

Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Actuarial Valuation and Review of Retirement and Health Benefits as of June 30, 2016

This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Administration to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Administration and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

Copyright © 2016 by The Segal Group, Inc. All rights reserved.

★ Segal Consulting

100 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com

November 9, 2016

Board of Administration Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System 202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Re: June 30, 2016 Actuarial Valuations

Dear Board Members:

Enclosed please find the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuations for the retirement and health plans.

As requested by the System, we have attached the following supplemental schedules:

- > Exhibit A Summary of significant results for the retirement and health plans.
- > Exhibit B History of computed contribution rates for the retirement and health plans.
- > Exhibit C Solvency test for the retirement plan.¹
- > Exhibit D Schedule of retirees and beneficiaries added to and removed from the rolls for the retirement plan.²

We look forward to discussing the reports and the enclosed schedules with the Board.

Sincerely,

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA Senior Vice President and Actuary

JRC/hy

5454376v3/05806.002

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA

 $^{^{1}}$ For the health plan, a similar schedule is provided in Exhibit I of the health valuation report.

 $^{^{2}}$ For the health plan, a similar schedule is provided in Exhibit C of the health valuation report.

| | | <u>Exhibit A</u> | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System Summary of Significant Valuation Results | | | | | | | | |
| | Percent <u>Change</u> | | | | | | | |
| I. | Total Membership | | | | | | | |
| | A. Active Members | 24,446 | 23,895 | 2.3% | | | | |
| | B. Pensioners and Beneficiaries | 18,357 | 17,932 | 2.4% | | | | |
| II. | Valuation Salary | | | | | | | |
| | A. Total Annual Payroll | \$1,968,702,630 | \$1,907,664,598 | 3.2% | | | | |
| | B. Average Monthly Salary | 6,711 | 6,653 | 0.9% | | | | |
| III. | Benefits to Current Retirees and Beneficiaries ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | |
| | A. Total Annual Benefits | \$778,355,427 | \$750,391,750 | 3.7% | | | | |
| | B. Average Monthly Benefit Amount | 3,533 | 3,487 | 1.3% | | | | |
| IV. | Total System Assets ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | |
| | A. Actuarial Value | \$14,752,102,625 | \$13,895,589,227 | 6.2% | | | | |
| | B. Market Value | 14,005,059,515 | 14,124,760,375 | -0.8% | | | | |
| V. | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) | | | | | | | |
| | A. Retirement Benefits | \$4,985,746,123 | \$5,182,835,002 | -3.8% | | | | |
| | B. Health Subsidy Benefits | 544,935,475 | 538,064,716 | 1.3% | | | | |

(1) Includes July COLA.

(2) Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits.



| | <u>E</u> Los Angeles (Summary | xhibit A (conti City Employees' I of Significant Va | inued) Retirement Iluation Re | System sults | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| VI. | Budget Items (as a Percent of Pay) | FY 2017-2018 | | FY 2016-2017 | | Difference | |
| | | Beginning of Year* | July 15 | Beginning of Year | July 15 | Beginning of Year | July 15 |
| l | A. Retirement Benefits (Combined)** | 6 70% | 6 720/ | 6 179/ | 6 110/ | 0 28% | 0 280/ |
| l | 2. Amortization of UAAL 3. Total Retirement Contribution | <u>15.44%</u> 22.14% | <u>15.49%</u> 22.21% | $\frac{16.39\%}{22.81\%}$ | <u>16.44%</u> 22.88% | $\frac{(0.95)\%}{(0.67)\%}$ | $\frac{(0.95)\%}{(0.67)\%}$ |
| | B. Health Subsidy Benefits (Combined)** 1. Normal Cost 2. Amortization of UAAL 3. Total Health Subsidy Contribution | 3.48% <u>1.43%</u> 4.91% | 3.49% <u>1.43%</u> 4.92% | 3.27% <u>1.46%</u> 4.73% | 3.28% <u>1.46%</u> 4.74% | 0.21% (0.03)% 0.18% | 0.21% (0.03)% 0.18% |
| | C. Total Contribution (A + B) | 27.05% | 27.13% | 27.54% | 27.62% | (0.49)% | (0.49)% |
| VII. | Funded Ratio (Based on Valuation Value of Assets) | <u>June 30,</u> | <u>2016</u> | <u>June 30,</u> | 2015 | Differ | ence |
| | A. Retirement Benefits B. Health Subsidy Benefits C. Total | 71.4 80.5 72.6 | % % % | 69.4 79.7 70.7 | % % % | 2. 0. 1. | 0% 8% 9% |
| | (Based on Market Value of Assets) D. Retirement Benefits E. Health Subsidy Benefits F. Total | 67.8 76.4 69.0 | % % % | 70.5 81.0 71.9 | % % % | (2. (4. (2. | 7)% 6)% 9)% |
| * Alternative contribution payment date for FY 2017-2018: End of Pay Periods | | <u>Retirement</u> 22.96% | | <u>Health</u> 5.09% | | <u>Total</u> 28.05% | |

** Combined rates for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for FY 2016-2017 and combined rates for Tier 1 and Tier 3 for FY 2017-2018 (Tier 2 was rescinded effective February 21, 2016).

| | Ex | nibit B | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|--------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Los | Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System | | | | | | |
| Computed Contribution Rates ⁽¹⁾ – Historical Comparison | | | | | | | |
| Valuation | | | | | | | |
| Valuation | | | | Payroll | | | |
| Date | Retirement | <u>Health</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>(thousands)</u> | | | |
| 06/30/1994 | 12.07% | 2.99% | 15.06% | \$884,951 | | | |
| 06/30/1995 | 7.34% | 2.30% | 9.64% | 911,292 | | | |
| 06/30/1996 | 6.51% | 3.18% | 9.69% | 957,423 | | | |
| 06/30/1997 | 6.57% | 1.85% | 8.42% | 990,616 | | | |
| 06/30/1998 | 6.43% | 1.27% | 7.70% | 1,011,857 | | | |
| 06/30/1999 | 4.93% | 0.67% | 5.60% | 1,068,124 | | | |
| 06/30/2000 | 2.54% | 2.17% | 4.71% | 1,182,203 | | | |
| 06/30/2001 | 3.84% | 1.98% | 5.82% | 1,293,350 | | | |
| 06/30/2002 | 9.22% | 1.85% | 11.07% | 1,334,335 | | | |
| 06/30/2003 | 11.95% | 4.02% | 15.97% | 1,405,058 | | | |
| 06/30/2004 | 14.76% | 4.94% | 19.70% | 1,575,285 | | | |
| 06/30/2005 | 17.51% | 7.27% | 24.78% | 1,589,306 | | | |
| 06/30/2006 | 17.18% | 6.49% | 23.67% | 1,733,340 | | | |
| 06/30/2007 | 15.52% | 5.38% | 20.90% | 1,896,609 | | | |
| 06/30/2008 | 14.65% | 5.48% | 20.13% | 1,977,645 | | | |
| 06/30/2009 | 18.73% | 6.62% | 25.35% | 1,816,171 | | | |
| 06/30/2010 | | | | | | | |
| Before Additional Employee Contributions | 21.19% | 7.45% | 28.64% | 1,817,662 | | | |
| After Additional Employee Contributions | 18.67% | 6.94% | 25.61% | 1,817,662 | | | |
| 06/30/2011 ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | |
| Before Additional Employee Contributions | 24.31% | 4.49% | 28.80% | 1,833,392 | | | |
| After Additional Employee Contributions | 21.64% | 4.49% | 26.13% | 1,833,392 | | | |
| 06/30/2012 ⁽³⁾ | 21.34% | 5.74% | 27.08% | 1,819,270 | | | |
| 06/30/2013 | 22.24% | 5.80% | 28.04% | 1,846,970 | | | |
| 06/30/2014 | 24.05% | 5.81% | 29.86% | 1,898,064 | | | |
| 06/30/2015 | 23.65% | 4.90% | 28.55% | 1,907,665 | | | |
| 06/30/2016 | 22.96% | 5.09% | 28.05% | 1,968,703 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Contributions are assumed to be made at the end of the pay period. For the 6/30/2014 and 6/30/2015 valuations, the contribution rates are the combined rates for Tiers 1 and 2. Beginning with the 6/30/2016 valuation, the contribution rates are the combined rates for Tiers 1 and 3 (Tier 2 was rescinded effective February 21, 2016).

⁽²⁾ Beginning with the 6/30/2011 valuation date, the contribution rates are <u>before</u> adjustments to phase in over five years the impact of new actuarial assumptions (as a result of the June 30, 2011 Triennial Experience Study) on the City's contributions. Those adjustments no longer apply after the June 30, 2014 valuation.

⁽³⁾ Beginning with the 6/30/2012 valuation date, the contribution rates are after additional employee contributions.

| Exhibit C | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System | | | | | | | |
| Solvency 1 est for Ketirement Benefits For Years Ended June 30 | | | | | | | |
| (\$ In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Portion of Accrued Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Aggregate</u> A | Actuarial Accrued Li | <u>iabilities For</u> | | Cove | ered by Reported As | sets |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Valuation | Mombon | Retirees, | Activo | Valuation Value of | Momhon | Retirees, | Activo |
| Date | Contributions | Inactives | Members | Assets | Contributions | Inactives | Members |
| 06/30/1996 | \$637,737 | \$2,357,798 | \$1,480,489 | \$4,468,433 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.5% |
| 06/30/1997 | 683,048 | 2,598,432 | 1,604,857 | 4,802,509 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.8 |
| 06/30/1998 | 733,680 | 2,772,712 | 1,806,526 | 5,362,923 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 06/30/1999 | 776,617 | 2,989,218 | 1,918,751 | 5,910,948 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 06/30/2000 | 827,729 | 3,149,392 | 2,035,810 | 6,561,365 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 06/30/2001 | 889,658 | 3,444,240 | 2,134,168 | 6,988,782 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 06/30/2002 | 950,002 | 3,756,935 | 2,545,181 | 7,060,188 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.5 |
| 06/30/2003 | 1,005,888 | 4,021,213 | 2,632,745 | 6,999,647 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 74.9 |
| 06/30/2004 | 1,062,002 | 4,348,252 | 3,123,610 | 7,042,108 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52.2 |
| 06/30/2005 | 1,128,101 | 4,858,932 | 3,334,492 | 7,193,142 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 36.2 |
| 06/30/2006 | 1,210,246 | 5,149,385 | 3,511,031 | 7,674,999 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 37.5 |
| 06/30/2007 | 1,307,008 | 5,365,437 | 3,854,429 | 8,599,700* | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 |
| 06/30/2008 | 1,408,074 | 5,665,130 | 4,113,200 | 9,438,318 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 57.5 |
| 06/30/2009 | 1,282,663 | 7,356,302 | 3,403,019 | 9,577,747 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 27.6 |
| 06/30/2010 | 1,379,098 | 7,507,945 | 3,707,982 | 9,554,027 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.0 |
| 06/30/2011 | 1,474,824 | 7,765,071 | 4,151,809 | 9,691,011 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.9 |
| 06/30/2012 | 1,625,207 | 7,893,684 | 4,875,068 | 9,934,959 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8.5 |
| 06/30/2013 | 1,757,195 | 8,066,564 | 5,057,904 | 10,223,961 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.9 |
| 06/30/2014 | 1,900,068 | 8,700,896 | 5,647,889 | 10,944,751 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.1 |
| 06/30/2015 | 2,012,378 | 9,118,166 | 5,779,452 | 11,727,161 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.3 |
| 06/30/2016 | 2,137,269 | 9,439,001 | 5,848,726 | 12,439,250 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14.8 |

* Excludes assets transferred for Port Police.



| | | | Ex | <u>khibit D</u> | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System Retirees and Beneficiaries Added To and Removed From the Rolls* For Years Ended June 30 | | | | | | | | |
| Year <u>Ended</u> | No. of New Retirees/ <u>Beneficiaries</u> | Annual Allowances <u>Added**</u> | No. of Retirees/ Beneficiaries <u>Removed</u> | Annual Allowances <u>Removed</u> | No. of Retirees/ Beneficiaries <u>at 6/30</u> | Annual Allowances <u>at 6/30</u> | Percent Increase in Annual <u>Allowances</u> | Average Annual <u>Allowance</u> |
| 06/30/2002 | 844 | \$23,740,829 | 620 | \$11,316,344 | 13,589 | \$336,437,038 | 6.4% | \$24,758 |
| 06/30/2003 | 827 | 24,729,535 | 611 | 12,008,132 | 13,805 | 359,036,215 | 6.7% | 26,008 |
| 06/30/2004 | 986 | 53,452,133 | 654 | 13,220,316 | 14,137 | 399,268,032 | 11.2% | 28,243 |
| 06/30/2005 | 934 | 43,454,836 | 749 | 14,769,736 | 14,322 | 427,953,132 | 7.2% | 29,881 |
| 06/30/2006 | 890 | 42,821,079 | 642 | 15,061,287 | 14,570 | 455,712,924 | 6.5% | 31,277 |
| 06/30/2007 | 821 | 34,131,744 | 555 | 13,210,740 | 14,836 | 476,633,928 | 4.6% | 32,127 |
| 06/30/2008 | 748 | 40,680,279 | 609 | 14,956,623 | 14,975 | 502,357,584 | 5.4% | 33,546 |
| 06/30/2009 | 632 | 36,887,854 | 616 | 17,386,042 | 14,991 | 521,859,396 | 3.9% | 34,812 |
| 06/30/2010 | 2,893 | 144,594,918 | 620 | 17,604,486 | 17,264 | 648,849,828 | 24.3% | 37,584 |
| 06/30/2011 | 528 | 24,282,965 | 595 | 16,585,589 | 17,197 | 656,547,204 | 1.2% | 38,178 |
| 06/30/2012 | 620 | 38,314,256 | 594 | 17,986,700 | 17,223 | 676,874,760 | 3.1% | 39,301 |
| 06/30/2013 | 772 | 40,966,952 | 633 | 18,776,770 | 17,362 | 699,064,942 | 3.3% | 40,264 |
| 06/30/2014 | 831 | 38,666,905 | 661 | 21,175,777 | 17,532 | 716,556,070 | 2.5% | 40,871 |
| 06/30/2015 | 1,083 | 55,849,106 | 683 | 22,013,426 | 17,932 | 750,391,750 | 4.7% | 41,847 |
| 06/30/2016 | 1,082 | 51,056,286 | 657 | 23,092,610 | 18,357 | 778,355,426 | 3.7% | 42,401 |

Does not include Family Death Benefit Plan members. Table based on valuation data.
 ** Effective 06/30/2004, also includes the COLA granted in July.

5454376v3/05806.002



Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Actuarial Valuation and Review of Retirement Benefits as of June 30, 2016

This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Administration to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Administration and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

Copyright © 2016 by The Segal Group, Inc. All rights reserved.

★ Segal Consulting

100 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com

November 9, 2016

Board of Administration Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System 202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Actuarial Valuation and Review as of June 30, 2016. It summarizes the actuarial data used in the valuation, establishes the funding requirements for fiscal 2017/2018 and analyzes the preceding year's experience.

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist in administering the Plan. The census and unaudited financial information on which our calculations were based were prepared by LACERS. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and the expectations for the Plan.

We look forward to reviewing this report at your next meeting and to answering any questions.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of the Segal Group, Inc.

Bv:

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA Vice President and Actuary

JRC/hy

SECTION 1

VALUATION SUMMARY

| Purposei |
|--|
| Significant Issues in Valuation Yeari |
| Summary of Key Valuation Results v |
| Important Information About Actuarial Valuationsvii |
| Actuarial Certificationix |

SECTION 2

VALUATION RESULTS

| A. | Member Data1 |
|----|-----------------------------|
| B. | Financial Information4 |
| C. | Actuarial Experience7 |
| D. | Recommended Contribution 12 |
| E. | Funded Ratio16 |
| F. | Volatility Ratios |

SECTION 3

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

| EXHIBIT A | |
|--|----|
| Table of Plan Coverage | |
| i. Tier 1 1 | 9 |
| ii. Tier 3 | 0 |
| EXHIBIT B Members in Active Service as of June 30, 2016 i. Tier 1 | 21 |

EXHIBIT C

Reconciliation of Member Data......23

EXHIBIT D

EXHIBIT E

EXHIBIT F

EXHIBIT G

EXHIBIT H

SECTION 4

REPORTING INFORMATION

| EXHIBIT I Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results |
|---|
| EXHIBIT II History of Employer Contributions34 |
| EXHIBIT III Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method |
| EXHIBIT IV Summary of Plan Provisions40 |



Purpose

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present an actuarial valuation of the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System as of June 30, 2016. The valuation was performed to determine whether the assets and contributions are sufficient to provide the prescribed benefits. The contribution requirements presented in this report are based on:

- > The benefit provisions of the Pension Plan, as administered by the Board of Administration;
- The characteristics of covered active members, inactive members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- > The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- > Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
- > Other actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc.

Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following key findings were the result of this actuarial valuation:

In the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation report we noted that Tier 2 had been rescinded, that all Tier 2 members would be transferred to Tier 1, and new hires would be enrolled in a new Tier 3. However, as of the date of issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation report, the provisions of Tier 3 were not yet determined and Tier 2 employees had not yet transferred to Tier 1. For those reasons, we continued to calculate a Normal Cost rate in the June 30, 2015 valuation for Tier 2 employees based on the Tier 2 plan provisions in effect at that time.

Subsequent to the issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation report, Ordinance No. 184134 ("the Ordinance") was adopted by the City Council on January 12, 2016, and Tier 2 was rescinded. Section 4.1002.1 of the Ordinance stated that "effective February 21, 2016, all persons who entered LACERS membership between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016, as members of Tier 2 of the Retirement System shall be members of Tier 1 of the Retirement System". Regarding past service under Tier 2 for these transferring members, the Ordinance stated that "the City shall contribute to the Retirement System the funds necessary, as determined by the actuary for the Retirement System, to make the Retirement Fund whole for any contributions that would have been made by the City and Tier 2 members had those members been members of Tier 1 from their respective initial dates of membership in LACERS. Such contributions will reflect the difference between the Tier 1 and Tier 2 Normal Cost rates calculated for the affected Tier 2 members adjusted with interest at the assumed earnings rate."



| | | On March 14, 2016, we issued our Tier 3 report which determined (1) the funds necessary to make the Retirement Fund whole due to this reclassification of Tier 2 members and (2) the contribution rates for Tier 3 for fiscal years 2015/2016 (at least for the remainder of that fiscal year) and 2016/2017. In that report we noted that any difference in the Tier 1 employer Normal Cost rate that would result from the transfer of Tier 2 members to Tier 1 would be reflected in this valuation as of June 30, 2016. We understand that the City made the contributions for the reclassification of past Tier 2 service as Tier 1 service for the transferring members on July 15, 2016, and that transfer is reflected in the results of this valuation. |
|---------------------|---|---|
| | | Section 4.1080.2(a) of the Ordinance stated that "effective February 21, 2016, and ongoing, every employee shall become a member of Tier 3 of the Retirement System on the first day of employment in a position with the City in which he or she is not excluded from membership pursuant to the provisions of Subsection (c) of this section, unless he or she qualifies for Tier 1 membership pursuant to the exceptions to Tier 1 membership set forth in Subsection (b)." For this June 30, 2016 valuation, LACERS has provided us with census data that reflects the reclassification of prior Tier 2 members as Tier 1 members, and the addition of new Tier 3 employees who entered the System after the effective date of the Ordinance (i.e., February 21, 2016). The employer contribution rates calculated herein as of June 30, 2016 are payable for fiscal year 2017/2018 and reflect the information provided above. |
| Ref: Pgs. 17 and 27 | > | The ratio of the valuation value of assets to actuarial accrued liabilities increased from 69.35% to 71.39%. On a market value of assets basis, the funded ratio decreased from 70.49% to 67.77%. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability decreased from \$5.183 billion to \$4.986 billion. The decrease was due to: (i) actual contributions more than expected as a result of the anticipated one-year delay in implementing the lower contribution rate in the prior valuation, (ii) lower than expected salary increases for continuing active members, (iii) lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries and (iv) other actuarial gains, offset somewhat by (v) lower than expected return on the valuation value of assets (after smoothing). A complete reconciliation of the System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is provided in Section 3, Exhibit G. |
| Ref: Pg. 15 | > | The aggregate employer rate (payable at the end of each pay period) calculated in this valuation has decreased from 23.65% of payroll to 22.96% of payroll. The annual dollar employer contributions calculated in this valuation increased, however, from about \$451.2 million to \$452.0 million. The decrease in the employer rate was due to: (i) actual contributions more than expected as a result of the anticipated one-year delay in implementing the lower contribution rate calculated in the prior valuation, (ii) lower than expected salary increases for continuing active members, (iii) lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries, and (iv) other actuarial gains, offset somewhat by (v) an increase in the normal cost rate due, in part, to the enrollment of new employees in Tier 3 ¹ , (vi) lower than expected return on the |

¹ In estimating the normal cost for Tier 3 members in our report dated March 14, 2016, we assumed that the demographic profile of future Tier 3 members would be approximated by the demographic profile of the then Tier 2 members hired in the two years prior to the June 30, 2015 valuation. In particular, we projected the entry age of Tier 3 members to be about age 34.8 (based on the entry age of the Tier 2 members). With the data provided for the Tier 3 members, the actual entry age is 36.5.

valuation value of assets (after smoothing), and (vii) amortizing the prior year's UAAL over a smaller than expected projected total payroll. A complete reconciliation of the aggregate employer contribution is provided in Section 2, Chart 15.

Ref: Pgs. 5

As indicated in Section 2, Subsection B of this report, the total unrecognized investment loss as of June 30, 2016 is \$747,043,110² for the assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits. This investment loss will be recognized in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes in the next several years. This implies that earning the assumed rate of investment return of 7.50% per year (net of investment and administrative expenses) on a market value basis will result in net investment losses on the actuarial value of assets after June 30, 2016. Item 9 in Chart 7 shows how, under the asset smoothing method, the \$747.0 million in unrecognized losses will be recognized in the next six years.

The deferred losses of \$747.0 million represent 5.3% of the market value of assets as of June 30, 2016. Unless offset by future investment gains or other favorable experience, the recognition of the \$747.0 million market loss is expected to have an impact on the System's future funded percentage and contribution rate requirements. This potential impact may be illustrated as follows:

- If the retirement plan component of the deferred losses were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the funded percentage would decrease from 71.39% to 67.77%.
- If the retirement plan component of the deferred loses were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the aggregate employer rate (payable at the end of each pay period) would increase from 22.96% to about 25.7% of payroll.
- > As in prior years, the employer contribution rates provided in this report have been developed assuming they will be paid by the City on any of the following dates:
 - (1) The beginning of the fiscal year, or
 - (2) On July 15, 2017, or
 - (3) Throughout the year (i.e., the City will pay contributions at the end of every pay period).

- Ref: Pg. 28
- Carrying over the prior instructions from the Board of Administration, the recommended contribution is set equal to the greater of the current funding policy or the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by the then current GASB Statements 25 and 27. In particular, we have also continued to include in the calculation of the

² For comparison purposes, the total unrecognized investment <u>gain</u> as of June 30, 2015 was \$229,171,148.



recommended contribution an additional contribution due to the application of the 40-year minimum amortization requirement for both fiscal year 2003/2004 and fiscal year 2004/2005.

> The actuarial valuation report as of June 30, 2016 is based on financial information as of that date. Changes in the value of assets subsequent to that date are not reflected. Declines in asset values will increase the actuarial cost of the Plan, while increases will decrease the actuarial cost of the Plan.



| Summary of Key Valuation Results | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|
| ` | 2016 | 2015 |
| Employer contributions calculated as of June 30: | | |
| Recommended as a percentage of pay (there is a 12-month delay until the rate is effective) | | |
| <u>Tier 1</u> | | |
| At the beginning of the year | 22.18% | 23.14% |
| On July 15 | 22.25% | 23.21% |
| At the end of each pay period | 23.00% | 23.99% |
| <u>Tier 2</u> | | |
| At the beginning of the year | N/A ⁽¹⁾ | 17.90% |
| On July 15 | $N/A^{(1)}$ | 17.96% |
| At the end of each pay period | $N/A^{(1)}$ | 18.57% |
| <u>lier 3</u> | | |
| At the beginning of the year | 19.29% | 19.89% ⁽²⁾ |
| On July 15 | 19.34% | $19.95\%^{(2)}$ |
| At the end of each pay period | 19.99% | 20.61%(2) |
| Combined | | |
| At the beginning of the year | 22.14% | $22.81\%^{(3)}$ |
| On July 15 | 22.21% | $22.88\%^{(3)}$ |
| At the end of each pay period | 22.96% | 23.03%(5), (4) |
| Funding elements for plan year ended June 30: | | \$222 554 254 |
| Normal cost | \$340,758,622 | \$322,574,274 |
| Market value of assets (MVA) ⁽³⁾ | 14,005,059,515 | 14,124,760,375 |
| Actuarial value of assets (AVA) ⁽⁵⁾ | 14,752,102,625 | 13,895,589,227 |
| Valuation value of retirement assets (VVA) | 12,439,250,206 | 11,727,161,378 |
| Market value of retirement assets (MVA) | 11,809,329,415 | 11,920,570,019 |
| Actuarial accrued liability (AAL) | 17,424,996,329 | 16,909,996,380 |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) on VVA basis | 4,985,746,123 | 5,182,835,002 |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) on MVA basis | 5,615,666,914 | 4,989,426,361 |
| Funded ratio on VVA basis for retirement (VVA/AAL) | 71.39% | 69.35% |
| Funded ratio on MVA basis for retirement (MVA/AAL) | 67.77% | 70.49% |

(1) Tier 2 was rescinded effective February 21, 2016.

