June 30, 1987
ACTUARIAL VALUATION

November 16, 1987

State of Connecticut
State Employees Retirement Commission
30 Trinity Street
Hartford, CT 06106
Ladies and Gentlemen:
We are pleased to provide this actuarial report for the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System. The report shows the financial status of the system as of June 30, 1987 and presents cost figures for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1988.

As summarized in Section $I$, the recommended contribution for the tiscal year beginning July l, 1988, including Federal reimbursements, is $\$ 374,314,706$. This represents an increase of $\$ 52.7$ million over $1987-88$ costs. We estimate that $\$ 40$ million of this increase is the result of correcting the service data generated from the State Employee database. In prior years, we were supposedly given the most recent employment date but it appears that we actually were given the employment date for the current agency; thus any transfer's prior service at another agency had been left out in prior years.

The actuarial method and assumptions used in this valuation are described in Section II of the report; the assumptions have been changed since the last valuation, based on an experience study completed this year. The change in assumptions accounted for approximately $\$ 5$ million of the current increase.

The membership data provided to us was as of June 30,1987 and included actual compensation paid during fiscal year 1986-1987 (which has been reconciled to total State figures). Compensation figures were brought up to July 1, 1987 payroll levels by adjusting for increases effective as of that date. This data is summarized in Section III and a detailed analysis of the membership by age and service is attached as Exhibit B. We did not audit this data (nor the financial data referred to below) ; however, they are consistent with comparable data from the previous valuation, except for the service date problem noted above.

Section IV outlines the status of the retirement fund as reported to us by the Retirement Division and describes the adjustment used to spread gains and losses.

The final section of the report outlines our understanding of the benefit provisions embodied in the Connecticut statutes, as amended through 1985.

In our opinion this report fairly presents the financial and actuarial position of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System as of June 30, 1987, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles applied on a basis consistent with the prior valuation, except for the changes in data and in actuarial assumptions noted above. We also certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is both complete and accurate; a copy of our separate certification is attached to the report as Exhibit A.

Respectfully submitted,
 Consulting Actuary
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# CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM 

SECTION I

SUMMARY OF JUNE 30,1987 VALUATION

## A. Highlights

The recommended contribution for Fiscal Year 1988 is \$374,314,706. Compared to the FY 1987 contribution of $\$ 321,597,993$ this is an increase of $\$ 52.7$ million.

An estimated $\$ 40$ million of this increase represents correction of understated service dates provided from the database in prior years. Approximately $\$ 5$ million is the result of a strengthening of actuarial assumptions, based on the experience study completed during the year.

As a percent of earnings, costs increased by approximateīy $0.7 \%$ from $23.45 \%$ to $24.16 \%$.

Barring large gains or losses, the Past Service Payment should remain (approximately) constant over the next few years and become a reducing percentage of pay; the Normal Cost is $8 \%$ of covered earnings and should remain at approximately this percentage.

The market value of assets increased by $\$ 368$ million to a total of $\$ 2.0$ billion. The adjusted market values used for the valuation were reduced by a $\$ 190$ million reserve for market fluctuations; this is sufficient to cover a $20 \%$ downturn from June 30 values.

## SECTION I

## B. Actuarial Balance Sheet

Based on the membership and asset information provided to us (and summarized in Sections III and IV), the actuarial method and assumptions described in Section II, and our understanding of the benefit provisions embodied in Connecticut statutes as summarized in Section VI, we have prepared the following valuation of assets and liabilities as of June 30 :

| ASSETS | June 30, 1986 | June 30, 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirement Fund (Adjusted basis) | \$1,410,707,371 | \$1,812,332,140 |
| Present value of future Normal |  |  |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability | 2,643,312,667 | 2,899,625,697 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$5,365,288,782 | \$6,105,942,663 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Present value of benefits Active Members |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Tier I | \$3,588,294,820 | \$4,073,520,034 |
| Tier II | 163,133,861 | 345,442,987 |
| Retired Members | 1,603,731,234 | 1,678,583,438 |
| Terminated Vested Members | 10,128,867 | 8,396,204 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$5,365,288,782 | \$6,105,942,663 |

## SECTION I

## C. Projection of Normal Costs

The valuation calculated Normal Costs as of July l, 1987 based on earnings as of that date, including adjustments for negotiated settlements and for July 1 increases. Earnings were projected to July 1, 1988 by assuming that $6 \%$ of the Tier $I$ employees will terminate during the twelve month period and be replaced by Tier II employees at $75 \%$ of the terminated employee's earnings level; remaining Tier $I$ employees and all Tier II employees (including replacements of Tier II terminations) are assumed to receive increases as of July 1,1988 equal to $10 \%$. This produced the following projected Normal Costs:

| Tier | Plan |  | Estimated arnings $7 / 1 / 87$ | Normal Cost Percentage | Projected Earnings$7 / 1 / 88$ |  |  | Normal Cost 7/1/88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | HD | \$ | 106,208, 134 | 15.7203\% | \$ | 109,819,852 |  | \$ 17,264,010 |
| I | B |  | 878,469,924 | 7.4132 |  | 908,337,902 |  | 67,336,905 |
| I | C |  | 109,721,659 | 7.3487 |  | 113,452,196 |  | 8,337,262 |
| Total | Tier I |  | 094,400,337 |  | \$1,131,609,950 |  |  | 92,938,177 |
| II | HD | \$ | 29,683,116 | 15.0206\% | \$ | 37,430,822 |  | \$ 5,622,334 |
|  | Other |  | 305,001,949 | 6.9895 |  | 379,970,765 |  | 26,558,057 |
| Total | Tier II | \$ | 334,685,065 |  | \$ | 417,401,587 |  | \$ 32,180,391 |
| Grand | Total |  | , 429,085,402 |  | \$1,549,011,537 |  |  | \$125,118,568 |

