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## GROWTH OF ASSETS

 (Years indicated)The portfolio has steadily increased from $\$ 578.4$ million in 1975 to $\$ 874.3$ million in 1979. The increase in 1979 alone was 10.9 percent

```
BOND PORTFOLO YIELDS
For Calendar years as indicated
```



The yield on the System's bond portfolio has increased steadily in recent years from 8.26 percent in 1975 to 9.14 percent in 1979 with the purchase of high-quality, marketable bonds. This increasing yield compares very favorahly with that of other large institutional bond portfolios on a nationwide hasis. The bond portfolio forms the major segment of the System's total portfolio and grew from $\$ 304.6$ million in December, 1975 to $\$ 419.5$ million, or 48.0 percent of the total portfolio, in December, 1979.

## FIVE-YEAR

## PORTFOLIO

## SUMMARY

| In | DECEMBER 31, 1979 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Book Value | Par Value | Percent of Portfolio |
| U.S. Government Obligations |  |  |  |
| FHA Debentures |  |  |  |
| International Bank for Reconstruction \& Development |  |  |  |
| Corporate Obligations | \$356,643,426 | \$356,670,000 | 40.8\% |
| Canadian Obligations | 62,648,114 | 62,800,000 | 7.2 |
| G.N.M.A. Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |
| G.N.M.A. Modified Pass-Thru Mortgages | 96,160,749 | 98,406,209 | 11.2 |
| F.H.L.M.C. Guaranteed Mortgage Certificates |  |  |  |
| F.H.L.M.C. Guaranteed Mortgage-Backed Participation Certificates | 91,315,912 | 92,904,140 | 10.6 |
| F.H.A. \& V.A. Mortgages | 4,905,886 | 4,905,888 | 0.6 |
| H.U.D. Guaranteed Mortgages | 5,841,678 | 5,843,124 | 0.7 |
| Common Stocks | 178,801,201 | 178,801,201 | 20.4 |
| Real Estate | 12,859,721 | 12,859,721 | 1.5 |
| Short-Term Investments | 60,430,252 | 61,075,000 | 7.0 |
| Totals | \$869,606,939 | \$874,265,283 | 100.0\% |


| Dec. 31, 1978 | Dec. 31, 1977 | Dec. 31, 1976 | Dec. 31, 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Par Value | Par Value | Par Value | Par Value |
|  | \$ 13,000,000 | \$ 21,000,000 |  |
|  | 27,250 | 1,026,650 | \$ 1,722,050 |
|  |  |  | 6,522,000 |
| \$329,920,000 | 298,236,000 | 253,338,484 | 251,245,871 |
| 60,300,000 | 54,800,000 | $50,830,000$ | 45,065,000 |
|  | 28,200,000 | 28,650,000 | 8,000,000 |
| 66,358,165 | 52,755,376 | 14,063,908 |  |
|  | 2,000,000 |  |  |
| 63,687,339 | 4,848,624 |  |  |
| 20,128,960 | 37,561,095 | 59,504,265 | 64,843,590 |
| 6,208,824 | 6,565,539 | 6,870,622 | 7,184,801 |
| 169,035,620 | 168,665,778 | 169,862,695 | 163,293,724 |
| 13,089,571 | 19,433,104 | 20,231,512 | 20,969,699 |
| 59,300,000 | 22,850,000 | 12,285,000 | 9,600,000 |
| \$788,028,479 | \$708,942,766 | \$637,663,136 | \$578,446,735 |

# PORTFOLIO RATES OF RETURN <br> (Percent) 

For Calendar Years as Indicated

| ASSET CATEGORY | 1979 | 1978 | 1977 | 1976 | 1975 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond Portfolio | 9.14 | 8.74 | 8.61 | 8.55 | 8.26 |
| Real Estate Mortgage <br> Portfolio | 9.26 | 8.52 | 7.60 | 6.89 | 6.20 |
| Total Fixed-Income <br> Investments | $\overline{9.18}$ | $\overline{8.68}$ | $\overline{8.34}$ | $\overline{8.12}$ | $\overline{7.85}$ |
| Equity Portfolio <br> New Invest. Return Rate <br> (New Long-Term, Fixed- | 17.96 | 9.13 | $\overline{(8.82)}$ | $\overline{15.85}$ | $\overline{26.70}$ |
| $\quad$ Income Investments) | 9.88 | 9.12 | 8.38 | 8.54 | 9.15 |
| Short-Term Investments | 10.76 | 7.55 | 5.15 | 4.86 | 5.74 |

