



## Regular Meeting

### Item Number 6a – Open Session

**Subject:** Actuarial Valuation of the Defined Benefit Program as of June 30, 2020

**Presenter(s):** David Lamoureux and Rick Reed, CalSTRS/ Nick Collier and Mark Olleman, Milliman

**Item Type:** Action

**Date & Time:** June 9, 2021 – 20 minutes

---

#### **Attachment(s):**

Attachment 1 – June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation Report for the Defined Benefit Program

Attachment 2 – Proposed Resolution – State Supplemental Contribution Rate

Attachment 3 – Proposed Resolution – Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate

Attachment 4 – Proposed Resolution – Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members

**PowerPoint(s):** Summary of Valuation Results for the Defined Benefit Program

---

#### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this item is to present the results of the June 30, 2020, actuarial valuation of the Defined Benefit Program and to recommend the adoption of three rates for fiscal year 2021-22: the normal cost rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 members, the state supplemental contribution rate and the employer supplemental contribution rate.

#### **SUMMARY**

CalSTRS continually monitors the funding plan and the financial health of the fund. Formal assessments of funding levels and risks are provided to the board twice a year. These formal assessments are presented in the spring through this annual actuarial valuation and in the fall through the [Review of Funding Levels and Risks](#) report.

The CalSTRS Funding Plan provides the board with limited authority to adjust the contribution rates for both the state and employers. The purpose of the actuarial valuation is to provide an assessment of funding levels by taking a snapshot of the fund's assets and liabilities for the Defined

Benefit Program as of June 30, 2020 and to determine whether adjustments are needed to contribution rates to keep the funding plan on track to reach full funding by 2046.

Note that the valuation reflects the significant actions that have occurred since the previous actuarial valuation including the changes made by the 2020-21 budget for the State of California. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the 2020-21 state budget made two significant changes that impact the funding of the Defined Benefit Program. First, it redirected supplemental payments previously contributed in 2019 away from providing long-term rate relief and reducing the unfunded actuarial obligation to instead provide short-term contribution rate relief for employers. Second, it froze, for fiscal year 2020-21, the state’s supplemental contribution rate at the 2019-20 level.

**Funded Ratio**

The funded ratio of a pension plan is defined as the ratio of a plan’s assets to its actuarial obligation. The table below compares key information about the funded ratio of the Defined Benefit Program between the June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2020 actuarial valuations.

**Summary of Key Results for Defined Benefit Program**

	<b>June 30, 2020 Valuation</b>	<b>June 30, 2019 Valuation</b>
Actuarial Obligation	\$322.1 billion	\$310.7 billion
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$216.2 billion	\$205.0 billion
Unfunded Actuarial Obligation	\$105.9 billion	\$105.7 billion
Funded Ratio	67.1%	66.0%


As illustrated, the funded ratio improved since the 2019 valuation. The increase in the funded ratio was primarily due to contributions to pay down the unfunded actuarial obligation. These contributions include those made by employers and the state pursuant to the CalSTRS Funding Plan to pay down the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046 and the supplemental payment of \$1.117 billion made by the state in July 2019 to reduce its share of the unfunded actuarial obligation. The state made another supplemental payment in the amount of \$297 million in July 2020. This payment will be reflected in the June 30, 2021 valuation and is reflected in the projections of funding levels and contribution rates included in the 2020 valuation report.

Even though funding levels improved between 2019 and 2020, the unfunded actuarial obligation increased slightly to \$105.9 billion as of June 30, 2020. The main reason is that the contributions received during the 2019-20 fiscal year were not enough to cover the 7% interest rate at which the amount grows annually. This result is called negative amortization where the unfunded actuarial obligation is expected to grow from one year to the next. Negative amortization under the funding plan is expected to continue to occur through 2027.

The unfunded actuarial obligation can be broken down between the state, the employers and the unallocated portion as set forth in the CalSTRS Funding Plan. The unallocated portion of the

unfunded actuarial obligation is the portion for which CalSTRS has no authority to adjust contribution rates to pay it down. The following chart illustrates that, as of June 30, 2020, the employers are responsible for about 70% of the entire unfunded actuarial obligation, while the state is responsible for about 30%.

**Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (\$105.9 billion)**  
(as of June 30, 2020 - Based on the Actuarial Value of Assets)



Assuming all actuarial assumptions are met in the future, both the state's and the employers' share of the unfunded actuarial obligation are projected to be eliminated by the end of the funding plan in 2046. However, the Defined Benefit Program is not projected to reach exactly 100% funded by 2046 because of the current unallocated unfunded actuarial obligation.

### State Contribution Rate

The CalSTRS Funding Plan provides the board with the ability to increase the state contribution rate by up to 0.5% of payroll each year. Since the 2017-18 fiscal year, the board has exercised its authority and increased the state contribution rate by 0.5% of payroll each year. These increases were necessary to ensure that the state made progress toward eliminating its share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046.

At the May 2020 board meeting, the board voted to increase the state's supplemental contribution rate from the 5.811% it was paying in fiscal year 2019-20 to 6.311% for fiscal year 2020-21. Following the board meeting, the Governor and the Legislature adopted the 2020-21 state budget that froze, for one year, the state contribution rate at the 2019-20 level. This freeze was in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and concerns over its impact on the economy. Thus, for fiscal year 2020-21, the state's supplemental rate stayed at 5.811% of payroll. This rate will be the starting point for applying any future increases of 0.5% of payroll allowed by the funding plan.

Although the state supplemental contribution rate was frozen for one year, the state showed their commitment to the funding plan by providing to CalSTRS a supplemental payment of \$297 million in July 2020 using Proposition 2 revenues. About \$170 million was used to cover the 0.5% percent

of payroll increase that was expected to go into effect in fiscal year 2020-21. The remaining \$127 million went to further reducing the state’s share of the unfunded actuarial obligation.

Based on the results of the June 30, 2020, actuarial valuation, it is recommended that the board adopt an increase of 0.5% of payroll to the state supplemental contribution rate effective July 1, 2021. This increase is necessary to ensure the state remains on track to eliminate its share of CalSTRS’ unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046. Increases in the state supplemental contribution rate of 0.5% of payroll are currently expected for at least three more years.

The following table compares the state contribution rate for this year and next fiscal year.

**State Contribution Rate**

<b>State’s Contribution Rate</b>	<b>June 30, 2020 Valuation (Rate for FY 21-22)</b>	<b>June 30, 2019 Valuation (Rate for FY 20-21)</b>
Base (DB Program)	2.017%	2.017%
Supplemental (DB Program)	6.311% <sup>1</sup>	5.811%
<b>Total to DB Program</b>	<b>8.328%</b>	<b>7.828%</b>
Contribution to SBMA <sup>2</sup>	2.500%	2.500%
<b>Total State Contribution to CalSTRS</b>	<b>10.828%</b>	<b>10.328%</b>

As shown in Table 11 on page 33 of the attached report, an increase of about 1.7% of payroll in the state contribution rate was calculated as being needed to eliminate the state’s share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046. If the board approves the recommended increase of 0.5% of payroll, the maximum allowed, there will be a shortfall of about 1.2% of payroll. This shortfall includes 0.5% of payroll due to the state contribution rate being frozen in the 2020-21 state budget since the state contribution rate in fiscal year 2021-22 will be 0.5% lower than the schedule originally intended by the funding plan. Note that the proposed 2021-22 state budget currently include \$583 million in supplemental payments for CalSTRS from both Proposition 2 and the General Fund to bridge the gap from where the contributions would have been had the state contribution rate not been frozen in 2020-21 and to further reduce the state’s share of the unfunded actuarial obligation. If these contributions are provided to CalSTRS, they would more than cover the anticipated 1.2% shortfall.

---

<sup>1</sup> Recommended for board adoption.

<sup>2</sup> The state contributes an additional 2.5% of payroll to fund the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA) that is used to provide inflation protection to CalSTRS retirees and their beneficiaries. Pursuant to Education Code section 22954, the state contribution to the SBMA is reduced by \$72 million each fiscal year.

It is also important to remember that the state contribution rate is highly sensitive to investment volatility. Future contribution rates could vary significantly based on future experience. Please refer to the risk discussion starting on page 46 of the attached report for more details.

**Employer Contribution Rate**

Employers are required to contribute a base contribution rate of 8.25% of payroll to CalSTRS as well as a supplemental contribution rate to eliminate their share of the CalSTRS unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046.

For fiscal year 2020-21, the employer supplemental contribution rate is 10.85% of payroll. This rate was set in statute when the CalSTRS Funding Plan was adopted. The total employer contribution rate in fiscal year 2020-21 is 19.1% of payroll.

However, employers are currently subject to a lower contribution rate. In 2019, the state made an additional payment of \$2.246 billion to CalSTRS on behalf of employers. This payment was originally intended to provide short-term rate relief in fiscal years 2019-20 and 2020-21 and to also reduce the employers’ share of the unfunded actuarial obligation to provide long-term rate relief. As part of the 2020-21 state budget, the state shifted the portion of the additional payment that was intended to provide long-term rate relief to instead provide greater short-term rate relief by further reducing the employer contribution rate in fiscal years 2020-21 and 2021-22.

As a result, for fiscal year 2020-21, employers are currently contributing a rate of 16.15% of payroll, 2.95% lower than the total rate set by the CalSTRS Funding Plan. Below is a table showing the net employer contribution rate in effect this fiscal year.

**Employer Contribution Rate for Fiscal Year 2020-21**

<b>Employer Contribution Rate</b>	<b>Rate for FY 20-21</b>
Base	8.250%
Supplemental Rate	10.850% <sup>3</sup>
<b>Total Employer Contribution Rate (Prior to Rate Relief)</b>	<b>19.100%</b>
Rate Relief Due to Supplemental Payment Made by the State	(2.950%)
<b>Net Employer Contribution Rate</b>	<b>16.150%</b>

Fiscal year 2021-22 marks the first fiscal year for which the board will have the authority to adjust the employer supplemental contribution rate pursuant to the CalSTRS Funding Plan. The board

---

<sup>3</sup> Set in statute as part of the CalSTRS Funding Plan.

can now adjust annually the employer supplemental contribution rate up or down by a maximum of 1% of payroll in a single year. The total employer rate cannot exceed 20.25% of payroll.

For fiscal year 2021-22, the short-term rate relief provided by the state will allow employers to contribute at a rate that will be 2.18% of payroll lower than the rate adopted by the board.

Consistent with statute and the board valuation policy, the annual adjustments to the employer supplemental contribution rate are determined by calculating the employer contribution rate needed to eliminate the employers' share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046.

Based on the results of the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation and the information known when the valuation was performed, an employer supplemental contribution rate of 9.780% was calculated to be sufficient in fiscal year 2021-22 for the employers to eliminate their share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046. This rate is 1.07% of payroll lower than the employer supplemental contribution rate in effect for fiscal year 2020-21. Since adjustments to the employer contribution rate are limited to 1% of payroll in a single year, the lowest employer supplemental contribution rate the board can adopt for fiscal year 2021-22 is 9.85% of payroll.

Although the current actuarial valuation indicates a 1% reduction in the employer contribution rate would allow the employers to eliminate their share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046, several factors are currently indicating that if the employer supplemental contribution rate is reduced by 1% of payroll for next year that the board may need to raise it the year after that.

As indicated for the last few years in the [Review of Funding Levels and Risks](#) report, the largest risk facing employers is a potential decline in the number of teachers and a slower growth in the total payroll. Payroll is currently assumed to grow at 3.5% per year. This assumed growth was a key component of the employer contribution rate calculated in the valuation. A slower growth will require a higher employer contribution rate just to be able to collect the same amount of contributions.

For this valuation, the number of active teachers decreased for the first time in over seven years. The number of teachers actively working has dropped from about 451,000 on June 30, 2019, to about 448,000 on June 30, 2020. This drop in the number of working teachers, combined with salary increases, resulted in the payroll increasing by about 2.8% between 2019 and 2020, below the assumed 3.5% annual growth.

CalSTRS has already seen a 26% increase in the number of retirements in the first half of the fiscal year. Although an increase in retirements would normally not impact long-term funding, decisions made by employers about whether or not to replace the teachers who have retired could impact CalSTRS' ability to reach full funding by 2046, especially if it leads to an overall reduction in the number of teachers working in California and a reduction in total payroll.

The number of active teachers could also be impacted by the number of children enrolled in K-12 public schools. The California Department of Education recently indicated that net enrollment in

K-12 publicly funded schools fell by almost 3%, or 160,000 students in 2020-21, the largest drop in 20 years. The Department of Finance also projects K-12 enrollment will continue to decline over the next decade.

These factors could cause a future decrease (or lower-than-expected increase) in payroll and a corresponding increase in the employer contribution rate in future years. Already this fiscal year, CalSTRS is on pace to collect about \$200 million less than anticipated from employers as a result of the overall payroll being lower than anticipated in the valuation. Based on the employer contributions received this fiscal year, the total teacher payroll on which contributions are collected is currently at about the same level as the previous fiscal year, indicating payroll has remained flat and not grown over the last 12 months.

Considering these additional factors, in addition to the 1% reduction in the employer supplemental contribution rate provided in the valuation (Option 1), two additional options are being presented for adjustments to the employer supplemental contribution rate for fiscal year 2021-22. The following table shows the breakdown of the total employer contribution rate under each option.

**Employer Contribution Rate for Fiscal Year 2021-22**

<b>Employer Contribution Rate</b>	<b>Option 1</b>	<b>Option 2</b>	<b>Option 3</b>
Base	8.250%	8.250%	8.250%
Current Supplemental Rate	10.85%	10.85%	10.85%
<b>Reduction in Supplemental Rate</b>	<b>(1.00%)</b>	<b>(0.50%)</b>	<b>No change</b>
<b>New Supplemental Rate</b>	<b>9.85%</b>	<b>10.35%</b>	<b>10.85%<sup>4</sup></b>
Total Employer Contribution Rate (Prior to Rate Relief)	18.10%	18.60%	19.10%
Rate Relief Due to Supplemental Payment Made by the State	(2.18%)	(2.18%)	(2.18%)
<b>Net Employer Contribution Rate</b>	<b>15.92%</b>	<b>16.42%</b>	<b>16.92%</b>

Option 1 is the lowest employer supplemental contribution rate the board could adopt for next fiscal year. Based on the results of the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation, this is the lowest rate permitted by the board valuation policy that would allow employers to eliminate their share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046. It was calculated assuming all actuarial assumptions will be met in the future, including the assumption that the payroll will increase at a rate of 3.5% per year. If Option 1 is adopted, the net employer contribution rate, including the rate relief due to the supplemental payment made by the state, would go down by 0.23% of payroll from its current level of 16.15% to 15.92% of payroll. Based on recent information not available at the time the attached report was prepared, adopting Option 1 is likely going to result in CalSTRS collecting

---

<sup>4</sup> Recommended for board adoption.

less contributions in fiscal year 2021-22 than anticipated in the valuation since payroll has remained flat over the last 12 months.

Option 2 reduces the employer supplemental contribution rate by 0.5% of payroll, half of the full 1.00% under Option 1. Option 2 would result in a net employer rate of 16.42% of payroll, 0.27% of payroll higher than the net employer contribution rate in effect this fiscal year.

Option 3 maintains the employer supplemental rate at 10.85% of payroll, keeping the total employer rate at 19.1% of payroll. Under Option 3, the net employer contribution rate would increase to 16.92% of payroll, 0.77% of payroll higher than the net employer contribution rate in effect this fiscal year.

Options 2 and 3 are also consistent with the board valuation policy as they would increase the likelihood CalSTRS will collect the amount of contributions anticipated by the valuation while reducing the risk employers may not be able to eliminate their share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046. Setting a higher employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2021-22 would also reduce the likelihood for larger than anticipated employer rate increases in the future, providing for greater contribution rate stability. Setting a higher employer contribution rate would strengthen the CalSTRS Funding Plan with additional contributions at a time when CalSTRS is 67.1% funded. Considering the uncertainty around the overall size of the teacher payroll over the next few years, Options 2 and 3 are both prudent choices.

It is also worth noting that although the employer contribution rate is generally not very sensitive to investment experience, it is still impacted by it, and pursuant to the CalSTRS Funding Plan, the employer contribution rate tends to be affected in a counter-intuitive way by investment performance. A return above the assumed 7% investment return would generally result in the need to increase the employer rate, while a return below 7% would generally result in a reduction in the employer contribution rate. For example, if CalSTRS were to earn a return of 14% in fiscal year 2020-21, the employer contribution rate would have to increase by about 0.3% of payroll over the next few years. As of the time of writing this item, CalSTRS was on pace to exceed its 7% investment return assumption for the fiscal year, which would result in the need to increase the employer contribution rate in fiscal year 2022-23.

It is important to point out that a full 1% reduction in the employer supplemental contribution rate (Option 1) had previously been projected for contribution rate estimates that were provided to the board and various stakeholders over the last year. Now that the board can adjust the employer contribution rate annually, CalSTRS provides estimates of future employer rates each year in the fall to allow employers to prepare for the upcoming budget year. Last fall, projections provided to employers anticipated a reduction of 1% in the employer supplemental contribution rate. This reduction was calculated based on the information available at the time, prior to recent information indicating the total teacher payroll is now on pace to remain flat for the year. Some employers may already have prepared their budget estimates in anticipation the board would reduce the employer supplemental contribution rate by a full 1%.



To compare how all three options could impact employers’ budgets, next is a table comparing the anticipated increases or decreases in contribution amounts under each option when compared to the 16.15% net employer contribution rate in effect in fiscal year 2020-21.

**Estimated Increase/(Decrease) in Total Employer Contributions**

	<b>Option 1</b>	<b>Option 2</b>	<b>Option 3</b>
Net Employer Rate in FY 2020-21	16.15%	16.15%	16.15%
Net Employer Rate in FY 2021-22	15.92%	16.42%	16.92%
Increase/(Decrease) in Net Employer Rate	(0.23%)	0.27%	0.77%
Estimated Increase/(Decrease) in Total Employer Contributions <sup>5</sup>	(\$79 million)	\$92 million	\$263 million

Although the board valuation policy would allow for a full 1% of payroll reduction in the employer supplemental contribution rate, staff recommends that the board consider keeping the employer supplemental contribution rate at its current level and adopt Option 3.

Recognizing the fact CalSTRS has experienced a surge in the number of retirements, which has led to slower payroll growth than anticipated so far this fiscal year, and the fact that CalSTRS is on track to earn an investment return in excess of its 7% investment return assumption, future employer contribution rates will likely need to be higher than projected in the actuarial valuation. For example, if CalSTRS assets were to earn a return of 14% in fiscal year 2020-21 and the total teacher payroll were to remain flat in fiscal year 2020-21, future employer contribution rates would need to be about 19% to allow the employers to eliminate their share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046. As shown on page 7 of the attached report, future employer contribution rates are expected to be about 18.1% through the end of the funding plan under the assumption the fund earns 7% each year and payroll grows at 3.5%.

Adopting Option 3 reduces the risk employers may not be able to eliminate their share of the unfunded actuarial obligation by 2046, increases the likelihood CalSTRS will collect the contributions anticipated by the valuation and provides more rate stability by minimizing the likelihood the board will be faced with having to increase the employer supplemental contribution rate a year from now.

Note that the net employer contribution rate is likely to increase in 2022-23 under all options, because the short-term rate relief provided by the state (2.18% for fiscal year 2021-22) will no longer apply, unless the state provides additional relief.

---

<sup>5</sup> These estimates were derived assuming the total teacher payroll on which CalSTRS collect contributions would be about \$34.2 billion in fiscal year 2021-22.

### **Member Contribution Rate**

Under the California Public Employees’ Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA), 2% at age 62 members are required to pay at least one-half of the normal cost of their Defined Benefit Program benefit, rounded to the nearest quarter of one percent. The normal cost is the annual cost applied to each year of service that is necessary to adequately fund the benefits over time if all assumptions are met. The normal cost does not include costs associated with amortizing, or paying down, any unfunded liabilities. 2% at age 62 members are currently paying 9% toward the normal cost. 2% at age 62 members also contribute an additional 1.205% as per the CalSTRS Funding Plan, for a total contribution rate of 10.205%.

Current law states that the contribution rate for PEPRA members should be adjusted if the normal cost changes by more than 1% since the last time the member contribution rate was set. The last adjustment took place on July 1, 2018 when the contribution rate for 2% at age 62 members increased from 9.205% to 10.205%. As shown on page 6 of the attached report, the normal cost has increased by 0.193% since the last time it was set. It is now at 18.086%. This is a change of less than 1%. Therefore, no change in the 2% at 62 member contribution rate is necessary for next fiscal year.

The contribution rate for 2% at 60 members is set in statute at 10.250%.

The following table compares the member contribution rates for this year and the next fiscal year.

<b>Member’s Contribution Rate</b>	<b>June 30, 2020 Valuation (Rate for FY 21-22)</b>	<b>June 30, 2019 Valuation (Rate for FY 20-21)</b>
2% at 60 Members	10.250%	10.250%
2% at 62 Members	10.205%	10.205%

Note that the number of members subject to the 2% at age 62 formula has been increasing steadily over the last few years. Generally, new teachers hired on or after January 1, 2013 are covered under the 2% at 62 formula. The number of 2% at 62 members has increased by 11,600 since the 2019 valuation. There were about 128,600 active members as of June 30, 2020. This represents about 29% of the total number of active members on June 30, 2020.

### **Monitoring Future Risks and Actuarial Assumptions**

The next few years will be critical for the CalSTRS Funding Plan. The full impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the economy, employment patterns and employers’ budgets is still uncertain. In addition to the potential impact of increased retirements and K-12 enrollment declines on the number of active teachers, the COVID-19 pandemic and its effect on the economy could potentially impact long-term actuarial assumptions such as price inflation, wage growth, investment return and longevity.