## 1988 Normal Cost (as percent of Earnings)

| Tier I | $8.21 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tier II | $7.71 \%$ |
| Total | $8.08 \%$ |

Total

## SECTION I

## D．Projection of Total Cost

The Unfunded Liability as of the valuation date（the beginning of the fiscal year）was brought forward to the end of the fiscal year by adding interest and the fiscal year Normal Cost，with interest，and subtracting expected contribu－ tions，also with interest：
Development of Unfunded
Unfunded Accrued
Liability， $7-1$
＋Interest
＋Normal Cost
＋Interest
－State Payments
－Federal Payments
－Employee Contributions
－Interest
Unfunded Accrued
Liability， $6-30$
Amortization Period

| Fiscal Year 1986－87 | Fiscal Year 1987－88 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \＄2，643，312，667 | \＄2，899，625，697 |
| 198，248，450 | 246，468，184 |
| 114，299，990 | 120，804，026 |
| 3，877，741 | $4,637,063$ |
| 280，091，209 | 278，643，557 |
| 38，725，240 | 42，954，436 |
| 26，051，098 | 29，348，817 |
| 11，699，976 | 13，471，093 |
| \＄2，603，171，325 | \＄2，907，117，067 |
| 39 years | 38 years |

末夫夫

## Summary of Costs

| Normal Cost | \＄ | 120，804，026 | \＄ | 125，118，568 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amortization of Unfunded |  | 200，793，967 |  | 249，196，138 |
| Total Cost | \＄ | 321，597，993 | \＄ | 374，314，706 |
| Total Cost（as a percent of payroll） |  | 23．45\％ |  | 24．16\％ |

# CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM 

SECTION II

ACTUARIAL METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS

## A. Funding Method

1. The actuarial valuation method used in the cost calculations is the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. Recommended annual contributions until the accrued liability is completely funded will consist of two pieces: Normal Cost plus a payment towards the Unfunded Accrued Liability.
2. The Normal Cost is determined by calculating the present value of future benefits for present active Members that will become payable as the result of death, disability, retirement or termination. This cost is then spread as a level percentage of earnings from entry age to termination as an Active Member.
3. If Normal Costs had been paid at this level for all prior years, a fund would have been accumulated. Because this fund represents the portion of benefits that would have been funded to date, it is termed the Accrued Liability. In fact, it is calculated by adding the present value of benefits for retired Members and Terminated Vested Members to the present value of benefits for active Members and subtracting the present value of future contributions.
4. The Unfunded Accrued Liability is the Accrued Liability less Current Assets.
5. Since the valuation was done as of June 30,1987 , costs have been projected to July 1,1988 in order to correspond to the fiscal year as follows:

## SECTION II <br> A. Funding Method (cont'd)

A. Normal costs were determined as a percentage of earnings, by Tier, as of July 1, 1987. Earnings were projected to July 1, 1988, separately for each Tier because of the shifting Tier populations, and the projected earnings were then multiplied by the normal cost factors, by Tier.
B. The Unfunded Accrued Liability was determined as of June 30, 1987, and brought forward to June 30,1988 by adding the Normal Cost plus interest and subtracting expected employee, State, and Federal contributions, also with interest. This amount was amortized over the 38 years remaining in the original 40 year amortization period.
C. Normal Cost and Past Service Amortization payments were adjusted to reflect payment in twelve equal installments at the end of each month.

## SECTION II

B. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

| Prior |  |  | Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1971 } \\ & \text { talit } \\ & \text { set } \\ & \text { males } \end{aligned}$ | Annuity More with ages years for fe- | 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table with ages set back 4 years for females. |
| Investment |  |  |  |
| Salary Scale | Age | Annual Rate of Increase | Annual Rate of Increase |
|  | 20 | 6.34\% | 10.2\% |
|  | 25 | 6.26 | 9.2 |
|  | 30 | 6.10 | 8.1 |
|  | 35 | 5.90 | 7.1 |
|  | 40 | 5.58 | 6.5 |
|  | 45 | 5.22 | 6.0 |
|  | 50 | 4.95 | 6.0 |
|  | 55 | 4.83 | 6.0 |
|  | 60 | 4.66 | 6.0 |
| Disability: | Age | Annual Rate of Disability (Per 1000 Lives) | Annual Rate of Disability (Per 1000 Lives) |
|  | 20 | 0.60 | 0.75 |
|  | 25 | 0.90 | 0.85 |
|  | 30 | 1.10 | 0.97 |
|  | 35 | 1.50 | 1.21 |
|  | 40 | 2.20 | 1.70 |
|  | 45 | 3.60 | 2.79 |
|  | 50 | 6.10 | 5.09 |
|  | 55 | 10.10 | 9.25 |
|  | 60 | 13.80 | 14.42 |
| ```Service connected are assumed to comprise 50% of total Disability for Hazardous Duty and 20% for others.``` |  |  |  |

B. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (cont'd)

Prior
$3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ compounded annually.