While interest rates rose in late 1979, the bond portfolio continued to show significant improvement in yield for the year. This performance of the bond account and the high quality of the securities within it contribute importantly to the performance of the total portfolio.

The yields on mortgage loans reflect the System's purchase of insured or guaranteed mortgage loans in previous years when interest rates for all types of investments were considerably lower in general than at the present time. Substantial increases have been made during the last three years
in the mortgage loan portfolio yield. This has been accomplished by major new investments in highyielding mortgage instruments backed by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and by the Government National Mortgage Association.

The equity portfolio includes real estate and common stocks. The performance of the stocks is reflected on a market-to-market basis, including realized and unrealized gains and losses and dividend income, as measured on a dollar-weighted basis by an independent performance measurement specialist.


## NEW INVESTWENT RATE OF RETURN

The rate of return on new long-term, fixed-income investments fluctuates significantly with changes in the economy but has remained at moderately high levels in recent years.


## AVALLAELLITY OF FUNDS

New funds available for investment in 1979 were $\$ 86$ million compared to $\$ 60$ million available in 1975.

## LIST OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 1979
EONDS
Par ValueCORPORATE OBLIGATIONSIndustrial
Cities Service Co. $\$ 6,500,000$
Conoco Inc. ..... $13,500,000$
Dana Corp ..... 11,000,000
John Deere \& Co. ..... $4,000,000$
Diamond Shamrock Corp. ..... $8,600,000$
DuPont (E.I.) de Nemours Co. ..... $1,000,000$
Ford Motor Co. ..... $3,000,000$
General Mills, Inc. ..... $8,500,000$
McDonalds Corp. ..... $6,400,000$
Minnesota Mining \& Mfg. Co. ..... 4,000,000
J.C. Penney Co. ..... 2,000,000
Philip Morris, Inc. ..... $13,250,000$
Phillips Petroleum Co. ..... $8,000,000$
Standard Brands, Inc. ..... 2,500,000
Standard Oil Co. of Indiana ..... 5,750,000
Union Oil Co. of California ..... $3,000,000$
Xerox Corp., Sub. Conv. Deb. ..... 1,220,000
$\$ 102,220,000$
Telephone
Bell Tel. Co. of Pennsylvania ..... $\$ 3,500,000$
Chesapeake \& Potomac Tel. Co. of Maryland ..... $2,000,000$
Chesapeake \& Potomac Tel. Co. of Virginia ..... $7,000,000$
Chesapeake \& Potomac Tel. Co. of West Virginia ..... $1,000,000$
Cincinnati Bell, Inc. ..... $3,000,000$
General Tel. Co. of Florida ..... 500,000
General Tel. Co. of Ohio ..... $2,000,000$
General Tel. Co. of the Southwest. ..... $1,000,000$
General Tel. Co. of Upstate New York, Inc. ..... 1,000,000
Michigan Bell Tel. Co. $3,500,000$