⁽²⁾ The June 30, 2015 valuation rates for Tier 3 were determined in our Tier 3 study report dated March 14, 2016. These rates became effective February 21, 2016.

⁽³⁾ The June 30, 2015 combined rates were determined in our June 30, 2015 valuation report dated October 30, 2015 and have not been restated herein to reflect any events after June 30, 2015.

(4) For illustrative purposes, if we were to apply the Tier 1 and Tier 3 payroll used in the current June 30, 2016 valuation to the Tier 1 and Tier 3 contribution rates determined in the June 30, 2015 valuation, the combined contribution rate as determined in the 2015 valuation assuming contributions would be paid at the end of each pay period would change from 23.65% to 23.94%.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits.



SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

| Summary of Key Valuation Results (continued) | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2016 | 2015 | | | | |
| Employer contributions for fiscal year ended June 30: Actuarially determined employer contributions | \$440,546,011 | \$381,140,923 | | | | |
| Actual contributions | 440,546,011 | 381,140,923 | | | | |
| Percentage contributed | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | | |
| Demographic data for plan year ended June 30: Number of retired members and beneficiaries | 18,357 | 17,932 | | | | |
| Number of inactive members | 6,895 | 6,507 | | | | |
| Number of active members | 24,446 | 23,895 | | | | |
| Projected total payroll ⁽⁶⁾ | \$1,968,702,630 | \$1,907,664,598 | | | | |
| Projected average payroll ⁽⁶⁾ | \$80,533 | \$79,835 | | | | |

⁽⁶⁾ *Reflects annualized salaries for part-time members.*



Important Information about Actuarial Valuations

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal Consulting ("Segal") relies on a number of input items. These include:

- Plan of benefits Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan description in this report to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.
- Participant data An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the Retirement Office. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.
- > Assets This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the Retirement Office.
- Actuarial assumptions In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal projects the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This projection requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, withdrawal, and retirement of each participant for each year. In addition, the benefits projected to be paid for each of those events in each future year reflect actuarial assumptions as to salary increases and cost-of-living adjustments. The projected benefits are then discounted to a present value, based on the assumed rate of return that is expected to be achieved on the plan's assets. There is a reasonable range for each assumption used in the projection and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions are selected. It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand this concept. Actuarial assumptions are periodically reviewed to ensure that future valuations reflect emerging plan experience. While future changes in actuarial assumptions may have a significant impact on the reported results, that does not mean that the previous assumptions were unreasonable.

The user of Segal's actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- > The valuation is prepared at the request of LACERS. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.
- > An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan's assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.
- > If LACERS is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.



SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal's valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan's provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. LACERS should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of LACERS, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to LACERS.



Actuarial Certification

November 9, 2016

This is to certify that Segal Consulting (Segal) has conducted an actuarial valuation of the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System (LACERS or the "System") retirement program as of June 30, 2016, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. In particular, it is our understanding that the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes meet the parameters set by the Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs). Actuarial valuations are performed annually for this retirement program with the last valuation completed on June 30, 2015. The actuarial calculations presented in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the historical funding methods used in determination of the liability for retirement benefits.

The actuarial valuation is based on the plan of benefits verified by LACERS and on participant and financial data provided by LACERS. Segal did not audit LACERS' financial statements, but we conducted an examination of all participant data for reasonableness and we concluded that it was reasonable and consistent with the prior year's data.

One of the general goals of an actuarial valuation is to establish contributions that fully fund the Retirement System's liabilities, and that, as a percentage of payroll, remain as level as possible for each generation of active members. Both the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method.

The actuarial computations made are for funding plan benefits. Accordingly, additional determinations will be needed for other purposes, such as satisfying financial accounting requirements under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 67 and No. 68 and judging benefit security at termination of the plan.

Segal prepared all of the supporting schedules in the Actuarial Section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and certain supporting schedules in the Financial Section, based on the results of the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation. A listing of the supporting schedules Segal prepared for inclusion in the Financial Section as Required Supplementary Information prescribed by GASB, and in the Actuarial Section, is provided below:

Financial Section

- 1) Schedule of Net Pension Liability*
- 2) Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios*
- 3) Schedule of Contribution History*

Actuarial Certification (continued)

November 9, 2016

Actuarial Section

- 4) Summary of Significant Valuation Results
- 5) Active Member Valuation Data
- 6) Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Retiree Payroll
- 7) Solvency Test
- 8) Schedule of Funding Progress
- 9) Actuarial Analysis of Financial Experience
- 10) Actuarial Balance Sheet
- 11) Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios*
- 12) Projection of Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2016*
 - * Source: Segal's GASB Statement No. 67 valuation report as of June 30, 2016.

LACERS' staff prepared other trend data schedules in the Statistical Section based on information supplied in Segal's valuation report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and in our opinion presents the plan's current funding information. The undersigned is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and is qualified to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Mang Andy

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA Vice President and Actuary

A. MEMBER DATA

The Actuarial Valuation and Review considers the number and demographic characteristics of covered members, including active members, inactive non-vested members (entitled to a refund of member contributions), inactive vested members, retired members and beneficiaries. This section presents a summary of significant statistical data on these member groups.

More detailed information for this valuation year and the preceding valuation can be found in Section 3, Exhibits A, B, and C.

A historical perspective of how the member population has changed over the past ten valuations can be seen in this chart.

CHART 1

Member Population: June 30, 2007 – 2016

| Year Ended June 30 | Active Members | Inactive Members* | Retired Members and Beneficiaries | Ratio of Non-Actives to Actives |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2007 | 30,175 | 3,303 | 14,836 | 0.60 |
| 2008 | 30,236 | 4,273 | 14,975 | 0.64 |
| 2009 | 30,065 | 4,554 | 14,991 | 0.65 |
| 2010 | 26,245 | 5,344 | 17,264 | 0.86** |
| 2011 | 25,449 | 5,623 | 17,197 | 0.90 |
| 2012 | 24,917 | 5,808 | 17,223 | 0.92 |
| 2013 | 24,441 | 5,799 | 17,362 | 0.95 |
| 2014 | 24,009 | 6,031 | 17,532 | 0.98 |
| 2015 | 23,895 | 6,507 | 17,932 | 1.02 |
| 2016 | 24,446 | 6,895 | 18,357 | 1.03 |

* Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions.

** Reflects 2009 Early Retirement Incentive Program.

Active Members

Plan costs are affected by the age, years of service and payroll of active members. In this year's valuation, there were 24,446 active members with an average age of 48.6, average years of service of 14.7 years and average payroll of \$80,533.

The 23,895 active members in the prior valuation had an average age of 48.8, average service of 15.0 years and average payroll of \$79,835.

Inactive Members

In this year's valuation, there were 6,895 members who were either non-vested and entitled to a refund of member contributions or vested with a right to a deferred or immediate benefit, versus 6,507 in the prior valuation.

These graphs show a distribution of active members by age and by years of service.

CHART 2

Distribution of Active Members by Age as of June 30, 2016



CHART 3

Distribution of Active Members by Years of Service as of June 30, 2016



Retired Members and Beneficiaries

As of June 30, 2016, 14,494 retired members and 3,863 beneficiaries were receiving total monthly benefits of \$64,862,952. For comparison, in the previous valuation, there were 14,127 retired members and 3,805 beneficiaries receiving monthly benefits of \$62,532,646. These monthly benefits have been adjusted for the COLA granted effective for the month of July.

These graphs show a distribution of the current retired members based on their monthly amount and age, by type of pension.



Regular

CHART 4

Distribution of Retired Members by Type and by Monthly Amount as of June 30, 2016



CHART 5

Distribution of Retired Members by Type and by Age as of June 30, 2016



B. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Retirement plan funding anticipates that, over the long term, both contributions and net investment earnings (less investment fees and administrative expenses) will be needed to cover benefit payments.

Retirement plan assets change as a result of the net impact of these income and expense components. Additional financial information, including a summary of these transactions for the valuation year, is presented in Section 3, Exhibits D, E and F.

CHART 6

The chart depicts the components of changes in the actuarial value of assets over the last ten years. Note: The first bar represents increases in assets during each year while the second bar details the decreases.

Benefits Paid

Contributions

■ Net interest and dividends

Comparison of Increases and Decreases in the Actuarial Value of Assets for Years Ended June 30, 2007 - 2016





It is desirable to have level and predictable plan costs from one year to the next. For this reason, the Board of Administration has approved an asset valuation method that gradually adjusts to market value. Under this valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year and, as a result, the asset value and the plan costs are more stable. The amount of the adjustment to recognize market value is treated as income, which may be positive or negative. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are treated equally and, therefore, the sale of assets has no immediate effect on the actuarial value.

The chart shows the determination of the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date.

CHART 7

Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2016

| 1. | Market value of assets | | | | \$14,005,059,515 |
|----|---|------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | Original | Portion Not | Amount Not | |
| 2. | Calculation of unrecognized return ⁽¹⁾ | Amount | Recognized | Recognized | |
| | (a) Year ended June 30, 2016 | -\$1,065,023,569 | 6/7 | -\$912,877,345 | |
| | (b) Year ended June 30, 2015 | -707,760,540 | 5/7 | -505,543,243 | |
| | (c) Year ended June 30, 2014 | 1,246,285,581 | 4/7 | 712,163,189 | |
| | (d) Combined net deferred loss as of June 30, 2013 ⁽²⁾ | -81,571,421 | 3/6 | -40,785,711 | |
| | (e) Total unrecognized return | | | | -\$747,043,110 |
| 3. | Preliminary actuarial value: (1) - (2e) | | | | \$14,752,102,625 |
| 4. | Adjustment to be within 40% corridor | | | | 0 |
| 5. | Final actuarial value of assets: $(3) + (4)$ | | | | \$14,752,102,625 |
| 6. | Actuarial value as a percentage of market value: $(5) \div (1)$ | | | | 105.3% |
| 7. | Market value of retirement assets | | | | \$11,809,329,415 |
| 8. | Valuation value of retirement assets $(5) \div (1) \times (7)$ | | | | \$12,439,250,206 |
| 9. | Deferred return recognized in each of the next 6 years: | | | | |
| | (a) Amount recognized on 6/30/2017 | | | | -\$88,809,313 |
| | (b) Amount recognized on 6/30/2018 | | | | -88,809,313 |
| | (c) Amount recognized on 6/30/2019 | | | | -88,809,313 |
| | (d) Amount recognized on 6/30/2020 | | | | -75,214,076 |
| | (e) Amount recognized on 6/30/2021 | | | | -253,254,873 |
| | (f) Amount recognized on 6/30/2022 | | | | -152,146,224 |
| | (g) Subtotal (may not total exactly due to rounding) | | | | -\$747,043,110 |
| | | | | | |

(1) Total return minus expected return on a market value basis.

⁽²⁾ Based on action taken by the Board on September 9, 2014, the net unrecognized loss as of June 30, 2013 (i.e., \$81,571,421) has been recognized in six level amounts, with three years of recognition remaining after the June 30, 2016 valuation.

The actuarial value, market value and valuation value of assets are representations of LACERS' financial status. As investment gains and losses are gradually taken into account, the actuarial value of assets tracks the market value of assets. The portion of the total actuarial value of assets allocated for retirement benefits, based on a prorated share of market value, is shown as the valuation value of assets. The valuation value of assets is significant because LACERS' liabilities are compared to these assets to determine what portion, if any, remains unfunded. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is an important element in determining the contribution requirement.

CHART 8

This chart shows the change in the assets over the past ten years.



Market Value, Actuarial Value, and Valuation Value (Retirement Only) of Assets as of June 30, 2007 – 2016



C. ACTUARIAL EXPERIENCE

To calculate the required contribution, assumptions are made about future events that affect the amount and timing of benefits to be paid and assets to be accumulated. Each year actual experience is measured against the assumptions. If overall experience is more favorable than anticipated (an actuarial gain), the contribution requirement will decrease from the previous year. On the other hand, the contribution requirement will increase if overall actuarial experience is less favorable than expected (an actuarial loss).

Taking account of experience gains or losses in one year without making a change in assumptions reflects the belief that the single year's experience was a short-term development and that, over the long term, experience will return to the original assumptions. For contribution requirements to remain stable, assumptions should approximate experience.

If assumptions are changed, the contribution requirement is adjusted to take into account a change in experience anticipated for all future years.

The total gain of \$255,444,007 was due to an investment loss of \$53,562,466 (after smoothing), and a gain of \$309,006,473 from all other sources. The net experience variation from all other sources was 1.77% of the actuarial accrued liability. A discussion of the major components of the actuarial experience is on the following pages.

This chart provides a summary of the actuarial experience during the past year.

CHART 9

Actuarial Experience for Year Ended June 30, 2016

| 1. | Net loss from investments* | -\$53,562,466 |
|----|--|------------------|
| 2. | Net gain from other experience** | 300,812,751 |
| 3. | Net gain from scheduled one-year delay in implementing the lower contribution rate calculated in the June 30, 2015 valuation until fiscal year 2016/2017 | <u>8,193,722</u> |
| 4. | Net experience gain: $(1) + (2) + (3)$ | \$255,444,007 |

* Details in Chart 10.

** Details in Chart 13. The net gain is attributed to actual liability experience from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 compared to the projected experience based on the actuarial assumptions as of June 30, 2015.

Investment Rate of Return

A major component of projected asset growth is the assumed rate of return. The assumed return should represent the expected long-term rate of return, based on LACERS' investment policy. For valuation purposes, the assumed rate of return on the valuation value of assets is 7.50% (for the June 30, 2015 valuation). The actual rate of return on the valuation value of assets basis for the 2016 plan year was 7.05%.

Investment Experience for Year Ended June 30, 2016

Since the actual return for the year was less than the assumed return, LACERS experienced an actuarial loss during the year ended June 30, 2016 with regard to its investments.

CHART 10

This chart shows the gain/(loss) due to investment experience.

| | | Market Value | Actuarial Value | Valuation Value |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | (Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits) | (Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits) | (Includes assets for Retirement Only) |
| 1. | Actual return | \$7,190,895 | \$983,405,153 | \$835,483,033 |
| 2. | Average value of assets | \$14,296,192,849 | \$14,067,021,701 | \$11,853,939,989 |
| 3. | Actual rate of return: $(1) \div (2)$ | 0.05% | 6.99% | 7.05% |
| 4. | Assumed rate of return | 7.50% | 7.50% | 7.50% |
| 5. | Expected return: (2) x (4) | \$1,072,214,464 | \$1,055,026,628 | \$889,045,499 |
| 6. | Actuarial gain/(loss): $(1) - (5)$ | -\$1,065,023,569 | <u>-\$71,621,475</u> | -\$53,562,466 |



Because actuarial planning is long term, it is useful to see how the assumed investment rate of return has followed actual experience over time. The chart below shows the rate of return on an actuarial basis compared to the market value investment return for the Retirement, Health, Family Death and Larger Annuity Benefits for the last ten years, including the five-year average.

CHART 11

| | Net Intere Dividend | Net Interest and Dividend Income | | Recognition of Actuarial Value Market V Capital Appreciation Investment Return Investment | | cognition of Actuarial Value Market Value al Appreciation Investment Return Investment Retu | | ognition of Actuarial Value | | Actuarial Value Investment Return | | Value t Return |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Year Ended June 30 | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | | | | |
| 2007 | \$261,677,229 | 2.95% | \$890,907,654 | 10.04% | \$1,152,584,883 | 12.99% | \$1,811,903,293 | 19.13% | | | | |
| 2008 | 290,092,182 | 2.91% | 752,500,487 | 7.53% | 1,042,592,669 | 10.44% | -649,747,001 | -5.78% | | | | |
| 2009 | 237,249,377 | 2.17% | 9,861,278 | 0.09% | 247,110,655 | 2.26% | -2,125,637,471 | -20.26% | | | | |
| 2010 | 190,583,695 | 1.73% | 71,009,369 | 0.64% | 261,593,064 | 2.37% | 1,049,769,484 | 12.79% | | | | |
| 2011 | 211,685,408 | 1.91% | 291,263,922 | 2.63% | 502,949,330 | 4.54% | 1,934,130,562 | 21.33% | | | | |
| 2012 | 213,980,878 | 1.88% | 290,831,650 | 2.55% | 504,812,528 | 4.43% | 67,093,447 | 0.62% | | | | |
| 2013 | 253,877,178 | 2.17% | 315,633,473 | 2.69% | 569,510,651 | 4.86% | 1,512,696,071 | 14.14% | | | | |
| 2014 | 225,147,763 | 1.86% | 873,017,519 | 7.19% | 1,098,165,282 | 9.05% | 2,180,005,303 | 18.09% | | | | |
| 2015 | 231,942,743 | 1.77% | 887,268,617 | 6.79% | 1,119,211,360 | 8.56% | 348,113,908 | 2.47% | | | | |
| 2016 | <u>240,916,934</u> | 1.71% | 742,488,219 | 5.28% | <u>983,405,153</u> | 6.99% | 7,190,895 | 0.05% | | | | |
| Total | \$2,357,153,387 | | \$5,124,782,188 | | \$7,481,935,575 | | \$6,135,518,491 | | | | | |
| | | | | Five-yea | ar average return: | 6.76% | | 6.82% | | | | |
| | | | | Ten-yea | ar average return: | 6.60% | | 5.47% | | | | |

Investment Return – Actuarial Value vs. Market Value: Years Ended June 30, 2007 – 2016

SECTION 2: Valuation Summary for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Subsection B described the actuarial asset valuation method that gradually takes into account fluctuations in the market value rate of return. The effect of this is to stabilize the actuarial rate of return, which contributes to leveling pension plan costs.

This chart illustrates how this leveling effect has actually worked over the years 2007 - 2016.



2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2010

CHART 12

2007

2008

2009

Market Value, Actuarial Value, and Valuation Value (Retirement Only) Rates of Return for Years Ended June 30, 2007 – 2016

2016

Other Experience

There are other differences between the expected and the actual experience that appear when the new valuation is compared with the projections from the previous valuation. These include:

- > the extent of turnover among the participants,
- > retirement experience (earlier or later than expected),
- > mortality (more or fewer deaths than expected),
- > the number of disability retirements, and
- > salary increases different than assumed.

The net gain from this other experience for the year ended June 30, 2016 amounted to \$300,812,751 which is 1.73% of the actuarial accrued liability.

A brief summary of the demographic gain experience of LACERS for the year ended June 30, 2016 is shown in the chart below.

The chart shows elements of the experience gain/(loss) for the most recent year.

CHART 13

Experience Due to Changes in Demographics for Year Ended June 30, 2016

| 1. | Gain due to lower than expected salary increases for continuing actives | \$115,585,774 |
|----|--|---------------|
| 2. | Gain due to lower than expected COLA granted to retirees and beneficiaries | 183,080,033 |
| 3. | Miscellaneous gain | 2,146,944 |
| 4. | Total gain | \$300,812,751 |

D. RECOMMENDED CONTRIBUTION

The amount of annual contribution required to fund the Plan is comprised of an employer normal cost payment and a payment on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. This total amount is then divided by the projected payroll for active members to determine the funding rate of 22.96% of payroll, if paid by the City at the end of each pay period. We have continued to follow the method used in the June 30, 2005 valuation to adjust the contribution requirement if the GASB ARC minimum contribution under the old GASB Statements No. 25 and 27 is greater than the amount prescribed below. For 2016, the beginning of year minimum GASB ARC is \$389.8 million, so no additional adjustment has been made to the recommended contributions.

As shown on line item 1 on the next page for the combined results, the total normal cost rate increased from 16.91% on June 30, 2015 to 17.31% on June 30, 2016.

The chart compares this valuation's recommended contribution with the prior valuation.

CHART 14

Recommended Contribution

| | | Year Ende | d June 30 | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| | 2016 | ; | 2015 | 2015 | |
| | | % of | | % of | |
| <u>Tier 1</u> | Amount | Payroll | Amount | Payroll | |
| 1. Total normal cost | \$336,566,728 | 17.35% | \$309,311,393 | 17.29% | |
| 2. Expected employee contributions ⁽¹⁾ | -205,768,747 | -10.61% | -188,661,682 | -10.54% | |
| 3. Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2)$ | \$130,797,981 | 6.74% | \$120,649,711 | 6.75% | |
| 4. Actuarial accrued liability | 17,424,360,500 | | 16,897,676,431 | | |
| 5. Valuation value of assets | -12,438,532,553 | | -11,715,446,106 | | |
| 6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | \$4,985,827,947 | | \$5,182,230,325 | | |
| 7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability | 299,521,454 | 15.44% ^{(2),(3)} | 293,248,621 | 16.39% | |
| 8. Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: $(3) + (7)$ | <u>\$430,319,435</u> | 22.18% | <u>\$413,898,332</u> | 23.14% | |
| 9. Total recommended contribution, July 15 | <u>\$431,600,282</u> | <u>22.25%</u> | <u>\$415,130,302</u> | 23.21% | |
| 10. Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods | <u>\$446,164,686</u> | <u>23.00%</u> | <u>\$429,138,924</u> | <u>23.99%</u> | |
| 11. Projected payroll | \$1,939,683,049 | | \$1,788,743,164 | | |

(1) Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 10.94% for the June 30, 2015 valuation and 11.01% for the June 30, 2016 valuation. As of June 30, 2016, all active Tier 1 members are now paying an additional contribution rate of either 4.00% or 4.50%.

⁽²⁾ In developing the UAAL contribution rate, we have combined the UAAL for Tiers 1 and 3 and amortized that total UAAL over the total payroll for Tiers 1 and 3.

⁽³⁾ For purposes of purchasing service with the Water and Power Employees' Retirement Plan (WPERP) for Tier 1, the UAAL rate as of June 30, 2016 is 15.44% if paid at the beginning of the year. If paid on July 15, the UAAL rate increases to 15.49%.



| Rec | ommended Contribution | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | Year Endec | June 30 | | |
| | | 2016 | | 2015 | | |
| | - | | % of | | % of | |
| <u> 1e</u> | <u>r 2</u> | Amount | Payroll | Amount | Payroll | |
| 1. | Total normal cost | | | \$13,262,881 | 11.15% | |
| 2. | Expected employee contributions | | | -11,462,307 | <u>-9.64%</u> ⁽²⁾ | |
| 3. | Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2)$ | | | \$1,800,574 | 1.51% | |
| 4. | Actuarial accrued liability | | | 12,319,949 | | |
| 5. | Valuation value of assets | | | <u>-11,715,272</u> | | |
| 6. | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | N/A ⁽¹⁾ | | \$604,677 | | |
| 7. | Amortization of unfunded accrued liability | | | 19,496,117 | 16.39% | |
| 8. | Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: $(3) + (7)$ | | | <u>\$21,296,691</u> | <u>17.90%</u> | |
| 9. | Total recommended contribution, July 15 | | | <u>\$21,360,080</u> | <u>17.96%</u> | |
| 10. | Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods | | | \$22,080,880 | <u>18.57%</u> | |
| 11. | Projected payroll | | | \$118,921,434 | | |
| <u>Tie</u> | <u>r 3</u> | | | | | |
| 1. | Total normal cost | \$4,191,894 | 14.45% | | 14.10% | |
| 2. | Expected employee contributions ⁽³⁾ | -3,076,775 | <u>-10.60%</u> | | <u>-10.60%</u> | |
| 3. | Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2)$ | \$1,115,119 | 3.85% | | 3.50% | |
| 4. | Actuarial accrued liability | 635,829 | | | | |
| 5. | Valuation value of assets | -717,653 | | | | |
| 6. | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | -\$81,824 | | N/A ⁽⁶⁾ | | |
| 7. | Amortization of unfunded accrued liability | 4,481,138 | 15.44% ^{(4),(5)} | | 16.39% | |
| 8. | Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: $(3) + (7)$ | <u>\$5,596,257</u> | <u>19.29%</u> | | <u>19.89%</u> | |
| 9. | Total recommended contribution, July 15 | \$5,612,914 | <u>19.34%</u> | | <u>19.95%</u> | |
| 10. | Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods | <u>\$5,802,323</u> | <u>19.99%</u> | | <u>20.61%</u> | |
| 11. | Projected payroll | \$29,019,581 | | | | |

(1) Effective February 21, 2016, all Tier 2 employees were transferred to Tier 1.