Even though actuarial assumptions are formally reviewed every four years, with the most recent review completed in January 2020, CalSTRS and Milliman, CalSTRS’ consulting actuary, constantly monitor the appropriateness of the actuarial assumptions adopted by the board.

Since the completion of the last experience study, inflation levels have been below the current assumption of 2.75% per year. Long term, inflation is also forecasted to be lower by many economists. The federal government lowered the long-term inflation assumption used in the 2020 actuarial valuation for Social Security from 2.6% to 2.4% per year.

Inflation is a key component for two important economic actuarial assumptions used in the funding of the CalSTRS Defined Benefit Program. It impacts expected payroll growth and the assumed investment return. In addition, interest rates remain at historical low levels. Low interest rates could put pressure on CalSTRS ability to earn its assumed 7% investment return over the long term. Staff will continue to monitor actuarial assumptions as part of its ongoing monitoring of the CalSTRS Funding Plan. If these trends were to continue in the future, it could be necessary to reduce the investment return assumption, the payroll growth assumption or both, leading to contribution rate increases for the state, employers and members.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends the board adopt the following rates effective July 1, 2021:

	<b>Recommended Rate</b>
State Supplemental Contribution Rate	6.311%
Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate	10.850%
Normal Cost for 2% at 62 Members	18.086%



# Defined Benefit Program of the California State Teachers' Retirement System

June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation

Prepared by:

**Nick J. Collier, ASA, EA, MAAA**  
Consulting Actuary

**Mark C. Olleman, FSA, EA, MAAA**  
Consulting Actuary

**Julie D. Smith, FSA, EA, MAAA**  
Consulting Actuary

Milliman, Inc.  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3800  
Seattle, WA 98101-2605  
Tel +1 206 624 7940  
milliman.com



1301 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 3800  
Seattle, WA 98101-2605  
USA

Tel +1 206 624 7940

milliman.com

May 25, 2021

Teachers' Retirement Board  
California State Teachers' Retirement System

Re: **Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2020**

Dear Members of the Board:

At your request, we have performed an actuarial valuation of the Defined Benefit (DB) Program of the State Teachers' Retirement Plan as of June 30, 2020. The major findings of the actuarial valuation are contained in the following report, which reflects the benefit provisions and contribution rates in effect as of the valuation date. This report satisfies all basic disclosure requirements under the Model Disclosure Elements for Actuarial Valuation Reports recommended by the California Actuarial Advisory Panel.

#### **Actuarial Certification**

To the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is complete and accurate and contains sufficient information to fairly disclose the funded condition of the DB Program as of June 30, 2020.

CalSTRS funding is based on complex legislation. This valuation contains analysis based on our understanding of the relevant law based on our experience working with CalSTRS and other large public retirement systems and has been augmented by consultation with CalSTRS staff.

In preparing this report, we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some in writing) supplied by CalSTRS staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, employee data, and financial information. In our examination of these data, we have found them to be reasonably consistent and comparable with data used for other purposes. The valuation results depend on the integrity of this information. If any of this information is inaccurate or incomplete, our results may be different and our calculations may need to be revised.

All costs, liabilities, rates of interest, and other factors for CalSTRS have been determined on the basis of actuarial assumptions and methods which are individually reasonable (taking into account the experience of CalSTRS and reasonable expectations) and which, in combination, offer a reasonable estimate of anticipated experience affecting CalSTRS. Further, in our opinion, each actuarial assumption used is reasonably related to the experience of CalSTRS and to reasonable expectations which, in combination, represent a reasonable estimate of anticipated experience. The valuation results were developed using models intended for valuations that use standard actuarial techniques.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the Plan's funded status); and

This work product was prepared solely for CalSTRS for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes.  
Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work.  
Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.



changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements. The Teachers' Retirement Board has sole authority to determine the actuarial assumptions and methods used for the valuation of the DB Program. The board adopted the actuarial methods and assumptions used in the 2020 valuation.

Actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of assessing the funding levels of CalSTRS and calculating contribution rates under CalSTRS valuation policy. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of CalSTRS funding structure. Determinations for other purposes, such as for financial reporting in accordance with GASB standards, may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes.

This valuation report is only an estimate of the System's financial condition as of a single date. It can neither predict the System's future condition nor guarantee future financial soundness. Actuarial valuations do not affect the ultimate cost of System benefits, only the timing of System contributions. While the valuation is based on an array of individually reasonable assumptions, other assumption sets may also be reasonable and valuation results based on those assumptions would be different. No one set of assumptions is uniquely correct. Determining results using alternative assumptions is outside the scope of our engagement.

Milliman's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of CalSTRS. To the extent that Milliman's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public records laws, Milliman's work may not be provided to third parties without Milliman's prior written consent. Milliman does not intend to benefit or create a legal duty to any third party recipient of its work product. Milliman's consent to release its work product to any third party may be conditioned on the third party signing a Release, subject to the following exceptions:

- (a) CalSTRS may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to CalSTRS professional service advisors who are subject to a duty of confidentiality and who agree to not use Milliman's work for any purpose other than to benefit CalSTRS.
- (b) CalSTRS may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to other governmental entities, as required by law.

No third party recipient of Milliman's work product should rely upon Milliman's work product. Such recipients should engage qualified professionals for advice appropriate to their own specific needs.

The consultants who worked on this assignment are retirement actuaries. Milliman's advice is not intended to be a substitute for qualified legal or accounting counsel.

The signing actuaries are independent of the plan sponsor. We are not aware of any relationship that would impair the objectivity of our work.

On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that to the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the principles prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board and the Code of Professional Conduct and Qualification Standards for Actuaries Issuing Statements of Actuarial Opinion in the United States promulgated by the American Academy of Actuaries. We are members of the

This work product was prepared solely for CalSTRS for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes.  
Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work.  
Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.



American Academy of Actuaries and meet its Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

We would like to express our appreciation to the CalSTRS staff who gave substantial assistance in supplying the data on which this report is based.

We respectfully submit the following report and we look forward to discussing it with you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Nick Collier". A horizontal line is drawn below the signature.

Nick J. Collier, ASA, EA, MAAA  
Consulting Actuary

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark C. Olleman". A horizontal line is drawn below the signature.

Mark C. Olleman, FSA, EA, MAAA  
Consulting Actuary

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Julie D. Smith". A horizontal line is drawn below the signature.

Consulting Actuary

## Table of Contents

<b>1. Summary of the Findings .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Scope of the Report .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3. Actuarial Obligation .....</b>	<b>11</b>
Table 1 Normal Cost .....	13
Table 2 Actuarial Obligation .....	14
<b>4. Valuation Assets .....</b>	<b>15</b>
Table 3 Market Value of Assets by Funding Group .....	16
Table 4 Statement of Program Assets .....	17
Table 5 Statement of Changes in Program Assets.....	18
Table 6 Actuarial Value of Assets .....	19
Table 7 History of Actuarial Value of Assets .....	20
<b>5. Funded Status .....</b>	<b>21</b>
Table 8 Funded Status .....	26
Table 9 Actuarial Gains and Losses .....	27
<b>6. State Supplemental Contribution Rate .....</b>	<b>28</b>
Table 10 Assets for 1990 Benefit Structure .....	32
Table 11 Funded Status and Supplemental Contribution Rate for 1990 Benefit Structure .....	33
<b>7. Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate.....</b>	<b>34</b>
Table 12 Total Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service .....	37
Table 13 1990 Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service .....	38
Table 14 Funded Status and Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate for Pre-2014 Service.....	39
<b>8. Actuarially Determined Contribution .....</b>	<b>40</b>
Table 15 Actuarially Determined Contribution Rate for DB Program .....	42
<b>9. Projected Amortization and Cash Flows .....</b>	<b>43</b>
Table 16 Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Obligation .....	44
Table 17 Projected Cash Flow .....	45
<b>10. Risk Disclosures .....</b>	<b>46</b>



<b>Appendix A Provisions of Governing Law .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Appendix B Actuarial Methods and Assumptions .....</b>	<b>58</b>
Table B.1 List of Major Valuation Assumptions .....	60
Table B.2 Mortality as of June 30, 2020 .....	61
Table B.3a Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Males .....	62
Table B.3b Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Females .....	63
Table B.3c Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Males .....	64
Table B.3d Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Females .....	65
Table B.3e Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Males .....	66
Table B.3f Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Females .....	67
Table B.4 Disability Retirement .....	68
Table B.5 Other Terminations of Employment (Withdrawal) .....	69
Table B.6 Probability of Refund .....	70
Table B.7 Merit Salary Increases .....	71
Table B.8 Supplemental Assumptions .....	72
<b>Appendix C Valuation Data .....</b>	<b>73</b>
Table C.1 Summary of Statistical Information .....	74
Table C.2 Age and Service Distribution – Active Male Members .....	76
Table C.3 Age and Service Distribution – Active Female Members .....	77
Table C.4 Age and Service Distribution – All Active Members .....	78
Table C.5 Inactive Members .....	79
Table C.6 Members Retired for Service .....	80
<b>Appendix D Glossary .....</b>	<b>81</b>

## 1. Summary of the Findings

The primary purpose of the actuarial valuation is to calculate the contribution rates for members, employers, and the state and to analyze the sufficiency of these future contributions to meet the current and future obligations of the Defined Benefit (DB) Program. By using the actuarial methods and assumptions adopted by the Teachers' Retirement Board (TRB), this actuarial valuation provides a reasonable estimate of the long-term financing of the DB Program. The assumptions and methods were adopted at the January 2020 TRB meeting, and there have been no changes to them since the last valuation.

Under the board's valuation policy, an increase to the state supplemental contribution rate and a decrease in the employer supplemental contribution rate beginning July 2021 have been calculated. Note that the contribution rates calculated in this valuation are based on the relevant provisions of the Education Code and the board's valuation policy and are not necessarily our opinion of what the funding level should be; however, we note that CalSTRS is projected to make progress, albeit slow progress in the short term, toward paying off the Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (UAO).

The key findings of this actuarial valuation are:

- The **Funded Ratio** increased from 66.0% to 67.1% primarily due to contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy and additional contributions by the State in the prior fiscal year.
- An increase in the **state supplemental contribution rate** of 0.500% of payroll to 6.311% of payroll has been calculated for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 pursuant to the board's valuation policy. This increase is the maximum increment allowed under the Education Code. Note that the state supplemental rate was frozen for the current fiscal year, so the 0.500% increase is from the 5.811% currently being paid for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020. Current projections show increases in the state supplemental contribution rate are likely to be needed for four additional years, assuming all actuarial assumptions are met.
- A decrease in the **employer supplemental contribution rate** from 10.85% to 9.85% of payroll has been calculated for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 pursuant to the board's valuation policy. This is the first year the board has the opportunity to exercise its limited rate-setting authority to adjust the supplemental contribution rate paid by the employers. Under the Education Code, the Board has the discretion to adjust (or make no change to) the employer supplemental contribution rate up to 1.0% of payroll. As specified in Education Code §22950.6, for fiscal year 2021-22, employers will contribute to CalSTRS a rate that is 2.18% of payroll lower than the rate adopted by the board to reflect the contributions paid by the state on behalf of employers in fiscal year 2018-19. As shown in the table on the next page, the total contribution rate to be paid by the employers will decrease by 0.23% of payroll from the current 16.15% to 15.92% of payroll in fiscal year 2021-22 if the board elects to make the maximum reduction in the employer supplemental contribution rate.
- Based on this 2020 valuation, no change in the CalSTRS 2% at 62 **member contribution rate** is required for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021. The member contribution rate for 2% at 60 members is fixed in the Education Code, so no change is required for this group either.

The state supplemental contribution rate was frozen at 5.811% of payroll for the current fiscal year, so CalSTRS is receiving a lower supplemental contribution rate than was previously projected. However, it should be noted that the state transferred \$297 million in Proposition 2 revenues to CalSTRS for the 2020-21 fiscal year, and that the state's preliminary 2021-22 budget includes an additional contribution of \$583 million for CalSTRS. These additional contributions would effectively make CalSTRS whole in terms of contributions, at least in the short term. For purposes of the June 30, 2020 valuation results, we have not reflected these additional contributions made after the valuation date. However, we have reflected the \$297 million contribution for the 2020-21 fiscal year in the

projection of funding levels and contribution rates as this contribution has already been made, but we have not reflected any other additional contributions that may be made after the valuation date.

### Contribution Rates

The Education Code includes several subsections which provide for adjustments in contribution rates. EC §22955.1 specifies graded increases in the supplemental state contribution rates. The board has the authority to annually adjust the state contribution rate for years through June 30, 2046, so that the rate is sufficient to amortize the UAO attributable to the 1990 contribution and benefit structure. However, the maximum increase in a given year is limited to 0.5% of payroll.

EC §22950.5 specifies that effective July 1, 2021 (as first calculated in the June 30, 2020 valuation), the employer supplemental contribution rate is adjusted annually based on the contribution rate necessary to amortize the UAO attributable to service prior to July 1, 2014 that is not funded by the state as part of the 1990 Benefit Structure. However, the maximum increase or decrease in a given year is limited to 1.0% of payroll and the total employer contribution rate cannot exceed 20.25% of payroll.

The 2% at 60 member rate is fixed at 10.250% of pay. The 2% at 62 member rate, currently 10.205% of pay, can vary depending on the calculated Normal Cost Rate as discussed later in this section.

The following table shows a summary of the contribution rates currently being paid (2020-21 fiscal year) and those to be paid next year (2021-22 fiscal year) under the valuation policy.

Source of Revenue	2020 Valuation FY 21-22 Rate	2019 Valuation FY 20-21 Rate
Employers – Base Rate	8.000 %	8.000 %
Employers – Sick Leave	0.250	0.250
Employers – Supplemental Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	9.850	10.850
<b>Employers – Total Calculated Rate</b>	<b>18.100</b>	<b>19.100</b>
Reduction for Additional State Contribs <sup>(2)</sup>	(2.180)	(2.950)
<b>Employers – Net Contribution Rate</b>	<b>15.920</b>	<b>16.150</b>
State – Base Rate	2.017 %	2.017 %
State – Supplemental Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	6.311	5.811
<b>State – Total DB Program</b>	<b>8.328</b>	<b>7.828</b>
State – SBMA Rate <sup>(3)</sup>	2.500	2.500
<b>State – Total Contribution to CalSTRS</b>	<b>10.828</b>	<b>10.328</b>
Members – 2% at 60	10.250 %	10.250 %
Members – 2% at 62	10.205	10.205

1. Calculated based on valuation policy and subject to board adoption.

2. As specified in EC §22950.6.

3. The state contribution to fund the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA) is reduced by \$72 million each fiscal year.


## Funding Progress

The UAO of a retirement plan is equal to the difference between its Actuarial Value of Assets and its Actuarial Obligation. The Funded Ratio is equal to the Actuarial Value of Assets divided by the Actuarial Obligation.


(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation
<b>Actuarial Obligation</b>	\$ 322,127	\$ 310,719
<b>Actuarial Value of Assets</b>	<u>216,252</u>	<u>205,016</u>
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Obligation</b>	\$ 105,875	\$ 105,703
<b>Funded Ratio</b>	67.1%	66.0%

The \$105.9 billion UAO compares to a projected June 30, 2020 value of \$106.8 billion based on the prior valuation. The primary reasons for the increase in the Funded Ratio are salary increases less than assumed, additional state contributions, and contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy. Additional discussion of the contributing factors to this change can be found in Section 5 under Actuarial Gains and Losses.

Under the CalSTRS funding plan, the \$105.9 billion UAO can be viewed as split into three pieces: 1) the employers' share; 2) the state's share; and 3) the piece with no dedicated funding source, the unallocated piece, which is discussed in further detail later. This breakdown is shown in the following pie chart.



The graph below shows a historical perspective of the Funded Ratio for CalSTRS.



The table below shows the factors that affected the DB Program's Funded Ratio since the last valuation. As previously discussed, the primary reasons for the increase in the Funded Ratio are contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy, salary increases less than assumed, and additional state contributions in the prior fiscal year.

Sources of Change	Funded Ratio
<b>June 30, 2019 Actuarial Valuation</b>	<b>66.0%</b>
Expected Year-to-Year Change	0.6%
Recognized Asset (Gain) / Loss	
• From Prior Years	0.4%
• From Current Year	-0.7%
Additional State Contributions made in FY2019-20	0.3%
Salary Variation	0.4%
All Other Sources	0.1%
<b>Total Change</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation</b>	<b>67.1%</b>

### UAO for New Benefits, Post-2014 Service

The Education Codes includes actuarial funding (within certain constraints) for most of the benefits provided by CalSTRS. The one exception is that there is no provision for the state, employers, or members to fund any UAO arising for New Benefits (i.e., those not included in the 1990 Benefit Structure) attributable to service after June 30, 2014. We will refer to this as the "Unallocated UAO." Under the valuation policy, a portion of each year's total contributions, equal to the Normal Cost of the New Benefits, is allocated to fund these benefits. Since the contribution is equal to the Normal Cost, there are no remaining contributions to pay down the Unallocated UAO. Therefore, the Unallocated UAO will increase or decrease based on future experience.

The following table shows how the Unallocated UAO (based on assets at market value) has evolved over time. There was an increase in the Unallocated UAO from \$109 million as of June 30, 2019 to \$488 million as of June 30, 2020. This type of increase is not surprising given the leveraged nature of the calculation. As of June 30, 2020, the Unallocated UAO is small relative to the total UAO, as it only reflects service accrued for six years. However, as members continue to accrue benefits for service after June 30, 2014, there is the potential for the Unallocated UAO to increase (or decrease) significantly if actual experience differs materially from that assumed or if further changes in assumptions occur. The primary cause of the increase from last year is that the investment return was less than the 7.0% assumption.

(\$ Millions)	Unallocated UAO <sup>(1)</sup>	Unallocated UAO as % of Payroll
2014 Valuation	\$ 0	0.0%
2015 Valuation	213	0.7%
2016 Valuation	639	2.0%
2017 Valuation	369	1.1%
2018 Valuation	65	0.2%
2019 Valuation	109	0.3%
2020 Valuation	488	1.4%

1. The Unallocated UAO is calculated using the market value of assets. It is currently \$377 million based on the actuarial value of assets.

As previously discussed, there is currently no dedicated funding to pay off the Unallocated UAO. If the Unallocated UAO were to be funded on an actuarial basis with a June 30, 2046 target date, an additional 0.08% of payroll would be required effective July 1, 2021.

### Actuarially Determined Contribution

In general, an actuarially determined contribution is a target or recommended contribution to a defined benefit pension plan based on the plan's funding policy. For CalSTRS, the actuarially determined contribution rate is the calculated level contribution rate to fully fund the DB Program over a closed period ending June 30, 2046. For GASB 67/68 reporting, the actuarially determined contribution is the combined employer and state portion of that contribution and is therefore net of member contributions. For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021, the actuarially determined contribution rate is the level rate calculated in the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation and is equal to 27.062% of payroll. The projected contribution rate for the 2021-22 fiscal year of 23.800% (combined state and employer) is only about 88% of the actuarially determined contribution rate due to the limitations on contribution rate increases and temporary decreases in the employer supplemental contribution rate. It should be noted that if the state makes additional contributions as described in the preliminary 2021-22 budget, this would be expected to bring the actual state and employer contributions to about 93% of the actuarially determined contribution. This compares to an estimated 91% of the actuarially determined contribution being contributed for the current fiscal year 2020-21.

### Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members

As part of the annual valuation process, the Normal Cost Rate is calculated for CalSTRS 2% at 62 members, generally those first hired on or after January 1, 2013. The Normal Cost Rate is used as the basis for setting the base member contribution rate for this group for the following fiscal year, the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021, for this valuation. Generally, the base member contribution rate is one-half of the Normal Cost Rate, within certain parameters.


EC §22901(b)(1) requires the board to adopt the Normal Cost Rate that is used to determine the 2% at 62 member contribution rate. As of June 30, 2020, the Normal Cost Rate for the CalSTRS 2% at 62 members is 18.086%. We recommend the board adopt this rate. The 18.086% Normal Cost Rate for the current valuation is a small decrease from the prior valuation of 0.047%, which represents typical year-to-year fluctuation.

EC §22901(b)(2) specifies that the CalSTRS 2% at 62 base member contribution rate does not change if the increase or decrease in the Normal Cost Rate for members is less than 1% of creditable compensation since the last adjustment. This year, the cumulative change is an increase in the Normal Cost Rate of 0.193%, from 17.893% (the time of the last adjustment) to 18.086% for this group. Therefore, the current base member contribution rate should remain at 9.00% for 2% at 62 members based on the relevant section of the Education Code.


Note that under EC §22901.7(b) 1.205% of pay is added to the base member rate. Therefore, as of July 1, 2021, the total member contribution rate for 2% at 62 members continues to be 10.205% (9.00% plus the 1.205% additional contribution rate).

**Looking Ahead**

The following projection shows the Funded Ratio if the DB Program earns 7.00% in each future year and all other assumptions are met. As shown in the graph, the DB Program is projected to reach approximately 100% funding by 2046 based on the 2020 valuation (blue line). The Funded Ratio is close to the 2019 valuation (green line). Note that we have also shown a hypothetical projection of the funded status based on the 2020 valuation but without the 2014 funding legislation (red line). See the end of this subsection for a summary of the assumptions on which these projections are based.