## Par Value

Mountain States Tel. \& Tel. Co.New York Tel. Co. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8,500,000
Northwestern Bell Tel. Co. ..... $13,000,000$
Ohio Bell Tel. Co.Pacific Northwest Bell Tel. Co. . . . . . . $\quad 2,000,000$
Pacific Tel. \& Tel. Co. ..... 9,500,000
South Central Bell Tel. Co. $17,000,000$
Southern Bell Tel. \& Tel. Co. ..... $6,000,000$
Southern New England Tel. Co. ..... 2,000,000
Southwestern Bell Tel. Co. ..... 20,500,000
$\$ 125,750,000$
Power \& Light
Baltimore Gas \& Electric Co. $\$ 2,250,000$
Central Illinois Public Service Co. ..... $2,000,000$
Central Power \& Light Co ..... $1,500,000$
Cincinnati Gas \& Electric Co. ..... $3,000,000$
Connecticut Light \& Power Co. ..... 1,700,000
Duke Power Co. ..... $4,000,000$
Duquesne Light Co. ..... $3,500,000$
El Paso Electric Co. ..... $2,500,000$
Florida Power Corp. ..... $8,000,000$
Florida Power \& Light Co. ..... $3,000,000$
Gulf State Utilities Co. ..... 3,000,000
Houston Lighting \& Power Co. ..... 5,000,000
Idaho Power Co. ..... 2,000,000
Illinois Power Co. ..... 1,500,000
Indianapolis Power \& Light Co. ..... 2,650,000
Iowa Power \& Light Co. ..... 1,000,000
Iowa Public Service Co. ..... 2,500,000
Kansas Power \& Light Co. ..... 3,000,000
Kansas Gas \& Electric Co. ..... 2,500,000
Kentucky Utilities Co. ..... $1,500,000$
Metropolitan Edison Co. ..... $3,000,000$
New England Power Co. ..... $3,000,000$

| Northern Indiana Public Se | 500,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ohio Edison Co. | 6,500,000 |
| Oklahoma Gas \& Electric Co. | 4,100,000 |
| Pacific Gas \& Electric Co. | 6,000,000 |
| Pennsylvania Power \& Light Co. | 2,500,000 |
| Philadelphia Electric C | 14,000,000 |
| Public Service Electric \& Gas Co | 9,100,000 |
| Public Service Co. of Colorado. | 500,000 |
| Public Service Co. of Oklahoma | 1,000,000 |
| South Carolina Electric \& Gas Co. | 4,300,000 |
| Southern California Edison Co. | 5,500,000 |
| Southwestern Public Service Co | 1,500,000 |
| Union Electric Co. | 3,500,000 |
| Utah Power \& Light Co. | 2,100,000 |
| West Penn Power Co. | 1,000,000 |
| Wisconsin Power \& Light Co. | 4,500,000 |
|  | 28,700,000 |

## CANADIAN OBLIGATIONS

 Province| Province of Ontario . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 15$ |
| :--- |
| Province of Saskatchewan . . . . . . . . |
| $\frac{10,300,000}{10,000,000}$ |
| $25,300,000$ |

## Authority, Board, Commission

Alberta Gov. Tel. Comm.
$\$ 2,300,000$
British Columbia Hydro \& Power Auth. $8,500,000$
Manitoba Hydro-Electric Board ...... $\frac{26,700,000}{\$ 37,500,000}$
Total Bonds (Par) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\xlongequal{\$ 419,470,000}$
Total Bonds (Market) . . . . . . . . . . . . .

## SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS Certificate of Deposit

BancOhio National Bank
$\$ 4,000,000$
$\$ 4,000,000$

## Commercial Paper

| Abbott Labs: | \$ | 2,000,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.. |  | 1,000,000 |
| Carolina Tel. \& Tel. Co.. |  | 1,700,000 |
| Columbia Gas System, Inc. |  | 3,875,000 |
| Crown Zellerbach Corp. |  | 2,300,000 |
| Diamond International Corp. |  | 1,000,000 |
| Ford Motor Credit Corp. |  | 4,100,000 |
| General Electric Credit Corp. |  | 3,600,000 |
| General Tel. Co. of Florida |  | 1,500,000 |
| General Tel. Co. of Indiana. |  | 500,000 |
| General Tel. Co. of the Northwest |  | 4,000,000 |
| General Tel. Co. of the Southeast |  | 1,000,000 |
| General Tel. Co. of Wisconsin |  | 1,000,000 |
| General Motors Acceptance Corp |  | 7,500,000 |
| Inland Steel Co. |  | 1,000,000 |
| New York Tel. Co. |  | 3,100,000 |
| Northern Illinois Gas Co. |  | 3,000,000 |
| Northwestern Bell Tel. Co. |  | 1,500,000 |
| J.C. Penney Financial Corp |  | 1,100,000 |
| Philip Morris, Inc. |  | 600,000 |
| Public Service Co. of Colorado. |  | 1,000,000 |
| Public Service Co. of New Mexico |  | 1,800,000 |
| Sears Roebuck Acceptance Corp. |  | 5,400,000 |
| Utah Power \& Light Co. |  | 2,600,000 |
| Wisconsin Tel. Co. |  | 900,000 |
|  |  | 7,075,000 |
| Total Short-Term (Par) |  | 1,075,000 |
| Total Short-Term (Cost) |  | 60,430,252 |
| Total Short-Term (Market). | \$ | 60,628,124 |