CHART 14 (continued)

⁽²⁾ Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 10.00% for the June 30, 2015 valuation.

⁽³⁾ Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 11.00% for the June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 valuations.

(4) In developing the UAAL contribution rate, we have combined the UAAL for Tiers 1 and 3 and amortized that total UAAL over the total payroll for Tiers 1 and 3.

(5) For purposes of Government Service Buybacks for Tier 3, the UAAL rate as of June 30, 2016 is -0.02% if paid at the beginning of the year. It is calculated by: (i) amortizing -\$81,824 over 15 years (or a credit of \$6,807) and (ii) dividing that credit over Tier 3 payroll (or \$29,019,581). If paid on July 15, the UAAL rate remains at -0.02% even though the credit increases to \$6,827.



CHART 14 (continued) Recommended Contribution Year Ended June 30 2016 2015 % of % of Combined Amount Payroll Amount Payroll 1. Total normal cost \$340.758.622 17.31% \$322.574.274 16.91% 2. Expected employee contributions -208,845,522 -10.61% -200,123,989 -10.49% 6.70% 6.42% 3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)\$131,913,100 \$122,450,285 4. Actuarial accrued liability 17,424,996,329 16,909,996,380 5. Valuation value of assets -12,439,250,206 -11,727,161,378 6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability \$5,182,835,002 \$4,985,746,123 7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability 304,002,592 15.44% 312,744,738 16.39% 8. Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: (3) + (7)\$435,915,692 22.14% \$435,195,023 22.81%⁽¹⁾ <u>22.88%</u>⁽¹⁾ 9. Total recommended contribution, July 15 \$437,213,196 22.21% \$436,490,382 10. Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods 23.65%(1),(2) \$451,967,009 <u>22.96%</u> \$451,219,804 11. Projected payroll \$1,968,702,630 \$1,907,664,598

(1) The June 30, 2015 combined rates were determined in our June 30, 2015 valuation report dated October 30, 2015 and have not been restated herein to reflect any events after June 30, 2015.

(2) For illustrative purposes, if we were to apply the Tier 1 and Tier 3 payroll used in the current June 30, 2016 valuation to the Tier 1 and Tier 3 contribution rates determined in the June 30, 2015 valuation, the combined contribution rate as determined in the 2015 valuation assuming contributions would be paid at the end of each pay period would change from 23.65% to 23.94%. If paid by the City at the beginning of the year, the calculated normal cost is 6.70% of payroll for Tier 1 and Tier 3 combined. The remaining 15.44% of payroll will amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over an equivalent single amortization period of about 24 years.

The contribution rates as of June 30, 2016 are based on all of the data described in the previous sections, the actuarial assumptions described in Section 4, and the Plan provisions adopted at the time of preparation of the Actuarial Valuation.

Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution

The chart below details the changes in the recommended contribution from the prior valuation to the current year's valuation.

CHART 15

Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution⁽¹⁾ from June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016

| the prior valuation to | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------------|--|--|
| the amount determined | Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2015 | | | |
| in this valuation. | Effect of increase in employer normal cost due to payroll and demographic changes (including the enrollment of new employees in Tier 3 instead of Tier 2) | | | |
| | Effect of anticipated one-year delay in implementing the lower combined contribution rate calculated in the prior valuation | -0.04% | | |
| | Effect of investment loss | 0.23% | | |
| | Effect of amortizing prior year's UAAL over a smaller than expected projected total payroll | 0.13% | | |
| | Effect of lower than expected salary increases for actives | -0.50% | | |
| | Effect of lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries | -0.79% | | |
| | Effect of other gains on accrued liability | <u>-0.01%</u> | | |
| | Total change | -0.69% | | |
| | Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2016 | 22.96% | | |

(1) Based on contributions at the end of each pay period.

The chart reconciles

the contribution from the prior valuation to

E. FUNDED RATIO

A commonly reported piece of information regarding the Plan's financial status is the funded ratio. The ratios compare the valuation value of assets and the market value of assets to the actuarial accrued liabilities of the Plan as calculated. High ratios indicate a well-funded plan with assets sufficient to cover the plan's actuarial accrued liabilities. Lower ratios may indicate recent changes to benefit structures, funding of the plan below actuarial requirements, poor asset performance, or a variety of other factors. The chart below depicts a history of the funded ratios for this plan. The funded status measures shown in this valuation are appropriate for assessing the need for or amount of future contributions. However, they are not necessarily appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of Plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the Plan's benefit obligations. As the chart below shows, the measures are different depending on whether the valuation or market value of assets is used.

CHART 16



Funded Ratio for Years Ending June 30, 2007 - 2016

★ Segal Consulting
CHART 17

Schedule of Funding Progress

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Valuation Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b) | Unfunded/ (Overfunded) AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a) | Funded Ratio (a) / (b) | Covered Payroll (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b) - (a)] / (c) |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 06/30/2007 | \$8,599,699,772 ⁽¹⁾ | \$10,526,874,184 | \$1,927,174,412 | 81.69% | \$1,896,609,013 | 101.61% |
| 06/30/2008 | 9,438,318,300 | 11,186,403,741 | 1,748,085,441 | 84.37% | 1,977,644,640 | 88.39% |
| 06/30/2009 | 9,577,747,421 | 12,041,983,936 | 2,464,236,515 | 79.54% | 1,816,171,212 | 135.68% |
| 06/30/2010 | 9,554,027,411 | 12,595,025,119 | 3,040,997,708 | 75.86% | 1,817,662,284 | 167.30% |
| 06/30/2011 | 9,691,011,496 | 13,391,704,000 | 3,700,692,504 | 72.37% | 1,833,392,381 | 201.85% |
| 06/30/2012 | 9,934,959,310 | 14,393,958,574 | 4,458,999,264 | 69.02% | 1,819,269,630 | 245.10% |
| 06/30/2013 | 10,223,960,886 | 14,881,663,162 | 4,657,702,276 | 68.70% | 1,846,970,474 | 252.18% |
| 06/30/2014 | 10,944,750,574 | 16,248,853,099 | 5,304,102,525 | 67.36% | 1,898,064,175 | 279.45% |
| 06/30/2015 | 11,727,161,378 | 16,909,996,380 | 5,182,835,002 | 69.35% | 1,907,664,598 | 271.68% |
| 06/30/2016 | 12,439,250,206 | 17,424,996,329 | 4,985,746,123 | 71.39% | 1,968,702,630 | 253.25% |
| | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Valuation value of assets is after excluding \$5,269,481 of discounted Harbor Port Police assets transferred in October 2007.

F. VOLATILITY RATIOS

Retirement plans are subject to volatility in the level of required contributions. This volatility tends to increase as retirement plans become more mature.

The Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR), which is equal to the market value of assets divided by total payroll, provides an indication of the potential contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. A higher AVR indicates that the plan is subject to a greater level of contribution volatility. This is a current measure since it is based on the current level of assets.

For LACERS, the current AVR is about 6.0. This means that a 1% asset gain/(loss) (relative to the assumed investment return) translates to about 6.0% of one-year's payroll. Since LACERS amortizes actuarial gains and losses over a period of 15 years, there would be a 0.5% of payroll decrease/(increase) in the required contribution for each 1% asset gain/(loss).

The Liability Volatility Ratio (LVR), which is equal to the Actuarial Accrued Liability divided by payroll, provides an indication of the longer-term potential for contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. This is because, over an extended period of time, the plan's assets should track the plan's liabilities. For example, if a plan is 50% funded on a market value basis, the liability volatility ratio would be double the asset volatility ratio and the plan sponsor should expect contribution volatility to increase over time as the plan becomes better funded.

The LVR also indicates how volatile contributions will be in response to changes in the Actuarial Accrued Liability due to actual experience or to changes in actuarial assumptions.

For LACERS, the current LVR is about 8.9. This is about 48% higher than the AVR. Therefore, we would expect that contribution volatility will increase over the long-term

This chart shows how the asset and liability volatility ratios have varied over time.

CHART 18

Volatility Ratios for Years Ended June 30, 2008 – 2016

| Year Ended June 30 | Asset Volatility Ratio | Liability Volatility Ratio |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2008 | 4.6 | 5.7 |
| 2009 | 3.8 | 6.5 |
| 2010 | 4.3 | 6.9 |
| 2011 | 5.0 | 7.3 |
| 2012 | 5.0 | 7.9 |
| 2013 | 5.5 | 8.1 |
| 2014 | 6.2 | 8.6 |
| 2015 | 6.2 | 8.9 |
| 2016 | 6.0 | 8.9 |

EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage

i. Tier 1

| | Year End | led June 30 | Change From |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Category | 2016 | 2015* | Prior Year |
| Active members in valuation: | | | |
| Number | 23,985 | 23,895 | 0.4% |
| Average age | 48.8 | 48.8 | N/A |
| Average service | 15.0 | 15.0 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll** | \$1,939,683,049 | \$1,907,664,598 | 1.7% |
| Projected average payroll** | \$80,871 | \$79,835 | 1.3% |
| Account balances | \$1,987,350,554 | \$1,877,518,393 | 5.8% |
| Total active vested members | 20,078 | 20,906 | -4.0% |
| Inactive members: | | | |
| Number | 6,877 | 6,507 | 5.7% |
| Average age | 44.7 | 44.6 | N/A |
| Average contribution balance for those with under 5 years of service | \$5,367 | \$5,170 | 3.8% |
| Average monthly benefit at age 60 for those with 5 or more years of service*** | \$1,482 | \$1,440 | 2.9% |
| Retired members: | | | |
| Number in pay status | 13,572 | 13,217 | 2.7% |
| Average service at retirement | 26.7 | 26.7 | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | 60.2 | 60.2 | N/A |
| Average age | 71.9 | 71.8 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,068 | \$4,023 | 1.1% |
| Disabled members: | | | |
| Number in pay status | 922 | 910 | 1.3% |
| Average service at retirement | 11.8 | 11.8 | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | 47.1 | 46.7 | N/A |
| Average age | 65.5 | 65.2 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$1,636 | \$1,616 | 1.2% |
| Beneficiaries: | | | |
| Number in pay status | 3,863 | 3,805 | 1.5% |
| Average age | 76.5 | 76.5 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$2,108 | \$2,072 | 1.7% |
| * Includes Tier ? members | | | |

Includes Tier 2 members.

Reflects annualized salaries for part-time members. **

*** Based on salary at termination from LACERS.



EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage

ii. Tier 3

| | Year Ended | June 30 | Change From |
|---|--------------|---------|-------------|
| Category | 2016 | 2015 | Prior Year |
| Active members in valuation: | | | |
| Number | 461 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | 36.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Average service | 0.2 | N/A | N/A |
| Projected total payroll* | \$29,019,581 | N/A | N/A |
| Projected average payroll* | \$62,949 | N/A | N/A |
| Account balances | \$569,778 | N/A | N/A |
| Total active vested members | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Inactive members: | | | |
| Number | 18 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | 40.8 | N/A | N/A |
| Average contribution balance for those with under 5 years of service | \$912 | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 60 for those with 5 or more years of service** | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retired members: | | | |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average service at retirement | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled members: | | | |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average service at retirement | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Beneficiaries: | | | |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

* Reflects annualized salaries for part-time members.

** Based on salary at termination from LACERS.

EXHIBIT B

Members in Active Service as of June 30, 2016 By Age, Years of Service, and Average Payroll

i. Tier 1

| | | | | | Years of | Service | | | | |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Age | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 & over |
| Under 25 | 369 | 369 | | | | | | | | |
| | \$47,436 | \$47,436 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 - 29 | 1,161 | 947 | 211 | 3 | | | | | | |
| | 53,630 | 53,976 | \$51,842 | \$70,299 | | | | | | |
| 30 - 34 | 1,820 | 778 | 726 | 304 | 12 | | | | | |
| | 67,846 | 63,603 | 71,080 | 71,024 | \$66,771 | | | | | |
| 35 - 39 | 2,505 | 537 | 745 | 944 | 272 | 7 | | | | |
| | 76,425 | 67,424 | 76,670 | 79,239 | 83,652 | \$80,482 | | | | |
| 40 - 44 | 2,729 | 398 | 565 | 890 | 742 | 121 | 13 | | | |
| | 81,306 | 71,414 | 76,003 | 81,698 | 88,536 | 91,150 | \$83,458 | | | |
| 45 - 49 | 3,486 | 333 | 521 | 878 | 848 | 421 | 457 | 28 | | |
| | 85,184 | 72,467 | 74,437 | 78,265 | 90,261 | 100,249 | 96,533 | \$87,860 | | |
| 50 - 54 | 4,300 | 220 | 486 | 730 | 793 | 419 | 1,147 | 462 | 43 | |
| | 87,846 | 70,634 | 70,834 | 77,361 | 87,059 | 97,222 | 99,663 | 94,054 | \$87,388 | |
| 55 - 59 | 3,662 | 200 | 387 | 637 | 585 | 328 | 886 | 486 | 153 | |
| | 87,730 | 73,121 | 68,563 | 74,407 | 83,564 | 95,993 | 97,309 | 105,190 | 98,063 | |
| 60 - 64 | 2,369 | 90 | 261 | 533 | 395 | 220 | 465 | 258 | 105 | 42 |
| | 86,470 | 67,326 | 69,849 | 75,055 | 83,724 | 95,090 | 97,411 | 103,325 | 101,199 | \$94,846 |
| 65 - 69 | 1,059 | 37 | 138 | 250 | 227 | 78 | 164 | 93 | 47 | 25 |
| | 79,562 | 68,221 | 64,809 | 63,371 | 80,972 | 87,870 | 91,962 | 98,455 | 94,859 | 120,583 |
| 70 & over | 525 | 16 | 68 | 133 | 102 | 41 | 83 | 43 | 16 | 23 |
| | 72,471 | 59,394 | 51,584 | 61,578 | 74,777 | 78,115 | 82,445 | 90,456 | 85,774 | 107,161 |
| Total | 23,985 | 3,925 | 4,108 | 5,302 | 3,976 | 1,635 | 3,215 | 1,370 | 364 | 90 |
| | \$80,871 | \$62,818 | \$71,331 | \$76,563 | \$86,215 | \$96,022 | \$97,341 | \$99,810 | \$96,753 | \$105,142 |

EXHIBIT B

Members in Active Service as of June 30, 2016 By Age, Years of Service, and Average Payroll

ii. Tier 3

| | | | | | Years of S | Service | | | | |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----|-------|------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Age | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 & over |
| Under 25 | 62 | 62 | | | | | | | | |
| | \$48,081 | \$48,081 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 - 29 | 101 | 101 | | | | | | | | |
| | 54,781 | 54,781 | | | | | | | | |
| 30 - 34 | 78 | 78 | | | | | | | | |
| | 64,261 | 64,261 | | | | | | | | |
| 35 - 39 | 64 | 64 | | | | | | | | |
| | 63,277 | 63,277 | | | | | | | | |
| 40 - 44 | 48 | 48 | | | | | | | | |
| | 70,902 | 70,902 | | | | | | | | |
| 45 - 49 | 37 | 37 | | | | | | | | |
| | 75,376 | 75,376 | | | | | | | | |
| 50 - 54 | 39 | 39 | | | | | | | | |
| | 72,311 | 72,311 | | | | | | | | |
| 55 - 59 | 19 | 19 | | | | | | | | |
| | 71,552 | 71,552 | | | | | | | | |
| 60 - 64 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | 76,318 | 76,318 | | | | | | | | |
| 65 - 69 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | 63,927 | 63,927 | | | | | | | | |
| 70 & over | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 180,760 | 180,760 | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 461 | 461 | | | | | | | | |
| | \$62,949 | \$62,949 | | | | | | | | |

EXHIBIT C

Reconciliation of Member Data

| | Active | Inactive | | Retired | | _ / . |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|---------------|------------|
| | Members | Members | Disableds | Members | Beneficiaries | Total |
| Number as of June 30, 2015 | 23,895 | 6,507 | 910 | 13,217 | 3,805 | 48,334 |
| New members | 1,912 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1,912 |
| Terminations – with vested rights | -881 | 881 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retirements | -655 | -121 | N/A | 776 | N/A | 0 |
| New disabilities | -1 | -43 | 44 | N/A | N/A | 0 |
| Died with beneficiary | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 261 | 261 |
| Deaths or benefits expired | -58 | -28 | -32 | -421 | -203 | -742 |
| Refund of members contributions | -80 | -129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -209 |
| Rehired | 314 | -313 | -1 | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Data adjustments | <u>0</u> | <u>141*</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>142</u> |
| Number as of June 30, 2016 | 24,446 | 6,895 | 922 | 13,572 | 3,863 | 49,698 |

* Includes members who were both hired and terminated during the year.

Note: For the change in the annual benefits from the retirees and beneficiaries added to and removed from the rolls, refer to Exhibit D of the supplemental schedules that accompany this report.

EXHIBIT D

Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on an Actuarial Value Basis for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits

| | June 3 | 0, 2016 | June 3 | 0, 2015 |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Contribution income: | | | | |
| Employer contributions | \$546,687,123 | | \$481,765,868 | |
| Employee contributions | <u>211,344,752</u> | | 207,564,465 | |
| Net contribution income | | \$758,031,875 | | \$689,330,333 |
| Investment income: | | | | |
| Interest, dividends and other income | \$328,356,817 | | \$315,373,999 | |
| Recognition of capital appreciation | 742,488,219 | | 887,268,617 | |
| Less investment and administrative fees | <u>-87,439,883</u> | | -83,431,256 | |
| Net investment income | | <u>\$983,405,153</u> | | <u>\$1,119,211,360</u> |
| Total income available for benefits | | \$1,741,437,028 | | \$1,808,541,693 |
| Less benefit payments: | | | | |
| Payment of benefits | -\$877,204,804 | | -\$838,334,980 | |
| Refunds of contributions | -7,718,826 | | -10,120,884 | |
| Net benefit payments | | -\$884,923,630 | | -\$848,455,864 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Change in reserve for future benefits | | \$856,513,398 | | \$960,085,829 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes a transfer of \$2,614,765 to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan due to a change in membership for certain employees in the Office of Public Safety.

Note: Results may be slightly off due to rounding.

EXHIBIT E

Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits

| | June 3 | 30, 2016 | June 3 | 0, 2015 |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cash equivalents | | \$499,731,305 | | \$520,833,530 |
| Accounts receivable: | | | | |
| Investment income | \$50,163,160 | | \$44,947,780 | |
| Proceeds from sales of investments | 102,333,983 | | 118,813,253 | |
| Other | 28,008,361 | | <u>9,783,949</u> | |
| Total accounts receivable | | \$180,505,504 | | \$173,544,982 |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Fixed Income | \$3,674,318,513 | | \$3,589,262,579 | |
| Equities | 7,542,082,855 | | 8,100,719,055 | |
| Real Estate and Alternative Investment | 2,241,780,660 | | 1,991,923,845 | |
| Other | 782,185,594 | | <u>847,945,965</u> | |
| Total investments at market value | | \$14,240,367,622 | | \$14,529,851,444 |
| Capital assets | | <u>\$4,951,637</u> | | \$4,050,199 |
| Total assets | | \$14,925,556,068 | | \$15,228,280,155 |
| Less accounts payable: | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | | -\$38,484,600 | | -\$34,950,273 |
| Accrued investment expenses | | -11,324,180 | | -9,333,189 |
| Derivative instruments | | -870,314 | | 0 |
| Purchases of investments | | -174,028,137 | | -228,312,951 |
| Security lending collateral | | -695,789,322 | | -830,923,367 |
| Total accounts payable | | -\$920,496,553 | | -\$1,103,519,780 |
| Net assets at market value | | \$14,005,059,515 | | \$14,124,760,375 |
| Net assets at actuarial value | | \$14,752,102,625 | | <u>\$13,895,589,227</u> |
| Net assets at valuation value (retirement benefits) | | <u>\$12,439,250,206</u> | | <u>\$11,727,161,378</u> |

Note: Results may be slightly off due to rounding.

EXHIBIT F

Development of the Fund Through June 30, 2016 for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits

| Year Ended June 30 | Employer Contributions | Employee Contributions | Net Investment Return ⁽¹⁾ | Benefit Payments | Actuarial Value of Assets at End of Year |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| 2007 | \$408,392,318 | \$106,233,984 | \$1,152,584,883 | \$540,388,726 | \$9,812,926,556 |
| 2008 | 411,658,277 | 114,678,456 | 1,042,592,669 ⁽²⁾ | 576,014,324 ⁽³⁾ | 10,805,841,634 |
| 2009 | 383,637,842 | 118,592,071 | 247,110,655 | 605,798,000 | 10,949,384,202 |
| 2010 | 362,751,146 | 126,961,295 | 261,593,064 | 681,106,189 | 11,019,583,518 |
| 2011 | 414,133,032 | 114,731,434 | 502,949,330 | 770,755,578 | 11,280,641,736 |
| 2012 | 423,920,740 | 178,246,151 | 504,812,528 | 767,163,328 | 11,620,457,827 |
| 2013 | 419,266,581 | 197,880,631 | 569,510,651 | 803,005,352 | 12,004,110,338 |
| 2014 | 455,658,786 | 204,135,914 | 1,098,165,281 | 826,566,921 | 12,935,503,398 |
| 2015 | 481,765,868 | 207,564,465 | 1,119,211,360 | 848,455,864 ⁽⁴⁾ | 13,895,589,227 |
| 2016 | 546,687,123 | 211,344,752 | 983,405,153 | 884,923,630 | 14,752,102,625 |

(1) Based on actuarial value of assets. Net of investment fees and administrative expenses.

⁽²⁾ Includes an \$11,000,000 return of excess reserve from PPO carrier.

⁽³⁾ Includes transfer of \$6,220,076 to Fire and Police Pension for Harbor Port Police.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes transfer of \$2,614,765 to Fire and Police Pension for Office of Public Safety.

EXHIBIT G

Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for Year Ended June 30, 2016

| 1. | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year | \$5,182,835,002 |
|----|---|------------------------|
| 2. | Normal cost at beginning of year | 322,574,274 |
| 3. | Expected contributions at beginning of year* | -629,883,573 |
| 4. | Interest | 365,664,427 |
| 5. | Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability | \$5,241,190,130 |
| 6. | Changes due to net experience gain** | -255,444,007 |
| 7. | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year | <u>\$4,985,746,123</u> |

* Net of the additional expected employer contributions due to the application of the 40-year minimum amortization required for the two GASB 25/27 layers, since the beginning of year UAAL was developed without the liability associated with those two layers. These additional contributions will serve to reduce the contribution loss (if any) from the scheduled one-year delay in implementing the higher contribution rates calculated in the prior valuation.

** The breakdown of the net experience gain is as follows:

| Investment loss 53,5 | 62,466 |
|--|--------|
| | |
| Gain due to lower than expected salary increases for continuing actives -115,5 | 85,774 |
| Gain due to lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries -183,0 | 80,033 |
| Miscellaneous gain <u>-2,1</u> | 46,944 |
| Total gain -\$255,4 | 44,007 |



EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases

| Туре | Date Established | Initial Years | Initial Amount | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment* |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Plan amendment (2009 ERIP) | 06/30/2009 | 15 | \$300,225,354 | \$238,120,330 | 8 | \$33,325,076 |
| Combined base | 06/30/2012 | 30 | 4,173,548,280 | 4,497,020,369 | 26 | 253,711,413 |
| Experience loss | 06/30/2013 | 15 | 116,022,989 | 109,578,337 | 12 | 10,883,669 |
| Experience gain | 06/30/2014 | 15 | -215,549,892 | -208,322,147 | 13 | -19,396,228 |
| Change in assumptions | 06/30/2014 | 20 | 785,439,114 | 787,589,566 | 18 | 57,125,658 |
| Experience gain | 06/30/2015 | 15 | -185,473,782 | -182,796,325 | 14 | -16,047,917 |
| Experience gain | 06/30/2016 | 15 | -255,444,007 | -255,444,007 | 15 | -21,251,937 |
| Subtotal before GASB amount | | | | \$4,985,746,123 | | \$298,349,734 |
| 40-year minimum GASB 25/27 | 06/30/2004 | 15 | 29,189,615 | 11,576,115 | 3 | 3,987,109 |
| 40-year minimum GASB 25/27 | 06/30/2005 | 15 | 12,708,684 | <u>6,344,598</u> | 4 | 1,665,749 |
| Total | | | | \$5,003,666,836 | | \$304,002,592 |

* Beginning of year payments, based on level percentage of payroll.