Social Security Wage Base
Increases:

Current

Same

Retirement Ages: Hazardous Duty:

| Tier I: | Age 53 and 25 years of service. | First Year <br> Age Eligible Therea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age |  |  |
|  |  | 47-50 | 50\% | 10\% |
|  |  | 51 | 48 | 10 |
| Tier II: | 25 years of | 52 | 46 | 10 |
|  | service. | 53 | 44 | 10 |
|  |  | 54 | 42 | 10 |
|  |  | 55 | 40 | 10 |
|  |  | 56 | 38 | 10 |
|  |  | 57 | 36 | 10 |
|  |  | 58 | 34 | 10 |
|  |  | 59 | 30 | 10 |
|  |  | 60 | 50 | 30 |
|  |  | 61 | 50 | 30 |
|  |  | 62-70 | 100 | 100 |
| All Others: |  |  |  |  |
| Tier I: | Age 61 and 10 |  |  |  |
|  | years of ser- |  |  |  |
|  | vice. | 55-60 | 20 | 5 |
|  |  | 61 | 40 | 5 |
| Tier II: | Age 65 and 10 | 62 | 40 | 30 |
|  | years of ser- | 63 | 40 | 30 |
|  | vice or age 70 | 64 | 60 | 30 |
|  | and 5 years of | 65 | 80 | 60 |
|  | service. | 66 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 67 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 68 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 69 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 70 | 100 | 100 |

## B. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (cont'd)

## Prior

Turnover:

Estimated by excluding
participants with less
than one year of ser-
vice and assuming that
all other employees
terminate at the follow-
ing rates:

Current

Five year select and ultimate rates as shown in the Turnover Table below for non-hazardous duty males; the same table with rates increased $10 \%$ for females; the same table with rates reduced $50 \%$ for hazardousduty males.

Annual Rate
Age
of Withdrawal
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
5.44\%
5.29
5.07
4.70
4.19
3.54
2.47
.94
60

Turnover Table
Years of Participation

| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 \& Over |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $50 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 25 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 10 |
| 30 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 8 |
| 35 | 35 | 28 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 6 |
| 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| 45 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 50 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 55 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

-9-
B. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (cont'd)

|  | Prior | Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of Living Increases: | $3 \%$ per year for retirees on or after l-1-80. $4 \frac{1}{2} \%$ per year for retirees prior to $1-1-80$. | Same |
| Asset Valuation: | Adjusted cost value: assets are written up (or down) by $20 \%$ of the difference between market value and adjusted cost value, plus an additional write-up (or write-down) as necessary so that the resulting adjusted cost value is within $20 \%$ of market value. | Adjusted market value: assets are written up (or down) by spreading recognition of gains and losses over five years, plus an additional write-up (or write-down) as necessary so that the final adjusted value is within $20 \%$ of market value. |

## MEMBERSHIP DATA

A. Active Members

We received data on a total of 52,984 members, including employees of State Aided Institutions. The following analysis compares this data with the July 1, 1986 data (see Exhibit $B$ for distribution by age and service).

|  | $\underline{7-1-86}$ | $\underline{7-1-87}$ |  | Change | Per Cent <br> Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Employees | 49,204 | 52,984 | $+3,780$ | $+7.7 \%$ |  |
| Total Earnings (000's) | $\$ 1,268.0$ | $\$ 1,429.1$ | $+\$ 161.1$ | $+12.7 \%$ |  |
| Average Earnings | $\$ 25,769$ | $\$ 26,972$ | $+1,203$ | $+4.7 \%$ |  |

Of the active records submitted to 1 , 904 were rejected due to missing or invalid dates of birth and/or hire. Cost calculations were done using the valid records and then adjusted proportionately for the rejected records.

Earnings figures as of July 1 are actual amounts paid during the previous July 1 to June 30 period, adjusted for negotiated increases and merit adjustments effective through and including July 1 ; new entrant earnings are annualized.

## SECTION III

B. Actives By Plan

|  | 7-1-86 | 7-1-87 | Change | Per Cent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Members |  |  |  |  |
| Hazardous Duty | 3,695 | 4,126 | + 431 | + 11.7\% |
| Plan B | 30,024 | 28,468 | -1,556 | - 5.2 |
| Plan C | 4,599 | 4,083 | - 516 | - 11.2 |
| Tier II | 10,886 | 16,307 | +5,421 | + 49.8 |
|  | 49308 | 58.88 | 2.88 |  |

Total Annual Compensation (millions)

Hazardous Duty
Plan B
Plan C
Tier II
\$ 118.1
882.4
118.9
148.6

Average Compensation

| Hazardous Duty | $\$ 31,948$ | $\$ 32,938$ | $+\$ 990$ | $+3.1 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plan B | 29,390 | 30,858 | $+1,468$ | +5.0 |  |
| Plan C | 25,846 | 26,873 | $+1,027$ | + | 4.0 |
| Tier II | 13,655 | 18,703 | NA | NA |  |

Plan B
29,390
30,858
$+\$ 17.8+15.1 \%$
$-\quad 3.9$

- 0.4
878.5
109.7
305.0
- 9.2
- 7.7

NA
NA

Tier II
13,655
18,703
NA
NA

Hazardous Duty Members include 1,209 Tier II Members, compared to 789 last year. Because of their higher average age, Plan C continues to decrease more rapidly than Plan B. Tier II increases in compensation and average compensation have not been calculated because 7-1-87 figures have been annualized for new entrants.

This analysis of age and service excludes the 904 records with incorrect birth dates or hire dates.

7-1-86 $\quad \underline{7-1-87} \quad$ Change
Average Age

| Hazardous Duty | 38.6 | 37.7 | -0.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plan B | 43.3 | 44.0 | +0.7 |
| Plan C | 53.7 | 54.0 | +0.3 |
| Tier II | 34.3 | 34.6 | +0.3 |

Average Years of Service

| Hazardous Duty | 9.4 | 9.0 | -0.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plan B | 10.5 | 12.7 | +2.2 |
| Plan C | 12.3 | 14.3 | +2.0 |
| Tier II | 1.6 | 1.9 | +0.3 |

Tier I ages go up less than one year because of retirements. Tier I service includes purchased service for both years.