| MORTGAGE SECURITIES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |
| 7.50\% | \$ 8,768,248 |
| 8.00\% | 33,262,417 |
| 8.25\% | 7,130,322 |
| 8.50\% | 1,400,721 |
| 9.00\% | 21,972,775 |
| 9.50\% | 24,610,673 |
| 10.00\% | 1,261,053 |
|  | \$ 98,406,209 |
| FHLMC Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |
| 8.25\% | \$ 3,189,742 |
| 8.75\% | 12,344,469 |
| 9.00\% | 11,930,665 |
| 9.25\% | 27,506,482 |
| 9.50\% | 2,850,381 |
| 10.00\% | 30,238,085 |
| 10.25\% | 4,844,316 |
|  | \$ 92,904,140 |

## FHA Insured Mortgages

$4.50 \%$.............................. \$ 409

$5.25 \% \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
5.50\% .................................... 45,729
5.75\% .................................... 53,107
$6.00 \% \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
$6.75 \% \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
$\$ 4,470,947$

## VA Guaranteed Mortgages

| 4.50\% | \$ | 12,244 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.25\% |  | 245,503 |
| 5.50\% |  | 13,885 |
| 5.75\% |  | 49,881 |
| 6.00\% |  | 80,917 |
| 6.75\% |  | 17,936 |
| 7.50\% |  | 14,575 |
|  | \$ | 434,941 |

H.U.D. Guaranteed Mortgages
Various Rates ..... $\$ 5,843,124$
Total Mortgages (Par) \$202,059,361
Total Mortgages (Market) \$170,744,942
Book Value
PRODUCTIVE REAL ESTATE Land and Buildings
88 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH. . ..... $\$ 5,859,721$
Prudential Property Investment
Separate Account (PRISA) ..... $7,000,000$
Total Real Estate (Book Value) \$ 12,859,721
Total Real Estate (Market) ..... \$ 21,554,016

## BOND PORTFOLIO BY MATURITY

December 31, 1979


## BOND PORTFOLIO BY INTEREST RATES December 31, 1979

 grades of the nine bond quality ratings established by Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
30.8\% A

,

8\% Baa


DISTRIBUTION OF 1979 MORTGAGE PORTFOLIO

48.7 \% GNMA MortgageBacked Securities
2.2 \% FHA Insured Loans
46.0 \% FHLMC MortgageBacked Securities
2.9 \% HUD Guaranteed Loans
. 2 \% VA Guaranteed Loans