Note: The equivalent single amortization period is about 24 years.

EXHIBIT I

Section 415 Limitations

Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) specifies the maximum benefits that may be paid to an individual from a defined benefit plan and the maximum amounts that may be allocated each year to an individual's account in a defined contribution plan.

A qualified pension plan may not pay benefits in excess of the Section 415 limits. The ultimate penalty for noncompliance is disqualification: active participants could be taxed on their vested benefits and the IRS may seek to tax the income earned on the plan's assets.

In particular, Section 415(b) of the IRC limits the maximum annual benefit payable at the Normal Retirement Age to a dollar limit of \$160,000 indexed for inflation. That limit is \$210,000 for 2016. Normal Retirement Age for these purposes is age 62. These are the limits in simplified terms. They must be adjusted based on each participant's circumstances, for such things as age at retirement, form of benefits chosen and after tax contributions.

Benefits in excess of the limits may be paid through a qualified governmental excess plan that meets the requirements of Section 415(m).

Legal Counsel's review and interpretation of the law and regulations should be sought on any questions in this regard.

Contribution rates determined in this valuation have not been reduced for the Section 415 limitations. Actual limitations will result in gains as they occur.

EXHIBIT J

Definitions of Pension Terms

The following list defines certain technical terms for the convenience of the reader:

| Assumptions or Actuarial Assumptions: | The e | stimates on which the cost of the Plan is calculated including: |
|--|--|--|
| - | (a) | <u>Investment return</u> — the rate of investment yield that the Plan will earn over the long-term future; |
| | (b) | <u>Mortality rates</u> — the death rates of employees and pensioners; life expectancy is based on these rates; |
| | (c) | Retirement rates — the rate or probability of retirement at a given age; and |
| | (d) | <u>Turnover rates</u> — the rates at which employees of various ages are expected to leave employment for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement. |
| Normal Cost: | The a of ser | mount of contributions required to fund the benefit allocated to the current year vice. |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | | |
| For Actives: | The e valua | quivalent of the accumulated normal costs allocated to the years before the tion date. |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | | |
| For Pensioners: | The s account that the the the the the the the tension of | ingle sum value of lifetime benefits to existing pensioners. This sum takes int of life expectancies appropriate to the ages of the pensioners and the interest he sum is expected to earn before it is entirely paid out in benefits. |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued | | |
| Liability: | The e Plan. liabili of tin | extent to which the actuarial accrued liability of the Plan exceeds the assets of the There is a wide range of approaches to paying off the unfunded actuarial accrued ity, from meeting the interest accrual only to amortizing it over a specific period ne. |

SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

| Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: | Payments made over a period of years equal in value to the Plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability. |
|--|---|
| Investment Return: | The rate of earnings of the Plan from its investments, including interest, dividends and market gain and loss adjustments, computed as a percentage of the average value of the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of the market gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one year to the next. |



EXHIBIT I

Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results

| Th | e valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us: | | |
|----|--|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Retired members as of the valuation date (including 3,863 beneficiaries in pay status) | | 18,357 |
| 2. | Inactive members during year ended June 30, 2016 (including 4,677 members with under 5 years of service eligible for a refund of contributions) | | 6,895 |
| 3. | Members active during the year ended June 30, 2016 | | 24,446 |
| | Fully vested | 20,078 | |
| | Not vested | 4,368 | |
| Th | e actuarial factors as of the valuation date are as follows: | | |
| | Assets | | |
| 1. | Valuation value of assets (\$14,005,059,515 at market value as reported by LACERS and \$14,752,102,625 at actuarial value*) | | \$12,439,250,206 |
| 2. | Present value of future normal costs | | |
| | Employee | \$1,692,325,332 | |
| | Employer | 1,080,451,987 | |
| | Total | | 2,772,777,319 |
| 3. | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | | <u>4,985,746,123</u> |
| 4. | Present value of current and future assets | | \$20,197,773,648 |
| | Liabilities | | |
| 5. | Present value of future benefits | | |
| | Retired members and beneficiaries | \$9,185,730,095 | |
| | Inactive members | 402,619,618 | |
| | Active members | 10,609,423,935 | |
| | Total | | \$20,197,773,648 |
| | | | |

* Market value and actuarial value of assets include assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits.

EXHIBIT I (continued)

Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results

The determination of the recommended contribution is as follows:

| | | <u>Tier 1</u> | <u>Tier 3</u> | <u>Combined</u> |
|-----|--|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Total normal cost | \$336,566,728 | \$4,191,894 | \$340,758,622 |
| 2. | Expected employee contributions ⁽¹⁾ | -205,768,747 | <u>-3,076,775</u> | <u>-208,845,522</u> |
| 3. | Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2)$ | \$130,797,981 | \$1,115,119 | \$131,913,100 |
| 4. | Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability | \$299,521,454 | \$4,481,138 | \$304,002,592 |
| 5. | Total recommended contribution: $(3) + (4)$, payable beginning of year | <u>\$430,319,435</u> | <u>\$5,596,257</u> | <u>\$435,915,692</u> |
| 6. | Total recommended contribution: adjusted for July 15 payment | \$431,600,282 | <u>\$5,612,914</u> | <u>\$437,213,196</u> |
| 7. | Total recommended contribution: adjusted for biweekly payment | <u>\$446,164,686</u> | <u>\$5,802,323</u> | <u>\$451,967,009</u> |
| 8. | Projected payroll | \$1,939,683,049 | \$29,019,581 | \$1,968,702,630 |
| 9. | Item 5 (beginning of year contribution) as a percentage of projected payroll: $(5) \div (8)$ | 22.18% | 19.29% | 22.14% |
| 10. | Item 6 (July 15 contribution) as a percentage of projected payroll: $(6) \div (8)$ | 22.25% | 19.34% | 22.21% |
| 11. | Item 7 (biweekly contribution) as a percentage of projected payroll: $(7) \div (8)$ | 23.00% | 19.99% | 22.96% |

(1) Discounted to beginning of year.

EXHIBIT II

History of Employer Contributions

| Plan Year Ended June 30 | Actuarially Determined Employer Contributions (ADEC)* | Actual Contributions | Percentage Contributed |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2007 | \$277,516,400 | \$277,516,400 | 100.00% |
| 2008 | 288,119,041 | 288,119,041 | 100.00% |
| 2009 | 274,554,786 | 274,554,786 | 100.00% |
| 2010 | 258,642,795 | 258,642,795 | 100.00% |
| 2011 | 303,560,953 | 303,560,953 | 100.00% |
| 2012 | 308,539,905 | 308,539,905 | 100.00% |
| 2013 | 346,180,852 | 346,180,852 | 100.00% |
| 2014 | 357,649,232 | 357,649,232 | 100.00% |
| 2015 | 381,140,923 | 381,140,923 | 100.00% |
| 2016 | 440,546,011 | 440,546,011 | 100.00% |

* Prior to plan year ending June 30, 2014, this amount was the Annual Required Contribution (ARC).

EXHIBIT III

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

| Rationale for Assumptions: | The information and analysis used in selecting each assumption that has a significant effect on this actuarial valuation is shown in the July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014 Actuarial Experience Study dated October 8, 2014. Unless otherwise noted, all actuarial assumptions and methods shown below apply to both Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. These assumptions have been adopted by the Board. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Economic Assumptions: | |
| Net Investment Return: | 7.50%, net of investment and administrative expenses. |
| Consumer Price Index: | Increase of 3.25% per year; benefit increases due to CPI subject to 3.00% maximum for Tier 1 and 2.00% maximum for Tier 3. |
| Employee Contribution | |
| Crediting Rate: | Based on average of 5-year Treasury note rate. An assumption of 3.25% is used to approximate that crediting rate in this valuation. |
| Salary Increases: | Inflation: 3.25%; plus additional 0.75% "across the board" salary increases (other than inflation); plus the following merit and promotional increases: |

| Service | Percentage Increase | |
|---------|---------------------|--|
| 0 | 6.50% | |
| 1 | 6.20% | |
| 2 | 5.10% | |
| 3 | 3.10% | |
| 4 | 2.10% | |
| 5 | 1.10% | |
| 6 | 1.00% | |
| 7 | 0.90% | |
| 8 | 0.70% | |
| 9 | 0.60% | |
| 10+ | 0.40% | |

Demographic Assumptions:

Post-Retirement Mortality Rates:

| Healthy Members and All Beneficiaries: | RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females. |
|--|--|
| Disabled Members: | RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set forward seven years for males and set forward eight years for females. |

The above mortality tables contain about a 10% margin, based on actual to expected deaths, as a provision appropriate to reasonably anticipate future mortality improvement, based on a review of mortality experience as of the measurement date.

Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality:

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.

Rate (%)

| Age | Disability | Termination* |
|-----|------------|--------------|
| 25 | 0.01 | 5.75 |
| 30 | 0.03 | 5.75 |
| 35 | 0.05 | 4.85 |
| 40 | 0.09 | 3.50 |
| 45 | 0.15 | 2.70 |
| 50 | 0.19 | 2.50 |
| 55 | 0.20 | 2.35 |
| 60 | 0.20 | 2.25 |
| | | |

* Rates for members with five or more years of service. Termination rates are zero for members eligible to retire.

Rates of termination for members with less than 5 years of service are as follows:

| | Rate (%) | |
|---------|--------------------------------|--|
| Service | Termination (Based on Service) | |
| 0 | 13.25 | |
| 1 | 11.00 | |
| 2 | 8.75 | |
| 3 | 7.25 | |
| 4 | 5.75 | |

Retirement Rates:

| | Tier | [.] 1 | Tier | 3 |
|-----|-----------|----------------|-------------|-------|
| Age | Non-55/30 | 55/30 | Non-55/30 | 55/30 |
| 50 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 |
| 55 | 6.0 | 20.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 19.0 |
| 56 | 6.0 | 14.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 13.0 |
| 57 | 6.0 | 14.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 13.0 |
| 58 | 6.0 | 14.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 13.0 |
| 59 | 6.0 | 14.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 13.0 |
| 60 | 6.0 | 14.0 | 5.0 | 13.0 |
| 61 | 6.0 | 14.0 | 5.0 | 13.0 |
| 62 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 14.0 |
| 63 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 14.0 |
| 64 | 7.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 |
| 65 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| 66 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| 67 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| 68 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| 69 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| 70 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Rate (%)

⁽¹⁾ Not eligible to retire under the provisions of the Tier 3 plan.

| Retirement Age and Benefit for Inactive Vested Participants: | Pension benefit paid at the later of age 58 or the current attained age |
|---|--|
| mactive vesteu i articipants. | For reciprocals, 4.40% compensation increases per annum. |
| Exclusion of Inactive Members: | All inactive participants are included in the valuation. |
| Unknown Data for Members: | Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not specified, members are assumed to be male. |
| Percent Married/Domestic Partner: | 76% of male participants; 50% of female participants. |
| Age of Spouse: | Male retirees are assumed to be 4 years older than their female spouses. Female retirees are assumed to be 2 years younger than their male spouses. |
| Service: | Employment service is used for eligibility determination purposes. Benefit service is used for benefit calculation purposes. |
| Future Benefit Accruals: | 1.0 year of service per year. |
| Other Reciprocal Service: | 5% of future inactive vested members will work at a reciprocal system. |
| Actuarial Methods: | |
| Actuarial Value of Assets: | The market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual and expected returns on a market value basis and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets. |

| Actuarial Cost Method: | Entry Age Cost Method, level percent of salary. |
|------------------------|---|
| Funding Policy: | The plan sponsor of the City of Los Angeles Employees' Retirement System makes contributions equal to the normal cost adjusted by an amount to amortize any surplus or unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The amortization method for the UAAL is a level percent of payroll, assuming annual increases in total covered payroll equal to inflation plus across the board increases (other than inflation). Both the normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method and are calculated on an individual basis. Entry age is calculated as age on the valuation date minus years of service. |
| | Under the current funding policy, changes in the UAAL due to actuarial gains/losses are amortized over separate 15-year periods. Any changes in the UAAL due to assumption or method changes are amortized over separate 20-year periods. Plan changes, including the 2009 ERIP, are amortized over separate 15-year periods. Future ERIPs will be amortized over 5 years. Any actuarial surplus is amortized over 30 years. All the bases on or before June 30, 2012, except those arising from the 2009 ERIP and the two GASB 25/27 layers, were combined and amortized over 30 years effective June 30, 2012. |
| | The recommended contribution is set equal to the greater of the current funding policy or the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by the then current GASB Statements 25 and 27. In particular, an additional contribution due to the application of the 40-year minimum amortization requirement for both fiscal year 2003/2004 and fiscal year 2004/2005 is included in the calculation of the recommended contribution. |

EXHIBIT IV

Summary of Plan Provisions

This exhibit summarizes the major provisions of LACERS included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all plan provisions.

| Plan Year: | July 1 through June 30 | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Census Date: | June 30 | |
| Membership Eligibility: | | |
| Tier 1 (§ 4.1002(a)) | All employees who became members of the Retirement System before July 1, 2013, and certain employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after July 1, 2013. In addition, pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134, all Tier 2 employees who became members of the Retirement System between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016 were transferred to Tier 1 effective February 21, 2016. | |
| Tier 3 (§4.1080.2(a)) | All employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after February 21, 2016, except as provided otherwise in Section 4.1080.2(b) of the Los Angeles Administrative Code. | |
| Normal Retirement Benefit: | | |
| <u>Tier 1</u> | | |
| Age & Service Requirement | Age 70; or | |
| (§ 4.1005(a)) | Age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service; or | |
| | Age 55 with at least 30 years of City service. | |
| Amount (§ 4.1007(a)) | 2.16% per year of service credit (not greater than 100%) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation. | |



Normal Retirement Benefit: (continued) Tier 3 > With less than 30 Years of Service ($\S 4.1080.5(a)(2)(i)$) Age & Service Requirement Age 60 with 10 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service. 1.50% per year of service credit at age 60 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Amount Average Monthly Compensation. > With 30 or more Years of Service (\S 4.1080.5(a)(2)(ii)) Age & Service Requirement Age 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service. 2.00% per year of service credit at age 60 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Amount Average Monthly Compensation. Except when benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member's contributions. **Early Retirement Benefit:** Tier 1 Age & Service Requirement Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service; or (§ 4.1005(b)) Any age with 30 years of City service. Amount (§ 4.1007(b)) 2.16% per year of service credit (not greater than 100%) of the Final Average

Monthly Compensation, reduced for retirement ages below age 60 using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors:

| Age | Factor | Age | Factor |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 45 | 0.6250 | 53 | 0.8650 |
| 46 | 0.6550 | 54 | 0.8950 |
| 47 | 0.6850 | 55 | 0.9250 |
| 48 | 0.7150 | 56 | 0.9400 |
| 49 | 0.7450 | 57 | 0.9550 |
| 50 | 0.7750 | 58 | 0.9700 |
| 51 | 0.8050 | 59 | 0.9850 |
| 52 | 0.8350 | 60 | 1.0000 |

| Early Retirement Benefit: (contin | ued) | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <u>Tier 3</u> | | | | |
| Age & Service Requirement (§ 4.1080.5(a)(1)) | Prior to age (| 60 with 30 years of s | ervice, including 5 | years of continuous City service. |
| Amount (§ 4.1080.5(a)(1)) | 2.00% per year of service credit (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation, reduced for retirement ages below age 55 using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors: | | | |
| | Age | Factor | Age | Factor |
| | 45 | 0.6250 | 50 | 0.7750 |
| | 46 | 0.6550 | 51 | 0.8050 |
| | 47 | 0.6850 | 52 | 0.8350 |
| | 48 | 0.7150 | 53 | 0.8650 |
| | 49 | 0.7450 | 54 | 0.8950 |
| | | | 55 - 60 | 1.0000 |
| | * Except wi contributi | hen benefit is based sol ions. | lely on the annuity cor | nponent funded by the member's |
| Enhanced Retirement Benefit: | | | | |
| <u>Tier 1</u> | | | | |
| Age & Service Requirement | Not applicab | le - see Normal Reti | rement age and serv | ice requirement. |
| Amount | Not applicab | le - see Normal Reti | rement amount. | |
| <u>Tier 3</u> | | | | |
| ➤ With less than 30 Years of Servi | ce (§ 4.1080.5(a) | (3)(i)) | | |
| Age & Service Requirement | Age 63 with | 10 years of service, | including 5 years of | continuous City service. |
| Amount | 2.00% per year of service credit at age 63 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation. | | | |
| | * Except when benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member's contributions. | | | |

| Enhanced Retirement Benefit: (con | tinued) |
|--|---|
| <u>Tier 3</u> | |
| > With 30 or more Years of Service | $(\S 4.1080.5(a)(3)(ii))$ |
| Age & Service Requirement | Age 63 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service. |
| Amount | 2.10% per year of service credit at age 63 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation. |
| | * Except when benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member's contributions. |
| Service Credit: | |
| <u>Tiers 1 & 3</u> | |
| (§ 4.1001(a) & § 4.1080.1(a)) | The time component of the formula used by LACERS for purposes of calculating benefits. |
| Final Average Monthly Compensation: | |
| <u>Tier 1</u> | |
| (§ 4.1001(b)) | Equivalent of monthly average salary of highest continuous 12 months (one year); includes base salary plus regularly assigned pensionable bonuses or premium pay.* |
| <u>Tier 3</u> | |
| (§ 4.1080.1(b)) | Equivalent of monthly average salary of highest continuous 36 months (three years); limited to base salary and any items of compensation that are designated as pension based.* |
| | * IRC Section 401(a)(17) compensation limit would apply to all employees who began membership in LACERS after June 30, 1996. |

| SECTION 4: | Reporting I | nformation for the | Los Angeles Cit | y Employees | s' Retirement Sy | /stem |
|------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-------|
|------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-------|

| Cost of Living Benefit: | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <u>Tier 1</u> | |
| (§ 4.1022) | Based on changes to Los Angeles area Consumer Price Index, to a maximum of 3% per year; excess banked. |
| <u>Tier 3</u> | |
| (§ 4.1080.17) | Based on changes to Los Angeles area Consumer Price Index, to a maximum of 2% per year; excess not banked. |
| Death after Retirement: | |
| Tier 1 & 3 | |
| (§ 4.1010(c) & § 4.1080.10(c)) | (i) 50% of retiree's unmodified allowance continued to an eligible spouse or a domestic partner; or a modified continuance to an eligible spouse or a domestic partner at the time of member's death (or a designated beneficiary selected by member at the time of retirement)*; |
| | (ii) \$2,500 lump sum death benefit paid to a designated beneficiary; and |
| | (iii) Any unused contributions if the member has elected the cash refund annuity option. |
| | * The retiree may elect at the time of retirement to take a reduced allowance in order to provide for a higher continuance percentage pursuant to the provisions of either Section 4.1015 (Tier 1) or Section 4.1080.14 (Tier 3). |
| Death before Retirement: | |
| <u>Tier 1 & 3</u> | |
| $(\S 4.1010(a) \& \S 4.1080.10(a))$ | Greater of: |
| | <u>Option #1</u> : |
| | (i) Eligibility – None. |
| | (ii) Benefit – Refund of employee contributions plus a limited pension benefit equal to 50% of monthly salary paid, according to the following schedule: |

Death before Retirement: (continued)

Tier 1 & 3 (continued)

| Service Credit | Number of Monthly Payments |
|----------------|----------------------------|
| 0 years | 0 |
| 1 year | 2 |
| 2 years | 4 |
| 3 years | 6 |
| 4 years | 8 |
| 5 years | 10 |
| 6+ years | 12 |

Option #2:

(i) Eligibility – Duty-related death or after 5 years of continuous service.

(ii) Benefit – Continuance of service or disability benefit payable under 100% joint and survivor option to an eligible spouse or qualified domestic partner.

Member Normal Contributions:

| <u>Tier 1</u> | |
|---------------|-----|
| 10 1 10 | 2.2 |

| (§ 4.1003) | Effective July 1, 2011, the member normal contribution rate became 7% for all employees. The 7% member rate shall be paid until June 30, 2026 or until the ERIP Cost Obligation (defined in ERIP Ordinance No. 180926) is fully paid, whichever comes first*. |
|------------|--|
| | Beginning January 1, 2013, all non-represented members and members in certain bargaining groups are required to pay an additional 4% member contribution rate to defray the cost of providing a Retiree Medical Plan premium subsidy (this additional rate has increased to 4.5% for certain members). |
| | Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay). |
| | * The member normal contribution rate will drop down to 6% afterwards. |

| Member Normal Contributions: (c | ontinued) |
|--|---|
| <u>Tier 3</u> | |
| (§ 4.1080.3) | The member normal contribution rate is 7% for all employees. |
| | All members are required to pay an additional 4% member contribution rate to defray the cost of providing a Retiree Medical Plan premium subsidy. |
| | Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay). |
| Disability | |
| <u>Tier 1 & 3</u> | |
| Service Requirement | |
| (§ 4.1008(a) & § 4.1080.8(a)) | 5 years of continuous service |
| Amount* | |
| (§ 4.1008(c) & § 4.1080.8(c)) | 1/70 (1.43%) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation per year of service or 1/3 of the Final Average Monthly Compensation, if greater. |
| * The benefit calculated using the se calculated under the disability ret | ervice retirement formula will be paid if the member is eligible and that benefit is greater than that irement formula. |
| Deferred Retirement Benefit (Vest | ed): |
| <u>Tier 1</u> | |
| (§ 4.1006) | |
| Age & Service Requirement | Age 70 with 5 years of continuous City service; or |
| | Age 60 with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or |
| | Age 55 with at least 30 years of service. |

Deferred employee who meets part-time eligibility: age 60 and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership.

Normal retirement benefit (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest).



Amount

| Deferred Retirement Benefit (Vest | ted): (continued) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------|--|--|
| <u>Tier 1</u> (continued) | , , , | | | |
| Age & Service Requirement | Age 55 with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or | | | |
| | Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service. | | | |
| | Deferred employee who meets part-time eligibility: age 55 and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership. | | | |
| Amount | Early retirement benefit (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest), using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors: | | | |
| | Age | Factor | | |
| | 55 | 0.9250 | | |
| | 56 | 0.9400 | | |
| | 57 | 0.9550 | | |
| | 58 | 0.9700 | | |
| | 59 | 0.9850 | | |
| <u>Tier 3</u> | | | | |
| (§ 4.1080.6) | | | | |
| Age & Service Requirement | Age 60 with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or | | | |
| | Age 70 with 5 years of continuous City service, regardless of the number of years that have elapsed from first date of membership. | | | |
| Amount | Normal retirement benefit (based on a Retirement Factor of 1.50%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest). | | | |
| Age & Service Requirement | Age 60 with 30 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or | | | |
| | Age 63 with 10 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service. | | | |
| Amount | Normal retirement benefit (based on a Retirement Factor of 2.00%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest). | | | |



| Deferred R | etirement Benefit (Vest | ted): (continued) | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| <u>Tier 3</u> (co | ontinued) | | | | |
| Age & 2 | Service Requirement | Age 63 with 30 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership. | | | |
| Amount | ÷ | Enhanced retirement (benefit based on a Retirement Factor of 2.10%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest). | | | |
| Age & 2 | Service Requirement | Age 55 (but not yet 60) with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership. | | | |
| Amount | | Early retirement benefit (based on a Retirement Factor of 1.50% instead of 2.00%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest), using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors: | | | |
| | | Age | Factor | | |
| | | 55 | 0.9250 | | |
| | | 56 | 0.9400 | | |
| | | 57 | 0.9550 | | |
| | | 58 | 0.9700 | | |
| | | 59 | 0.9850 | | |
| Withdrawa | al of Contributions Ben | efit (Ordinary With | ndrawal): | | |
| | | Refund of empl | oyee contributions with interest. | | |
| Changes in Plan Provisions: | | Tier 2 was resci effective Februa | Tier 2 was rescinded (Tier 2 members were transferred to Tier 1) and Tier 3 became effective February 21, 2016. | | |
| NOTE: | The summary of may purposes of the actu actual provisions, th are valued. | ior Plan provisions is designed to outline principal plan benefits as interpreted for varial valuation. If the System should find the plan summary not in accordance with the ne System should alert the actuary so that both parties can be sure the proper provisions | | | |

5442198v4/05806.002





Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Actuarial Valuation and Review of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as of June 30, 2016 in accordance with GASB Statements No. 43 and No. 45

This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Administration to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Administration and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

Copyright © 2016 by The Segal Group, Inc. All rights reserved.



