\$ $95,433,140$

Disbursements

| Benefit payments | $\$ 172,106,035$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Employee refunds | $2,679,972$ |
| Expenses | 151,166 |

Market Value, June 30, 1988
\$ 174,937,173
$\$ 2,273,151,925$

## SECTION IV

B. June 30, 1988 Assets

The following is the Market Value of the State Employees Retirement Fund assets as reported to us by the Retirement Division:

| Cash |  | \$ | 22,418,320.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accrued Interest |  | , | 6,111,612.32 |
| Investments |  |  |  |
| Short Term | \$146,835,725.00 |  |  |
| Fixed Income | 742,634,764.06 |  |  |
| Equity | 923,486,451.63 |  |  |
| Contract | 10,971,998.95 |  |  |
| Mortgage | 12,899,202.49 |  |  |
| Real Estate | 329,742,458.28 |  |  |
| International Funds | 38,227,617.32 |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgage Fund | 33,737,527.76 |  |  |
| Venture Capital Fund | 6,086,246.68 |  |  |

$\$ 2,244,621,992.17$

Total Market Value of Assets June 30, 1988
\$2,273,151,924.83

## SECTION IV

C. Adjusted Market Value

| Year Ending | Realized <br> Gains/Losses | Change in <br> Unrealized <br> Gains/Losses | Gains/Losses |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-30-88$ | $2,414,092$ | $5(261,372,286)$ | $\$(55,977,543)$ |
| $6-30-87$ | $10,589,632$ | $177,973,874$ | $188,563,506$ |
| $6-30-86$ | $2,560,935$ | $99,126,081$ | $101,687,016$ |
| $6-30-85$ | $2,357,847$ | $(16,194,782)$ | $(13,836,935)$ |

1988 Adjusted Market Value is derived as follows:

1. Market Value of Assets 6-30-88:
2. 5-Year Gains and Losses Not Yet Recognized:
$80 \%$ of FY $88 \quad(44,782,034)$
$60 \%$ of FY 87 32,660,175
$40 \%$ of FY $86 \quad 75,425,402$
$20 \%$ of FY $85 \underline{20,337,403}$
3. $20 \%$ of (1)
4. Adjusted Market Value of Assets 6-30-88:
\$ 83,640,946
\$ 454,630,385
(1) - (2) , within (1) $\pm$ (3)
\$2,189,510,979

Effective Date
System Eligibility

| Tier I |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| [Sec. 5-160] | Each state employee appointed to |
|  | classified service shall become a |
|  | member on the first day of the pay |
|  | period following permanent appointment. |
|  | Each officer elected by the people and |
|  | each appointee of such officer exempt |
|  | from classified service may elect to |
|  | become a member, effective on the first |
|  | day of the pay period following receipt |
|  | of such election. |
|  | Each other state employee exempt from |
|  | classified service shali become a |
| member on the first day of the pay |  |

September I, 1939

Each state employee appointed to classified service shall become a member on the first day of the pay period following permanent appointment.

Each officer elected by the people and each appointee of such officer exempt from classified service may elect to become a member, effective on the first day of the pay period following receipt of such election.

Each other state employee exempt from classified service shall become a member on the first day of the pay period following six months of employment.

Each state employee becomes a member on the first day of employment.

Except as noted below employees hired prior to January 1,1984 could elect (no later than January 2, 1984) to be covered under either Plan $B$ or Plan $C$. Employees under contracts with Union Independent and CSEA unions hired prior to October I, 1982 had until October I, 1984 to make such election.

Employees first joining the retirement system on or after January 1, 1984 plus employees hired between July 1, 1982 and January 1, 1984 who elected Tier II.

Age 47 and 20 years of service.

Age 55 and 25 years of service, age 60 and 10 years of service, or age 70 .

Age 65 and 10 years of service or age 70 and 5 years of service, except hazardous duty members may retire after 25 years.