| Number of Shares |  | Cost | Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23,200 | Affiliated Bank Shares of Colorado, Inc. | 995,280 | \$ 585,800 |
| 21,820 | Air Products \& Chemicals, Inc. | 786,866 | 801,885 |
| 33,500 | Amax, Inc. | 1,070,127 | 1,528,437 |
| 50,000 | American Express Co.. | 1,977,735 | 1,493,750 |
| 40,000 | American Home Products Corp. | 1,384,335 | 1,090,000 |
| 15,000 | American Stores Co. | 410,325 | 399,375 |
| 95,000 | American Telephone \& Telegraph Co. | 5,229,279 | 4,951,875 |
| 25,600 | Anheuser Busch, Inc. | 1,345,515 | 576,000 |
| 67,712 | Arizona Bank. | 1,005,974 | 1,235,744 |
| 50,000 | Avon Products, Inc. | 4,364,047 | 1,968,750 |
| 29,500 | Black \& Decker Manufacturing Co. | 1,139,355 | 678,500 |
| 35,000 | Bristol Myers Co. | 1,184,530 | 1,295,000 |
| 10,000 | Caterpillar Tractor Co. | 550,350 | 540,000 |
| 15,234 | C.I.T. Financial Corp. | 661,106 | 795,271 |
| 14,000 | Cities Service Co. | 749,973 | 1,169,000 |
| 55,000 | Coca Cola Co. | 3,045,757 | 1,904,375 |
| 56,400 | Colgate Palmolive Co. | 1,864,575 | 817,800 |
| 12,000 | Conoco, Inc. | 357,060 | 567,000 |
| 15,000 | Dart Industries, Inc. | 692,530 | 588,750 |
| 20,000 | Deere \& Co. | 575,642 | 787,500 |
| 30,378 | Disney, Walt Productions. | 1,845,915 | 1,367,010 |
| 20,000 | Dow Chemical Co. | 546,513 | 642,500 |
| 54,000 | DuPont (E.I.) de Nemours \& Co. | 2,551,921 | 2,180,250 |
| 45,000 | Eastman Kodak Co. | 5,291,623 | 2,171,250 |
| 76,000 | Emerson Electric Co. | 3,177,464 | 2,669,500 |
| 70,000 | Esmark, Inc. | 1,878,137 | 1,986,250 |
| 75,400 | Exxon Corp. | 3,470,726 | 4,156,425 |
| 32,000 | Federated Department Stores, Inc. | 1,595,618 | 876,000 |
| 25,000 | First Bank Systems, Inc. | 1,286,625 | 987,500 |
| 45,000 | Fischback \& Moore, Inc. | 2,386,250 | 1,186,875 |
| 45,000 | General Electric Co.. | 2,248,140 | 2,283,750 |
| 50,000 | General Foods Corp. | 1,747,925 | 1,681,250 |
| 20,600 | General Motors Corp. | 1,421,445 | 1,032,575 |
| 44,000 | Getty Oil Co. | 1,984,935 | 3,245,000 |
| 38,400 | Grainger, W.W., Inc. | 1,393,616 | 1,435,200 |
| 15,000 | Halliburton Co. | 1,165,412 | 1,275,000 |