100 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-4208 T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com

November 9, 2016

Board of Administration Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System 202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Actuarial Valuation and Review of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as of June 30, 2016 under Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 43 and No. 45. The report summarizes the actuarial data used in the valuation, discloses the Net OPEB Obligation (NOO) as of June 30, 2016, establishes the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the Fiscal Year 2017/2018, and analyzes the preceding year's experience. This report was based on the census and unaudited financial data provided by the Retirement System and the terms of the Plan as summarized in Exhbit III. The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Thomas Bergman, ASA, MAAA, Enrolled Actuary and Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary.

This actuarial valuation has been completed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions used in this valuation and described in Exhibit II are reasonably related to the experience of and the expectations for the Plan. The actuarial projections are based on these assumptions and the plan of benefits as summarized in Exhibits II and III.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of the Segal Group, Inc.

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA,

Vice President and Actuary

JRC/hy

SECTION 1

Durnose

SECTION 2

VALUATION RESULTS

VALUATION SUMMARY

| Highlights of the Valuation1 |
|--|
| Summary of Valuation Results 4 |
| Important Information about Actuarial Valuations5 |
| Actuarial Certification7 |
| |

CHART 1 Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB) and Actuarial Balance Sheet......9 CHART 2 Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) and Unfunded AAL (UAAL) 10 CHART 3 Table of Amortization Bases 11 CHART 4 Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC) .. 12 CHART 5 **Required Supplementary** Information - Schedule of Employer Contributions 16 CHART 6 **Required Supplementary** Information – Schedule of Funding Progress17 CHART 7 **Required Supplementary** Information - Net OPEB Obligation (NOO).....18 CHART 8 Volatility Ratios for Years Ended June 30, 2011 – 2016..... 19 CHART 9 Member Population 20

SECTION 3

VALUATION DETAILS

EXHIBIT A Summary of Participant Data.... 21

EXHIBIT B Reconciliation of Participant Data with Pension Valuation......24

EXHIBIT C Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from the Rolls 25

EXHIBIT F Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits 28

EXHIBIT G Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2016.... 29

SECTION 4

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

EXHIBIT I Summary of Required Supplementary Information 32

EXHIBIT III Summary of Plan 42

EXHIBIT V Accounting Requirements 49

★ Segal Consulting

PURPOSE

This report presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the City of Los Angeles Employees' Retirement System OPEB plan as of June 30, 2016. The results are in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards, which prescribe an accrual methodology for accumulating the value of other postemployment benefits (OPEB) over participants' active working lifetimes.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE VALUATION

- In the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation reports we noted that Tier 2 had been rescinded, that all Tier 2 members would be transferred to Tier 1, and that new hires would be enrolled in a new Tier 3. However, as of the date of issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation, the provisions of Tier 3 were not yet determined and Tier 2 employees were not yet transferred to Tier 1. For those reasons, we continued to calculate a Normal Cost rate in the June 30, 2015 valuation for all Tier 2 employees based on the Tier 2 plan provisions in effect at that time.
- Subsequent to the issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation reports, Ordinance No. 184134 ("the Ordinance") was adopted by the City Council on January 12, 2016, and Tier 2 was rescinded.
 Section 4.1002.1 of the Ordinance states that "effective February 21, 2016, all persons who entered LACERS membership between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016, as members of Tier 2 of the Retirement System shall be members of Tier 1 of the Retirement System".
- Segal determined the funds necessary to make the OPEB Fund whole due to these reclassifications.

- Any difference in the future Tier 1 employer Normal Cost rate that results from the transfer of Tier 2 members to Tier 1 is reflected in this valuation as of June 30, 2016.
- Section 4.1080.2(a) of the Ordinance states that "effective February 21, 2016, and ongoing, every employee shall become a member of Tier 3 of the Retirement System on the first day of employment in a position with the City in which he or she is not excluded from membership pursuant to the provisions of Subsection (c) of this section, unless he or she qualifies for Tier 1 membership pursuant to the exceptions to Tier 1 membership set forth in Subsection (b)." We have been provided with the Tier 3 members entering on or after February 21, 2016.
- > The Ordinance also includes a plan change to provide retiree health benefits to part-time members who retire with more than 10 years of eligibility service but less than 10 years of benefit service. The impact of the plan change is included in the reconciliations of UAAL and ARC shown in Chart 2 and Exhibit H, respectively.
- The recommended contribution has increased from \$90.5 million (4.74% of payroll) to \$96.9 million (4.92% of payroll), assuming contributions are made by the City on July 15. The main reasons for these increases in contribution rate were investment return lower than expected, and an increase in normal cost rate due in part to the enrollment of new employees in Tier 3. A complete reconciliation of the change in the recommended contribution rate is provided in Exhibit H. Rates are shown separately for Tier 1 and Tier 3 in Chart 4.
- In the June 30, 2015 valuation, we used the minimum > annual contribution calculated using a single 30-year amortization layer, as the UAAL contributions required by GASB and the Board's funding policy, because those annual contributions were greater than the net amount calculated using the various amortization layers. The same 30-year minimum contribution requirement has been triggered in the June 30, 2016 valuation. The Board's funding policy includes a provision that the amortization layers be combined and/or restarted when the calculated contribution rate is less than that required to comply with the amortization standards set forth by GASB. The results in this valuation would not have been affected if we had combined the bases in this report since the 30-year contribution requirement applied again in the June 30, 2016 valuation.
- The ratio of the actuarial value of assets to actuarial accrued liabilities increased from 79.67% to 80.49% On a market value of assets basis, the funded ratio decreased from 80.99% to 76.42%. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability increased from \$538 million to \$545 million. A complete reconciliation of the System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is provided in Chart 2.
- As indicated in Exhibit G, the total unrecognized investment losses as of June 30, 2016 is \$747.0 million for the assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits. These investment losses will be recognized in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes in the next several years. This implies that earning the assumed rate of investment return of 7.50% per year (net of expenses)

on a market value basis will result in net investment losses on the actuarial value of assets after June 30, 2016. Item 9 in Exhibit G shows how, under the asset smoothing method, the \$747.0 million in unrecognized losses will be recognized in the next 6 years.

The deferred losses of \$747.0 million represent 5.3% of the market value of assets as of June 30, 2016. Unless offset by future investment losses or other unfavorable experience, the recognition of the \$747.0 million market losses is expected to have an impact on the System's future funded percentage and contribution rate requirements. This potential impact may be illustrated as follows:

- If the retiree health plan component of the deferred losses were recognized immediately in the actuarial value of assets, the funded ratio would decrease from 80.49% to 76.42%.
- If the retiree health plan component of the deferred losses were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the aggregate employer rate (payable on July 15) would increase from 4.92% to about 5.4% of payroll.

- > The actuarial valuation report as of June 30, 2016 is based on financial information as of that date. Changes in the value of assets subsequent to that date are not reflected. Declines in asset values will increase the actuarial cost of the Plan, while increases will decrease the actuarial cost of the Plan.
- As in prior years, the employer contribution rates provided in this report have been developed assuming they will be paid by the City on any of the following dates:
 - (1) The beginning of the fiscal year, or
 - (2) On July 15, 2017, or
 - (3) Throughout the year (i.e., the City will pay contributions at the end of every pay period).
- > The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) approved two new Statements affecting the reporting of OPEB liabilities for accounting purposes. Statement No. 74 replaces Statement No. 43 and is for plan reporting. Statement No. 75 replaces Statement No. 45 and is for employer reporting. It is important to note that the new GASB rules only define OPEB expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for actual OPEB funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices. Because these new Statements are not effective until fiscal year ending June 30, 2017 for Plan reporting and fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 for employer reporting, the financial reporting information in this report continues to be in accordance with Statements No. 43 and No. 45.

Based on previous directions provided by the LACERS, we have not included in the report the projected excise tax that may be imposed by the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and related statutes. It is our understanding that the GASB Statements No. 74 and No. 75 will require the inclusion of the excise tax liability for financial reporting purposes.

SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

SUMMARY OF VALUATION RESULTS

June 30, 2016 June 30, 2015 \$2,793,688,955 Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) \$2,646,989,367 **Actuarial Value of Assets** 2,248,753,480 2,108,924,651 544,935,475 538,064,716 **Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Funded Ratio on Actuarial Value Basis** 80.49% 79.67% 2,134,877,117 2,143,705,809 Market Value of Assets **Funded Ratio on Market Value Basis** 76.42% 80.99% **Annual Required Contribution (ARC)** Normal cost (beginning of year) \$68,385,120 \$62,359,771 Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability 28,182,806 27,827,466 Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year) \$96,567,926 \$90.187.237 Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15) \$96,859,359 \$90.459.414 Total Annual Required Contribution (end of each pay period) \$100,123,757 \$93.508.118 Projected total payroll⁽¹⁾ \$1,968,702,630 \$1,907,664,598 ARC as a percentage of pay (there is a 12-month delay until the rate is effective)⁽²⁾ Beginning of year 4.91% 4.73%⁽³⁾ 4.74%⁽³⁾ July 15 4.92% End of each pay period 5.09% 4.90%⁽³⁾ **Total Participants** 44.651 43.597 Annual OPEB Cost (AOC) for Coming Year Annual Required Contributions (July 15) \$90,459,414 \$106,643,515 0 0 Interest on Net OPEB Obligations ARC Adjustments 0 0 \$90.459.414 \$106.643.515 Total Annual OPEB Cost 4.74% 5.62% AOC as a percent of pay

(1) Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.

⁽²⁾ A breakdown of the ARC by tier is provided in Chart 4.

⁽³⁾ The June 30, 2015 combined rates were determined in our June 30, 2015 valuation report dated October 30, 2015 and have not been restated herein to reflect any events after June 30, 2015.

The key valuation

shown.

results for the current and prior years are

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT ACTUARIAL VALUATIONS

An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool with respect to the defining future uncertain obligations of a postretirement health plan. As such, it will never forecast the precise future stream of benefit payments. It is an estimated forecast – the actual cost of the plan will be determined by the benefits and expenses paid, not by the actuarial valuation.

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal Consulting ("Segal") relies on a number of input items. These include:

- Plan of benefits Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan description in this report to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.
- Participant data An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the Retirement Office. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.
- Actuarial assumptions In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal starts by developing a forecast of the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, withdrawal, and retirement of each participant for each year, as well as forecasts of the plan's benefits for each of those events. The forecasted benefits are then discounted to a present value, typically based on an estimate of the rate of return that will be achieved on the plan's assets. All of these factors are uncertain and unknowable. Thus, there will be a range of reasonable assumptions, and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions the actuary selects within that range. That is, there is no right answer (except with hindsight). It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand and accept this constraint. The actuarial model may use approximations and estimates that will have an immaterial impact on our results and will have no impact on the actual cost of the plan. In addition, the actuarial assumptions may change over time, and while this can have a significant impact on the reported results, it does not mean that the previous assumptions or results were unreasonable or wrong.
- > Assets This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the Retirement Office.

The user of Segal's actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

> The valuation is prepared at the request of LACERS. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.



SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

- > An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan's assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.
- > If LACERS is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.
- Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal's valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan's provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. LACERS should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of LACERS, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to LACERS.



November 9, 2016

ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that Segal Consulting (Segal) has conducted an actuarial valuation of certain benefit obligations of Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System's other postemployment benefit programs as of June 30, 2016, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. In particular, it is our understanding that the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes meet the parameters set by the Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs). Actuarial valuations are performed annually for this other postemployment benefit program with the last valuation completed as of June 30, 2015. The actuarial calculations presented in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 43 for the determination of the liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions.

The actuarial valuation is based on the plan of benefits verified by LACERS and on participant, premium, claims and financial data provided by LACERS. Segal did not audit LACERS' financial statements, but conducted an examination of all participant data for reasonableness and we concluded that it was reasonable and consistent with the prior year's data.

One of the general goals of an actuarial valuation is to establish contributions that fully fund the Retirement System's liabilities, and that, as a percentage of payroll, remain as level as possible for each generation of active members. Both the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method. In no event will the recommended contribution be less than the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined under GASB Statement No. 43.

The actuarial computations made are for purposes of fulfilling plan accounting and funding requirements. Determinations for purposes other than meeting financial accounting and funding requirements may be significantly different from the results reported here. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes, such as judging benefit security at termination of the plan, or determining short-term cash flow requirements.

Segal prepared all of the supporting schedules in the Actuarial Section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and certain supporting schedules in the Financial Section, based on the results of the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation. A listing of the supporting schedules Segal prepared for inclusion in the Financial Section as Required Supplementary Information prescribed by GASB Statement No. 43, and in the Actuarial Section, is provided below:

Financial Section

- 1) Schedule of Funding Progress
- 2) Schedule of Employer Contributions

Actuarial Section

- 3) Active Member Valuation Data
- 4) Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Health Benefits
- 5) Solvency Test for Health Benefits
- 6) Schedule of Funding Progress
- 7) Actuarial Analysis of Financial Experience
- 8) Actuarial Balance Sheet



SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

LACERS' staff prepared other trend data schedules in the statistical section based on information supplied in this valuation report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and in our opinion presents the information necessary to comply with GASB Statement No. 43 with respect to the benefit obligations addressed. The signing actuaries are members of the Society of Actuaries, and/or the American Academy of Actuaries, as well as other professional actuarial organizations and collectively meet their "General Qualification Standards for Statements of Actuarial Opinions" to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Thomas Bergman, ASA, MAAA, EA

Associate Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA

Vice President and Actuary



The actuarial present value of total projected benefits uses the actuarial assumptions disclosed in Section 4 to calculate the value today of all benefits expected to be paid to current actives and retired plan members. The actuarial balance sheet shows the expected breakdown of how these benefits will be financed.

CHART 1

Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB) and Actuarial Balance Sheet

| | | Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB) | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------|--|
| | | June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 | |
| Parti | cipant Category | | | |
| Curre | ent retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents | \$1,275,604,225 | \$1,210,066,527 | |
| Current active members | | 2,058,219,841 | 1,924,927,069 | |
| Terminated members entitled but not yet eligible | | 50,413,399 | 42,943,089 | |
| Total | | \$3,384,237,465 | \$3,177,936,685 | |
| | | June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 | |
| Actu | arial Balance Sheet | | | |
| The a | actuarial balance sheet as of the valuation date is as follows: | | | |
| | Assets | | | |
| 1. | Actuarial value of assets | \$2,248,753,480 | \$2,108,924,651 | |
| 2. | Present value of future normal costs | 590,548,510 | 530,947,318 | |
| 3. | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | <u>544,935,475</u> | <u>538,064,716</u> | |
| 4. | Present value of current and future assets | \$3,384,237,465 | \$3,177,936,685 | |
| | Liabilities | | | |
| 5. | Actuarial Present Value of total Projected Benefits | \$3,384,237,465 | \$3,177,936,685 | |

The actuarial accrued liability shows that portion of the APB (Chart 1) allocated to periods prior to the valuation date by the actuarial cost method. The chart below shows the portion of the liability for active and inactive members, and reconciles the unfunded actuarial accrued liability from last year to this year.

CHART 2

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) and Unfunded AAL (UAAL)

| | June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Participant Category | | |
| Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents | \$1,275,604,225 | \$1,210,066,527 |
| Current active members | 1,467,671,331 | 1,393,979,751 |
| Terminated members entitled but not yet eligible* | <u>50,413,399</u> | 42,943,089 |
| Total actuarial accrued liability | \$2,793,688,955 | \$2,646,989,367 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | | |
| Total actuarial accrued liability | \$2,793,688,955 | \$2,646,989,367 |
| Actuarial value of assets | <u>2,248,753,480</u> | 2,108,924,651 |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | \$544,935,475 | \$538,064,716 |
| Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for t | he Year Ended June 30, 2016 | |
| 1. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2015 | | \$538,064,716 |
| 2. Employer normal cost as of June 30, 2015 | | 62,359,771 |
| 3. Expected employer contributions during 2015/2016 fisca | ıl year | -90,187,237 |
| 4. Interest | <u>38,267,794</u> | |
| 5. Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June | 30, 2016 (1 + 2 + 3 + 4) | \$548,505,044 |
| 6. Effect of change in eligibility requirement for part-time | employees | 17,214,744 |
| 7. Effect of combined gains and losses* | | -20,784,313 |
| 8. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2016 | (5+6+7) | \$544,935,475 |

* Due in part to fewer than expected members retiring and enrolling in a health plan than projected in the prior valuation.

Note that the expected employer contribution in (3) reflects a 30-year effective amortization of the UAAL. The adjustment to reflect the 30-year limit on effective amortization period accounts for the difference in [(6) + (7)] above and the sum of new bases shown in Chart 3.



The unfunded actuarial accrued liability may be amortized over periods of up to 30 years. Amortization payments may be calculated as level dollar amounts or as amounts designed to remain level as a percent of a growing payroll base. Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System has elected to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability using the following rules: The costs associated with the 2009 ERIP have been amortized over 15 years beginning with the June 30, 2009 valuation date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2012 is amortized over a fixed period of 30 years beginning July 1, 2012. Assumption changes resulting from the triennial experience study will be amortized over 20 years. Health trend and premium assumption changes, plan changes, and gains and losses will be amortized over 15 years.

The recommended contribution is set equal to the greater of the current funding policy amount or the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by GASB Statements No. 43 and No. 45. In this report, the minimum ARC requirement for fiscal year 2017/2018 (due to the application of the GASB 30-year maximum effective amortization period) is greater than the current funding policy amount due to the 15-year amortization of the experience gain base created as of June 30, 2015.

| Туре | Date Established | lnitial Year | Initial Amount | Outstanding Balance | Annual Payment* | Years Remaining |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Plan Amendment (2009 ERIP) | 06/30/2009 | 15 | \$54,735,645 | \$43,412,956 | \$6,075,668 | 8 |
| Combined Base** | 06/30/2012 | 30 | 597,984,614 | 644,331,589 | 36,351,687 | 26 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2013 | 15 | 16,206,142 | 15,305,951 | 1,520,236 | 12 |
| Change in Assumptions | 06/30/2014 | 20 | 135,287,549 | 135,657,952 | 9,839,579 | 18 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2014 | 15 | -101,972,860 | -98,553,540 | -9,176,014 | 13 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2015 | 15 | -193,346,818 | -190,555,707 | -16,729,123 | 14 |
| Plan Change*** | 06/30/2015 | 15 | 17,466,894 | 17,214,744 | 1,511,304 | 14 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2016 | 15 | -21,878,470 | -21,878,470 | -1,820,203 | 15 |
| Total | | | | \$544,935,475 | \$28,182,806**** | |

CHART 3

Table of Amortization Bases

* Level percentage of pay.

** On October 23, 2012, the Board elected to combine all amortization bases as of June 30, 2012, except for the base associated with the 2009 ERIP, which remains on its original schedule. In addition, the Board adopted an initial amortization period of 30 years for the combined bases as of June 30, 2012.

*** Not shown in June 30, 2015 valuation, but reflected in 2016/2017 contribution rates shown in our plan change study dated March 14, 2016.

**** Reflects adjustment so that the equivalent single amortization payment is 30 years. Before the adjustment, the payment amount is \$27,573,134.

11

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is the amount calculated to determine the annual cost of the OPEB plan for accounting purposes on an accrual basis. The calculation consists of adding the Normal Cost of the plan to an amortization payment. Both are determined as of the start of the accounting period and adjusted as if the annual cost were to be contributed throughout the fiscal year or on July 15th.

CHART 4

Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

| Tier 1 - Cost Element | | Determined as of | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | June 3 | 30, 2016 | June | 30, 2015 | |
| | | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | |
| 1. | Normal cost | \$67,278,447 | 3.47% | \$59,249,618 | 3.31% | |
| 2. | Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 27,767,379 | 1.43% | 26,092,737 | <u>1.46%</u> | |
| 3. | Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year) | \$95,045,826 | 4.90% | \$85,342,355 | 4.77% | |
| 4. | Projected Payroll ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,939,683,049 | | \$1,788,743,164 | | |
| 5. | Adjustment for timing (July 15) | \$286,839 | 0.01% | \$257,555 | 0.02% | |
| 6. | Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15) | \$95,332,665 | 4.91% | \$85,599,910 | 4.79% | |
| 7. | Adjustment for timing (end of pay period) | \$3,499,784 | 0.18% | \$3,142,482 | 0.18% | |
| 8. | Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period) | \$98,545,610 | 5.08% | \$88,484,837 | 4.95% | |

(1) Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.

CHART 4 (continued)

Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

| | | Determined as of | | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | June 3 | 30, 2016 | June 3 | 80, 2015 |
| Tier 2 - Cost Element | | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | Amount | Percentage of Compensation |
| 1. | Normal cost | | | \$3,110,153 | 2.62% |
| 2. | Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability | | | 1,734,730 | <u>1.46%</u> |
| 3. | Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year) | | | \$4,844,883 | 4.08% |
| 4. | Projected Payroll ⁽¹⁾ | | NT / A (2) | \$118,921,434 | |
| 5. | Adjustment for timing (July 15) | | N/A ⁽²⁾ | \$14,621 | 0.01% |
| 6. | Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15) | | | \$4,859,504 | 4.09% |
| 7. | Adjustment for timing (end of pay period) | | | \$178,398 | 0.14% |
| 8. | Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period) | | | \$5,023,281 | 4.22% |
| Tier | 3 - Cost Element | June 30, 2016 | | June 30, 2015 | |
| 1. | Normal cost | \$1,106,673 | 3.81% | | 3.47% |
| 2. | Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 415,427 | <u>1.43%</u> ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | | <u>1.53%</u> |
| 3. | Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year) | \$1,522,100 | 5.24% | | 5.00% |
| 4. | Projected Payroll ⁽¹⁾ | \$29,019,581 | | N 1 (A (5) | |
| 5. | Adjustment for timing (July 15) | \$4,594 | 0.02% | N/A ⁽³⁾ | 0.01% |
| 6. | Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15) | \$1,526,694 | 5.26% | | 5.01% |
| 7. | Adjustment for timing (end of pay period) | \$56,047 | 0.20% | | 0.18% |
| 8. | Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period) | \$1,578,147 | 5.44% | | 5.18% |

⁽¹⁾ Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.

⁽²⁾ Effective February 21, 2016, all Tier 2 employees were transferred to Tier 1.

⁽³⁾ In developing the UAAL contribution rate, we have combined the UAAL for Tier 1 and Tier 3 and amortized that total UAAL over the total payroll for Tier 1 and Tier 3.

(4) For purposes of Government Service Buybacks for Tier 3, the UAAL rate as of June 30, 2016 is -0.01%. It is calculated by: (i) amortizing -\$21,094 over 15 years (or a credit of \$1,755) and (ii) dividing that credit over Tier 3 payroll (or \$29,019,581). If paid on July 15, the UAAL rate remains at -0.01% even though the credit increases to \$1,760.

⁽⁵⁾ As of June 30, 2015, Tier 3 was not yet effective (it became effective February 21, 2016), so there were no members in this tier at that time.



CHART 4 (continued)

Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

| Combined - Cost Element | | Determined as of | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | June 3 | 0, 2016 | Ju | ne 30, 2015 | |
| | | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | |
| 1. | Normal cost | \$68,385,120 | 3.48% | \$62,359,771 | 3.27% | |
| 2. | Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 28,182,806 | <u>1.43%</u> | 27,827,466 | <u>1.46%</u> | |
| 3. | Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year) | \$96,567,926 | 4.91% | \$90,187,237 | 4.73% | |
| 4. | Projected Payroll ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,968,702,630 | | \$1,907,664,598 | | |
| 5. | Adjustment for timing (July 15) | \$291,433 | 0.01% | \$272,177 | 0.01% | |
| 6. | Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15) | \$96,859,359 | 4.92% | \$90,459,414 | 4.74% | |
| 7. | Adjustment for timing (end of pay period) | \$3,555,831 | 0.18% | \$3,320,881 | 0.17% | |
| 8. | Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period) | \$100,123,757 | 5.09% | \$93,508,118 | 4.90% | |

(1) Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.

The OPEB Cost (AOC) adjusts the ARC for any past differences between the ARC and contributions in relation to the ARC as tracked in the Net OPEB Obligation (NOO). The AOC is the cost of OPEB actually recognized as an expense for the Fiscal Year under GASB 45.