The following graph shows the projected contribution rates for each of the stakeholder groups, and in total, assuming all valuation assumptions are met in the future and the board exercises its rate-setting authority. Note that the actual contribution rates paid in the future will vary based on experience after the valuation date. The contribution rates shown include both the base and supplemental contribution rates, but do not reflect the state contribution to the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA).





Asset gains and losses will generally have the largest year-to-year impact on the total contribution rates needed, although assumption changes can cause a significant change in years when they occur. Under the statute, as reflected in the valuation policy, the impact of asset gains and losses will tend to have a much more significant impact on the state contribution rate than the employer contribution rate. Therefore, the state contribution rate will tend to be more volatile than the employer contribution rate, as shown in the following section ("Projections Under Alternate Return Scenarios").

The above projection calculations are based on the following assumptions:

- All experience subsequent to the valuation date is consistent with the valuation assumptions, as described in Appendix B.
- Future changes in the state and employer supplemental contribution rates will be consistent with the board's valuation policy. In particular, the state rate is based on funding the UAO by 2046, a year which is not defined in statute.
- Current deferred asset gains and losses (currently a net deferred loss) are reflected in the future as they are expected to be recognized in the asset smoothing method.
- The projection assumes new members will have the same Normal Cost Rate as the current 2% at 62 members. The emerging Normal Cost Rate for the total plan will gradually decrease over time due to the lower benefits provided for 2% at 62 members.
- Additional state contributions budgeted but not included in the Education Code are not reflected in the projections.
- In calculating the employer contribution rate, we have not considered the minimum rate provision until July 1, 2025. This interpretation is based on discussions with CalSTRS staff and discussed further in Section 7.

### Future Variance

The results of any actuarial valuation are based on a set of assumptions. Although we believe the current DB Program assumptions provide a reasonable estimate of future expectations, it is almost certain that future experience will differ from the assumptions to some extent. We have provided a general discussion of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding in Section 10, as well as additional analysis on the potential impact of future investment returns on the Funded Ratio and contribution rates. A more comprehensive analysis of potential risks to future DB Program funding levels ("Review of Funding Level and Risks") is completed each fall by CalSTRS internal actuarial staff.

### Further Information

Details of our findings are included in later sections of this report. The appendices include supporting documentation on the benefit and eligibility provisions used to project future benefits, the actuarial methods and assumptions used to value the projected benefits, and the underlying census data provided by CalSTRS for this valuation.

### Summary of Key Valuation Results

	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation	Percent Change
<b>1. Total Membership</b>			
A. Active Members	448,419	451,429	(0.7) %
B. Inactive Members	213,056	204,593	4.1 %
C. Retired Members and Beneficiaries	314,518	308,639	1.9 %
D. Total Membership	975,993	964,661	1.2 %
<b>2. Payroll as of Valuation Date (All Members)</b>			
A. Annual Total (\$Millions)	\$ 33,811	\$ 32,897	2.8 %
B. Annual Average Earned Salary per Active Member	\$ 75,401	\$ 72,872	3.5 %
<b>3. Average Annual Allowance Payable</b>			
A. Service Retirement	\$ 51,852	\$ 50,208	3.3 %
<b>4. Actuarial Obligation (\$Millions)</b>			
A. Active Members	\$ 141,521	\$ 136,225	3.9 %
B. Inactive Members	7,338	6,778	8.3 %
C. Retired Members and Beneficiaries	172,994	167,428	3.3 %
D. Existing MPPP Unfunded Obligation	274	288	(4.9) %
E. Total	\$ 322,127	\$ 310,719	3.7 %
<b>5. Value of System Assets (\$Millions)</b>			
A. Fair Market Value	\$ 233,253	\$ 225,466	3.5 %
B. Deferred Investment (Gains) or Losses	2,124	(3,067)	
C. Actuarial Value	\$ 235,377	\$ 222,399	5.8 %
D. Ratio of Actuarial Value to Fair Value	101%	99%	
E. Less SBMA Reserve	(19,125)	(17,383)	10.0 %
F. Net Actuarial Value	\$ 216,252	\$ 205,016	5.5 %
<b>6. Funded Status -- Actuarial Value Basis</b>			
A. Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (\$Millions)	\$ 105,875	\$ 105,703	0.2 %
B. Funded Ratio ( 5F ÷ 4E )	67.1%	66.0%	
<b>7. Normal Cost Rates (percent of salaries)</b>			
A. CalSTRS 2% at 60 Members	20.833%	20.867%	(0.2) %
B. CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members	18.086%	18.133%	(0.3) %
C. All Members	20.294%	20.403%	(0.5) %
<b>8. Next Fiscal Year Contribution Rates (percent of salaries)</b>			
A. 2% at 60 Members	10.250%	10.250%	- %
B. 2% at 62 Members	10.205%	10.205%	- %
C. State Supplemental Rate	6.311%	5.811%	8.6 %
D. Employer Supplemental Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	9.850%	10.850%	(9.2) %
<b>9. Funded Status -- Market Value Basis</b>			
A. Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (\$Millions) [4E - (5A + 5E)]	\$ 107,999	\$ 102,636	5.2 %
B. Alternate Funded Ratio (Based on Market Value of Assets)	66.5%	67.0%	

1. Prior to adjustments that reduce the actual contribution rate to be paid by employers for fiscal years 2020-21 by 2.95% and fiscal year 2021-2022 by 2.18%.

## **2. Scope of the Report**

This report presents the actuarial valuation of the DB Program of the State Teachers' Retirement Plan as of June 30, 2020. A summary of the key results of this valuation is presented in the previous section. The remainder of this report is arranged as follows:

Section 3 describes the benefit obligations of CalSTRS, including the development of the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Obligation.

Section 4 outlines the Fair Market Value of Assets of the DB Program and the determination of the Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2020. All of the assets of the Program are available to finance future DB Program benefits and expenses, except those allocated for the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA).

Section 5 shows the relationship between the Actuarial Value of Assets and the Actuarial Obligation, also called the Funded Ratio.

Section 6 discusses the calculations used to determine the state supplemental contribution rate in accordance with EC §22955.1(b). The key elements of this calculation pertain to an evaluation of the assets and obligations associated with the benefits in effect in 1990. An adjustment to the state supplemental rate is calculated based on this valuation and effective with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021.

Section 7 discusses the calculations used to determine the employer supplemental contribution rate in accordance with EC §22950.5. The key elements of this calculation are parallel to the funding valuation, except the assets and obligations are those associated with the benefits earned prior to July 1, 2014. An adjustment to the employer supplemental rate is calculated based on this valuation and effective with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021. Additionally, the employer contribution rates for the fiscal years beginning July 1, 2020 and July 1, 2021 are subject to the reductions under EC §22950.6.

Section 8 discusses the calculation of the actuarially determined contribution.

Section 9 shows the projected UAO payment schedule and a comparison of the projected contributions and benefit payments for the DB Program.

Section 10 provides a general discussion of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding.

This report includes several appendices:

Appendix A is a summary of the current benefit structure, as determined by the provisions of governing law on June 30, 2020.

Appendix B is a summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used to estimate actuarial obligations and the funding sufficiency.

In our opinion, the assumptions used in the valuation are reasonably related to the past experience of the DB Program, are internally consistent, and represent a reasonable estimate of future conditions affecting the DB Program. Nevertheless, the emerging costs of the DB Program will vary from those presented in this report to the extent that actual experience differs from that projected by the actuarial assumptions.

Appendix C includes schedules of valuation data classified by various categories of plan members. We relied upon the membership and beneficiary data supplied by CalSTRS. We compared the data for this and the prior valuation and tested for reasonableness. Based on these tests, we believe the data to be sufficient for the purposes of our calculations.

Appendix D is a glossary of actuarial terms used in this report.

### 3. Actuarial Obligation

In this section, the discussion will focus on the commitments of CalSTRS for retirement benefits, which are referred to as its Actuarial Obligation.

#### Normal Cost

The **Normal Cost** represents the cost assigned to an average member for a given year such that it would meet the continuing costs of a particular benefit if contributed each year starting with the date of membership. The Entry Age Normal Cost Method is designed to produce a Normal Cost that remains a level percentage of payroll (payroll is calculated as the sum of the expected creditable compensation for the active members) and is expressed as a rate of compensation. Normal Cost contributions are assumed to be contributed uniformly throughout the year.

The total DB Program Normal Cost Rate has decreased from 20.403% to 20.294% since the last valuation. This rate represents a blended average of the Normal Cost Rates for the 2% at 60 and 2% at 62 members. **Table 1** provides more details on the calculation of the Normal Cost and Normal Cost Rate.

In general, the Normal Cost Rate is expected to remain fairly stable as a percentage of payroll as long as the benefit provisions are not amended, the assumptions are not changed, membership experience emerges as assumed, and the demographic characteristics of the membership remain reasonably consistent. CalSTRS can expect modest decreases in the Normal Cost Rate as current members leave active employment and are replaced by new members with lower benefit levels. The Normal Cost Rate decreased since last year mainly due to the increasing membership of CalSTRS 2% at 62 members who have a lower overall Normal Cost Rate than the 2% at 60 members. We expect small decreases in the overall Normal Cost Rate to continue in future years if assumptions are unchanged.

Primarily because of different benefit formulas, the CalSTRS 2% at 60 members have higher Normal Cost Rates compared to the 2% at 62 members, as shown below for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020.

(\$ Millions)	2% at 60 Members	2% at 62 Members	Proportion 2% at 62
Projected Payroll	\$27,173	\$8,242	23.3%
Normal Cost \$	5,661	1,491	20.8%
Normal Cost Rate	20.833%	18.086%	NA

#### Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members

As part of the annual valuation process, we determine the Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 members, generally those first hired on or after January 1, 2013. The Normal Cost Rate is used as the basis for setting the base member contribution rate for this group for the following fiscal year, the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 for this valuation. Generally, the base member contribution rate is one-half of the Normal Cost Rate within certain parameters.

EC §22901(b)(1) requires the board to adopt the Normal Cost Rate that is used to determine the 2% at 62 member contribution rate. As of June 30, 2020, the Normal Cost Rate for the CalSTRS 2% at 62 members is 18.086%. We recommend the board adopt this rate.

EC §22901(b)(2) specifies that the CalSTRS 2% at 62 base member contribution rate does not change if the increase or decrease in the Normal Cost Rate for members is less than 1% of creditable compensation since the

last adjustment. This year, the cumulative change is an increase in the Normal Cost Rate of 0.193%, from 17.893% (the time of the last adjustment) to 18.086% for this group. Therefore, the current base member contribution rate should remain at 9.00% for 2% at 62 members based on the relevant section of the Education Code.

Note that increases under EC §22901.7(b) are added to the base member rate. Therefore, effective July 1, 2021, the calculated total member contribution rate for 2% at 62 members continues to be 10.205% (9.00% plus the 1.205% additional contribution rate).

### Actuarial Obligation

The next step in the actuarial valuation process is to project all future DB Program benefit payments for current members and retirees. The level of benefits currently being paid is known, but assumptions are needed to estimate how long they will be paid, and the amount and timing of the payment of future benefits for active and inactive members who are not currently receiving payments. The summation of the discounted values of all of the projected benefit payments for all current members at the assumed rate of return is called the **Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits**.

Details are shown in **Table 2** and summarized below.

(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation
Benefits Being Paid	\$ 172,994	\$ 167,428
Inactive Deferred Benefits	7,338	6,778
Active Member Benefits	224,198	217,733
Existing MPPP Unfunded Obligation	274	288
Present Value of Projected Benefits	\$ 404,804	\$ 392,227
Present Value of Future Normal Costs	82,677	81,508
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 322,127	\$ 310,719

The **Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs** is the value of all remaining Normal Costs expected to be received over the future working lifetime of current active members. The **Actuarial Obligation** is the difference between the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits and the Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs. The Actuarial Obligation is equal to the assets that would exist if the current Normal Cost Rate had been paid for all members since entry into the Program, and if all experience had emerged as assumed.

Over time, 2% at 62 members will account for a larger portion of the Actuarial Obligation; however, as of this valuation, only 3.3% of the Actuarial Obligation for active members is for the 2% at 62 members.

(\$ Millions)	2% at 60 Members	2% at 62 Members	Proportion 2% at 62
Active PVB	\$196,195	\$28,003	12.5%
Active PVFNC	59,353	23,324	28.2%
Active AO	\$136,842	\$4,679	3.3%

**Table 1**  
**Normal Cost**

(\$ Millions)	2020			2019
	2% at 60	2% at 62	Total	Total
Estimated Annual Earned Salaries <sup>(1)</sup>	\$27,173	\$6,635	\$33,808	\$32,936
Present Value of Future Normal Costs for Current Active Members	\$59,353	\$23,324	\$82,677	\$81,508
Present Value of Future Earned Salaries for Current Active Members	\$286,509	\$132,030	\$418,539	\$409,368
<b>Normal Cost</b>				
Service Retirement	\$5,155	\$1,069	\$6,224	\$6,091
Deferred Retirement & Refund	276	69	345	335
Death	35	8	43	43
Disability	195	54	249	251
Total Normal Cost	\$5,661	\$1,200	\$6,861	\$6,720
<b>Normal Cost Rate</b>				
<b>Percent of Payroll</b>				
Service Retirement	18.970 %	16.111 %	18.410 %	18.493 %
Deferred Retirement & Refund	1.016	1.040	1.020	1.017
Death	0.129	0.121	0.127	0.131
Disability	0.718	0.814	0.737	0.762
<b>Total Normal Cost Rate</b>	<b>20.833 %</b>	<b>18.086 %</b>	<b>20.294 %</b>	<b>20.403 %</b>

1. Annual payroll for active members on the valuation date, excluding active members over age 75 on the valuation date who are assumed to retire immediately and therefore do not generate a Normal Cost.

**Table 2**  
**Actuarial Obligation**

(\$ Millions)	2020			2019
	2% at 60	2% at 62	Total	Total
Present Value of Projected Benefits to All Current Members				
Benefits Currently Being Paid				
Service Retirement	\$ 160,334	\$ 9	\$ 160,343	\$ 155,206
Disability	4,045	2	4,047	4,004
Survivors	8,604	-	8,604	8,218
Total	\$ 172,983	\$ 11	\$ 172,994	\$ 167,428
Benefits to Inactive Members	7,157	181	7,338	6,778
Benefits to Active Members				
Retirement	\$ 188,427	\$ 25,164	\$ 213,591	\$ 207,225
Disability	4,312	1,270	5,582	5,576
Death	778	169	947	934
Deferred Retirement & Refund	2,678	1,400	4,078	3,998
Total	\$ 196,195	\$ 28,003	\$ 224,198	\$ 217,733
Existing MPPP Unfunded Obligation	274	-	274	288
Total Present Value of Projected Benefits	\$ 376,609	\$ 28,195	\$ 404,804	\$ 392,227
Present Value of Future Normal Costs	59,353	23,324	82,677	81,508
<b>Actuarial Obligation</b>	<b>\$ 317,256</b>	<b>\$ 4,871</b>	<b>\$ 322,127</b>	<b>\$ 310,719</b>

#### 4. Valuation Assets

In many respects, an actuarial valuation can be thought of as an inventory process. The inventory is taken as of the actuarial valuation date which, for this valuation, is June 30, 2020. On that date, the assets available for the payment of retirement benefits are appraised.

The next step in the valuation process is to calculate the **Actuarial Value of Assets** that will be used to determine the funding status of the Program. **Table 3** summarizes the assets separated by funding group. As shown in **Table 4**, the Fair Market Value (adjusted from the accounting value to account for pre-recognized GASB expenses) was reported as \$233,253 million as of June 30, 2020, up from \$225,466 million as of June 30, 2019. **Table 5** shows the asset changes for the period.

##### Valuation Assets

Because the underlying calculations in the actuarial valuation are long term in nature, it may be advantageous to use an asset smoothing method to lessen the impact of short-term fluctuations in the value of assets. This is particularly true given that the supplemental state and employer contribution rates are determined based on the applicable funded status.

The asset smoothing method uses a projection of the expected Actuarial Value of Assets from the Actuarial Value of Assets as of the previous year based on the assumed rate of investment return and the net cash flow during the year. The projection then recognizes one-third of the difference between the expected value and the Fair Market Value as of the valuation date to arrive at the Actuarial Value of Assets. The calculation of the Actuarial Value of Assets is shown in **Table 6** and the result is shown below.

(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation
Fair Market Value (FMV)	\$ 233,253	\$ 225,466
Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)	<u>235,377</u>	<u>222,399</u>
Deferred Investment Gains or (Losses)	\$ (2,124)	\$ 3,067
Ratio of AVA to FMV	100.9%	98.6%

Due to the asset smoothing method, there are investment losses of \$2,124 million that have not yet been recognized (the difference between the Actuarial and Fair Market Value of Assets). Absent investment returns in future years greater than the assumed rate to offset the deferred investment losses, the current deferred losses will gradually be reflected in the Actuarial Value of Assets.

If the future returns on the Fair Market Value of Assets are 7.00% each year, then as the current deferred losses flow through the smoothing method and are recognized, future valuations will show an actuarial loss. The result will be a gradual decrease (or a slower increase) in the DB Program's funded status, ultimately increasing the UAO by the \$2,124 million of currently deferred investment losses. However, this recognition of asset losses should trigger increases in contribution rates to help pay off the additional UAO, except for the unallocated piece.

**Table 7** shows a history of the Actuarial Value of Assets compared to the Fair Market Value of Assets.



**Table 3**  
**Market Value of Assets by Funding Group**

(\$ Millions)	June 30, 2020					June 30, 2019
	SBMA	1990 Benefit Structure	Pre-2014 New Benefits	Post-2014 New Benefits	Total DB Program	Total DB Program
<b>Market Value, beginning of year</b>	\$ 17,383	\$ 226,790	\$ (28,593)	\$ 9,886	\$ 225,466	\$ 211,367
<b>Member Contributions<sup>(1)</sup></b>						
Regular at 8.000% (EC §22901(a))	-	2,769	-	-	2,769	2,694
Regular 2% at 62 Member Rate in Excess of 8.000% (EC §22901(b))	-	-	-	65	65	55
Supplemental at 2.250%/1.205% (EC §22901.7)	-	-	-	735	735	724
Other	-	16	-	1	17	23
<b>Total Member Contributions</b>	-	2,785	-	801	3,586	3,496
<b>Employer Contributions<sup>(1)</sup></b>						
Regular at 8.000%	-	2,769	-	-	2,769	2,694
Sick Leave at 0.250% (EC §22951)	-	-	87	-	87	84
Supplemental at 9.880% / 8.030% (EC §22950.5)	-	-	3,327	93	3,420	2,704
Adjustment -1.030% / 0.000%	-	-	(357)	-	(357)	-
Other	-	-	4	-	4	(1)
<b>Total Employer Contributions</b>	-	2,769	3,061	93	5,923	5,482
<b>State Contributions<sup>(1)</sup></b>						
Appropriation at 2.017% (EC §22955)	-	-	664	-	664	647
Supplemental at 5.811%/5.311%(EC §22955.1(b))	-	1,910	-	-	1,910	1,705
SBMA contribution at 2.500% less \$72M	756	-	-	-	756	737
Additional contributions	-	1,117	-	-	1,117	2,246
<b>Total State Contributions</b>	756	3,027	664	-	4,447	5,335
<b>Investment Income</b>						
Net investment income	1,201	8,796	(1,092)	396	9,301	13,778
Net Pension/OPEB Obligation Adjustments	-	22	-	-	22	805
<b>Net Investment Earnings</b>	1,201	8,818	(1,092)	396	9,323	14,583
<b>Benefits</b>	(215)	(12,749)	(2,453)	(75)	(15,492)	(14,797)
<b>Market Value, end of year</b>	\$ 19,125	\$ 231,440	\$ (28,413)	\$ 11,101	\$ 233,253	\$ 225,466

1. The contributions for New Benefits have been allocated between the Pre-2014 and Post-2014 funding groups so that the total contributions allocated to each funding group are consistent with the valuation policy. For purposes of this exhibit, we have shown member contributions being allocated to the Post-2014 New Benefit group.

**Table 4**  
**Statement of Program Assets**

<i>(\$ Millions)</i>	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019
<b>Invested Assets</b>		
Cash	\$ 504	\$ 599
Debt Securities	50,032	44,191
Equity Securities	106,636	107,701
Alternative Investments	78,041	72,097
Derivative Instruments	227	181
Bond Proceeds Investment	275	-
Total Investments	<u>\$ 235,715</u>	<u>\$ 224,769</u>
<b>Receivables</b>	6,567	10,180
<b>Liabilities Net of Securities Lending Collateral</b>	(9,819)	(10,242)
<b>Net Deferred (Inflows) and Outflows</b>	(37)	(46)
<b>Exclude Net Pension and OPEB Obligation</b>	827	805
<b>Fair Market Value of Net Assets</b>	<u>\$ 233,253</u>	<u>\$ 225,466</u>

**Table 5**  
**Statement of Changes in Program Assets**

(\$ Millions)	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019
<b>Contributions</b>		
Members	\$ 3,586	\$ 3,496
Employers	5,923	5,482
State of California	4,447	5,335
Total Contributions	<u>13,956</u>	<u>14,313</u>
<b>Benefits and GASB Adjustments</b>		
Retirement, Death and Survivors	(15,199)	(14,528)
Refunds of Member Contributions	(78)	(75)
Purchasing Power Benefits	(215)	(194)
Change in GASB Adjustments	22	805
Total Benefits and GASB Adjustments	<u>(15,470)</u>	<u>(13,992)</u>
<b>Net Cash Flow</b>	<b>\$ (1,514)</b>	<b>\$ 321</b>
<b>Investment Income</b>		
Realized Income	\$ 4,899	\$ 5,167
Net Appreciation	4,857	9,152
Net Securities Lending Income	76	41
Investment Expenses	(419)	(466)
Administrative & Other Expenses	(213)	(244)
Other (Expense) Income	101	128
Net Investment Return	<u>9,301</u>	<u>13,778</u>
<b>Net Increase (Decrease)</b>	<b>\$ 7,787</b>	<b>\$ 14,099</b>
<b>Fair Market Value of Net Assets</b>		
Beginning of Year	<u>225,466</u>	<u>211,367</u>
<b>End of Year</b>	<b>\$ 233,253</b>	<b>\$ 225,466</b>
Estimated Net Rate of Return <sup>(1)</sup>	4.1%	6.6%

1. Estimated return on a Fair-Market-Value basis on all DB Program assets (including SBMA), net of all investment expenses, gross of administrative expenses, and assuming uniform cash flow throughout the year. This number may differ from the money-weighted return reported by CalSTRS. The estimated return for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020 excluding SBMA assets was 3.9%.