The increase in average service for $P 1 a n$ and $C$ Members is a direct reflection of the improved reporting of employment dates.

SECTION III

## C. Retired and Terminated Vested Members

The following compares the current retired data with the June 30 , 1986 data. [See Exhibit $C$ for analysis of retirees by age, year of retirement and type of retirement].

Pensioners

| Number | 18,108 | 18,761 | +653 | $+3.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Annual Benefit* | $\$ 143,327$ | $\$ 158,494$ | $+\$ 15,167$ | +10.6 |
| Average Monthly Benefit | $\$ ~ 660$ | $\$$ | 704 | $+\$ 44$ |$+6.7$

Beneficiaries

| Number |  | 672 |  | 749 | +77 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Total Annual Benefit* | $\$ 4,514$ | $\$ 5,259$ | $+11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Average Monthly Benefit | $\$ \quad 560$ | $\$$ | 585 | $+\$ 745$ | +16.5 |
|  | $\$$ |  |  |  |  |

Terminated Vested

Number
500
554
$+\quad 54$
$+10.8 \%$

* (000 omitted)
A. Summary of Fund

Market Value, July l, 1986
\$1,638,749,985

Contributions
\$275,816,449
42,954,436
$27,782,636$
\$ 346,553,521

Investment Income

Interest and Dividends
Realized Gains
\$124,970,175
2,414,092
Change in Unrealized Gains
$\underline{52,019,533}$
\$ 179,403,800

Disbursements

Benefit payments
Employee refunds
Expenses (Net)
\$154, 859, 865
2,802,609
120,269

Market Value, June 30, 1987
\$ 157,782,743
$\$ 2,006,924,563$

SECTION IV
B. June 30, 1987 Assets

The following is the Market Value of the State Employees Retirement Fund assets as reported to us by the Retirement Division:

| Cash |  | \$ | 12,906.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accrued Interest |  | \$ | 9,515,382.36 |
| Investments |  |  |  |
| Short Term | \$129,359,208.00 |  |  |
| Fixed Income | 692,204,159.44 |  |  |
| Equity | 909,314,486.75 |  |  |
| Contract | 8,641,935.45 |  |  |
| Mortgage | 11,464,397.75 |  |  |
| Real Estate | 246,412,086.87 |  |  |

\$1,997,396,274.26

Total Market Value of Assets June 30, 1987
\$2,006,924,562.75

## SECTION IV

C. Adjusted Market Value

| Year Ending | Realized <br> Gains/Losses | Change in <br> Unrealized <br> Gains/Losses | Gains/Losses |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $6-30-87$ | $10,414,092$ | $\$ 52,019,533$ | $\$ 54,433,625$ |
| $6-30-85$ | $2,560,935$ | $177,973,874$ | $188,563,506$ |
| $6-30-84$ | $2,357,847$ | $99,126,081$ | $101,687,016$ |
| $6-30-83$ | 83,440 | $(16,194,782)$ | $(13,836,935)$ |

The derivation of the 1987 Adjusted Market Value is shown below.

1. Market Value of Assets 6-30-87:
\$2,006,924,563
2. 5-Year Gains and Losses Not Yet Recognized:
$80 \%$ of FY $87 \quad 43,546,900$
$60 \%$ of FY 86 113,138,104
$40 \%$ of FY $85 \quad 40,674,806$
$20 \%$ of FY $84 \quad(2,767,387)$

3 . $20 \%$ of (1)
\$ 194,592,423
\$ 401,384,913
4. Adjusted Market Value of Assets 6-30-87:
\$1,812,332,140 (1) - (2), within (1) $\pm$ (3)

Effective Date
System Eligibility
Tier I
[Sec. 5-160]

Tier II [Sec. 23]

September 1, 1939

Each state employee appointed to classified service shall become a member on the first day of the pay period following permanent appointment.

Each officer elected by the people and each appointee of such officer exempt from classified service may elect to become a member, effective on the first day of the pay period following receipt of such election.

Each other state employee exempt from classified service shall become a member on the first day of the pay period following six months of employment.

Each state employee becomes a member on the first day of employment.

## Plan Eligibility

Tier I [Sec. 6]

Tier II [Sec. 23]

Normal Retirement Date

State Police [Sec. 5-173 as amended by Sec. 17]

Tier $I$
[Sec. 5-162]

Tier II [Sec. 28 \& 30]

Except as noted below employees hired prior to January 1, 1984 could elect (no later than January 2, 1984) to be covered under either Plan B or Plan C. Employees under contracts with Union Independent and CSEA unions hired prior to October 1, 1982 had until October 1, 1984 to make such election.

Employees first joining the retirement system on or after January 1, 1984 plus employees hired between July 1, 1982 and January 1, 1984 who elected Tier II.

Age 47 and 20 years of service.

Age 55 and 25 years of service, age 60 and 10 years of service, or age 70 .

Age 65 and 10 years of service or age 70 and 5 years of service, except hazardous duty members may retire after 25 years.

## Normal Retirement Benefit

State Police [Sec. 5-173 as
amended by Sec. 17]

Tier I, Plan B
Benefit [Sec. 5-162]
Minimum [Sec. 10]

Tier I, Plan C
Benefit [Sec. 5-162]
Minimum [Sec. 10]

Tier II
Hazardous Duty Members
[Sec. 30]

50\% of Final Average Earnings pluc $2 \%$ for each year in excess of 20 .

Same as Plan C up to age 65; thereafter $1 \%$ of Final Average Earnings up to $\$ 4,800$ plus $2 \%$ of Final Average Earnings in excess of $\$ 4,800$ times years, reduced for retirement prior to age 65 with less than 25 years.