State Police [Sec. 5-173 as amended by Sec. 171

Tier I, Plan B
Benefit [Sec. 5-162]
Minimum [Sec. 10]

Tier I, Plan C
Benefit [Sec. 5-162]
Minimum [Sec. 10]

Tier II
Hazardous Duty Members
[Sec. 30]
$50 \%$ of Final Average Earnings plus 2\%
for each year in excess of 20 .

Same as Plan $C$ up to age 65; thereafter
$1 \%$ of Final Average Earnings up to $\$ 4,800$ pius $2 \%$ of Final Average Earnings in excess of $\$ 4,800$ times years, reduced for retirement prior to age 65 with less than 25 years. Minimum benefit with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month.

2\% of Final Average Earnings times years of service, reduced for retirement prior to age 65 with less than 25 years. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month.

2\% of Final Average Earnings times years of service. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement before 7-1-87).

1 I/3\% of Final Average Earnings plus $\frac{1}{2} \%$ of Final Average Earnings in excess of the year's breakpoint*, times years of service from 10-1-82. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement before 7-1-87).

[^0]State Polic

Tier I
[Sec. 5-162]

Tier II [Sec. 29]

None.

Age 55 and 10 years of service; Normal Retirement Benefit reduced additionally for retirement prior to age 60 .

Age 55 and 10 years of service; Normal Retirement Benefit reduced $\frac{1}{2} \%$ for each month prior to age 65; Minimum benefit with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement prior to 7-1-87).

May be deferred but not beyond age 70 .

May be deferred but not beyond age 70 .

Benefit is based on salary and service to actual retirement.

Ten years of service; actuarially reduced benefit payable at age 55; in addition employees are always fully vested in their own contributions (after 1-1-83, Tier $I$, contributions with $5 \%$ interest from 1-1-82).

Tier I, Hazardous Duty
[Sec. 17]

Tier II [Sec. 3l]

Employee Contributions

State Police; Hazardous Duty
[Sec. 5-161]

Plan C [Sec. 5-161]

Plan B [Sec. 5-161]

Tier II [Sec. 37]

## Cost of Living

[Sec. $5-162 b, 5-162 d$,
Sec. 9 \& Sec. 35]

As above, but may receive full benefits at age 47 if 20 years of service at termination.

Ten years of service or age 70 and 5 years; benefit payable at age 65 or early retirement benefit payable at age 55; minimum benefit with 25 years, payable after age 55, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement before 7-1-87).
$5 \%$ of earnings.
$5 \%$ of earnings.
$2 \%$ of earnings up to Social Security Taxable Wage Base plus $5 \%$ above that level.

None.

Annual adjustment each July 1 of up to $5 \%$ for retirements prior to $7-1-80$; $3 \%$ for retirements after 7-1-80. For members (or beneficiaries) not covered by Social Security and age 62 and over, the maximum increase is $6 \%$. If an actuarial surplus (as defined in the law) exists, the comission may further increase retired benefits.

```
State Police
    [Sec. 5-146]
Tier I
    [Sec. 5-165a as amended
    by Sec. 12]
```

    [STec. 5-168 as amended
    by Sec. 13]
    Tier II [Sec. 34]
[Sec. 36]

Survivor benefits to spouse of $\$ 550$ per month plus $\$ 250$ to a surviving dependent child (or $\$ 575$ to surviving dependent children).

If eligible for early or normal retirement, spouse benefit equal to $50 \%$ of average of Life Benefit and $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ benefit member would have received. If not eligible to retire but 25 years, same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.

If not eligible for retirement, return of contributions (after 10-1-82, Tier I, with interest from 1-1-82 at 5\%).

If eligible for early or normal
retirement, spouse benefit equal to $50 \%$ of member's benefit under a $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{~S}$. If not eligible to retire but 25 years, same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.

If death is due to employment, a spouse with dependent child(ren) under 18 will be paid $\$ 7,500$ in not less than 60 installments while living and not remarried; also $\$ 20$ per month per child under 18. If no children under 18 , spouse [or dependent parent(s), if no spouse] will be paid $\$ 4,000$ in not less than 60 installments.