**Table 6**  
**Actuarial Value of Assets**


(\$ Millions)	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019
<b>Actuarial Value at Beginning of Year</b>	\$ 222,399	\$ 206,207
Contributions	13,956	14,313
Benefits	(15,491)	(14,798)
Change in GASB Adjustments	22	805
Expected Return	15,553	14,339
<b>Expected Actuarial Value End of Year</b>	<u>\$ 236,439</u>	<u>\$ 220,866</u>
<b>Fair Market Value</b>	233,253	225,466
<b>Difference between Fair Market Value and Expected Actuarial Value</b>	\$ (3,186)	\$ 4,600
Recognition Factor	One-third	One-third
Recognized Gain or Loss	\$ (1,062)	\$ 1,533
<b>Actuarial Value at End of of Year</b>	<b>\$ 235,377</b>	<b>\$ 222,399</b>
<b>Deferred Investment Gains or (Losses)</b>	\$ (2,124)	\$ 3,067
Estimated Net Rate of Return <sup>(1)</sup>	6.5%	7.7%
<b>Actuarial Value of Assets Excluding SBMA</b>		
Actuarial Value Including SBMA	\$ 235,377	\$ 222,399
Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account	<u>19,125</u>	<u>17,383</u>
Actuarial Value Excluding SBMA	\$ 216,252	\$ 205,016
Market Value Excluding SBMA	\$ 214,128	\$ 208,083
Ratio of Actuarial Value of Assets to Fair Market Value of Assets	100.992%	98.526%
Estimated Net Rate of Return <sup>(1)</sup>	6.5%	7.8%

1. Estimated return on an Actuarial-Value basis, net of all investment expenses, gross of administrative expenses, and assuming uniform cash flow throughout the year.

**Table 7**  
**History of Actuarial Value of Assets**

(\$ Millions)				
June 30	Fair Market Value	Estimated Return <sup>(1)</sup>	Actuarial Value	Ratio of Actuarial to Market
2001	\$ 102,915	(9.1) %	\$ 108,571	105%
2002	96,028	(6.1)	109,755	114
2003	99,031	3.8	111,604	113
2004	113,815	16.6	117,206	103
2005	126,447	12.3	125,665	99
2006	140,192	12.5	135,832	97
2007	166,903	20.9	151,827	91
2008	155,763	(5.5)	159,785	103
2009	113,192	(25.4)	150,445	133
2010	123,242	12.9	146,404	119
2011	147,140	23.6	151,030	103
2012	143,118	0.6	152,515	107
2013	157,176	13.9	157,883	100
2014	179,479	18.6	168,838	94
2015	180,633	3.9	177,059	98
2016	177,914	1.3	182,772	103
2017	197,718	13.4	193,925	98
2018	211,367	9.0	206,207	98
2019	225,466	6.6	222,399	99
2020	233,253	4.1	235,377	101

1. Estimated return on a Fair-Value basis on all DB Program assets (including SBMA), net of all investment expenses, gross of administrative expenses, and assuming uniform cash flow throughout the year, reported on a dollar-weighted basis. This number may differ from the money-weighted return reported by CalSTRS. The estimated return for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020 excluding SBMA assets was 3.9%.



## 5. Funded Status

The **Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (UAO)** is the excess of the Actuarial Obligation over the Actuarial Value of Assets, which represents a liability that must be funded over time. Contributions in excess of the Normal Cost are used to amortize the UAO. An **Actuarial Surplus** exists if the Actuarial Value of Assets exceeds the Actuarial Obligation.

The **Funded Ratio** is equal to the Actuarial Value of Assets divided by the Actuarial Obligation. A Funded Ratio of 100% means the Value of Assets equals the Actuarial Obligation, and the DB Program could be financed by contributions equal to the Normal Cost if all future experience emerged as assumed. The Funded Ratio is shown below and in **Table 8**.

(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 322,127	\$ 310,719
Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)		
From Table 6	\$ 235,377	\$ 222,399
Less SBMA Reserve	(19,125)	(17,383)
Net for Funding	216,252	205,016
Unfunded Actuarial Obligation	\$ 105,875	\$ 105,703
<b>Funded Ratio (on AVA)</b>	<b>67.1%</b>	<b>66.0%</b>
<i>Alternate Funded Ratio (based on Fair Market Value)</i>	<i>66.5%</i>	<i>67.0%</i>

The Funded Ratio increased by 1.1% during the past year, although it has decreased by approximately 4% over the past 10 years. The contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy, salary increases less than assumed, and the additional state contribution made in the prior fiscal year were the primary causes of the increase in the Funded Ratio from last year. The longer-term decrease has been primarily due to a combination of returns since 2008 that have been less than the actuarial assumption, contributions less than the actuarially calculated amount, and changes in the actuarial assumptions that have increased the Actuarial Obligation. The Alternate Funded Ratio using the Fair Market Value of assets has decreased since the last valuation. This decrease was primarily due to actual market returns for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020 being less than the assumed investment return of 7.0%.

Future benefits provided through the Supplemental Benefits Maintenance Account (SBMA) are not part of the projected benefits included in this valuation. Therefore, the SBMA Reserve is subtracted from the DB Program assets to arrive at the value available to support the benefits included in this valuation.


In addition, the Teachers' Retirement Board has established a policy of allocating funds for future costs associated with the Teachers' Health Benefits Fund (THBF). This policy was revised in April of 2009 to make a one-time credit to the THBF and "true up" the future MPPP obligations (payable from the THBF) in the funding of the DB Program. As of June 30, 2020, only an amount to cover monthly payments resides in the THBF, while the remaining unfunded amount of \$274 million is added to the DB Program obligation.

Milliman June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation  
 Defined Benefit Program of the  
 California State Teachers' Retirement System

The table below shows a history of the Funded Status of the DB Program.

Year	Actuarial Obligation	Actuarial Value of Assets	Unfunded Actuarial Obligation	Funded Ratio
1975	\$ 12,834	\$ 3,775	\$ 9,059	29%
1977	15,203	5,019	10,184	33%
1979	17,971	6,488	11,483	36%
1981	22,545	9,345	13,200	41%
1983	26,553	15,023	11,530	57%
1985	<b>28,401</b>	<b>17,457</b>	<b>10,944</b>	<b>61%</b>
1987	34,637	24,401	10,236	70%
1989	40,266	29,327	10,939	73%
1991	47,100	36,001	11,099	76%
1993	53,581	45,212	8,369	84%
1995	<b>63,391</b>	<b>55,207</b>	<b>8,184</b>	<b>87%</b>
1997	69,852	67,980	1,872	97%
1998	74,234	77,290	(3,056)	104%
1999	86,349	90,001	(3,652)	104%
2000	93,124	102,225	(9,101)	110%
2001	109,881	107,654	2,227	98%
2003	131,777	108,667	23,110	82%
2004	138,254	114,094	24,160	83%
2005	<b>142,193</b>	<b>121,882</b>	<b>20,311</b>	<b>86%</b>
2006	150,872	131,237	19,635	87%
2007	167,129	146,419	20,710	88%
2008	177,734	155,215	22,519	87%
2009	185,683	145,142	40,541	78%
2010	196,315	140,291	56,024	71%
2011	208,405	143,930	64,475	69%
2012	215,189	144,232	70,957	67%
2013	222,281	148,614	73,667	67%
2014	231,213	158,495	72,718	69%
2015	<b>241,753</b>	<b>165,553</b>	<b>76,200</b>	<b>69%</b>
2016	266,704	169,976	96,728	64%
2017	286,950	179,689	107,261	63%
2018	297,603	190,451	107,152	64%
2019	310,719	205,016	105,703	66%
2020	322,127	216,252	105,875	67%

The historical Funded Ratios are shown on the following graph. In years in which a valuation was not performed, the Funded Ratio from the previous year is used.



### Actuarial Gains and Losses

Comparing the UAO as of two valuation dates does not provide enough information to determine whether there were actuarial gains or losses. The correct comparison is between the UAO on the valuation date and the expected UAO projected from the prior valuation date using the actuarial assumptions in effect since the previous valuation.



**Milliman June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation  
Defined Benefit Program of the  
California State Teachers' Retirement System**

The actuarial gains and losses since the last report are summarized in the following tables and shown in **Table 9**.

(\$ Millions)	Expected Results	Actual Results	(Gain) or Loss	Percent of AO / AVA
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 323,912	\$ 322,127	\$ (1,785)	
Act. Value of Assets	217,138	216,252	886	
Unfunded Act. Oblig.	\$ 106,774	\$ 105,875	\$ (899)	
<b>Actuarial (Gains) or Losses by Source</b>				
Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Obligation)			\$ 0	0.0%
Salaries increased less than assumed			(1,868)	(0.6%)
All other demographic sources			83	0.0%
(Gain) on the Actuarial Obligation			\$ (1,785)	(0.6%)
Investment Return on Actuarial Value of Assets			966	0.4%
Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Assets)			0	0.0%
Contributions (in excess of) or less than assumed			(80)	(0.0%)
Loss on the Actuarial Value of Assets			\$ 886	0.4%
Total Actuarial (Gain)			\$ (899)	


These net gains and losses are within a reasonable range for variances in a single year.

Based on the 2019 Actuarial Valuation, the UAO was expected to increase to \$106,774 million. The actual UAO of \$105,875 million represents a net actuarial gain of \$899 million.

- Salaries increased less than projected by the current actuarial assumptions, causing the Actuarial Obligation to decrease by \$1,868 million from the expected amount. We expect to continue to see salary increase fluctuations from year to year.
- All other demographic experience caused the Actuarial Obligation to increase by \$83 million. This represents only a relatively small portion of the expected Actuarial Obligation. These relatively minor net gains and losses indicate that the census is reasonably consistent from the prior period and that the actual experience tracked closely overall with actuarial assumptions (exclusive of the salary increases).
- On the asset side, there was an actuarial asset loss based on the actuarial value of assets as well as an actuarial asset loss on the Market Value of Assets. The return on market value (including the SBMA) was estimated at 4.1%, less than the assumed 7.0% return from the prior valuation. The return on the Actuarial Value of Assets was also less (estimated at 6.5%) than assumed as the market return for the most recent year had a greater impact than the recognition of prior year actuarial investment gains which were being deferred.

## Actuarial Gain and Loss History

To get an idea of the overall trend of gains and losses, we have analyzed actuarial gains and losses since 2016.



\* Year-to-Year Experience includes changes due to Termination, Retirement, Mortality, and Other Experience.

Over the last five years, assumption and method changes have increased the UAO by about \$22 billion. During that period, investment returns that have generally exceeded the assumed return and salary increases that have generally been less than assumed have caused decreases in the UAO, partially offsetting the increase due to assumptions. All other experience has had a relatively minor impact.

Note that the UAO has increased by approximately \$30 billion over the last 5 years. This compares to the net effect of actuarial gains and losses (including assumption changes) described above which account for approximately \$13 billion of the increase in UAO. The remaining \$17 billion increase is due to contributions received by CalSTRS that were insufficient to cover the interest on the UAO resulting in an increase in the UAO. The contributions are projected to eventually cover the interest on the UAO and reduce the principal, but this is not projected to occur for a few more years due to the limit on increases in the state contribution rates and the relatively long remaining funding period. Under the baseline projections included in this report, the UAO is projected to start declining in 2028 and be lower than the current value by the year 2035.

**Table 8**  
**Funded Status**

<i>(\$ Millions)</i>	2020	2019
<b>Actuarial Obligation</b> <i>(Table 2)</i>	\$322,127	\$310,719
<b>Actuarial Value of Assets</b>		
Calculated <i>(Table 6)</i>	\$ 235,377	\$ 222,399
Less SBMA Reserve	<u>(19,125)</u>	<u>(17,383)</u>
Program Assets	\$ 216,252	\$ 205,016
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Obligation</b>	<b>\$ 105,875</b>	<b>\$ 105,703</b>
<b>Funded Ratio</b>	<b>67.1%</b>	<b>66.0%</b>

**Table 9**  
**Actuarial Gains and Losses**

(\$ Millions)	Expected	Actual	(Gain) / Loss
<b>Actuarial Obligation</b>			
Actuarial Obligation June 30, 2019	\$310,719		
Normal Cost for 2019-20	7,004		
Benefits Paid (Excludes Purchasing Power)	(15,277)		
Expected Interest at 7.00%	<u>21,466</u>		
<b>Actuarial Obligation June 30, 2020</b>	<b>\$323,912</b>	<b>\$322,127</b>	<b>\$ (1,785)</b>
<i>By Source:</i>			
<i>Change in actuarial assumptions</i>			0
<i>Retiree Mortality</i>			10
<i>Active Member Mortality</i>			72
<i>Service Retirements</i>			198
<i>Disability Retirement</i>			(5)
<i>Other Terminations of Employment</i>			(8)
<i>Salary increases more / (less) than assumed</i>			(1,868)
<i>All Other Non-investment Sources</i>			<u>(184)</u>
<i>Total (Gain) Loss on the Actuarial Obligation</i>			\$ (1,785)
<b>Actuarial Value of Assets</b>			
Actuarial Value of Assets June 30, 2019	\$205,016		
Expected Contributions for 2019-20	13,123		
Benefits Paid (Excludes Purchasing Power)	(15,277)		
Expected Interest at 7.00% on AVA	<u>14,276</u>		
<b>Actuarial Value of Assets June 30, 2020</b>	<b>\$217,138</b>	<b>\$216,252</b>	<b>\$ 886</b>
<i>By Source:</i>			
<i>Investment Return on Actuarial Value of Assets (including the recognition of prior deferred investment gains and losses)</i>			\$ 966
<i>Change in actuarial asset method</i>			\$ 0
<i>Contributions (in excess of) or less than assumed (including service purchases)</i>			<u>(80)</u>
<i>Total (Gain) Loss on the Actuarial Value of Assets</i>			\$ 886
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Obligation</b>	<b>\$106,774</b>	<b>\$105,875</b>	<b>\$(899)</b>

## 6. State Supplemental Contribution Rate

Under EC §22955.1(b), adjustments to the state contribution rate are based on actuarial funding. We will refer to this contribution as the state supplemental contribution. Note that for the state, the payroll is the second prior fiscal year payroll, so contributions made in fiscal year 2021-2022 will be based on the covered member compensation for fiscal year 2019-2020. The state supplemental rate is in addition to the base state contribution under EC §22955.1(a) of 2.017% of payroll and contributions to fund the SBMA under EC §22954.

The board shall increase or decrease the state supplemental contribution rate (within certain parameters) to reflect the contribution required to eliminate the UAO associated with the 1990 benefit and contribution rate structure. This will be referred to as the 1990 UAO. State supplemental contributions are included as part of the assets used in determining the 1990 UAO. Although not specified in the law, the board's valuation policy calls for the state supplemental contribution rate to be calculated to amortize the UAO by June 30, 2046.

Changes in the state supplemental contribution are determined annually and subject to the following conditions:

- The state supplemental contribution rate cannot increase by more than 0.5% of payroll over the prior year supplemental rate. There is no limit on decreases, except for the 4.311% floor discussed below.
- In any year when there is no UAO for the 1990 Benefit Structure, the supplemental contribution shall be reduced to zero.
- The state supplemental contribution rate shall not be reduced below 4.311% if a UAO for the 1990 Benefit Structure exists.

The state is contributing a supplemental rate of 5.811% of pay for the current fiscal year ending June 30, 2021. Note that although the 2019 valuation called for an increase for the 2020-21 fiscal year, the rate was frozen at the same level as the prior year under EC §22955.1(b)(3). In accordance with the valuation policy, this calculated rate is increased to 6.311% for the next fiscal year as discussed in this section.

### 1990 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation

The 1990 Actuarial Obligation for the DB Program is calculated using the benefit provisions in place during 1990. CalSTRS provides us with supplementary information on the census data for this determination. The process has limitations since we do not know, for example, whether members would have retired earlier or later if the post-1990 benefit enhancements had not been enacted. However, we believe it is a reasonable process to estimate what the Actuarial Obligation would be if only the 1990 benefits were currently in place. There were no benefit improvements enacted between 1990 and 1998 that had a material cost. All benefit enhancements enacted with effective dates from July 1, 1990 to December 31, 1998 have been presumed to be cost-neutral. Due to the enhanced retirement benefits enacted since 1990, a separate set of retirement probabilities is used to evaluate the 1990 Benefit Structure.

The Actuarial Obligation related to the 1990 Benefit Structure is \$265.3 billion. This compares to the Actuarial Obligation for the current DB Program benefit structure of \$322.1 billion.

(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation
<b>Actuarial Obligation -- 1990 Benefit Structure</b>		
Value of Projected Benefits	\$ 338,130	\$ 327,837
Value of Future Normal Costs	<u>72,869</u>	<u>71,304</u>
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 265,261	\$ 256,533

The Market Value of Assets associated with the 1990 Actuarial Obligation is calculated each year by 1) increasing the prior year value (excluding the SBMA) by contributions based on the contribution rates in effect prior to September 30, 1998 (16.00% of earned salaries); 2) adding state supplemental contributions under 22955.1(b)); 3) adding additional state contributions in excess of the statutory requirements that are designated to pay down the 1990 UAO, if any; 4) reducing by benefit payments attributable to the 1990 Benefit Structure; and 5) adjusting for the actual investment return for the DB Program (excluding the SBMA). Limitations exist with this approach since precise data regarding the portion or the timing of benefit payments that would be attributable to only the 1990 benefit structure is unknown.

The Market Value of Assets under the 1990 Benefit Structure is smoothed based on the same ratio (Actuarial Value of Assets divided by Market Value of Assets) as the DB Program.

See **Table 10** for the details of the 1990 asset calculation.

(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation
<b>Asset Adjustment -- 1990 Benefit Structure</b>		
Market Value of Assets	\$ 231,440	\$ 226,790
Ratio for DB Program	<u>100.992%</u>	<u>98.536%</u>
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$ 233,735	\$ 223,469

For purposes of testing the funding sufficiency of the 1990 Benefit Structure, note that we did not reserve the board's allocation of assets for future THBF costs, because it was established subsequent to 1990.

The following table summarizes the Funded Status of the 1990 Benefit Structure as detailed in **Table 11**. The 1990 Benefit Structure has an actuarial deficit equal to the UAO of \$31.5 billion.

(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation	2019 Valuation
<b>Funded Status -- 1990 Benefit Structure</b>		
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 265,261	\$ 256,533
Actuarial Value of Assets	<u>233,735</u>	<u>223,469</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Obligation	\$ 31,526	\$ 33,064
Funded Ratio	88.1%	87.1%

### State Supplemental Contributions

The statute calls for an annual adjustment to the state supplemental contribution rate to amortize the 1990 UAO. Based on the June 30, 2020 valuation, an increase in the state supplemental contribution rate effective July 1, 2021 is calculated under the valuation policy.

As shown in **Table 11**, a supplemental contribution rate of 7.556% of payroll is needed to amortize the 1990 UAO by June 30, 2046 based on the board's current valuation policy. This is based on an unconstrained increase of about 1.7% of payroll from the current supplemental rate of 5.811%. However, increases in the state contribution rate are limited to 0.5%. Therefore, the state supplemental contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 is calculated to be 6.311% under EC §22955.1(b). Note that the 7.556% is based on the Actuarial Value of

Assets, so it does not reflect the future recognition of currently deferred asset gains and losses and therefore differs from the projection shown in the "Looking Ahead" subsection of Section 1.

The following table shows a numerical breakdown of each of the factors that caused the increase or decrease in the unconstrained (i.e., prior to the application of the minimum supplemental rate and the maximum increase) state supplemental contribution rate. The actual calculated rate is limited to a 0.5% increase over the prior year and cannot be less than 4.311% until the 1990 UAO has been fully paid off.

Sources of Change	Theoretical Unconstrained State Supplemental Rate
<b>June 30, 2019 Actuarial Valuation</b>	<b>7.90%</b>
Expected Year-to-Year Change	0.12%
Recognized Asset (Gain) / Loss	
• From Prior Years	-0.24%
• From Current Year	0.46%
Additional State Contributions made in FY2019-20	-0.22%
Salary / Payroll Variation	
• Salary Increase < Assumed	-0.26%
• Payroll Increase < Assumed	0.04%
All Other Sources	-0.24%
<b>Total Change</b>	<b>-0.34%</b>
<b>June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation</b>	<b>7.56%</b> <sup>(1)</sup>

1. Calculated rate is 6.311% due to application of maximum increase.

Table 14 (in the next section) show the actual amounts to be contributed to fund both the 1990 benefits and the pre-2014 "New" benefits respectively.