| Number of Shares |  | Cost |  | Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30,000 | Heinz, H. J. Co................................ . \$ | 1,130,423 | \$ | 1,215,000 |
| 40,000 | Hercules, Inc. | 1,001,562 |  | 825,000 |
| 30,000 | Honeywell, Inc. | 1,648,950 |  | 2,497,500 |
| 50,000 | Howard Johnson Co. | 1,591,748 |  | 1,237,500 |
| 20,000 | Ingersoll Rand Co. | 1,411,347 |  | 1,032,500 |
| 104,000 | International Business Machines Corp. . . . . . . . | 6,805,510 |  | 6,721,000 |
| 45,000 | International Paper Co..................... | 2,508,775 |  | 1,665,000 |
| 70,000 | International Telephone \& Telegraph Corp. . . . . | 3,202,284 |  | 1,793,750 |
| 32,700 | Johnson \& Johnson. | 3,811,043 |  | 2,591,475 |
| 60,000 | Kimberly Clark Corp. | 2,698,571 |  | 2,460,000 |
| 80,000 | K-Mart Corp. | 3,134,208 |  | 1,900,000 |
| 46,000 | Knight-Ridder Newspapers, Inc.. | 1,210,760 |  | 1,196,000 |
| 40,000 | Kraft, Inc. | 1,880,847 |  | 1,910,000 |
| 40,000 | Lilly, Eli \& Co. | 3,187,290 |  | 2,390,000 |
| 25,000 | MGIC Investment Corp. | 1,675,935 |  | 684,375 |
| 35,000 | McDonald's Corp. | 1,979,687 |  | 1,522,500 |
| 41,400 | Merck \& Co., Inc. | 3,639,007 |  | 3,001,500 |
| 55,000 | Minnesota Mining \& Manufacturing Co. | 4,003,355 |  | 2,770,625 |
| 60,000 | Mobil Oil Corp. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,986,450 |  | 3,315,000 |
| 57,000 | Monsanto Co.. | 3,551,327 |  | 3,398,625 |
| 40,000 | Morgan, J.P. \& Co., Inc. | 2,289,474 |  | 1,850,000 |
| 40,000 | Motorola, Inc. | 2,410,708 |  | 2,045,000 |
| 65,000 | NL Industries, Inc. | 1,571,100 |  | 2,242,500 |
| 47,000 | Northern States Power Co. | 1,355,513 |  | 1,051,625 |
| 45,000 | Northwest Airlines, Inc. | 2,041,431 |  | 1,243,125 |
| 60,000 | Ohio Edison Co. | 1,225,675 |  | 795,000 |
| 41,300 | Penney, J.C. Co. | 2,840,741 |  | 1,078,962 |
| 30,000 | Pfizer, Inc.. | 1,135,013 |  | 1,177,500 |
| 25,000 | Philip Morris, Inc.. | 883,975 |  | 900,000 |
| 20,000 | Polaroid Corp. | 1,604,845 |  | 562,500 |
| 31,000 | Procter \& Gamble Co. | 3,298,166 |  | 2,301,750 |
| 80,000 | Reynolds, R. J. Industries, Inc. | 2,448,920 |  | 2,740,000 |
| 60,000 | Robins, A. H. Co., Inc. | 1,606,169 |  | 532,500 |
| 35,000 | St. Regis Paper Co. | 1,449,120 |  | 1,058,750 |
| 35,000 | Santa Fe International Corp.................... | 1,198,425 |  | 1,211,875 |
| 80,000 | Schering Plough Corp.. . . . | 3,646,557 |  | 2,430,000 |

## COMMON STOCKS

| Number of Shares |  | Cost | Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71,000 | Sears Roebuck \& Co. | \$ 3,376,277 | \$ 1,278,000 |
| 40,000 | Simplicity Pattern Co., Inc. | 1,321,699 | 395,000 |
| 45,000 | Southeast Banking Corp. | 1,550,799 | 646,875 |
| 55,000 | Standard Oil Co. of California | 2,370,759 | 3,100,625 |
| 30,000 | Standard Oil Co. of Ohio | 1,193,545 | 2,651,250 |
| 40,000 | Sun Company, Inc. . | 1,627,772 | 2,795,000 |
| 30,000 | Sundstrand Corp. | 949,837 | 1,140,000 |
| 25,000 | Texas Eastern Corp. | 1,174,945 | 1,662,500 |
| 44,000 | Texas Gas Transmission Corp. | 1,015,445 | 1,204,500 |
| 10,000 | Texas Instruments, Inc. | 919,537 | 880,000 |
| 80,000 | Texas Utilities Co. | 1,563,200 | 1,420,000 |
| 41,000 | Trane Co. | 819,320 | 773,875 |
| 35,000 | Union Camp Corp. | 1,871,759 | 1,470,000 |
| 35,000 | Union Carbide Corp. | 1,927,013 | 1,470,000 |
| 40,000 | U.S. Fidelity \& Guaranty Co.. | 1,285,745 | 1,540,000 |
| 82,200 | Weyerhaeuser Co. | 3,253,455 | 2,609,850 |
| 70,000 | Whirlpool Corp.. | 2,027,365 | 1,312,500 |
| 46,000 | Xerox Corp. | 4,105,192 | 2,857,750 |
|  | TOTAL COMMON STOCK | \$178,801,201 | \$149,507,309 |


| Quality <br> Rating | Percent <br> of Stocks |
| :--- | ---: |
| A+ | 33.7 |
| A | 30.3 |
| A- | 15.7 |
| B+ | 11.3 |
| B | - |
| B- |  |
| C |  |
| D |  |
| Not Rated | 9.0 |