Tier I [Sec. 16]

Tier II [Sec. 32]

service, benefit equals $3 \%$ times base
salary times years of service (Maximum
$12 / 3 \%$ times service to 65). If
disability occurs prior to age 60 and
is due to service, benefit equals
$12 / 3 \%$ of salary times service
projected to 65 (maximum 30 years) and
is payable regardless of length of
service. Exception: State Police
benefit is accrued benefit.
Maximum disability benefit is lesser
of: $100 \%$ of salary less Workers
Compensation and Social Security and
less non-rehabilitation earnings or $80 \%$
of salary less Workers Compensation and
Social Security. Social Security is
primary plus family.
Prior to age 65 and due to service or
after 10 years of service, benefit is
$1 / 3 \%$ of final average earnings, plus
$\frac{1}{2} \%$ of excess earnings times service
projected to 65 (maximum 30 years or
service to Date of Disability if
greater than 30 years). Same maximum
as Tier I.

Options

```
Tier I [Sec. 11]
Tier II [Sec. 33]
```

$50 \%$ Oualified Joint and Survivor (CA) ${ }^{1}$.
$50 \%$ or $100 \%$ Joint and Survivor (CA).
Ten years certain and life.
Twenty years certain and life.
Life ${ }^{2}$.

I Normal Form if married at least 12 months.
2 Normal Form if not married at least 12 months.

## Part-Time Employment

[Sec. 5-162g \& Sec. 27]
Service treated as if full-time for
eligibility. If consistent part-time
for all periods, treat as full-time.
If varying schedule or some part-time,
some full-time, service and salary
proportionately adjusted.

EXHIBIT A

CERTIEICATION
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WENDELL MILLIMAN, F.S.A \{1976\}
203/243-1138
CHAIRMAN EMERITUS

October 25, 1988
CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
Actuarial Valuation

This is to certify that we have prepared an actuarial valuation of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System as of June 30, 1988.

The valuation was made with respect to the following Members:
a. 20,265 pensioners (including 845 beneficiaries of deceased Members).
b. 55,568 active Members with total annual payroll as of July 1,1988 of $\$ 1,582,974,210$.
c. 638 inactive employees with vested rights.

Based on the above data and the attached actuarial method and assumptions, we determined the following assets and liabilities as of the valuation date:

1. Accrued liability:
a. Active Members $\$ 3,350,840,990$
b. Retired Members and Beneficiaries 1,867,349,142
c. Vested former Members 10,265,675
d. Total $\$ 5,228,455,807$
2. Assets in fund (at adjusted cost value) $\$ 2,189,510,979$
3. Unfunded liability (Item 1d less Item 2) $\$ 3,038,944,828$
4. Present Value of Vested Benefits $\$ 3,278,778,345$

Based on the valuation as of June 30,1988 , projected costs were developed for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1989, as follows:

1. Employer Normal Cost

| Tier I | $\$ 93,139,910$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Tier II | $44,391,631$ <br> Total |

```
2. Payment on Unfunded Liability*
$258,836,930
3. Total Employer Cost
$396,368,471
```

* Based on amortization of the Unfunded Accrued Liability to be completed by June 30, 2026.

MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.


Wames A. Gobes, F.S.A. Consulting Actuary


| Retirement Ages | Hazardous Duty: | Age | First Year Eligible | Thereafter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 47-50 | - $50 \%$ | 10\% |
|  |  | 51 | 48 | 10 |
|  |  | 52 | 46 | 10 |
|  | . | 53 | 44 | 10 |
|  |  | 54 | 42 | 10 |
|  |  | 55 | 40 | 10 |
|  |  | 56 | 38 | 10 |
|  |  | 57 | 36 | 10 |
|  |  | 58 | 34 | 10 |
|  |  | 59 | 30 | 10 |
|  |  | 60 | 50 | 30 |
|  |  | 61 | 50 | 30 |
|  |  | 62-70 | 100 | 100 |
|  | All Others: | 55-60 | 20 | 5 |
|  |  | 61 | 40 | 5 |
|  |  | 62 | 40 | 30 |
|  |  | 63 | 40 | 30 |
|  |  | 64 | 60 | 30 |
|  |  | 65 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 66 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 67 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 68 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | $69$ | $80$ | 60 |
|  |  | 70 | 100 | 100 |
| Disability |  |  |  | Annual Rate of Disability |
|  |  | Age |  | (Per 1000) |
|  |  | 20 |  | 0.75 |
|  |  | 25 |  | 0.85 |
|  |  | 30 |  | 0.97 |
|  |  | 35 |  | 1.21 |
|  |  | 40 |  | 1.70 |
|  |  | 45 |  | 2.79 |
|  |  | 50 |  | 5.09 |
|  |  | 55 |  | 9.25 |
|  |  | 60 |  | 14.42 |
|  |  | Service connected are assumed to comprise $50 \%$ of total Disability for Hazardous Duty and $20 \%$ for others. |  |  |