### Actuarial Gains and Losses

Similar to the total DB Program, we perform a comparison for the 1990 Benefit Structure between the UAO on the valuation date and the Expected UAO projected from the prior valuation date using the actuarial assumptions in effect since the previous valuation.

The actuarial gains and losses since the last report for the 1990 Benefit Structure are summarized in the following table.

(\$ Millions)	Expected Results	Actual Results	(Gain) or Loss
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 267,567	\$ 265,261	\$ (2,306)
Act. Value of Assets	<u>233,603</u>	<u>233,735</u>	<u>(132)</u>
Unfunded Act. Oblig.	\$ 33,964	\$ 31,526	\$ (2,438)
<b>Actuarial (Gains) or Losses by Source</b>			
Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Obligation)			\$ 0
Salaries increased less than assumed			(1,501)
All other non-investment sources <sup>(1)</sup>			<u>(805)</u>
(Gain) on the Actuarial Obligation			\$ (2,306)
Investment Return on Actuarial Value of Assets			(172)
Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Assets)			0
Contributions (in excess of) or less than assumed			<u>40</u>
(Gain) on the Actuarial Value of Assets			\$ (132)
Total Actuarial (Gain)			\$ (2,438)

1. Reflects adjustments to the census data provided to account for missing information on 1990 benefits for post-2000 beneficiaries.



**Table 10**  
**Assets for 1990 Benefit Structure**

(\$ Millions)	2020	2019
<b>Assets Allocated to 1990 Structure<sup>(1)</sup></b>		
Allocated Market Value at Beginning of Year	\$226,790	\$216,151
Contributions During the Year		
Member: EC §22901(a) at 8.00% of Earned Salaries	2,769	2,694
Employer: EC §22950 at 8.00% of Earned Salaries	2,769	2,694
State: EC §22955.1(b) Contribution at 5.811% / 5.311% of second preceding fiscal year Earned Salaries	1,910	1,705
State: Additional State Contributions Designated to reduce 1990 Structure UAO	1,117	0
Member Redeposits	16	22
Total 1990 Structure Contributions	8,581	7,115
Benefits Paid During the Year		
Total Benefits Paid During the Year	(15,277)	(14,603)
Post-1990 Benefits Paid During the Year	2,529	2,322
Post-1990 Refunds of supplemental member contributions	6	4
Prior 2% DBS redirection contributions refunded	(7)	(8)
Total 1990 Benefits Paid <sup>(1)</sup>	(12,749)	(12,285)
Estimated Investment Earnings for the Year <sup>(2)</sup>	8,796	14,027
Change in GASB Adjustments	22	805
Allocated 1990 Assets due to Assumption Changes	-	977
<b>Total Allocated 1990 Structure Market Value at End of Year</b>	<b>\$231,440</b>	<b>\$226,790</b>
Ratio of Actuarial Value to Market Value <sup>(3)</sup>	100.992%	98.536%
<b>Assets Allocated to 1990 Structure (Actuarial Value of Assets)</b>	<b>\$233,735</b>	<b>\$223,469</b>

1. May not add exactly, due to rounding.

2. Based on Fair Market Value and uniform cash flow for contributions, benefits, and expenses. The rates of return used in these calculations were 6.56% for 2018-19 and 3.90% for 2019-20.

3. Developed from Table 6.

**Table 11**  
**Funded Status and Supplemental Contribution Rate for 1990 Benefit Structure**

(\$ Millions)	2020	2019
<b>Actuarial Obligation</b>		
Present Value of Projected Benefits		
Benefits Currently Being Paid	\$ 143,330	\$ 139,538
Benefits to Inactive Members	7,069	6,543
Benefits to Active Members	<u>187,731</u>	<u>181,756</u>
Total	\$ 338,130	\$ 327,837
Present Value of Future Normal Costs	<u>(72,869)</u>	<u>(71,304)</u>
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 265,261	\$ 256,533
<b>Funded Status</b>		
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 265,261	\$ 256,533
Actuarial Value of Assets (Table 10)	<u>233,735</u>	<u>223,469</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (Surplus)	\$ 31,526	\$ 33,064
Funded Ratio	88.1%	87.1%
<b>Amortization Sufficiency Under Current Contribution Schedule</b>		
Revenue for 1990 Benefits	16.000%	16.000%
Normal Cost Rate for 1990 Benefits	<u>(17.784)</u>	<u>(17.822)</u>
Equivalent Normal Cost Surplus / (Deficit)		
Express as Percent of Employer Payroll	(1.784%)	(1.822%)
Equivalent Normal Cost Surplus / (Deficit)		
Express as Percent of State Payroll	(1.910%)	(1.950%)
Level Equivalent Additional Revenue Under EC 22955.1(b)	<u>5.811</u>	<u>5.811</u>
Revenue Available for Amortization	3.901%	3.861%
Revenue Needed for Amortization	<u>5.592</u>	<u>5.951</u>
Revenue Surplus / (Deficit)	(1.691%)	(2.090%)
Amortization Status under current contribution rate	Contribution Increases Needed	Contribution Increases Needed
<b>Contribution Rate for Amortization of 1990 UAO without Statutory Limits</b>		
Current EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate	5.811%	5.811%
Increase / (Decrease) in State Contribution Rate for Next Fiscal Year	<u>1.691</u>	<u>2.090</u>
Unconstrained Contribution Rate for Next FY	7.502%	7.901%
<b>Contribution Rate for Amortization of 1990 UAO with Statutory Limits</b>		
Current EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate	5.811%	5.811%
Increase / (Decrease) in State Contribution Rate for Next Fiscal Year (Increase capped at 0.5%)	<u>0.500</u>	<u>0.500</u>
<b>EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate for Next FY</b>	<b>6.311%</b>	<b>6.311%</b> <sup>(1)</sup>

1. State supplemental contribution rate remains at 5.811% for fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020 per EC §22955.1(b)(3).

## 7. Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate

Under EC §22950.5, annual adjustments in the employer contribution rate are calculated. We will refer to the total value of the adjustment as the employer supplemental contribution rate. The employer supplemental rate is in addition to the base employer contribution rate under EC §22950 and §22951 of 8.25% of payroll.

Effective July 1, 2021, the board shall increase or decrease the employer supplemental contribution rate (within certain parameters) to reflect the contribution required to eliminate the remaining UAO associated with service earned prior to July 1, 2014. This will be referred to as the pre-2014 UAO. Note that although the language in the Education Code refers to the UAO for all benefits earned prior to July 1, 2014, the basic calculation in the board's valuation policy only allocates the funding of the pre-2014 UAO for "new" benefits (i.e., those adopted after 1990) to the employers, as the state is responsible for the funding of all 1990 benefits.

The calculated employer supplemental contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 decreases to 9.85% of payroll (currently 10.85%) pursuant to the board's valuation policy and assumes the board exercises its limited rate-setting authority. Under EC §22950.6, the contribution rate for employers is reduced for fiscal year 2020-21 by 2.95% and fiscal year 2021-22 by 2.18% to reflect the additional contribution paid by the state on behalf of employers in fiscal year 2018-19. This means the effective supplemental contribution rate paid by the employers is calculated to decrease by 0.23% from 7.90% of pay (16.15% in total) to 7.67% of pay (15.92% in total) for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021. Note that the 2019 valuation showed a 0.70% of pay reduction to the fiscal year 2020-21 employer contribution rate, but this was subsequently increased to a 2.95% of pay reduction under EC §22950.6.

There is an additional complexity in that the pre-2014 UAO that the employer is responsible for funding overlaps with the 1990 UAO that the state is responsible for funding. Under the board's valuation policy, the pre-2014 UAO is split into two separate pieces: 1) the pre-2014 UAO for the 1990 Benefit Structure; and 2) the pre-2014 UAO for "new" benefits (i.e., those adopted after 1990). The employers are responsible for funding the New Benefit UAO. However, the employer supplemental contribution rate must, at a minimum, be sufficient to pay down the total Pre-2014 UAO when combined with the base employer rate and the state and member contribution rates. This is referred to as the "minimum rate."

Note for purposes of the calculation of the employer supplemental contribution rate, we have assumed the minimum contribution rate does not apply for the next four years. CalSTRS staff communicated that the state intends to contribute above the rate set by the board to an amount equivalent to what they would contribute if the rate was set on an actuarial basis for the next few years. These proposed additional state contributions would make up for the additional employer contributions what would be needed due to the application of the minimum rate. After discussion with CalSTRS staff, we agreed that these additional state contributions satisfy the intent of the minimum rate, and therefore we have not applied the minimum rate to the employer supplemental rate calculation. Changes in the employer supplemental contribution rate are determined annually beginning with the 2020 valuation and are subject to the following conditions:

- The employer supplemental contribution rate cannot increase or decrease by more than 1.0% of payroll over the prior year supplemental rate. The 1.0% adjustment is applied before the reductions specified in EC §22950.6
- The employer supplemental contribution rate cannot exceed 12.00%.

To determine the pre-2014 UAO for New Benefits, we must determine the total UAO for pre-2014 service and subtract the 1990 UAO for pre-2014 service.

### Pre-2014 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation

The pre-2014 Actuarial Obligation for the DB Program is calculated using service through June 30, 2014 and projected salaries. Since there are no future service accruals for this portion of the Actuarial Obligation, the Projected Unit Credit actuarial cost method is used, per the board's valuation policy.

To determine the pre-2014 assets to be used in the 2020 valuation, a theoretical pre-2014 asset value is maintained based on the prior year value adjusted as follows:

- Add total contributions (excluding SBMA),
- Subtract total Normal Costs for prior year,
- Subtract benefit payments attributable to pre-2014 service, and
- Adjust for actual investment return.

See **Table 12** for the details of the asset adjustment.

### Pre-2014 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation for 1990 Benefit Structure

A second calculation is done to isolate the portion of the pre-2014 UAO that is allocated to the 1990 Benefit Structure and therefore is subject to state funding. The Actuarial Obligation for this portion is calculated using the 1990 Benefit Structure, service through June 30, 2014 and projected salaries. Since there are no future service accruals, the Projected Unit Credit actuarial cost method is used.

To determine the pre-2014 assets allocated to the 1990 Structure that are to be used in the 2020 valuation, a theoretical pre-2014 asset value for the 1990 Structure is maintained based on the prior year value adjusted as follows:

- Add contributions equal to 16.00% of prior year payroll,
- Add state supplemental contributions under EC §22955.1(b),
- Subtract total Normal Costs for prior year attributable to 1990 Benefit Structure,
- Subtract benefit payments attributable to pre-2014 service and the 1990 Benefit Structure, and
- Adjust for actual investment return.

See **Table 13** for the details of the asset adjustment.

### Pre-2014 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation for New Benefits

The following table shows the calculation of the UAO for Pre-2014 Service attributable to New Benefits.

(\$ Millions)	Pre-2014 Service		
	Total	1990 Benefits	New Benefits
<b>Funded Status -- Pre-2014 Service</b>			
Actuarial Obligation	\$ 258,250	\$ 212,973	\$ 45,277
Actuarial Value of Assets	155,564	184,259	(28,695)
Unfunded Actuarial Obligation	\$ 102,686	\$ 28,714	\$ <b>73,972</b>

### Employer Supplemental Contributions

The Education Code specifies an annual adjustment to the employer supplemental contribution rate to amortize the pre-2014 UAO effective with the 2020 actuarial valuation. As shown in **Table 14**, a decrease from the current employer supplemental contribution rate of 10.85% is calculated. Effective July 1, 2021, an employer supplemental contribution rate of 9.78% of pay would be needed to amortize the pre-2014 UAO for New Benefits by June 30, 2046, a decrease of 1.07%. Since the change in the rate cannot exceed 1.0% of payroll, the employer supplemental contribution rate is 9.85% after applying the limit.

EC §22950.6 reduces the rate paid by employers for the next fiscal year by 2.18%. Therefore, the effective employer supplemental contribution rate is 7.67% (9.85% less 2.18%). Combining this amount with the base employer contribution rate of 8.25%, the calculated total employer contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 is 15.92%.

### Allocation of Contribution Rates

As previously discussed, the state is responsible for the UAO associated with 1990 benefits the employers are responsible for UAO associated with New benefits. The table below shows a breakdown of the calculated contribution rates between 1990 and New benefits on a percentage of payroll basis.

Allocation of Contribution Rates for the DB Program				
Source of Revenue	2020 Valuation: FY 2021-22 Rate			2019 Valuation FY 2020-21 Rate
	1990 Benefits	New Benefits	Total	
Employers – Base Rate	8.000 %	0.000 %	8.000 %	8.000 %
Employers – Sick Leave	0.000	0.250	0.250	0.250
Employers – Supplemental Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	0.000	9.850	9.850	10.850
<b>Employers – Total Calculated Rate</b>	<b>8.000</b>	<b>10.100</b>	<b>18.100</b>	<b>19.100</b>
Reduction for Additional State Contribs <sup>(2)</sup>	0.000	(2.180)	(2.180)	(2.950)
<b>Employers – Net Contribution Rate</b>	<b>8.000</b>	<b>7.920</b>	<b>15.920</b>	<b>16.150</b>
State – Base Rate	0.000 %	2.017 %	2.017 %	2.017 %
State – Supplemental Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	6.311	0.000	6.311	5.811
<b>State – Total DB Program<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>6.311</b>	<b>2.017</b>	<b>8.328</b>	<b>7.828</b>
Members – 2% at 60	8.000 %	2.250 %	10.250 %	10.250 %
Members – 2% at 62	8.000	2.205	10.205	10.205

1. Calculated based on valuation policy and subject to board adoption.

2. As specified in EC §22950.6.

3. The state also contributes 2.5% to the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA).

**Table 12**  
**Total Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service<sup>(1)</sup>**

(\$ Millions)	2020	2019
<b>Asset Value for Pre-2014 Service (excludes SBMA)</b>		
Allocated Market Value at Beginning of Year	\$ 156,795	\$ 154,118
Pre-2014 Allocation of GASB Expense Adjustment	-	432
Contributions During the Year		
Total Contributions (excluding SBMA)	13,200	13,576
Less Normal Costs for Year with Expenses	<u>(7,063)</u>	<u>(6,797)</u>
Total Adjusted Contributions	\$ 6,137	\$ 6,779
Benefits and Expenses Paid for Pre-2014 Service	(14,848)	(14,313)
Estimated Investment Earnings for the Year <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>5,952</u>	<u>9,779</u>
<b>Total Allocated Market Value at End of Year</b>	<b>\$ 154,036</b>	<b>\$ 156,795</b>
Ratio of Actuarial Value to Market Value <sup>(3)</sup>	100.992%	98.640%
<b>Actuarial Value of Assets for Pre-2014 Service</b>	<b>\$ 155,564</b>	<b>\$ 154,663</b>

1. May not add exactly, due to rounding.

2. Based on Fair Market Value excluding SBMA and uniform cash flow for contributions, benefits, and expenses. The rates of return used in these calculations were 6.53% for 2018-19 and 3.90% for 2019-20.

3. Developed from Table 6.

**Table 13**  
**1990 Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service<sup>(1)</sup>**

(\$ Millions)	2020	2019
<b>1990 Asset Value for Pre-2014 Service (excludes SBMA)</b>		
Allocated Market Value at Beginning of Year	\$ 185,388	\$ 184,240
Pre-2014 Allocation of GASB Expense Adjustment	-	432
Contributions During the Year for 1990 Structure		
Total Contributions (excluding SBMA)	8,582	7,166
Less 1990 Normal Costs for Year with Expenses	<u>(6,169)</u>	<u>(5,932)</u>
Total Adjusted Contributions	\$ 2,413	\$ 1,234
Benefits and Expenses Paid for Pre-2014 Service	(12,395)	(12,227)
Estimated Investment Earnings for the Year <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>7,043</u>	<u>11,709</u>
<b>Total 1990 Allocated Market Value at End of Year</b>	<b>\$ 182,449</b>	<b>\$ 185,388</b>
Ratio of Actuarial Value to Market Value <sup>(3)</sup>	100.992%	98.640%
<b>1990 Actuarial Value of Assets for Pre-2014 Service</b>	<b>\$ 184,259</b>	<b>\$ 182,866</b>

1. May not add exactly, due to rounding.

2. Based on Fair Market Value excluding SBMA and uniform cash flow for contributions, benefits, and expenses. The rates of return used in these calculations were 6.53% for 2018-19 and 3.90% for 2019-20.

3. Developed from Table 6.

**Table 14**  
**Funded Status and Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate for Pre-2014 Service**

(\$ Millions)	2020	2019
<b>Funded Status</b>		
Total Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (Pre-2014 Service)		
Total Actuarial Obligation for Pre-2014 Service	\$258,250	\$257,003
Total AVA for Pre-2014 Service	<u>155,564</u>	<u>154,663</u>
Total UAO (pre-2014 Service)	\$102,686	\$102,340
1990 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (Pre-2014 Service)		
1990 Actuarial Obligation for Pre-2014 Service	\$212,973	\$212,812
1990 AVA for Pre-2014 Service	<u>184,259</u>	<u>182,866</u>
1990 UAO (pre-2014 Service)	\$28,714	\$29,946
Post-1990 UAO (Pre-2014 Service)	\$73,972	\$72,394
<b>Amortization Sufficiency for Post-1990, Pre-2014 UAO Under Current Contribution Schedule</b>		
Post-1990 Normal Cost Rate (Surplus)/Deficit	2.582%	2.522%
Current Supplemental Contribution Rate Under EC 22950.5	<u>10.850</u>	<u>10.850</u>
Revenue Available for Amortization	13.432%	13.372%
Revenue Needed for Amortization	<u>12.362</u>	<u>12.065</u>
Revenue Surplus / (Deficit)	1.070%	1.307%
Supplemental Contribution Rate (Unconstrained)	9.780%	9.543%
<b>Contribution Rate for Amortization of UAO for pre-2014 Service and New Benefits</b>		
Current EC 22950.5 Contribution Rate	10.850%	10.850%
Adjustment in Employer Contribution Rate for Next Fiscal Year	<u>(1.000)</u>	<u>0.000</u>
<b>EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate for following FYB</b>	<b>9.850%</b>	<b>10.850%</b>
EC 22950.6 Adjustment	(2.180)	(2.950)
EC 22950 & EC22951 Base Contribution Rate	<u>8.250</u>	<u>8.250</u>
<b>Total Employer Contribution Rate for following FYB</b>	<b>15.920%</b>	<b>16.150%</b>



## 8. Actuarially Determined Contribution

In general, an actuarially determined contribution is a target or recommended contribution to a defined benefit pension plan based on the plan's funding policy. For CalSTRS, the actuarially determined contribution rate is the calculated level contribution rate to fully fund the DB Program over a closed period ending June 30, 2046. For GASB 67/68 reporting, the actuarially determined contribution is the employer portion (including the state portion) of that contribution and is therefore net of member contributions. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020, the actuarially determined contribution was the level rate calculated in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation applied to the actual DB Program payroll for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020.

The actual contribution rates are set in statute, with the board having limited rate-setting authority to adjust contribution rates annually within the parameters of the Education Code. The current projections show CalSTRS is projected to be close to 100% funded by June 30, 2046, the target date of the funding plan to achieve a 100% funded ratio. This projection relies on future contribution increases based upon the board's rate-setting authority.

As shown in the following table, the actual employer contribution made for all programs (including those contributions made by the state) to the State Teachers' Retirement Plan was 97% of the actuarially determined contribution for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020. Note that contributions to the State Teachers' Retirement Plan include contributions to the Defined Benefit, Defined Benefit Supplement, Cash Balance Benefit, and Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account programs. This small shortfall between the actual employer contribution and the actuarially determined contribution is projected to continue in the near term due to the limits on increases in the state contribution rate, as well as no contributions going toward the unallocated UAO. For the fiscal year ended in 2019, the actual contribution was 102% of the ADC for all programs. This was due to the additional state contribution made in the fiscal year ended in 2019. The results shown below are consistent with CalSTRS GASB 67/68 reporting.

(\$ Millions)	Fiscal Year- End 2020	Fiscal Year- End 2019
<b>Actuarially Determined Contribution</b>		
ADC percentage for DB Program (a)	27.126%	27.657%
Covered Payroll (b)	\$ 36,668	\$ 35,805
ADC for DB Program (a x b) = (c)	9,947	9,903
ADC for other programs <sup>(1)</sup> (d)	902	887
Total ADC for STRP (c + d) = (e)	10,849	10,790
Actual employer contribution <sup>(2)</sup> (f)	10,512	10,969
Percentage of Actual to ADC (f / e)	96.89%	101.66%

1. For the SBMA, CBB, and DBS programs, the actuarially determined contribution is equal to the actual contributions.

2. Includes actual contributions from non-employer contributing entities (which for CalSTRS is the state).

The calculated level contribution rate needed to fully fund the DB Program over a closed period ending June 30, 2046 is greater than the current contribution rate due to the limitations on contribution rate increases and temporary decreases in the employer supplemental contribution rate. The theoretical contributions made based under the level contribution rate (net of member contributions) are analogous to the actuarially determined contributions included in CalSTRS GASB 67/68 reporting. The following table shows the estimated actuarially

determined contribution rate for the DB Program for the upcoming year. The 2020 valuation results are used to calculate the actuarially determined contribution rate for the fiscal year ending 2022.