Minimum benefit with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month.
$2 \%$ of Final Average Earnings times years of service, reduced for retirement prior to age 65 with less than 25 years. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month.

2\% of Final Average Earnings times years of service. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement before 7-1-87).
$11 / 3 \%$ of Final Average Earnings plus $\frac{1}{2} \%$ of Final Average Earnings in excess of the year's breakpoint*, times years of service from 10-1-82. Minimum with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month ( or less if retirement before 7-1-87).

[^0]State Police

Tier I
[Sec. 5-162]

Tier II [Sec. 29]

Deferred Retirement

Tier I
[Sec. 5-162]

Tier II [Sec. 28 (b) and (e)]

## Vesting

Tier I
[Sec. 5-166 as amended in part by Sec. 13]

May be deferred but not beyond age 70 . May be deferred but not beyond age 70 .

Benefit is based on salary and service to actual retirement.
None.

Age 55 and 10 years of service; Normal Retirement Benefit reduced additionally for retirement prior to age 60.

Age 55 and 10 years of service; Normal Retirement Benefit reduced $\frac{1}{2} \%$ for each month prior to age 65; Minimum benefit with 25 years, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement prior to 7-1-87).

Ten years of service; actuarially reduced benefit payable at age 55; in addition employees are always fully vested in their own contributions (after 1-1-83, Tier I, contributions with $5 \%$ interest from 1-1-82).

Tier I, Hazardous Duty
[Sec. 17]

Tier II [Sec. 31]

Employee Contributions

State Police, Hazardous Duty
[Sec. 5-161]

Plan C [Sec. 5-161〕

Plan B [Sec. 5-161]

Tier II [Sec. 37]

## Cost of Living

[Sec. 5-162b, 5-162d,
Sec. $9 \&$ Sec. 35]

As above, but may receive full benefits at age 47 if 20 years of service at termination.

Ten years of service or age 70 and 5 years; benefit payable at age 65 or early retirement benefit payable at age 55; minimum benefit with 25 years, payable after age 55, $\$ 300$ per month (or less if retirement before 7-1-87).
$5 \%$ of earnings.
$5 \%$ of earnings.
$2 \%$ of earnings up to Social Security Taxable Wage Base plus $5 \%$ above that level.

None.

Annual adjustment each July 1 of up to $5 \%$ for retirements prior to 7-1-80; 3\% for retirements after 7-1-80. For members (or beneficiaries) not covered by Social Security and age 62 and over, the maximum increase is $6 \%$. If an actuarial surplus (as defined in the law) exists, the commission may further increase retired benefits.

State Police

[Sec. 5-146]

## Tier I

[Sec. 5-165a as amended by Sec. 12]
[Sec. 5-168 as amended by Sec. 13]

Tier II [Sec. 34]
[Sec. 36]

Survivor benefits to spouse of $\$ 550$ per month plus $\$ 250$ to a surviving dependent child (or $\$ 575$ to surviving dependent children).

If eligible for early or normal retirement, spouse benefit equal to $50 \%$ of average of Life Benefit and $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{~S}$ benefit member would have received. If not eligible to retire but 25 years, same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.

If not eligible for retirement, return of contributions (after 10-1-82, Tier I, with interest from l-1-82 at 5\%).

If eligible for early or normal retirement, spouse benefit equal to $50 \%$ of member's benefit under a $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{~S}$. If not eligible to retire but 25 years, same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.

If death is due to employment, a spouse with dependent child(ren) under 18 will be paid $\$ 7,500$ in not less than 60 installments while living and not remarried; also $\$ 20$ per month per child under 18. If no children under 18 , spouse [or dependent parent(s), if no spouse] will be paid $\$ 4,000$ in not less than 60 installments.

Tier I [Sec. 16]

Tier II [Sec. 32]

For non-service disabilities occurring prior to age 60 and after 5 years of service, benefit equals $3 \%$ times base salary times years of service (Maximum $12 / 3 \%$ times service to 65). If disability occurs prior to age 60 and is due to service, benefit equals $12 / 3 \%$ of salary times service projected to 65 (maximum 30 years) and is payable regardless of length of service. Exception: State Police benefit is accrued benefit.

Maximum disability benefit is lesser of : $100 \%$ of salary less Workers Compensation and Social Security and less non-rehabilitation earnings or $80 \%$ of salary less Workers Compensation and Social Security. Social Security is primary plus family.

Prior to age 65 and due to service or after 10 years of service, benefit is $1 / 3 \%$ of final average earnings, plus $\frac{1}{2} \%$ of excess earnings times service projected to 65 (maximum 30 years or service to Date of Disability if greater than 30 years). Same maximum as Tier I.

Tier I [Sec. 11]
Tier II [Sec. 33]
$50 \%$ Qualified Joint and Survivor (CA) ${ }^{1}$. $50 \%$ or $100 \%$ Joint and Survivor (CA). Ten years certain and life.

Twenty years certain and life. Life ${ }^{2}$.

1
Normal Form if married at least 12 months.
2 Normal Form if not married at least 12 months.

Part-Time Employment
[Sec. 5-162g \& Sec. 27] Service treated as if full-time for eligibility. If consistent part-time for all periods, treat as full-time. If varying schedule or some part-time, some full-time, service and salary proportionately adjusted.

## EXHIBIT A

CERTIFICATION
THREE CORPORATE PLACE
BLOOMFIELD, CONNECTICUT 06002
November 16, 1987
CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
Actuarial Valuation

This is to certify that we have prepared an actuarial valuation of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System as of June 30, 1987.