CHART 4 (continued)

Determination of Annual OPEB Cost (AOC)

| | | Determined as of | | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Cost Element | June 3 | 0, 2016 | June 30, 2015 | | |
| | | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | |
| 1. | Annual Required Contribution (July 15) | \$96,859,359 | 4.92% | \$90,459,414 | 4.74% | |
| 2. | Interest on Beginning of Year Net OPEB Obligation (NOO) | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 3. | ARC adjustment | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 4. | Annual OPEB Cost (July 15) | <u>\$96,859,359</u> | <u>4.92%</u> | <u>\$90,459,414</u> | <u>4.74%</u> | |

For GASB 43 (plan reporting) purposes, the schedule of employer contributions compares actual contributions to the ARC. For GASB 45 (employer reporting) purposes, the schedule of employer contributions compares actual contributions to the AOC. The dollar amounts shown below reflect the contribution rates applied to actual payrolls.

CHART 5

GASB 43

Required Supplementary Information – Schedule of Employer Contributions

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30 | Annual Required Contributions | Actual Contributions | Percentage Contributed |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2011 | \$107,395,804 | \$107,395,804 | 100.00% |
| 2012 | 115,208,835 | 115,208,835 | 100.00% |
| 2013 | 72,916,729 | 72,916,729 | 100.00% |
| 2014 | 97,840,554 | 97,840,554 | 100.00% |
| 2015 | 100,466,945 | 100,466,945 | 100.00% |
| 2016 | 105,983,112 | 105,983,112 | 100.00% |

Required Supplementary Information – Schedule of Employer Contributions

GASB 45

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30 | Annual OPEB Cost | Actual Contributions | Percentage Contributed |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2011 | \$107,395,804 | \$107,395,804 | 100.00% |
| 2012 | 115,208,835 | 115,208,835 | 100.00% |
| 2013 | 72,916,729 | 72,916,729 | 100.00% |
| 2014 | 97,840,554 | 97,840,554 | 100.00% |
| 2015 | 100,466,945 | 100,466,945 | 100.00% |
| 2016 | 105,983,112 | 105,983,112 | 100.00% |



This schedule of funding progress presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

CHART 6

Required Supplementary Information – Schedule of Funding Progress

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b) | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a) | Funded Ratio (a) / (b) | Covered Payroll* (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b) - (a) / (c)] |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 06/30/2011 | \$1,546,883,749 | \$1,968,707,666 | \$421,823,917 | 78.57% | \$1,833,392,381 | 23.01% |
| 06/30/2012 | 1,642,373,560 | 2,292,400,227 | 650,026,667 | 71.64% | 1,819,269,630 | 35.73% |
| 06/30/2013 | 1,734,733,258 | 2,412,483,968 | 677,750,710 | 71.91% | 1,846,970,474 | 36.70% |
| 06/30/2014 | 1,941,224,810 | 2,662,853,153 | 721,628,343 | 72.90% | 1,898,064,175 | 38.02% |
| 06/30/2015 | 2,108,924,651 | 2,646,989,367 | 538,064,716 | 79.67% | 1,907,664,598 | 28.21% |
| 06/30/2016 | 2,248,753,480 | 2,793,688,955 | 544,935,475 | 80.49% | 1,968,702,630 | 27.68% |

* Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.

The Net OPEB obligation measures the accumulated differences between the annual OPEB cost and the actual contributions in relation to the ARC.

The dollar amounts shown below reflect the contribution rates applied to actual payrolls.

CHART 7

Required Supplementary Information – Net OPEB Obligation (NOO)

| Fiscal Year End | Annual Required Contribution (a) | Interest on Existing NOO (b) | ARC Adjustment (c) | Annual OPEB Cost (a) + (b) + (c) (d) | Actual Contribution Amount (e) | Net Increase in NOO (d) - (e) (f) | NOO as of Fiscal Year (g) |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| 06/30/2011 | \$107,395,804 | \$0 | \$0 | \$107,395,804 | \$107,395,804 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 06/30/2012 | 115,208,835 | 0 | 0 | 115,208,835 | 115,208,835 | 0 | 0 |
| 06/30/2013 | 72,916,729 | 0 | 0 | 72,916,729 | 72,916,729 | 0 | 0 |
| 06/30/2014 | 97,840,554 | 0 | 0 | 97,840,554 | 97,840,554 | 0 | 0 |
| 06/30/2015 | 100,466,945 | 0 | 0 | 100,466,945 | 100,466,945 | 0 | 0 |
| 06/30/2016 | 105,983,112 | 0 | 0 | 105,983,112 | 105,983,112 | 0 | 0 |

VOLATILITY RATIOS

OPEB plans are subject to volatility in the level of required contributions. This volatility tends to increase as OPEB plans become more mature.

The Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR), which is equal to the market value of assets divided by total payroll, provides an indication of the potential contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. A higher AVR indicates that the plan is subject to a greater level of contribution volatility. This is a current measure since it is based on the current level of assets.

For LACERS, the current AVR is about 1.08. This means that a 1% asset gain/(loss) (relative to the assumed investment return) translates to about 1.08% of one-year's payroll. Since LACERS amortizes actuarial gains and losses over a period of 15 years, there would be a 0.1% of payroll decrease/(increase) in the required contribution for each 1% asset gain/(loss).

The Liability Volatility Ratio (LVR), which is equal to the Actuarial Accrued Liability divided by payroll, provides an indication of the longer-term potential for contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. This is because, over an extended period of time, the plan's assets should track the plan's liabilities. For example, if a plan is 50% funded on a market value basis, the liability volatility ratio would be double the asset volatility ratio and the plan sponsor should expect contribution volatility to increase over time as the plan becomes better funded.

The LVR also indicates how volatile contributions will be in response to changes in the Actuarial Accrued Liability due to actual experience or to changes in actuarial assumptions.

For LACERS, the current LVR is about 1.42. This is about 31% higher than the AVR. Therefore, we would expect that contribution volatility will increase over the long-term.

This chart shows how the asset and liability volatility ratios have varied over time.

CHART 8

Volatility Ratios for Years Ended June 30, 2011 – 2016

| Year Ended June 30 | Asset Volatility Ratio | Liability Volatility Ratio |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2011 | 0.80 | 1.07 |
| 2012 | 0.82 | 1.26 |
| 2013 | 0.93 | 1.31 |
| 2014 | 1.10 | 1.40 |
| 2015 | 1.12 | 1.39 |
| 2016 | 1.08 | 1.42 |
| | | |

The Actuarial Valuation and Review considers the number and demographic characteristics of covered members, including active members, inactive non-vested members (entitled to a refund of member contributions), inactive vested members, retired members and beneficiaries.

This section presents a summary of significant statistical data on these member groups.

More detailed information for this valuation year and the preceding valuation can be found in Section 3, Exhibit A.

CHART 9

A historical perspective of how the member population has changed over the past ten valuations can be seen in this chart.

Member Population: 2007 – 2016

| Year Ended June 30 | Active Participants | Inactive Members | Retired Members and Surviving Spouses** | Ratio of Non-Actives to Actives |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 2007 | 30,175 | 607 | 11,336 | 0.40 |
| 2008 | 30,236 | 703 | 12,004 | 0.42 |
| 2009 | 30,065 | 674 | 11,893 | 0.42 |
| 2010 | 26,245 | 806 | 13,442 | 0.54* |
| 2011 | 25,449 | 813 | 13,436 | 0.56 |
| 2012 | 24,917 | 858 | 13,431 | 0.57 |
| 2013 | 24,441 | 861 | 13,592 | 0.59 |
| 2014 | 24,009 | 955 | 13,686 | 0.61 |
| 2015 | 23,895 | 1,032 | 14,012 | 0.63 |
| 2016 | 24,446 | 1,119 | 14,313 | 0.63 |

Reflects 2009 Early Retirement Incentive Program.

** Excludes retirees and surviving spouses not yet enrolled in retiree health benefits.

SECTION 3: Supplemental Valuation Details for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

This exhibit summarizes the participant data used for the current and prior valuations. **EXHIBIT A**

All

Summary of Participant Data

| | Year End | ed June 30 | Change From |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Category Active members in valuation: Number Average age Average service Projected total payroll Inactive members: Number Average age Retirees:* Number of non-disabled Number of disabled Total number of retirees | 2016 | 2015 | Prior Year |
| Active members in valuation: | | | |
| Number | 24,446 | 23,895 | 2.3% |
| Average age | 48.6 | 48.8 | N/A |
| Average service | 14.7 | 15.0 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$1,968,702,630 | \$1,907,664,598 | 3.2% |
| Inactive members: | | | |
| Number | 1,119 | 1,032 | 8.4% |
| Average age | 50.5 | 50.8 | N/A |
| Retirees:* | | | |
| Number of non-disabled | 12,174 | 11,891 | 2.4% |
| Number of disabled | <u>336</u> | <u>324</u> | 3.7% |
| Total number of retirees | 12,510 | 12,215 | 2.4% |
| Average age of retirees | 71.9 | 71.8 | N/A |
| Number of spouses | 4,773 | 4,658 | 2.5% |
| Average age of spouses | 68.4 | 68.3 | N/A |
| Surviving Spouses:* | | | |
| Number in pay status | 1,803 | 1,797 | 0.3% |
| Average age | 79.6 | 79.4 | N/A |

* Excludes retirees and surviving spouses not receiving health benefits.

EXHIBIT A (continued)

Summary of Participant Data

Tier 1

| | Year End | Change From | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Category | 2016 | 2015* | Prior Year |
| Active members in valuation: | | | |
| Number | 23,985 | 23,895 | 0.4% |
| Average age | 48.8 | 48.8 | N/A |
| Average service | 15.0 | 15.0 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$1,939,683,049 | \$1,907,664,598 | 1.7% |
| Inactive members: | | | |
| Number | 1,119 | 1,032 | 8.4% |
| Average age | 50.5 | 50.8 | N/A |
| Retirees:** | | | |
| Number of non-disabled | 12,174 | 11,891 | 2.4% |
| Number of disabled | <u>336</u> | <u>324</u> | 3.7% |
| Total number of retirees | 12,510 | 12,215 | 2.4% |
| Average age of retirees | 71.9 | 71.8 | N/A |
| Number of spouses | 4,773 | 4,658 | 2.5% |
| Average age of spouses | 68.4 | 68.3 | N/A |
| Surviving Spouses:** | | | |
| Number in pay status | 1,803 | 1,797 | 0.3% |
| Average age | 79.6 | 79.4 | N/A |

* Includes Tier 2 members.

** Excludes retirees and surviving spouses not receiving health benefits.



EXHIBIT A (continued)

Summary of Participant Data

Tier 3

| | Year Ended J | Change From | |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Category | 2016 | 2015 | Prior Year |
| Active members in valuation: | | | |
| Number | 461 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | 36.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Average service | 0.2 | N/A | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$29,019,581 | N/A | N/A |
| Inactive members: | | | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retirees: | | | |
| Number of non-disabled | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Number of disabled | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | N/A |
| Total number of retirees | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age of retirees | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number of spouses | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age of spouses | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Surviving Spouses: | | | |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |

EXHIBIT B

Reconciliation of Participant Data with Pension Valuation

| | Year Ended | June 30 | |
|--|---------------|---------------|--|
| - | 2016 | 2015 | |
| Active | | | |
| Pension valuation | 24,446 | 23,895 | |
| Health valuation | 24,446 | 23,895 | |
| Retirees | | | |
| Pension valuation | 13,572 | 13,217 | |
| Retirees with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll | -1,373 | -1,299 | |
| Deferred retirees eligible for future health benefits | <u>-25</u> | <u>-27</u> | |
| Health valuation | 12,174 | 11,891 | |
| Disableds | | | |
| Pension valuation | 922 | 910 | |
| Disabled with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll | -516 | -512 | |
| Deferred disableds eligible for future health benefits | <u>-70</u> | <u>-74</u> | |
| Health valuation | 336 | 324 | |
| Surviving Spouses | | | |
| Pension valuation | 3,863 | 3,805 | |
| Surviving spouses with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll | -1,978 | -1,930 | |
| Deferred surviving spouses eligible for future health benefits | <u>-82</u> | <u>-78</u> | |
| Health valuation | 1,803 | 1,797 | |
| Inactive Vested | | | |
| Pension valuation | 6,895 | 6,507 | |
| Inactive vesteds with less than 10 years of service | <u>-5,776</u> | <u>-5,475</u> | |
| Health valuation | 1,119 | 1,032 | |

EXHIBIT C

Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from the Rolls

| Year <u>Ended 6/30</u> | No. of New Retirees/ <u>Beneficiaries</u> | Annual Allowances <u>Added</u> * | No. of Retirees/ Beneficiaries <u>Removed</u> | Annual Allowances <u>Removed</u> | No. of Retirees/ Beneficiaries <u>at 6/30</u> | Annual Allowances <u>at 6/30</u> | Percent Increase in Annual <u>Allowances</u> | Average Annual <u>Allowance</u> |
|---------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2011 | 431 | \$5,670,390 | 437 | \$2,774,684 | 13,436 | \$97,104,061 | 3.1 | \$7,227 |
| 2012 | 433 | -540,583 | 438 | 2,516,835 | 13,431 | 94,046,643 | -3.1 | 7,002 |
| 2013 | 635 | 9,263,844 | 474 | 2,463,967 | 13,592 | 100,846,520 | 7.2 | 7,420 |
| 2014 | 616 | 7,160,148 | 522 | 3,047,436 | 13,686 | 104,959,232 | 4.1 | 7,669 |
| 2015 | 860 | 10,844,333 | 534 | 3,174,045 | 14,012 | 112,629,520 | 7.3 | 8,038 |
| 2016 | 837 | 2,185,058 | 536 | 3,102,492 | 14,313 | 111,712,086 | -0.8 | 7,805 |

*Also reflects changes in subsidies for continuing retirees and beneficiaries.

-

EXHIBIT D Cash Flow Projections

The ARC generally exceeds the current pay-as-you-go ("paygo") cost of an OPEB plan. Over time the paygo cost will tend to grow and may even eventually exceed the ARC in a well-funded plan. The following table projects the paygo cost as the projected net fund payment over the next ten years.

| Year Ending | Projected Number of Retirees* | | | Projected Benefit Payments | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| June 30 | Current | Future | Total | Current | Future | Total | |
| 2017 | 19,086 | 1,333 | 20,419 | \$108,901,420 | \$9,507,716 | \$118,409,136 | |
| 2018 | 18,562 | 2,264 | 20,826 | 109,100,502 | 18,207,459 | 127,307,961 | |
| 2019 | 18,009 | 3,263 | 21,272 | 109,547,900 | 28,264,035 | 137,811,935 | |
| 2020 | 17,441 | 4,312 | 21,753 | 109,330,220 | 39,847,712 | 149,177,932 | |
| 2021 | 16,865 | 5,396 | 22,261 | 108,935,160 | 53,025,860 | 161,961,020 | |
| 2022 | 16,280 | 6,450 | 22,730 | 108,610,818 | 66,800,771 | 175,411,589 | |
| 2023 | 15,685 | 7,457 | 23,142 | 107,470,124 | 80,450,452 | 187,920,576 | |
| 2024 | 15,083 | 8,451 | 23,534 | 106,667,547 | 94,498,648 | 201,166,195 | |
| 2025 | 14,474 | 9,363 | 23,837 | 105,345,986 | 108,072,479 | 213,418,465 | |
| 2026 | 13,857 | 10,226 | 24,083 | 104,494,149 | 121,303,295 | 225,797,444 | |

* Includes spouses of retirees, but excludes those not receiving a subsidy from LACERS.

EXHIBIT E

Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on an Actuarial Value Basis for Retirement, Health, Family Death and Larger Annuity Benefits

| | June 30, 2016 | | June 3 | 0, 2015 |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Contribution income: | | | | |
| Employer contributions | \$546,687,123 | | \$481,765,868 | |
| Employee contributions | 211,344,752 | | 207,564,465 | |
| Net contribution income | | \$758,031,875 | | \$689,330,333 |
| Investment income: | | | | |
| Interest, dividends and other income | \$328,356,817 | | \$315,373,999 | |
| Recognition of capital appreciation | 742,488,219 | | 887,268,617 | |
| Less investment and administrative fees | <u>-87,439,883</u> | | <u>-83,431,256</u> | |
| Net investment income | | <u>\$983,405,153</u> | | <u>\$1,119,211,360</u> |
| Total income available for benefits | | \$1,741,437,028 | | \$1,808,541,693 |
| Less benefit payments: | | | | |
| Payment of benefits | -\$877,204,804 | | -\$838,334,980 | |
| Refunds of contributions | -7,718,826 | | <u>-10,120,884</u> | |
| Net benefit payments | | -\$884,923,630 | | -\$848,455,864 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Change in reserve for future benefits | | \$856,513,398 | | \$960,085,829 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes a transfer of \$2,614,765 to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan due to a change in membership for certain employees in the Office of Public Safety.

Note: Results may be slightly off due to rounding.

EXHIBIT F

Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits

| | June 3 | 30, 2016 | June 3 | 0, 2015 |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cash equivalents | | \$499,731,305 | | \$520,833,530 |
| Accounts receivable: | | | | |
| Investment income | \$50,163,160 | | \$44,947,780 | |
| Proceeds from sales of investments | 102,333,983 | | 118,813,253 | |
| Other | 28,008,361 | | <u>9,783,949</u> | |
| Total accounts receivable | | \$180,505,504 | | \$173,544,982 |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Fixed Income | \$3,674,318,513 | | \$3,589,262,579 | |
| Equities | 7,542,082,855 | | 8,100,719,055 | |
| Real Estate and Alternative Investment | 2,241,780,660 | | 1,991,923,845 | |
| Other | 782,185,594 | | <u>847,945,965</u> | |
| Total investments at market value | | \$14,240,367,622 | | \$14,529,851,444 |
| Capital assets | | \$4,951,637 | | \$4,050,199 |
| Total assets | | \$14,925,556,068 | | \$15,228,280,155 |
| Less accounts payable: | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | | -\$38,484,600 | | -\$34,950,273 |
| Accrued investment expenses | | -11,324,180 | | -9,333,189 |
| Derivative instruments | | -870,314 | | 0 |
| Purchases of investments | | -174,028,137 | | -228,312,951 |
| Security lending collateral | | -695,789,322 | | -830,923,367 |
| Total accounts payable | | -\$920,496,553 | | -\$1,103,519,780 |
| Net assets at market value | | <u>\$14,005,059,515</u> | | <u>\$14,124,760,375</u> |
| Net assets at actuarial value | | <u>\$14,752,102,625</u> | | <u>\$13,895,589,227</u> |
| Net assets at valuation value (health benefits) | | <u>\$2,248,753,480</u> | | <u>\$2,108,924,651</u> |

Note: Results may be slightly off due to rounding.



It is desirable to have level and predictable plan costs from one year to the next. For this reason, the Board of Administration has approved an asset valuation method that gradually adjusts to market value. Under this valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year and, as a result, the asset value and the plan costs are more stable.

Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2016

The amount of the adjustment to recognize market value is treated as income, which may be positive or negative. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are treated equally and, therefore, the sale of assets has no immediate effect on the actuarial value.

The chart shows the determination of the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date.

EXHIBIT G

| 1. | Market value of assets | | | | \$14,005,059,515 |
|----|---|------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | Original | Portion Not | Amount Not | |
| 2. | Calculation of unrecognized return ⁽¹⁾ | Amount | Recognized | Recognized | |
| | (a) Year ended June 30, 2016 | -\$1,065,023,569 | 6/7 | -\$912,877,345 | |
| | (b) Year ended June 30, 2015 | -707,760,540 | 5/7 | -505,543,243 | |
| | (c) Year ended June 30, 2014 | 1,246,285,581 | 4/7 | 712,163,189 | |
| | (d) Combined net deferred loss as of June 30, 2013 ⁽²⁾ | -81,571,421 | 3/6 | -40,785,711 | |
| | (e) Total unrecognized return | | | | -\$747,043,110 |
| 3. | Preliminary actuarial value: (1) - (2e) | | | | \$14,752,102,625 |
| 4. | Adjustment to be within 40% corridor | | | | 0 |
| 5. | Final actuarial value of assets: $(3) + (4)$ | | | | \$14,752,102,625 |
| 6. | Actuarial value as a percentage of market value: $(5) \div (1)$ | | | | 105.3% |
| 7. | Market value of health assets | | | | \$2,134,877,117 |
| 8. | Valuation value of health assets $(5) \div (1) \times (7)$ | | | | \$2,248,753,480 |
| 9. | Deferred return recognized in each of the next 6 years: | | | | |
| | (a) Amount recognized on 6/30/2017 | | | | -\$88,809,313 |
| | (b) Amount recognized on 6/30/2018 | | | | -88,809,313 |
| | (c) Amount recognized on 6/30/2019 | | | | -88,809,313 |
| | (d) Amount recognized on 6/30/2020 | | | | -75,214,076 |
| | (e) Amount recognized on 6/30/2021 | | | | -253,254,873 |
| | (f) Amount recognized on 6/30/2022 | | | | -152,146,224 |
| | (g) Subtotal (may not total exactly due to rounding) | | | | -\$747,043,110 |
| | | | | | |

(1) Total return minus expected return on a market value basis.

⁽²⁾ Based on action taken by the Board on September 9, 2014, the net unrecognized loss as of June 30, 2013 (i.e., \$81,571,421) has been recognized in six level amounts, with three years of recognition remaining after the June 30, 2016 valuation.



The chart below details the changes in the ARC from the prior valuation to the current year's valuation.

EXHIBIT H

Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution from June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016¹

| Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2015 ¹ | 4.90% |
|--|--------------|
| Effect of plan amendment changing eligibility requirements for part-time employees | 0.17 |
| Effect of demographic gains and losses ² | -0.03 |
| Effect of actual contributions more than expected ² | -0.07 |
| Effect of investment loss | 0.08 |
| Effect of increase in UAAL rate from smaller than expected increase in payroll | 0.04 |
| Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2016 ¹ | <u>5.09%</u> |

¹ Based on contributions at the end of each pay period.

² Changes in unfunded actuarial accrued liability were amortized over 15 years.

EXHIBIT I Solvency Test for OPEB

| | Aggregate Actuarial Accrued Liabilities For | | | | Portion of Accrued Liabilities Covered by Reported Assets | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------|--|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | | (1) | (2) | (3) | |
| Valuation <u>Date</u> | Terminated <u>Members</u> | Retirees, Beneficiaries, & <u>Dependents</u> | Active <u>Members</u> | Valuation Value of Retiree <u>Health Assets</u> | Terminated <u>Members</u> | Retirees, Beneficiaries, & <u>Dependents</u> | Active <u>Members</u> | |
| 06/30/2011 | \$19,963,811 | \$1,066,350,888 | \$882,392,967 | \$1,546,883,749 | 100% | 100% | 52% | |
| 06/30/2012 | 24,454,075 | 1,083,168,136 | 1,184,778,016 | 1,642,373,560 | 100 | 100 | 45 | |
| 06/30/2013 | 26,868,636 | 1,104,832,577 | 1,280,782,755 | 1,734,733,258 | 100 | 100 | 47 | |
| 06/30/2014 | 41,188,181 | 1,196,769,321 | 1,424,895,651 | 1,941,224,810 | 100 | 100 | 49 | |
| 06/30/2015 | 42,943,089 | 1,210,066,527 | 1,393,979,751 | 2,108,924,651 | 100 | 100 | 61 | |
| 06/30/2016 | 50,413,399 | 1,275,604,225 | 1,467,671,331 | 2,248,753,480 | 100 | 100 | 63 | |

EXHIBIT I

Summary of Required Supplementary Information

| Valuation date | June 30, 2016 | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Actuarial cost method | Entry Age Cost Method, level percent of salary. | | | | |
| Amortization method | Level percent of payroll – assuming a 4.00% increase in total covered payroll. | | | | |
| Amortization period | Multiple Layers: | | | | |
| · | 2009 ERIP15 years2012 Combined Base30 yearsActuarial Experience15 yearsChange in non-health related assumptions20 yearsChange in health related assumptions15 yearsFuture ERIP5 yearsAVA in excess of AAL30 yearsPlan Amendment15 years | | | | |
| Asset valuation method | Market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrec return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected returnarket value, and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets. | | | | |
| Actuarial assumptions: | | | | | |
| Investment rate of return | 7.50% | | | | |
| Inflation rate | 3.25% | | | | |
| Real across-the-board salary increase | 0.75% | | | | |
| Projected salary increases | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40% | | | | |
| Medical, dental, Medicare Part B trend rates | See table on page 40. | | | | |
| Plan membership: | June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 | | | |
| Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents receiving benefits | 19,086 | 18,670 | | | |
| Current active participants | 24,446 | 23,895 | | | |
| Terminated participants entitled but not yet eligible | 1,119 | 1,032 | | | |
| Total | 44,651 | 43,597 | | | |

EXHIBIT II

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

| Rationale for Assumptions: | The information and analysis used in selecting each assumption that has a significant effect on this actuarial valuation is shown in the July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014 Actuarial Experience Study dated October 8, 2014 and retiree health assumptions letter dated September 30, 2016. Unless otherwise noted, all actuarial assumptions and methods shown below apply to both Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. These assumptions have been adopted by the Board. |
|--|---|
| Measurement Date: | June 30, 2016 |
| Data: | LACERS provided detailed census data and financial data for post-employment benefits. |
| Post-Retirement Mortality Rates: | |
| Healthy Members and All Beneficiaries: | RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females. |
| Disabled Members: | RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set forward seven years for males and set forward eight years for females. |
| The above mortality tables contain about | a 10% margin, based on actual to expected deaths, as a provision appropriate to |

reasonably anticipate future mortality improvement, based on a review of mortality experience as of the measurement date.

Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality:

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.

| | Rat | te (%) |
|-----|------------|--------------|
| Age | Disability | Termination* |
| 25 | 0.01 | 5.75 |
| 30 | 0.03 | 5.75 |
| 35 | 0.05 | 4.85 |
| 40 | 0.09 | 3.50 |
| 45 | 0.15 | 2.70 |
| 50 | 0.19 | 2.50 |
| 55 | 0.20 | 2.35 |
| 60 | 0.20 | 2.25 |

* Rates for members with five or more years of service. Termination rates are zero for members eligible to retire.

Rates of termination for members with less than 5 years of service are as follows:

| | Rate (%) | | | | |
|---------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Service | Termination (Based on Service) | | | | |
| 0 | 13.25 | | | | |
| 1 | 11.00 | | | | |
| 2 | 8.75 | | | | |
| 3 | 7.25 | | | | |
| 4 | 5.75 | | | | |

Retirement Rates:

| | Tier | 1 | Tier 3 | | | |
|-----|-----------|-------|--------------------|-------|--|--|
| Age | Non-55/30 | 55/30 | Non-55/30 | 55/30 | | |
| 50 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 51 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 52 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 53 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 54 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 55 | 6.0 | 20.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 19.0 | | |
| 56 | 6.0 | 14.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 13.0 | | |
| 57 | 6.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 ⁽¹⁾ | 13.0 | | |
| 58 | 6.0 | 14.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 13.0 | | |
| 59 | 6.0 | 14.0 | $0.0^{(1)}$ | 13.0 | | |
| 60 | 6.0 | 14.0 | 5.0 | 13.0 | | |
| 61 | 6.0 | 14.0 | 5.0 | 13.0 | | |
| 62 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 14.0 | | |
| 63 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 14.0 | | |
| 64 | 7.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 | | |
| 65 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | | |
| 66 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | | |
| 67 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | | |
| 68 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | | |
| 69 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | | |
| 70 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |

Rate (%)

⁽¹⁾ Not eligible to retire under the provisions of the Tier 3 plan.



| SECTION 4: | Supporting Information for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System |
|------------|--|
| | Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016 |

| Retirement Age and Benefit for Inactive Vested Participants: | Assume retiree health benefit will be paid at the later of age 58 or the current attained age. |
|---|---|
| Exclusion of Inactive Vested: | Inactive vested with less than 10 years of service are excluded. |
| Definition of Active Members: | First day of biweekly payroll following employment for new department employees or immediately following transfer from other city department. |
| Unknown Data for Members: | Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not specified, members are assumed to be male. |
| Service: | Employment service is used for eligibility determination purposes. Benefit service is used for benefit calculation purposes. |
| Future Benefit Accruals: | 1.0 year of service per year. |
| Net Investment Return: | 7.50% |
| Salary Increases: | Inflation: 3.25%; plus additional 0.75% "across the board" salary increases (other than inflation); plus the following merit and promotional increases: |

| Service | Percentage Increase | |
|---------|---------------------|--|
| 0 | 6.50% | |
| 1 | 6.20% | |
| 2 | 5.10% | |
| 3 | 3.10% | |
| 4 | 2.10% | |
| 5 | 1.10% | |
| 6 | 1.00% | |
| 7 | 0.90% | |
| 8 | 0.70% | |
| 9 | 0.60% | |
| 10 + | 0.40% | |

| Actuarial Value of Assets: | The market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual and expected returns on a market value basis and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets. | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Actuarial Cost Method: | Entry Age Cost Method, level percent of salary. | | | | | | |
| Per Capita Cost Development: | The assumed costs on a composite basis are the future costs of providing postemployment health care benefits at each age. To determine the assumed costs on a composite basis, historical premiums are reviewed and adjusted for increases in the cost of health care services. Maximum Dental Subsidy | | | | | | |
| | | | Monthly | | | | |
| | | Election | 2016-2017 Fiscal | | | | |
| | Carrier | Percent | Year Subsidy | | | | |
| | Delta Dental PPO | 78.6% | \$43.92 | | | | |
| | DeltaCare USA HMO 21.4% \$12.19 | | | | | | |

Per Capita Cost Development – Tier 1, Not Subject to Medical Subsidy Cap:

| Participant Under Age 65 or Not Eligible for Medicare A & B | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| 2016-2017 Fiscal Year | | Single Party | | Married/With Domestic Partner | | | Eligible Survivor | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| CARRIER | Observed and Assumed Election Percent | Monthly Premium* | Maximum Subsidy | Subsidy | Monthly Premium* | Maximum Subsidy | Subsidy | Monthly Premium* | Maximum Subsidy | Subsidy |
| Kaiser HMO | 62.8% | \$787.23 | \$1,658.48 | \$787.23 | \$1,574.46 | \$1,658.48 | \$1,574.46 | \$787.23 | \$787.23 | \$787.23 |
| Anthem Blue Cross PPO | 22.0% | \$1,127.66 | \$1,658.48 | \$1,127.66 | \$2,250.85 | \$1,658.48 | \$1,658.48 | \$1,127.66 | \$787.23 | \$787.23 |
| Anthem Blue Cross HMO | 15.2% | \$991.96 | \$1,658.48 | \$991.96 | \$1,979.44 | \$1,658.48 | \$1,658.48 | \$991.96 | \$787.23 | \$787.23 |

* With the exception of Kaiser, the amounts above reflect the inclusion of the vision insurance plan premium.

| 2016-2017 Fiscal Year | | Single Party | | | Married/With Domestic Partner | | | Eligible Survivor | | |
|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| CARRIER | Observed and Assumed Election Percent | Monthly Premium* | Maximum Subsidy | Subsidy | Monthly Premium* | Maximum Subsidy | Subsidy | Monthly Premium* | Maximum Subsidy | Subsidy |
| Kaiser Senior Advantage HMO | 58.0% | \$237.57 | \$237.57 | \$237.57 | \$475.14 | \$475.14 | \$475.14 | \$237.57 | \$237.57 | \$237.57 |
| Anthem Blue Cross Medicare Supplement | 30.2% | \$496.82 | \$496.82 | \$496.82 | \$989.17 | \$989.17 | \$989.17 | \$496.82 | \$496.82 | \$496.82 |
| UHC Medicare Adv. HMO for California** | 11.8% | \$258.77 | \$258.77 | \$258.77 | \$513.07 | \$513.07 | \$513.07 | \$258.77 | \$258.77 | \$258.77 |

* With the exception of Kaiser, the amounts above reflect the inclusion of the vision insurance plan premium.

** Rates for CA plan.
Members who are subject to the retiree medical subsidy cap will have monthly health insurance subsidy maximums capped at the levels in effect at July 1, 2011, as shown in the table below:

| | | Married/With | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | Single Party | Domestic Partner | Eligible Survivor |
| Under 65 – All Plans | \$1,190.00 | \$1,190.00 | \$593.62 |
| <u>Over 65</u> | | | |
| Kaiser HMO | \$203.27 | \$406.54 | \$203.27 |
| Blue Cross Medicare Supplement | \$478.43 | \$540.77 | \$478.43 |
| UHC Medicare Adv. HMO for California | \$219.09 | \$417.14 | \$129.09 |

Adjustments to per-capita costs (as shown on page 37) based on age, gender, and status, are as follows:

| | Ret | tiree | Spouse | |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Age | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 55 | 0.9000 | 0.9292 | 0.7083 | 0.8023 |
| 60 | 1.0689 | 1.0016 | 0.9483 | 0.9305 |
| 64 | 1.2263 | 1.0625 | 1.1971 | 1.0473 |
| 65 | 0.9186 | 0.7808 | 0.9186 | 0.7808 |
| 70 | 1.0647 | 0.8415 | 1.0647 | 0.8415 |
| 75 | 1.1474 | 0.9058 | 1.1474 | 0.9058 |
| 80+ | 1.2356 | 0.9765 | 1.2356 | 0.9765 |



| Spouse/Domestic Partner Coverage: | 60% of male and 30% of female retirees who receive a subsidy are assumed to be married or have a qualified domestic partner and elect dependent coverage. Of these covered spouses/domestic partners, 100% are assumed to continue coverage if the retiree predeceases the spouse/domestic partner. |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Spouse Age Difference: | Male retirees are assumed to be 4 years older than their female spouses. Female retirees are assumed to be 2 years younger than their male spouses. |
| Participation: | Retiree Medical and Dental Coverage Participation: |

| Service Range | Percent Covered* |
|---------------|---------------------|
| 10 - 14 | 65% |
| 15 - 19 | 80% |
| 20 - 24 | 90% |
| 25 and Over | 95% |

* Inactive members are assumed to elect coverages at 50% of the rates shown above.

100% of retirees becoming eligible for Medicare are assumed to be covered by both Parts A and B.

Health Care Cost Subsidy Trend Rates:

Trends to be applied in following fiscal years, to all health plans.

Trend is to be applied to premium for shown fiscal year to calculate next fiscal year's projected premium. For example, the projected single party Kaiser non-Medicare premium for 2017-2018 would be (1 + 8.39%) x \$787.23 = \$853.28 (before applying the age, gender, and status adjustment factors as shown on page 38).

First Fiscal Year (July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017)

| PLAN | Anthem Blue Cross PPO, Under Age 65 | Anthem Blue Cross Medicare Supplement | Kaiser HMO, Under Age 65 | Kaiser Senior Advantage | Anthem Blue Cross HMO, Under 65 | UHC Medicare HMO |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| Trend to be applied to 2016-2017 Fiscal Year premium | 8.27% | 5.14% | 8.39% | 6.59% | 2.22% | 4.07% |
| | | | The fiscal year tren year trend rates: | nd rates are based Trend (applied | l on the follow | ing calendar |
| | Trend (A | Approx.) | | frena (appried | premium) | Jilo wing your |
| Fiscal Year | Medicare | Non-Medicare | Calendar Year | Non-Medica | are N | 1 edicare |
| 2017-2018 | 6.38% | 6.38% | 2017 | 6.50%* | | 6.50%* |
| 2018-2019 | 6.13% | 6.13% | 2018 | 6.25% | | 6.25% |
| 2019-2020 | 5.88% | 5.88% | 2019 | 6.00% | | 6.00% |
| 2020-2021 | 5.63% | 5.63% | 2020 | 5.75% | | 5.75% |
| 2021-2022 | 5.38% | 5.38% | 2021 | 5.50% | | 5.50% |
| 2022-2023 | 5.13% | 5.13% | 2022 | 5.25% | | 5.25% |
| 2023 and later | 5.00% | 5.00% | 2023 and later | 5.00% | | 5.00% |
| Dental Premium Trend | | 5.00% for all ye | ars | | | |
| Medicare Part B Premium Trend | | Trend for the 20 in Medicare B p for years follow | 16-17 fiscal year wil remium from 2016 t ing the 2017 calenda | ll be calculated ba o 2017, when it b r year. | ased on the act ecomes availa | ual increase ble. 5.00% |

* For example, the 6.50% assumption, when applied to the 2017 calendar year medical premiums would provide the 2018 calendar year medical premiums.



| Health Care Reform: | As previously directed by LACERS, we have not reflected in the current valuation the impact of potential excise tax imposed by the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and related statutes on certain health plans in calculating the contribution rates for the employer. We understand that the recently adopted Statements No. 74 and No. 75 by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) for financial reporting purposes is expected to require the inclusion of the excise tax in the liability. Statement No. 74 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016 for plan reporting and Statement No. 75 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017 for employer reporting. |
|--|---|
| Administrative Expenses: | No administrative expenses were valued separately from the premium costs. |
| Plan Design: | Development of plan liabilities was based on the substantive plan of benefits in effect as described in Exhibit III. |
| Assumption Changes Since Prior Valuation: | Starting premium costs and first year trends were revised to reflect 2017 calendar year premium data. |
| | Medical and dental carrier election assumptions were updated. |
| | Retirement rates for Tier 3 were added. |

EXHIBIT III

Summary of Plan

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions as included in the valuation. To the best of our knowledge, the summary represents the substantive plans as of the measurement date. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all benefit provisions.

| Membership Eligibility: | |
|--|---|
| Tier 1 (§4.1002(a)) | All employees who became members of the Retirement System before July 1, 2013, and certain employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after July 1, 2013. In addition, pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134, all Tier 2 employees who became members of the Retirement System between July 1, 2013 and February 2, 2016 were transferred to Tier 1 effective February 2, 2016. |
| Tier 3 (§4.1080.2(a)) | All employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after February 2, 2016, except as provided otherwise in Section 4.1080.2(b) of the Los Angeles Administrative Code. |
| Benefit Eligibility: | |
| Tier 1 (§4.1111(a)) and Tier 3 (§4.1126(a)) | Retired age 55 or older with at least 10 years of service (including deferred vested members who terminate employment and receive a retirement benefit from LACERS), or if retirement date is between October 2, 1996, and September 30, 1999 at age 50 or older with at least 30 years of service. Benefits are also payable to spouses, domestic partners, or other qualified dependents while the retiree is alive. Please note that the health subsidy is not payable to a disabled retiree before the member reaches age 55. |
| Medical Subsidy for Members Not Subject to Cap: | |
| Under Age 65 or Over Age 65 Without Medicare Part A | |
| Tier 1 (§4.1111(d)) and Tier 3 (§4.1126(c)) | The System will pay 4% of the maximum health subsidy (limited to actual premium) |

The System will pay 4% of the maximum health subsidy (limited to actual premium) for each year of Service Credit, up to 100% of the maximum health subsidy. As of July 1, 2016, the maximum health subsidy is \$1,580.08 per month, increasing to \$1,736.88 in calendar year 2017.

Over Age 65 and Enrolled in Both Medicare Parts A and B

> *Tier 1 (§4.1111(e)) and Tier 3 (§4.1126(d))*

For retirees, a maximum health subsidy shall be paid in the amount of the single-party monthly premium of the approved Medicare supplemental or coordinated plan in which the retiree is enrolled, subject to the following vesting schedule:

| Completed Years of Service | Vested Percentage |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1-14 | 75% |
| 15-19 | 90% |
| 20+ | 100% |

Subsidy Cap for Tier 1:

(§4.1111(b))

As of the June 30, 2011 valuation, the retiree health benefits program was changed to cap the medical subsidy for non-retired members who do not contribute an additional 4% or 4.5% of employee contributions to the Pension Plan.

The capped subsidy is different for Medicare and non-Medicare retirees.

The cap applies to the medical subsidy limits at the 2011 calendar year level.

The cap does not apply to the dental subsidy or the Medicare Part B premium reimbursement.

Dependents:

Tier 1 (§4.1111(e)(4)) and Tier 3 (§4.1126(d)(4))

An additional amount is added for coverage of dependents which shall not exceed the amount provided to a retiree not enrolled in Medicare Parts A and B and covered by the same medical plan with the same years of service. The combined member and dependent subsidy shall not exceed the actual premium.



Dental Subsidy for Members:

| Tier 1 (§4.1114(b)) and Tier 3 (§4.1129(b)) | The System will pay 4% of the maximum dental subsidy (limited to actual premium) for each year of Service Credit, up to 100% of the maximum dental subsidy. As of July 1, 2016, the maximum dental subsidy is \$43.24 per month; increasing to \$44.60 in calendar year 2017. |
|--|---|
| | There is no subsidy available to spouses or domestic partners or for dependent coverage. There is also no reimbursement for dental plans not sponsored by the System. |
| Medicare Part B Reimbursement for Members: | |
| Tier 1 (§4.1113) and Tier 3 (§4.1128) | If a Retiree is covered by both Medicare Parts A and B, and enrolled in a LACERS medical plan or participates in the LACERS Retiree Medical Premium Reimbursement Program, LACERS will reimburse the retiree the basic Medicare Part B premium. |
| Surviving Spouse Medical Subsidy | |
| Tier 1 (§4.1115) and Tier 3 (§4.1129.1) | The surviving spouse or domestic partner will be entitled to a health subsidy (limited to the actual lowest cost plan available single-party premium) based on the member's years of service and the surviving dependent's eligibility for Medicare. |
| Under Age 65 or Over Age 65 Without Medicare Part A | The maximum health subsidy available for survivors is the lowest cost plan available (currently Kaiser) single-party premium (\$748.03 per month as of July 1, 2016, increasing to \$826.43 on January 1, 2017). |

| | <i>Over Age 65 and Enrolled in</i> <i>Both Medicare Parts A and B</i> | For survivors, a maximum health subsi of the plan in which the survivor is enro vesting schedule: | dy limited to the single-party monthly premium olled, is provided subject to the following | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Completed Years of Service | Vested Percentage | | |
| | | 1-14 | 75% | | |
| | | 15-19 | 90% | | |
| | | 20+ | 100% | | |
| Changes in Plan Provisions: | | Tier 2 was rescinded (Tier 2 members were transferred to Tier 1) and Tier 3 became effective February 21, 2016. | | | |
| | | Retiree health benefits provided to curr with 10 or more years of eligibility serv (previously not eligible for health bene | rent and future part-time employees who retire vice but less than 10 years of benefit service fits). | | |
| NOTE: | The summary of major purposes of the actuar actual provisions, the S are valued. | ior Plan provisions is designed to outline principal plan benefits as interpreted for carial valuation. If the System should find the plan summary not in accordance with the ne System should alert the actuary so that both parties can be sure the proper provisions | | | |



EXHIBIT IV

Definitions of Terms

The following list defines certain technical terms for the convenience of the reader:

| Assumptions or Actuarial | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Assumptions: | The es | The estimates on which the cost of the Plan is calculated including: | |
| | (a) | <u>Investment return</u> — the rate of investment yield that the Plan will earn over the long-term future; | |
| | (b) | <u>Mortality rates</u> — the death rates of employees and pensioners; life expectancy is based on these rates; | |
| | (c) | <u>Retirement rates</u> — the rate or probability of retirement at a given age; | |
| | (d) | <u>Turnover rates</u> — the rates at which employees of various ages are expected to leave employment for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement. | |
| Actuarial Present Value of Total | | | |
| Projected Benefits (APB): | Present value of all future benefit payments for current retirees and active employees taking into account assumptions about demographics, turnover, mortality, disability, retirement, health care trends, and other actuarial assumptions. | | |
| Normal Cost: | The amount of contributions required to fund the benefit allocated to the current year of service. | | |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | | | |
| For Actives: | The eq valuati | uivalent of the accumulated normal costs allocated to the years before the on date. | |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | | | |
| For Retirees: | The sin of life sum is | ngle sum value of lifetime benefits to existing retirees. This sum takes account expectancies appropriate to the ages of the retirees and of the interest which the expected to earn before it is entirely paid out in benefits. | |

| Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA): | The value of assets used by the actuary in the valution. These may be at market value or some other method used to smooth variations in market value from one valuation to the next. |
|--|--|
| Funded Ratio: | The ratio AVA/AAL. |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL): | The extent to which the actuarial accrued liability of the Plan exceeds the assets of the Plan. There is a wide range of approaches to paying off the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, from meeting the interest accrual only to amortizing it over a specific period of time. |
| Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: | Payments made over a period of years equal in value to the Plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability. |
| Investment Return (discount rate): | The rate of earnings of the Plan from its investments, including interest, dividends and capital gain and loss adjustments, computed as a percentage of the average value of the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of the capital gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one year to the next. If the plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, the discount rate is tied to the expected rate of return on day-to-day employer funds. |
| Covered Payroll: | Annual reported salaries for all active participants on the valuation date. |
| ARC as a Percentage of Covered Payroll: | The ratio of the annual required contribution to covered payroll. |
| Health Care Cost Trend Rates: | The annual rate of increase in net claims costs per individual benefiting from the Plan. |
| Annual Required Contribution (ARC): | The ARC is equal to the sum of the normal cost and the amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. |

| Net OPEB Obligation (NOO): | The NOO is the cumulative difference between the annual OPEB cost and actual contributions made. If the plan is not pre-funded, the actual contribution would be equal to the annual benefit payments less retiree contributions. |
|----------------------------|---|
| Annual OPEB Cost (AOC): | Annual OPEB cost is the measure required by GASB 45 of a sole or agent employer's "cost" of participating in an OPEB plan. When an employer has no net OPEB obligation, annual OPEB cost is equal to the ARC. When a net OPEB obligation has a liability (positive) balance, annual OPEB cost is equal to (a) the ARC, plus (b) one year's interest on the beginning balance of the net OPEB obligation, less (c) an adjustment to the ARC to offset, approximately, the amount included in the ARC for amortization of the past contribution deficiencies. When a net OPEB obligation has an asset (negative) balance, the interest adjustment should be deducted from and the ARC adjustment should be added to the ARC, to determine annual OPEB cost. |
| ARC Adjustment: | The ARC adjustment is an amortization payment based on the prior year NOO. The purpose of the interest and ARC adjustments is to avoid "double-counting" annual OPEB cost and liabilities. Without the adjustments, annual OPEB cost and the net OPEB obligation (liability) would be overstated by the portion of the amortization amount previously recognized in annual OPEB cost. With the adjustments, annual OPEB cost should be approximately equal to the ARC that would have been charged if all prior ARCs had been paid in full, plus one year's interest on the net OPEB obligation. |
| Employer Contributions: | For the purposes of GASB 43/45, an employer has contributed to an OPEB plan if the employer has (a) provided benefits directly to retired plan members or their beneficiaries, (b) paid insurance premiums to insure the payment of benefits, or (c) irrevocably transferred assets to a qualifying trust, or equivalent arrangement, in which plan assets are dedicated to providing benefits to retirees and their beneficiaries in accordance with the terms of the plan and are legally protected from creditors of the employer(s) or plan administrator. |

EXHIBIT V

Accounting Requirements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement Number 43 -- Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, and Statement Number 45 - Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. Under these statements, all state and local government entities that provide other postemployment benefits (OPEB) are required to report the cost of these benefits on their financial statements. The accounting standards supplement cash accounting, under which the expense for postemployment benefits is equal to benefit and administrative costs paid on behalf of retirees and their dependents (*i.e.*, a pay-as-you-go basis).

The statements cover postemployment benefits of health, prescription drug, dental, vision and life insurance coverage for retirees; long-term care coverage, life insurance and death benefits that are *not* offered as part of a pension plan; and long-term disability insurance for employees. The benefits valued in this report are limited to those described in Exhibit III of Section 4, which are based on those provided under the terms of the substantive plan in effect at the time of the valuation and on the pattern of sharing costs between the employer and plan members. The projection of benefits is not limited by legal or contractual limits on funding the plan unless those limits clearly translate into benefit limits on the substantive plan being valued. The new standards introduce an accrual-basis accounting requirement, thereby recognizing the employer cost of postemployment benefits over an employee's career. The standards also introduce a consistent accounting requirement for both pension and non-pension benefits.

The total cost of providing postemployment benefits is projected, taking into account assumptions about demographics, turnover, mortality, disability, retirement, health care trends, and other actuarial assumptions. These assumptions are summarized in Exhibit II of Section 4. This amount is then discounted to determine the actuarial present value of the total projected benefits (APB). The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) is the portion of the present value of the total projected benefits allocated to years of employment prior to the measurement date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the difference between the AAL and actuarial value of assets in the Plan.

Once the UAAL is determined, the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is determined as the normal cost (the APB allocated to the current year of service) and the amortization of the UAAL. This ARC is compared to actual contributions made and any difference is reported as the Net OPEB Obligation (NOO). In addition, Required Supplementary Information (RSI) must be reported, including historical information about the UAAL and the progress in funding the Plan. Exhibit IV of Section 4 contains a definition of terms as well as more information about GASB 43/45 concepts. The calculation of an accounting obligation does not, in and of itself, imply that there is any legal liability to provide the benefits valued, nor is there any implication that the Employer is required to implement a funding policy to satisfy the projected expense.

Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective, and the methods and assumptions use techniques designed to reduce short-term volatility in accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, if any.

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future, and the actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future

5446564v4/05806.003.





Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2016

This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Administration to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Administration and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

Copyright © 2016 by The Segal Group, Inc. All rights reserved.

🔆 Segal Consulting

100 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com

November 9, 2016

Board of Administration Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System 202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2016. It contains various information that will need to be disclosed in order to comply with GAS 67.

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist in administering the System. The census and financial information on which our calculations were based was prepared by LACERS. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in the actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and expectations for the System.

We look forward to reviewing this report with you and to answering any questions.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

Bv:

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA Vice President and Actuary

JRC/hy

SECTION 1

VALUATION SUMMARY

| Purpose | • | i |
|---------|---|---|
|---------|---|---|

Significant Issues in Valuation Year.....i

Summary of Key Valuation Results.....iii

Important Information about Actuarial Valuationsiv

SECTION 2

GASB 67 INFORMATION

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 2 Net Pension Liability......4

EXHIBIT 3

Schedules of Changes in Net Pension Liability – Last Two Fiscal Years7

EXHIBIT 4

Schedule of Employer Contributions – Last Ten Fiscal Years9

EXHIBIT 5

Projection of Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2016.....11

Purpose

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present certain disclosure information required by Statement No. 67 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board as of June 30, 2016. This valuation is based on:

- > The benefit provisions of the Pension Plan, as administered by the Board of Administration;
- > The characteristics of covered active members, inactive vested members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- > The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- > Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
- > Other actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc.

Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following key findings were the result of this actuarial valuation:

- The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules only define pension liability and expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for pension funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices.
- When measuring pension liability, GASB uses the same actuarial cost method (Entry Age) and the same type of discount rate (expected return on assets) as LACERS uses for funding. This means that the Total Pension Liability (TPL) measure for financial reporting shown in this report is determined on the same basis as LACERS' Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) measure for funding. We note that the same is true for the Normal Cost component of the annual plan cost for funding and financial reporting.
- > The Net Pension Liability (NPL) is equal to the difference between the TPL and the Plan's Fiduciary Net Position. The Plan's Fiduciary Net Position is equal to the market value of assets and therefore, the NPL measure is the same as the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) calculated on a market value basis. The NPL reflects all investment gains and losses as of the measurement date. This is different from the UAAL calculated on an actuarial value of assets basis in the funding valuation that reflects investment gains and losses over a seven-year period.

- The NPL increased from \$4.99 billion as of June 30, 2015 to \$5.62 billion as of June 30, 2016 mainly due to the return on the market value of assets of 0.10% during 2015/2016 that was less than the assumption of 7.50% used in the June 30, 2015 valuation (that loss was about \$0.89 billion). Changes in these values during the last two fiscal years ending June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 can be found in Exhibit 3.
- > The NPLs measured as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 have been determined from the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively.
- The discount rate used to determine the TPL and NPL as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 was 7.50%, following the same assumption used by the System in the pension funding valuations as of the same dates. The detailed calculations used in the derivation of the discount rate of 7.50% used in the calculation of the TPL and NPL as of June 30, 2016 can be found in Exhibit 5 of Section 2. Various other information that is required to be disclosed can be found throughout Exhibits 1 through 4 in Section 2.



Summary of Key Valuation Results

| | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|---|--|
| Disclosure elements for fiscal year ending June 30: | | |
| Service cost ⁽¹⁾ | \$322,574,274 | \$322,380,251 |
| Total Pension Liability | 17,424,996,329 | 16,909,996,380 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position | 11,809,329,415 | 11,920,570,019 |
| Net Pension Liability | 5,615,666,914 | 4,989,426,361 |
| Schedule of contributions for fiscal year ending June 30: | | |
| Actuarially determined contributions | \$440,546,011 | \$381,140,923 |
| Actual contributions | 440,546,011 | 381,140,923 |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | 0 | 0 |
| Demographic data for plan year ending June 30: | | |
| Number of retired members and beneficiaries | 18,357 | 17,932 |
| Number of vested terminated members ⁽²⁾ | 6,895 | 6,507 |
| Number of active members | 24,446 | 23,895 |
| Key assumptions as of June 30: | | |
| Investment rate of return | 7.50% | 7.50% |
| Inflation rate | 3.25% | 3.25% |
| Projected salary increases ⁽³⁾ | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service |

⁽¹⁾ The service cost is always based on the previous year's assumptions, meaning the June 30, 2016 value is based on those assumptions shown as of June 30, 2015, whereas the June 30, 2015 value is based on the June 30, 2014 assumptions (which are the same as the June 30, 2015 assumptions shown above).

⁽²⁾ Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions.

⁽³⁾ Includes inflation at 3.25% plus real across the board salary increase of 0.75% plus merit and promotional increases

Important Information about Actuarial Valuations

An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool with respect to the financing of future projected obligations of a pension plan. It is an estimated forecast – the actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal Consulting ("Segal") relies on a number of input items. These include:

- Plan of benefits Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. Even where they appear precise, outside factors may change how they operate. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan summary included in this report (as well as the plan summary included in our funding valuation report) to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.
- Participant data An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the System. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.
- Assets This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the System. The System uses an "actuarial value of assets" that differs from market value to gradually reflect year-to-year changes in the market value of assets in determining contribution requirements.
- Actuarial assumptions In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal projects the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This projection requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, termination, and retirement of each participant for each year. In addition, the benefits projected to be paid for each of those events in each future year reflect actuarial assumptions as to salary increases and cost-of-living adjustments. The projected benefits are then discounted to a present value, based on the assumed rate of return that is expected to be achieved on the plan's assets. There is a reasonable range for each assumption used in the projection and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions are selected. It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand this concept. Actuarial assumptions are periodically reviewed to ensure that future valuations reflect emerging plan experience. While future changes in actuarial assumptions may have a significant impact on the reported results, that does not mean that the previous assumptions were unreasonable.

The user of Segal's actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- > The actuarial valuation is prepared at the request of the Board to assist the sponsors of the Fund in preparing items related to the pension plan in their financial reports. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.
- > An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan's assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.
- > If the System is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.
- Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal's valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan's provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. The Board should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of the Plan, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to the Retirement System.

EXHIBIT 1

General Information – "Financial Statements", Note Disclosures and Required Supplementary Information for a Single-Employer Pension Plan

Plan Description

Plan administration. The Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System (LACERS) was established by City Charter in 1937. LACERS is a single employer public employee retirement system whose main function is to provide retirement benefits to the civilian employees of the City of Los Angeles.

Under the provisions of the City Charter, the Board of Administration (the "Board") has the responsibility and authority to administer the Plan and to invest its assets. The Board members serve as trustees and must act in the exclusive interest of the Plan's members and beneficiaries. The Board has seven members: four members, one of whom shall be a retired member of the system, shall be appointed by the Mayor subject to the approval of the Council; two members shall be active employee members of the system elected by the active employee members; one shall be a retired member of the system elected by the system.

Plan membership. At June 30, 2016, pension plan membership consisted of the following:

| Retired members or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 18,357 |
|--|---------------|
| Vested terminated members entitled to, but not yet receiving benefits ⁽¹⁾ | 6,895 |
| Active members | <u>24,446</u> |
| Total | 49,698 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions.

Benefits provided. LACERS provides service retirement, disability, death and survivor benefits to eligible employees. Employees of the City become members of LACERS on the first day of employment in a position with the City in which the employee is not excluded from membership. Members employed prior to July 1, 2013 are designated as Tier 1, and pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134, all Tier 2 employees who became members of the Retirement System between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016 were transferred to Tier 1 effective February 21, 2016. Those employed on or after February 21, 2016 are designated as Tier 3 (unless a specific exception applies to the employee, providing a right to Tier 1 status). Tier 1 members are eligible to retire for service with a normal retirement benefit once they attain the age of 70, or the age of 60 with 10 or more years of continuous City service, or the age of 55 with 30 or more years of City service. Tier 3 members are eligible to retire for service with a normal retirement benefit at 1.50% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 60 with 10 years of service (but with less than 30 years of service), including 5 years of continuous City service, or at 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 60 with 30 years of service.

Tier 1 and 3 members are eligible to retire for disability once they have 5 or more years of continuous service.

Under the Tier 1 formula, the monthly service retirement allowance at normal retirement age is 2.16% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit. Reduced retirement allowances are available for early retirement for Tier 1 members reaching age 55 with 10 or more years of continuous City service, or with 30 or more years of City service at any age. The Tier 1 early retirement reduction factors, for retirement below age 60, are as follows:

| Age | Factor | Age | Factor |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 45 | 0.6250 | 53 | 0.8650 |
| 46 | 0.6550 | 54 | 0.8950 |
| 47 | 0.6850 | 55 | 0.9250 |
| 48 | 0.7150 | 56 | 0.9400 |
| 49 | 0.7450 | 57 | 0.9550 |
| 50 | 0.7750 | 58 | 0.9700 |
| 51 | 0.8050 | 59 | 0.9850 |
| 52 | 0.8350 | 60 | 1.0000 |

Under the Tier 3 formula, the monthly service retirement allowance at normal retirement age is 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit. Reduced retirement allowances are available for early retirement for Tier 3 members prior to reaching age 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service. The Tier 3 retirement reduction factors at early retirement ages are as follows:

| Age | Factor | Age | Factor |
|-----|--------|-------|--------|
| 45 | 0.6250 | 50 | 0.7750 |
| 46 | 0.6550 | 51 | 0.8050 |
| 47 | 0.6850 | 52 | 0.8350 |
| 48 | 0.7150 | 53 | 0.8650 |
| 49 | 0.7450 | 54 | 0.8950 |
| | | 55-60 | 1.0000 |

Tier 3 members are eligible to retire with an enhanced retirement benefit at 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 63 with 10 years of service (but with less than 30 years of service), including 5 years of continuous City service, or at 2.10% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 63 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Under Tier 1, pension benefits are calculated based on the highest average salary earned during a 12-month period (including base salary plus regularly assigned pensionable bonuses or premium pay). Under Tier 3, pension benefits are calculated based on the highest average salary earned during a 36-month period (limited to base salary and any items of compensation that are designated as pension based). The IRC Section 401(a)(17) compensation limit applies to all employees who began membership in LACERS after June 30, 1996.

For Tier 1 members, the maximum monthly retirement allowance is 100% of the final average monthly compensation. For Tier 3 members, the maximum monthly retirement allowance is 80% of the final average monthly compensation, except when the benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member's contributions.

In lieu of the service retirement allowance under the Tier 1 and Tier 3 formula ("unmodified option"), the member may choose an optional retirement allowance. The unmodified option provides the highest monthly benefit and a 50% continuance to an eligible surviving spouse or domestic partner for Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. The optional retirement allowances require a reduction in the unmodified option amount in order to allow the member the ability to provide various benefits to a surviving spouse, domestic partner, or named beneficiary.

LACERS provides annual cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) to all retirees. The cost-of-living adjustments are made each July 1 based on the percentage change in the average of the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County Area--All Items For All Urban Consumers. It is capped at 3.0% for Tier 1 and 2.0% for Tier 3.

The City of Los Angeles contributes to the retirement plan based upon actuarially determined contribution rates adopted by the Board of Administration. Employer contribution rates are adopted annually based upon recommendations received from LACERS' actuary after the completion of the annual actuarial valuation. The combined employer contribution rate as of June 30, 2016 was 23.47% of compensation.¹

All members are required to make contributions to LACERS regardless of the tier in which they are included. Currently, all Tier 1 members contribute at 11.0% or 11.5% of compensation and all Tier 3 members contribute at 11.0% of compensation.

¹ Based on the June 30, 2014 funding valuation (which established funding requirements for fiscal year 2015/2016), and after adjustments to phase in over five years the impact of new actuarial assumptions as a result of the June 30, 2011 Triennial Experience Study on the City's contributions. Exhibit 4 in Section 2 of this report provides details on how this rate was calculated.



EXHIBIT 2

Net Pension Liability

The components of the Net Pension Liability of LACERS are as follows:

| | June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Total Pension Liability | \$17,424,996,329 | \$16,909,996,380 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position | -11,809,329,415 | -11,920,570,019 |
| System's Net Pension Liability | \$5,615,666,914 | \$4,989,426,361 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability | 67.77% | 70.49% |

The Net Pension Liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and 2015. The Plan's Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets) was valued as of the measurement date, while the Total Pension Liability was determined based upon the results of the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Plan provisions. The plan provisions used in the measurement of the NPL as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 are the same as those used in the LACERS funding valuations as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Actuarial assumptions. The Total Pension Liabilities as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 were determined by actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively. The actuarial assumptions used in both the June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 valuations were based on the results of an experience study for the period from July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014. They are the same as the assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 funding actuarial valuation for LACERS. In particular, the following actuarial assumptions were applied to all periods included in the measurement:

| Inflation | 3.25% |
|---------------------------|--|
| Salary increases | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40% based on years of service, including inflation |
| Investment rate of return | 7.50%, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation |
| Other assumptions | Same as those used in the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation |

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These returns are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation and subtracting expected investment expenses and a risk margin. The target allocation and projected arithmetic real

rates of return for each major asset class, after deducting inflation, but before deducting investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected investment rate of return assumption are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target Allocation | Long-Term (Arithmetic) Expected Real Rate of Return |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| U.S. Large Cap Equity | 20.40% | 5.94% |
| U.S. Small Cap Equity | 3.60% | 6.64% |
| Developed International Equity | 21.75% | 6.98% |
| Emerging Market Equity | 7.25% | 8.48% |
| Core Bonds | 16.53% | 0.71% |
| High Yield Bonds | 2.47% | 2.89% |
| Private Real Estate | 5.00% | 4.69% |
| Cash | 1.00% | (0.46)% |
| Credit Opportunities | 5.00% | 3.07% |
| Public Real Assets | 5.00% | 3.41% |
| Private Equity | 12.00% | 10.51% |
| Total | 100.00% | |

Discount rate: The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was 7.50% as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. For this purpose, only employer and employer contributions that are intended to fund benefits for current plan members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs for future plan members and their beneficiaries, as well as projected contributions from future plan members, are not included. Based on those assumptions, the Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability as of both June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015.

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the Net Pension Liability of LACERS as of June 30, 2016, calculated using the discount rate of 7.50%, as well as what LACERS' Net Pension Liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.50%) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.50%) than the current rate:

| | 1% Decrease (6.50%) | (7.50%) | 1% increase (8.50%) | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2016 | \$7,914,674,553 | \$5,615,666,914 | \$3,700,956,045 | |



EXHIBIT 3

Schedules of Changes in LACERS Net Pension Liability – Last Two Fiscal Years

| | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Total Pension Liability | | |
| Service cost | \$322,574,274 | \$322,380,251 |
| Interest | 1,263,555,893 | 1,215,151,439(1) |
| Change of benefit terms | 0 | 0 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | -300,812,751 | -135,821,076 |
| Changes of assumptions | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | -770,317,467 | <u>-740,567,333</u> ⁽²⁾ |
| Net change in Total Pension Liability | \$514,999,949 | \$661,143,281 |
| Total Pension Liability – beginning | <u>16,909,996,380</u> | 16,248,853,099 |
| Total Pension Liability – ending (a) | <u>\$17,424,996,329</u> | <u>\$16,909,996,380</u> |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position | | |
| Contributions – employer | \$440,546,011 | \$381,140,923 |
| Contributions – employee | 206,377,251 | 202,462,864 |
| Net investment income | 29,357,755 | 306,980,390 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | -770,317,467 | -740,567,333 ⁽²⁾ |
| Administrative expense | -17,204,154 | -15,859,888 |
| Other | 0 | <u>-4,666,410</u> ⁽³⁾ |
| Net change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position | -\$111,240,604 | \$129,490,546 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position – beginning | <u>11,920,570,019</u> | <u>11,791,079,473</u> |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position – ending (b) | \$11,809,329,415 | \$11,920,570,019(4) |
| System's Net Pension Liability – ending (a) – (b) | <u>\$5,615,666,914</u> | <u>\$4,989,426,361</u> |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability | 67.77% | 70.49% |
| Covered-employee payroll ⁽⁵⁾ | \$1,876,946,179 | \$1,835,637,409 |
| Plan Net Pension Liability as percentage of covered-employee payroll | 299.19% | 271.81% |

Notes to Exhibit 3

- ⁽¹⁾ Excludes interest on the portion of the benefit payments attributable to the transfer to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan (see note 2 below), since that transfer was made on July 24, 2015.
- ⁽²⁾ Includes a transfer of \$2,138,996 to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan due to a change in membership for certain employees in the Office of Public Safety.
- ⁽³⁾ Beginning of year segregation of a portion of the Reserve for Member Contributions into the (non-pension related) Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions (\$4,666,410). The Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions has increased to \$5,199,707 as of June 30, 2015.
- (4) Excludes the Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions (Acct. 256), based on directions from LACERS. This reserve has been separately identified by LACERS for the first time in the June 30, 2015 valuation, pursuant to a suggestion made by Segal. The amount in Acct. 256 was \$5,199,707 as of June 30, 2015.
- ⁽⁵⁾ Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.

EXHIBIT 4

Schedule of Employer Contributions – Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Year Ended June 30 | Actuarially Determined Contributions | Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contributions | Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | Covered-Employee Payroll ⁽¹⁾ | Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll |
|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2007 | \$277,516,400 | \$277,516,400 | \$0 | \$1,646,055,902 | 16.86% |
| 2008 | 288,119,041 | 288,119,041 | 0 | 1,741,849,669 | 16.54% |
| 2009 | 274,554,786 | 274,554,786 | 0 | 1,832,795,577 | 14.98% |
| 2010 | 258,642,795 | 258,642,795 | 0 | 1,827,864,283 | 14.15% |
| 2011 | 303,560,953 | 303,560,953 | 0 | 1,678,059,440 | 18.09% |
| 2012 | 308,539,905 | 308,539,905 | 0 | 1,715,197,133 | 17.99% |
| 2013 | 346,180,852 | 346,180,852 | 0 | 1,736,112,598 | 19.94% |
| 2014 | 357,649,232 | 357,649,232 | 0 | 1,802,931,195 | 19.84% |
| 2015 | 381,140,923 | 381,140,923 | 0 | 1,835,637,409 | 20.76% |
| 2016 | 440,546,011 | 440,546,011 | 0 | 1,876,946,179 | 23.47% |

⁽¹⁾ Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.

| Notes to Exhibit 4 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Methods and assumptions used to establish "actuarially determined contribution" (ADC) rates: | | | | |
| Valuation date | Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported | | | |
| Actuarial cost method | Entry Age Cost Method (individual basis) | | | |
| Amortization method | Level percent of payroll | | | |
| Amortization period | Multiple layers, closed amortization periods. Actuarial gains/losses are amortized over 15 years. Assumption or method changes are amortized over 20 years. Plan changes, including the 2009 ERIP, are amortized over 15 years. Future ERIPs will be amortized over 5 years. Actuarial surplus is amortized over 30 years. The existing layers on June 30, 2012, except those arising from the 2009 ERIP and the two GASB 25/27 layers, were combined and amortized over 30 years. | | | |
| Asset valuation method | Market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on the market value, and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets. | | | |
| Actuarial assumptions: | June 30, 2016 valuation date | | | |
| Investment rate of return | 7.50% | | | |
| Inflation rate | 3.25% | | | |
| Real across-the-board salary increase | 0.75% | | | |
| Projected salary increases ⁽¹⁾ | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service | | | |
| Cost of living adjustments | 3.00% for Tier 1; 2.00% for Tier 2 (actual increases are contingent upon CPI increases with a 3.00% maximum for Tier 1 and a 2.00% maximum for Tier 2) | | | |
| Mortality | Healthy: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females | | | |
| Other assumptions | Same as those used in the June 30, 2016 funding actuarial valuation | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes inflation at 3.25% plus across the board salary increases of 0.75% plus merit and promotional increases.

EXHIBIT 5

Projection of Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2016

(\$ in millions)

| Year Beginning | Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position | Projected Total Contributions | Projected Benefit Payments | Projected Administrative Expenses | Projected Investment Earnings | Projected Ending Plan Fiduciary Net Position |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| July 1, | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) = (a) + (b) - (c) - (d) + (e) |
| 2015 | \$11,921 | \$647 | \$770 | \$17 | \$29 | \$11,809 |
| 2016 | 11,809 | 637 | 866 | 17 | 873 | 12,436 |
| 2017 | 12,436 | 650 | 893 | 18 | 919 | 13,093 |
| 2018 | 13,093 | 664 | 948 | 19 | 967 | 13,757 |
| 2019 | 13,757 | 673 | 1,008 | 20 | 1,014 | 14,416 |
| 2020 | 14,416 | 685 | 1,072 | 21 | 1,061 | 15,069 |
| 2021 | 15,069 | 719 | 1,139 | 22 | 1,109 | 15,736 |
| 2022 | 15,736 | 744 | 1,208 | 23 | 1,157 | 16,407 |
| 2023 | 16,407 | 754 | 1,278 | 24 | 1,205 | 17,064 |
| 2042 | 24,517 | 111 * | 2,329 | 35 | 1,744 | 24,008 |
| 2043 | 24,008 | 105 * | 2,336 | 35 | 1,706 | 23,448 |
| 2044 | 23,448 | 100 * | 2,337 | 34 | 1,663 | 22,840 |
| 2045 | 22,840 | 95 * | 2,333 | 33 | 1,618 | 22,187 |
| 2046 | 22,187 | 89 * | 2,326 | 32 | 1,569 | 21,487 |
| 2079 | 1.195 | 12 * | 281 | 2 | 78 | 1.003 |
| 2080 | 1,003 | 10 * | 242 | 1 | 65 | 835 |
| 2081 | 835 | 9 * | 207 | 1 | 54 | 690 |
| 2082 | 690 | 8 * | 176 | 1 | 45 | 566 |
| 2083 | 566 | 7 * | 148 | 1 | 36 | 460 |
| 2099 | 12 | 0 *,** | 4 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 2100 | 10 | 0 *,** | 3 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 2101 | 8 | 0 *,** | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 2102 | 7 | 0 *,** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 2103 | 5 | 0 *,** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2104 | 4 | 0 *,** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2105 | 4 | 0 *,** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2106 | 3 | 0 *,** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2107 | 2 | 0 *,** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2108 | 2 | 0 *,** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2109 | 2 | 0 *,** | 0 ** | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2110 | 1 | 0 *,** | 0 ** | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2111 | 1 | 0 *,** | 0 ** | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2112 | 1 | 0 *.** | 0 ** | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2113 | 1 | 0 *.** | 0 ** | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2114 | 0 | 0 *,** | 0 ** | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* Mainly attributable to employer contributions to fund each year's annual administrative expenses.

** Less than \$1 million, when rounded.

Note that in preparing the above projections, we have not taken into consideration the one-year delay between the date of the contribution rate calculation and the implementation.



EXHIBIT 5

Projection of Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2016

(\$ in millions) - continued

Notes:

- (1) Amounts may not total exactly due to rounding.
- (2) Amounts shown for the year beginning July 1, 2015 row are actual amounts, based on the unaudited financial statements provided by LACERS.
- (3) Years 2024-2041, 2047-2078, and 2084-2098 have been omitted from this table.
- (4) Column (a): None of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amounts shown have been adjusted for the time value of money.
- (5) Column (b): Projected total contributions include employee and employer normal cost contributions based on closed group projections (based on covered active members as of June 30, 2016); plus employer contributions to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability; plus contributions to fund each year's annual administrative expenses reflecting an 15-year amortization schedule. Contributions are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.
- (6) Column (c): Projected benefit payments have been determined in accordance with paragraph 39 of GAS Statement No. 67, and are based on the closed group of active, inactive vested, retired members, and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016. The projected benefit payments reflect the cost of living increase assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 funding valuation report. Benefit payments are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average. In accourdance with paragraph 31.b.(1)(e) of GASB Statement No. 67, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of 7.50% was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the discount rate.
- (7) Column (d): Projected administrative expenses are calculated as approximately 0.14% of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount. The 0.14% portion was based on the actual fiscal year 2015 2016 administrative expenses as a percentage of the beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount as of July 1, 2015. Administrative expenses are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.
- (8) Column (e): Projected investment earnings are based on the assumed investment rate of return of 7.50% per annum.
- (9) As illustrated in this Exhibit, the Plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current Plan members. In other words, there is no projected 'cross-over date' when projected benefits are not covered by projected assets. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of 7.50% per annum was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability as of June 30, 2016 shown earlier in this report, pursuant to paragraph 44 of GASB Statement No. 67.

5445947v5/05806.114