<i>(Percent of Earned Salaries)</i>	2020 Valuation FY 2021-22	2019 Valuation FY 2020-21
<b>Actuarially Determined Contribution for DB Program</b>		
Normal Cost Rate	19.598 %	19.696 %
Amortization Rate Needed	17.653	17.479
Total Level Rate for DB Program	37.251	37.175
Estimated Member Contribution Rate	(10.239)	(10.238)
ADC for DB Program	27.012	26.937
Estimated State Rate for DB Program	7.880	8.248
Estimated Employer Rate for DB Program	15.920	16.150
Estimated Employer+State Contribution Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	23.800	24.398
Percentage of Actuarially Determined Contribution expected to be received	88.1 %	90.6 %

1. Assumes the board exercises its limited rate-setting authority and adjusts contribution rates as discussed in this report and includes expected contributions from both employers and non-employer contributing entities (which, for CalSTRS, is the state).

**Table 15** shows the details of how the estimated actuarially determined contribution rate is calculated for the upcoming year as well as the actual actuarially determined contribution for the current fiscal year.

**Table 15**  
**Actuarially Determined Contribution Rate for DB Program**

(\$ Millions)	2020 Valuation FY 2021-22	2019 Valuation FY 2020-21
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Obligation</b>		
Beginning of Year	\$ 105,875	\$ 105,703
Normal Cost	7,152	7,004
Contributions	(12,295)	(13,123)
Benefit Payments	-	-
Interest	7,235	7,189
Projected to End of Year (a)	<u>107,967</u>	<u>106,773</u>
<b>Present Value of Future Payroll</b>		
Starting One Year After Valuation Date (b)	\$ 611,614	\$ 610,867
<b>UAO Rate Needed</b>		
End of Year UAO / PV Payroll [(a) / (b)]	17.653%	17.479%
<b>Actuarially Determined Contribution Rate</b>		
Normal Cost Rate	19.598%	19.696%
Amortization Rate Needed	<u>17.653%</u>	<u>17.479%</u>
Total Level Rate for DB Program	37.251%	37.175%
Member Contribution Rate	<u>(10.239%)</u>	<u>(10.238%)</u>
Actuarially Determined Contribution (for DB Program only)	27.012%	26.937%
<b>Estimated Contribution Rate</b>		
Estimated Contributions		
Member 22901 & 22901.7	\$ 3,753	\$ 3,656
Employer 22950 & 22951	3,024	2,946
Employer 22950.5 & 22950.6	2,811	2,821
State 22955.2	-	297
State 22955(a)	700	682
State 22955.1(b)	<u>2,189</u>	<u>1,966</u>
Total Estimated Contributions	12,477	12,368
Projected Salaries	36,655	35,709
Total Contribution Rate	34.039%	34.636%
Member Contribution Rate	(10.239%)	(10.238%)
Estimated Employer+State Contribution Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	23.800%	24.398%

1. Assumes the board exercises its rate-setting authority and adjusts contribution rates as discussed in this report. Estimated rates include both projected employer and state contributions. Estimated rates reflect temporary reductions in employer contribution rates and do not reflect any potential additional contributions from the state after the valuation date.

## 9. Projected Amortization and Cash Flows

We have previously shown graphical projections of contribution rates, the Funded Ratio, and the UAO. In this section, we show the numerical details behind those projections.

**Table 16** shows the amortization of the UAO for the total DB Program on a year-by-year basis, based on 7.00% future returns, additional contribution rate increases, and the future recognition of the currently deferred asset losses. Assuming all other future experience emerges as assumed and no changes in the current contribution rates, the UAO will not be amortized by June 30, 2046. However, the CalSTRS board has rate-setting authority (within certain parameters) to adjust the state and employer contribution rates to pay down the UAO. Assuming the contribution rates are adjusted on this basis in the future, the UAO is projected to be fully paid off, except for a relatively small portion of the UAO attributable to New Benefits and Post-2014 service (the Unallocated UAO) that is not actuarially funded. It is our understanding the board does not have authority to adjust contribution rates to fund this portion of the UAO. In total, the Funded Ratio is projected to be 99.6% under the assumptions described in the "Looking Ahead" subsection of Section 1. Note that additional state contributions budgeted but not specifically defined in the Education Code are not reflected in Table 16 or Table 17.

In **Table 16**, we show the contributions projected to be paid into the DB Program to fund ongoing benefits and amortize the UAO. **Table 17** shows a comparison of these inflows into DB Program with the outflows from the DB Program, which consist of benefit payments and expenses. The difference between these two values is the net cash flow. A negative value indicates CalSTRS is paying out more than it is receiving. Note that this projection does not account for cash received internally, such as interest and dividends on investments.

The net cash flow is currently negative, and this is projected to remain at approximately the current level over the next several years. In future years, the cash flow is expected to become increasingly negative. This is a typical pattern for a mature retirement system where it is expected that contributions will be less than benefits and that the system will begin drawing on the fund that has been built up over prior years.

**Table 16**  
**Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Obligation<sup>(1)</sup>**  
**(Reflecting Projected Contribution Increases)<sup>(2)</sup>**

(\$Millions)											
Year	FYE	Beginning Unfunded Act. Oblig.	Amortization Payment				Normal Cost	Available Amtzn.	Interest Charge at 7.00%	Ending Unfunded Act. Oblig.	Ending Funded Ratio
			Member	Employer	State	Total					
1	2021	\$105,875	\$3,626	\$5,720	\$2,949	\$12,295	\$7,152	\$5,143	\$7,234	\$108,723	67.6%
2	2022	108,723	3,753	5,835	2,889	12,477	7,385	5,092	7,435	111,607	68.0%
3	2023	111,607	3,884	6,882	3,126	13,892	7,627	6,265	7,597	113,325	68.7%
4	2024	113,325	4,019	7,117	3,420	14,556	7,876	6,680	7,703	114,623	69.6%
5	2025	114,623	4,159	7,363	3,729	15,251	8,132	7,119	7,779	115,479	70.5%
6	2026	115,479	4,305	7,618	3,963	15,886	8,396	7,490	7,826	115,955	71.5%
7	2027	115,955	4,455	7,883	4,118	16,456	8,667	7,789	7,849	116,115	72.6%
8	2028	116,115	4,610	8,157	4,274	17,041	8,945	8,096	7,849	115,940	73.6%
9	2029	115,940	4,771	8,441	4,433	17,645	9,231	8,414	7,826	115,403	74.7%
10	2030	115,403	4,937	8,736	4,594	18,267	9,524	8,743	7,777	114,474	75.9%
11	2031	114,474	5,109	9,041	4,760	18,910	9,825	9,085	7,701	113,114	77.0%
12	2032	113,114	5,287	9,357	4,930	19,574	10,132	9,442	7,593	111,284	78.2%
13	2033	111,284	5,471	9,684	5,106	20,261	10,449	9,812	7,452	108,937	79.3%
14	2034	108,937	5,662	10,023	5,286	20,971	10,775	10,196	7,275	106,025	80.6%
15	2035	106,025	5,859	10,374	5,472	21,705	11,111	10,594	7,057	102,495	81.8%
16	2036	102,495	6,064	10,737	5,664	22,465	11,459	11,006	6,796	98,289	83.1%
17	2037	98,289	6,275	11,112	5,865	23,252	11,818	11,434	6,487	93,346	84.5%
18	2038	93,346	6,494	11,501	6,070	24,065	12,189	11,876	6,126	87,599	85.9%
19	2039	87,599	6,720	11,904	6,283	24,907	12,574	12,333	5,708	80,975	87.3%
20	2040	80,975	6,954	12,320	6,504	25,778	12,974	12,804	5,228	73,400	88.9%
21	2041	73,400	7,197	12,751	6,732	26,680	13,390	13,290	4,681	64,792	90.4%
22	2042	64,792	7,448	13,198	6,967	27,613	13,822	13,791	4,061	55,063	92.1%
23	2043	55,063	7,708	13,660	7,211	28,579	14,272	14,307	3,362	44,118	93.9%
24	2044	44,118	7,977	14,138	7,464	29,579	14,740	14,839	2,578	31,857	95.7%
25	2045	31,857	8,255	14,632	7,726	30,613	15,229	15,384	1,701	18,173	97.6%
26	2046	18,173	8,543	15,144	7,998	31,685	15,740	15,945	723	2,952	99.6%

1. Based on the actuarial value of assets with projected recognition of known deferred asset gains and losses.

2. Contribution rates include projected changes allowed under Education Code.

**Table 17**  
**Projected Cash Flow**  
 (Reflecting Projected Contribution Increases)<sup>(1)</sup>

(\$Millions)		Contributions <sup>(1)</sup>				Benefit	Net Program	Cash Flow as a Percentage of		Ending
Year	FYE	Member	Employer	State	Total	Payments <sup>(2)</sup>	Cash Flow	Payroll	Market Value of Assets	Funded Ratio
1	2021	\$3,626	\$5,720	\$2,949	\$12,295	\$16,676	(\$4,381)	(12.4%)	(2.0%)	67.6%
2	2022	3,753	5,835	2,889	12,477	17,178	(4,701)	(12.8%)	(2.0%)	68.0%
3	2023	3,884	6,882	3,126	13,892	17,908	(4,016)	(10.6%)	(1.7%)	68.7%
4	2024	4,019	7,117	3,420	14,556	18,662	(4,106)	(10.5%)	(1.6%)	69.6%
5	2025	4,159	7,363	3,729	15,251	19,445	(4,194)	(10.3%)	(1.6%)	70.5%
6	2026	4,305	7,618	3,963	15,886	20,262	(4,376)	(10.4%)	(1.5%)	71.5%
7	2027	4,455	7,883	4,118	16,456	21,127	(4,671)	(10.7%)	(1.6%)	72.6%
8	2028	4,610	8,157	4,274	17,041	22,050	(5,009)	(11.1%)	(1.6%)	73.6%
9	2029	4,771	8,441	4,433	17,645	23,032	(5,387)	(11.6%)	(1.6%)	74.7%
10	2030	4,937	8,736	4,594	18,267	24,135	(5,868)	(12.2%)	(1.7%)	75.9%
11	2031	5,109	9,041	4,760	18,910	25,330	(6,420)	(12.9%)	(1.7%)	77.0%
12	2032	5,287	9,357	4,930	19,574	26,603	(7,029)	(13.6%)	(1.8%)	78.2%
13	2033	5,471	9,684	5,106	20,261	27,938	(7,677)	(14.3%)	(1.9%)	79.3%
14	2034	5,662	10,023	5,286	20,971	29,311	(8,340)	(15.1%)	(1.9%)	80.6%
15	2035	5,859	10,374	5,472	21,705	30,719	(9,014)	(15.7%)	(2.0%)	81.8%
16	2036	6,064	10,737	5,664	22,465	32,167	(9,702)	(16.4%)	(2.1%)	83.1%
17	2037	6,275	11,112	5,865	23,252	33,644	(10,392)	(16.9%)	(2.1%)	84.5%
18	2038	6,494	11,501	6,070	24,065	35,131	(11,066)	(17.4%)	(2.1%)	85.9%
19	2039	6,720	11,904	6,283	24,907	36,613	(11,706)	(17.8%)	(2.1%)	87.3%
20	2040	6,954	12,320	6,504	25,778	38,155	(12,377)	(18.2%)	(2.2%)	88.9%
21	2041	7,197	12,751	6,732	26,680	39,683	(13,003)	(18.5%)	(2.2%)	90.4%
22	2042	7,448	13,198	6,967	27,613	41,195	(13,582)	(18.6%)	(2.2%)	92.1%
23	2043	7,708	13,660	7,211	28,579	42,683	(14,104)	(18.7%)	(2.1%)	93.9%
24	2044	7,977	14,138	7,464	29,579	44,134	(14,555)	(18.6%)	(2.1%)	95.7%
25	2045	8,255	14,632	7,726	30,613	45,570	(14,957)	(18.5%)	(2.1%)	97.6%
26	2046	8,543	15,144	7,998	31,685	46,933	(15,248)	(18.2%)	(2.0%)	99.6%

1. Contribution rates include projected changes allowed under Education Code.

2. Projected benefit payments include estimated administrative expenses.

## 10. Risk Disclosures

The results of any actuarial valuation are based on a set of assumptions. Although we believe the current DB Program assumptions provide a reasonable estimate of future expectations, it is almost certain that future experience will differ from the assumptions to some extent.

The following is a general discussion of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding. A more comprehensive analysis of potential risks to future DB Program funding levels ("Review of Funding Level and Risks") is completed each fall by CalSTRS internal actuarial staff.

### Factors Affecting Future Results

There are a number of factors that affect future valuation results. To the extent actual experience for these factors varies from the assumptions, this will likely cause either increases or decreases in the plan's future funding level and calculated supplemental contribution rates. Examples of factors that can have a significant impact on valuation results are:

- Investment return
- Payroll variation
- Salary variation
- Mortality (how long retirees live)
- Service retirement
- Termination (members leaving active employment for reasons other than death, disability, or service retirement)
- Contribution limitations. The board has limited rate-setting authority. If significant contribution increases are needed in the future, CalSTRS may receive insufficient funding due to the limitations on the board's ability to increase contribution rates under the current law. Projections based on the valuation assumptions indicate this is not currently an issue.
- Economic environment. Legislation passed in 2020 froze the state supplemental contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020, at least in part due to the poor economic environment. It should be noted that the state may provide equivalent funding from alternative sources.

Of these factors, we believe the factor with the greatest potential risk is future investment returns. Payroll variation could also have a significant impact if there was a significant decline in the active teacher population, which, for example could occur if there was a large increase in the proportion of charter schools.

As an example of these risks, if actual investment returns fall short of the current assumption of 7% per year, this will cause an increase in the total supplemental contribution rate and a decrease in the Funded Ratio for the DB Program, all other things being equal. Conversely, if returns exceed 7%, this will decrease the total supplemental contribution rate and increase the Funded Ratio.

### Maturity Risk


The magnitude of any contribution rate increase or decrease is affected by the Program's maturity level. As the DB Program becomes more mature (i.e., the number of retirees grows compared to the number of actives, and the accumulated assets grow compared to payroll), it tends to be subject to increased volatility in the contributions needed. Specifically, for CalSTRS there may be significant fluctuations in the state supplemental contribution

rates (and to a lesser extent the employer contribution rates) from year to year due to the actual investment return. One way to measure maturity risk is volatility ratios.

One indicator of this potential volatility is the Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR), which is equal to the Fair Market Value of Assets divided by total payroll. Note that for purposes of the AVR calculation, the assets include the SBMA. Plans with a high Asset Volatility Ratio will be subject to a greater level of contribution rate volatility. The AVR is a current measure since it is based on the current level of assets and will vary from year to year.

For CalSTRS, the current AVR is equal to 6.9, which is typical for a mature system. This means that for each 1% asset loss (in relation to the assumed investment return), there will need to be an increase in contributions equivalent to 6.9% of one-year's payroll. Since CalSTRS is currently targeting a funding period of 25 years (the years from the next valuation date to June 30, 2046), the increase (or decrease) in the state and employer contribution rates will be spread out over 25 years, resulting in approximately a 0.41% of payroll increase (or decrease) in the total contribution rate needed for each 1% asset loss (or gain). An asset loss (or gain) will primarily cause a contribution rate increase (or decrease) for the state and have a much smaller impact on the employer contribution rate.


The following graph shows how the System matured during the last 25 years of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, as represented by the increasing AVR. Over the last decade and a half, increases in the AVR have somewhat leveled off although there continues to be year-to-year variance.



Another measure of a system's maturity is the Liability Volatility Ratio (LVR), which is equal to the Actuarial Obligation divided by the total payroll. This ratio provides an indication of the longer-term potential for contribution rate volatility if CalSTRS becomes fully funded. In addition, this ratio provides an indication of the potential contribution rate volatility due to liability experience (gains and losses) and liability re-measurements (assumption changes). For CalSTRS, the current LVR is 9.5.



The following graph shows the historical LVR. It is a similar pattern to the Asset Volatility Ratio except the increase is more gradual and the year-to-year variance is significantly less, although there have been larger changes in years where assumptions changes have occurred.




### Projections Under Alternate Return Scenarios

Actuarial valuations are based on a certain set of assumptions. The reality is that these assumptions will not be exactly met and that this will affect future valuation results. Investment returns will likely have the biggest impact on the future funding of CalSTRS. In the following graphs, we show some simple examples of the future variation that may occur on key funding metrics. This is not intended to be a comprehensive analysis of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding, but it will provide the board a general sense of the sensitivity of funding levels and contribution rates caused by returns that are above or below the assumption over a long period.


To show potential variability of future returns, we have assumed CalSTRS earns the 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile returns over the next 30 years. This assumes a median (50<sup>th</sup> percentile) geometric return of 7.0% and a standard deviation of 11.6% (before adjusting for the impact of guaranteed SBMA crediting). The average 30-year returns shown for the 30-year period are approximately 5.5% for the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile and 8.5% for the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Note that the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile indicates there is a 25% probability of earning a return lower than 5.5%. This may be different than the way investment professionals use percentiles, but we have used this approach for consistency with the way CalSTRS actuarial staff reports percentiles in their risk report.


The graph below shows the potential impact of alternate returns on CalSTRS Funded Ratio. The green line (below-average returns) illustrates how the caps on contribution rate increases restrict CalSTRS ability to make significant progress toward its funding goal following sustained below-average returns.



The following graph shows the potential impact of alternate returns on the state contribution rate (excluding contributions to the SBMA). The state's contribution rate is quite sensitive to future returns, although the 0.5% cap on increases prevents large year-over-year increases. It should be noted that minimizing the year-over-year increases defers these costs and ultimately results in a higher ultimate contribution level than if the full increase needed was implemented in the following fiscal year.



The graph below shows the potential impact of varying returns on the employer contribution rate.




Member rates are not affected by future returns; however, the 2% at 62 member contributions may be affected by changes in the investment return assumption or other assumption changes.

### Sensitivity to Payroll Growth


As discussed in this report, we believe future investment returns are likely to have the greatest impact on future CalSTRS funding and contribution rates. We believe a secondary factor could be variance in the total payroll for CalSTRS members. For example, if there was a 10% decline in the payroll next year, the projected Funded Ratio in 2046 would decrease to 99.0% (from 99.6%) and the total contribution rate from all stakeholders would be projected to ultimately increase by about 3.3% of pay. Note that this assumes that the decrease in payroll is due only to a decline in the active population (as opposed to decreases or less-than-assumed increases in members' compensation).

### Historical Measures

One way to assess future risks is to look at historical measurements. The graph below shows how the DB Program Funded Ratio has varied over the last 30 years. In particular, it reflects the significant impact that investment returns can have. The strong returns of the 1990's caused a large increase in the Funded Ratio. Since 2000, actual returns have lagged the assumption and expectations of future returns have decreased. Additionally, the actual contribution rate has lagged the actuarially determined rate during most of the period, as discussed in the next paragraph. This combination has been the primary cause of the decline in the Funded Ratio since then.



The graph below shows the history of the actual contributions made (blue bar) as a percentage of payroll. The green line shows the actuarially calculated contribution rate based on amortizing the UAO by June 30, 2046 (for years before 2014, a 30-year amortization was used). There has been variance in both rates. As previously noted, as the DB Program continues to mature, year-to-year variance is projected to increase. Year-to-year changes in the actual contribution rate will likely be less than for the actuarially calculated rate due to the restrictions on changes in the state and employer supplemental contribution rates. Note that for purposes of this graph, the contribution rates include member, employer and state contributions to the DB Program and excludes contributions to the SBMA and other programs.



## Appendix A Provisions of Governing Law

The actuarial calculations contained in this report are based upon our understanding of the CalSTRS DB Program as contained in Part 13 of the California Education Code and augmented by consultation with CalSTRS staff. The provisions used in this valuation are summarized below for reference purposes.

### Member Contributions

Base Contribution Rate:

2% at 60 Members: 8.0% of creditable compensation. 25% of this contribution was redirected to the member's Defined Benefit Supplement account from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2010.

The redirection of member contributions does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure.

2% at 62 Members: Equal to one-half of the Normal Cost Rate determined in the valuation rounded to the nearest quarter percent. Member rates only change when the Normal Cost Rate changes by 1.0% of payroll as compared to the initial Normal Cost Rate (or at the time of the last adjustment). Currently, the base member contribution rate is equal to 9.0% of creditable compensation.

Supplemental Contribution Rates:

In addition to the base contribution rates, members make additional contributions for fiscal years beginning July 1, 2016 and later:

2% at 60 Members: 2.250% of creditable compensation

2% at 62 Members: 1.205% of creditable compensation

Interest Rate:

Interest is credited at the end of each fiscal year based on rates adopted by the Teachers' Retirement Board. Currently, rates are approximately equal to two-year Treasury notes.

### Normal Retirement

Eligibility Requirement:

2% at 60 Members: Age 60 with five years of credited service.

2% at 62 Members: Age 62 with five years of credited service.

Allowance:

Two percent of final compensation for each year of credited service.

Final Compensation:

2% at 60 Members: Average annualized pay rate for the highest three consecutive years of credited service for one position. For members with 25 years of service, the calculation is based on the highest average annualized pay rate in a consecutive 12-month period.

Twelve-month highest average compensation does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure.

2% at 62 Members: Final compensation is based on the highest three consecutive years of annualized pay rate. Compensation is limited to 120% of the Social Security Wage Base. The limit effective July 1, 2020 is \$151,837 (after applying the 120% factor) and is adjusted annually based on changes to the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. The 2% at 62 members are not eligible for the one-year final compensation benefit enhancement.

**Credited Service:**

For each year of membership, credited service is granted based on the ratio of salary earned to full-time annualized pay rate for one position.

**Sick Leave Service Credit:**

Credited service is granted for unused sick leave at the time of retirement. Sick Leave Service Credit up to 0.2 years of Credited Service may be used for eligibility for One-Year Final Compensation or to attain the Career Factor or the Longevity Bonus.

Unused sick leave service credit does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure for members hired after June 30, 1980.