The valuation was made with respect to the following Members:
a. 19,510 pensioners (including 749 beneficiaries of deceased Members).
b. 52,984 active Members with total annual payroll as of July l, 1987 of $\$ 1,429,085,402$.
c. 554 inactive employees with vested rights.

Based on the above data and the attached actuarial method and assumptions, we determined the following assets and liabilities as of the valuation date:

1. Accrued Iiability:

| a. Active Members | $\$ 3,024,978,195$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| b. Retired Members and Beneficiaries | $1,678,583,438$ |
| c. Vested former Members | $8,396,204$ |
| d. Total | $\$ 4,711,957,837$ |
| 2. Assets in fund (at adjusted cost value) | $\$ 1,812,332,140$ |
| 3. Unfunded liability (Item ld less Item 2) | $\$ 2,899,625,697$ |
| 4. Present Value of Vested Benefits | $\$ 2,954,047,545$ |

a. Active Members $\$ 3,024,978,195$
. Retired Members and Beneficiaries

$$
8,396,204
$$

d. Total
\$4,711,957,837
2. Assets in fund (at adjusted cost value) $\$ 1,812,332,140$
3. Unfunded liability (Item ld less Item 2) $\$ 2,899,625,697$
4. Present Value of Vested Benefits $\$ 2,954,047,545$

Based on the valuation as of June 30 , 1987, projected costs were developed for the fiscal year beginning July 1,1988 , as follows:

1. Employer Normal Cost

| Tier I | $\$ 92,938,177$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Tier II | $\frac{32,180,391}{}$ |
| Total | $\$ 125,118,568$ |

2. Payment on Unfunded Liability* $\$ 249,196,138$
3. Total Employer Cost \$374,314,706

* Based on amortization of the Unfunded Accrued Liability to be completed by June 30, 2026.

MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

Roman Lobar
Sames A. Gobs, F.S.A.
Consulting Actuary

| Funding Method |  | Entry Age Normal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality |  | 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table with ages set back 4 years for females. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Return |  | $8 \frac{1}{2} \%$ compounded annually. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary Scale |  | Age |  | Annual Rate of Increase |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 25 \\ & 30 \\ & 35 \\ & 40 \\ & 45 \\ & 50 \\ & 55 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnover |  | Five year select and ultimate rates as shown in the table below for nonhazardous duty males; the same table with rates increased $10 \%$ for females; the same table with rates reduced $50 \%$ for hazardous-duty males. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Years of Participation |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 \& Over |
|  | 20 | 50\% | 45\% | 30\% | 25\% | 20\% | 15\% |
|  | 25 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 10 |
|  | 30 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 8 |
|  | 35 | 35 | 28 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 6 |
|  | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 45 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 50 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 55 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 60 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Entry Age Normal.
1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table with ages set back 4 years for females.
$8 \frac{1}{2} \%$ compounded annually.

Increase
$10.2 \%$
9.2
8.1
7.1
6.5
6.0
6.0
6.0

Five year select and ultimate rates as shown in the table below for nonhazardous duty males; the same table with rates increased $10 \%$ for females; the same table with rates reduced $50 \%$ for hazardous-duty males.

Years of Participation

| Retirement Ages | Hazardous Duty: | Age | First Year Eligible | Thereafter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 47-50 | 50\% | 10\% |
|  |  | 51 | 48 | 10 |
|  |  | 52 | 46 | 10 |
|  |  | 53 | 44 | 10 |
|  |  | 54 | 42 | 10 |
|  |  | 55 | 40 | 10 |
|  |  | 56 | 38 | 10 |
|  |  | 57 | 36 | 10 |
|  |  | 58 | 34 | 10 |
|  |  | 59 | 30 | 10 |
|  |  | 60 | 50 | 30 |
|  |  | 61 | 50 | 30 |
|  |  | 62-70 | 100 | 100 |
|  | All Others: | 55-60 | $20$ | 5 |
|  |  | $61$ | $40$ | $5$ |
|  |  | 62 | 40 | 30 |
|  |  | 63 | 40 | 30 |
|  |  | 64 | 60 | 30 |
|  |  | 65 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 66 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 67 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | 68 | 80 | 60 |
|  |  | $69$ | $80$ | $60$ |
|  |  | 70 | 100 | 100 |
| Disability |  |  |  | Annual Rate of Disability |
|  |  | Age |  | (Per 1000) |
|  |  | 20 |  | 0.75 |
|  |  | 25 |  | 0.85 |
|  |  | 30 |  | 0.97 |
|  |  | 35 |  | 1.21 |
|  |  | 40 |  | 1.70 |
|  |  | 45 |  | 2.79 |
|  |  | 50 |  | 5.09 |
|  |  | 55 |  | 9.25 |
|  |  | 60 |  | 14.42 |
|  | - | Service connected are assumed to comprise $50 \%$ of total Disability for Hazardous Duty and $20 \%$ for others. |  |  |

Social Security Wage Base Increases

Cost of Living Increases

Asset Valuation
$3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ compounded annually.

3\% per year for retirees on or after $1-1-80$. $4 \frac{1}{2} \%$ per year for retirees prior to 1-1-80.

Adjusted market value: assets are written up (or down) by spreading recognition of gains and losses over five years, plus an additional writeup (or write-down) as necessary so that the final adjusted value is within $20 \%$ of market value.