## INVESTMENT ACTIVITY

## For the year ended December 31, 1979

PRINCIPAL BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1978$\$ 788,028,479$Increases in Par Value:
Corporate Obligations ..... \$ 94,750,000
Canadian Obligations ..... 12,200,000
F.H.L.M.C. Mortgage-Backed Securities ..... 42,773,453
G.N.M.A. Mortgage-Backed Securities ..... 37,714,663
Common Stocks ..... 28,025,018
Short-Term Investments (Net) ..... 1,775,000
Total Increases ..... \$217,238,134
Decreases in Par Value:
Corporate Obligations ..... \$ 68,000,000
Canadian Obligations ..... 9,700,000
F.H.L.M.C. Mortgage-Backed Securities ..... 13,556,652
G.N.M.A. Mortgage-Backed Securities ..... 5,666,619
F.H.A. Mortgages ..... 13,163,720
V.A. Mortgages ..... 2,059,352
H.U.D. Guaranteed Mortgages ..... 365,700
Common Stocks ..... 18,259,437
Real Estate229,850
Total Decreases ..... \$131,001,330
Net Increase to Portfolio ..... $\$ 86,236,804$
PRINCIPAL BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1979 ..... $\$ 874,265,283$

NOTE: Purchases of short-term investments in various amounts during the year provided the System with additional income of $\$ 6,515,879.75$. These short-term investments enabled the System to have a maximum of funds invested throughout the year.

The Retirement Board's investment philosophy is contained in the Investment Policy Statement below, which is provided for the use of the System's investment staff and counselors.

## OBJECTIVE

The objective of the Retirement Board's investment policy is to provide the maximum long-term benefits to members of the System by maximizing the total rate of return within prudent parameters of risk for a retirement fund of this type. In line with this objective, due consideration is given by professional staff and portfolio advisers to the actuarial requirments of the System and changes thereto. Safety of principal is the primary consideration and is maintained by the purchase of quality investments, but investment income is also an important element commanding major consideration in the appraisal of various investment alternatives. The Board's policy provides for the utilization of all suitable and prudent avenues of investment authorized under the Ohio Revised Code to maintain a high-quality, diversified portfolio of investments in conservatively financed companies the prospects of which are continually reviewed and assessed in the varying economic climate. While the Board generally invests with the long-term in mind, the changing economic and investment conditions dictate that shortterm factors also be considered in the timing of purchases or sales of any investment. Flexibility and market timing are important elements in the Board's policy, and consistency of performance with respect to the total portfolio is emphasized.

## DIVERSIFICATION

Diversification of the portfolio is in respect to types of assets, types of industries, companies within industries, quality of the investments, maturities, geographical areas, etc., and is an important element in the limitation of risk. All such diversification parameters are considered within the context of the requirements of the Ohio Revised Code and of the economic climate at varying times to assure the maintenance of a diversified portfolio responsive to changing economic conditions. Diversification by asset types requires that the Board consider investments in the fixed-income area, mainly bonds and mortgages of various types, and equity investments, including highquality stocks and productive real estate.

## FIXED-INCOME INVESTMENTS

The Board's fixed-income investments are made up of both bonds and mortgages of various types carefully selected on the basis of
rate of return, maturity, quality, marketability and overall suitability to the Board's portfolio. The fixed-income portfolio is intended to provide the steady, high-level, current income for use in making benefit payments to members of the System. While such fixed-income assets do fluctuate in market value, they are legal contracts having definitely defined characteristics and maturity values which provide a great degree of stability to the investment return of the portfolio.

Bonds - The Board's policy provides for bonds to represent an important part of the total portfolio, varying somewhat depending upon economic conditions, interest rates and relative attractiveness of other avenues of investment. The Board requires that its bond portfolio be of high quality and chosen with respect to maturity ranges, coupon levels, refunding characteristics and marketability. Diversification is further provided by inclusion of industrial, utility, telephone, governmental and governmental agency bonds of various types. Active management of bonds is encouraged to continually improve the System's portfolio in terms of quality, marketability, income, refunding protection, etc.