**Career Factor:**

If a member has 30 years of credited service, the age factor is increased by 0.2%. However, the maximum age factor is 2.4%.

Career factor does not apply to 2% at 62 members or the 1990 Benefit Structure.

**Longevity Bonus:**

For members attaining 30 years of service by January 1, 2011, a longevity bonus of \$200 per month is added to the unmodified allowance. The bonus is increased to \$300 per month with 31 years of service, and \$400 per month with 32 or more years of service.

Longevity Bonus does not apply to 2% at 62 members or the 1990 Benefit Structure.

**IRC Section 415:**

Benefits are subject to limits imposed under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 415. However, no limits are imposed in the valuation of the DB Program until they actually occur, in order to address the potential pay-as-you-go funding needs of the Teachers' Replacement Benefits Program Fund.

**IRC Section 401(a)(17):**

Compensation is limited under IRC Section 401(a)(17) and assumed to increase at the rate of inflation for valuation purposes. Current 401(a)(17) limits do not apply to members hired before July 1, 1996.

**Early Retirement**

**Eligibility Requirement:**

2% at 60 Members: Age 55 with five years of credited service, or age 50 with 30 years of credited service.

2% at 62 Members: Age 55 with five years of credited service.

Benefit Reduction:

2% at 60 Members: A half-percent reduction in the normal retirement allowance for each full month or partial month the member is younger than age 60, plus a reduction of a quarter percent for each full month or partial month the member is younger than age 55.

2% at 62 Members: A half-percent reduction in the normal retirement allowance for each full month or partial month the member is younger than age 62

**Late Retirement**

Allowance:

2% at 60 Members: Members continue to earn additional service credit after age 60. The 2% age factor increases by 0.033% for each quarter year of age that the member is over age 60, up to a maximum of 2.4%.

2% at 62 Members: Members continue to earn additional service credit after age 62. The 2% age factor increases by 0.033% for each quarter year of age that the member is over age 62, up to a maximum of 2.4%.

The late retirement adjustment does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure.

**Deferred Retirement**

Allowance:

Any time after satisfying the minimum service requirement, a member may cease active service, leave the accumulated contributions on deposit, and later retire upon attaining the minimum age requirement.

**Post-Retirement Benefit Adjustment**

Benefit Improvement:

2% simple increase on September 1 following the first anniversary of the effective date of the allowance, applied to all continuing allowances.

**Disability Allowance - Coverage A**

Eligibility Requirement Allowance:\*

Member has five years of credited California service and has not attained age 60.

50% of final earned compensation

or

5% of final earned compensation for each year of service credit if over age 45 with less than 10 years of service credit.

Children's Benefit:

10% for each eligible dependent child, up to a maximum of 40% of final earned compensation. The increment for each eligible child continues until the child marries or attains age 22.

*\*Note that, for valuation purposes, the greater of the service retirement allowance and the disability allowance is valued if the member is eligible for service retirement.*



Offsets:

Allowance, including children's increment, is reduced by disability benefits payable under Social Security, Workers' Compensation and employer-paid income protection plan.

**Disability Allowance - Coverage B (including 2% at 62 members)**

Eligibility Requirement:

Member has five years of credited California service.

Allowance:\*

50% of final compensation, regardless of age and service credit.

Children's Benefit:

10% for each eligible child up to four children, for a maximum of 40% of final compensation. The increment for each child continues until the child attains age 21, regardless of student, marital, or employment status.

Offsets:

The member's allowance is reduced by disability benefits payable under Workers' Compensation.

*\*Note that, for valuation purposes, the greater of the service retirement allowance and the disability allowance is valued if the member is eligible for service retirement.*

**Death Before Retirement - Coverage A**

Eligibility Requirement:

One or more years of service credit for active members or members receiving a disability allowance. Ineligible members may receive a lump sum payment of their contributions with interest.

Lump Sum Payment:

\$6,372 lump sum to the designated beneficiary. If there is no surviving spouse, domestic partner, or eligible children, the contributions and interest are paid to the designated beneficiary.

Allowance:

The surviving spouse or domestic partner with eligible children will receive a family benefit of 40% of final compensation for as long as there is at least one eligible child. An additional 10% of final compensation is payable for each eligible child up to a maximum benefit of 90%.

If there is no surviving spouse or domestic partner, an allowance of 10% of final compensation is payable to eligible children up to a maximum benefit of 50%.

When there are no eligible children, the spouse or domestic partner may elect to receive one-half of a 50% joint and survivor allowance projected to age 60, or take a lump sum payment of the remaining contributions and interest.

---

### Death Before Retirement - Coverage B (including 2% at 62 members)

#### Eligibility:

One or more years of service credit for active members. Ineligible members may receive a lump sum payment of their contributions with interest.

#### Lump Sum Payment:

\$25,488 lump sum to the designated beneficiary. If there is no surviving spouse or domestic partner, the contributions and interest are paid to the designated beneficiary.

#### Allowance:

A lump sum payment of the contributions and interest.

or

One-half of a 50% joint and survivor allowance, beginning on the member's 60th birthday, or immediately with a reduction based on the member's and spouse's (or domestic partner's) ages at the time the benefit begins.

If the surviving spouse or domestic partner elects a monthly allowance, each eligible child would receive 10% of the member's final compensation, with a maximum benefit of 50%.

### Death After Retirement

#### Lump Sum Payment:

\$6,372 lump sum to the designated beneficiary.

#### Annuity Form:

If the retiree had elected one of the joint and survivor options, the retirement allowance would be modified in accordance with the option selected.

If no annuity option had been elected, payment of the unpaid contributions and interest, if any, remaining in the retiree's account will be made.

### Termination from the Program

#### Refund:

Refund of contributions with interest as credited to the member's account to date of withdrawal. A refund terminates membership and all rights to future benefits from the System

#### Re-entry After Refund:

Former members who re-enter the System may redeposit all amounts previously refunded plus regular interest. The member must earn one year of credited service after re-entry before becoming eligible for System benefits.

## Appendix B Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

This section of the report discloses the actuarial methods and assumptions used in this Actuarial Valuation. These methods and assumptions have been chosen on the basis of recent experience of the DB Program and on current expectations as to future economic conditions. The assumptions were reviewed and changed for the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation as a result of the 2020 Experience Analysis. The assumptions were reviewed for continued reasonableness with the June 30, 2020 valuation; no changes were made. Please refer to the Experience Analysis report dated January 14, 2020 for the data and rationale used in the recommendation of each assumption.

The assumptions are intended to estimate the future experience of the members of the DB Program and of the DB Program itself in areas that affect the projected benefit flow and anticipated investment earnings. Any variations in future experience from that expected from these assumptions will result in corresponding changes in estimated costs of the DB Program's benefits.

### Actuarial Cost Method

Entry Age Normal Cost Method:

The accruing costs of all benefits with future accruals are measured by the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. For measurements where no future service is earned (i.e., those with service fixed as of June 30, 2014), the Actuarial Obligation uses the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method.

The projected revenue in excess of the Normal Cost rate is tested for sufficiency to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Obligation created under this method. Amortization is calculated on a level percentage of salary including general wage inflation but no increase or decrease in the number of active members.

The actuarial present value of projected benefits for each individual member included in the valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The Normal Cost is based on the respective benefit structures. For projection purposes, the Normal Cost rate is assumed to increase by a relative 0.12% per year to reflect an assumed gradual increase in life expectancies due to generational mortality. The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the actuarial present value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Obligation. The excess of the Actuarial Obligation over the Actuarial Value of Assets is called the Unfunded Actuarial Obligation. If the Actuarial Value of Assets exceeds the Actuarial Obligation, the difference is called the Actuarial Surplus.

Entry Age:

The ages at entry of future active members are assumed to average the same as the entry ages of the present active members they replace. If the number of active members should increase (or decrease), it is further assumed that the average entry age of the larger (or smaller) group will be the same, from an actuarial standpoint, as that of the present active group. Under these assumptions, the Normal Cost Rate will not vary significantly due to the termination of the present active membership, or with an expansion or contraction of the active membership.

Entry age is determined as the age at membership date.

Projected Unit Credit (PUC) Cost Method:

This cost method is used for calculations of the actuarial obligation where there are no future service accruals. Under the PUC method, the actuarial present value of projected benefits for each individual member

included in the valuation is determined based on the current service and salary projected to the age the member leaves active employment. The Normal Cost is \$0 since no benefits are being earned.

### Asset Valuation Method

The assets are valued using a method that delays recognition of investment gains or losses. The expected actuarial value is the prior year's actuarial value increased with net cash flow of funds, and all increased with interest during the past year at the expected investment return assumption. One-third of the difference between the expected actuarial value of assets and the Fair Market Value of assets is added to the expected actuarial value of assets to arrive at the Actuarial Value of Assets. The smoothing is applied on the total DB Program assets and then the SBMA is deducted to determine the net actuarial value for funding purposes. The Fair Market Value excludes the liability for "Net Pension and OPEB Obligation," which are pre-recognized administrative expenses, from the Fiduciary Net Position reported for accounting purposes.

### Actuarial Assumptions

The Actuarial Standards Board has adopted Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 27, *Selection of Economic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations*. This Standard provides guidance on selecting economic assumptions under defined benefit retirement programs such as the System. In our opinion, the economic assumptions have been developed in accordance with the Standard.

The Actuarial Standards Board has adopted Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 35, *Selection of Demographic and Other Noneconomic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations*. This Standard provides guidance on selecting demographic assumptions under defined benefit retirement programs such as the System. In our opinion, the demographic assumptions have been developed in accordance with the Standard.

The assumptions are intended to estimate the future experience of the members of the DB Program and of the System itself in areas that affect the projected benefit flow and anticipated investment earnings. Any variations in future experience from that expected from these assumptions will result in corresponding changes in estimated costs of the Program's benefits.

The demographic assumptions are listed in Table B.1 and illustrated at selected ages and duration combinations in Tables B.2 – B.7.

### Payroll Growth Assumption

The wage growth assumption is equal to 3.50%, and the active population is assumed to be stable. Thus, the DB Program payroll is assumed to increase at a rate of 3.50% each year.

**Table B.1**  
**List of Major Valuation Assumptions**

**Economic Assumptions**

Investment Return (net of investment and administrative expenses)	7.00%
Interest on Member Accounts	3.00%
Wage Growth	3.50%
Inflation	2.75%

**Demographic Assumptions**

Mortality <sup>(1)</sup>		
Active - Male	2019 CalSTRS Active Member Male	Table B-2
Active - Female	2019 CalSTRS Active Member Female	Table B-2
Retired & Beneficiary - Male	2019 CalSTRS Service Retired Male	Table B-2
Retired & Beneficiary - Female	2019 CalSTRS Service Retired Female	Table B-2
Disabled - Male	2019 CalSTRS Disabled Retiree Male	Table B-2
Disabled - Female	2019 CalSTRS Disabled Retiree Female (select rates in first three years for both Males and Females)	Table B-2
Service Retirement		Table B-3
Disability Retirement		Table B-4
Withdrawal		Table B-5
Probability of Refund		Table B-6
Merit Salary Increases		Table B-7
Supplemental Assumptions		Table B-8

1. The mortality assumption uses a generational mortality approach with a base year of 2019. Projected improvement is based on 110% of the MP-2019 Ultimate Projection Scale. The combined base tables and projection scale specified contain a margin for expected future mortality improvement.

**Table B.2**  
**Mortality as of June 30, 2020**

Age	Active Members <sup>(1)</sup>	
	Male	Female
25	0.012%	0.007%
30	0.017	0.011
35	0.023	0.015
40	0.032	0.024
45	0.051	0.037
50	0.085	0.056
55	0.131	0.081
60	0.201	0.123
65	0.331	0.206

Age	Retired Members and Beneficiaries <sup>(1)</sup>		Disabled Members (After Year 3) <sup>(1)</sup>	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
50	0.232%	0.129%	1.787%	1.009%
55	0.343	0.204	2.078	1.263
60	0.459	0.271	2.357	1.491
65	0.652	0.409	2.743	1.781
70	1.044	0.673	3.402	2.312
75	1.873	1.238	4.486	3.289
80	3.437	2.374	6.210	4.872
85	6.608	4.736	9.021	7.239
90	12.761	9.646	13.698	10.709
95	21.832	18.098	20.504	15.869

**Select minimum rates for disability:**

First year of disability	4.0%	3.0%
Second year of disability	3.5	2.5
Third year of disability	3.0	2.0

1. The mortality assumption uses a generational mortality approach with a base year of 2019 for the mortality rates. Projected improvement is based on 110% of the MP-2019 Ultimate Projection Scale. The rates shown reflect mortality improvement through June 30, 2020. The projection scale does not apply to the select minimum rates.

**Table B.3a**  
**Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Males**

DB Program - 2% at 60 Members - Males								
Age	Years of Credited Service							
	5-9 years	10-14 years	15-19 years	20-24 years	25 years	26-29 years	30 years	31 or More Years
50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	4.5%
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.5
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.5
53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.5
54	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.5
55	2.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	6.0	5.0	7.5	5.5
56	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	4.0	3.5	7.5	5.5
57	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	4.0	3.5	10.0	7.5
58	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.5	6.0	5.0	12.5	9.0
59	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	8.5	7.0	18.5	13.5
60	4.0	5.0	6.5	8.0	11.5	9.5	28.0	20.5
61	5.0	5.5	7.5	9.0	16.0	13.5	50.0	50.0
62	7.0	8.0	10.5	13.0	25.5	21.0	45.0	45.0
63	8.5	9.5	12.5	15.5	34.5	29.0	35.0	35.0
64	9.0	10.5	13.5	17.0	27.5	23.0	30.0	30.0
65	11.0	13.0	17.0	21.0	32.0	27.0	32.5	32.5
66	11.0	13.0	17.0	21.0	27.5	23.0	30.0	30.0
67	11.0	13.0	17.0	21.0	27.5	23.0	27.0	27.0
68	10.0	11.0	14.5	18.0	27.5	23.0	27.0	27.0
69	10.0	11.0	14.5	18.0	27.5	23.0	25.0	25.0
70	10.0	11.0	14.5	18.0	27.5	23.0	25.0	25.0
71	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	27.5	23.0	25.0	25.0
72	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	27.5	23.0	25.0	25.0
73	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	27.5	23.0	25.0	25.0
74	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	27.5	23.0	25.0	25.0
75+	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 60 members retire at age 60.

**Table B.3b**  
**Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Females**

DB Program - 2% at 60 Members - Females								
Age	Years of Credited Service							
	5-9 years	10-14 years	15-19 years	20-24 years	25 years	26-29 years	30 years	31 or More Years
50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	4.5%
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.0
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.0
53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.0
54	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.0
55	2.0	3.0	3.5	4.5	6.0	5.0	8.5	6.5
56	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.5	5.0	4.0	8.5	6.5
57	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.5	6.0	5.0	9.5	7.5
58	2.0	3.0	3.5	4.5	7.0	5.5	14.5	11.0
59	3.0	4.0	5.5	7.0	10.0	8.0	18.0	14.0
60	4.0	5.5	7.5	9.5	15.5	12.5	30.5	23.0
61	5.0	7.0	9.5	12.0	21.5	17.0	50.0	50.0
62	7.0	10.0	13.0	17.0	33.0	26.0	48.0	48.0
63	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	42.0	33.5	38.0	38.0
64	7.5	11.0	14.5	19.0	36.0	28.5	36.0	36.0
65	10.0	14.5	19.0	24.5	39.0	31.0	38.0	38.0
66	10.0	14.5	19.0	24.5	36.0	28.5	32.0	32.0
67	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	36.0	28.5	32.0	32.0
68	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	36.0	28.5	30.0	30.0
69	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	36.0	28.5	30.0	30.0
70	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	36.0	28.5	30.0	30.0
71	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	36.0	28.5	30.0	30.0
72	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	36.0	28.5	30.0	30.0
73	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	36.0	28.5	30.0	30.0
74	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	36.0	28.5	30.0	30.0
75+	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 60 members retire at age 60.



**Table B.3c**  
**Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Males**

<b>DB Program - 2% at 62 Members - Males</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Years of Credited Service</b>					
	<b>5-9 years</b>	<b>10-14 years</b>	<b>15-19 years</b>	<b>20-24 years</b>	<b>25-29 years</b>	<b>30 or More Years</b>
50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
55	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	5.0
56	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0
57	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0
58	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	4.0	5.0
59	2.5	2.5	3.0	4.0	5.5	6.5
60	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.5	9.0
61	4.0	4.0	5.5	7.0	10.5	12.5
62	5.5	6.0	8.0	10.0	16.5	20.0
63	6.5	7.0	9.0	11.5	17.5	21.0
64	7.0	8.0	10.0	13.0	18.0	21.5
65	11.0	13.0	17.0	21.0	28.0	28.0
66	11.0	13.0	17.0	21.0	24.0	24.0
67	13.0	15.5	20.5	25.0	28.5	28.5
68	10.0	11.0	14.5	18.0	24.0	24.0
69	10.0	11.0	14.5	18.0	24.0	24.0
70	10.0	11.0	14.5	18.0	24.0	24.0
71	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	24.0	24.0
72	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	24.0	24.0
73	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	24.0	24.0
74	9.0	10.0	13.0	16.5	24.0	24.0
75	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 62 members retire at age 62.

**Table B.3d**  
**Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Females**

DB Program - 2% at 62 Members - Females						
Age	Years of Credited Service					
	5-9 years	10-14 years	15-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30 or More Years
50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
55	1.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	4.0	5.0
56	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5
57	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	4.0	5.0
58	1.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	4.5	5.5
59	2.5	3.0	4.0	5.5	6.5	8.0
60	3.0	4.0	5.5	7.0	10.0	12.0
61	4.0	5.5	7.0	9.0	13.5	16.0
62	5.5	7.5	10.0	13.0	20.5	24.5
63	5.5	8.0	10.5	14.0	21.5	26.0
64	5.5	8.5	11.0	14.5	22.5	27.0
65	10.0	14.5	19.0	24.5	32.5	32.5
66	10.0	14.5	19.0	24.5	30.0	30.0
67	11.0	15.5	20.5	26.0	36.0	36.0
68	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	30.0	30.0
69	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	30.0	30.0
70	9.0	13.0	17.0	21.5	30.0	30.0
71	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	30.0	30.0
72	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	30.0	30.0
73	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	30.0	30.0
74	8.5	12.0	16.0	20.5	30.0	30.0
75	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 62 members retire at age 62.

**Table B.3e**  
**Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Males**

<b>DB Program - 1990 Structure - Males</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Years of Credited Service</b>					
	<b>5-9 years</b>	<b>10-14 years</b>	<b>15-19 years</b>	<b>20-24 years</b>	<b>25-29 years</b>	<b>30 or More Years</b>
50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
55	3.5	4.5	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.5
56	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.5
57	3.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.5
58	4.0	5.5	6.0	7.0	7.0	8.0
59	10.5	14.0	16.0	17.5	18.5	20.0
60	15.0	20.0	22.5	25.0	26.5	29.0
61	10.0	13.0	15.0	16.5	17.5	19.0
62	10.0	13.0	15.0	16.5	17.5	19.0
63	9.0	12.0	13.5	15.0	16.0	17.5
64	10.5	14.0	16.0	17.5	18.5	20.0
65	12.0	16.0	18.0	20.0	21.0	23.0
66	9.5	13.0	14.5	16.0	17.0	18.5
67	9.5	13.0	14.5	16.0	17.0	18.5
68	9.5	13.0	14.5	16.0	17.0	18.5
69	9.5	13.0	14.5	16.0	17.0	18.5
70+	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated members retire at age 60 under the 1990 Benefit Structure.

**Table B.3f**  
**Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Females**

DB Program - 1990 Structure - Females						
Age	Years of Credited Service					
	5-9 years	10-14 years	15-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30 or More Years
50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
55	4.0	5.5	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0
56	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
57	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
58	4.0	5.5	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0
59	8.5	11.0	12.5	14.0	14.5	16.0
60	13.0	17.5	20.0	22.0	23.0	25.5
61	9.0	12.0	13.5	15.0	16.0	17.5
62	9.0	12.0	13.5	15.0	16.0	17.5
63	9.0	12.0	13.5	15.0	16.0	17.5
64	11.0	14.5	16.0	18.0	19.0	20.5
65	11.0	14.5	16.0	18.0	19.0	20.5
66	11.0	14.5	16.0	18.0	19.0	20.5
67	11.0	14.5	16.0	18.0	19.0	20.5
68	9.5	13.0	14.5	16.0	17.0	18.5
69	9.5	13.0	14.5	16.0	17.0	18.5
70+	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated members retire at age 60 under the 1990 Benefit Structure.

**Table B.4  
 Disability Retirement**

Coverage A		
Age	Male	Female
25	0.015%	0.015%
30	0.025	0.025
35	0.040	0.050
40	0.065	0.075
45	0.090	0.090
50	0.130	0.180
55	0.170	0.225

Coverage B		
Age	Male	Female
25	0.010%	0.015%
30	0.015	0.015
35	0.025	0.030
40	0.050	0.055
45	0.085	0.095
50	0.125	0.165
55	0.235	0.285
60	0.345	0.360
65	0.380	0.380
70	0.380	0.380

**Table B.5**  
**Other Terminations of Employment (Withdrawal)**

Year <sup>(1)</sup>	Male	Female
0	12.25%	11.25%
1	8.50	7.00
2	6.75	5.50
3	5.40	4.25
4	3.75	3.25
5	3.10	2.70
10	1.65	1.50
15	1.05	1.05
20	0.75	0.75
25	0.50	0.50
30	0.45	0.40

1. Based on elapsed service since membership date.

**Table B.6**  
**Probability of Refund**

Year <sup>(1)</sup>	Entry Ages					
	Under 25	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45 and Up
Under 5	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
5	60	60	60	54	50	45
10	38	38	38	34	25	
15	30	30	28	17		
20	24	22	18			
25	14	12				
30	5					

1. Assumption applied at time of assumed termination based on credited service. Members who terminate with less than five years of credited service are assumed to have a 100% probability of refund.