| Social Security Wage Base Increases | $3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ compounded annually. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cost of Living Increases | $3 \%$ per year for retirees on or after 1-1-80. $4 \frac{1}{2} \%$ per year for retirees prior to 1-1-80. |
| Asset Valuation | Adjusted market value: assets are written up (or down) by spreading recognition of gains and losses over five years, plus an additional writeup (or write-down) as necessary so that the final adjusted value is within $20 \%$ of market value. |

CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## EXHIBIT B

ANALYSIS BY AGE AND SERVICE
-MILLIMAN \& RQBERTSEN, INC.

## ACTIVE MEMBERS *

FARS OF SERVICE
TO 35 35 то 4040 T0 4545 To 50 50 \& UP ALL YEARS

| UNDER 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 TO 24 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 251029 | 54 | 129 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| 301034 | 79 | 277 | 126 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 488 |
| 351039 | 45 | 142 | 239 | 133 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563 |
| 40 10 44. | 39 | 82 | 94 | 224 | 115 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 558 |
| 451049 | 22 | 65 | 42 | 102 | 138 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| 501054 | 16 | 39 | 27 | 40 | 55 | 38 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 |
| 55 T0 59 | 9 | 20 | 22. | 17 | 38 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 |
| 60 10 64 | 2 | 11 | 12. | 11 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 66 |
| 65 \& UP | 1 | 2 | 4: | 6 | 2 | 1. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| TOTAL | 270 | 768 | 569 | 539 | 366 | 139 | 40 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2,699 |

## AVERAGE SALARIES *



| UNDER |  | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | IO | 24 |
| 25 | TO | 29 |
| 30 | 10 | 34 |
| 35 | TO | 39 |
| 40 | TO | 44 |
| 45 | TO | 49 |
| 50 | 10 | 54 |
| 55 | 10 | 59 |
| 60 | 10 | 64 |
| 65 | 8 | UP |

total.

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24,775 | 28,273 | 0 |
| 32,598 | 34,150 | 35,203 |
| 32,472 | 35,507 | 37,823 |
| 36,058 | 36,296 | 38,886 |
| 34,941 | 34,243 | 38,440 |
| 34,493 | 35,732 | 37,810 |
| 34,640 | 37,535 | 37,131 |
| 35,673 | 37,735 | 36,160 |
| 44,943 | 37,448 | 37,995 |
| 49,626 | 38,790 | 35,170 |
| 33,922 | 35,497 | 38,244 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41,369 | 0 | 0 |
| 40,992 | 38,955 | 0 |
| 42,002 | 42,847 | 50,377 |
| 41,349 | 44,603 | 45,507 |
| 43,088 | 40,352 | 47,632 |
| 36,007 | 40,608 | 41,726 |
| 35,664 | 39,451 | 36,872 |
| 43,430 | 35,322 | 40,378 |
| 41,400 | 42,688 | 45,445 |

0
0
0
0
0
0
44,789
49,645
50,906
50,529
46,613
50,233
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
53,303
43,570
57,497
49,135
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
40,378
40,378