Mortgages - The Board's high-quality, diversified mortgage portfolio varies in size dependent upon mortgage interest rates, rates available on other types of investments, and the quality of loans available. The Ohio Revised Code provides for inclusion of mortgages on single-family and multi-family units on an insured or guaranteed basis. Opportunities to purchase conventional commercial and industrial loans of a credit-type nature are considered and provided for by the Board's policy. Preference is given to those investments which can be made within the State of Ohio in order that the expenses of making the investment, administering the loan, and inspection of the property can be minimized. Since a mortgage portfolio is more expensive to administer than other fixed-income investments, the Board considers mortgage investments in those situations where the mortgage investment provides at least one-half of one percentage point per annum better yield than a comparable investment in the bond market. The mortgage portfolio, like the bond portfolio, is intended to provide a high-quality income stream, less subject to fluctuations during changing economic conditions than some other types of investments, for the payment of benefits.

## EQUITY INVESTMENTS

Since fixed-income investments, such as bonds and mortgages, provide only for a current income stream and make no provision for
a hedge with respect to inflation, the Ohio Revised Code and the Board's policy provide that such a long-term inflation hedge be obtained by the use of equity investments in stocks and productive real estate. The Board's policy provides for flexibility in changing economic conditions and allows maximization of total return from judicious use of a combination of both fixed-income and equity investments.

Productive Real Estate - The Board's investment policy provides for the ownership of productive real estate, on an unleveraged basis, of high quality, and offering a good current return as well as substantial prospects for market value appreciation over time. Where they are obtainable, and in addition to the appreciation potential of the property itself, incremental increases in lease rentals are provided as a further method of offsetting monetary inflation in the economy. Selection of wellqualified managers and prime tenants is inherent in the Board's real estate investment policy.

Common Stocks - The Board's investment in common stocks is an important and flexible element in providing for a long-term inflation hedge. The Board's policy emphasizes the total return concept, dividend yield and market appreciation, and provides for investment in selected stocks of conservatively financed companies, as evidenced by debt to equity ratios, internal cash flow, etc. Such companies are usually leaders in their particular industry, have proven management, and are capable of substantial flexibility in pricing and competition with respect to their products. Such quality stocks are selected on the basis of value, considering the magnitude, stability and growth of dividends, or on the basis of growth of profits and dividends in excess of the growth rate for the economy.

Over a long period of time, it is anticipated that the stock portfolio will achieve a total return, including income and market appreciation, greater than that available from the fix-ed-income portions of the portfolio and that such return will compare favorably with recognized, representative market indexes and the results obtained by other funds of comparable size and character. Consideration is given to
the fact that portfolios perform differently in up and down cycles of the market and that complete re-structuring of the portfolio with respect to anticipated short-term movements in the market involves considerable risk and expense. Therefore, these performance goals of investment policy apply only to the long term.

Realized losses are minimized by careful selection of companies, attention to market timing and diversification of risk. The Board's policy provides that, generally, losses may be taken in circumstances where the fundamental outlook for the industry or the company, or the financial condition of the company, is deteriorating or in the case of a generally declining market.

## SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

The Board's investment policy provides for the use of short-term investments in accordance with the Ohio Revised Code in order to maximize earnings of the System and to allow for flexibility in respect to market timing of other long-term investments. Such short-term investments are selected within prescribed quality limitations and include the use of U. S. Government securities, banker's acceptances, certificates of deposit and prime commercial paper bearing a quality rating of P-1 or P-2 by Moody's Investors Service, Inc.

## IMPLEMENTATION

In order to implement its investment policy, the Board employs the services of one or more investment counselors in addition to its own staff. Investment guidelines are formulated annually and reviewed at least quarterly with the investment counselor and staff to provide portfolio guidelines appropriate to current economic conditions. Monthly reports are made to the Board by staff with respect to implementation of investment policy, and performance of equity investments is measured internally and by such performance measurement specialists as the Board may retain.