ANALYSIS BY AGE AND SERVICE - HAZARDOUS DUTY - TIER I

| UNDER 5 | 5 | 10 to 15 |  | 20 to 2525 to 30 |  | 30 to 35 | 35 to 40 | ALL YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Under 20

| 20 to 24 | 14 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 to 29 | 125 | 124 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 253 |
| 30 to 34 | 140 | 263 | 146 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 554 |
| 35 to 39 | 75 | 163 | 245 | 115 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 604 |
| 40 to 44 | 53 | 89 | 93 | 233 | 83 | 3 |  |  |  | 554 |
| 45 to 49 | 42 | 50 | 53 | 84 | 140 | 61 |  |  |  | 430 |
| 50 to 54 | 30 | 35 | 28 | 50 | 52 | 32 | 15 |  |  | 242 |
| 55 to 59 | 16 | 22 | 20 | 28 | 28 | 21 | 15 |  |  | 150 |
| 60 to 64 | 4 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 88 |
| 65 \& Up | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 17 |
| TOTALS | 500 | 763 | 606 | 536 | 326 | 125 | 42 | 9 | 1 | 2,908 |

Under 20
20 to 24
25 to 29
$\$ 26,596 \$ 30,426$

| 30,755 | 32,027 | $\$ 36,458$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 31,179 | 34,383 | 37,229 | $\$ 35,023$ |  |  |
| 32,604 | 33,461 | 37,215 | 40,452 | $\$ 36,519$ |  |
| 33,293 | 34,045 | 36,556 | 39,861 | 42,601 | $\$ 44,264$ |
| 31,905 | 34,761 | 36,179 | 39,836 | 41,953 | 42,736 |
| 33,576 | 33,698 | 34,845 | 37,786 | 39,317 | 41,731 |
| 34,643 | 35,563 | 33,286 | 35,708 | 38,894 | 40,557 |
| 37,747 | 34,985 | 35,379 | 35,573 | 37,508 | 35,551 |
| 26,636 | 35,854 | 33,083 | 35,570 | 36,992 | 38,165 |

$\$ 39,360 \quad \$ 41,102 \quad \$ 41,710$
$\$ 41,102 \quad \$ 41,710 \quad \$ 46,786$
\$27,074
31,469
34,329
36,239
38,178
39, 121
37,681
37,844
37,690
35,614
\$36,448

* Based on Members and salaries input to Valuation.


AGE


Under 20

| 20 to 24 | 166 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 246 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 to 29 | 599 | 1,344 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,063 |
| 30 to 34 | 610 | 2,200 | 1,107 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,962 |
| 35 to 39 | 507 | 1,629 | 1,749 | 620 | 83 |  |  |  |  | 4,588 |
| 40 to 44 | 427 | 1,253 | 1,184 | 963 | 593 | 50 |  |  |  | 4,470 |
| 45 to 49 | 275 | 935 | 785 | 715 | 705 | 357 | 60 |  |  | 3,832 |
| 50 to 54 | 184 | 741 | 691 | 568 | 554 | 437 | 319 | 36 |  | 3,530 |
| 55 to 59 | 139 | 582 | 589 | 564 | 472 | 328 | 268 | 68 | 12 | 3,022 |
| 60 to 64 | 76 | 350 | 336 | 359 | 386 | 207 | 135 | 58 | 25 | 1,932 |
| $65 \&{ }^{\text {\& }}$ | 15 | 104 | 114 | 115 | 115 | 73 | 34 | 19 | 18 | 607 |
| totals | 2,998 | 9,218 | 6,675 | 3,949 | 2,908 | 1,452 | 816 | 181 | 55 | 28,252 |

Under 20
20 to 24
25 to $29 \quad 23,340 \quad 22,909 \quad \$ 23,415$
30 to $34 \quad 24,407 \quad 26,352 \quad 27,657 \quad \$ 28,007$
35 to $39 \quad 26,570 \quad 28,350 \quad 31,828 \quad 31,521$
40 to $44 \quad 27,304 \quad 28,795 \quad 33,177 \quad 37,056 \quad 34,403 \quad \$ 34,69$
45 to $49 \quad 26,960 \quad 27,824 \quad 31,159 \quad 36,679 \quad 37,691 \quad 36,031$
50 to $54 \quad 27,395 \quad 28,753 \quad 30,459$

55 to 59
60 to 64
$65 \& \mathrm{Up}$ totals


## Under 20

| 20 to 24 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 to 29 | 16 | 46 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| 30 to 34 | 24 | 109 | 60 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 196 |
| 35 to 39 | 32 | 92 | 78 | 42 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 246 |
| 40 to 44 | 33 | 80 | 71 | 66 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  | 276 |
| 45 to 49 | 39 | 108 | 99 | 72 | 23 | 13 | 4 |  |  | 358 |
| 50 to 54 | 40 | 139 | 149 | 95 | 40 | 25 | 52 | 4 |  | 544 |
| 55 to 59 | 50 | 195 | 229 | 210 | 74 | 38 | 49 | 32 | 3 | 880 |
| 60 to 64 | 36 | 185 | 241 | 248 | 111 | 48 | 42 | 27 | 28 | 966 |
| 65 \& Up | 15 | 72 | 146 | 119 | 66 | 34 | 18 | 14 | 30 | 514 |
| TOTALS | 290 | 1,031 | 1,077 | 855 | 341 | 159 | 165 | 77 | 61 | 4,056 |



[^1]