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 25,650 |
| 0 | 0 | 33,716 |
| 0 | 0 | 35,686 |
| 0 | 0 | 38,505 |
| 0 | 0 | 40,002 |
| 0 | 0 | 41,573 |
| 0 | 0 | 41,237 |
| 0 | 0 | 40,409 |
| 32,072 | 0 | 39,443 |
| 0 | 0 | 41,262 |
| 32,072 | 0 | 38,834 |

[^1]$\qquad$ ．： $\qquad$
$\qquad$

## ANALYSIS BY AGE AND SERVICE－HAZARDOUS DUTY－TIER II

－

## ACTIVE MEMBERS

EARS OF SERVICE
10 35 35 TO 4040 10 4545 TO 50 50 \＆UP ALL YEARS

| AGE | UNDER 5 | 5 T0 10 | $10 \text { T0 } 15$ | $15 \text { T0 } 20$ | $20 \quad 1025$ | 25 ro 30 | 301035 | 351040 | O 401045 | 545 T0 50 | 50 \＆UP | P ALL YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDER 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 201024 | 298 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299 |
| 25 10 29 | 589 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 613 |
| $30 \quad 1034$ | 333 $\ldots$ | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| 351039 | 190 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 |
| 401044 | 135 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| 451049 | 53 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 50 TO 54 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 55 T0 59 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 601064 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 65 \＆UP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1,661 | 62 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，729 |


|  | AVERAGE SALARIES＊ ＝ニニニニニ＝ニニニニ＝ニニ＝ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UNDER 5 | 51010 | 10 TO 15 | 15 T0 20 | 20 T0 25 | 251030 | 301035 | 35 10 40 | 40 to 45 | 45 10 50 | $50 \& \cup P$ | ALL Years |
| UNDER 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18，381 |
| 20 тo 24 | 23，406 | 21，335 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23，399 |
| 251029 | 27，778 | 31，277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27，915 |
| 301034 | 28，138 | 30，104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28，233 |
| 35 10 39 | 27，570 | 33，688 | 0 | 28，765 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27，732 |
| 40 T0 44 | 28，408 | 33，905 | 0 | 44，529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28，828 |
| 45 10 49 | 27，039 | 25，549 | 41，069 | 33，707 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27，232 |
| 50 TO 54 | 27，556 | 32，813 | － 0 | － 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27，874 |
| 55 T0 59 | 26，946 | 36，041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27，338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27，610 |
| 601064 | 24，204 | － 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24，204 |
| 65 \＆UP | － 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 27，024 | 30，850 | 41，069 | 37，883 | 0 | 27，338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27．194 |

[^2]ACTIVE MEMBERS＊
＝ニ＝ニニニニニニニニニ＝＝
YEARS OF SERVICE


## AVERAGE SALARIES＊



| UNDER |  | 20 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 10 | 24 |
| 25 | TO | 29 |
| 30 | TO | 34 |
| 35 | 10 | 39 |
| 40 | 10 | 44 |
| 45 | 10 | 49 |
| 50 | TO | 54 |
| 55 | 10 | 59 |
| 60 | 10 | 64 |
| 65 | 8 | UP |

TOTAL

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21,377 | 21,614 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24,140 | 24,544 | 23,872 | 0 | 0 |
| 27,077 | 27,436 | 29,212 | 30,580 | 0 |
| 28,940 | 30,173 | 33,452 | 33,849 | 34,656 |
| 29,782 | 30,720 | 35,633 | 38,541 | 36,725 |
| 29,320 | 29,828 | 32,962 | 39,370 | 41,145 |
| 31,321 | 30,834 | 32,195 | 38,759 | 41,123 |
| 28,442 | 29,106 | 32,114 | 36,091 | 40,146 |
| 29,012 | 28,365 | 33,184 | 35,022 | 38,439 |
| 34,504 | 29,338 | 31,779 | 34,625 | 38,721 |
| 27,845 | 28,620 | 32,624 | 37,105 | 39,493 |

0
0
0
0
0
38,254
38,639
42,755
42,591
43,295
40,722
41,478

| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 39,697 | 0 |
| 41,477 | 0 |
| 44,703 | 43,069 |
| 46,300 | 46,450 |
| 42,673 | 53,825 |
|  |  |
| 43,312 | 43,705 |


| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 47,882 | 0 |
| 44,672 | 52,908 |

21,513
24,425
28,072
32,193
35,009
35,733
36,968
36,302
36,466
36,530
33,640
＊Based on Members and Salaries Input to Valuation．


* Based on Members and Salaries Input to Valuation.