**Table B.7**  
**Merit Salary Increases<sup>(1)</sup>**

Year <sup>(2)</sup>	Entry Age - Annual Increase in Salaries Due to Merit					
	Under 25	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45 & up
0	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	4.8%	4.5%	3.7%
1	6.4	5.8	5.3	4.8	4.5	3.7
2	6.0	5.5	5.0	4.5	4.3	3.5
3	5.6	5.3	4.8	4.3	4.1	3.3
4	5.4	5.0	4.5	4.1	3.9	3.0
5	5.2	4.8	4.3	3.9	3.8	2.8
10	3.7	3.4	3.0	2.7	2.5	1.8
15	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.2	0.9
20	1.3	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.6
25	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.6	
30	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.5		
35	0.8	0.7	0.6			
40	0.8	0.7				
45	0.8					

1. The total expected increase in salary includes both merit (shown above) and the general wage increase assumption of 3.50% per annum. The total result is compounded rather than additive. For example, the total assumed increase for service less than one year (Year 0 above) is 10.124% ( $1.064 \times 1.035$ ) for members in the entry age under 25 group.

2. Based on elapsed service since membership date.



**Table B.8**  
**Supplemental Assumptions**

<b>PEPRA Coverage</b>	All members hired on or after the valuation date are assumed to be subject to the provisions of PEPRA.
<b>Unused Sick Leave</b>	Credited Service is increased by 1.7%.
<b>Optional Forms</b>	Active and Inactive: Based on single life annuity assumed. Retirees and Beneficiaries: Based on optional form in data.
<b>Probability of Marriage</b>	Male: 85% Female: 65%

Male spouses are assumed to be three years older than female spouses.

**Children** Married members under age 60 are assumed to have the number of children shown in the following table. Children are assumed to receive benefits until the member would have turned age 60.

Member's Gender	Assumed Number of Children
Male	0.65
Female	0.50

**Assumed Offsets** No offsets to disability and survivor benefits are assumed.

**Valuation of Inactive Members**

Salary and benefit information is not available on the valuation data provided for inactive members. Therefore, we estimate the projected retirement benefits for inactive members as follows:

- 1) The inactive member's annualized pay rate information is retrieved from when they were active by matching with a database of active valuation data back to 2001 and taking the highest annualized pay rate for the member during the period.
- 2) For those members who cannot be located on the active database (because they terminated prior to 2001 or another reason), their annualized pay rate is estimated based on 120% of the average annualized pay rate for all active members in the year the member terminated.
- 3) The annualized pay rate amount from the prior steps is treated as the member's final compensation with two additional adjustments.
  - a. An additional load of 5% for all inactive members is applied to their salary amount to account for potential post-termination increases in salary due to factors such as reciprocity.
  - b. Final compensation is increased by an additional 4.3% if the member has 25 or more years of credited service.
- 4) Based on the salary data described above and the birth date and credited service from the current year's valuation data, the projected benefit amount is calculated and valued as a deferred service retirement.
- 5) Non-vested members who have been inactive for less than two years are assumed to take an immediate refund of their member contributions.

## Appendix C Valuation Data

The membership data for this actuarial valuation was supplied by CalSTRS. Although we did not audit this data, we compared the data for this and the prior valuation and tested for reasonableness, as well as for consistency with prior periodic reports from the CalSTRS staff. Based on these tests, we believe the data to be sufficiently accurate for the purposes of this valuation. Since the valuation results are dependent on the integrity of the data supplied, the results can be expected to differ if the underlying data is incomplete or missing. It should be noted that if any data or other information is inaccurate or incomplete, our calculations may need to be revised.

Note that CalSTRS provides two files with benefit recipients. The benefit valuation file includes all service retirees, disabled retirees, and most surviving beneficiaries. The family benefit valuation file includes other survivors, including child beneficiaries and survivors deferring their benefit. Information from the family benefit valuation file is included with the survivor information shown in this section, except for average ages and benefit amounts.

**Tables C.1-C.6** summarize the census data used in this valuation.

**Table C.1**  
**Summary of Statistical Information**

	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019
<b>Number of Members</b>		
Active Members <sup>(1)</sup>	448,419	451,429
Inactive Members <sup>(1)</sup>	213,056	204,593
Retirees and Beneficiaries		
Service Retirees	276,070	270,835
Disabled Retirees	10,095	10,152
Survivors	<u>28,353</u>	<u>27,652</u>
Total Benefit Recipients	314,518	308,639
 Total Membership in Valuation	 975,993	 964,661

**Active Member Statistics**

Earned Salaries <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 33,811 million	\$ 32,897 million
Average Earned Salary	\$ 75,401	\$ 72,872
Average Age	45.3 years	45.2 years
Average Service	12.4 years	12.2 years

1. Some active members were reported with no Annualized Pay Rate, in which case their liabilities, if any, were included with inactive members.

2. Total of prior year Earned Salaries for all active members. This may differ from the payroll amounts shown elsewhere which may include other adjustments.

**Retired Member Statistics<sup>(3)</sup>**

	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019
Average Age		
Service Retiree	74.1	73.9
Disabled Retiree	66.9	66.5
Survivors	77.8	77.7
All Benefit Recipients	74.2	73.9
Average Monthly Benefit		
Service Retirees	\$ 4,279	\$ 4,184
Disabled Retirees	2,971	2,901
Survivors	2,797	2,714
All Benefit Recipients	\$ 4,119	\$ 4,026

3. Average retiree ages shown here are current ages; average retiree ages shown elsewhere in this Appendix are age at retirement. Survivors from family benefit valuation file are excluded from averages. Average Monthly Benefit amounts exclude the supplemental benefit.

**Inactive Member Statistics**

	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019
Average Age	50.0	49.8
Average Account Balance	\$ 13,257	\$ 12,671

**Table C.1**  
**Summary of Statistical Information**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Active Member Statistics by Benefit Formula <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>2% at 60 Members</b>	<b>2% at 62 Members</b>
Number	319,787	128,632
Earned Salaries <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 27,432 million	\$ 6,379 million
Average Earned Salary	\$ 85,783	\$ 49,591
Average Age	49.3 years	35.3 years
Average Service	16.2 years	2.9 years

<b>Retired Member Statistics by Benefit Structure<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>1990 Benefit</b>	<b>Total Benefit</b>
Average Monthly Benefit		
Service Retirees	\$ 3,530	\$ 4,279
Disabled Retirees	2,941	2,971
Survivors	2,435	2,797
All Benefit Recipients	\$ 3,424	\$ 4,119

	<b>Pre-2014</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Pre-2014 Statistics</b>		
Active Member Average Service	7.7 years	12.4 years
Inactive Member Average Account Balance	\$ 10,155	\$ 13,257
Average Monthly Benefit for All Benefit Recipients	\$ 4,013	\$ 4,119

1. Some active members were reported with no Annualized Pay Rate, in which case their liabilities, if any, were included with inactive members.

2. Total of prior year Earned Salaries for all active members. This differs from the payroll amounts shown elsewhere in this report which reflect annualized amounts for members who were hired part way through the prior year.

3. Milliman estimates the 1990 Benefit based on CalSTRS-provided data.

**Table C.2**  
**Age and Service Distribution – Active Male Members**

Male						
Age	Years of Service					
	Greater than 1					
	1 & Under	& Under 5	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24
Less than 25	1,008	314	-	-	-	-
25 to 30	2,970	5,468	755	-	-	-
30 to 35	1,935	5,696	4,666	388	-	-
35 to 40	1,295	3,895	4,775	4,361	773	-
40 to 45	1,049	2,749	3,143	4,228	5,652	926
45 to 50	818	2,172	2,065	2,714	5,356	6,787
50 to 55	698	1,665	1,584	1,830	3,320	5,876
55 to 60	549	1,301	1,220	1,244	2,119	3,243
60 to 65	361	967	794	929	1,363	1,778
65 to 70	185	586	496	450	598	636
70 and over	140	459	308	286	266	243
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,008</b>	<b>25,272</b>	<b>19,806</b>	<b>16,430</b>	<b>19,447</b>	<b>19,489</b>

Age	Years of Service					Total
	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45 & Over	
Less than 25	-	-	-	-	-	1,322
25 to 30	-	-	-	-	-	9,193
30 to 35	-	-	-	-	-	12,685
35 to 40	-	-	-	-	-	15,099
40 to 45	6	-	-	-	-	17,753
45 to 50	473	1	-	-	-	20,386
50 to 55	3,460	287	3	-	-	18,723
55 to 60	2,889	2,184	143	-	-	14,892
60 to 65	1,222	1,063	401	16	-	8,894
65 to 70	370	222	101	64	6	3,714
70 and over	138	119	64	42	39	2,104
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,558</b>	<b>3,876</b>	<b>712</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>124,765</b>

**Table C.3**  
**Age and Service Distribution – Active Female Members**

Female							
Age	Years of Service						
	1 & Under	Greater than 1		5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24
		& Under 5					
Less than 25	3,760	1,587	-	-	-	-	
25 to 30	7,334	18,613	3,264	-	-	-	
30 to 35	4,057	14,473	16,032	1,459	1	-	
35 to 40	3,085	9,356	13,141	14,840	2,696	4	
40 to 45	2,540	7,166	8,417	12,225	17,005	2,652	
45 to 50	1,867	5,352	6,392	7,604	13,097	15,439	
50 to 55	1,391	4,042	4,799	5,665	8,111	11,506	
55 to 60	927	2,753	3,252	3,971	5,840	7,071	
60 to 65	498	1,607	2,026	2,469	3,642	4,298	
65 to 70	262	820	865	912	1,351	1,465	
70 and over	134	469	463	351	442	448	
<b>Total</b>	<b>25,855</b>	<b>66,238</b>	<b>58,651</b>	<b>49,496</b>	<b>52,185</b>	<b>42,883</b>	

Age	Years of Service					Total
	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45 & Over	
Less than 25	-	-	-	-	-	5,347
25 to 30	-	-	-	-	-	29,211
30 to 35	-	-	-	-	-	36,022
35 to 40	-	-	-	-	-	43,122
40 to 45	7	-	-	-	-	50,012
45 to 50	1,092	4	-	-	-	50,847
50 to 55	7,308	798	7	-	-	43,627
55 to 60	5,810	5,140	409	1	-	35,174
60 to 65	2,768	1,872	905	26	-	20,111
65 to 70	782	438	188	108	16	7,207
70 and over	249	193	78	67	80	2,974
<b>Total</b>	<b>18,016</b>	<b>8,445</b>	<b>1,587</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>323,654</b>

**Table C.4**  
**Age and Service Distribution – All Active Members**

Age	Total					
	Years of Service					
	1 & Under	Greater than 1 & Under 5	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24
Less than 25	4,768	1,901	-	-	-	-
25 to 30	10,304	24,081	4,019	-	-	-
30 to 35	5,992	20,169	20,698	1,847	1	-
35 to 40	4,380	13,251	17,916	19,201	3,469	4
40 to 45	3,589	9,915	11,560	16,453	22,657	3,578
45 to 50	2,685	7,524	8,457	10,318	18,453	22,226
50 to 55	2,089	5,707	6,383	7,495	11,431	17,382
55 to 60	1,476	4,054	4,472	5,215	7,959	10,314
60 to 65	859	2,574	2,820	3,398	5,005	6,076
65 to 70	447	1,406	1,361	1,362	1,949	2,101
70 and over	274	928	771	637	708	691
<b>Total</b>	<b>36,863</b>	<b>91,510</b>	<b>78,457</b>	<b>65,926</b>	<b>71,632</b>	<b>62,372</b>

Age	Years of Service					Total
	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45 & Over	
Less than 25	-	-	-	-	-	6,669
25 to 30	-	-	-	-	-	38,404
30 to 35	-	-	-	-	-	48,707
35 to 40	-	-	-	-	-	58,221
40 to 45	13	-	-	-	-	67,765
45 to 50	1,565	5	-	-	-	71,233
50 to 55	10,768	1,085	10	-	-	62,350
55 to 60	8,699	7,324	552	1	-	50,066
60 to 65	3,990	2,935	1,306	42	-	29,005
65 to 70	1,152	660	289	172	22	10,921
70 and over	387	312	142	109	119	5,078
<b>Total</b>	<b>26,574</b>	<b>12,321</b>	<b>2,299</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>448,419</b>

**Table C.5  
 Inactive Members**

<b>Fiscal Year Ending June 30</b>	<b>Number Vested</b>	<b>Total Number</b>	<b>Male % of Total</b>	<b>Female % of Total</b>
2006	26,733	133,601	28.8%	71.2%
2007	28,922	141,450	28.9	71.1
2008	30,370	147,997	29.0	71.0
2009	31,661	156,207	29.0	71.0
2010	33,036	166,976	29.2	70.8
2011	33,976	173,719	29.1	70.9
2012	34,848	178,655	29.1	70.9
2013	35,883	182,576	29.1	70.9
2014	36,344	182,815	29.2	70.8
2015	36,953	184,396	29.3	70.7
2016	38,014	187,722	29.4	70.6
2017	38,955	192,601	29.5	70.5
2018	39,942	198,058	29.6	70.4
2019	41,192	204,593	29.6	70.4
2020	42,835	213,056	29.7	70.3

<b>Fiscal Year Ending June 30</b>	<b>Average Account on Deposit</b>	<b>Average Age</b>	<b>Average Service Credit</b>	<b>Average Years Inactive</b>
2006	\$12,282	45.9	2.9	7.5
2007	12,440	46.0	3.0	7.7
2008	12,698	46.3	2.9	8.0
2009	12,717	46.5	2.9	8.2
2010	12,334	46.7	2.8	8.3
2011	12,035	46.8	2.8	8.6
2012	11,818	47.2	2.8	8.9
2013	11,771	47.6	2.8	9.4
2014	11,815	48.1	2.8	9.9
2015	11,825	48.7	2.9	10.4
2016	11,953	49.1	2.9	10.8
2017	12,072	49.4	2.9	11.1
2018	12,257	49.7	2.9	11.4
2019	12,671	49.8	2.9	11.6
2020	13,257	50.0	3.0	11.7



**Table C.6**  
**Members Retired for Service**

<b>Fiscal Year Ending June 30</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Male % of Total</b>	<b>Female % of Total</b>
2006	181,833	36.5%	63.5%
2007	188,659	36.1	63.9
2008	195,960	35.7	64.3
2009	203,649	35.3	64.7
2010	213,952	34.9	65.1
2011	222,222	34.4	65.6
2012	230,278	34.0	66.0
2013	236,487	33.6	66.4
2014	241,920	33.1	66.9
2015	247,353	32.7	67.3
2016	252,672	32.3	67.7
2017	258,550	31.9	68.1
2018	264,780	31.5	68.5
2019	270,835	31.1	68.9
2020	276,070	30.8	69.2

<b>Fiscal Year Ending June 30</b>	<b>Average Age at Retirement</b>	<b>Average Years of Service Credit</b>	<b>Final Average Compensation</b>	<b>Average Current Allowance Payable</b>
2006	60.8	26.2	\$4,264	\$2,741
2007	60.8	26.3	4,437	2,878
2008	60.8	26.3	4,620	3,021
2009	60.8	26.4	4,798	3,164
2010	60.9	26.3	4,983	3,302
2011	61.0	26.3	5,138	3,417
2012	61.1	26.2	5,271	3,517
2013	61.1	26.1	5,385	3,609
2014	61.2	26.0	5,487	3,694
2015	61.3	25.9	5,597	3,786
2016	61.3	25.8	5,716	3,884
2017	61.4	25.7	5,846	3,985
2018	61.5	25.6	5,981	4,086
2019	61.6	25.6	6,110	4,184
2020	61.7	25.5	6,229	4,279

## Appendix D Glossary

The following definitions are largely excerpts from a list adopted by the major actuarial organizations in the United States. In some cases, the definitions have been modified for specific applicability to the CalSTRS DB Program. Defined terms are capitalized throughout this Appendix.

### **Actuarial Assumptions**

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs, such as mortality, withdrawal, disablement and retirement, changes in compensation, rates of investment earnings and asset appreciation or depreciation, and procedures used to determine other relevant items.

### **Actuarial Cost Method**

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Obligation.

### **Actuarial Equivalent**

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date with each value based on the same set of Actuarial Assumptions.

### **Actuarial Gain or Loss**

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

### **Actuarial Obligation**

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is not provided for by future Normal Costs.

### **Actuarial Present Value**

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application of a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions.

### **Actuarial Surplus**

The excess, if any, of the Actuarial Value of Assets over the Actuarial Obligation.

### **Actuarial Valuation**

The determination, as of a Valuation Date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Obligation, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a pension plan.

### **Actuarial Value of Assets**

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan, as used by the actuary for the purpose of an actuarial valuation.

---

### **Entry Age Cost Method**

An Actuarial Cost Method under which the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits of each individual included in the actuarial valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value not provided for at a Valuation Date by the Actuarial Present Value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Obligation.

### **Normal Cost**

The portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

### **Projected Unit Credit Cost Method**

An Actuarial Cost Method under which the Actuarial Obligation is equal to the portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits of each individual included in the actuarial valuation is attributable to service credit that has been earned to date (past service). Since this cost method is only used in this valuation for cases where the service is fixed as of June 30, 2014, the Actuarial Obligation is equal to the portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits for the DB Program, and there is no Normal Cost.

### **Unfunded Actuarial Obligation**

The excess, if any, of the Actuarial Obligation over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

### **Valuation Date**

June 30, 2020.

RESOLUTION  
OF THE  
TEACHERS' RETIREMENT BOARD

SUBJECT: Adoption of the State Supplemental Contribution Rate for Fiscal Year 2021-22  
Based on the June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation

RESOLUTION NO. XX-XX

WHEREAS, the Teachers' Retirement Board adopted a policy stating that pursuant to section 22955.1 of the Education Code, the state supplemental contribution rate shall be subject to adjustment annually, commencing in 2017-18, in an amount necessary to fully amortize the unfunded liability by June 30, 2046, associated with the benefit and contribution structure in effect as of July 1, 1990; and

WHEREAS, as per section 22955.1 of the Education Code, if an increase in the state supplemental contribution rate is required, the increase shall not exceed 0.50% of payroll; and

WHEREAS, section 22311.5 of the Education Code requires the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) to conduct a periodic actuarial valuation of the Defined Benefit Program; and

WHEREAS, the Teachers' Retirement Board has reviewed the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation report prepared by Milliman, CalSTRS consulting actuary; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the Teachers' Retirement Board adopts a state supplemental contribution rate of 6.311% of payroll effective July 1, 2021.

Adopted by:  
Teachers' Retirement Board  
On June 9, 2021

---

Jack Ehnes  
Chief Executive Officer

Reviewed by:

---

Brian J. Bartow  
General Counsel

RESOLUTION  
OF THE  
TEACHERS' RETIREMENT BOARD

SUBJECT: Adoption of the Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate for Fiscal Year 2021-22  
Based on the June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation

RESOLUTION NO. XX-XX

WHEREAS, the Teachers' Retirement Board adopted a policy stating that pursuant to Section 22950.5 of the Education Code, the employer supplemental contribution rate shall be subject to adjustment annually, commencing in 2021-22, in an amount necessary to fully amortize by June 30, 2046, the unfunded liability associated with the changes made in the benefit and contribution structure on or after July 1, 1990, associated with the service credited to members as of June 30, 2014; and

WHEREAS, as per section 22950.5 of the Education Code, if an adjustment in the employer supplemental contribution rate is required, the adjustment shall not exceed 1.00% of payroll; and

WHEREAS, as per section 22950.5 of the Education Code, the employer supplemental contribution rate shall not exceed 12.00% of payroll; and

WHEREAS, section 22311.5 of the Education Code requires the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) to conduct a periodic actuarial valuation of the Defined Benefit Program; and

WHEREAS, the Teachers' Retirement Board has reviewed the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation report prepared by Milliman, CalSTRS consulting actuary; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the Teachers' Retirement Board adopts an employer supplemental contribution rate of 10.85% of payroll effective July 1, 2021.

Adopted by:  
Teachers' Retirement Board  
On June 9, 2021

---

Jack Ehnes  
Chief Executive Officer

Reviewed by:

---

Brian J. Bartow  
General Counsel

RESOLUTION  
OF THE  
TEACHERS' RETIREMENT BOARD

SUBJECT: Adoption of the Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members  
based on the June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation

RESOLUTION NO. XX-XX

WHEREAS, section 22901 of the Education Code requires members subject to the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 i.e. CalSTRS 2% at 62 members, to contribute to the retirement fund based on a contribution rate that is derived from the Normal Cost Rate adopted by the board; and

WHEREAS, section 22311.5 of the Education Code requires the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) to conduct a periodic actuarial valuation of the Defined Benefit Program; and

WHEREAS, the Teachers' Retirement Board has reviewed the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation report prepared by Milliman, CalSTRS consulting actuary; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the Teachers' Retirement Board adopts the Normal Cost Rate of 18.086% of payroll for CalSTRS 2% at 62 members.

Adopted by:  
Teachers' Retirement Board  
On June 9, 2021

---

Jack Ehnes  
Chief Executive Officer

Reviewed by:

---

Brian J. Bartow  
General Counsel