[^2]
## ANALYSIS OF RETIRED MEMBERS

## BY CURRENT AGE

| AGE | SERVICE | DISABILITY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAZARDOUS } \\ & \text { DUTY } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICE | DISABILITY |  | HAZARDOUS DUTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SERVICE <br> CONNECTED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-SERVICE } \\ & \text { CONNECTED } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SERVICE } \\ \text { CONNECTED } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-SERVICE } \\ & \text { CONNECTED } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| less than 40 |  | 26 | 12 |  |  | \$12,757 | \$9,059 |  |
| 40-44 |  | 43 | 20 |  |  | 8,332 | 8,063 |  |
| 45-49 |  | 47 | 42 | 34 |  | 9,210 | 8,945 | \$19,278 |
| 50-54 | 1 | 59 | 100 | 122 | 11,795 | 8,856 | 9,106 | 17,990 |
| 55-59 | 915 | 83 | 160 | 149 | 11,654 | 7,010 | 7,456 | 16,805 |
| 60-64 | 2,458 | 76 | 192 | 166 | 10,028 | 6,640 | 6,338 | 16,169 |
| 65-69 | 3,814 | 58 | 177 | 109 | 8,623 | 5,695 | 5,797 | 14,355 |
| 70-74 | 3,708 | 52 | 141 | 43 | 7,816 | 6,641 | 6,609 | 13,144 |
| 75-79 | 2,494 | 35 | 133 | 25 | 7,504 | 6,712 | 6,329 | 11,152 |
| 80-84 | 1,426 | 20 | 75 | 9 | 7,248 | 6,740 | 5,676 | 9,830 |
| 85-89 | 657 | 8 | 36 | 2 | 6,048 | 5,210 | 4,783 | 6,937 |
| 90-94 | 227 | 2 | 3 |  | 4,148 | 1,932 | 3,378 |  |
| 95-99 | 51 | 1 | 3 |  | 4,610 | 2,187 | 4,049 |  |
| TOTALS | 15,751 | 510 | 1,094 | 659 | \$8,342 | \$7,500 | \$6,752 | \$16,008 |


| YEAR OF RETIREMENT | SERVICE | $\underline{\text { DISABILITY }}^{1}$ | DISABILITY ${ }^{2}$ | HAZARDOUS DUTY | SERVICE | DISABILITY $^{1}$ | DISABILITY $^{2}$ | HAZARDOUS DUTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-1958 | 154 | 16 | 39 | 9 | \$5,783 | \$4,243 | \$3,762 | \$5,716 |
| 1958 | 39 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4,972 | 4,602 | 4,446 | 7,209 |
| 1959 | 65 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5,431 | 4,514 | 4,765 | 7,806 |
| 1960 | 51 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 5,220 | 3,678 | 4,065 | 7,246 |
| 1961 | 67 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5,395 | 5,595 | 4,476 | 7,006 |
| 1962 | 106 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 5,899 | 4,671 | 4,156 | 0 |
| 1963 | 119 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 5,825 | 4,908 | 4,973 | 6,807 |
| 1964 | 109 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 5,208 | 5,939 | 4,890 | 7,350 |
| 1965 | 126 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 6,032 | 4,005 | 5,094 | 10,246 |
| 1966 | 150 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 5,861 | 4,696 | 4,696 | 0 |
| 1967 | 157 | 7 | 22 | 1 | 5,614 | 4,596 | 5,434 | 10,155 |
| 1968 | 216 | 13 | 22 | 2 | 6,266 | 5,687 | 4,847 | 6,947 |
| 1969 | 348 | 14 | 29 | 1 | 7,032 | 6.152 | 6,240 | 6,373 |
| 1970 | 344 | 12 | 54 | 3 | 7,690 | 5,698 | 6,425 | 7,573 |
| 1971 | 506 | 18 | 69 | 6 | 7,970 | 7,232 | 6,250 | 10,735 |
| 1972 | 590 | 29 | 78 | 24 | 8,257 | 7,424 | 6,979 | 12,209 |
| 1973 | 679 | 28 | 79 | 22 | 8,563 | 9,068 | 7,302 | 13,468 |
| 1974 | 542 | 26 | 53 | 24 | 7,786 | 9,143 | 7,523 | 14,825 |
| 1975 | 840 | 50 | 75 | 21 | 8,474 | 8,448 | 7,350 | 16,308 |
| 1976 | 917 | 16 | 57 | 26 | 7,648 | 7,535 | 7,180 | 14,006 |
| 1977 | 741 | 12 | 30 | 15 | 7,263 | 5,805 | 6,429 | 13,112 |
| 1978 | 781 | 10 | 43 | 25 | 7,124 | 6,496 | 5,255 | 14,805 |
| 1979 | 1,462 | 12 | 46 | 42 | 7,502 | 7,315 | 5,614 | 14,839 |
| 1980 | 964 | 17 | 40 | 35 | 7,020 | 5,698 | 5,210 | 14,187 |
| 1981 | 788 | 22 | 36 | 53 | 7,789 | 6,719 | 5,596 | 14,608 |
| 1982 | 673 | 17 | 33 | 36 | 8,056 | 7,907 | 6,923 | 15,562 |
| 1983 | 690 | 14 | 43 | 45 | 9,297 | 8,146 | 7,761 | 16,856 |
| 1984 | 873 | 34 | 50 | 64 | 9,798 | 8,675 | 7,901 | 17,607 |
| 1985 | 970 | 36 | 42 | 70 | 10,563 | 8,310 | 9,637 | 18,684 |
| 1986 | 1,051 | 39 | 55 | 58 | 11,647 | 10,068 | 8,192 | 20,518 |
| 1987 (to July) | 633 | 6 | 25 | 61 | 12,182 | 19,249 | 13,066 | 19,737 |

[^3]
[^0]:    * $\$ 10,700$ increased by $6 \%$ each year after 1982 , rounded to the nearest $\$ 100$.

[^1]:    * Based on Members and salaries input to Valuation.

[^2]:    * Based on Members and salaries input to valuation; may include some summer employees.

[^3]:    1 Service Connected
    2 Non-Service Connected