## ANALYSIS BY AGE AND SERVICE－TIER II－ALL OTHERS



## ACTIVE MEMBERS

＝：ニ＝ニニ＝ニ＝＝ニッ＝ニ
YEARS OF SERVICE


## AVERAGE SALARIES＊



| UNDER 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11，194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 T0 24 | 16，806 | 19，881 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16，863 |
| 25 TO 29 | 20，516 | 23，067 | 20，279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20，683 |
| 30 T0 34 | 21，540 | 24，765 | 23，451 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21，815 |
| 351039 | 22，253 | 24，837 | 26，925 | 29．655 | 28，130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22，626 |
| 40 T0 44 | 21，496 | 25，747 | 22，785 | 39，175 | 34，481 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22，308 |
| 451049 | 21，166 | 25，422 | 25，934 | 38，222 | 38，835 | 10，574 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21，890 |
| 501054 | 20，899 | 25，669 | 25，915 | 33，350 | 31，278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21，563 |
| 55 T0 59 | 20，974 | 22，386 | 9，881 | 30，645 | 24，473 | 0 | 0 | 52，248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21，347 |
| 60． 1064 | 21，109 | 25，278 | 0 | 23，952 | 44，988 | 0 | 40，949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21，826 |
| 65 \＆UP | 15，378 | 18，956 | 23，314 | 30，578 | 19，908 | 0 | 5，191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16，737 |
| TOIAL | 20，456 | 24，180 | 24，349 | 34，422 | 31，348 | 10，574 | 23，070 | 52，248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20，875 |

[^3]

## ANALYSIS OF RETIRED MEMBERS

- BY CUREN AGE
NUMBER OF RETIREES $\qquad$ DISABILITY
AGE

| less than 40 |  | 29 | 12 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 to 44 |  | 51 | 17 |  |
| 45 to 49 | 1 | 50 | 47 | 25 |
| 50 to 54 | 1 | 65 | 91 | 143 |
| 55 to 59 | 886 | 75 | 157 | 151 |
| 60 to 64 | 2,486 | 84 | 182 | 184 |
| 65 to 69 | 3,955 | 59 | 177 | 126 |
| 70 to 74 | 3,841 | 58 | 136 | 51 |
| 75 to 79 | 2,588 | 34 | 122 | 25 |
| 80 to 84 | 1,518 | 25 | 82 | 10 |
| 85 to 89 | 728 | 5 | 40 | 2 |
| 90 to 94 | 225 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 95 to 99 |  |  | 538 | 1,071 |



|  | 10,868 | 6,001 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 8,975 | 8,563 |  |
| 21,360 | 10,590 | 9,283 | 20,886 |
| 12,149 | 8,589 | 8,995 | 18,569 |
| 13,712 | 7,752 | 8,157 | 18,350 |
| 10,867 | 7,526 | 6,775 | 17,586 |
| 9,460 | 6,083 | 5,999 | 14,777 |
| 8,292 | 7,021 | 6,636 | 14,222 |
| 7,893 | 7,100 | 6,627 | 11,540 |
| 7,682 | 6,822 | 6,088 | 10,056 |
| 6,509 | 5,803 | 4,939 | 7,193 |
| 4,821 | 2,004 | 4,612 |  |
| 4,926 | 2,268 | 4,246 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9,007 | 7,970 | 6,995 | 16,980 |


[^0]:    * $\$ 10,700$ increased by $6 \%$ each year after 1982 , rounded to the nearest $\$ 100$.

[^1]:    * Based on Members and Salaries Input to Valuation.

[^2]:    ＊Based on Members and Salaries Input to Valuation．

[^3]:    ＊Based on Members and Salaries Input to Valuation：may inctude some Summer Employees．

